

Rad-supplementing modules

Salahattin Özdemir*

June 22, 2018

Abstract

Let R be a ring and M be a left R -module. If M is Rad-supplementing, then every direct summand of M is Rad-supplementing, but not each factor module of M . Any finite direct sum of Rad-supplementing modules is Rad-supplementing. Every module with composition series is (Rad-)supplementing. M has a Rad-supplement in its injective envelope if and only if M has a Rad-supplement in every essential extension. R is left perfect if and only if R is semilocal, reduced and the free left R -module $({}_R R)^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is Rad-supplementing if and only if R is reduced and the free left R -module $({}_R R)^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is ample Rad-supplementing. M is ample Rad-supplementing if and only if every submodule of M is Rad-supplementing. Every left R -module is (ample) Rad-supplementing if and only if $R/P(R)$ is left perfect, where $P(R)$ is the sum of all left ideals I of R such that $\text{Rad } I = I$.

Key words: supplement, Rad-supplement, supplementing module, Rad-supplementing module, perfect ring.

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Dokuz Eylül University, Turkey.
e-mail: salahattin.ozdemir@deu.edu.tr.
2010 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16D10, 16L30.

1 Introduction

All rings considered in this paper will be associative with an identity element. Unless otherwise stated, R denotes an arbitrary ring and all modules will be *left* unitary R -modules. By $R\text{-Mod}$ we denote the category of left R -modules. Let M be a module. By $X \subseteq M$, we mean X is a submodule of M or M is an extension of X . As usual, $\text{Rad } M$ denotes the radical of M and J denotes the Jacobson radical of the ring R . $E(M)$ will be the injective envelope of M . For an index set I , $M^{(I)}$ denotes as usual the direct sum $\bigoplus_I M$. By \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q} we denote as usual the set of natural numbers, the ring of integers and the field of rational numbers, respectively. A submodule $K \subseteq M$ is called *small* in M (denoted by $K \ll M$) if $M \neq K + T$ for every proper submodule T of M . Dually, a submodule $L \subseteq M$ is called *essential* in M (denoted by $L \leq M$) if $L \cap X \neq 0$ for every nonzero submodule X of M .

The notion of a supplement submodule was introduced in [7] in order to characterize semiperfect modules, that is projective modules whose factor modules have projective cover. For submodules U and V of a module M , V is said to be a *supplement* of U in M or U is said to *have a supplement* V in M if $U + V = M$ and $U \cap V \ll V$. M is called a *supplemented* module if every submodule of M has a supplement in M . See [21, §41] and [14] for results (and the definitions) related to supplements and supplemented modules. Recently, several authors have studied different generalizations of supplemented modules. In [16], τ -supplemented modules were defined for an arbitrary preradical τ for $R\text{-Mod}$. For submodules U and V of a module M , V is said to be a τ -*supplement* of U in M or U is said to *have a τ -supplement*

V in M if $U + V = M$ and $U \cap V \subseteq \tau(V)$. M is called a τ -supplemented module if every submodule of M has a τ -supplement in M . For the particular case $\tau = \text{Rad}$, Rad-supplemented modules have been studied in [3]; rings over which all modules are Rad-supplemented were characterized. See [23]; these modules are called *generalized supplemented* modules. Note that Rad-supplements V of a module M are also called *coneat* submodules, and can be characterized by the fact that each module with zero radical is injective with respect to the inclusion $V \subseteq M$; see [14, §10], [16] and [5]. On the other hand, modules that have supplements in every module in which it is contained as a submodule have been studied in [11]; the structure of these modules, which are called *modules with the property (E)*, has been completely determined over Dedekind domains. Such modules are also called *Moduln mit Ergänzungseigenschaft* in [13] and *supplementing* modules in [14, p.255]. Also, in the recent paper [9], modules that have a supplement in every cofinite extension have been studied, where a module N is called a *cofinite extension* of M if $M \subseteq N$ and N/M is finitely generated; see [18] for the notion of a cofinite submodule. We follow the terminology and notation as in [14]. We call a module M *supplementing* if it has a supplement in each module in which it is contained as a submodule. By considering these modules we define and study (ample) Rad-supplementing modules as a proper generalization of supplementing modules. A module M is called *(ample) Rad-supplementing* if it has a (an ample) Rad-supplement in each module in which it is contained as a submodule, where a submodule $U \subseteq M$ has *ample Rad-supplements* in M if for every $L \subseteq M$ with $U + L = M$, there is a Rad-supplement L' of U with $L' \subseteq L$.

In section 2 we investigate some properties of Rad-supplementing modules. It is clear that every supplementing module is Rad-supplementing, but the converse implication fails to be true, Example 2.3. If a module M has a Rad-supplement in its injective envelope, M need not be Rad-supplementing. However, we prove that M has a Rad-supplement in its injective envelope if and only if M has a Rad-supplement in every essential extension, Proposition 2.5. Using the fact that, for modules $A \subseteq B$, if A and B/A are (Rad-)supplementing then so is B , we prove that every module with composition series is (Rad-)supplementing, Theorem 2.12. A factor module of a Rad-supplementing module need not be Rad-supplementing, Example 2.15. For modules $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ with C/A injective, we prove that if B is Rad-supplementing then so is B/A . As one of the main results, we prove that R is left perfect if and only if R is semilocal, ${}_R R$ is reduced and $({}_R R)^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is Rad-supplementing. Finally, using a result of [11], we show that over a commutative noetherian ring R , a semisimple R -module M is Rad-supplementing if and only if it is supplementing and that is equivalent the fact that M is pure-injective, Theorem 2.21.

Section 3 contains some properties of ample Rad-supplementing modules. It starts by proving a useful property that a module M is ample Rad-supplementing if and only if every submodule of M is Rad-supplementing, Proposition 3.1. One of the main results of this part is that R is left perfect if and only if ${}_R R$ is reduced and the free left R -module $({}_R R)^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is ample Rad-supplementing, Theorem 3.3. In the proof of this result, Rad-supplemented modules plays an important role as, of course, every ample Rad-supplementing module is Rad-supplemented. Finally, using the char-

acterization of Rad-supplemented modules given in [3], we characterize the rings over which every module is (ample) Rad-supplementing. We prove that every left R -module is (ample) Rad-supplementing if and only if every reduced left R -module is Rad-supplementing if and only if $R/P(R)$ is left perfect, Theorem 3.4.

2 Rad-supplementing modules

A module M is called *radical* if $\text{Rad } M = M$, and M is called *reduced* if it has no nonzero radical submodule. See [10, p.47] for details for the notion of reduced and radical modules.

Proposition 2.1. *Supplementing modules and radical modules are Rad-supplementing.*

Proof. Let M be a module and N be any extension of M . If M is supplementing, then it has a supplement, and so a Rad-supplement in N . Thus M is Rad-supplementing. Now, If $\text{Rad } M = M$, then N is a Rad-supplement of M in N . \square

By $P(M)$ we denote the sum of all *radical* submodules of the module M , that is,

$$P(M) = \sum \{U \subseteq M \mid \text{Rad } U = U\}.$$

Clearly M is reduced if $P(M) = 0$.

Since $P(M)$ is a radical submodule of M we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *For a module M , $P(M)$ is Rad-supplementing.*

Recall that a subset I of a ring R is said to be *left T -nilpotent* in case, for every sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in I , there is a positive integer n such that $a_1 \cdots a_n = 0$.

In general, Rad-supplementing modules need not be supplementing as the following example shows.

Example 2.3. Let k be a field. In the polynomial ring $k[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ with countably many indeterminates x_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the ideal $I = (x_1^2, x_2^2 - x_1, x_3^2 - x_2, \dots)$ generated by x_1^2 and $x_{n+1}^2 - x_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the quotient ring $R = k[x_1, x_2, \dots]/I$ is a local ring with the unique maximal ideal $J = J^2$ (see [3, Example 6.2] for details). Now let $M = J^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Then we have $\text{Rad } M = M$, and so M is Rad-supplementing by Proposition 2.1. However, M does not have a supplement in $R^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Because, otherwise, by [2, Theorem 1], J would be a left T -nilpotent as R is semilocal, but this is impossible. Thus M is not supplementing.

For instance, over a left max ring, supplementing modules and Rad-supplementing modules coincide, where R is called a *left max ring* if every left R -module has a maximal submodule or equivalently, $\text{Rad } M \ll M$ for every left R -module M .

Proposition 2.4. *Every direct summand of a Rad-supplementing module is Rad-supplementing.*

Proof. Let M be a Rad-supplementing module, U be a direct summand of M and let N be any extension of U . Then $M = A \oplus U$ for some submodule $A \subseteq M$. By hypothesis M has a Rad-supplement in the module $A \oplus N$

containing M , that is, there exists a submodule V of $A \oplus N$ such that

$$(A \oplus U) + V = A \oplus N \quad \text{and} \quad (A \oplus U) \cap V \subseteq \text{Rad } V.$$

Let $g : A \oplus N \rightarrow N$ be the projection onto N . Then

$$U + g(V) = g(A \oplus U) + g(V) = g((A \oplus U) + V) = g(A \oplus N) = N \quad \text{and} \quad ,$$

$$U \cap g(V) = g((A \oplus U) \cap V) \subseteq g(\text{Rad } V) \subseteq \text{Rad}(g(V)).$$

Hence $g(V)$ is a Rad-supplement of U in N . □

If a module M has a Rad-supplement in its injective envelope $E(M)$, M need not be Rad-supplementing. For example, for $R = \mathbb{Z}$, the R -module $M = 2\mathbb{Z}$ has a Rad-supplement in $E(M) = \mathbb{Q}$ since $\text{Rad } \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$ (and so \mathbb{Q} is Rad-supplemented). But, M does not have a Rad-supplement in \mathbb{Z} , and thus M is not Rad-supplementing. However, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.5. *Let M be a module. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) M has a Rad-supplement in every essential extension,

(ii) M has a Rad-supplement in its injective envelope $E(M)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is clear. (ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $M \subseteq N$ with $M \trianglelefteq N$, and let $f : M \rightarrow N$ and $g : M \rightarrow E(M)$ be inclusion maps. Then we have the

following commutative diagram with h necessarily monic:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ g \downarrow & \swarrow h & \\ E(M) & & \end{array}$$

By hypothesis M has a Rad-supplement in $E(M)$, say K , that is, $M + K = E(M)$ and $M \cap K \subseteq \text{Rad } K$. Since $M \subseteq h(N)$, we obtain that $h(N) = h(N) \cap E(M) = h(N) \cap (M + K) = M + h(N) \cap K$. Now, taking any $n \in N$, we have $h(n) = m + h(n_1) = h(m + n_1)$ where $m \in M$ and $h(n_1) \in h(N) \cap K$. So, $n = m + n_1 \in M + h^{-1}(K)$ since h is monic, and thus $M + h^{-1}(K) = N$. Moreover, $M \cap h^{-1}(K) = h^{-1}(M \cap K) \subseteq h^{-1}(\text{Rad } K) \subseteq \text{Rad}(h^{-1}(K))$ since $h^{-1}(M) = M$ as h is monic. Hence $h^{-1}(K)$ is a Rad-supplement of M in N . \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let B be a module and A be a submodule of B . If A and B/A are Rad-supplementing, then so is B .*

Proof. Let $B \subseteq N$ be any extension of B . By hypothesis, there is a Rad-supplement V/A of B/A in N/A and a Rad-supplement W of A in V . We claim that W is a Rad-supplement of B in N . We have epimorphisms $f : W \rightarrow V/A$ and $g : V/A \rightarrow N/B$ such that $\text{Ker } f = W \cap A \subseteq \text{Rad } W$ and $\text{Ker } g = V/A \cap B/A \subseteq \text{Rad}(V/A)$. Then $g \circ f : W \rightarrow N/B$ is an epimorphism such that $W \cap B = \text{Ker}(g \circ f) \subseteq \text{Rad } W$ by [22, Lemma 1.1]. Finally, $N = V + B = (W + A) + B = W + B$. \square

Remark 2.7. The previous result holds for supplementing modules; see [11, Lemma 1.3-(c)].

Corollary 2.8. *If M_1 and M_2 are Rad-supplementing modules, then so is $M_1 \oplus M_2$.*

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus the result follows by Proposition 2.6. \square

Recall that R is said to be a *left hereditary* ring if every left ideal of R is projective.

Corollary 2.9. *If $M/P(M)$ is Rad-supplementing, then M is Rad-supplementing. For left hereditary rings, the converse is also true.*

Proof. Since $P(M)$ is Rad-supplementing by Corollary 2.2, the result follows by Proposition 2.6. Over left hereditary rings, any factor module of a Rad-supplementing module is Rad-supplementing (see Corollary 2.18). \square

We give the proof of the following known fact for completeness.

Lemma 2.10. *Every simple submodule S of a module M is either a direct summand of M or small in M .*

Proof. Suppose that S is not small in M , then there exists a proper submodule K of M such that $S + K = M$. Since S is simple and $K \neq M$, $S \cap K = 0$. Thus $M = S \oplus K$. \square

Proposition 2.11. *Every simple module is (Rad-)supplementing.*

Proof. Let S be a simple module and N be any extension of S . Then by Lemma 2.10, $S \ll N$ or $S \oplus S' = N$ for a submodule $S' \subseteq N$. In the first case, N is a (Rad-)supplement of S in N , and in the second case, S' is a (Rad-)supplement of S in N . So, in each case S has a (Rad-)supplement in N , that is, S is (Rad-)supplementing. \square

Theorem 2.12. *Every module with composition series is (Rad-)supplementing.*

Proof. Let $0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_n = M$ be a composition series of a module M . The proof is by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n = 1$, then $M = M_1$ is simple, and so M is (Rad-)supplementing by Proposition 2.11. Suppose that this is true for each $k \leq n - 1$. Then M_{n-1} is (Rad-)supplementing. Since M_n/M_{n-1} is also (Rad-)supplementing as a simple module, we obtain by Proposition 2.6 that $M = M_n$ is (Rad-)supplementing. \square

Corollary 2.13. *A finitely generated semisimple module is (Rad-)supplementing.*

In general, a factor module of a Rad-supplementing module need not be Rad-supplementing. To give such a counterexample we need the following result.

Recall that a ring R is called *Von Neumann regular* if every element $a \in R$ can be written in the form axa , for some $x \in R$.

Proposition 2.14. *Let R be a commutative Von Neumann regular ring. Then an R -module M is Rad-supplementing if and only if M is injective.*

Proof. Suppose that M is a Rad-supplementing module. Let $M \subseteq N$ be any extension of M . Then there is a Rad-supplement V of M in N , that is, $V + M = N$ and $V \cap M \subseteq \text{Rad } V$. Since all R -modules have zero radical by

[19, 3.73 and 3.75], we have $\text{Rad } V = 0$, and so $N = V \oplus M$. Conversely, if M is injective and $M \subseteq N$ is any extension of M , then $N = M \oplus K$ for some submodule $K \subseteq N$. Thus K is a Rad-supplement of M in N . \square

It is known that a ring R is lefty hereditary if and only if every quotient of an injective R -module is injective (see [8, Ch.I, Theorem 5.4]).

Example 2.15. Let $R = \prod_{i \in I} F_i$ be a ring, where each F_i is a field for an infinite index set I . Then R is a commutative Von Neumann regular ring. Indeed, let $a = (a_i)_{i \in I} \in R$ where $a_i \in F_i$ for all $i \in I$. Taking $b = (b_i)_{i \in I} \in R$ where $b_i \in F_i$ such that

$$b_i = \begin{cases} a_i^{-1} & \text{if } a_i \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } a_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then we obtain that

$$aba = (a_i)_I (b_i)_I (a_i)_I = (a_i b_i a_i)_{i \in I} = (a_i)_{i \in I} = a.$$

Now, by Proposition 2.14, R is a Rad-supplementing module over itself since it is injective (see [19, Corollary 3.11B]). Since R is not noetherian, it cannot be semisimple (by [20, Corollary 2.6]). Thus R is not hereditary by [1, Corollary]. Hence, there is a factor module of R which is not injective.

The following technical lemma will be useful to show that Rad-supplementing modules are closed under factor modules, under a special condition.

Lemma 2.16. *Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ be modules with C/A injective. Let N be a module containing B/A . Then there exists a commutative diagram with*

exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B/A \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Proof. By pushout we have the following commutative diagram, where φ exists since C/A is injective:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & B/A & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & N/(B/A) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & \nearrow (1) \varphi & \downarrow g & \dashrightarrow \alpha & \downarrow \text{id} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & C/A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & N' & \longrightarrow & N/(B/A) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

In the diagram, since the triangle-(1) is commutative, there exists a homomorphism $\alpha : N/(B/A) \rightarrow N'$ making the triangle-(2) is commutative by [17, Lemma I.8.4]. So, the second row splits. Then we can take $N' = (C/A) \oplus (N/(B/A))$, and so we may assume that $\beta : C/A \rightarrow N'$ is an inclusion. Therefore, we have the following commutative diagram since $B/A = \beta(B/A) = g(B/A) \subseteq N'$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B/A \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & C \oplus (N/(B/A)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & N' \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where $\gamma(a) = (a, 0)$ for every $a \in A$, $\phi(b) = (b, 0)$ for every $b \in B$, and $\sigma(c, \bar{x}) = (c + A, \bar{x})$ for every $c \in C$ and $\bar{x} \in N/(B/A)$. Finally, taking $P = \sigma^{-1}(g(N))$ and defining a homomorphism $\tilde{\sigma} : P \rightarrow g(N)$ by $\tilde{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x)$ for every $x \in P$ (in fact, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an epimorphism as so is σ), we obtain the following

desired commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B/A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}} & g(N) \cong N & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

□

Proposition 2.17. *Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ with C/A injective. If B is Rad-supplementing, then so is B/A .*

Proof. Let $B/A \subseteq N$ be any extension of B/A . By Lemma 2.16, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows since C/A is injective:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & B/A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow f & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Since h is monic and B is Rad-supplementing, $B \cong \text{Im } h$ has a Rad-supplement in P , say V , that is, $\text{Im } h + V = P$ and $\text{Im } h \cap V \subseteq \text{Rad } V$. We claim that $g(V)$ is a Rad-supplement of B/A in N .

$$N = g(P) = g(h(B)) + g(V) = (f\sigma)(B) + g(V) = (B/A) + g(V), \text{ and}$$

$$(B/A) \cap g(V) = f(\sigma(B)) \cap g(V) = g[h(B) \cap V] \subseteq g(\text{Rad } V) \subseteq \text{Rad}(g(V)).$$

□

Corollary 2.18. *If R is a left hereditary ring, then every factor module of Rad-supplementing module is Rad-supplementing.*

Proposition 2.19. *If M is a reduced, projective and Rad-supplementing module, then $\text{Rad } M \ll M$.*

Proof. Suppose $X + \text{Rad } M = M$ for a submodule X of M . Then since M is projective, there exists $f \in \text{End}(M)$ such that $\text{Im } f \subseteq X$ and $\text{Im}(1 - f) \subseteq \text{Rad } M = JM$ where J is a Jacobson radical of R . Therefore f is a monomorphism by [12, Theorem 3]. Since M is Rad-supplementing and $\text{Im } f \cong M$, $\text{Im } f$ has a Rad-supplement V in M , that is, $\text{Im } f + V = M$ and $\text{Im } f \cap V \subseteq \text{Rad } V$. Now we have an epimorphism $g : V \rightarrow M/\text{Im } f$ such that $\text{Ker } g = V \cap \text{Im } f \subseteq \text{Rad } V$. Moreover, since $M = \text{Im } f + \text{Im}(1 - f) = \text{Im } f + \text{Rad } M$ we have $\text{Rad}(M/\text{Im } f) = M/\text{Im } f$. Thus $\text{Rad } V = V$, and so $V = 0$ since M is reduced. Hence $M = \text{Im } f \subseteq X$ implies that $X = M$ as required. \square

Recall that R is said to be a *semilocal* ring if R/J is a semisimple ring, that is a left (and right) semisimple R -module (see [20, §20]).

Theorem 2.20. *A ring R is left perfect if and only if R is semilocal, ${}_R R$ is reduced and the free left R -module $F = ({}_R R)^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is Rad-supplementing.*

Proof. If R is left perfect, then R is semilocal by [6, 28.4], and clearly ${}_R R$ is reduced. Since all left R -modules are supplemented and so Rad-supplemented, F is Rad-supplementing. Conversely, since $P({}_R R) = 0$ we have $P(F) = (P({}_R R))^{(\mathbb{N})} = 0$, that is, F is reduced. Thus by Proposition 2.19, $JF = \text{Rad } F \ll F$, that is, J is left T -nilpotent by, for example, [6, 28.3]. Hence R is left perfect by [6, 28.4] since it is moreover semilocal. \square

[13] has studied supplementing modules over commutative noetherian

rings, and he showed that If a module M is supplementing, then it is *cotorsion*, that is, $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0$ for every flat module F (see [4] for cotorsion modules). So the question was raised When Rad-supplementing modules are cotorsion? Since any pure-injective module is cotorsion, the following result gives an answer of the question for a semisimple module over a commutative ring. The relation between (Rad-)supplementing modules and cotorsion modules needs to be further investigated.

The part (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of the proof of the following theorem is the same as the proof given in [11, Theorem 1.6-(ii) \Rightarrow (i)], but we give it by explanation for completeness.

Theorem 2.21. *Let R be a commutative ring. Then the following are equivalent for a semisimple R -module M .*

- (i) M is supplementing,
- (ii) M is Rad-supplementing,
- (iii) M is pure-injective,

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is clear. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let $M \subseteq N$ be a pure extension of M . By hypothesis M has a Rad-supplement V in N , that is, $M + V = N$ and $M \cap V \subseteq \text{Rad } V$. Since M is pure in N , we have $\text{Rad } M = M \cap \text{Rad } N$ (as R is commutative). Thus $M \cap V \subseteq M \cap \text{Rad } N = \text{Rad } M = 0$ as M is semisimple. Hence $N = M \oplus V$ as required. (iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $M \subseteq N$ be any extension of M . Then the factor module $X = (M + \text{Rad } N) / \text{Rad } N$ of M is again semisimple and pure-injective. Since semisimple submodules are pure in every module with zero radical and $\text{Rad}(N / \text{Rad } N) = 0$, it follows that

X is a direct summand of $N/\text{Rad } N$. Now let

$$(V/\text{Rad } N) \oplus X = N/\text{Rad } N$$

for a submodule $V \subseteq N$ such that $\text{Rad } N \subseteq V$. So we have $V + M = N$ with V minimal, and thus V is a supplement of M in N . This is because, if $T + M = N$ for a submodule T of N with $T \subseteq V$, then from

$$\text{Rad}(N/T) = \text{Rad}((M + T)/T) = \text{Rad}(M/M \cap T) = 0$$

as $M/M \cap T$ is semisimple, we obtain that $\text{Rad } N \subseteq T$. Moreover, since

$$\text{Rad } N = V \cap (M + \text{Rad } N) = V \cap M + \text{Rad } N,$$

we have $V \cap M \subseteq \text{Rad } N$ and $V = T + V \cap M \subseteq T + \text{Rad } N = T$, thus $T = V$. \square

3 Ample Rad-supplementing modules

The following useful result gives a relation between Rad-supplementing modules and ample Rad-supplementing modules.

Proposition 3.1. *A module M is ample Rad-supplementing if and only if every submodule of M is Rad-supplementing.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Let M be a module and N be any extension of M . Suppose that for a submodule $X \subseteq N$, $X + M = N$. By hypothesis the submodule $X \cap M$ of M has a Rad-supplement V in X containing $X \cap M$, that is, $(X \cap M) + V = X$

and $(X \cap M) \cap V \subseteq \text{Rad } V$. Then $N = M + X = M + (X \cap M) + V = M + V$ and, $M \cap V = M \cap (V \cap X) = (X \cap M) \cap V \subseteq \text{Rad } V$. Hence V is a Rad-supplement of M in N such that $V \subseteq X$.

(\Rightarrow) Let U be a submodule of M and N be any module containing U . Thus we can draw the pushout for the inclusion homomorphisms $i_1 : U \hookrightarrow N$ and $i_2 : U \hookrightarrow M$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & F \\ i_2 \uparrow & & \uparrow \beta \\ U & \xrightarrow{i_1} & N \end{array} .$$

In the diagram, α and β are also monomorphisms by the properties of pushout (see, for example, [15, Exercise 5.10]). Let $M' = \text{Im } \alpha$ and $N' = \text{Im } \beta$. Then $F = M' + N'$ by the properties of pushout. So by hypothesis, M' \cong M has a Rad-supplement V in F such that $V \subseteq N'$, that is, $M' + V = F$ and $M' \cap V \subseteq \text{Rad } V$. Therefore V is a Rad-supplement of $M' \cap N'$ in N' , because $N' = N' \cap F = N' \cap (M' + V) = (M' \cap N') + V$ and $(M' \cap N') \cap V = M' \cap V \subseteq \text{Rad } V$. Now, we claim that $\beta^{-1}(V)$ is a Rad-supplement of U in N . Since $\beta : N \rightarrow F$ is a monomorphism with $N' = \text{Im } \beta$, we have an isomorphism $\tilde{\beta} : N \rightarrow N'$ defined as $\tilde{\beta}(x) = \beta(x)$ for all $x \in N$. By this isomorphism, since V is a Rad-supplement of $M' \cap N'$ in N' , we obtain $\tilde{\beta}^{-1}(V)$ is a Rad-supplement of $\tilde{\beta}^{-1}(M' \cap N')$ in $\tilde{\beta}^{-1}(N')$. Since it can be easily shown that $\tilde{\beta}^{-1}(V) = \beta^{-1}(V)$, $\tilde{\beta}^{-1}(N') = N$, and $\tilde{\beta}^{-1}(M' \cap N') = U$ the result follows. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Every ample Rad-supplementing module is both Rad-supplementing and Rad-supplemented.*

Theorem 3.3. *A ring R is left perfect if and only if ${}_R R$ is reduced and the free left R -module $F = ({}_R R)^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is ample Rad-supplementing.*

Proof. If R is left perfect, then ${}_R R$ is reduced and all left R -modules are supplemented, and so Rad-supplemented. Thus every submodule of F is Rad-supplementing. Hence F is ample Rad-supplementing by Proposition 3.1. Conversely, if F is ample Rad-supplementing, then it is Rad-supplemented by Corollary 3.2, and so R is left perfect by [3, Theorem 5.3]. \square

Finally, we give the characterization of the rings over which every module is (ample) Rad-supplementing.

Theorem 3.4. *For a ring R , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every left R -module is Rad-supplementing;*
- (ii) *Every reduced left R -module is Rad-supplementing;*
- (iii) *Every left R -module is ample Rad-supplementing;*
- (iv) *Every left R -module is Rad-supplemented;*
- (v) *$R/P(R)$ is left perfect.*

Proof. Let M be a module. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is clear. (2) \Rightarrow (1) Since $M/P(M)$ is reduced, it is Rad-supplementing by hypothesis. So M is Rad-supplementing by Corollary 2.9. (1) \Rightarrow (3) Since every submodule of M is Rad-supplementing, M is ample Rad-supplementing by Proposition 3.1. (3) \Rightarrow (4) by Corollary 3.2. (4) \Rightarrow (1) Let $M \subseteq N$ be any extension of M . By hypothesis, N is Rad-supplemented, and so M has a Rad-supplement in N . (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) by [3, Theorem 6.1]. \square

References

- [1] B. L. Osofsky, Rings all of whose finitely generated modules are injective, *Pacific J. Math.* **14** (1964), 645–650.
- [2] E. Büyükaşık and C. Lomp, Rings whose modules are weakly supplemented are perfect: Applications to certain ring extensions, *Math. Scand.* **105**(1) (2009), 25–30.
- [3] E. Büyükaşık, E. Mermut and S. Özdemir, Rad-supplemented modules, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova* **124** (2010), 157–177.
- [4] E.E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra*. vol. 30 of *de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co., 2000.
- [5] E. Mermut, *Homological Approach to Complements and Supplements*, Ph.D. thesis, Dokuz Eylül University, The Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, İzmir-Turkey, 2004.
- [6] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, New-York: Springer, 1992.
- [7] F. Kasch and E. A. Mares, Eine Kennzeichnung semi-perfekter Moduln, *Nagoya Math. J.* **27** (1966), 525–529.

- [8] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics and Physics series, New Jersey: Princeton University, 1956.
- [9] H. Çalışıcı and E. Türkmen, Modules that have a supplement in every cofinite extension, Georgian Mathematical Journal (2012), doi: 10.1515/gmj-2012-0018.
- [10] H. Zöschinger, Komplementierte Moduln über Dedekindringen, J. Algebra **29** (1974a), 42–56.
- [11] H. Zöschinger, Moduln, die in jeder Erweiterung ein Komplement haben, Math. Scand. **35** (1974c), 267–287.
- [12] I. Beck, Projective and free modules, Math. Z. **129** (1972), 231–234.
- [13] J. Averdunk, Moduln mit Ergänzungseigenschaft, Dissertation, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Fakultät für Mathematik, 1996.
- [14] J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja and R. Wisbauer, Lifting modules, Frontiers in Mathematics, Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag, Supplements and projectivity in module theory, 2006.
- [15] J. J. Rotman, An introduction to homological algebra, Universitext, New York: Springer, 2009.
- [16] K. Al-Takhman, C. Lomp, and R. Wisbauer, τ -complemented and τ -supplemented modules, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics **3** (2006), 1–15.

- [17] L. Fuchs and L. Salce, *Modules over non-Noetherian domains*, vol. 84 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [18] R. Alizade, G. Bilhan and P. F. Smith, *Modules whose maximal submodules have supplements*, *Comm. Algebra* **29**(6) (2001), 2389–2405.
- [19] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on modules and rings*, vol. 189 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [20] T. Y. Lam, *A first course in noncommutative rings*, vol. 131 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, New York: Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [21] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*, Reading: Gordon and Breach, 1991.
- [22] W. Xue, *Characterization of semiperfect and perfect rings*, *Publicacions Matematiques*, **40**(1) (1996)., 115–125.
- [23] Y. Wang and N. Ding, *Generalized supplemented modules*, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **10**(6) (2006), 1589–1601.