

ON THE MAXIMAL CROSS NUMBER OF UNIQUE FACTORIZATION INDEXED MULTISSETS

DANIEL KRIZ
MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study a conjecture of Gao and Wang concerning a proposed formula $K_1^*(G)$ for the maximal cross number $K_1(G)$ taken over all unique factorization indexed multisets over a given finite abelian group G . As a corollary of our first main result, we verify the conjecture for abelian groups of the form $C_{p^m} \oplus C_p, C_{p^m} \oplus C_q, C_{p^m} \oplus C_q^2, C_{p^m} \oplus C_r^n$ where p, q are distinct primes and $r \in \{2, 3\}$. In our second main result we verify that $K_1(G) = K_1^*(G)$ for groups of the form $C_r \oplus C_{p^m} \oplus C_p, C_{rp^m} \oplus C_q$ and $C_r \oplus C_p \oplus C_q^2$ for $r \in \{2, 3\}$ given some restrictions on p and q . We also study general techniques for computing and bounding $K_1(G)$, and derive an asymptotic result which shows that $K_1(G)$ becomes arbitrarily close to $K_1^*(G)$ as the smallest prime dividing $|G|$ goes to infinity, given certain conditions on the structure of G . We also derive some necessary properties of the structure of unique factorization indexed multisets which would hypothetically violate $k(S) \leq K_1^*(G)$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout let $(G, +)$ be a finite abelian group (written additively). Let $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_\ell\}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, be a finite indexed multiset of elements of G . In [7], Gao and Wang consider sequences rather than indexed multisets. However, the notion of indexed multisets seems more natural in the context of our discussion, as giving an ordering on the elements of our set is unnecessary and we only need the indexing to distinguish between copies of the same element. To any subset $I \subseteq [\ell]$, we associate a submultiset $S(I) := \{g_i \in S : i \in I\} \subseteq S$. Let

$$\sigma(S) := \sum_{g \in S} g$$

denote the sum of the elements of S (with multiplicity). By convention $\sigma(\emptyset) = 0$. We call S *zero-sum* if $\sigma(S) = 0$, we call S *minimal zero-sum* if $\sigma(S) = 0$ and for any $\emptyset \subsetneq S' \subsetneq S$ we have $\sigma(S') \neq 0$ and we call S *zero-sum free* if for any $\emptyset \subsetneq S' \subseteq S$ we have $\sigma(S') \neq 0$. For any indexed multiset S over G , let $|S|$ denote the number of elements of S counted with multiplicity.

Now for any indexed multiset $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_\ell\}$ over $G \setminus \{0\}$ (i.e., with elements contained in $G \setminus \{0\}$), an *irreducible factorization* of S is a decomposition of the indexing set $[\ell]$

$$[\ell] = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m I_i$$

where $S(I_i)$ is minimal zero-sum for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. We often refer to the $S(I_i)$ as *irreducible factors* of the irreducible factorization $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m I_i$. We consider two irreducible factorizations $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m I_i$ and $\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n J_j$ equivalent if and only if $m = n$ and $\{I_1, \dots, I_m\} = \{J_1, \dots, J_n\}$. A zero-sum indexed multiset S over $G \setminus \{0\}$ with precisely one equivalence class of irreducible

factorizations is called a *unique factorization indexed multiset* (which we will henceforth denote by “UFIM” for brevity).

The above notions have interpretations in algebraic number theory, see [1].

For an element $g \in G$, let $\text{ord}(g)$ denote its order in G , i.e., the smallest positive integer n such that $ng = 0$. Now let $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r C_{n_i}$ be the unique decomposition of G into a direct sum of cyclic groups such that $n_i | n_{i+1}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $n_1 > 1$. We call r the *rank* of G and $n_r = \text{Exp}(G)$ the *exponent* of G . We now define the *cross number*, the main quantity we will be studying.

Definition 1 (Cross Number). For any indexed multiset S over G , we define the *cross number of S* by

$$k(S) := \sum_{g \in S} \frac{1}{\text{ord}(g)}$$

(by convention $k(\emptyset) = 0$) and define

$$K_1(G) := \max\{k(S) : S \text{ is a UFIM over } G \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

For a finite abelian group G , decompose G into the direct sum of prime-power cyclic groups: $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} C_{p_i^{e_{ij}}}$ where the p_i are distinct primes. Put

$$K_1^*(G) := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{p_i^{e_{ij}} - 1}{p_i^{e_{ij}} - p_i^{e_{ij}-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sum_{k=1}^{e_{ij}} \frac{1}{p_i^{k-1}}.$$

Again by convention $K_1^*(\{0\}, +) = 0$. Note that for any finite abelian groups G and H , we have $K_1^*(G \oplus H) = K_1^*(G) + K_1^*(H)$.

Gao and Wang gave the following conjecture, which will be the main focus of this paper.

Conjecture 2 (Gao-Wang [7]). *For any finite abelian group G , we have the equality*

$$K_1(G) = K_1^*(G).$$

Note that Conjecture 2 is equivalent to the statement that both $K_1(C_{p^m}) = \frac{p^m - 1}{p^m - p^{m-1}}$ for any prime-power cyclic group C_{p^m} and K_1 is additive over direct sums, i.e. for any two finite abelian groups G, H we have $K_1(G \oplus H) = K_1(G) + K_1(H)$.

In [7], Gao and Wang show that Conjecture 2 partially holds.

Proposition 3 ([7]). *For any finite abelian group G , we have*

$$K_1(G) \geq K_1^*(G).$$

Remark 4. In [7], Gao and Wang construct the following UFIM whose cross number equals $K_1^*(C_{p^m})$, in particular proving Proposition 3. For any $x \in C_{p^m} \setminus \{0\}$, let S_x^k denote the indexed multiset over $C_{p^m} \setminus \{0\}$ in which x occurs k times. Let γ be a generator of C_{p^m} and take the indexed multiset

$$S = \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S_{p^{i-1}\gamma}^{p-1} \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S_{(1-p)p^{i-1}\gamma}^1 \right).$$

Gao and Wang verified Conjecture 2 in [7] for special families of abelian groups.

Theorem 5 (Gao-Wang [7]). *Conjecture 2 holds, i.e., $K_1(G) = K_1^*(G)$, for G of the form:*

- (1) C_{p^m} , p prime, $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (2) C_{pq} , p, q prime,
- (3) C_2^m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (4) C_3^m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (5) C_p^2 , p prime.

The first main result of this paper, proven in Section 5, is

Theorem 6. *Let p, q be distinct primes and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

- (1) $K_1(C_{p^m} \oplus C_p^n) \leq K_1(C_{p^m}) + K_1(C_p^{n+1}) - 1$,
- (2) $K_1(C_{p^m} \oplus C_q^n) \leq K_1(C_{p^m}) + K_1(C_q^n)$.

This result in particular verifies Conjecture 2 for more families of abelian groups:

Corollary 7. *For p, q distinct (possibly even) primes, and any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $K_1(G) = K_1^*(G)$ for the following groups G :*

- (1) $C_{p^m} \oplus C_p$,
- (2) $C_{p^m} \oplus C_q$,
- (3) $C_{p^m} \oplus C_q^2$,
- (4) $C_{p^m} \oplus C_2^n$,
- (5) $C_{p^m} \oplus C_3^n$.

Our second main result, proven in Section 7, concerns the families for which Conjecture 2 “eventually” holds.

Theorem 8. *Fix any $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ and $r \in \{2, 3\}$. Suppose $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} C_{p_i^{e_{ij}}}$, where $p_i > r$ are distinct primes for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $p_1 < \dots < p_n < cp_1$ if $n > 1$, is a finite abelian group with $K_1(G) = K_1^*(G)$ and $k(C_r \oplus G) = k^*(C_r \oplus G)$. Then if p_1 is large enough so that*

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p_1} K_1^* \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_1} C_{p_1^{e_{1j}}} \right) + \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{(cp_1)^{e_{ij}} - 1}{(cp_1)^{e_{ij}+1} - (cp_1)^{e_{ij}}} \geq \frac{\log_2(rc^{(\sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij})} p_1^{(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij})})}{p_1}$$

(note that as $p_1 \rightarrow \infty$, the left hand side tends to $\frac{1}{r}$ while the right hand side tends to 0), we have

$$K_1(C_r \oplus G) = K_1^*(C_r \oplus G).$$

Furthermore, if equality does not hold in the constraint for p_1 above, then any UFIM S over $(C_r \oplus G) \setminus \{0\}$ with $k(S) = K_1(C_r \oplus G)$ has a decomposition

$$S = S_r \sqcup S_G$$

such that S_r is a UFIM over $C_r \setminus \{0\}$ and S_G is a UFIM over $G \setminus \{0\}$.

Corollary 9. *Let $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \{2, 3\}$ where $r < p < q$ are distinct primes with $q \leq cp$.*

- (1) *If $G = C_r \oplus C_{p^m} \oplus C_p$, we have that $K_1(C_r \oplus C_{p^m} \oplus C_p) = K_1^*(C_r \oplus C_{p^m} \oplus C_p)$ for all p large enough so that*

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{p^m - 1}{p^{m+1} - p^m} + \frac{1}{p} \geq \frac{\log_2(rp^{m+1})}{p}.$$

(2) If $G = C_{rp^mq}$, we have that $K_1(C_{rp^mq}) = K_1^*(C_{rp^mq})$ for all p large enough so that

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{p^m - 1}{p^{m+1} - p^m} + \frac{1}{cp} \geq \frac{\log_2(rcp^{m+1})}{p}.$$

(3) If $G = C_{rpq^m}$, we have that $K_1(C_{rpq^m}) = K_1^*(C_{rpq^m})$ for all p large enough so that

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{(cp)^m - 1}{(cp)^{m+1} - (cp)^m} \geq \frac{\log_2(rc^m p^{m+1})}{p}.$$

(4) If $G = C_r \oplus C_{p^m} \oplus C_q^2$, we have that $K_1(C_r \oplus C_{p^m} \oplus C_q^2) = K_1^*(C_r \oplus C_{p^m} \oplus C_q^2)$ for all p large enough so that

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{p^m - 1}{p^{m+1} - p^m} + \frac{2}{cp} \geq \frac{\log(rc^2 p^{m+2})}{p}.$$

(5) If $G = C_r \oplus C_p^2 \oplus C_{q^m}$, we have that $K_1(C_r \oplus C_p^2 \oplus C_{q^m}) = K_1^*(C_r \oplus C_p^2 \oplus C_{q^m})$ for all p large enough so that

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{2}{p} + \frac{(cp)^m - 1}{(cp)^{m+1} - (cp)^m} \geq \frac{\log_2(rc^m p^{m+2})}{p}.$$

Moreover for each of the families $C_r \oplus G$ above, if equality in the corresponding constraint for p does not hold, then any UFIM S over $(C_r \oplus G) \setminus \{0\}$ with $k(S) = K_1(G)$ has a decomposition

$$S = S_r \sqcup S_G$$

such that S_r is a UFIM over $S_r \setminus \{0\}$ and S_G is a UFIM over $G \setminus \{0\}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief survey of other zero-sum group invariants. We will utilize these invariants in the methods used to prove our main results in Sections 5 and 7. In Section 3, we give a brief outline of our general method for proving $K_1(G) = K_1^*(G)$ and bounding $K_1(G)$. In Section 4, we prove several fundamental lemmas which will be used throughout our paper. In Section 5, we prove the first main results of this paper, Theorem 6 and Corollary 7. In Section 6, we study some properties of general UFIMs and derive some key results which will be used in the proof of Theorem 8. In Section 7 we prove our second main result, Theorem 8 and Corollary 9, calculating $K_1(G)$ for certain subsets of the families $C_r \oplus C_{p^m} \oplus C_p$, C_{rp^mq} and $C_r \oplus C_p^2 \oplus C_q$, showing that Conjecture 2 “eventually” holds for members of this subsets.

In Section 8, we study the asymptotic behavior of $K_1(G)$, in particular showing that it behaves essentially like $k(G)$ and $K_1^*(G)$, and that it becomes arbitrarily close to these quantities in a certain limit. This gives new information on the behavior of $K_1(G)$. We also give an even sharper bound on $K_1(G) - K_1^*(G)$ in the case of certain classes of finite abelian groups, including finite abelian p -groups G .

2. A BRIEF SURVEY OF RELATED GROUP INVARIANTS

Group invariants such as the cross number have proven useful in the study of factorization problems in Krull domains (see [2]), and in the study of block monoids (see [16]). In this section, we recall other invariants related to zero-sum indexed multisets over finite abelian groups. We include this brief survey of known results both to serve as a reference for the reader and because these quantities will appear in the methods we use to study $K_1(G)$

throughout the rest of the paper. A reader already familiar with the material below may safely skip this section.

The following invariants quantify the maximal length of certain types of zero-sum indexed multisets over $G \setminus \{0\}$:

$$D(G) := \max\{|S| : S \text{ is a minimal zero-sum indexed multiset over } G \setminus \{0\}\}$$

$$N_1(G) := \max\{|S| : S \text{ is a UFIM over } G \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

We refer to $D(G)$ as the *Davenport constant* of G and $N_1(G)$ as the *first Narkiewicz constant* (or simply the *Narkiewicz constant* of G), introduced by Narkiewicz in [17]. Similarly to $K_1(G)$, the Narkiewicz constant $N_1(G)$ has a conjectured explicit formula.

Conjecture 10 (Narkiewicz [18]). *For a given abelian group G , write it as a sum of invariant factors: $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r C_{n_i}$ where $n_i | n_j$ if $i \leq j$, $n_1 > 1$. Then*

$$N_1(G) = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i.$$

A resolution of Conjecture 10 still seems far away, but it has been verified for the following special cases.

Theorem 11 ([3], [6], [18]). *Conjecture 10 holds for:*

- (1) C_n where $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (2) C_2^m where $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (3) C_3^m where $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (4) C_p^2 where p is prime.

The Davenport constant $D(G)$ has a similar associated formula

$$D^*(G) = D^* \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r C_{n_i} \right) := 1 + \sum_{j=1}^r (n_j - 1).$$

$D(G)$ and $D^*(G)$ are known to be equal for groups of rank at most 2, but have been shown to differ in certain groups of rank at least 4; they are conjectured to be equal for groups of rank 3 (see [10]).

We also have an invariant similar to $K_1(G)$, by instead taking the maximal cross number over minimal zero-sum indexed multisets:

$$K(G) := \max\{k(S) : S \text{ is a minimal zero-sum indexed multiset over } G \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

The invariant $K(G)$, often simply called the *cross number* of G , was introduced by Krause in [15] (for further information, see [4], [8], [9], [11], [12], and [13]). Like $D(G)$ and $N_1(G)$, $K(G)$ has only been fully computed for some families of finite abelian groups, including p -groups. We have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 12 (Krause-Zahlten [16]). *For any finite abelian group $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} C_{p_i^{e_{ij}}}$, we have*

$$K(G) = K^*(G) := \frac{1}{\text{Exp}(G)} + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{t_i} \frac{p_i^{e_{ij}} - 1}{p_i^{e_{ij}}}.$$

Conjecture 12 has been verified for some families, given by the following Theorem.

Theorem 13. *Conjecture 12 holds for the following families of abelian groups G :*

- (1) (See [9]) *Finite abelian p -groups for any prime p .*
- (2) (See [11]) *Groups of the form $C_{p^m} \oplus C_{p^n} \oplus C_q^s$ for distinct primes p, q and $m, n, s \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- (3) (See [11]) *Groups of the form $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n C_{p_i^{e_i}} \oplus C_q^s$ where p_1, \dots, p_n, q are distinct primes, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and one of the following conditions holds:*
 - (a) $n \leq 3$ and $p_1 \cdots p_n \neq 30$.
 - (b) $p_k \geq k^3$ for every $1 \leq k \leq n$.
- (4) (See [16]) *Cyclic groups of the form $G = C_{p^m q}$ where p, q are distinct primes and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- (5) (See [16]) *Cyclic groups of the form $G = C_{p^2 q^2}$ where p, q are distinct primes.*
- (6) (See [16]) *Cyclic groups of the form $G = C_{pqr}$ where p, q, r are distinct primes.*

We can also define the *little cross number* of G :

$$k(G) := \max\{k(S) : S \text{ is a zero-sum free indexed multiset over } G \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Remark 14. Note that any zero-sum free indexed multiset differs by one element from some minimal zero-sum indexed multiset: If S is zero-sum free, then $S \sqcup \{-\sigma(S)\}$ is minimal zero-sum. In particular, for any zero-sum free S , we have $k(S) + \frac{1}{\text{Exp}(G)} \leq k(S \sqcup \{-\sigma(S)\})$, and so we have the following Proposition.

Proposition 15. *For any finite abelian group $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} C_{p_i^{e_{ij}}}$, we have*

$$k(G) + \frac{1}{\text{Exp}(G)} \leq K(G).$$

We again have a conjectured explicit formula for $k(G)$.

Conjecture 16. *For any finite abelian group $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} C_{p_i^{e_{ij}}}$ written as a direct sum of prime-power cyclic groups, we have*

$$k(G) = k^*(G) := \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{t_i} \frac{p_i^{e_{ij}} - 1}{p_i^{e_{ij}}}.$$

Remark 17. Again, for any given abelian group G one can construct a zero-sum free indexed multiset S such that $k(S) = k^*(G)$, and hence we have $k(G) \geq k^*(G)$.

Remark 18. Note that given a finite abelian group G for which we have $K(G) = K^*(G)$, then Proposition 15 along with $k(G) \geq k^*(G)$ implies that $k(G) = k^*(G)$. Hence Conjecture 16 holds for the families of abelian groups given in Proposition 13.

We include the following table summarizing the main families of abelian groups for which $D(G)$, $N_1(G)$, $K(G)$, $k(G)$ and $K_1(G)$ have been fully computed (including the results shown in this paper). The values of the invariants for the families listed below are all consistent with their corresponding conjectures.

Invariant	Fully computed for
$D(G)$	p -groups where p is a prime, cyclic groups, $C_m \oplus C_n$ where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m n$
$N_1(G)$	cyclic groups, C_2^m, C_3^m, C_p^2 where p is prime, $m \in \mathbb{N}$
$K(G)$	p -groups where p is a prime, $C_{p^m} \oplus C_{p^n} \oplus C_q^s, \bigoplus_{i=1}^n C_{p_i^{e_i}} \oplus C_q^s$ where p_1, \dots, p_n are distinct primes satisfying certain conditions and $n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, C_{p^m q}, C_{p^2 q^2}, C_{pqr}$ where p, q, r are distinct primes
$k(G)$	same as $K(G)$
$K_1(G)$	$C_{p^m}, C_{p^m} \oplus C_p, C_{p^m q}, C_{p^m} \oplus C_q^2, C_{p^m} \oplus C_r^m, C_r \oplus C_{p^m} \oplus C_p, C_{r p^m q}$ and $C_r \oplus C_p^2 \oplus C_q$ (under certain conditions), where p, q are distinct (possibly even) primes, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $r \in \{2, 3\}$

3. AN OUTLINE OF OUR METHOD

For a given finite abelian group G , our general strategy will be to find a bound on $K_1(G)$ of the form

$$K_1(G) \leq K_1^*(G) + [\text{extra terms}].$$

To do this, we choose a suitable subgroup $H \leq G$ and using the quotient map $G \rightarrow G/H$ derive a bound of the form

$$K_1(G) \leq K_1(H) + K_1(G/H) + [\text{extra terms}].$$

If we have $G \cong H \oplus G/H$ and it is known that $K_1(H) = K_1^*(H)$ and $K_1(G/H) = K_1^*(G/H)$, so that $K_1^*(H) + K_1^*(G/H) = K_1^*(H \oplus G/H) = K_1^*(G)$, then the above inequality becomes

$$K_1(G) \leq K_1^*(G) + [\text{extra terms}].$$

Ideally we would hope to simply get $K_1(G) \leq K_1^*(G)$ in this way, which by Proposition 3 would imply that $K_1(G) = K_1^*(G)$, but in most cases it seems that we can only show that the “extra terms” are small. In Section 4, we derive a general bound

$$K_1(G) \leq K_1(G/H) + N_1(H) \cdot K(G/H)$$

but often we wish to obtain a better bound than this. To do so, we will often need to treat each case using *ad hoc* methods, as in the proof of our first main result in Section 5.

4. LEMMAS

In this section, we develop techniques, inspired by the arguments of Gao and Wang in [7], which will be used throughout the remainder of this paper.

We first make the following observation.

Remark 19. Given a UFIM S over $G \setminus \{0\}$, for any $S' \subseteq S$ with $\sigma(S') = 0$, we have that S' is a union of irreducible factors of S , and hence must have a unique factorization. Hence S' is also a UFIM.

We have the following useful reformulation of the notion of unique factorization.

Proposition 20 (Equivalent Characterization of Unique Factorization, see [17]). *Let G be a finite abelian group, and let S be a zero-sum indexed multiset over $G \setminus \{0\}$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) S is a UFIM;

(2) For any two zero-sum submultisets S_1 and S_2 of S , the intersection $S_1 \cap S_2$ is also a zero-sum indexed multiset.

Proposition 21. Given a UFIM S over $G \setminus \{0\}$, for any submultiset $S_0 \subset S$ with $\sigma(S_0) \neq 0$, $(S \setminus S_0) \sqcup \{\sigma(S_0)\}$ is also a UFIM over $G \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. We have a map from irreducible factorizations of $(S \setminus S_0) \sqcup \{\sigma(S_0)\}$ to irreducible factorizations of S given by deleting the irreducible factor T containing $\{\sigma(S_0)\}$ and replacing it with an irreducible factorization of $(T \setminus \{\sigma(S_0)\}) \sqcup S_0$. This map has a left inverse given by replacing the smallest union U of irreducible factors of S containing S_0 with an irreducible factorization of $(U \setminus S_0) \sqcup \{\sigma(S_0)\}$. Hence the original map is injective. Thus since S is a UFIM, so is $(S \setminus S_0) \sqcup \{\sigma(S_0)\}$. \square

Note that any map of groups $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ induces an action on indexed multisets given by $\phi(S) = \{\phi(g_1), \dots, \phi(g_\ell)\}$ for $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_\ell\}$. Observe that $\phi(S)$ is zero-sum if and only if $\sigma(S) \in \ker(\phi)$.

Remark 22. If S is zero-sum, then $\phi(S)$ is zero-sum, but if S is a UFIM, $\phi(S)$ is not necessarily a UFIM. For example, consider the UFIM over $C_3^2 \setminus \{0\} = \mathbb{Z}_3^2 \setminus \{0\}$:

$$S = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (1, 2), (2, 1)\} = \{(1, 1), (2, 2)\} \sqcup \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$$

and the projection onto the first factor $\phi : C_3^2 \rightarrow C_3$.

We will use the following construction for the rest of our discussion.

Construction 23. Given a group G , suppose we have a surjective group homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ and a UFIM S over $G \setminus \{0\}$. Let $T(\phi) = \{x \in S : x \in \ker(\phi)\}$ (when the choice of ϕ is clear, we will simply write $T(\phi) = T$), let $S' = S \setminus T(\phi)$, and let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be maximal such that there exist disjoint zero-sum free submultisets S_1, \dots, S_t of S' such that for each $1 \leq i \leq t$, $\sigma(S_i) \in \ker(\phi) \setminus \{0\}$. (Note we are slightly abusing notation: when $t = 0$, there exists no $S_0 \subseteq S'$ such that S_0 is zero-sum free and $\sigma(S_0) \in \ker(\phi) \setminus \{0\}$. For further interpretation of the case $t = 0$, see Remark 24 below.) Let $S'' = S' \setminus (\bigsqcup_{i=1}^t S_i)$. Note that for each $1 \leq i \leq t$, $\phi(S_i)$ is a minimal zero-sum indexed multiset over $G' \setminus \{0\}$, seen as follows: for any $U_i \subsetneq S_i$ with $\phi(U_i)$ zero-sum, then since S_i is zero-sum free, $\sigma(U_i) \in \ker(\phi) \setminus \{0\}$, which contradicts the maximality of t .

Now we have

$$S = T(\phi) \sqcup S'' \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^t S_i$$

which implies

$$k(S) = k(T(\phi)) + k(S'') + \sum_{i=1}^t k(S_i).$$

We will seek to bound $k(S)$ (and ultimately $K_1(G)$) by bounding each of the three summands on the right hand side.

Remark 24. When $\ker(\phi)$ is a direct factor of G , the zero-sum free submultisets S_i in the construction above represent “cross terms” in S , i.e., elements which do not belong to a single direct factor of $G \cong \ker(\phi) \oplus (G/\ker(\phi))$. As $\sigma(S_i) \in \ker(\phi) \setminus \{0\}$, the elements of S_i can be thought of as adding together to “cancel out” their $G/\ker(\phi)$ components. In

particular, for any submultiset S with $t = 0$, each element of S belongs to either $\ker(\phi)$ or $G/\ker(\phi)$.

Remark 25. Note now that for any group homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ and any $x \in G$, $\text{ord}(\phi(x)) \leq \text{ord}(x)$, and so for any UFIM S over $G \setminus \{0\}$, we have $k(S') \leq k(\phi(S'))$.

Proposition 26. *In the notation of Construction 23, we have that S'' is a UFIM over $G \setminus \{0\}$, $\phi(S'')$ is a UFIM over $(G/\ker(\phi)) \setminus \{0\}$ and $T \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^t \{\sigma(S_i)\}$ is a UFIM over $\ker(\phi) \setminus \{0\}$. As a consequence, we have the following:*

- (1) $k(T) + \sum_{i=1}^t k(\{\sigma(S_i)\}) = k(T \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^t \{\sigma(S_i)\}) \leq K_1(\ker(\phi))$;
- (2) $|T| + t = |T \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^t \{\sigma(S_i)\}| \leq N_1(\ker(\phi))$;
- (3) $k(S) \leq K_1(\ker(\phi)) + k(S')$;
- (4) $k(S) \leq K_1(\ker(\phi)) + k(\phi(S'))$;
- (5) $k(\phi(S')) \leq K_1(G/\ker(\phi)) + t \cdot K(G/\ker(\phi))$;
- (6) $K_1(G) \leq K_1(G/\ker(\phi)) + N_1(\ker(\phi)) \cdot K(G/\ker(\phi))$;
- (7) *if $t = 0$, then $k(S) \leq K_1(\ker(\phi)) + K_1(G/\ker(\phi))$.*

Proof. We first show that $\phi(S'')$ is a UFIM. Since

$$\sigma(\phi(S \setminus S'')) = \sigma\left(\phi\left(T \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^t S_i\right)\right) = \sigma(\phi(T)) + \sum_{i=1}^t \phi(\sigma(S_i)) = 0,$$

we have $\sigma(\phi(S'')) = 0$. Now choose any two zero-sum submultisets $\phi(U_1)$ and $\phi(U_2)$ of $\phi(S'')$. Then $\sigma(U_1), \sigma(U_2) \in \ker(\phi)$. By maximality of t , we must have $\sigma(U_1) = \sigma(U_2) = 0$. Now since S is a UFIM, by Proposition 20, we have $\sigma(U_1 \cap U_2) = 0$, and hence $\sigma(\phi(U_1 \cap U_2)) = \sigma(\phi(U_1) \cap \phi(U_2)) = 0$. Since $\phi(U_1)$ and $\phi(U_2)$ were arbitrary zero-sum submultisets of $\phi(S'')$, again by Proposition 20, we have that $\phi(S'')$ is a UFIM.

We now show that S'' is a UFIM. Suppose now that S'' is not zero-sum, so that we can choose a zero-sum free submultiset $U \subseteq S''$. Then $\sigma(U) \in \ker(\phi) \setminus \{0\}$ which contradicts the maximality of t (see Construction 23). Hence S'' is zero-sum. Thus, since S is a UFIM over $G \setminus \{0\}$ and $S'' \subseteq S$ with $\sigma(S'') = 0$, then S'' is also a UFIM over $G \setminus \{0\}$ (see Remark 19). Now since S'' is a UFIM, so is $S \setminus S'' = T \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^t S'_i$. By Proposition 21, we have that $T \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^t \{\sigma(S_i)\}$ is a UFIM.

Now (1) and (2) follow from the definitions of $K_1(G)$ and $N_1(G)$. Since $k(T) \leq k(T) + \sum_{i=1}^t k(\{\sigma(S_i)\}) = k(T \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^t \{\sigma(S_i)\}) \leq K_1(\ker(\phi))$, (3) follows. By (3) and Remark 25, we have $k(S) \leq K_1(\ker(\phi)) + k(S') \leq K_1(\ker(\phi)) + k(\phi(S'))$, and this is (4).

Since $\phi(S'')$ is a UFIM over $(G/\ker(\phi)) \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$k(\phi(S')) = k(\phi(S'')) + \sum_{i=1}^t k(\phi(S_i)) \leq K_1(G/\ker(\phi)) + \sum_{i=1}^t k(\phi(S_i)).$$

By the maximality of t , $\phi(S_i)$ must be a minimal zero-sum indexed multiset over $(G/\ker(\phi)) \setminus \{0\}$ which implies $k(\phi(S_i)) \leq K(G/\ker(\phi))$ and thus $\sum_{i=1}^t k(\phi(S_i)) \leq t \cdot K(G/\ker(\phi))$. Putting this all together, we have $k(\phi(S')) \leq K_1(G/\ker(\phi)) + t \cdot K(G/\ker(\phi))$, which is (5).

Now from (26), we have $t \leq N_1(\ker(\phi)) - |T|$. Furthermore, observe that $k(T) \leq |T|$ and $K(G) \geq 1$ for any finite abelian group G . Hence by Remark 25, (3), and (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} k(S) &= k(T) + k(S') \leq k(T) + k(\phi(S')) \leq k(T) + K_1(G/\ker(\phi)) + t \cdot K(G/\ker(\phi)) \\ &\leq k(T) + K_1(G/\ker(\phi)) + (N_1(\ker(\phi)) - |T|) \cdot K(G/\ker(\phi)) \\ &\leq k(T) - |T| + K_1(G/\ker(\phi)) + N_1(\ker(\phi)) \cdot K(G/\ker(\phi)) \\ &\leq K_1(G/\ker(\phi)) + N_1(\ker(\phi)) \cdot K(G/\ker(\phi)) \end{aligned}$$

and (6) follows. Finally, (7) follows from (4) and taking $t = 0$ in (5). \square

5. FIRST MAIN RESULT

In this section we prove our first main results, namely Theorem 6 and Corollary 7. We first make the following remark.

Remark 27. For any prime p and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$N_1(C_p^n) = pK_1(C_p^n),$$

since each nonzero element of C_p^n has order p .

Proof of Theorem 6. We prove (1) and (2) separately.

Proof of (1): Suppose S is a UFIM over $(C_{p^m} \oplus C_p^n) \setminus \{0\}$. Put $T_k = \{x \in S : \text{ord}(x) = p^k\}$, and put $a_k = |T_k|$. Let $\phi : C_{p^m} \oplus C_p^n \rightarrow C_{p^{m-1}}$ be the ‘‘multiplication by p ’’ map. Now in the notation of Construction 23, we have that $T = T_1$ so that $S' = S \setminus T_1$.

We have

$$k(S) = \frac{a_1}{p} + \frac{a_2}{p^2} + \cdots + \frac{a_m}{p^m} \text{ which implies } k(S') = \frac{a_2}{p^2} + \frac{a_3}{p^3} + \cdots + \frac{a_m}{p^m},$$

and since ϕ is the ‘‘multiplication by p ’’ map,

$$k(\phi(S')) = p \cdot k(S') = \frac{a_2}{p} + \frac{a_3}{p^2} + \cdots + \frac{a_m}{p^{m-1}}.$$

So now we have

$$k(S) = k(S') + k(T_1) = \frac{1}{p} \cdot k(\phi(S')) + k(T_1)$$

and by Proposition 26 (5), we have

$$k(\phi(S')) \leq K_1(G/\ker(\phi)) + t \cdot K(G/\ker(\phi)) = K_1(C_{p^{m-1}}) + t \cdot K(C_{p^{m-1}}).$$

so we have

$$k(S) \leq \frac{1}{p} \cdot [K_1(C_{p^{m-1}}) + t \cdot K(C_{p^{m-1}})] + k(T_1).$$

Note that $T_1 = \{x \in S : x \in \ker(\phi)\}$, $|T_1| = a_1$ and by Proposition 11 and Corollary 26 (2) and Remark 27 we have

$$a_1 + t = |T_1| + t \leq N_1(\ker(\phi)) = N_1(C_p^{n+1}) = K_1(C_p^{n+1})p \text{ which implies } t \leq K_1(C_p^{n+1})p - a_1.$$

Note also that $k(T_1) = \frac{a_1}{p}$. By Proposition 13, we have $K(C_{p^{m-1}}) = 1$, and by Theorem 5 we have $K_1(C_{p^{m-1}}) = 1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^{m-1}}$, so in all we have

$$\begin{aligned} k(S) &\leq \frac{1}{p} \cdot [K_1(C_{p^{m-1}}) + t \cdot K(C_{p^{m-1}})] + \frac{a_1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{p} \cdot \left[1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^{m-2}} + (K_1(C_p^{m+1})p - a_1) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{a_1}{p} = K_1(C_{p^m}) + K_1(C_p^{m+1}) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (2): Suppose S is a UFIM over $(C_{p^m} \oplus C_q^n) \setminus \{0\}$. Let $T_{ij} = \{x \in S : \text{ord}(x) = p^i q^j\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq 1$ (note $T_{00} = \emptyset$), and put $a_{ij} = |T_{ij}|$. Then we have

$$k(S) = \frac{a_{10}}{p} + \cdots + \frac{a_{m0}}{p^m} + \frac{a_{11}}{pq} + \cdots + \frac{a_{m1}}{p^m q} + \frac{a_{01}}{q}.$$

Let $\phi_1 : C_{p^m} \oplus C_q^n \rightarrow C_{p^m}$ and $\phi_2 : C_{p^m} \oplus C_q^n \rightarrow C_q^n$ be the canonical projections. Now in the notation of Construction 23, we have that $T(\phi_1) = T_{01}$, so that $S' = S \setminus T_{01}$. Then we have

$$k(\phi_1(S')) = \frac{a_{10}}{p} + \cdots + \frac{a_{m0}}{p^m} + \frac{a_{11}}{p} + \cdots + \frac{a_{m1}}{p^m}.$$

Now note that $T_{01} = \{x \in S : x \in \ker(\phi_1)\}$ and $|T_{01}| + t = a_{01} + t$, so by Corollary 26 (2) and Remark 27 we have that

$$t \leq N_1(C_q^n) - a_{01} = qK_1(C_q^n) - a_{01}.$$

From Proposition 13, $K(C_{p^m}) = 1$, and by Theorem 5, we have $K_1(C_{p^m}) = 1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^{m-1}}$. So now by Proposition 26 (5),

$$\begin{aligned} k(\phi_1(S')) &\leq K_1(G/\ker(\phi_1)) + t \cdot K(G/\ker(\phi_1)) = K_1(C_{p^m}) + t \cdot K_1(C_{p^m}) \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^{m-1}} + (qK_1(C_q^n) - a_{01}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} k(S') &= \frac{a_{10}}{p} + \cdots + \frac{a_{m0}}{p^m} + \frac{a_{11}}{pq} + \cdots + \frac{a_{m1}}{p^m q} \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \cdot k(\phi_1(S')) + \frac{q-1}{q} \left[\frac{a_{10}}{p} + \cdots + \frac{a_{m0}}{p^m} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \left[1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^{m-1}} + (qK_1(C_q^n) - a_{01}) \right] + \frac{q-1}{q} \left[\frac{a_{10}}{p} + \cdots + \frac{a_{m0}}{p^m} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now in the notation of Construction 23 with respect to the homomorphism ϕ_2 , let $T(\phi_2) = \{x \in S : x \in \ker(\phi_2)\}$. Notice that $T(\phi_2) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m_0} T_{i0}$, so by Corollary 26 (1), we know that $k(T(\phi_2)) \leq K_1(C_{p^m})$, i.e.

$$\frac{a_{10}}{p} + \cdots + \frac{a_{m0}}{p^m} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^{m-1}} \right) \leq 0.$$

So now by Remark 25, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
k(S) &= k(S') + k(T_{01}) \leq \frac{1}{q} \left[1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^{m-1}} + (qK_1(C_q^n) - a_{01}) \right] \\
&+ \frac{q-1}{q} \left[\frac{a_{10}}{p} + \cdots + \frac{a_{m0}}{p^m} \right] + \frac{a_{01}}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^{m-1}} + K_1(C_q^n) \\
&+ \frac{q-1}{q} \left[\frac{a_{10}}{p} + \cdots + \frac{a_{m0}}{p^m} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^{m-1}} \right) \right] \leq K_1(C_{p^m}) + K_1(C_q^n).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 28. Note that when $m = n = 1$ in the proof of (2), i.e. when $G = C_{pq}$, we may deduce more about the structure of a UFIM achieving *maximal* cross number as follows: From the last chain of inequalities in the proof of (2), we have

$$k(S) \leq K_1(C_p) + K_1(C_q) + \frac{q-1}{q} \left(\frac{a_{10}}{p} - 1 \right) \leq K_1(C_p) + K_1(C_q) = 2 = K_1^*(C_{pq})$$

with equality holding only if $\frac{a_{10}}{p} = 1$. By symmetry, we also have $\frac{a_{01}}{q} = 1$. Hence, for a maximal cross number-achieving UFIM S ,

$$2 = \frac{a_{10}}{p} + \frac{a_{01}}{q} \leq \frac{a_{10}}{p} + \frac{a_{01}}{q} + \frac{a_{11}}{pq} \leq k(S) \leq K_1(C_{pq}) = 2 \text{ which implies } a_{11} = 0,$$

that is, S has no “cross terms” in the sense of Remark 24, and so we may split S into a disjoint union $S_p \sqcup S_q$ where S_p is a UFIM over $C_p \setminus \{0\}$ and S_q is a UFIM over $C_q \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof of Corollary 7. This follows directly from Proposition 3, Theorem 5 and Theorem 6. □

6. STRUCTURAL RESULTS

We now prove some results which give us information on the structure of UFIMs in relation to the structure of the ambient group. In particular, Lemma 31 will comprise a key step in proving our second main result in Section 7 by allowing us to derive a stronger upper bound for the cross number when there are “few” elements of lowest possible order.

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $P^-(n)$ denote the smallest (positive) prime divisor of n , and let $P^+(n)$ denote the largest prime divisor of n .

Proposition 29 ([18]). *Let G be a finite abelian group and let S be a UFIM over $G \setminus \{0\}$. Then if $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m I_i$ is the irreducible factorization of S , we have*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m |I_i| \leq |G|.$$

Furthermore we have $m \leq \log_2 |G|$, and for any choice of $g_i \in S(I_i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m k(\{g_i\}) \leq \frac{m}{P^-(|G|)} \leq \frac{\log_2 |G|}{P^-(|G|)}.$$

Proof. For the first statement, see [18]. For each irreducible factor $S(I_i)$, since $S(I_i)$ is zero-sum over $G \setminus \{0\}$, we have $|I_i| = |S(I_i)| \geq 2$, and so $2^m \leq \prod_{i=1}^m |I_i| \leq |G|$ which implies $m \leq \log_2 |G|$. Now since $\text{ord}(g) \geq P^-(|G|)$ for all $g \in G \setminus \{0\}$, the third statement follows. \square

We now prove a statement that gives a lower bound for the number of irreducible factors for a hypothetical counterexample to Conjecture 2.

Proposition 30. *Let $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} C_{p_i^{e_{ij}}}$ be an abelian group (written as a direct sum of prime-power cyclic groups) such that $k(G) = k^*(G)$. Let S be a UFIM over $G \setminus \{0\}$ with irreducible factorization $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m I_i$. Then if*

$$m \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{P^-(|G|)}{p_i} K_1^*(C_{p_i^{e_{ij}}})$$

then $k(S) \leq K_1^*(G)$. In particular, for a p -group G , if $m \leq K_1^*(G)$, then $k(S) \leq K_1^*(G)$.

Proof. If $n > 1$, assume without loss of generality that $P^-(|G|) = p_1 < \dots < p_n$. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$ choose a $g_i \in S(I_i)$, and observe that by unique factorization, both $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S(I_i) \setminus \{g_i\}$ and $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m \{g_i\}$ are zero-sum free, so that $k(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S(I_i) \setminus \{g_i\}) \leq k(G)$. By Proposition 29, we have $\sum_{i=1}^m k(\{g_i\}) \leq \frac{m}{p_1}$. Now note that by our assumption on m ,

$$K_1^*(G) - k^*(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{p_i^{e_{ij}} - 1}{p_i^{e_{ij}} - p_i^{e_{ij}-1}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{p_i^{e_{ij}} - 1}{p_i^{e_{ij}}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{p_i} K_1^*(C_{p_i^{e_{ij}}}) \geq \frac{m}{p_1},$$

and hence $\sum_{i=1}^m k(\{g_i\}) \leq \frac{m}{p_1} \leq K_1^*(G) - k^*(G) = K_1^*(G) - k(G)$. Thus

$$k(S) = k\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S(I_i) \setminus \{g_i\}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^m k(\{g_i\}) \leq k(G) + K_1^*(G) - k(G) = K_1^*(G).$$

The statement for p -groups follows immediately by taking $n = 1$. \square

Intuitively, it would seem that in order for the cross number of a indexed multiset S to be large, low-order elements should be in some sense “common” in S . The following lemma studies the effect on $k(S)$ of the distribution of elements of lowest possible order among the irreducible factors of a UFIM S . In particular, if none of the irreducible factors of S consist entirely of elements of lowest possible order, then for certain classes of finite abelian groups we shall be able to prove that $k(S)$ will “eventually” be less than $K_1^*(G)$ (see Corollary 34).

Lemma 31. *Suppose $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} C_{p_i^{e_{ij}}}$ is a finite abelian group with $p_1 < \dots < p_n$ and which does not satisfy both $n = 1$ and $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} = 1$ (i.e. G is not an elementary p_1 -group). For any UFIM S over $G \setminus \{0\}$, let S_{p_1} be the union of all irreducible factors of S whose elements are contained in $C_{p_1}^{n_1}$, so that S_{p_1} is a UFIM over $C_{p_1}^{n_1} \setminus \{0\}$ (note that possibly $S_{p_1} = \emptyset$). Let m_{p_1} be the number of irreducible factors of S_{p_1} . Then*

$$k(S) \leq \begin{cases} k(G) + \frac{(\log_2 |G|) - m_{p_1}}{\min\{p_1^2, p_2\}} + \frac{m_{p_1}}{p_1} & n > 1 \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} > 1 \\ k(G) + \frac{(\log_2 |G|) - m_{p_1}}{p_1} + \frac{m_{p_1}}{p_1} & n > 1 \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} = 1 \\ k(G) + \frac{(\log_2 |G|) - m_{p_1}}{p_1^2} + \frac{m_{p_1}}{p_1} & n = 1 \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m I_i$ be the irreducible factorization of S . For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, choose some $g_i \in S(I_i)$; for each i such that $S(I_i) \not\subset S_{p_1}$, we can choose g_i such that $\text{ord}(g_i) > p_1$, and note that $\sum_{g_i \in S_{p_1}} k(\{g_i\}) = \frac{m_{p_1}}{p_1}$. Now

- (1) if $n > 1$ and $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} > 1$, we have $\text{ord}(g_i) \geq \min\{p_1^2, p_2\}$,
- (2) if $n > 1$ and $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} = 1$, we have $\text{ord}(g_i) \geq p_2$, and
- (3) if $n = 1$ and $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} > 1$, we have $\text{ord}(g_i) \geq p_1^2$.

So by Proposition 29, since $S \setminus S_{p_1}$ has $m - m_{p_1}$ irreducible factors, we have

$$\sum_{g_i \notin S_{p_1}} k(\{g_i\}) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(\log_2 |G|) - m_{p_1}}{\min\{p_1^2, p_2\}} & n > 1 \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} > 1 \\ \frac{(\log_2 |G|) - m_{p_1}}{p_2} & n > 1 \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} = 1 \\ \frac{(\log_2 |G|) - m_{p_1}}{p_1^2} & n = 1 \text{ and } \max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} > 1. \end{cases}$$

By unique factorization, $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S(I_i) \setminus \{g_i\}$ is zero-sum free, and so $k(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S(I_i) \setminus \{g_i\}) \leq k(G)$. This, combined with the above, gives the desired conclusion. \square

For a given $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$, we define the following subset of the set of finite abelian groups G :

$$\Omega_c := \{G : P^+(|G|) \leq c \cdot P^-(|G|)\}.$$

For a given finite abelian group $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} C_{p_i}^{e_{ij}}$, define

$$\mathcal{S}_N := \left\{ G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} C_{p_i}^{e_{ij}} : \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij} \leq N \right\}.$$

Note that \mathcal{S}_N consists of those finite abelian groups G such that the number of prime divisors of $|G|$ counted with multiplicity is at most N .

Proposition 32. *Suppose $c, N \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ and $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} C_{p_i}^{e_{ij}} \in \Omega_c \cap \mathcal{S}_N$ with $k(G) = k^*(G)$, $p_1 < \dots < p_n$ and $p_1^2 < p_2$ if $n > 1$, $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} > 1$, and p_1 large enough so that $\frac{\log_2 cp_1}{p_1} N \leq \frac{1}{c} K_1^*(G)$. Then given a UFIM S over $G \setminus \{0\}$, let m_{p_i} be as in the statement of Lemma 31, and we have*

$$k(S) \leq K_1^*(G) + \frac{m_{p_1}}{p_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right).$$

In particular, if $n = 1$ (i.e., G is a p -group), $k(G) = k^(G)$ by Remark 18, and so taking $c = 1$, G satisfies the above inequality.*

Proof. By Lemma 31 and since $G \in \Omega_c \cap \mathcal{S}_N$, we have

$$k(S) \leq k(G) + \frac{\log_2 cp_1}{p_1^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij} - \frac{m_{p_1}}{p_1^2} + \frac{m_{p_1}}{p_1} \leq k(G) + \frac{\log_2 cp_1}{p_1^2} N + \frac{m_{p_1}}{p_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right).$$

Now since we assume $k(G) = k^*(G)$ and $G \in \mathcal{S}_N$,

$$K_1^*(G) - k(G) = K_1^*(G) - k^*(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{p_i} K_1^*(C_{p_i}^{e_{ij}}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{cp_1} K_1^*(C_{p_i}^{e_{ij}}) = \frac{1}{cp_1} K_1^*(G).$$

So now for all p_1 large enough so that $\frac{\log_2 cp_1}{p_1} N \leq \frac{1}{c} K_1^*(G)$, by the above we have

$$k(S) \leq k(G) + \frac{1}{cp_1} K_1^*(G) + \frac{m_{p_1}}{p_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \leq K_1^*(G) + \frac{m_{p_1}}{p_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right).$$

□

Corollary 33. *For any $c, N \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ and any finite abelian group $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} C_{p_i}^{e_{ij}} \in \Omega_c \cap \mathcal{S}_N$ with $k(G) = k^*(G)$, $p_1 < \dots < p_n$ and $p_1^2 < p_2$ if $n > 1$, $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} > 1$, and p_1 large enough so that $\frac{\log_2 cp_1}{p_1} N \leq \frac{1}{c} K_1^*(G)$, we have*

$$K_1(G) \leq K_1^*(G) + n_1 \frac{\log_2 p_1}{p_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right).$$

In particular, if $n = 1$, $k(G) = k^(G)$ by Remark 18, so G satisfies this inequality with $c = 1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 29, we have $m_{p_1} \leq \log_2 |C_{p_1}^{n_1}| = n_1 \log_2 p_1$, and so invoking Proposition 32, we have

$$k(S) \leq K_1^*(G) + \frac{m_{p_1}}{p_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \leq K_1^*(G) + n_1 \frac{\log_2 p_1}{p_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)$$

for any UFIM S over $G \setminus \{0\}$, and so the conclusion follows. □

Corollary 34. *Suppose $c, N \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ and $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} C_{p_i}^{e_{ij}} \in \Omega_c \cap \mathcal{S}_N$ with $k(G) = k^*(G)$, $p_1 < \dots < p_n$ and $p_1^2 < p_2$ if $n > 1$, $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n_1} e_{1j} > 1$, and p_1 large enough so that $\frac{\log_2 cp_1}{p_1} N \leq \frac{1}{c} K_1^*(G)$. Then any UFIM S over $G \setminus \{0\}$ with irreducible factorization $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m I_i$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $S(I_i)$ contains an element outside of $C_{p_1}^{n_1}$, satisfies*

$$k(S) \leq K_1^*(G).$$

In particular, if $n = 1$, $k(G) = k^(G)$ by Remark 18, so G satisfies this inequality with $c = 1$.*

Proof. The conditions imply $m_{p_1} = 0$; plug this into the inequality provided by Proposition 32. □

We may observe from Corollary 30 and Corollary 34 that to study Conjecture 2 for the classes of groups specified in Proposition 32, we essentially only need to look at UFIMs S with strictly greater than $K_1^*(G)$ irreducible factors, and such that some irreducible factor contains only elements of order $P^-(|G|)$. Note also that by Proposition 29 the number of irreducible factors which contain only elements of order $P^-(|G|)$ is bounded above by $\log_2 |C_{P^-(|G|)}^r| = r \log_2 P^-(|G|)$, where r is the rank of G as defined in Section 1.

7. SECOND MAIN RESULT

We can now prove Theorem 8 and Corollary 9.

Lemma 35. *Suppose G is a finite abelian group with $K_1(G) = K_1^*(G)$ and $r \in \{2, 3\}$ is such that $r \nmid |G|$. Given a UFIM S over $G \setminus \{0\}$, let m_r be as in Lemma 31. Then in the notation of Construction 23 with respect to the projection $\phi : C_r \oplus G \rightarrow G$, either*

- (1) $k(S) \leq K_1^*(C_r \oplus G)$ and $t = 0$, or
- (2) $m_r = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $r = 2$. In the notation of Construction 23 with respect to $\phi : C_r \oplus G \rightarrow G$, by Proposition 26 (2) and Theorem 11 we have $|T| + t \leq N_1(\ker(\phi)) = N_1(C_2) = 2$. If $t = 0$, then by Proposition 26 (7) and Theorem 5, $k(S) \leq K_1(C_2) + K_1(G) = K_1^*(C_2) + K_1^*(G) = K_1^*(C_2 \oplus G)$, where the first equality follows from Theorem 5 and our assumption $K_1(G) = K_1^*(G)$. Hence we may assume that $t \geq 1$, which implies, by the above, $|T| \leq N_1(\ker(\phi)) - t = 2 - t \leq 1$. But any irreducible factor has length at least 2, so $m_2 = 0$.

Suppose $r = 3$. As above, we have $|T| + t \leq N_1(\ker(\phi)) = N_1(C_3) = 3$. If $t = 0$, by Proposition 26 (7), we have $k(S) \leq K_1(C_3) + K_1(G) = K_1^*(C_3) + K_1^*(G) = K_1^*(C_3 \oplus G)$ where the first equality follows from Theorem 5 and our assumption $K_1(G) = K_1^*(G)$. So we may assume that $t \geq 1$. Hence $1 \leq t \leq 3$. If $t \geq 2$, we have by the above that $|T| \leq 1$, and so since any irreducible factor has length at least 2, so $|T| \leq 1$ which implies $m_2 = 0$. If $t = 1$, then we have $|T| \leq 2$. By Proposition 26, $T \sqcup \{\sigma(S_1)\}$ is a UFIM and hence zero-sum over $C_3 \setminus \{0\}$. Since the only zero-sum indexed multisets over $C_3 \setminus \{0\}$ of length at most 3 are $\{1, 2\}$, $\{1, 1, 1\}$ and $\{2, 2, 2\}$, we have $T = \{(1, 0, 0)\}$, $\{(2, 0, 0)\}$, $\{(1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$ or $\{(2, 0, 0), (2, 0, 0)\}$. Thus T is zero-sum free and so is properly contained in an irreducible factor. Since by definition T contains all order-3 elements in S , we have that $m_3 = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 8. Take any UFIM S over $(C_r \oplus G) \setminus \{0\}$. By Lemma 35, if $m_r \neq 0$, we have $k(S) \geq K_1^*(C_r \oplus G)$. So now assume $m_r = 0$. By Lemma 31, we have

$$k(S) \leq k(C_r \oplus G) + \frac{\log_2 |C_r \oplus G|}{p_1} \leq k(C_r \oplus G) + \frac{\log_2 (rc^{(\sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij})} p_1^{(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij})})}{p_1}.$$

Now since by assumption

$$k(C_r \oplus G) = k^*(C_r \oplus G) = 1 - \frac{1}{r} + \sum_{i=1}^n n_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{p_i^{e_{ij}}},$$

we have for p_1 satisfying the constraint given in our statement

$$\begin{aligned} k(S) &\leq 1 - \frac{1}{r} + \sum_{i=1}^n n_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{1}{p_i^{e_{ij}}} + \frac{\log_2 (rc^{(\sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij})} p_1^{(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij})})}{p_1} \\ &\leq K_1^*(C_r \oplus G) - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\log_2 (rc^{(\sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij})} p_1^{(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} e_{ij})})}{p_1} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{p_1} K_1^* \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_1} C_{p_1}^{e_{1j}} \right) + \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{(cp_1)^{e_{ij}} - 1}{(cp_1)^{e_{ij}+1} - (cp_1)^{e_{ij}}} \right) \leq K_1^*(C_r \oplus G) \end{aligned}$$

with equality only if we have equality in the constraint for p_1 . Hence $K_1(C_r \oplus G) \leq K_1^*(C_r \oplus G)$, and so $K_1(C_r \oplus G) = K_1^*(C_r \oplus G)$ by Proposition 3.

Now consider the case where equality does not hold in the constraint for p_1 in our statement. The above argument shows that if $m_r = 0$, then $k(S) < K_1(C_r \oplus G)$. Hence if S is such that $k(S) = K_1(C_r \oplus G)$, then $m_r \neq 0$, and so by Lemma 35, $t = 0$. Thus S , by Remark 24, each element of S must belong to either C_r or C_{pq} , and we may split S into a disjoint union $S_r \sqcup S_G$ where S_r is a UFIM over $C_r \setminus \{0\}$ and S_G is a UFIM over $G \setminus \{0\}$. \square

Proof of Corollary 9. All the families described are covered in Proposition 13, so by Remark 18, $k(C_r \oplus G) = k^*(C_r \oplus G)$ for the above G . Moreover, for the above G we have $K_1(G) = K_1^*(G)$ by Theorem 6. Hence the hypotheses of Theorem 8 are satisfied for these G , and so the first part of the statement follows. The second part follows directly from Theorem 8. \square

Remark 36. Note that for $r = 2, 3$ for S over $C_{rpq} \setminus \{0\}$ for p, q satisfying the conditions on p and q in the statement of Corollary 9, any UFIM S which achieves maximal cross number must have a decomposition $S_r \sqcup S_{pq}$ where S_r is a UFIM over $C_r \setminus \{0\}$ and S_{pq} is a UFIM over $C_{pq} \setminus \{0\}$. Hence S achieves maximal cross number if and only if S_r and S_{pq} achieve maximal cross number. By Remark 28, if S_{pq} achieves maximal cross number, then it has a decomposition $S_p \sqcup S_q$, where S_p is a UFIM over $C_p \setminus \{0\}$ and S_q is a UFIM over $C_q \setminus \{0\}$, and so S has a decomposition $S_r \sqcup S_p \sqcup S_q$.

8. BOUNDS ON $K_1(G)$ AND ASYMPTOTIC RESULTS

In this section, we prove some general bounds on $K_1(G)$. As a result, we show that $K_1(G)$, $k(G)$ and $K_1^*(G)$ all become arbitrarily close to each other in a certain limit. We hope these results along with those of Section 6 will be helpful in proving (or disproving) Conjecture 2 for further families of groups.

Gao and Wang give the following general bound for $K_1(G)$.

Proposition 37 ([7]). *For any finite abelian group G , let $|G|$ denote the order of G and let p be the smallest prime dividing G . Then we have*

$$K_1(G) \leq \log |G| + \frac{\log_2 |G|}{p}.$$

This bound can be improved however by refining Gao and Wang's methods in [7].

Proposition 38 ([14]). *For any finite abelian group G , we have*

$$K_1(G) \leq 2k(G).$$

Proof. For any given UFIM S , let $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m I_i$ be its irreducible factorization. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, pick some $g_i \in S(I_i)$, and by unique factorization we have that $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S(I_i) \setminus \{g_i\}$ and $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m \{g_i\}$ are zero-sum free. Hence, by the definition of $k(G)$,

$$k(S) = k\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S(I_i) \setminus \{g_i\}\right) + k\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m \{g_i\}\right) \leq 2k(G).$$

Since S was an arbitrary UFIM, we have $K_1(G) \leq 2k(G)$. \square

The following asymptotic result which more precisely captures the behavior of $K_1(G)$, in particular showing that it approaches the little cross number $k(G)$ in a certain limit. Recall the definitions of Ω_c and \mathcal{S}_N as defined in Section 6.

Proposition 39. *For any $c, N \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$, we have*

$$K_1(G) - k(G) \leq N \frac{\log_2 P^+(|G|)}{P^-(|G|)}$$

for all $G \in \mathcal{S}_N$ (note for p -groups, $P^+(|G|) = P^-(|G|)$). In particular, this implies that

$$\lim_{P^-(|G|) \rightarrow \infty, G \in \Omega_c \cap \mathcal{S}_N} |K_1(G) - k(G)| = 0.$$

Proof. Write $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} C_{p_i}^{e_{ij}}$, $p_1 < \dots < p_n$. Let S be any UFIM over $G \setminus \{0\}$ with irreducible factorization $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m I_i$. Now for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, choose any $g_i \in S(I_i)$, and by unique factorization $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S(I_i) \setminus \{g_i\}$ is zero-sum free, so $k(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S(I_i) \setminus \{g_i\}) \leq k(G)$. Now

$$K(s) - k(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m k(\{g_i\}) \leq \frac{\log_2 |G|}{P^-(|G|)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{t_i} e_{ij} \log_2 p_i}{p_1} \leq N \frac{\log_2 c p_1}{p_1} \rightarrow 0,$$

by Proposition 29 and the assumption $G \in \Omega_c \cap \mathcal{S}_N$, as $p_1 \rightarrow \infty$. \square

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of prime divisors of n counted without multiplicity, and let

$$\mathcal{E}_{(l_1, \dots, l_r)} := \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^r C_{n_i}, 1 < n_1 | \dots | n_r : \forall 1 \leq i \leq r, \omega(n_i) = l_i, \gcd\left(n_i, \frac{n_r}{n_i}\right) = 1 \right\}.$$

Proposition 40 ([13]). *For any $r, l_1, \dots, l_r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$, writing $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r C_{n_i}$ we have*

$$\lim_{P^-(n_r) \rightarrow \infty, G \in \mathcal{E}_{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}} k\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r C_{n_i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^r l_i = \lim_{P^-(n_r) \rightarrow \infty, G \in \mathcal{E}_{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}} k^*\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r C_{n_i}\right).$$

Lemma 41. *We have*

$$\lim_{P^-(|G|) \rightarrow \infty} |k^*(G) - K_1^*(G)| = 0.$$

Proof. Write $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} C_{p_i}^{e_{ij}}$, $p_1 < \dots < p_n$. As $p_1 \rightarrow 0$,

$$K_1^*(G) - k^*(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{p_i^{e_{ij}} - 1}{p_i^{e_{ij}} - p_i^{e_{ij}-1}} - \frac{p_i^{e_{ij}} - 1}{p_i^{e_{ij}}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{p_1^{e_{ij}} - 1}{p_1^{e_{ij}} - p_1^{e_{ij}-1}} - \frac{p_1^{e_{ij}} - 1}{p_1^{e_{ij}}} \rightarrow 0.$$

\square

Corollary 42. *For any fixed $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ and $N, r, l_1, \dots, l_r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\lim_{P^-(|G|) \rightarrow \infty, G \in \Omega_c \cap \mathcal{S}_N \cap \mathcal{E}_{(l_1, \dots, l_r)}} |K_1(G) - K_1^*(G)| = 0.$$

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 39, Proposition 40 and Lemma 41. \square

9. CONCLUSION

A full resolution of Conjecture 2 still seems far away, though it is hopeful that it could be verified for larger classes of abelian groups. General p -groups seems to be the most amenable “next step,” as several of the results in Section 6 seem to suggest. Of course, a resolution of the conjecture for general p -groups would be, by Remark 27, at least as strong verifying Conjecture 10 for groups of the form C_p^n , and this has only recently been verified for $n = 2$ by Gao, Li, and Peng (see [6]). Other directions of pursuit are to extend the asymptotic results of Section 8, and to study the structure of UFIMs which achieve maximal cross number. The results of Remark 28 and Theorem 8 perhaps suggest the following conjecture.

Conjecture 43. Let G be a finite abelian group such that $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n G_{p_i}$ where p_1, \dots, p_n are distinct primes and G_{p_i} is the Sylow p_i -group of G for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. If S is a UFIM over $G \setminus \{0\}$ with $k(S) = K_1(G)$, then S has a decomposition

$$S = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n S_{p_i}$$

where S_{p_i} is a UFIM over $G_{p_i} \setminus \{0\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Acknowledgments. This research was conducted while I was a participant at the University of Minnesota Duluth REU program, supported by NSF/DMS grant 1062709 and NSA grant H98230-11-1-0224. I would like to thank Joe Gallian for his encouragement, advice and enthusiasm in running the program. I would also like to thank the program advisors Adam Hesterberg, David Rolnick and Eric Riedl for their valuable suggestions to preliminary versions of this paper. Finally, I thank the program visitors Yasha Berchenko-Kogan, Nathan Kaplan, Brian Lawrence, Krishanu Sankar, and Jonathan Wang for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Baginski and S.T. Chapman, Factorizations of algebraic integers, block monoids, and additive number theory, *Am. Math. Mon.* 118 (2011), 901 - 920.
- [2] David Anderson, David Dobbs, Lecture notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, “Zero-Dimensional Commutative Rings”.
- [3] W. Gao, *On a combinatorial problem connected with factorizations*, *Colloq. Math.* 72 (1997), 251 - 268.
- [4] W. Gao and A. Geroldinger, *Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: a survey*, *Expo. Math.* 24 (2006), 337 - 369.
- [5] W. Gao, A. Geroldinger and Q. Wang, *A quantitative aspect of non-unique factorizations: the Narkiewicz constants*, *International Journal of Number Theory* 7 (2011), 1463 - 1502.
- [6] W. Gao, Y. Li and J. Peng, *A quantitative aspect of non-unique factorizations: the narkiewicz constants II*, *Colloq. Math.* 124 (2011), 205 - 218.
- [7] W. Gao and L. Wang, *On the Maximal Cross Number of Unique Factorization Sequences Over a Finite Abelian Group*, *Integers* 12 (2012) #A14, 1 - 6.
- [8] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [9] A. Geroldinger, *The cross number of finite abelian groups*, *J. Number Theory* 48 (1994), 219 - 223.
- [10] A. Geroldinger, M. Liebmann, and A. Philipp, *On the Davenport constant and on the structure of extremal zero-sum free sequences*, submitted.
- [11] A. Geroldinger and R. Schneider, *The cross number of finite abelian groups II*, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* 15 (1994), 399 - 118.
- [12] A. Geroldinger and R. Schneider, *On minimal zero sequences with large cross number*, *Ars Combinatoria* 46 (1997), 297 - 303.
- [13] B. Girard, *A new upper bound for the cross number of finite abelian groups*, *Israel J. Mathematics* 172 (2009), 253 - 278.
- [14] B. Girard, personal correspondence.
- [15] U. Krause, *A characterization of algebraic number fields with cyclic class group of prime power order*, *Math. Z.* 186 (1984), 89 - 118.
- [16] U. Krause, C. Zahlten, *Arithmetic in Krull monoids and the cross number of divisor class groups*, *Mitt. Math. Ges. Hamburg, Bd. XII (3)* (1991), 681 - 696.
- [17] W. Narkiewicz, *Finite Abelian groups and factorization problems*, *Colloq. Math.* 42 (1979), 319 - 330.
- [18] W. Narkiewicz, J. Śliwa, *Finite Abelian groups and factorization problems II*, *Colloq. Math.* 42 (1979), 319 - 330.

E-mail address: dkriz@princeton.edu