

Logarithmic distribution of mean velocity and turbulent kinetic energy in a pipe flow

Xi Chen^a, Fazle Hussain^{a,b} and Zhen-Su She^{a*}

^a *State Key Laboratory for Turbulence and Complex Systems and Department of Mechanics and Aerospace Engineering, College of Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R. China;*

^b *Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-4006, USA*

A Lie-group based similarity theory is developed for both momentum and energy distributions in a turbulent pipe flow, leading to asymptotic logarithmic profiles of mean velocity and turbulent kinetic energy. Both channel and pipe data over a wide range of Re yield 0.45 to be the universal Karman constant. A new spatial invariant characterizing outer dynamics is discovered and validated by reliable experimental data. The theory predicts the mean velocity profile (MVP) with 99% accuracy for high Re experimental data (up to 40 millions), and offers a quantitative explanation for recent observation of logarithmic kinetic energy distribution by Hullmak et al. (Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 094501).

Turbulent flows over objects form thin vorticity layers called boundary layers. As it is widely accepted that near-wall flow physics is autonomous and independent of the flow being external or internal, pipe flow forms an experimentally and numerically expedient canonical flow for the study of wall turbulence. Despite extensive efforts, the prediction of the mean velocity still relies on empirical functions [1] having limited accuracy and limited range of Reynolds numbers (Re). Hence, the problem continues to receive vivid attention with great experimental [2, 3] and theoretical [4] efforts.

From a statistical physics point of view, turbulent pipe flows are at a far-from-equilibrium state encompassing not only a cross-scale energy flux (cascade) but also momentum and energy fluxes in space. Understanding physical principles governing the non-homogeneous transport and non-uniform distribution of the mean momentum and kinetic energy is a log-standing goal of the research. Nearly eighty years ago, Prandtl [5] and von Karman [6], independently proposed the concept of mixing length with a linear dependence on the distance from the wall, predicting a logarithmic MVP and hence friction coefficient. However, this empirical model has led to controversies: Barenblatt et al [7] have claimed that power-law is a better description; Goldenfeld [8] has proposed a model for friction coefficient using a power-law description. A recent model of L'vov et al. [4] is particularly noteworthy, as its log-law description yields predictions of reasonable accuracy over a range of finite Re (see Fig.2). Recently, a logarithmic scaling for the streamwise mean kinetic energy profile (MKP) is reported [9], with no explanation. Clearly, a deductive theory for joint MVP and MKP is still missing.

Here, we present a Lie-group based similarity theory for turbulent channel and pipe flows. The original idea was presented in [10] and formulated rigorously in [11]. The goal of the theory is to find invariant solutions of the averaged flow equations based on a symmetry analysis of a set of new quantities, called *order functions*, which are introduced in close analogy to *order parameter* [12] in the study of critical phenomena. Adding the order function to dependent variables in the equations and then performing a dilation-group transformation yields a set of new, candidate invariant solutions, which defines a new method to measure the Karman constant. In this Letter, we compare the prediction to measured MVP in a turbulent pipe [13]. In addition, with a system similarity argument, we find a new spatial invariant which predicts a logarithmic MKP at high Re .

Theory for mean velocity - In a pipe, the mean momentum equation (MME) is [4]:

$$S^+ + W^+ = \frac{dU^+}{dy^+} - \langle uv \rangle^+ = \tau_U^+ \quad (1)$$

where $U^+ \equiv \langle u \rangle^+$ is the streamwise mean velocity, $S^+ = dU^+/dy^+$ is the viscous stress, $W^+ = -\langle uv \rangle^+$ is the Reynolds stress, and $\tau_U^+ \equiv r$ is the total wall shear stress, r is the distance to the centreline, y^+ the distance to the wall, and u, v are streamwise and vertical fluctuating velocities, respectively, and superscript $+$ denotes 'wall units' normalization with friction velocity and viscosity. We now search for group-invariant solutions of (1), by introducing the mixing length, $\ell_M^+ = \sqrt{W^+}/S^+$. A formal Lie-group analysis of (1) adding ℓ_M ($= \ell_M^+/Re\tau$) and its gradient, $d\ell_M/dr$, as new dependent variables [11] shows three kinds of invariant solutions, of which the second kind corresponds to the situation of symmetry-breaking in ℓ_M but maintained symmetry in $d\ell_M/dr$. This solution is expressed as:

$$\ell_M(r) \approx \frac{\kappa}{m}(1 - r^m) \quad (2)$$

where m is a scaling exponent characterizing the bulk flow, and κ is the classical Karman constant, as can be seen when taking the limit to the wall, $r \rightarrow 1$, $\ell_M \approx \kappa y$, i.e. Karman's linearity assumption.

Now, we establish a complete expression for the mixing length in the outer flow encompassing a bulk and a central core. Define another length involving S^+ , W^+ and dissipation ϵ^+ , using the eddy viscosity $\nu_t = W^+/S^+$ [5], which




FIG. 1: (color). Plot of measured U_d^+ versus theoretical $f(r; r_c)$ (vertically shift by one) for five sets of data : DNS channel (black and red; $r_c = 0.27$), DNS pipe (green; $r_c = 0.23$), experiments pipe (solid diamonds, disks and squares; $r_c = 0.67$). Note a good linear relation with slope 0.45 for all Res . The original plot is shown in the inset.

gives $\ell_\nu^+ = (W^+/S^+)^{3/4}/\varepsilon^{1/4} = \nu_t^{3/4}/\varepsilon^{1/4}$. A comparison to the Kolmogorov dissipation length $\eta = \nu^{3/4}/\varepsilon^{1/4}$ suggests that ℓ_ν signifies the energy input length scale, while η the output length scale of the energy cascade. Verify that

$$\ell_M^+ = \ell_\nu^+ \Theta^{1/4}, \quad (3)$$

where $\Theta = \varepsilon^+/(S^+W^+) = \varepsilon^+/P^+$ is the ratio between dissipation and production. Θ is an important quantity, denoted to be an order function of the second kind [10].

A two-layer model can be readily derived with the above definitions. In the bulk flow, the quasi-balance [14] corresponds to $\Theta \approx 1$. Near the center line as $r \rightarrow 0$, $W^+ \approx r$, $S^+ \approx \sqrt{r}/\ell_M^+ \sim r$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\ell_M \sim r^{-1/2}$, but $\ell_\nu \rightarrow C = O(1)$ and $\Theta \sim r^{-2}$. This transition is physically due to the switch of the generating mechanism of fluctuations - from mean shear production to turbulent transport (vanishing mean shear at the center). A simple ansatz taking into account of the transition of Θ from r^{-2} to 1 is $\Theta = 1 - c + cr^{-2}$ with a constant c , or

$$\Theta = \frac{1 + (r_c/r)^2}{1 + r_c^2}, \quad (4)$$

where the parameter $r_c = \sqrt{c/(1-c)}$ represents a critical radius characterizing the core region. In this core region ($r_c > r \rightarrow 0$), $\ell_\nu \approx \ell_0$ and $\Theta \approx r^{-2}r_c^2/(1+r_c^2)$ together determine the central behavior of ℓ_M from (3).

In the bulk flow ($r > r_c$), $\Theta \approx 1$, $\ell_\nu \approx \ell_M$. A phenomenology yields an estimation of m in (2) as following. Consider the scaling property of ℓ_ν . Similar to ℓ_M , only $d\ell_\nu/dr$ has a scale-invariance property: $d\ell_\nu/dr \propto r^{m-1}$, which corresponds to the Lie-group similarity of the second kind [11]. The exponent m can be derived by assuming that: $d\ell_\nu/dr \propto \int P^+ r dr$, i.e. the volume integral of the turbulence production, which equals the amount of kinetic energy converted from the mean flow. The validity of this assumption relies on an intriguing connection between the mean flow and fluctuation energy yet to be uncovered, which we defer to future study. Since $P^+ = S^+W^+ \propto r^2$ and using the wall condition ($\ell_\nu = 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$), we obtain $\ell_\nu = \ell_0(1 - r^5)$. Furthermore, taking the limit $r \rightarrow 1$ and using $\ell_M \approx \kappa y$ and $\Theta \approx 1$, we obtain an important relation: $\kappa = 5\ell_0$. Hence, $\ell_\nu = \kappa(1 - r^5)/5$. This derivation immediately predicts that, for channel flow, $m = 4$, since $d\ell_\nu/dr \propto \int P^+ dr$ with a flat plate. Substitute the expression of ℓ_ν and (4) into (3), we thus obtain:

$$\ell_M(r) = \frac{\kappa}{mZ_c}(1 - r^m)(1 + (r_c/r)^2)^{1/4} \quad (5)$$

where $Z_c = (1 + r_c^2)^{1/4}$, and $m = 5$ (4) for pipe (channel) flows.

Measurement of κ and prediction of the MVP - In the outer flow, $W^+ \approx \ell_M^+ S^+ \approx r$, which yields $S^+ \approx \sqrt{r}/\ell_M^+$. Integrating it using (5) yields an expression for the mean velocity defect, i.e. $U_d^+ = U_c^+ - U^+(r) = \text{Re}_\tau \int_0^r S^+ dr =$

$(1/\kappa)f(r; r_c)$, where U_c^+ is the mean velocity at the centreline, and

$$f(r; r_c) = mZ_c \int_0^r \frac{r' dr'}{(1 - r'^m)(r'^2 + r_c^2)^{1/4}} = \kappa U_d^+. \quad (6)$$

The linear relation between $f(r; r_c)$ and U_d^+ can be subjected to experimental tests, with a fixed r_c . In Fig.1, theoretical $f(r; r_c)$ versus empirical (measured) U_d^+ is plotted for a wide range of data, from direct numerical simulations (DNS) of channel and pipe flow at moderate Re [15–17] and experiments of pipe flows [13] at very high Re . The linearity is remarkably observed with a slope of 0.45 ± 0.01 , consistent with our earlier study of channel flows [18]. Note that r_c can be determined in a rational way, by an evaluation of the minimum relative error, $\sigma_U = \frac{1}{N} \sum (1 - \kappa U_d^{+EXP}(r_i)/f(r_i; r_c))^2$, where κ is the value from the least-square fitting above. In practice, the relative error is evaluated in a domain defined as $200 < y^+ < 0.9Re_\tau$. This procedure yields a $r_c \approx 2/3$ for Princeton data [13] at high $Re_\tau > 5000$, while at the moderate to low Re , the DNS data [15–17] show a smaller $r_c \approx 0.23 \sim 0.27$ for $Re_\tau \leq 1000$ (both channel and pipe). With r_c so determined, κ (i.e. the slope) is measured with high confidence: all data show that $\kappa \approx 0.45$.

This value of κ is 10% higher than generally accepted value (0.41) [1]. Initially, it was a bit surprising, but a careful scrutiny confirms the internal consistency of the procedure. Note that in our definition, κ is a coefficient defining the outer flow, and its measurement involves little ambiguity. The fact that the measured value is accurately constant for both channel and pipe flows and for a wide range of Re 's, and that it is exactly the historic Karman constant in the overlap region, suggests that (5) is a better definition for κ in channel and pipe flows, and taking into account explicitly the form of the outer flow makes the measurement of κ more robust, compared to previous measurements [2, 19]. Another interesting prediction is an asymptotic centreline dissipation at high Re :

$$\varepsilon_0^{+Pipe(CH)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (S^+ W^+ \Theta) = \frac{mr_c^{3/2}}{\kappa Z_c^3 Re_\tau} \approx \frac{4.6(3.7)}{Re_\tau}. \quad (7)$$

The constant 3.7 for channel flow becomes 1.18 at $Re_\tau \approx 940$ (with $r_c \approx 0.27$), which agrees with measured value $\varepsilon_0^{+DNS} \approx 1.05/Re_\tau$ from DNS data of [16].

In order to predict the MVP, one needs an additional constant. Using (6), we can express the MVP as

$$U^+(r) = U_c^+ - f(r; r_c)/\kappa \quad (8)$$

Our analysis of the Princeton pipe data at high Re show that $U_c^+ \approx \ln Re_\tau / 0.45 + B_c$, with $B_c \approx 8.3$. With three parameters: $\kappa \approx 0.45$, $r_c \approx 0.67$ and $B_c \approx 8.3$, the high- Re outer flow MVP is completely specified by (6) and (8). In addition, an asymptotic calculation of (5) gives $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(r; r_c) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \kappa U_d^+(r) \approx -\ln(1-r) + \kappa B_d$ with $B_d \approx 1.7$, which only depends on r_c . Then, (6) yields an approximate log-law in the overlap region:

$$U^+(r) \approx \ln y^+ / 0.45 + 6.6 \quad (9)$$

where the additive constant is found from $B_c - B_d \approx 8.3 - 1.7$. In Fig.2, the theoretical MVP (8) is shown to agree with the Princeton pipe data for the entire profile with 99% accuracy for Re up to 4×10^7 (better than [4]). This unprecedented accuracy settles the debate between the logarithmic law and power law with a rational description of the bulk flow; it further shows that turbulence in pipe flows indeed admits an analytic solution.

Predictions for fluctuations - The mean kinetic-energy equation (MKE) [14] can be rearranged in a form similar to (1) as:

$$-\frac{dK^+}{dy^+} + \langle uvw \rangle^+ = \tau_K^+ \quad (10)$$

where $K^+ \equiv \langle uu \rangle^+$ is the streamwise kinetic energy, and $\tau_K^+ = \int_0^{y^+} (S^+ W^+ - \varepsilon_K^+) dy'^+ - \langle pu \rangle^+$ involves the integration of turbulent production, SW , dissipation, ε_K , and a term due to pressure transport, $\langle pu \rangle^+$. Note that one often assumes a quasi-balance, then, τ_K^+ would be small. Detailed examination of empirical data shows that the integrated deviation from the quasi-balance, although small, is fully responsible for the non-uniform distribution of the kinetic energy.

The similarity between (1) and (10) is a major focus of this paper. Denote $S_K^+ = -dK^+/dy^+$ and $W_K^+ = \langle uvw \rangle^+$, thus, the MKE has a similar form as

$$S_K^+ + W_K^+ = \tau_K^+. \quad (11)$$




FIG. 2: (color). Theoretical (solid lines) and measured MVPs, which are staggered vertically by five units for clarity. Inset shows the relative errors, $(U^{EXP}/U^{THE} - 1) \times 100\%$ - our predictions (red solid symbols) are uniformly within 1%. Also included (blue open symbols) is the model of L'vov et al. [4], which reveals systematic deviations at high Re due to inappropriate boundary constraints [20].

The two terms on the l.h.s. represent the viscous diffusion and turbulent transport of K . Analysis of DNS data of channel flows [16] reveals indeed two similarities (results not shown): first, all terms go to zero near the center line, and $W^+ \sim W_K^+$ and $S^+ \sim S_K^+$; second, $W_K^+ \gg S_K^+$, but $W^+/S^+ \sim W_K^+/S_K^+$. These similarities suggest that (1) and (10) may differ by a constant factor α . Assuming this is true, multiplying (1) by α and subtracting (10) yields $d(\alpha U^+ + K^+)/dy^+ \approx 0$, leading to

$$\alpha U^+(r) + K^+(r) \approx \alpha U_c^+ + K_c^+ \approx C \quad (12)$$

where K_c^+ is central kinetic energy, with

$$C = (\alpha/\kappa) \ln Re_\tau + \alpha B_c + K_c^+ \quad (13)$$

being a constant in the outer flow. With experimentally measured $U^+(r)$ and $K^+(r)$, the validity of (12) is successfully tested (Fig.3) with a $\alpha \approx 0.56$ (Fig.4) by a linear fitting at small r (where the linearity is accurate because both terms have a quadratic dependence on r). Fig.3 shows a clear evidence of a spatial invariant over an increasing radial domain with increasing Re : the extent reaches almost the entire radius at high Re . In addition, the constant C can be measured directly from data at each Re , shown in the inset: $C \approx 1.25 \ln Re_\tau + 5.4$. This measurement yields $K_c^+ \approx 0.7$.

A specific prediction of (12) is that at high Re , K must have a logarithmic profile (with a negative sign), since U has a logarithmic profile. In the overlap region, $K^+ \approx -(\alpha/\kappa) \ln y + \alpha B_d + K_c^+ \approx -1.25 \ln y + 1.65$, which reproduces well the empirical observation of Hulkmark et al. [9]: $K^+ \approx -1.25 \ln y + 1.61$. Finally, we predict the outer profile of the MKP as

$$K^+ \approx \alpha f(r; r_c)/\kappa + K_c^+. \quad (14)$$

As shown in Fig.4, it agrees well with empirical data, especially at high Re , better than that proposed by Alfredsson et al [21].

In summary, we have achieved a simultaneous description of the outer MVP and MKP by exploring the similarity in the MME and MKE, which discovers a new spatial invariant in the radius direction of a turbulent pipe. The Lie-group based theory yields a new procedure for measuring κ and a universal value of 0.45 for both channel and pipe flows. The predicted MVPs achieve a 99% accuracy compared to Princeton pipe data for a wide range of Re 's. Note that the analysis has been successfully extended to incompressible, compressible and rough-wall turbulent boundary layers [22, 23], and turbulent Rayleigh-Benard convection (temperature). Those results will be communicated soon.

We thank Y. Wu for helpful discussions. This work is supported by National Nature Science Fund 90716008 and by MOST 973 project 2009CB724100.




FIG. 3: (color). Evidence of linear dependence between U_d^+ and K^+ . The coefficient $\alpha \approx 0.56$ is obtained from fitting the largest Re data. The inset validates the invariance law (12) using experimental K [9] and theoretical U at the same Re with α .




FIG. 4: (color). Comparison between the theory (14) (solid lines) and experimental data (symbols) [9] of the MKP.

* Corresponding author. Email: she@pku.edu.cn

-
- [1] D.C. Wilcox, 2006 *Turbulence Modeling for CFD*. DCW Industries.
 - [2] I. Marusic, *et al.*, *Phys. Fluids*. **22**, 065103 (2010).
 - [3] A.J. Smits, B.J. McKeon, I. Marusic, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **43**, 353-75 (2011).
 - [4] V.S. L'vov, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 050504 (2008).
 - [5] L. Prandtl, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **5**, 136-139 (1925).
 - [6] von Karman, *In Proc. Third Int. Congr. Applied Mechanics*, Stockholm. 85-105.
 - [7] G. I. Barenblatt, A. J. Chorin, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 15023-15026 (2004).
 - [8] N. Goldenfeld, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 044503 (2006).
 - [9] M. Hulkmark, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 094501(2012).
 - [10] Z.S. She, X. Chen, Y. Wu, F. Hussain, *Acta Mech Sinica*, **26**, 847-861 (2010)
 - [11] Z.S. She, X. Chen, F. Hussain, arXiv:1112.6312 (2012)
 - [12] L.P. Kadanoff, *J. Stat. Phys.* **137**, 777-797 (2009).
 - [13] B.J. McKeon, *et al.*, *J. Fluid Mech.* **501**, 135 (2004)

- [14] S.B. Pope, *Turbulent Flows*. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [15] K. Iwamoto, Y. Suzuki, N. Kasagi, THTLAB Internal Report. No. ILR-0201 (2002).
- [16] S. Hoyas, J. Jimenez, *Phys. Fluids*. **18**, 011702 (2006).
- [17] X.H. Wu, P. Moin, *J. Fluid Mech.* 608, 81-112 (2008).
- [18] Y. Wu, X. Chen, Z.S. She and F. Hussain, *Physica Scripta*, to appear (2012).
- [19] H.M. Nagib, K.A. Chauhan, *Phys. Fluids*. 20, 101518 (2008).
- [20] Y. Wu, X. Chen, Z.S. She, F. Hussain, *Sci China-Phys Mech Astron*, 55, 9, 1691-1695 (2012).
- [21] P.H. Alfredsson, *et al.*, *Phys. Fluids*. **23**, 041702 (2011).
- [22] Y.S. Zhang, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109, 054502 (2012).
- [23] Z.S. She, *et al.*, *New J. Physics*, accepted, (2012).