

On Barnette's Conjecture and H^{+-} property

Jan Florek

*Institute of Mathematics,
University of Economics
ul. Komandorska 118/120
53-345 Wrocław, Poland*

Abstract

A conjecture of Barnette states that every 3-connected cubic bipartite plane graph has a Hamilton cycle, which is equivalent to the statement that every simple even plane triangulation admits a partition of its vertex set into two subsets so that each induces a tree.

Let G be a simple even plane triangulation and suppose that V_1, V_2, V_3 is a 3-coloring of the vertex set of G . Let $B_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, be the set of all vertices in V_i of the degree at least 6. We prove that if induced graphs $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ and $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ are acyclic, then the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) For every path abc there is possible to partition the vertex set of G into two subsets so that each induces a tree, and one of them contains the edge ab and avoids the vertex c ,
 - (2) For every path abc with vertices a, c of the same color there is possible to partition the vertex set of G into two subsets so that each induces a tree, and one of them contains the path abc .
-

1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple plane graphs. We use [1] for general reference.

Let \mathcal{P} be the family of all 3-connected cubic bipartite plane graphs. Barnette ([11], Problem 5) conjectured that every graph in \mathcal{P} is Hamiltonian.

Email address: `jan.florek@ue.wroc.pl` (Jan Florek)

⁰2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 05C45, 05C10.

⁰*Key words and phrases:* Barnette's conjecture, Hamilton cycle, induced tree.

In [4], Goodey proved that if a graph in \mathcal{P} has only faces with 4 or 6 sides, then it is Hamiltonian. Feder and Subi [2] generalized Goodey's result by showing that when the faces of a graph in \mathcal{P} are 3-colored, if two of the three color classes contain only faces with either 4 or 6 sides the conjecture holds. Holton, Manvel and McKay [6] used computer search to confirm Barnette's conjecture for graphs up to 64 vertices, and also to confirm the following properties H^{+-} and H^{--} for graphs up to 40 vertices:

H^{+-} If any two edges are chosen on the same face, there is a Hamilton cycle through one and avoiding the other,

H^{--} If any two edges are chosen which are an even distance apart on the same face, there is a Hamilton cycle which avoids both.

Kelmans [7] proved that Barnette's conjecture holds if and only if every graph in \mathcal{P} has the property H^{+-} .

Let \mathcal{E} be the dual family of \mathcal{P} . Thus \mathcal{E} is the family of all simple even plane triangulations. It is known that Barnette's conjecture is equivalent to the following statement : every graph in \mathcal{E} has a partition of its vertex set into two subsets so that each induces a tree (see Stein [10]). The dual approach to Barnette's conjecture was used by the author [3] and Lu [8], [9]. Let $G \in \mathcal{E}$, and suppose that V_1, V_2, V_3 is a 3-coloring of $V(G)$. Notice that properties H^{+-} and H^{--} are equivalent to the following dual versions:

- (1) For every path abc there is possible to partition the vertex set of G into two subsets so that each induces a tree, and one of them contains the edge ab and avoids the vertex c ,
- (2) For every path abc with vertices a, c of the same color there is possible to partition the vertex set of G into two subsets so that each induces a tree, and one of them contains the path abc .

Denote by B_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, the set of all vertices in V_i of the degree at least 6 in G . The purpose of this paper is to prove Theorem 2.1: if induced graphs $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ and $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ are acyclic, then the properties (1)–(2) are satisfied (which generalize results obtained by the author in [3]). Notice that if one of the above induced graphs is acyclic, then by Corollary 2.1 the dual graph G^* is Hamiltonian.

2. Main results

Let G be a simple even plane triangulation, and suppose that V_1, V_2, V_3 is a 3-coloring of $V(G)$; it is well known that such coloring exists (see Heawood [5]). We say that a vertex v is *small* (*big*) in G if $\deg_G(v) = 4$ ($\deg_G(v) \geq 6$, respectively). Denote by B_i (or S_i) the set of all big (or small, respectively) vertices in V_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X, Y be disjoint sets of vertices in the graph G such that:*

- (a) $B_1 \subseteq X$, $B_2 \subseteq Y$, and $B_3 \subseteq X \cup Y$,
- (b) *for every induced path with big ends and small its all inner vertices, the set of inner vertices is contained in or is disjoint with $X \cup Y$.*

If the induced graphs $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic, then it is possible to partition the vertex set of G into two subsets S, T so that each induces a tree, $X \subseteq S$, and $Y \subseteq T$.

Proof If G has at most two big vertices, then theorem yields. Therefore we assume that G has at least three big vertices. Let v be a small vertex which not belongs to $X \cup Y$. There are two possibilities:

- (i) v belongs to a maximum induced path P , with big ends in G (say a, b), and small its all inner vertices. Moreover, there exist two big vertices (say c, d) which are adjacent to all vertices of the path P .
- (ii) v belongs to a subgraph $H \cong K_4$ (octahedron).

Case (i). Notice that vertices c and d belong to the same color class. Hence, by (a), if $c \in X$ and $d \in Y$, then $c, d \in V_3$. Thus the vertices of P belong to $V_1 \cup V_2$. By (b), $X' = X \cup (V(P) \cap S_1)$ and $Y' = Y \cup (V(P) \cap S_2)$ are disjoint. Notice that for X' and Y' the conditions (a)–(b) are satisfied, and the induced graphs $G[X']$ and $G[Y']$ are disjoint and acyclic.

If $c, d \in X$ and doesn't exist a cd -path consisting of vertices in X , we choose a small vertex e belonging to the path P . Then for $X' = X \cup \{e\}$ and $Y' = Y \cup V(P - e)$ the conditions (a)–(b) are satisfied, and the induced graphs $G[X']$ and $G[Y']$ are disjoint and acyclic.

If $c, d \in X$ and there is a cd -path consisting of vertices in X , then for $X' = X$ and $Y' = Y \cup (V(P) \setminus X)$ the conditions (a)–(b) are satisfied, and the induced graphs $G[X']$ and $G[Y']$ are disjoint and acyclic.

Case (ii). The subgraph H is bounded by a 3-cycle (say C) consisting of big vertices in G , and has three small vertices in G (say x, y and z). Assume that C has two vertices in Y which both are adjacent to x . Then

for $X' = X \cup \{x, z\}$ and $Y' = Y \cup \{y\}$ the conditions (a)–(b) are satisfied, and the induced graphs $G[X']$ and $G[Y']$ are disjoint and acyclic.

Continuing the process for the sets X', Y' as for the sets X, Y we obtain a partitioning of $V(G)$ into two subsets S, T each of which induces a tree, $X \subseteq X' \subseteq S$, and $Y \subseteq Y' \subseteq T$. \blacksquare

Corollary 2.1. *Let X, Y be disjoint sets of big vertices in G such that $B_1 \subseteq X$, $B_2 \subseteq Y$, and $B_3 \subseteq X \cup Y$. If the induced graphs $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic, then it is possible to partition the vertex set of G into two subsets S, T so that each induces a tree.*

If G is 4-connected and has at least three big vertices, then for every small vertex s , which is adjacent to a small vertex in G , there exists just one maximum induced path P_s containing s , with big ends and small its all inner vertices. If a small vertex s is adjacent with four big vertices in G , then there are two such paths of the length 2 containing the vertex s . In such case we indicate which one of these two paths has been chosen as P_s .

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be 4-connected with at least three big vertices, and suppose that the induced graphs $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ and $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ are acyclic. For every path abc with vertices a, c of the same color there are disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(G)$ such that :*

(a) *the induced graphs $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic, and one of them contains the edge ab and avoids the vertex c ,*

(b) *there is a permutation (i, j, k) of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and a vertex $v \in B_j$ such that $B_i \cup B_j \setminus \{v\} \subseteq X$ and $B_k \cup \{v\} \subseteq Y$, or $B_i \cup B_j \subseteq X$ and $B_k \subseteq Y$,*

(c) *for every induced path with big ends in G and small its all inner vertices, the set of inner vertices is contained in or is disjoint with $X \cup Y$.*

Proof Assume that the induced graphs $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ and $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ are acyclic. Fix a path abc . Suppose first that the vertices of the path abc are big. By symmetry of the assumption, it is sufficient to consider the following cases:

(α) $a \in B_1, b \in B_2$ and $c \in B_1$,

(β) $a \in B_2, b \in B_1$ and $c \in B_2$,

(γ) $a \in B_2, b \in B_3$ and $c \in B_2$.

We set

$X = (B_1 \cup B_2) \setminus \{c\}$ and $Y = B_3 \cup \{c\}$, for the case (α) and (β),

$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{a\}$ and $Y = B_3 \cup \{a\}$, for the case (γ) . Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic and B_3 is independent, $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic too. Notice that $G[X]$ ($G[Y]$) contains the edge ab and avoids the vertex c for the case (α) and (β) (for the case (γ) , respectively). Hence, the conditions (a)–(c) are satisfied.

Let now the path abc has a small vertex in G . By symmetry of the assumption, it is sufficient to consider the following cases :

- $(\alpha, 1)$ $a \in S_1, b \in B_2, b \notin V(P_a)$ and $c \in S_1$,
- $(\alpha, 2)$ $a \in S_1, b \in B_2, b \in V(P_a)$ and $c \in S_1$,
- $(\alpha, 3)$ $a \in V_1, b \in S_2$ and $c \in V_1$,
- $(\alpha, 4)$ $a \in B_1, b \in B_2$ and $c \in S_1$,
- $(\alpha, 5)$ $a \in S_1, b \in B_2$ and $c \in B_1$,
- $(\beta, 1)$ $a \in S_2, b \in B_1$ and $c \in B_2$,
- $(\beta, 2)$ $a \in B_2$, or $b \in S_1$, or $c \in S_2$,
- $(\gamma, 1)$ $a \in S_2, b \in B_3$ and $c \in S_2$,
- $(\gamma, 2)$ $a \in V_2, b \in S_3$ and $c \in V_2$,
- $(\gamma, 3)$ $a \in B_2, b \in B_3$ and $c \in S_2$,
- $(\gamma, 4)$ $a \in S_3, b \in B_2$ and $c \in B_3$.

Case $(\alpha, 1)$. There is a vertex $d \in B_2 \setminus \{b\}$ which is adjacent to every vertex of the path P_a . Since $B_1 \cup B_2$ is acyclic there exists at most one bd -path consisting of vertices in $B_1 \cup B_2$. If such path exists, let $be, e \in B_1$, be an edge of this path (if such path not exist we replace $\{e\}$ by the empty set). If $b \notin V(P_c)$ and $P_a \neq P_c$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \setminus \{e\} \cup B_2 \cup \{a\}, \quad Y = B_3 \cup V(P_a - a) \cup (V(P_c) \setminus B_1) \cup \{e\} \quad \text{and} \quad v := e.$$

Since G is 4-connected, e is not adjacent to both ends of P_c . Hence, $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic, because $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic and B_3 is independent. Notice that X, Y are disjoint, $ab \subset G[X]$, $(B_1 \setminus \{e\}) \cup B_2 \subset X$, $B_3 \cup \{e\} \subset Y$, and $X \cup Y = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup V(P_a) \cup V(P_c)$. Hence, conditions (a)–(c) yield.

If $b \in V(P_c)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \setminus \{e\} \cup B_2 \cup \{a\} \cup V(P_c - c), \quad Y = B_3 \cup V(P_a - a) \cup \{c, e\} \quad \text{and} \quad v := e.$$

Since G is 4-connected, e is not adjacent to both vertices in B_3 which are adjacent to every vertex of P_c . Hence, $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic and conditions (a)–(c) yield.

If $P_a = P_c$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \setminus \{e\} \cup B_2 \cup \{a\}, \quad Y = B_3 \cup V(P_a - a) \cup \{e\} \quad \text{and} \quad v := e.$$

Case $(\alpha, 2)$. If a is adjacent with four big vertices in G , then the case $(\alpha, 2)$ follows from the case $(\alpha, 1)$. Thus we assume that the path P_a has the length at least 3. Hence, there exists a vertex $d \in V(P_a) \cap S_2$. If $b \notin V(P_c)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup V(P_a - d) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{d\} \cup V(P_c) \setminus B_1.$$

If $b \in V(P_c)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup V(P_a - d) \cup V(P_c - c) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{c, d\}.$$

Case $(\alpha, 3)$. If $a, c \notin V(P_b)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \setminus \{c\} \cup B_2 \cup (V(P_b) \cap S_2), \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{c\} \cup (V(P_b) \cap S_3) \quad \text{and} \quad v := c.$$

Let $a, c \in V(P_b)$. If c is small (big) in G , then we set

$$X = B_2 \cup (B_1 \cup V(P_b)) \setminus \{c\}, \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{c\}, \quad (\text{and } v := c, \text{ respectively}).$$

Case $(\alpha, 4)$. If $b \notin V(P_c)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_3 \cup V(P_c) \setminus B_1.$$

If $b \in V(P_c)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup V(P_c - c) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{c\}.$$

Case $(\alpha, 5)$. Suppose that $b \notin V(P_a)$. Then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_3 \cup V(P_a - a) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_2 \cup \{a\}.$$

Suppose that $b \in V(P_a)$. Then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_3 \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_2 \cup V(P_a) \setminus B_1.$$

Case $(\beta, 1)$. Suppose that $b \notin V(P_a)$. Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ and $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ are acyclic, exactly one end of the path P_a (say d) belongs to B_3 . We set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_3 \setminus \{d\} \cup \{a\}, \quad Y = B_2 \cup V(P_a - a) \quad \text{and} \quad v := d.$$

There is a vertex $e \in B_1 \setminus \{b\}$ which is adjacent to every vertex of P_a . Since $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ is acyclic, bde is the only be -path consisting of vertices in $B_1 \cup B_3$.

Hence, $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic, because $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ is acyclic and B_2 is independent. Notice that X, Y are disjoint, $ab \subset G[X]$, $B_1 \cup B_3 \setminus \{d\} \subset X$, $B_2 \cup \{d\} \subset Y$, and $X \cup Y = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup V(P_a)$. Therefore the conditions (a)–(c) yield.

Suppose that $b \in V(P_a)$. Since $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ is acyclic, there is a vertex $d \in V(P_a) \cap S_1$. Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic there exists at most one path connecting the ends of the path P_a and consisting of vertices in $B_1 \cup B_2$. If such path exists, we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{c\} \cup V(P_a - d), \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{c, d\} \quad \text{and} \quad v := c.$$

If such path not exists, we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{c\} \cup V(P_a), \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{c\} \quad \text{and} \quad v := c.$$

Case $(\beta, 2)$. For the cases $(\alpha, 1)$ – $(\alpha, 4)$, Lemma 2.2 follows from the assumption that the induced graph $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic. Hence, for the case $(\beta, 2)$, Lemma 2.2 yields.

Case $(\gamma, 1)$. Suppose that $b \in V(P_a) \cap V(P_c)$. There is a vertex $d \in B_1$ which is adjacent to every vertex of P_c . Then we set

$$X = B_1 \setminus \{d\} \cup B_2 \cup (V(P_c) \cap S_2),$$

$$Y = B_3 \cup \{d\} \cup (V(P_a) \setminus B_2) \cup (V(P_c) \cap S_3) \quad \text{and} \quad v := d.$$

Since $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ is acyclic, the path P_a has one end belonging to B_2 . Hence, $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic, because $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic and B_3 is independent. Notice that X, Y are disjoint, $ab \subset G[Y]$, $B_1 \setminus \{d\} \cup B_2 \subset X$, $B_3 \cup \{d\} \subset Y$, and $X \cup Y = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup V(P_a) \cup V(P_c)$. Hence, conditions (a)–(c) yield.

Let $b \notin V(P_a)$ and $b \in V(P_c)$. Since $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ is acyclic, the path P_c has one end (say d) belonging to B_2 . Then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{d\} \cup V(P_a - a) \cup \{c\}, \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{a\} \cup V(P_c - c) \quad \text{and} \quad v := d.$$

There are vertices $e, f \in B_1$ which are adjacent to every vertex of P_c . Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic, edf is the only ef -path consisting of vertices in $B_1 \cup B_2$. Hence, $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic, because $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic and B_3 is independent. Notice that X, Y are disjoint, $ab \subset G[Y]$, $B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{d\} \subset X$, $B_3 \cup \{d\} \subset Y$, and $X \cup Y = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup V(P_a) \cup V(P_b)$. Therefore the conditions (a)–(c) yield.

Let $b \in V(P_a)$ and $b \notin V(P_c)$. Since $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ is acyclic, there is a vertex $d \in V(P_c) \cap S_1$. Then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup V(P_c - d) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{d\} \cup (V(P_a) \setminus B_2).$$

Let $b \notin V(P_a) \cup V(P_c)$ and $P_a \neq P_c$. Since $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ is acyclic, there is a vertex $d \in V(P_c) \cap S_1$. Then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup V(P_a - a) \cup V(P_c - d) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{a, d\}.$$

If $b \notin V(P_a)$ and $P_a = P_c$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup V(P_a - a) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{a\}.$$

Case $(\gamma, 2)$. If $a, c \notin V(P_b)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{a\} \cup (V(P_b) \cap S_1), \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{a\} \cup (V(P_b) \cap S_3) \quad \text{and} \quad v := a.$$

Let $a, c \in V(P_b)$. Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ and $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$, exactly one end of the path P_b (say d) belongs to B_2 . If $d \neq c$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{d\} \cup \{c\}, \quad Y = B_3 \cup V(P_b - c) \quad \text{and} \quad v := d.$$

There are vertices $e, f \in B_1$ which are adjacent to every vertex of P_b . Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic, edf is the only ef -path consisting of vertices in $B_1 \cup B_2$. Hence, $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic, because $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic and B_3 is independent. Notice that X, Y are disjoint, $ab \subset G[Y]$, $B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{d\} \subset X$, $B_3 \cup \{d\} \subset Y$, and $X \cup Y = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup V(P_b)$. Hence, conditions (a)–(c) yield. If $d = c$, then we set $X = B_1 \cup B_2$ and $Y = B_3 \cup V(P_b - c)$.

Case $(\gamma, 3)$. Suppose that $b \in V(P_c)$. Then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_3 \setminus \{b\} \cup \{c\}, \quad Y = B_2 \cup V(P_c - c) \quad \text{and} \quad v := b.$$

There are vertices $e, f \in B_1$ which are adjacent to every vertex of P_c . Since $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ is acyclic, ebf is the only ef -path consisting of vertices in $B_1 \cup B_3$. Hence, $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic, because $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ is acyclic and B_2 is independent. Notice that X, Y are disjoint, $ab \subset G[Y]$, $B_1 \cup B_3 \setminus \{b\} \subset X$, $B_2 \cup \{b\} \subset Y$, and $X \cup Y = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup V(P_c)$. Hence, conditions (a)–(c) yield.

Suppose that $b \notin V(P_c)$. Since $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ is acyclic, there is a vertex $d \in V(P_c) \cap S_1$. Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic there exists at most one path

connecting ends of the path P_c and consisting of vertices in $B_1 \cup B_2$. If such path exists, we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{a\} \cup V(P_c - d), \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{a, d\} \quad \text{and} \quad v := a.$$

If such path not exists, we set $X = B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{a\} \cup V(P_c)$ and $Y = B_3 \cup \{a\}$.

Case $(\gamma, 4)$. For the case $(\alpha, 5)$, Lemma 2.3 follows from the assumption that the induced graph $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ is acyclic. Hence, for the case $(\gamma, 4)$, Lemma 2.3 yields. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be 4-connected with at least three big vertices, and suppose that the induced graphs $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ and $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ are acyclic. For every path abc with vertices a, c of the same color there are disjoint sets $X, Y \subset V(G)$ such that :*

(a) *the induced graphs $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic and one of them contains the path abc ,*

(b) *there is a permutation (i, j, k) of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and a vertex $v \in B_j$ such that $B_i \cup B_j \setminus \{v\} \subseteq X$ and $B_k \cup \{v\} \subseteq Y$, or $B_i \cup B_j \subseteq X$ and $B_k \subseteq Y$,*

(c) *for every induced path with big ends in G and small its all inner vertices, the set of inner vertices is contained in or is disjoint with $X \cup Y$.*

Proof Assume that the induced graphs $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ and $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ are acyclic. Fix a path abc . Suppose first that vertices of the path abc are big in G . By symmetry of the assumption, it is sufficient to consider the following cases:

(α) $a \in B_1, b \in B_3$ and $c \in B_1$,

(β) $a \in B_3, b \in B_1$ and $c \in B_3$,

(γ) $a \in B_3, b \in B_2$ and $c \in B_3$.

We set

$X = B_1 \cup B_3$ and $Y = B_2$, for the cases (α) and (β),

$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{b\}$ and $Y = B_3 \cup \{b\}$, for the case (γ).

Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ and $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ are acyclic and B_i is independent, $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic too. Notice that the path abc is contained in $G[X]$ ($G[Y]$) for the cases (α) and (β) (for the case (γ), respectively). Hence, the conditions (a)–(c) are satisfied.

Let now the path abc has a small vertex in G . By symmetry of the assumption, it is sufficient to consider the following cases :

($\alpha, 1$) $a \in S_1, b \in B_3$ and $c \in S_1$,

- ($\alpha, 2$) $a \in V_1, b \in S_3$ and $c \in V_1$,
- ($\alpha, 3$) $a \in S_1, b \in B_3$ and $c \in B_1$,
- ($\beta, 1$) $a \in S_3, b \in B_1$ and $c \in S_3$,
- ($\beta, 2$) $a \in V_3, b \in S_1$ and $c \in V_3$,
- ($\beta, 3$) $a \in S_3, b \in B_1$ and $c \in B_3$,
- (γ) $a \in V_3, b \in V_2$ and $c \in V_3$.

Case ($\alpha, 1$). If $b \notin V(P_a) \cup V(P_c)$ and $P_a \neq P_c$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup V(P_a - a) \cup V(P_c - c) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{a, c\}.$$

If $b \in V(P_a) \cap V(P_c)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_3 \cup (V(P_a) \cup V(P_c)) \setminus B_1.$$

If $b \notin V(P_a)$ and $b \in V(P_c)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup V(P_a - a) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{a\} \cup V(P_c) \setminus B_1.$$

Suppose that $b \notin V(P_a)$ and $P_a = P_c$. There exists a vertex $d \in B_3 \setminus \{b\}$ which is adjacent to every vertex of the path P_a . Then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_3 \setminus \{d\} \cup (V(P_a) \cap S_1), \quad Y = B_2 \cup \{d\} \cup (V(P_a) \cap S_2) \quad \text{and} \quad v := d.$$

Case ($\alpha, 2$). Let $a, c \notin V(P_b)$. Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ and $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ are acyclic, exactly one end of the path P_b (say d) belongs to B_2 . Then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{d\} \cup \{b\}, \quad Y = B_3 \cup V(P_b - b) \quad \text{and} \quad v := d.$$

Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic, adc is the only ac -path with vertices in $B_1 \cup B_2$. Hence, $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic, because $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic and B_3 is independent. Notice that X, Y are disjoint, $abc \subset G[X]$, $B_1 \cup B_2 \setminus \{d\} \subset X$, $B_3 \cup \{d\} \subset Y$, and $X \cup Y = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup V(P_b)$. Therefore the conditions (a)–(c) yield.

Suppose that $a, c \in V(P_b)$. Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic, at least one end of the path P_b (say d) belongs to B_3 . Then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup (B_3 \cup V(P_b)) \setminus \{d\}, \quad Y = B_2 \cup \{d\} \quad \text{and} \quad v := d.$$

Case ($\alpha, 3$). If $b \notin V(P_a)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \setminus \{c\} \cup B_2 \cup V(P_a - a), \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{a, c\} \quad \text{and} \quad v := c.$$

Suppose that $b \in V(P_a)$. Let $d \neq b$ be the end of the path P_a . Notice that $d \in B_1 \cup B_3$. Then we set

$$X = (B_1 \cup B_3 \cup V(P_a)) \setminus \{d\}, \quad Y = B_2 \cup \{d\} \quad \text{and} \quad v := d.$$

Case $(\beta, 1)$. If $b \notin V(P_a)$ and $b \in V(P_c)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \setminus \{b\} \cup B_2 \cup (V(P_a) \cap S_2), \quad Y = B_3 \cup (V(P_a) \cap S_3) \cup V(P_c) \quad \text{and} \quad v := b.$$

Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic, P_c has one end belonging to B_3 . Hence, $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic, because $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic and B_3 is independent. Notice that X, Y are disjoint, $abc \subset G[Y]$, $(B_1 \setminus \{b\}) \cup B_2 \subset X$, $B_3 \cup \{b\} \subset Y$, and $X \cup Y = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup V(P_a) \cup V(P_b)$. Therefore the conditions (a)–(c) yield.

If $b \notin V(P_a) \cup V(P_c)$ and $P_a \neq P_c$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \setminus \{b\} \cup B_2 \cup (V(P_a) \cap S_2) \cup (V(P_c) \cap S_2),$$

$$Y = B_3 \cup \{b\} \cup (V(P_a) \cap S_3) \cup (V(P_c) \cap S_3) \quad \text{and} \quad v := b.$$

If $b \in V(P_a) \cap V(P_c)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \setminus \{b\} \cup B_2, \quad Y = B_3 \cup V(P_a) \cup V(P_c) \quad \text{and} \quad v := b.$$

If $b \notin V(P_a)$ and $P_a = P_c$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \setminus \{b\} \cup B_2 \cup (V(P_a) \cap S_2), \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{b\} \cup (V(P_a) \cap S_3) \quad \text{and} \quad v := b.$$

Case $(\beta, 2)$. If $a, c \notin V(P_b)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup V(P_b - b) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_3 \cup \{b\}.$$

If $a, c \in V(P_b)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \cup B_2 \quad \text{and} \quad Y = B_3 \cup V(P_b) \setminus B_1.$$

Case $(\beta, 3)$. Let $b \in V(P_a)$. Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic, there is a vertex $d \in V(P_a) \cap S_1$. Then we set

$$X = B_1 \setminus \{b\} \cup B_2 \cup \{d\}, \quad Y = B_3 \cup V(P_a) \setminus \{d\} \quad \text{and} \quad v := b.$$

There are vertices $e, f \in B_2$ which are adjacent to every vertex of P_a . Since $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic, ebf is the only ef -path consisting of vertices in $B_1 \cup B_2$.

Hence, $G[X]$ and $G[Y]$ are acyclic, because $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic and B_3 is independent. Notice that X, Y are disjoint, $abc \subset G[X]$, $(B_1 \setminus \{b\}) \cup B_2 \subset X$, $B_3 \cup \{b\} \subset Y$, and $X \cup Y = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup V(P_b)$. Therefore the conditions (a)–(c) yield.

If $b \notin V(P_a)$, then we set

$$X = B_1 \setminus \{b\} \cup B_2 \cup (V(P_a) \cap S_2), \quad Y = B_3 \cup (V(P_a) \cap S_3) \cup \{b\} \quad \text{and} \quad v := b.$$

Case (γ) . For the cases $(\beta, 1)$ – $(\beta, 3)$, Lemma 2.3 follows from the assumption that the induced graph $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ is acyclic. Hence, for the case (γ) , Lemma 2.3 yields. \blacksquare

Theorem 2.1. *If induced graphs $G[B_1 \cup B_2]$ and $G[B_1 \cup B_3]$ are acyclic, then the following properties are satisfied:*

(a) *For every path abc there is possible to partition the vertex set of G into two subsets so that each induces a tree, and one of them contains the edge ab and avoids the vertex c*

(b) *For every path abc with vertices a, c of the same color there is possible to partition the vertex set of G into two subsets so that each induces a tree, and one of them contains the path abc .*

Proof. Fix a path abc . If the vertices a, c have different colors, the condition (a) follows from (b). Therefore we assume that a, c have the same color. If G has at most two big vertices, then conditions (a) and (b) hold. Thus, assume that G has at least three big vertices.

Suppose that G is not 4-connected, then there is a separating induced 3-cycle, say C . Thus, $G - C$ consists of two components of G , say C^+ and C^- . Hence, by induction, for graphs $G_1 = G - C^+$ and $G_2 = G - C^-$ the conditions (a) and (b) follow. Let d be a vertex of C which has the same color as a and c . If ab is an edge in G_1 , and bc is an edge in G_2 , then there exists a partitioning of the vertex set of G_1 (G_2) into two subsets X_1 and Y_1 (X_2 and Y_2) each of which induces a tree in G_1 (G_2) such that the tree $G_1[X_1]$ ($G_2[X_2]$) contains the path abd (dbc , respectively). If abc is contained in G_1 , then there exists a partitioning of G_1 (G_2) into two subsets X_1 and Y_1 (X_2 and Y_2) each of which induces a tree in G_1 (G_2) such that the tree $G_1[X_1]$ contains the path abc and $X_1 \cap V(C) = X_2 \cap V(C)$. In the both cases a partitioning $\{X_1 \cup X_2, Y_1 \cup Y_2\}$ of the vertex set of G satisfies the

condition (b). By analogy, there exists a partitioning of the vertex set of G satisfying the condition (a).

If G is 4-connected with at least three big vertices, the conditions (a) and (b) follow from Lemmas 2.1–2.2– 2.3. ■

References

- [1] R. Diestel, Graph Theory, Springer-Verlag, (2005).
- [2] T. Feder and C. Subi, On Barnette’s conjecture, ECCCC, Report No 15 (2006).
- [3] J. Florek, On Barnette’s conjecture, Discrete Mathematics, **310** (2010) 1531-1535.
- [4] P. R. Goodey, Hamiltonian cycles in polytopes with even sides, Israel J. Math. **22** (1975) 52–56.
- [5] P. J. Heawood, On the four-colour map theorem, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **24** (1898) 270–285.
- [6] D. A. Holton, B. Manvel and B.D. McKay, Hamiltonian cycles in cubic 3-connected bipartite planar graphs, J. Combin. Theor. B **38** (1985) 279-297.
- [7] A. K. Kelmans, Constructions of cubic bipartite 3-connected graphs without Hamiltonian cycles, Analiz Zadach Formirovaniya i Vybora Alternativ, VNIISI, Moscov **10** (1986) 64–72 [in Russian]; (see also AMS Translations, Series 2, **158** (1994) 127–140).
- [8] X. Lu, A note on 3-connected cubic planar graphs, Discrete Math., **310** (2010) 2054-2058.
- [9] X. Lu, A note on Barnette’s conjecture, Discrete Math., **311** (2011) 2711-2715.
- [10] S. K. Stein, B-sets and planar maps, Pacific J. Math. **37** (1971) 217–224.
- [11] W. T. Tutte (Ed.), Recent Progress in Combinatorics, Academic Press, New York (1969) 343.