

Giant gravitons and correlators

Hai Lin¹

*Department of Particle Physics, Facultad de Física,
Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain*

Abstract

We calculate non-extremal correlators of Schur polynomials and single trace operators. We analyse their dual descriptions from the approach of the variation of DBI and WZ actions of the giant gravitons. We show a regularization procedure under which the extremal correlators of Schur polynomials and single trace operators match exactly with string theory computation. Other aspects of the extremal and non-extremal correlators are also discussed.

¹e-mail: hai.lin@usc.es

1 Introduction

In this paper we study correlators for giant gravitons in AdS/CFT [1],[2],[3]. The giant graviton in AdS space is a brane that grows large in AdS or in sphere directions [4],[5],[6]. They can be described by operators constructed by Schur polynomials and labelled by Young diagrams [7], see also related discussion [8],[9]. The giant graviton which grows in sphere directions are described by operators in representation 1^k and those grows in AdS space are described by operators in representation k .

The brane in AdS space couples with background gravitational field via the DBI and WZ action. It is nice to consider correlators between two heavy operators, such as those correspond to giant gravitons, and other light operator corresponding to supergravity field. Recently, [10] and [11] studied these correlators and compared them with the variation of the DBI and WZ action describing their interaction. In particular, [10] analysed variation of the action, and found that there is a disagreement in matching the extremal correlators. In particular, [11] analysed non-extremal correlators and found that a large class of them agree with the gauge theory computation.

In this paper we will discuss the problem of matching the extremal correlators and show a regularization procedure under which the string theory computation and gauge theory computation agrees exactly. We also study other class of non-extremal correlators in both the gauge theory and the string theory perspectives and found agreement.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we study the correlator of two Schur polynomials and one single trace operator, in particular the non-extremal correlators. In section 3.1, we perform detailed analysis of the DBI and WZ variations of [10], and show a regularization procedure that correctly gives the answer that exactly equals the answer from the gauge theory computation. In section 3.2, we analyze non-extremal correlators and show agreements nontrivially with the gauge theory computation. In particular, the k/N dependence are nicely captured in the string theory computation. In section 3.3, we analyse AdS giant. Finally, in section 4, we briefly discuss the results and conclusions.

2 Correlators with giant gravitons in gauge theory

2.1 Schur polynomials and correlators

The Schur Polynomial which corresponds to the giant graviton is defined as [7]

$$\chi_R(Z) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \chi_R(\sigma) Z_{i_{\sigma(1)}}^{i_1} \cdots Z_{i_{\sigma(k-1)}}^{i_{k-1}} Z_{i_{\sigma(k)}}^{i_k}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\langle \chi_R(Z) \chi_T(Z)^\dagger \rangle = \frac{k! \text{Dim}(R)}{d_R} \delta_{R,T} = \prod_{i,j \in R} (N - i + j). \quad (2.2)$$

The Young diagram R labelling the Schur Polynomial that we will consider has k boxes.

We will compute the correlator of two Schur Polynomials $\chi_R, \chi_{R'}$ and another single trace operator of the form

$$:\text{Tr}(Z^{j_1} Z^\dagger Z^{j_2} Z^\dagger \dots Z^{j_l} Z^\dagger): \quad (2.3)$$

where the total number of Z 's is $n = \sum_{i=1}^l j_i$, and there are total number of l Z^\dagger 's. The total $u(1)$ R-charge of the operator is $j = n - l$. We will distinguish the case of non-adjacent Z^\dagger 's, and adjacent Z^\dagger 's, in the computation of correlators of them with Schur polynomials. If j_i is not zero, then the two Z^\dagger 's from the two sides is not adjacent. Otherwise if there is a number j_i that is zero, the two Z^\dagger 's are adjacent, in other words, we have a $(Z^\dagger)^2$. Similarly we have situations where there is $(Z^\dagger)^3, (Z^\dagger)^4, (Z^\dagger)^5$ and so on.

Now let's consider the correlator between two Schur polynomials labelled by $R \vdash k$ and $R^+ \vdash k + n - l$, where $n = j + l$, and the third operator as in (2.3). The limit we focus on here is that $k \sim O(N)$, and $j, l \ll k, N$. In other words, the giant graviton operator has much larger R-charge than the other light operator.

We first consider the situation that there is no adjacent Z^\dagger 's in the trace of (2.3). Those type will be the main terms when $l \ll j$. Consider the Schwinger-Dyson equation

$$0 = \int [dZ dZ^\dagger] \frac{d}{dZ_{ij}} \left((Z^{j+1} Z^\dagger Z)_{ij} \chi_R(Z) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger e^{-\text{Tr}(ZZ^\dagger)} \right) \quad (2.4)$$

which implies that (self contractions are subtracted out)

$$\langle \chi_R(Z) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger : \text{Tr}(Z^j (ZZ^\dagger)^2) : \rangle = \left\langle \left((Z^{j+1} Z^\dagger Z)_{ij} \frac{d}{dZ_{ij}} \chi_R(Z) \right) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger \right\rangle. \quad (2.5)$$

Now consider another Schwinger-Dyson equation

$$0 = \int [dZ dZ^\dagger] \frac{d}{dZ_{ij}} \left((Z^{j+1})_{n_1}^i Z_j^{k_2} \frac{d}{dZ_{n_1}^{k_2}} \chi_R(Z) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger e^{-\text{Tr}(ZZ^\dagger)} \right) \quad (2.6)$$

which then implies that (self contractions are subtracted out)

$$\left\langle \left((Z^{j+1} Z^\dagger Z)_{ij} \frac{d}{dZ_{ij}} \chi_R(Z) \right) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger \right\rangle = \left\langle \left((Z^j Z)_{n_1}^{k_1} \frac{d}{dZ_{n_2}^{k_1}} Z_{n_2}^{k_2} \frac{d}{dZ_{n_1}^{k_2}} \chi_R(Z) \right) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger \right\rangle. \quad (2.7)$$

By using the similar method several times, we have that

$$\langle \chi_R(Z) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger : \text{Tr}(Z^j (ZZ^\dagger)^l) : \rangle = \left\langle \left((Z^j Z)_{n_1}^{k_1} \frac{d}{dZ_{n_2}^{k_1}} Z_{n_2}^{k_2} \frac{d}{dZ_{n_3}^{k_2}} \dots Z_{n_l}^{k_l} \frac{d}{dZ_{n_1}^{k_l}} \chi_R(Z) \right) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger \right\rangle. \quad (2.8)$$

So we will compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& (Z^j Z)_{n_1}^{k_1} \frac{d}{dZ_{n_2}^{k_1}} Z_{n_2}^{k_2} \frac{d}{dZ_{n_3}^{k_2}} \cdots Z_{n_l}^{k_l} \frac{d}{dZ_{n_1}^{k_l}} \chi_R(Z) \\
&= \frac{1}{(k-l)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \chi_R(\sigma) Z_{i_{\sigma(1)}}^{i_1} \cdots Z_{i_{\sigma(k-1)}}^{i_{k-1}} (Z^{j+1})_{i_{\sigma(k)}}^{i_k} \\
&= \frac{1}{(k-l)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \sum_{T \vdash k+j} \chi_R(\sigma) \chi_T(\sigma(k, k+1, \dots, k+j)) \chi_T(Z) \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{k!}{(k-l)! d_R} \sum_{T \vdash k+j} \text{Tr}(P_{T \rightarrow R}(k, k+1, \dots, k+j)) \chi_T(Z), \tag{2.10}$$

where $P_{T \rightarrow R}$ is a projector that projects to R after restricting to the S_k subgroup and is zero if T does not subduce R after restricting to the S_k subgroup. The trace is taken over the carrier space of T . We have also used the fundamental orthogonality relation to sum over σ . We therefore have

$$\langle \chi_R(Z) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger : \text{Tr}(Z^j (Z Z^\dagger)^l) : \rangle = \frac{k!}{(k-l)! d_R} \text{Tr}(P_{R^+ \rightarrow R}(k, k+1, \dots, k+j)) \langle \chi_{R^+}(Z) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger \rangle. \tag{2.11}$$

The above expression is illustrated for the situation when $j_1 = j+1$, and $j_i = 1$ for $i = 2, \dots, l$. Other situations without adjacent Z 's is similar, but with a different projector, and the the final answer is similar to (2.11). In the regime $l \ll k$, we have that $\frac{k!}{(k-l)!} \simeq k^l$. This formula is the same for both representation of (k) and (1^k) .

Now we look at the situation that there are adjacent Z^\dagger 's. For example, for the situation with l adjacent Z^\dagger 's, using the above method, we have that

$$\langle \chi_R(Z) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger : \text{Tr}(Z^{j+l} Z^{\dagger l}) : \rangle = \left\langle \left((Z^{j+l})_i^j \frac{d}{dZ_{n_1}^j} \frac{d}{dZ_{n_2}^{n_1}} \cdots \frac{d}{dZ_{n_{l-1}}^{n_{l-2}}} \frac{d}{dZ_i^{n_{l-1}}} \chi_R(Z) \right) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger \right\rangle, \tag{2.12}$$

and we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned}
& (Z^{j+l})_i^j \frac{d}{dZ_{n_1}^j} \frac{d}{dZ_{n_2}^{n_1}} \cdots \frac{d}{dZ_{n_{l-1}}^{n_{l-2}}} \frac{d}{dZ_i^{n_{l-1}}} \chi_R(Z) \\
&= \frac{1}{(k-l)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \chi_R(\sigma) Z_{i_{\sigma(1)}}^{i_1} \cdots Z_{i_{\sigma(k-1)}}^{i_{k-1}} (Z^{j+l})_{i_{\sigma(k)}}^{i_{k-l+1}} \delta_{i_{\sigma(k-1)}}^{i_k} \delta_{i_{\sigma(k-2)}}^{i_{k-1}} \cdots \delta_{i_{\sigma(k-l+1)}}^{i_{k-l+2}} \\
&= \prod_{\alpha=1}^{l-1} \oplus_{R_\alpha} c_{R_{\alpha-1} R_\alpha} \frac{1}{(k-l)!} \sum_{T \vdash k-j} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k-l+1}} \chi_{R'}(\sigma(k, k-1, k-2, \dots, k-l+1)) \\
& \quad \chi_T(\sigma(k-l+n, k-l+n+1, \dots, k-l+1)) \chi_T(Z) \tag{2.13}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{\alpha=1}^{l-1} \oplus_{R_\alpha} c_{R_{\alpha-1} R_\alpha} \frac{(k-l+1)!}{(k-l)! d_{R'}^2} \sum_{T \vdash k-j} \text{Tr}(P_{R \rightarrow R'}(k, k-1, \dots, k-l+1)) \\
& \quad \text{Tr}(P_{T \rightarrow R'}(k-l+n, k-l+n+1, \dots, k-l+1)) \chi_T(Z). \tag{2.14}
\end{aligned}$$

In the above, $c_{R_{\alpha-1}R_\alpha}$ is the weight of the box that is removed from Young diagram $R_{\alpha-1}$ to obtain Young diagram R_α . We denote $R_0 = R$, and $R_{l-1} = R^+$. In other words, we need to remove $l-1$ boxes, if there is l adjacent Z^\dagger . Similarly, if there is a $(Z^\dagger)^m$, in other words, m adjacent Z^\dagger 's, then one needs to remove $m-1$ boxes from the Young diagram R . This situation is similar to [12]. From (2.14) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_R(Z) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger : \text{Tr}(Z^{j+l} Z^{\dagger l}) : \rangle &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^{l-1} \oplus_{R_\alpha} c_{R_{\alpha-1}R_\alpha} (k-l+1) \frac{1}{d_{R'}^2} \text{Tr}(P_{R \rightarrow R'}(k, k-1, \dots, k-l+1)) \\ &\quad \text{Tr}(P_{T \rightarrow R'}(k-l+n, k-l+n+1, \dots, k-l+1)) \langle \chi_{R^+}(Z) \chi_{R^+}(Z)^\dagger \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The expression (2.14) is general for different representations of (k) or (1^k) . For different representations, the difference is mainly the weights $c_{R_{\alpha-1}R_\alpha}$. For sphere giant,

$$\prod_{\alpha=1}^{l-1} \oplus_{R_\alpha} c_{R_{\alpha-1}R_\alpha} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{l-1} (N-k+\alpha), \quad (2.16)$$

and similarly for AdS giant,

$$\prod_{\alpha=1}^{l-1} \oplus_{R_\alpha} c_{R_{\alpha-1}R_\alpha} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{l-1} (N+k-\alpha). \quad (2.17)$$

In the regime $l \ll k, N$, we have that $\prod_{\alpha=1}^{l-1} (N+k-\alpha)(k-l+1) \simeq k(N+k)^{l-1}$.

For the symmetric representation $R = (k)$ and $R^+ = (k+j) = (k+n-l)$. These irreducible representations are one-dimensional, and the projector is trivial, and group elements are represented by 1. So we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\langle : \text{Tr}(Z^j (ZZ^\dagger)^l) : \chi_{(k)}(Z) \chi_{(k+j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(k+j)}(Z) \chi_{(k+j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(k)}(Z) \chi_{(k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle} \| : \text{Tr}(Z^j (ZZ^\dagger)^l) : \|} \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^l \left(1 + \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \left(\frac{l+1}{j+2l+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where we reinstated the appropriate normalizations.

For the antisymmetric representation, $R = (1^k)$ and $R^+ = (1^{k+j}) = (1^{k+n-l})$. These irreducible representations are one dimensional, and the projector is trivial. There are sign factors due to parity of permutations. The group elements in this representation are $\Gamma(\sigma) = (-1)^{\epsilon(\sigma)}$ where $\epsilon(\sigma)$ is the parity of the permutation, and for the above permutations in (2.10), it gives factors $(-1)^j = (-1)^{n-l}$. Therefore we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\langle : \text{Tr}(Z^j (ZZ^\dagger)^l) : \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle} \| : \text{Tr}(Z^j (ZZ^\dagger)^l) : \|} \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^l \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \left(\frac{l+1}{j+2l+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

The factor $(-1)^{n-l}$ depends on conventions and can be removed. In most of the discussions follows, we will remove this factor, but it can be reinstated easily.

Similarly for the example of adjacent Z^\dagger 's, the answer for symmetric and antisymmetric representations are respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\langle : \text{Tr}(Z^{j+l} Z^\dagger)^l : \chi_{(k)}(Z) \chi_{(k+j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(k+j)}(Z) \chi_{(k+j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(k)}(Z) \chi_{(k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle} \| : \text{Tr}(Z^j (Z Z^\dagger)^l) : \|} \\
&= \frac{k}{N} \left(1 + \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}+l-1} \left(\frac{l+1}{j+2l+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.20}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\langle : \text{Tr}(Z^{j+l} Z^\dagger)^l : \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle} \| : \text{Tr}(Z^j (Z Z^\dagger)^l) : \|} \\
&= \frac{k}{N} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}+l-1} \left(\frac{l+1}{j+2l+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.21}
\end{aligned}$$

where in above notation $l \geq 1$.

Now we consider the situation that there are l_2 adjacent Z^\dagger 's, each of which does not have a Z on the left side; and there are l_1 rest of the Z^\dagger 's, each of which has a Z on the left side. In other words, there are l_1 zero j_i 's, and l_2 non-zero j_i 's in (2.3). The factor we get in this situation is $k^{l_1} (N+k)^{l_2}$. This is because that for each Z^\dagger that has a Z on the left side, they will give a factor k ; while for each Z^\dagger that does not have a Z on the left side, they will give a factor $N+k$ instead. For example, $(Z^\dagger)^m$ will give a factor $k(N+k)^{m-1}$, because there are $m-1$ Z^\dagger 's that does not have a Z on the left side and they involve removing $m-1$ boxes, while the leftmost Z^\dagger gives the factor k . We can denote such operators as O_{j,l_1,l_2} , for example,

$$O_{j,l_1,l_2} = : \text{Tr}(Z^{j+l_2} (Z Z^\dagger)^{l_1} Z^{\dagger l_2}) : \tag{2.22}$$

for $l_1 \geq 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\langle O_{j,l_1,l_2} \chi_{(k)}(Z) \chi_{(k+j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(k+j)}(Z) \chi_{(k+j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(k)}(Z) \chi_{(k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle} \| O_{j,l_1,l_2} \|} \\
&= \left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^{l_1} \left(1 + \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}+l_2} \left(\frac{l+1}{j+2l+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.23}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\langle O_{j,l_1,l_2} \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle} \| O_{j,l_1,l_2} \|} \\
&= \left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^{l_1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}+l_2} \left(\frac{l+1}{j+2l+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.24}
\end{aligned}$$

The extremal correlators have been computed [10], see also related discussion [11],[7]

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\langle \text{Tr}(Z^j) \chi_{(k)}(Z) \chi_{(k+j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(k+j)}(Z) \chi_{(k+j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(k)}(Z) \chi_{(k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \| \text{Tr}(Z^j) \|}} \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}}, \tag{2.25}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\langle \text{Tr}(Z^j) \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \| \text{Tr}(Z^j) \|}} \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \tag{2.26}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have removed sign factors due to conventions.

In these expressions, the answer for sphere giant and AdS giant are related by making the replacement $N - k \rightarrow N + k$. This may also imply that there are similar limit for sphere giant and AdS giant when $\frac{k}{N}$ is fixed but small, which are two alternative ways for the gravitons to blow up into giant gravitons. The limit we will look at is mainly $k \sim O(N)$, with $\frac{k}{N}$ fixed.

2.2 Some comparisons

The correlators with operators for $l = 1$ were analysed in detail in [11]. For the $l = 2$ case, there are two types, which gives different values in the correlator, as explained in the above section. For $:\text{Tr}(Z^{j_1} Z^\dagger Z^{j-j_1+2} Z^\dagger):$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\langle : \text{Tr}(Z^{j_1} Z^\dagger Z^{j-j_1+2} Z^\dagger) : \chi_{(k)}(Z) \chi_{(k+j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(k+j)}(Z) \chi_{(k+j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(k)}(Z) \chi_{(k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \| : \text{Tr}(Z^{j_1} Z^\dagger Z^{j-j_1+2} Z^\dagger) : \|}} \\
&= \left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \left(\frac{l+1}{j+2l+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.27}
\end{aligned}$$

with $1 \leq j_1 \leq j+1$. On the other hand, for $:\text{Tr}(Z^{j+2}(Z^\dagger)^2):$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\langle : \text{Tr}(Z^{j+2}(Z^\dagger)^2) : \chi_{(k)}(Z) \chi_{(k+j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(k+j)}(Z) \chi_{(k+j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(k)}(Z) \chi_{(k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \| : \text{Tr}(Z^{j+2}(Z^\dagger)^2) : \|}} \\
&= \left(\frac{k}{N}\right) \left(1 + \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}+1} \left(\frac{l+1}{j+2l+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.28}
\end{aligned}$$

We can compare the correlators for two Schur polynomials and one trace operators with the correlators for three Schur polynomials. The correlator between three Schur

polynomials when one of them is much smaller than the other two is given by, via [7],

$$\frac{\langle \chi_{(1^j)}(Z) \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^j)}(Z) \chi_{(1^j)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle}} = \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \quad (2.29)$$

where $j \geq 1$, and in the regime $j \ll k$.

Denoting $\text{Tr}(Z^j) = O_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\langle \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) O_{j_1} O_{j_2} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger(Z) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \|O_{j_1}\| \|O_{j_2}\|}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{j_1 j_2}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j_1 + j_2}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

where $j = j_1 + j_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\langle \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) O_{j_1} O_{j_2} \dots O_{j_\alpha} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger(Z) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \prod_i \|O_{j_i}\|}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^\alpha \sqrt{j_i}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^\alpha \frac{j_i}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

where $j = \sum_{i=1}^\alpha j_i$.

Correlators between Schur and single trace operators have also been considered in [21], where various transition processes were explored. See also [19]. If we replace one O_{j_1} with a light Schur polynomial $\chi_{(1^{j_1})}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\langle \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^{j_1})} O_{j_2} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger(Z) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z) \chi_{(1^{k+j})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)}(Z) \chi_{(1^k)}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^{j_1})}(Z) \chi_{(1^{j_1})}(Z)^\dagger \rangle \|O_{j_2}\|}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{j_2}} \left(1 - \frac{j_1}{N}\right)^{\frac{j_2}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j_1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

for $j_2 \ll j_1$, $j = j_1 + j_2$, and $j_1 \ll k$.

3 Correlators with giant gravitons in string theory

3.1 Giant graviton computation

Now let's turn to the discussion from the gravity perspectives. As analysed in [10], and [11], to compute the correlator of the giant graviton with a light supergravity field, we consider the coupling of the supergravity fluctuations to the Euclidean D-brane action

$$S_{D3} = S_{DBI} + S_{WZ} = \frac{N}{2\pi^2} \int d^4\sigma \left(\sqrt{\det(g_{MN} \partial_a X^M \partial_b X^N)} - iP[C_4] \right). \quad (3.1)$$

As analysed in [10], we vary the Euclidean D-brane action, and the DBI part gives the following variation

$$\delta S_{DBI} = N \cos^2 \theta \int dt \left(\frac{2}{\Delta + 1} Y_\Delta (\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2) s^\Delta + 4\Delta \cos^2 \theta Y_\Delta s^\Delta \right), \quad (3.2)$$

and the variation of the WZ part is

$$\delta S_{WZ} = N \cos^2 \theta \int dt \left((-4 \sin \theta \cos \theta \partial_\theta) Y_\Delta s^\Delta \right). \quad (3.3)$$

The total variation of the action is [10]

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta(S_{DBI} + S_{WZ}) \\ &= N \cos^2 \theta \int dt \left(\frac{2}{\Delta + 1} Y_\Delta (\partial_t^2 - \Delta^2) s^\Delta + 4(\Delta \cos^2 \theta - \sin \theta \cos \theta \partial_\theta) Y_\Delta s^\Delta \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Now we can use the expression of the spherical harmonics

$$Y_{\Delta, \Delta} = \frac{\sin^\Delta \theta}{2^{\Delta/2}} e^{i\Delta\phi} \quad (3.5)$$

and replace the field s^Δ with the bulk to boundary propagator

$$s^\Delta = \frac{a_\Delta z^\Delta}{((x - x_B)^2 + z^2)^\Delta} \rightarrow \frac{a_\Delta z^\Delta}{x_B^{2\Delta}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $a_\Delta = \frac{\Delta+1}{2^{2-\frac{\Delta}{2}} N \sqrt{\Delta}}$, and use the Euclidean geodesic $\phi = \phi(t) = t \rightarrow -it$, $z = \frac{R}{\cosh t}$, $x = R \tanh t$. We also use the notation that $\mathcal{R} = R/x_B^2$, $Y_\Delta = \tilde{Y}_\Delta e^{ij\phi}$.

We looked at the integration

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{jt}}{\cosh^{\Delta+2n} t} = 2^{\Delta+2n-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta + j) + n) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta - j) + n)}{\Gamma(\Delta + 2n)}. \quad (3.7)$$

The variation is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_{DBI} &= \frac{a_\Delta R^\Delta}{x_B^{2\Delta}} N \cos^2 \theta \left(4\Delta \cos^2 \theta \tilde{Y}_\Delta \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{jt}}{\cosh^{\Delta+2n} t} \Big|_{n \rightarrow 0} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\Delta \tilde{Y}_\Delta \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{jt}}{\cosh^{\Delta+2} t} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\delta S_{WZ} = \frac{a_\Delta R^\Delta}{x_B^{2\Delta}} N \cos^2 \theta \left(-4(\sin \theta \cos \theta \partial_\theta) \tilde{Y}_\Delta \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{jt}}{\cosh^{\Delta+2n} t} \Big|_{n \rightarrow 0} \right), \quad (3.9)$$

with the total variation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S = & \frac{a_\Delta R^\Delta}{x_B^{2\Delta}} N \cos^2 \theta \left(4(\Delta \cos^2 \theta - \sin \theta \cos \theta \partial_\theta) \tilde{Y}_\Delta \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{jt}}{\cosh^{\Delta+2n} t} \Big|_{n \rightarrow 0} \right. \\ & \left. - 2\Delta \tilde{Y}_\Delta \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{jt}}{\cosh^{\Delta+2} t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

For extremal correlator, for the maximally charged state,

$$- (\sin \theta \cos \theta \partial_\theta) \tilde{Y}_\Delta = -\Delta \cos^2 \theta \tilde{Y}_\Delta, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{jt}}{\cosh^{\Delta+2n} t} \Big|_{n \rightarrow 0} \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.11)$$

The first piece of the integral (3.10) has a form of $0 \cdot \infty$.

We show a regularization procedure, by first calculating nonextremal correlator, and then taking a limit $l \rightarrow 0$. The piece $\Delta \cos^2 \theta \tilde{Y}_\Delta$ and $-\sin \theta \cos \theta \partial_\theta \tilde{Y}_\Delta$ are from the DBI and WZ respectively, and if integrating them separately, one get two divergent terms in (3.8), (3.9). With the regularization procedure, one can see that their cancellation is not complete and there is a finite piece when $l \rightarrow 0$. In this regularization, $4(\Delta \cos^2 \theta - \sin \theta \cos \theta \partial_\theta) \tilde{Y}_\Delta$ has a factor of l , while $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{jt}}{\cosh^{\Delta+2n} t}$ has a factor $\Gamma(l)$.

For the spherical harmonics,

$$Y_{\Delta, \Delta-2l} = \frac{\Gamma(j+l+1) \sqrt{(j+l+1)(l+1)} 2^{-j/2}}{\Gamma(l+2) \Gamma(j+1) \sqrt{j+2l+1} 2^l} \sin^j \theta e^{i(j\phi)} {}_2F_1(-l, j+l+2, j+1; \sin^2 \theta), \quad (3.12)$$

with $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Let's define

$$F_{\Delta, j} = \sin^j \theta {}_2F_1(-l, j+l+2, j+1; \sin^2 \theta), \quad (3.13)$$

in which ${}_2F_1(-l, j+l+2, j+1; \sin^2 \theta)|_{l=0} = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \cos^2 \theta F_{\Delta, j} &= j \cos^2 \theta F_{\Delta, j} + 2l \cos^2 \theta F_{\Delta, j}, \\ -\sin \theta \cos \theta \partial_\theta F_{\Delta, j} &= -j \cos^2 \theta F_{\Delta, j} + 2l \cos^2 \theta \sin^{j+2} \theta \frac{j+l+2}{j+1} {}_2F_1(1-l, j+l+3, j+2; \sin^2 \theta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Those two first terms in (3.8), (3.9) when integrated are divergent when $l = 0$. On the other hand the integral has an overall $\Gamma(l)$ factor:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{jt}}{\cosh^{j+2l} t} = 2^{j+2l-1} \frac{\Gamma(j+l)}{\Gamma(j+2l)} \Gamma(l) \quad (3.15)$$

which is divergent at $l = 0$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \cos^2 \theta (F_{\Delta, j} + \sin^{j+2} \theta \frac{j+l+2}{j+1} {}_2F_1(1-l, j+l+3, j+2; \sin^2 \theta))|_{l \rightarrow 0} \\ &= \frac{\sin^j \theta}{\cos^2 \theta} \frac{1}{j+1} (1 + j \cos^2 \theta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Now if we add the two pieces together, and using $l\Gamma(l)|_{l=0} = 1$ when setting $l = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_\Delta R^\Delta}{x_B^{2\Delta}} N \cos^2 \theta \, 4(\Delta \cos^2 \theta - \sin \theta \cos \theta \partial_\theta) \tilde{Y}_\Delta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{jt}}{\cosh^{j+2t} t} \Big|_{l \rightarrow 0} \\ &= (2\mathcal{R})^\Delta \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \left(1 + \frac{k}{N} j\right) \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where the factor $1 + \frac{k}{N} j$ is from the factor $1 + j \cos^2 \theta$ in (3.16). The two divergent terms therefore do not cancel completely and yield a finite piece.

The other piece in the integral is

$$\frac{a_\Delta R^\Delta}{x_B^{2\Delta}} N \cos^2 \theta (-2\Delta \tilde{Y}_\Delta \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{jt}}{\cosh^{\Delta+2} t}) = -(2\mathcal{R})^\Delta \frac{k}{N} \sqrt{j} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}}. \quad (3.18)$$

Now adding all the pieces together from (3.17),(3.18), we find that the coefficient is

$$-(2\mathcal{R})^\Delta (-1)^{j-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \quad (3.19)$$

where we reinstated the $(-1)^j$ factor from section 2.1 due to conventions. This agrees with gauge theory computation,

$$(-1)^{j-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}}. \quad (3.20)$$

The computation above indicates that the previous mismatch discussed in [10] is mainly due to the subtlety of extremal correlators. There were two possible reasons in understanding the mismatch: One is that [10] interpreted this disagreement as the Schur polynomials' inability to interpolate between giant gravitons and pointlike gravitons; while another is that [11] thought that the problem was related to subtleties in extremal correlators. Here, the above computation shows that the previous discrepancy of the computation between gauge theory and string theory is related to the subtlety of the extremal correlators.

3.2 Sphere giant and comparisons

Let's first consider the sphere giant. Let's consider the general expression of $Y^{\Delta, \Delta-2l}$ in (3.12). For example, for $l = 1$,

$$Y_{\Delta, j} = \frac{\sqrt{2(j+2)}(j+1)2^{-j/2}}{4\sqrt{j+3}} \sin^j \theta e^{i(j\phi)} \left(1 - \frac{j+3}{j+1} \sin^2 \theta\right). \quad (3.21)$$

These situations have been considered in detail in [11], and perfect agreement were found there.

We now calculate the answer for other l . We use the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\cos^2 \theta - \frac{j+l}{j+2l+1}) {}_2F_1(-l, j+l+2, j+1; \sin^2 \theta) \\
& + \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta \frac{j+l+2}{j+1} {}_2F_1(1-l, j+l+3, j+2; \sin^2 \theta) \\
= & \frac{l+1}{j+2l+1} {}_2F_1(1-l, j+l+1, j+1; \sin^2 \theta) = \frac{l+1}{j+2l+1} \cos^{-2} \theta {}_2F_1(-l, j+l, j+1; \sin^2 \theta).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

We then have the expression

$$\delta S = (2\mathcal{R})^\Delta \frac{\Gamma(j+l+1)\Gamma(j+l)\sqrt{(j+l+1)(l+1)}}{\Gamma(j+2l)\Gamma(j+1)\sqrt{(j+2l)(j+2l+1)}} \sin^j \theta {}_2F_1(-l, j+l, j+1; \sin^2 \theta). \tag{3.23}$$

The coefficient is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\langle O_{(j+2l,j)} \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(j+2l,j)}\|} \\
= & \frac{\Gamma(j+l+1)\Gamma(j+l)\sqrt{(j+l+1)(l+1)}}{\Gamma(j+2l)\Gamma(j+1)\sqrt{(j+2l)(j+2l+1)}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} {}_2F_1(-l, j+l, j+1; 1 - \frac{k}{N})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where we have removed the $(-1)^{j-1}$ factors due to conventions.

For $l = 0$, ${}_2F_1(0, j, j+1; \sin^2 \theta) = 1$,

$$\frac{\langle O_{(j,j)} \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(j,j)}\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \tag{3.25}$$

where we have removed a $(-1)^{j-1}$ factor due to conventions. This agrees with the gauge theory computation.

For $l = 1$, ${}_2F_1(-1, j+1, j+1; \sin^2 \theta) = \cos^2 \theta$,

$$\frac{\langle O_{(j+2,j)} \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(j+2,j)}\|} = \frac{k}{N} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \left(\frac{2}{j+3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.26}$$

Those were considered in [11].

For sphere giant, when $\frac{k}{N} \rightarrow 1$, the correlator is very small, with the exception of $j = 0$ case; on the gravity side, this is because of that the giant has to make a change of the R-charge, while $\frac{k}{N} \rightarrow 1$ makes it difficult to do so, so it is suppressed due to R-charge conservation of the process. For non-extremal correlators, with neutral

operators, we see that it is not small even when $1 - \frac{k}{N}$ becomes small, for the special case $j = 0$; on the gravity side, this is because the giant does not need to make a change of the R-charge when it is maximal.

For $l \geq 2$, in the limit $l^2 \ll j$, ${}_2F_1(-l, j+l, j+1; \sin^2 \theta) = \cos^{2l} \theta (1 + o(l^2/j))$ for $l \geq 2$,

$$\frac{\langle O_{(j+2l, j)} \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(j+2l, j)}\|} = \left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^l \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \left(\frac{l+1}{j+2l+1}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} (1 + o(l^2/j)). \quad (3.27)$$

There is simplification in the limit $l^2/j \ll 1$. In this limit the leading order functional dependence on $\frac{k}{N}$ is the same as in (2.19) for the situation without adjacent Z^\dagger 's. This means that in this limit, the main light states are those with non-adjacent Z^\dagger 's. This limit is consistent with the gauge theory computation, and it means that the number of ZZ^\dagger 's is much fewer than the Z 's in the trace.

In the limit $l^2 \ll j$, most of the operators that appears in (2.3) are the operators with non-adjacent Z^\dagger . Therefore this limit extract the term with the largest power of $\frac{k}{N}$, which is $\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^l$, in the polynomial given by the hypergeometric function, giving the $\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^l \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}}$. Other terms with smaller powers of $\frac{k}{N}$ are from the operators with adjacent Z^\dagger 's. In the expansion of the hypergeometric function, the terms of order $o(l^2/j)^\alpha$, has the form $\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^{l-\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}+\alpha}$. We think that those terms with $o(l^2/j)^\alpha$ are from the operators with non-adjacent Z^\dagger , in which the number of zero j_i 's in the expression (2.3) is α . If there is α zero j_i 's, the number of such terms goes as $\binom{j+l-\alpha-1}{\alpha}$, while the number of terms with no adjacent Z^\dagger 's goes as $\binom{j+l-1}{j}$. The ratio of their numbers approach the coefficients in the expansion of the hypergeometric function

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} {}_2F_1\left(-l, j+l, j+1; 1 - \frac{k}{N}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{l-1} \frac{(-l)_\alpha (1-l)_\alpha}{\alpha! (j+1)_\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^{l-\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}+\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where in the $l^2 \ll j$ limit, $r_\alpha = \frac{(-l)_\alpha (1-l)_\alpha}{\alpha! (j+1)_\alpha} \sim \binom{j+l-\alpha-1}{\alpha} \binom{l}{\alpha} \binom{j+l-1}{j}^{-1} \sim o(l^2/j)^\alpha$.

We see that the $l=0, l=1$ cases all agree. The operators are

$$O_{(j, j)} = \text{Tr}(Z^j) \quad (3.29)$$

$$O_{(j+2, j)} = : \text{Tr}(Z^{j+1} Z^\dagger) : . \quad (3.30)$$

For $l \geq 2$, the correlators have different values, according to how many non-adjacent Z^\dagger 's are, see discussions of (2.24). The result in the small l^2/j limit is the limit where most of the terms are those with non-adjacent Z^\dagger .

For $l = 2$,

$$\frac{\langle O_{(j+4,j)} \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(j+4,j)}\|} = \frac{\sqrt{3(j+3)}}{\sqrt{(j+4)(j+5)}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \frac{k}{N} \left(\frac{k}{N} - \frac{2}{j+3}\right). \quad (3.31)$$

This implies the combination from the gauge theory computation

$$O_{(j+4,j)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{j+1} : \text{Tr}(Z^{j_1} Z^\dagger Z^{j+2-j_1} Z^\dagger) : + : \text{Tr}(Z^{j+2} Z^\dagger Z^\dagger) :. \quad (3.32)$$

The factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ is because that the operator with j_1 and $j_1 \rightarrow j+2-j_1$ is the same by cyclicity. Now we see that there are two different type of terms, one with Z^\dagger 's non-adjacent, and one with Z^\dagger 's adjacent. As in section 2.1, the $\frac{k}{N}$ dependence of these two types in the correlators are different, one with $\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}}$ and another with $-\frac{k}{N} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right) \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}}$. Note that there are $j+1$ terms of the first type with no adjacent Z^\dagger , in the summation in the first part of (3.32), so the normalized answer is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\langle O_{(j+4,j)} \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^{k+j})} \chi_{(1^{k+j})}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(j+4,j)}\|} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{3}(j+1)}{\sqrt{(j+3)(j+4)(j+5)}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \left(\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^2 - \frac{2}{j+1} \frac{k}{N} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

The two types of terms in (3.32) gives the two terms in (3.33), and are distinguishable.

We can study an opposite limit. Let's study the $j = 0$ limit.

$$\frac{\langle O_{(2l,0)} \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(2l,0)}\|} = \frac{\Gamma(l)\Gamma(l+2)}{\Gamma(2l)\sqrt{2l(2l+1)}} {}_2F_1\left(-l, l, 1; 1 - \frac{k}{N}\right). \quad (3.34)$$

For $l = 2$, it is

$$\frac{\langle O_{(4,0)} \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(1^k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(4,0)}\|} = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{5}} \frac{k}{N} \left(\frac{k}{N} - \frac{2}{3}\right). \quad (3.35)$$

This can be evaluated using the method in [13], and [11], via the integration, and for the sphere giant computation, for $j = 0$, it is

$$\langle O^{(4,0)} \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{N e^{-Nr^2} (Nr^2)^{\frac{-2k-2+2N}{2}}}{\sqrt{5} \sqrt{(N-k-1)!(N-k-1)!}} (3r^4 - 4r^2 + 1) r dr = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{5}} \left(\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^2 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{k}{N} \right) \quad (3.36)$$

where we rescaled πa^2 factors. This is for the (1^k) representation. The analysis of $\langle O^{(4,2)} \rangle$, $\langle O^{(3,1)} \rangle$, $\langle O^{(2,0)} \rangle$ has been performed in [11], in agreement with both the field theory result and the anatomy of [13], and they are both consistent with [20].

3.3 AdS giant and comparisons

In the case of AdS giant, in this particular situation $\theta = \pi/2$. The variations have been analysed in detail [10]. The possibility of arbitrary $Y_{\Delta,j}$ are considered in [11]. The expression with the t integral and summation of the series is [11],[10]

$$\delta S = -\frac{2^{\frac{\Delta}{2}-1}\sqrt{\Delta}}{\Gamma(\Delta+1)}\frac{\mathcal{R}^\Delta}{\cosh^\Delta \rho}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^{2n}}\frac{\Gamma(\Delta+2n+2)}{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n+1)}\tanh^{2n+2}\rho\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dt\frac{Y_{\Delta,j}}{\cosh^{\Delta+2+2n}t}. \quad (3.37)$$

We have included also $l \geq 2$ cases, as well as general j for $l = 1$ cases.

Performing the summation in (3.37), the expression is

$$\delta S = -(2\mathcal{R})^\Delta\frac{\Gamma(j+l+1)\sqrt{(j+l+1)(l+1)}}{\Gamma(j+2l)\sqrt{(j+2l)(j+2l+1)}}(1-x)^{\frac{j}{2}+l}d_x^l\left(\frac{x^{l+1}}{l+1}{}_2F_1(l+1, j+l+1, l+2; x) + \frac{1}{j}\right) \quad (3.38)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\langle O_{(j+2l,j)}\chi_{(k)}\chi_{(k+j)}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(k+j)}\chi_{(k+j)}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(k)}\chi_{(k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(j+2l,j)}\|} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(j+l+1)\sqrt{(j+l+1)(l+1)}}{\Gamma(j+2l)\sqrt{(j+2l)(j+2l+1)}}(1-x)^{\frac{j}{2}+l}d_x^l\left(\frac{x^{l+1}}{l+1}{}_2F_1(l+1, j+l+1, l+2; x) + \frac{1}{j}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

where $x = \frac{k}{N+k} = \tanh^2 \rho$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Gamma(j+l+1)\sqrt{(j+l+1)(l+1)}}{\Gamma(j+2l)\sqrt{(j+2l)(j+2l+1)}}(1-x)^{\frac{j}{2}+l}d_x^l\left(\frac{x^{l+1}}{l+1}{}_2F_1(l+1, j+l+1, l+2; x) + \frac{1}{j}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}}(1-x)^{-\frac{j}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

By first computing the answer for general l and then taking the limit $l \rightarrow 0$, the answer calculated in this way agrees with the gauge theory computation.

For $l = 0$, $x {}_2F_1(1, j+1, 2; x) + \frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{j}(1-x)^{-j}$, $\delta S = -(2\mathcal{R})^\Delta \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}}(1-x)^{-\frac{j}{2}}$,

$$\frac{\langle O_{(j,j)}\chi_{(k)}\chi_{(k+j)}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(k+j)}\chi_{(k+j)}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(k)}\chi_{(k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(j,j)}\|} = \left(1 + \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}}. \quad (3.41)$$

For $l = 1$,

$$\frac{\langle O_{(j+2,j)}\chi_{(k)}\chi_{(k+j)}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(k+j)}\chi_{(k+j)}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(k)}\chi_{(k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(j+2,j)}\|} = \frac{k}{N} \left(1 + \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \left(\frac{2}{j+3}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}}, \quad (3.42)$$

which were analysed in detail in [11].

For $l \geq 2$, in the limit $l^2 \ll j$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-x)^{\frac{j}{2}+l} d_x^l \left(\frac{x^{l+1}}{l+1} {}_2F_1(l+1, j+l+1, l+2; x) + \frac{1}{j} \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(j+2l)}{\Gamma(j+l+1)} \frac{x^l}{(1-x)^{\frac{j}{2}+l}} (1 + o(l^2/j)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

So in the limit $l^2 \ll j$,

$$\frac{\langle O_{(j+2l,j)} \chi_{(k)} \chi_{(k+j)}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(k+j)} \chi_{(k+j)}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(k)} \chi_{(k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(j+2l,j)}\|} = \left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^l \left(1 + \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \left(\frac{l+1}{j+2l+1}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} (1 + o(l^2/j)). \quad (3.44)$$

Note that for $l \geq 2$, $o(l^2/j)$ is no smaller than $o(l/j)$. The leading order expression (3.44) is the approximation when $l^2 \ll j$. This is in agreement with (2.18), and see related discussion in section 3.2.

For $l = 2$,

$$\frac{\langle O_{(j+4,j)} \chi_{(k)} \chi_{(k+j)}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(k+j)} \chi_{(k+j)}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(k)} \chi_{(k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(j+4,j)}\|} = \frac{\sqrt{3(j+3)}}{\sqrt{(j+4)(j+5)}} \left(1 + \frac{k}{N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \frac{k}{N} \left(\frac{k}{N} + \frac{2}{j+3}\right). \quad (3.45)$$

This again agrees with the discussion in section 3.2.

We can also study the $j = 0$ limit. For $l = 2$, it is

$$\frac{\langle O_{(4,0)} \chi_{(k)} \chi_{(k)}^\dagger \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \chi_{(k)} \chi_{(k)}^\dagger \rangle \langle \chi_{(k)} \chi_{(k)}^\dagger \rangle} \|O_{(4,0)}\|} = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{5}} \frac{k}{N} \left(\frac{k}{N} + \frac{2}{3}\right). \quad (3.46)$$

Again using the analysis [13], and [11],

$$\langle O^{(4,0)} \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{N e^{-Nr^2} (Nr^2)^{\frac{2k-2+2N}{2}}}{\sqrt{5} \sqrt{(N+k-1)!(N+k-1)!}} (3r^4 - 4r^2 + 1) r dr = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{5}} \left(\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^2 + \frac{2}{3} \frac{k}{N} \right) \quad (3.47)$$

which is for the (k) representation, and with $j = 0$. Situations are quite similar to section 3.2.

4 Discussions

We studied the correlators between two heavy operators of the Schur polynomial type and one light operator, from the gauge theory point of view. Both non-extremal correlators and extremal correlators are studied.

In the situation of the extremal correlator, we showed a regularization procedure in which the string theory computation matched the gauge theory computation exactly.

We first calculate the expression for small l and then extends it to $l = 0$. In the $l \rightarrow 0$ limit, both the DBI and WZ variation have a property that they contain divergent terms, and their cancellation is not completely zero. The regularization procedure gives a finite answer, which agrees with the gauge theory computation exactly.

We also studied non-extremal correlators with $l = 1$, but with general R-charge j , and they also agree with the gauge theory computation for general R-charge.

We analysed the non-extremal correlators with general l , including $l \geq 2$. In the $l^2 \ll j$ limit, the gravity answers are simplified there. We also showed that in an opposite limit, $j = 0$ limit, with $l = 2$ example, the correlator also agrees, with both the gauge theory computation and another method using anatomy of [13]. In particular, nontrivial k/N dependence is nicely captured by the gravity computations.

From the gravity point of view, there are certain similarities with the computation for the case of string worldsheet and supergravity field, see for example [14]-[18]. There might be connections between these approaches.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by Xunta de Galicia (Conselleria de Educacion and grants PGIDIT10PXIB 206075PR and INCITE09 206121PR), by the Spanish Consolider-Ingenio 2010 Programme CPAN (CSD2007-00042), by the Juan de la Cierva of MICINN, by the ME, MICINN and Feder (grant FPA2011-22594), by the UNIFY Programme on Frontiers in Theoretical Physics, and the Seventh Framework Programme (grant n°269217). We also would like to thank Caltech, and Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, for hospitalities.

References

- [1] J. M. Maldacena, “The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 231-252 (1998) [hep-th/9711200].
- [2] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory,” *Phys. Lett.* **B428**, 105-114 (1998) [hep-th/9802109].
- [3] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 253-291 (1998) [hep-th/9802150].
- [4] J. McGreevy, L. Susskind and N. Toumbas, “Invasion of the giant gravitons from Anti-de Sitter space,” *JHEP* **0006** (2000) 008 [hep-th/0003075].
- [5] M. T. Grisaru, R. C. Myers and O. Tafjord, “SUSY and goliath,” *JHEP* **0008** (2000) 040 [hep-th/0008015].
- [6] A. Hashimoto, S. Hirano and N. Iizuka, “Large branes in AdS and their field theory dual,” *JHEP* **0008**, 051 (2000) [hep-th/0008016].

- [7] S. Corley, A. Jevicki and S. Ramgoolam, “Exact correlators of giant gravitons from dual $N = 4$ SYM theory,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **5**, 809 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0111222].
- [8] V. Balasubramanian, M. Berkooz, A. Naqvi and M. J. Strassler, “Giant gravitons in conformal field theory,” *JHEP* **0204**, 034 (2002) [hep-th/0107119].
- [9] D. Berenstein, “A toy model for the AdS/CFT correspondence,” *JHEP* **0407**, 018 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0403110].
- [10] A. Bissi, C. Kristjansen, D. Young and K. Zoubos, “Holographic three-point functions of giant gravitons,” *JHEP* **1106**, 085 (2011) [arXiv:1103.4079 [hep-th]].
- [11] P. Caputa, R. d. M. Koch and K. Zoubos, “Extremal versus Non-Extremal Correlators with Giant Gravitons,” *JHEP* **1208**, 143 (2012) [arXiv:1204.4172 [hep-th]].
- [12] R. de Mello Koch, N. Ives and M. Stephanou, “Correlators in Nontrivial Backgrounds,” *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 026004 (2009) [arXiv:0810.4041 [hep-th]].
- [13] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, “Anatomy of bubbling solutions,” *JHEP* **0709**, 019 (2007) [arXiv:0706.0216 [hep-th]].
- [14] K. Zarembo, “Holographic three-point functions of semiclassical states,” *JHEP* **1009**, 030 (2010) [arXiv:1008.1059 [hep-th]].
- [15] M. S. Costa, R. Monteiro, J. E. Santos and D. Zoakos, “On three-point correlation functions in the gauge/gravity duality,” *JHEP* **1011**, 141 (2010) [arXiv:1008.1070 [hep-th]].
- [16] R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, “On semiclassical computation of 3-point functions of closed string vertex operators in $AdS_5 \times S^5$,” *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 106011 (2010) [arXiv:1008.4921 [hep-th]].
- [17] E. I. Buchbinder and A. A. Tseytlin, “Semiclassical four-point functions in $AdS_5 \times S^5$,” *JHEP* **1102**, 072 (2011) [arXiv:1012.3740 [hep-th]].
- [18] D. Bak, B. Chen and J. -B. Wu, “Holographic Correlation Functions for Open Strings and Branes,” *JHEP* **1106**, 014 (2011) [arXiv:1103.2024 [hep-th]].
- [19] A. Dhar, G. Mandal and M. Smedback, “From gravitons to giants,” *JHEP* **0603**, 031 (2006) [hep-th/0512312].
- [20] H. Lin, O. Lunin and J. M. Maldacena, “Bubbling AdS space and 1/2 BPS geometries,” *JHEP* **0410**, 025 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0409174].
- [21] T. W. Brown, R. de Mello Koch, S. Ramgoolam and N. Toumbas, “Correlators, Probabilities and Topologies in $N=4$ SYM,” *JHEP* **0703**, 072 (2007) [hep-th/0611290].