

A new method to identify water masses – a network-based analysis of oceanographic point measurement time series

Florian Greil <fgreil@awi.de>

Stiftung Alfred-Wegener-Institut für Polar- und Meeresforschung in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft,
Am Handelshafen 12, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany

(Dated: November 1, 2018)

This is a statistical analysis of the oceanographic time series measured across Fram Strait at a latitude of $78^{\circ}50'$ N. Fram Strait is the deepest passage between the Arctic Ocean and the North Atlantic. There are up to 16 mooring lines with instruments at different depths measuring water temperature and velocity. These variables vary on different time scales and the challenge is to distinguish different spatial flow regimes.

For Fram Strait, a temperature criterion is traditionally applied to identify *water-masses*, i.e. water volumes of similar origin. Interpolation leads to a vertical latitudinal 2D cross-section from which a scalar – the hypothetical area of waters within a certain temperature interval – can be extracted. The scalar is combined with a similar interpolation of the velocities to approximate the volume flows through the gateway. This approach is not only numerically expensive but also incorporates many assumptions. The present study suggest a new network-based approach to discriminate between flow regimes without the need to introduce artificial data through interpolation. The new approach not only reproduces the known flow patterns, but also reveals topographical features which are not captured by a standard water-masses analysis.

Network theory offers a appealing framework to study local and non-local relationships of spatio-temporal variables. Global climate networks are reconstructed from fields of observables such as ocean temperature at sea level [2]. Network analysis of the temperature field uncovered wave-like structures of energy flow related to surface ocean currents. Having an unique set of highly resolved oceanographic time series in hand, network analysis is here used to gain insight into the flow patterns at Fram Strait. The data set is the first network which “goes into the deep” of the ocean, i.e. where the network is laterally extended.

Fram Strait is approx. 300 km wide in the deep part, considering the (shallow) continental shelf leads to a width of 500 km. Therefore, the current study is one of the first *regional* climate networks [5]. The data basis here is an experimental measurement series instead of reanalysis data. The advantage is that every feature found by the network analysis can directly be judged by the experienced oceanographer. A yearly verification of the inter- and extrapolation is offered by a spatially high resolved hydrographic survey. The survey is carried during each cruise for deploying or recovering the moored instruments. It delivers a quasi-instantaneous snapshot of the water properties across Fram Strait (Fig. 2 shows the situation in 2011). In the following, the under-water buoys will be referred as *moorings*.

I. THE ARCTIC OCEAN

The Arctic Ocean is subject to changes e.g. as shrinking sea ice extent and the additional thinning of the ice. Warm impulses of water from the Atlantic Ocean are circulating in the Arctic basins. Water from the Pacific Ocean transports internal energy (“oceanic heat”)




FIG. 1: Map of the mooring positions in Fram Strait. The meridional distance between 78.8° N and 79° N is around 20 km which is the same as the zonal spacing. For our analysis only the measurements from 2003 and afterward (\circ) are taken.

through Bering Strait into the Arctic Ocean. Changes of sea ice extent, thickness and volume are observed by remote sensing and by drifting buoys with measuring equipment inside [3]. Monitoring the state of the water below the ice is technically difficult and requires international efforts in terms of oceanographic surveys with ice-breakers or multiyear observatories deployed at the seafloor.

The approach to gain synoptic (i.e. large-scale) insights about the Arctic Ocean exchanges is to monitor the in- and out-flow of water through Fram Strait. Although climatological scales are defined over periods of 30 years and more according to the World Meteorological Organization (WMO), it seems possible to set up a preliminary energy- and volume balances: There are only four key gateways to the Arctic Ocean where water and sea-ice leaves or enters [4]. The Fram Strait is the only deep-sea opening of the Arctic Ocean to the other oceans, all other gateways are shallower and/or narrower, see Tab. I.




FIG. 2: Temperature field of the upper 800 m of Fram Strait at a latitude of $78^{\circ}50'N$. The data was acquired during a cruise with RV Polastern between June 24th and July 11th, 2011. White areas correspond to sea floor. The bold perpendicular lines symbolize the longitudes of the mooring lines, a degree longitude corresponds to roughly 20 km here.

II. THE FRAM STRAIT TIME SERIES

The two main currents of Fram Strait are a northward inflow of water from the Atlantic (next to Spitsbergen) on the one hand and a southward outflow of polar freshwater (next to Greenland) on the other hand. The East Greenland Current (EGC) can be recognized as (dark blue) cold patch above 200 m at the left side of Fig. 2. The water there moves southwards (out of the paper plane) and carries sea ice out of the Arctic Ocean. The *West Spitsbergen Current* (WSC) is the patch with temperatures above $2^{\circ}C$ (red, yellow, light-green) on the right side of Fig. 2, east of $5^{\circ}E$. The WSC is a branch of the North Atlantic Current which is the northern extension of the Gulf Stream. The WSC is the warmest water mass entering the Arctic Ocean. Since our measurements started in 1997, the temperature of the Atlantic water increased with a rate of around $1^{\circ}C$ within 10 years [4].

Motivated by the importance of the Fram Strait for the Arctic climate, the Alfred-Wegener-Institute for Polar and Marine Research (AWI) maintains a transect of moorings in collaboration with the Norwegian Polar Institute at $78^{\circ}50'N$. The transect ends at the shelf breaks at $6^{\circ}52'W$ and $8^{\circ}40'E$ respectively, see Fig. 2 and Fig. 1.

Instruments (from the companies Aanderaa and Fal-

Arctic gateway	width	max. depth	net volume flux
Barents Sea Opening	350 km	500 m	$2.0 \pm 2.9 Sv$
Fram Strait	300 km	2 600 m	$-2.0 \pm 2.7 Sv$
Bering Strait	86 km	50 m	$0.8 \pm 0.2 Sv$
Nares Strait	40 km	220 m	$0.57 \pm 0.3 Sv$
Lancaster Sound	40 km	125 m	$-0.7 Sv$
Cardigan Strait	8 km	180 m	$-0.3 Sv$

TABLE I: Gateways to the Arctic Ocean, the last three are the largest of the Canadian Archipelago. 1 Sverdrup (Sv) = $10^6 m^3/s$. Emptying Lake Constance (German: “Bodensee”) within a day corresponds to 0.56 Sv. Estimates from [4], positive values: inflow into the Arctic Ocean.

mouth Scientific Instruments) provide point measurements of horizontal velocities, flow direction, temperature and salinity. However, the salinity measurements of the current meters are not trustworthy since the conductivity cell is not pumped. This causes much slower response times than what is needed to cope with the high flow speeds in Fram Strait. Besides, the sensors fail frequently due to growing bio-films. Therefore, many gaps within the salinity time series exist. The additionally available data from a few upward-looking Acoustic Doppler current profilers (ADCP) near the surface are also neglected as their time-series are far shorter.

Data is recorded at 2 to 5 different depth levels every hour. The lowest level usually is located approximately 10 m above the sea-floor. If applicable, there are instruments at 1500 m depth, around 800 m and 300 m depth. The uppermost sensor is located around 60 m below the sea surface to avoid losses of instruments by drifting ice keels. Recently, fish trawling became more frequent with the retreating ice edge. The fishing nets are a threat for our instruments.

In-situ data by observational oceanography are assimilated by ocean modelers. A major product for them are time series of de-tided daily averages. The effect of tides are excluded by filtering out semi-diurnal and diurnal tidal constituents. The analysis is based on the daily means, accepting that any additional post-processing of the measurements (e.g. depth-correction to due flow-induced dives or manual spike-removal) happens inside a black box. For our purposes these are only minor changes which do not change the main statements.

III. CONSTRUCTING A CORRELATION NETWORK

The method suggested here should work for any set of spatio-temporal time series. In Fram Strait, the network of point measurements has 66 nodes with three time series per node x : the temperature T_x , the meridional




FIG. 3: Temperature correlations coefficients between nearest and 2nd nearest neighbors of all timeseries since 2003 for daily means (top) and with a 7-day filter (bottom). The bullets represent the average instrument position (depth and longitude), for this reason the mooring lines are not straight as sketched in Fig.2.

velocity v_x and the zonal velocity u_x at a given position $x \in \{1, \dots, 66\}$. Each x can be mapped on a longitudinal position and a depth level. Every time series is constructed by merging snippets of the maintenance period.

The Spearman correlation coefficient ρ between any nearest or second-nearest neighbors is calculated for the complete time series since 2003. Working with daily averages, only correlations between observables at the same time instance are considered. Pairwise complete observables are taken into account, i.e. whenever both time series have a valid value at a given point in time. Looking at *all* different pairs of correlation is a standard consistency check and therefore $66^2 = 4365$ correlation coefficients have to be evaluated. New is the concise and intuitive representation as a network of (2nd) nearest neighbors which add up to only 205 correlation coefficients.

One expects high correlations even between non-neighboring pairs of point measurements because the dynamics of the water is driven by the atmosphere and ocean upstream. The new method is to use a multi-scale approach which does not look on the value at the correlation itself, but at how the correlation increases with increasing filtering size. Before, it has to be noted that correlations between neighboring instruments are high in the vertical and significantly lower in the horizontal direction, simply because of the different resolutions in both directions. Within a 2 km mooring line there are up to five instruments while there is only a mooring line roughly every 20 km. The higher vertical correlations (between velocities within same mooring line) are usually interpreted as sign of a prevailing barotropic structure of the flow, i.e. the flow is mainly directed by the topography of the ground and does not depend on the depth.

Moving towards longer filtering times, the zonal correlation within the (sub)surface widens towards the West. This is expected when the upper ocean is well-mixed by the atmosphere. In particular, where the ocean surface is only rarely covered by sea ice, mixing takes place. The strong correlations at the bottom between 2 and 4° East are most probably induced by the topographic steering of the Knippovich Ridge whose Northern foothill mountains are located at these longitudes, see Fig. 1. Besides, there are vertical correlations at the correct depth and longitudinal position where the Atlantic Water is expected to recirculate. The recirculation manifests itself in form of coherent southward flow. A hint is the warm patch ($T \geq 4^\circ\text{C}$) between $\pm 3^\circ\text{E}$ in Fig. 2 (REC). The long-term averaged velocity field (not shown here) supports this assumption.

The effect of the filtering time on the pattern is small for the correlation network constructed from the water velocities. Therefore, without loss of generality a 10-days boxcar filter is applied in Fig. 4.

IV. MULTISCALE CORRELATION ANALYSIS.

In oceanography, a water mass is “an identifiable water volume with a common history which may be traced back to some source area”. Traditionally, water masses are defined by (potential) temperature and salinity, although additional properties may also taken into account. Ideally, these are conservative, in the sense that they are only modified by mixing, and neither biological activity, nor chemical degradation influences the parameter. In Fram Strait, no reliable salinity measurements for the whole time series exist. Thus, a pure temperature criterion has been introduced to identify different water masses. Whenever the temperature is above a threshold of 1°C the water is assumed to originate in the Atlantic [6]. Here, a statistical method to identify water masses is presented. This approach can be also helpful for other networks of time series.

The correlation pattern between the 2nd and 3rd mooring line from the East is independent of the variable, see Fig. 3 and 4. It is exactly the location of the central core of the West Spitsbergen Current (WSC) which is consistent with the temperature-criterion for identifying the water masses, compare Fig. 2.

It is even more interesting to identify regions where correlations are lower and the absence of links persists when changing the filtering time. All correlations grow slowly with increasing filtering intervals since the filtering operation introduces correlations. However, the effect of growing filter window also provides oceanographic information. For instance the missing vertical links in the Western part of the Strait (for all variables, but more pronounced for the temperature-correlation network) are a clear sign for the decoupling of the ocean from the atmosphere which occurs due to frequent sea ice cover in this region. For the water velocities in Fig. 4, the otherwise prominent vertical correlations disappear between the 2nd and 3rd layer of instruments. Exactly in those regions the two boundary currents tend to have their vertical limits.

V. CONCLUSION

Traditional methods to classify flow regimes rely on numerical expensive interpolation schemes. The drawback is that values (for temperature and water velocity) have to be produced for the complete vertical field across the gateway. The interpolation is based on sparse measurements every 20 km which necessarily comes along with large error bars for transport estimates, see [1] for a reliable method based on finite elements.

A new climate network has been introduced which allows to identify water masses and other spatially consistent flow regimes using simple maths. The nodes are the instrument positions, given as longitude-depth coordinates. Links are established if the correlation between the time series between two nodes is bigger than a certain




FIG. 4: Correlation graph for meridional (top) and zonal (bottom) velocities with a 10-day filter for the complete time series since 2003.

threshold.

The new approach allows to quantify regions of interest without any prior knowledge about oceanographic phenomena. Where links are absent within the correlation network, increasing the spatial resolution of the transect may help to gain precision. One crucial region is the longitudinal resolution at $6 \pm 1^\circ$ E. The new method quantifies the common knowledge that the error of the flux estimates actually originates in the uncertainty of the western boundary of the West Spitsbergen Current (WSC).

VI. DATA SOURCES

Eventually the complete data set will be freely available, the following table summarizes the state in June 2012.

snippet	begin	end	$^\circ W$	$^\circ N$	doi:10.1594/
F1-7	2004-07-20	2005-08-17	8.664	78.832	pangaea.778856
F1-8	2005-08-17	2006-08-21	8.664	78.832	pangaea.778857
F1-10	2007-09-12	2008-07-05	8.674	78.834	pangaea.777564
F1-11	2008-07-07	2009-07-04	8.667	78.833	pangaea.777565
F2-6	2002-08-02	2003-09-22	8.330	78.834	pangaea.780554
F2-8	2004-07-20	2005-08-17	8.327	78.836	pangaea.778867
F2-9	2005-08-18	2006-08-21	8.327	78.836	pangaea.778868
F2-10	2006-08-23	2007-09-11	8.327	78.836	pangaea.777574
F2-11	2007-09-28	2008-07-05	8.329	78.835	pangaea.777575
F2-12	2008-07-07	2009-07-04	8.333	78.840	pangaea.777576
F2-13	2009-07-05	2010-07-01	8.334	78.840	pangaea.777577
F2-14	2010-07-03	2011-06-25	8.334	78.834	pangaea.777578
F3-6	2003-09-26	2004-07-19	7.994	78.834	pangaea.778908
F3-7	2004-07-20	2005-08-17	7.992	78.838	pangaea.778869
F3-8	2005-08-18	2006-08-22	7.992	78.839	pangaea.778870
F3-9	2006-08-23	2007-09-11	7.992	78.839	pangaea.777583
F3-10	2007-09-28	2008-07-05	8.001	78.834	pangaea.777579
F3-11	2008-07-07	2009-07-03	8.000	78.833	pangaea.777580

F3-12	2009-07-05	2010-07-01	8.001	78.834	pangaea.777581	F8-7	2004-07-22	2005-08-29	2.801	78.834	pangaea.778882
F3-13	2010-07-03	2011-06-25	8.000	78.833	pangaea.777582	F8-8	2005-08-31	2006-08-29	2.802	78.834	pangaea.778883
F4-6	2003-09-26	2004-07-18	7.000	78.833	pangaea.778871	F8-9	2006-08-29	2008-07-15	2.801	78.833	pangaea.777604
F4-7	2004-07-18	2005-08-17	7.000	78.836	pangaea.778872	F8-10	2008-07-18	2010-07-10	2.805	78.833	pangaea.777602
F4-8	2005-08-18	2006-08-23	7.002	78.836	pangaea.778873	F8-11	2010-07-11	2011-06-27	2.799	78.833	pangaea.777603
F4-9	2006-08-27	2007-09-16	7.010	78.839	pangaea.777588	F9-6	2004-08-21	2005-08-29	-0.812	78.839	pangaea.778884
F4-10	2007-09-12	2008-07-05	6.997	78.834	pangaea.777584	F9-7	2005-08-30	2006-09-07	-0.811	78.838	pangaea.778885
F4-11	2008-07-07	2009-07-03	7.000	78.833	pangaea.777585	F9-8	2006-09-08	2008-07-20	-0.811	78.839	pangaea.777605
F4-12	2009-07-04	2010-07-01	7.000	78.833	pangaea.777586	F9-9	2008-07-21	2010-07-18	-0.782	78.837	pangaea.777606
F4-13	2010-07-04	2011-06-19	7.006	78.835	pangaea.777587	F10-6	2003-09-30	2004-08-21	-2.001	78.832	pangaea.778858
F5-6	2003-10-02	2004-07-17	6.002	78.832	pangaea.778874	F10-7	2004-08-24	2005-08-29	-2.001	78.831	pangaea.778859
F5-7	2004-07-19	2005-08-18	6.000	78.832	pangaea.778875	F10-9	2006-09-09	2008-07-21	-2.050	78.821	pangaea.777567
F5-8	2005-08-23	2006-08-28	6.003	78.833	pangaea.778876	F10-10	2008-07-21	2010-07-20	-2.115	78.828	pangaea.777566
F5-9	2006-08-28	2007-09-11	6.003	78.834	pangaea.777593	F15-2	2003-09-28	2004-08-23	1.611	78.833	pangaea.778860
F5-10	2007-09-12	2008-07-05	6.000	78.834	pangaea.777589	F15-3	2004-08-23	2005-08-29	1.610	78.833	pangaea.778861
F5-11	2008-07-12	2009-07-03	6.000	78.833	pangaea.777590	F15-4	2005-08-30	2006-08-30	1.610	78.833	pangaea.778862
F5-12	2009-07-05	2010-07-01	6.000	78.833	pangaea.777591	F15-5	2006-08-30	2007-09-24	1.609	78.833	pangaea.777568
F5-13	2010-07-04	2011-06-25	6.000	78.833	pangaea.777592	F15-6	2007-09-24	2008-07-19	1.605	78.833	pangaea.777569
F6-7	2003-09-27	2004-07-17	5.021	78.830	pangaea.778877	F15-7	2008-07-18	2010-07-17	1.599	78.834	pangaea.777570
F6-8	2004-07-19	2005-08-23	5.022	78.830	pangaea.778878	F16-2	2003-09-29	2004-08-22	0.401	78.835	pangaea.778863
F6-9	2005-08-26	2006-08-25	5.022	78.830	pangaea.778879	F16-3	2004-08-22	2005-08-29	0.397	78.834	pangaea.778864
F6-10	2006-08-28	2007-09-11	5.022	78.831	pangaea.777594	F16-4	2005-08-30	2006-08-30	0.401	78.835	pangaea.778865
F6-11	2007-09-13	2008-07-11	5.002	78.834	pangaea.777595	F16-5	2006-08-31	2007-09-13	0.401	78.835	pangaea.777571
F6-12	2008-07-12	2009-07-03	5.004	78.834	pangaea.777596	F16-6	2007-09-13	2008-07-19	0.540	78.832	pangaea.777572
F6-13	2009-07-06	2010-07-02	5.004	78.834	pangaea.777597	F16-7	2008-07-20	2010-07-17	0.390	78.827	pangaea.777573
F6-14	2010-07-02	2011-06-27	5.000	78.834	pangaea.777598						
F7-6	2004-07-22	2005-08-23	4.000	78.833	pangaea.778880						
F7-7	2005-08-26	2006-08-24	4.000	78.833	pangaea.778881						
F7-8	2006-08-29	2008-07-11	4.000	78.834	pangaea.777600						
F7-9	2008-07-15	2010-07-10	3.997	78.833	pangaea.777601						
F7-10	2010-07-11	2011-06-27	4.000	78.833	pangaea.777599						

The first column is the identifier of the snippet, the first number encodes the position, the number after the dash counts the number of deployments.

-
- [1] M Losch, D Sidorenko, A Beszczynska-Möller: *FEM-SECT: An Inverse Section Model Based on the Finite Element Methods*, Journal of Geophysical Research **110** (2005): 11pp. doi:10.1029/2005JC002910.
- [2] J. F. Donges, Y. Zou, N. Marwan, J. Kurths: *The backbone of the climate network*, European Physical Letters **87** (2009) 48007. doi:10.1209/0295-5075/87/48007
- [3] D K Perovich: *The changing Arctic sea ice cover*. Oceanography (3)**24** (2011), 126–173. doi:10.5670/oceanog.2011.68
- [4] A Beszczynska-Möller, R A Woodgate, C Lee, H Melling, M Karcher: *A Synthesis of Exchanges Through the Main Oceanic Gateways to the Arctic Ocean*, Oceanography (20011) **24**(3), 82-99. doi:10.5670/oceanog.2011.59
- [5] W S Jung, D Lee, Y Choi, K Chang, J Jung, S Seo, M Yi, K Kim: *Analysis of the network mechanism for sea surface temperatures around the Korean Peninsula* Journal of the Korean Physical Society (4)**60** (2012), 566–569. doi:10.3938/jkps.60.566
- [6] U Schauer, E Fahrbach, S Osterhus, G Rohardt: *Arctic warming through the Fram Strait: Oceanic heat transport from 3 years of measurements*, Journal of Geophysical Research **109** (2004), C06026. doi:10.1029/2003JC001823
- [7] L de Steur, E Hansen, R Gerdes, M Karcher, E Fahrbach, J Holfort: *Freshwater fluxes in the East Greenland Current: A decade of observations*, Geophysical Research Letters **26** (2009), L23611. doi:10.1029/2009GL041278