

Unified Dark Energy–Dark Matter model with Inverse Quintessence

Stefano Ansoldi^{1,2,3} and Eduardo I. Guendelman⁴

¹ICRA, International Center for Relativistic Astrophysics

²INFN, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

³Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Udine, via delle Scienze 206, I-33100 Udine (UD), Italy

⁴Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel

E-mail: ansoldi@fulbrightmail.org, guendel@bgu.ac.il

Abstract. We consider a model where both dark energy and dark matter originate from the coupling of a scalar field with a non-conventional kinetic term to, both, a metric measure and a non-metric measure. An interacting dark energy/dark matter scenario can be obtained by introducing an additional scalar that can produce non constant vacuum energy and associated variations in dark matter. The phenomenology is most interesting when the kinetic term of the additional scalar field is ghost-type, since in this case the dark energy vanishes in the early universe and then grows with time. This constitutes an “inverse quintessence scenario”, where the universe starts from a zero vacuum energy density state, instead of approaching it in the future.

1. Introduction

The unification of dark matter (DM, for recent reviews see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4]) and dark energy (DE, see, for instance, [5, 6, 7]) using a scalar field ϕ with a non-conventional kinetic term of the form[‡] $\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi)}$ was considered in Ref. [8]. These types of kinetic terms appear, for example, for the tachyon in string theory. In Ref. [8] also an arbitrary potential $V(\phi)$ was considered and an action of the *two measures type* [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]

$$S[g, \varphi^i, \phi] = \int \mathcal{L}_1 \sqrt{-g} d^4x + \int \mathcal{L}_2 \Phi d^4x \quad (1)$$

was analyzed. In the particular case at hand, defined by the specific choice of Ref. [8], we take \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 as

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{1}{\kappa}R + X, \quad \mathcal{L}_2 = X, \quad \kappa = (16\pi G)^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$X = V(\phi) \frac{\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi)}}{\sqrt{-g}} \quad (3)$$

and the *density* Φ is defined by

$$\Phi = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{ijkl} \partial_\mu\varphi^i \partial_\nu\varphi^j \partial_\rho\varphi^k \partial_\sigma\varphi^l, \quad (4)$$

in which φ^i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are 4-scalar fields.

Here we take \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 to be φ^i independent. The action S can then be written as

$$S[g, \varphi^i, \phi] = - \int \frac{R}{\kappa} \sqrt{-g} d^4x + \int V(\phi) \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi)} d^4x + \int X \Phi d^4x, \quad (5)$$

from which it is readily seen that, apart from the new Φ -dependent term, it coincides with the tachyon model [21, 22, 23]. A similar type of non metric structure like Φ was used in [17, 18, 19] to study supergravity models.

The introduction of the new measure Φ provides new possibilities to realize scale invariance, since Φ and $\sqrt{-g}$ could transform differently under scale transformations [12, 13]; in addition one may use such models to construct brane-world scenarios where naturally no four dimensional cosmological constant is generated and only the extra

[‡] The following conventions apply: Greek indices are used to denote the components of tensors with respect to a given choice of spacetime coordinates (e.g., $g_{\mu\nu}$, $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, are the components of the metric tensor, which is taken with signature $(-, +, +, +)$, and has determinant g); Latin indices i, j, k, l, \dots , take the values 1, 2, 3, 4 in the main text and label a set of four scalar fields, defined hereafter; in the appendix the range of variation of these kind of indices is 1, 2 when dealing with the string case and 1, \dots , p when discussing the p -brane case. R is the Ricci scalar, considered in the standard general relativistic framework as a function of the metric and its derivatives. The alternating symbol, in a number of dimensions equal to the number of indices of the symbol, is always represented by ϵ (for instance, $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is a quantity that vanishes if at least two indices take the same value, is +1 if the indices are an even permutation of (0, 1, 2, 3) and is -1 if the indices are an odd permutation of (0 1 2 3)). G is Newton gravitational constant, and units are chosen so that the speed of light is equal to one.

dimensions get curved [16]. Non singular “emergent type” cosmologies are also possible for theories of this type [17, 18, 19].

2. A unified non interacting DE/DM model

We now briefly review the model defined by eqs. (1)–(4). The variation of the action with respect to the measure fields φ^i leads to the equations of motion

$$A_i^\mu \partial_\mu \mathcal{L}_2 = 0, \quad (6)$$

where

$$A_i^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{ijkl} \partial_\nu \varphi^j \partial_\rho \varphi^k \partial_\sigma \varphi^l; \quad (7)$$

since $\det(A_i^\mu)$ is, up to a constant, equal to Φ^3 , for non degenerate measures, i.e. $\Phi \neq 0$, we have that (6) and (7) imply

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = M, \quad (8)$$

with M constant. For the case of eqs. (2) and (3), in a cosmological setting and with a choice of coordinates such that $g_{0a} = 0$, $a = 1, 2, 3$, $g_{00} < 0$ and $\phi = \phi(t)$, the result (8) implies

$$X = V(\phi) \sqrt{1 + \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{g_{00}}} = M = \text{const.}$$

After squaring the above relation under the condition $\text{sgn}(MV(\phi)) > 0$, we can recast it into a form that looks like an energy balance equation,

$$-\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{g_{00}} + \frac{M^2}{V^2(\phi)} = 1;$$

indeed, since we have $g_{00} < 0$, the first term on the left hand side looks like a standard (i.e. positive definite) kinetic energy term, while an effective potential (that behaves as the squared inverse of the potential $V(\phi)$) can be read out of the following term and can be stable even if the original $V(\phi)$ is unstable.

We can, then, consider the variation of the action (5) with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$, which gives, with standard notation,

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = T_{\mu\nu}^\Phi + T_{\mu\nu}^\phi,$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}^\Phi$ is the contribution to the stress-energy tensor coming from the new measure and $T_{\mu\nu}^\phi$ are the usual terms coming from the standard tachyon action. In the framework of a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker cosmological scenario, we specialize the metric to the form

$$ds^2 = g_{00} dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\eta^2) \right].$$

Again (t, r, θ, η) is the standard§ choice for the coordinates commonly used in the literature, where t is the cosmic time, $k = -1, 0, +1$ represents the constant curvature of the spatial sections $t = \text{const.}$, and $a(t)$ is the scale factor. The first contribution on the right hand side of the equations obtained from the variation with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ is different from zero only for $\mu = \nu = 0$. Then the only non vanishing component of $T_{\mu\nu}^\Phi$ can be written as $T_{00}^\Phi = g_{00}\rho_\Phi$, where

$$\rho_\Phi = \frac{\Phi V^2(\phi)}{M\sqrt{-g}} \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{-g_{00}} \right). \quad (9)$$

The non vanishing components of the stress-energy tensor contribution from ϕ are instead $T_{00}^\phi = g_{00}\rho_\phi$ and $T_{ij}^\phi = -g_{ij}p_\phi$, where

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{V^2(\phi)}{M}, \quad \text{and} \quad p_\phi = -M.$$

Correspondingly, the total energy density and pressure are given by

$$\rho_{\text{total}} = \frac{\Phi V^2(\phi)}{M\sqrt{-g}} \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{-g_{00}} \right) + \frac{V^2(\phi)}{M} \quad \text{and} \quad p_{\text{total}} = -M.$$

The total pressure has a rather immediate expression, but to find the total energy density explicitly, we must solve for Φ first. We are going to do this in the gauge $g_{00} = -1$, using then the field equations resulting from the action

$$S[g, \varphi^i, \phi] = \int d^4x \left[\frac{\sqrt{-g}R}{16\pi G} + \Phi X + \sqrt{-g}V(\phi)\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} \right],$$

which, in view of the relation $d/(dt) = \dot{\phi}d/(d\phi)$ and defining $\psi = \Phi + \sqrt{-g}$, can be recast into the form

$$\frac{d}{d\phi} \left(\frac{\psi V^2}{M} \sqrt{1 - \frac{M^2}{V^2}} \right) = - \left(\frac{dV}{d\phi} \right) \frac{\psi M}{V} \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{V^2} \right)^{-1/2}.$$

The above equation can be simplified into

$$\int \frac{d\psi}{\psi} = -2 \int \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{V^2} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{dV}{V},$$

so that

$$\psi = \frac{C(r, \theta)}{V^2 - M^2}$$

or, going back to Φ ,

$$\Phi = \frac{C(r, \theta)}{V^2 - M^2} - \sqrt{-g}. \quad (10)$$

The undetermined function $C(r, \theta)$, which is *time independent*, can be fixed as $C(r, \theta) = Kr^2 \sin \theta (1 - kr^2)^{-1/2}$, where K is a constant. This choice for $C(r, \theta)$ leads to an energy density that is independent of the spatial coordinates, as the dependence of

§ The name for the azimuthal angle η is *not standard*, but we are already using the standard choice to denote the scalar field with non-standard kinetic term; in any case, the azimuthal angle will play no role in the following and will not appear anywhere else.

$\sqrt{-g}$ from them is exactly cancelled by the dependence of $C(r, \theta)$. Substituting for Φ in the expression for the total energy density, we recognize two additive contributions, a constant one (typical of a cosmological constant term) and an a^{-3} dependent one, typical of dust. Indeed

$$\rho_{\text{total}} = M + \frac{K}{Ma^3}.$$

3. Unified dynamical DE and DM

As we have seen in the previous section the constant of integration M serves as a “floating” vacuum energy or “floating” DE, since M is an undetermined constant.

We now would like to promote this DE to a dynamical variable. In this respect, we should recall the analogous situation when formulating string and brane theories with a dynamical tension, but extending the study to the case in which there is a non metric measure Φ in the world-sheet of the brane or string [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. The relevant background is detailed in appendix Appendix A. Here we, instead, proceed to generalize those ideas developed for string and brane tensions, and apply them to DE, that will then become dynamical. Moreover, since DE and DM will be studied in a unified scheme, the DM will also turn out to be dynamical. For this we introduce, as we did in the case of strings and branes, a new scalar field ϑ and consider the full action, involving ϕ , $g_{\mu\nu}$, φ^i (through the measure Φ) and, now, ϑ , with the following form:

$$S[g, \varphi^i, \phi, \vartheta] = \int \mathcal{L}_1 \sqrt{-g} d^4x + \int \mathcal{L}_2 \Phi d^4x,$$

where now

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{1}{\kappa} R + X + \mathcal{L}_{(\vartheta)}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = X + f\vartheta, \quad \text{where } f \text{ is some coupling constant.}$$

As before, we still have

- i) X defined by (3) and
- ii) Φ being the non metric measure given by (4).

Our choice for $\mathcal{L}_{(\vartheta)}$ will be, instead, discussed later on. Now, unlike the case when the scalar field ϑ was absent, the presence of a non trivial $V(\phi)$ makes the problem untractable analytically in full generality. For this reason, in this paper, we will start concentrating on the case in which $V(\phi) = V$ is a real constant. Under this condition, the equation for ϕ simplifies considerably, so that it allows an exact solution. Even in this simplified scheme, the non trivial DE and DM dynamics is quite interesting as we will see.

To see this we start with the equation obtained from the variation of the φ^i scalars, namely

$$A_i^\mu \partial_\mu (X + f\vartheta) = 0,$$

which can be solved to get, for non-degenerate Φ ,

$$X + f\vartheta = M,$$

where again M is a constant. In a cosmological scenario, choosing coordinates as above, having then again the components of the metric such that $g_{0a} = 0$, and with $\phi = \phi(t)$, $\vartheta = \vartheta(t)$, we obtain

$$X = V \sqrt{1 + \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{g_{00}}} = M - f\vartheta$$

that gives

$$\frac{(M - f\vartheta)^2}{V^2} - \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{g_{00}} = 1.$$

In the gauge $g_{00} = -1$, we can rewrite the above as

$$\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2} = \frac{M - f\vartheta}{V}, \quad \text{from which} \quad \dot{\phi} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{(M - f\vartheta)^2}{V^2}}. \quad (11)$$

For $V = \text{const.}$, the equation of motion for ϕ simplifies to^{||}

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{V\Phi\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2}} + \frac{V\sqrt{-g}\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2}} \right) = 0.$$

Defining $\psi = \Phi + \sqrt{-g}$, from the equation just written we obtain[¶]

$$\frac{\psi\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2}} = C(r, \theta),$$

where $C(r, \theta)$ is a time independent function of integration. Solving for ψ and thanks to (11) we can find

$$\psi = \frac{(M - f\vartheta)C(r, \theta)}{V\sqrt{1 - (M - f\vartheta)^2/V^2}},$$

or, equivalently,

$$\Phi = -\sqrt{-g} + \frac{(M - f\vartheta)C(r, \theta)}{V\sqrt{1 - (M - f\vartheta)^2/V^2}}.$$

Since the new term $\vartheta\Phi$ in the action is $g_{\mu\nu}$ independent, the expression for ρ_Φ is still given by (9). On the other hand, in the total energy density, ρ_{total} , we have to add a contribution from ϑ : we will temporarily denote this contribution ρ_ϑ , waiting for the following discussion about $\mathcal{L}_{(\vartheta)}$ before giving a more precise characterization. Then

$$\rho_{\text{total}} = \left\{ -\sqrt{-g} + \frac{(M - f\vartheta)C(r, \theta)}{V\sqrt{1 - (M - f\vartheta)^2/V^2}} \right\} \times$$

^{||} We also set $g_{00} = -1$ as before.

[¶] Please, note that the arbitrary function $C(r, \theta)$ below, depends from r and from the polar angle θ , i.e. the coordinate θ should not be confused with the additional field ϑ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \frac{V^2}{(M - f\vartheta)\sqrt{-g}} \times \left(1 - \frac{(Mf\vartheta)^2}{V^2}\right) + \frac{V^2}{(M - f\vartheta)\sqrt{-g}} + \rho_\vartheta \\ & = (M - f\vartheta) + \frac{C(r, \vartheta)}{\sqrt{-g}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{(M - f\vartheta)^2}{V^2}} + \rho_\vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

Correspondingly the pressure can be determined as,

$$p_{\text{total}} = -(M - f\vartheta) + \rho_\vartheta.$$

Notice that, even if ϑ did not have a potential originally, such a term is effectively generated by the $(M - f\vartheta)$ term and by the $(M - f\vartheta)^2$ term inside of the square root that appear in the expression for ρ_{total} . With this in mind, in what follows we will take ρ_ϑ as being simply a kinetic term. We will now discuss, in more detail, the shape of this effective potential.

4. Effective potential for the ϑ field and inverse quintessence for ghost ϑ

Once again, for the cosmological case we take $C(r, \vartheta)$ so that ρ_{total} is homogeneous, which requires (for FRW spacetimes)

$$C(r, \vartheta) = \frac{K \sin \theta}{\sqrt{1 - kr^2}}$$

(K being again a positive constant); this produces an energy density

$$\rho_{\text{total}} = \rho_\vartheta - V_{\text{eff.}},$$

where the effective potential is

$$V_{\text{eff.}} = -(M - f\vartheta) - \frac{K}{a^3} \sqrt{1 - \frac{(M - f\vartheta)^2}{V^2}} \quad (12)$$

and the choice for sign in front of $V_{\text{eff.}}$ will become clear after the following discussion. Indeed, in this way we see that even if ϑ does not originally have a potential, one is dynamically generated anyway. For ρ_ϑ we choose just a kinetic energy density, $\rho_\vartheta = \pm \dot{\vartheta}^2/2$, and the minus sign means that we are dealing with a ghost field. Only for the ghost choice the sign of the effective potential in (12) is the appropriate one. It is the ghost choice, which is, in fact, more interesting, since then the effective potential (12) for ϑ has a minimum for each value of a .

As in the case studied in [31], where an effective potential for both DE and DM depends on some scalar and is minimized, we also follow this procedure.

For the regular choice $\rho_\vartheta = \dot{\vartheta}^2/2$, $V_{\text{eff.}}$ has the opposite sign as compared to the ghost choice (12), which corresponds to a maximum, not a minimum, as $a \rightarrow \infty$. Coming back to the choice in which ϑ behaves as a ghost field, this produces an ‘‘inverse quintessence scenario’’ for the vacuum energy density; as opposed to standard quintessence [32], where zero vacuum energy is approached as $a \rightarrow \infty$, here the DE component $(M - f\vartheta)$ in eq. (12) is zero as $a \rightarrow 0$ and approaches its maximum value as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

It is also interesting to notice some features in the minimization of the effective potential (12). In particular

$$\frac{dV_{\text{eff.}}}{d\vartheta} = f - \frac{K}{a^3 V^2} \frac{f(M - f\vartheta)}{\sqrt{1 - (M - f\vartheta)^2/V^2}},$$

so that, if $f \neq 0$ and⁺ $M - f\vartheta > 0$, the condition $dV_{\text{eff.}}/(d\vartheta) = 0$ can be solved (after squaring) for $M - f\vartheta$, to obtain

$$M - f\vartheta = \frac{a^3 V^2}{K} \left(1 + \frac{a^6 V^2}{K^2} \right)^{-1/2}. \quad (13)$$

From the above we would like to evaluate $-\dot{\vartheta}^2/2$, the contribution of the tachyon to the total energy density ρ_{total} ; this will make explicit that, both, as $a \rightarrow 0$ and as $a \rightarrow \infty$, the tachyon gives a small contribution to the total energy density, which means that our approach appears to be self-consistent, at least in those limits. Indeed, noting that the only quantity that depends from t is the scale factor a , from (13) we obtain

$$-f\dot{\vartheta} = \frac{3V^2}{Ka^6} \left(\frac{1}{a^6} + \frac{V^2}{K^2} \right)^{-3/2} \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right).$$

Then the kinetic term of the ghost ϑ field is

$$-\frac{\dot{\vartheta}^2}{2} = -\frac{9V^4}{2K^2 f^2 a^{12}} \left(\frac{1}{a^6} + \frac{V^2}{K^2} \right)^{-3} \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2.$$

Since $(\dot{a}/a)^2 \propto \rho_{\text{total}}$, when we consider the limit $a \rightarrow 0$, we have that

$$-\frac{\dot{\vartheta}^2}{2} \stackrel{a \rightarrow 0}{\approx} \text{const.} \times \rho_{\text{total}} \times a^6;$$

moreover, in the limit $a \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$-\frac{\dot{\vartheta}^2}{2} \stackrel{a \rightarrow +\infty}{\approx} \text{const.} \times \frac{\rho_{\text{total}}}{a^{12}}.$$

So, in both cases, the ghost contributes very little (vanishingly in the limit) to the total energy density.

5. Discussion and conclusions

In this paper we have seen that the use of non conventional kinetic terms for a scalar field ϕ in the form $V(\phi)\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi)}$ can provide a unified picture for DE and DM, when such terms are considered in the framework of a two measures approach.

DE and DM exchange can be introduced in a way similar to what we have considered before to describe the role of a dynamical measure Φ as a way to model a dynamical tension in string and branes [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. For this an additional scalar field ϑ can be introduced, such that an integration constant M , with the role of DE density, is replaced by $M - f\vartheta$ (f being some coupling constant). This procedure automatically

⁺ The additional factor $K/(a^3 V^2)$ is, naturally, never negative.

induces a DE density, that, in fact, goes as $M - f\vartheta$, and an effective dust energy density, that goes as $K\sqrt{1 - (M - f\vartheta)^2/V^2}/a^3$, when solving for constant V .

Another byproduct of our framework is an effective potential, induced for the field ϑ , which is thus responsible for the DE/DM dynamics. The sign of the effective potential for ϑ depends on the sign we take for the kinetic term for ϑ . Most interesting from the phenomenological point of view is the choice of ϑ as a ghost field. Indeed, from the choice of ϑ as a ghost field an “inverse quintessence scenario” for the vacuum energy is obtained, when the DE $M - f\vartheta$ is zero as $a \rightarrow 0$ and approaches its maximum value V as $a \rightarrow \infty$. In both limits, we have shown that the contribution of the ghost field to the total energy density is negligible.

It is interesting to compare our results with those of other models for DE and DM that have been considered in the recent literature. For example in [33] an increase of the DE density is also realized, although as opposed to our case there such increase is unbounded. We remark, however, that in our case the DE density starts from zero and then grows to a finite fixed value at large times and that this effect is realized in a unified model of DE and DM. Another model that realizes DE/DM unification and has been studied in detail is the Chaplygin gas model [34, 35]: in this model it is also possible to derive a tachyon type Lagrangian [36]. DE/DM unification models are safe if a sufficient fraction of initial density perturbations collapse into a gravitationally bound condensate that can provide cold dark matter seeds for large-scale structure formation [37] and we expect to be able to perform this check also in our model, for instance along the lines discussed in [36]. This and other more phenomenologically related aspects will be considered in a future work.

Acknowledgments

SA would like to thank the Department of Physics of Ben Gurion University for hospitality and also acknowledge partial support from the Microscopic Quantum Structure & Dynamics of Spacetime group at the Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute) during the late stages of this work. EIG would like to thank David Polarsky, Douglas Singleton and Nattapong Yongram for discussions on the subject of this work.

Appendix A. Strings and branes with dynamical tension

Here we would like to review the role of the alternative measure Φ in the context of strings and brane theories, and then also how this can be used to construct models with varying string and brane tensions: this will be analogous to what we did in four dimensional cosmology.

In the context of Polyakov formulation of string and branes, we use the world sheet metric γ_{ab} and the standard (Riemannian) volume element $\sqrt{-\gamma}d^D\sigma$, where $\gamma = \det(\gamma_{ab})$ is the determinant of γ_{ab} . A central feature of this volume element is *reparametrization*

invariance, but reparametrization invariance can also be obtained if we define p scalars φ^a , $a = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and use as the volume element

$$\Phi = \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_p} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \partial_{\mu_1} \varphi^{i_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_p} \varphi^{i_p},$$

where $\epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}$ and $\epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ are the alternating symbols. With this definition Φ transforms exactly as $\sqrt{-\gamma}$ under reparametrization transformations.

A straightforward use of the measure Φ in string theory is somewhat problematic however. Indeed, if in the Polyakov action

$$S_P[X^\alpha, \gamma_{cd}] = -T \int d\sigma^0 d\sigma^1 \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{ab} g_{\mu\nu} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu$$

we simply replace $\sqrt{-\gamma}$ by

$$\Phi = \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_a \varphi^i \partial_b \varphi^j$$

we obtain the action

$$S_1[X^\alpha, \gamma_{cd}, \varphi^k] \sim - \int d\sigma^0 d\sigma^1 \Phi \gamma^{ab} g_{\mu\nu} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The above is not satisfactory since a variation with respect to γ^{ab} gives

$$\Phi \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu g_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

which means that either $\Phi = 0$ or that the induced metric on the string vanishes.

To improve the situation we notice that the use of the measure Φ opens new possibilities for allowed contributions to the action. Let us consider, for instance, the case when a contribution of the form $\sqrt{-\gamma}L$ is a total derivative: then after changing the measure, it could certainly be that ΦL is *not* a total derivative. This is exactly the situation if

$$L = \frac{\epsilon^{ab}}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} F_{ab}, \quad \text{where} \quad F_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a.$$

So, if we consider the action

$$S = S_1 + S_{\text{gauge}}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where S_1 is given by (A.1) and

$$S_{\text{gauge}}[\gamma_{cd}, \varphi^k, A_a] = \int d\sigma^0 d\sigma^1 \Phi \frac{\epsilon^{ab}}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} F_{ab},$$

we see that (A.2) is much more interesting. It is conformally invariant, provided φ^k are transformed as

$$\varphi^i \longrightarrow \varphi'^i = \varphi'^i(\varphi^j), \quad \Phi \longrightarrow J\Phi$$

where $J = \det(\partial\varphi'^i/(\partial\varphi^j))$ and γ_{ab} transforms as

$$\gamma_{ab} \longrightarrow \gamma'_{ab} = J\gamma_{ab}.$$

The variation of the action with respect to φ^k gives

$$\epsilon^{ab} \partial_b \varphi^k \partial_a \left(-\gamma^{cd} \partial_c X^\mu \partial_d X^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\epsilon^{cd}}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} F_{cd} \right) = 0.$$

If $\det(\epsilon^{ab}\partial_b\varphi^k) \neq 0$, which is true if $\Phi \neq 0$, then the equation just above implies

$$-\gamma^{cd}\partial_c X^\mu\partial_d X^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\epsilon^{cd}}{\sqrt{-\gamma}}F_{cd} = M = \text{const.} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Considering then the variation with respect to γ^{ab} we obtain

$$-\Phi \left(\partial_a X^\mu\partial_b X^\nu g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ab}\frac{\epsilon^{cd}}{\sqrt{-\gamma}}F_{cd} \right) = 0. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Solving for $\epsilon^{cd}F_{cd}/\sqrt{-\gamma}$ from (A.4) and substituting in (A.3) we get

$$\partial_a X^\mu\partial_b X^\nu g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ab}\gamma^{cd}\partial_c X^\mu\partial_d X^\nu g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ab}M = 0;$$

taking the trace of the above equation gives $M = 0$.

If we now look at the equation of motion obtained from the variation of the gauge field A_c , we obtain

$$\epsilon^{cb}\partial_b \left(\frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \right) = 0,$$

which can be integrated to obtain $\Phi = T\sqrt{-\gamma}$; the constant of integration T has indeed the meaning of a string tension.

All the above can be straightforwardly generalized to branes. Indeed, the relevant action for a p -brane is

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_p + S_{p\text{-gauge}} \\ S_p[X^\alpha, \gamma_{cd}, \varphi^k] &= - \int d^{p+1}\sigma \Phi \gamma^{ab}\partial_a X^\mu\partial_b X^\nu g_{\mu\nu} \\ S_{p\text{-gauge}}[\gamma_{cd}, \varphi^k, A_{b_1\dots b_p}] &= \int d^{p+1}\sigma \Phi \frac{\epsilon^{a_1\dots a_{p+1}}}{\sqrt{-\gamma}}\partial_{[a_1}A_{a_2\dots a_{p+1}]}, \end{aligned}$$

with Φ now defined in terms of $p+1$ scalars as

$$\Phi = \epsilon^{a_1\dots a_{p+1}}\epsilon_{j_1\dots j_{p+1}}\partial_{a_1}\varphi^{j_1}\dots\partial_{a_{p+1}}\varphi^{j_{p+1}}.$$

The variation with respect to the gauge field $A_{a_1\dots a_p}$ gives

$$\epsilon^{a_1\dots a_p}\partial_{a_1} \left(\frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \right) = 0,$$

which again means $\Phi = T\sqrt{-\gamma}$, where $T = \text{const.}$ is then a dynamically generated brane tension. The equation of motion obtained from the variation of the φ^j fields gives (for $\Phi \neq 0$)

$$-\gamma^{ab}\partial_a X^\mu\partial_b X^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\epsilon^{a_1\dots a_{p+1}}}{\sqrt{-\gamma}}\partial_{[a_1}A_{a_2\dots a_{p+1}]} = M.$$

Solving for the last term on the right hand side and considering also the equation obtained from the variation with respect to γ_{ab} , one gets

$$\gamma_{ab} = -\frac{p-1}{M}\partial_a X^\mu\partial_b X^\nu g_{\mu\nu}.$$

If $M < 0$ (since $p > 1$), then by rescaling we obtain that γ_{ab} is the induced metric on the brane.

We can now consider the more general case of the coupling of strings and branes to external sources. If we add to the action of the brane a coupling to a world sheet current $j^{a_2\dots a_{p+1}}$,

$$S_{\text{current}} = \int d^{p+1}\sigma A_{a_2\dots a_{p+1}} j^{a_2\dots a_{p+1}},$$

then the variation with respect to $A_{a_2\dots a_{p+1}}$ gives

$$\epsilon^{a_1\dots a_{p+1}} \partial_{a_1} \left(\frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \right) = j^{a_2\dots a_{p+1}}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and what will be practically interesting for us in this work will be the case when a bulk scalar field ϕ induces the current $j^{a_2\dots a_{p+1}}$, as in the following (q will be some coupling constant)

$$j^{a_2\dots a_{p+1}} = q \partial_\mu \phi \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial \sigma^a} \epsilon^{aa_2\dots a_{p+1}} = q \partial_a \phi \epsilon^{aa_2\dots a_{p+1}}.$$

Then (A.5) can be integrated to obtain

$$\frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} = q\phi + M,$$

which is the analogous to equation (10) in the cosmological case.

References

- [1] M. J. Rees, *Dark Matter: Introduction*, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* **361** (2003) 2427 [[astro-ph/0402045](#)].
- [2] A. H. G. Peter, *Dark Matter: A Brief Review*, to appear in the *Proceedings for the Frank N. Bash Symposium 2011*, Austing (TX), USA, 9-11 October 2011 [[arXiv:1201.3942](#) [[astro-ph.CO](#)]].
- [3] M. Drees and G. Gerbier, *Mini-Review of Dark Matter: 2012*, in *J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 010001 [[arXiv:1204.2373](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [4] K. Garrett and G. Duda, *Dark Matter: A Primer*, *Adv. Astron.* **2011** (2011) 968283 [[arXiv:1006.2483](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [5] A. G. Riess, A. V. Filippenko, P. Challis, A. Clocchiattia, A. Diercks, P. M. Garnavich, R. L. Gilliland, C. J. Hogan, S. Jha, R. P. Kirshner, B. Leibundgut, M. M. Phillips, D. Reiss, B. P. Schmidt, R. A. Schommer, R. C. Smith, J. Spyromilio, C. Stubbs, N. B. Suntzeff and J. Tonry, *Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant*, *Astron. J.* **116** (1998) 1009 [[astro-ph/9805201](#)].
- [6] S. Perlmutter, G. Aldering, G. Goldhaber, R. A. Knop, P. Nugent, P. G. Castro, S. Deustua, S. Fabbro, A. Goobar, D. E. Groom, I. M. Hook, A. G. Kim, M. Y. Kim, J. C. Lee, N. J. Nunes, R. Pain, C. R. Pennypacker, R. Quimby, C. Lidman, R. S. Ellis, M. Irwin, R. G. McMahon, P. Ruiz-Lapuente, N. Walton, B. Schaefer, B. J. Boyle, A. V. Filippenko, T. Matheson, A. S. Fruchter, N. Panagia, H. J. M. Newberg and W. J. Couch, *Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 high redshift supernovae*, *Astroph. J.* **517** (1999) 565 [[astro-ph/9812133](#)].
- [7] U. Alam, V. Sahni and A. A. Starobinsky, *The Case for dynamical dark energy revisited*, *JCAP* **0406** (2004) 008 [[astro-ph/0403687](#)].
- [8] E. I. Guendelman, D. Singleton and N. Yongram, *A two measure theory of dark energy and dark matter*, [[arXiv:1205.1056](#) [[gr-qc](#)]].
- [9] E. I. Guendelman and A. B. Kaganovich, *Dynamical measure and field theory models free of the cosmological constant problem*, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 065004 [[gr-qc/9905029](#)].

- [10] E. I. Guendelman and A. B. Kaganovich, *Physical Consequences of a Theory with Dynamical Volume Element*: plenary talk at the workshop on Geometry, Topology, QFT and Cosmology, Paris, France, 28-30 May 2008 [[arXiv:0811.0793](#) [[gr-qc](#)]].
- [11] H. Nishino and S. Rajpoot, *Hodge duality and cosmological constant*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A21** (2006) 127 [[hep-th/0404088](#)].
- [12] E. I. Guendelman, *Scale invariance, new inflation and decaying lambda terms*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A14** (1999) 1043 [[gr-qc/9901017](#)].
- [13] E. I. Guendelman and O. Katz, *Inflation and transition to a slowly accelerating phase from SSB of scale invariance*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20** (2005) 1715 [[gr-qc/0211095](#)].
- [14] E. I. Guendelman and A. B. Kaganovich, *Fine Tuning Free Paradigm of Two Measures Theory: K-Essence, Absence of Initial Singularity of the Curvature and Inflation with Graceful Exit to Zero Cosmological Constant State*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 083505 [[gr-qc/0607111](#)].
- [15] E. I. Guendelman and A. B. Kaganovich, *Absence of the Fifth Force Problem in a Model with Spontaneously Broken Dilatation Symmetry*, *Annals Phys.* **323** (2008) 866 [[arXiv:0704.1998](#) [[gr-qc](#)]].
- [16] E. I. Guendelman, *Conformally invariant brane world and the cosmological constant*, *Phys. Lett.* **B580** (2004) 87 [[gr-qc/0303048](#)].
- [17] S. del Campo, E. I. Guendelman, A. B. Kaganovich, R. Herrera and P. Labraña, *Emergent Universe from Scale Invariant Two Measures Theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B699** (2011) 211 [[arXiv:1105.0651](#) [[astro-ph.CO](#)]].
- [18] S. del Campo, E. I. Guendelman, R. Herrera and P. Labraña, *Emerging universe from scale invariance*, *JCAP* **1006** (2010) 026 [[arXiv:1006.5734](#) [[astro-ph.CO](#)]].
- [19] E. I. Guendelman, *Non Singular Origin of the Universe and its Present Vacuum Energy Density*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A26** (2011) 2951
- [20] E. I. Guendelman and A. B. Kaganovich, *Neutrino generated dynamical dark energy with no dark energy field* [[arXiv:1208.2132](#) [[gr-qc](#)]]. [[arXiv:1103.1427](#) [[gr-qc](#)]].
- [21] A. Sen, *Tachyon dynamics in open string theory*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A20** (2005) 5513 [[hep-th/0410103](#)].
- [22] A. Sen, *Field theory of tachyon matter*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A17** (2002) 1797 [[hep-th/0204143](#)].
- [23] The tachyon cosmology was studied, for example, by A. Feinstein, *Power law inflation from the rolling tachyon*, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 063511 [[hep-th/0204140](#)].
- [24] S. Ansoldi, E. I. Guendelman and E. Spallucci, *The Role of a dynamical measure and dynamical tension in brane creation and growth*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A21** (2006) 2055 [[hep-th/0510200](#)].
- [25] E. I. Guendelman, *Strings and branes with a modified measure*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **17** (2000) 3673 [[hep-th/0005041](#)].
- [26] E. I. Guendelman, *Superextendons with a modified measure*, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 046006 [[hep-th/0006079](#)].
- [27] E. I. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *String and brane models with spontaneously / dynamically induced tension*, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 046003 [[hep-th/0203024](#)].
- [28] E. I. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *String and brane tensions as dynamical degrees of freedom*, in *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Gravity, Astrophysics and Strings*, Kiten, Bulgaria, 10-16 June 2002 (P. Fiziev et. al. eds, Sofia University Press, 2003, p.136) [[hep-th/0210062](#)].
- [29] E. I. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Strings, p-branes and Dp-branes with dynamical tension*, in *Proceedings of the 2nd Summer School in Modern Mathematical Physics*, Kopaonik, Serbia, Yugoslavia, 1-12 September 2002 (p.271) [[hep-th/0304269](#)].
- [30] E. I. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Impact of dynamical tensions in modified string and brane theories*, in *Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics*, Varna, Bulgaria, 16-22 June 2003 (p.241) [[hep-th/0401083](#)].
- [31] R. Fardon, A. E. Nelson and N. Weiner, *Dark energy from mass varying neutrinos*, *JCAP* **0410**

- (2004) 005 [astro-ph/0309800].
- [32] I. Zlatev, L.-M. Wang and P. J. Steinhardt, *Quintessence, cosmic coincidence, and the cosmological constant*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 896 [astro-ph/9807002].
- [33] P. H. Frampton, K. J. Ludwick, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and R. J. Scherrer, *Models for Little Rip Dark Energy*, *Phys. Lett.* **B708** (2012) 204 [arXiv:1108.0067 [hep-th]].
- [34] A. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella, V. Pasquier, *An alternative to quintessence*, *Phys. Lett.* **B511** (2001) 265 [gr-qc/0103004].
- [35] N. Bilić, G. B. Tupper, R. D. Viollier, *Unification of dark matter and dark energy: the inhomogeneous Chaplygin gas*, *Phys. Lett.* **B535** (2002) 17 [gr-qc/0111325].
- [36] N. Bilić, G. B. Tupper, R. D. Viollier, *Cosmological tachyon condensation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 023515 [arXiv:0809.0375].
- [37] N. Bilić, G. B. Tupper, R. D. Viollier, *Chaplygin gas cosmology–unification of dark matter and dark energy*, *J. Phys. A* **40** (2007) 6877 [gr-qc/0610104].