

COLLAPSING TOPOLOGY OF ISOLATED SINGULARITIES

LEV BIRBRAIR¹, ALEXANDRE FERNANDES², AND VINCENT GRANDJEAN³

ABSTRACT. We prove here the existence of a topological thick and thin decomposition of any closed definable thick isolated singularity germ in the spirit of the recently discovered metric thick and thin decomposition of complex normal surface singularities of [10]. Our thin zone catches exactly the homology of the family of the links collapsing faster than linearly. Simultaneously we introduce a class of rigid homeomorphisms more general than bi-Lipschitz ones, which map the topological thin zone onto the topological thin zone of its image. As a consequence of this point of view for the class of singularities we consider we exhibit an equivalent description of the notion of separating sets in terms of this fast contracting homology.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Background - Notations	5
3. Fast contracting cycles and fast contracting homology	8
4. Simple tangent directions	11
5. Collapsing homology locus of thick isolated singularities	16
6. Topological thick and thin decomposition theorem for thick isolated singularities	20
7. Non-simply embedded thick isolated singularities	22
8. Examples and applications	23
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

A subset of a Riemannian manifold carries two natural metrics: the *outer metric* where the distance between points is measured in the ambient space,

Date: September 10, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 03C64 14P15 32B20 51F99 51H99.

Key words and phrases. bi-Lipschitz geometry, fast contracting homology, thick and thin decomposition, separating sets.

¹Research supported under CNPq grant no 300575/2010-6.

²Research supported under CNPq grant no 302998/2011-0.

³Research supported by CNPq grant no 150555/2011-3.

and the *inner metric* where the infimum of the lengths of rectifiable curves joining the points considered realizes the distance. Although the underlying topological structures are homeomorphic, the corresponding metric spaces may not be equivalent.

Real tame or complex analytic subsets share the same local structure, namely they are topological cones over their link [23, 24, 14]. (The adjective *tame* has to be understood as *topologically tame*, as meant in Grothendieck's *Esquisse d'un programme*). Since such subsets are always (locally) embedded in a real or complex Euclidean space, they come equipped with the inner metric and with the outer metric. Although the local inner and outer geometric structure of real tame and complex subsets are more delicate to understand than their topology, some tools are available to do so. Following the works of the first two named authors [16, 2] for curves (see also [27]) and surfaces definable in an o-minimal structure expanding the field of real numbers, and of Grieser [19] for real analytic surfaces with isolated singularities, the notion of metric type introduced by Valette [29, 30] gives a thorough description of the local metric combinatorics of the corresponding subsets. The topology of the family of the links - the family of intersections of a representative of the germ with the Euclidean spheres centered at the origin and of small radii - of tame isolated singularities may be rich, but its metric behavior when the radii tends to 0 has still a long way to go before being fully understood. Once more some tools are available to deal with such a problem: Birbrair-Brasselet metric homology [3, 4] and Valette vanishing homology [31] provide some insights into this topic. But more is needed.

The bottom line of the investigation from a metric point of view (inner or outer) is to understand the locally conic geometry of the class of germs and then proceed to higher degenerate metric types. Unlike tame real sets, complex analytic set germs have tangent cones of maximal dimension, consequently most of the (inner and outer) metric type is of conic nature. The principal reason to insist on the locally conic locus of a given singularity set-germ is - as it happens for cones - that the collapsing of the topology of the family of links is not *faster than linearly*, that is not faster than the velocity of the parameter (radius) of the family tending to 0 (see [3, 4, 30] to give meanings to this expression).

Prior to the paper by the two first named authors [6] was a hazy belief that germs of complex analytic sets could be conic. They found the first example of a complex isolated singularity (a Pham-Brieskorn surface singularity) which cannot be conic at its singular point [6] and did so exhibiting a so called *separating set* which produces a homological obstruction in the family of links. Later, joined by Neumann, they provided more examples of non-conical isolated complex surface singularities [6, 8, 9]. In their very recent work [10], the first named author, Neumann and Pichon completely describe the inner metric structure of complex normal surface singularities. They exhibit a (*metric*) decomposition of the surface germ into thick pieces

and thin pieces: The thin pieces catch exactly all the topology of the family of links collapsing onto the origin faster than linearly. These authors do not only give the precise rates of each collapsing, but they also describe very precisely the structures corresponding to each rate and how they are organized relatively to each other by means of a rather elementary combinatorial object. A second remarkable feat of this decomposition is that the complement of any conical neighborhood of the thin zone is an inner-metric cone. Their decomposition is minimal for these two properties. This work rely on the very rich amount of data available for surface complex singularities and topology of 3-folds, and how all these different points of view fit together.

Although the aforementioned works relate to the Lipschitz Geometry of tame subsets, the point of view of our paper is slightly more general. Inspired by the quoted paper [10], our starting point was to look for the existence of a real tame avatar of a thick-and-thin-like decomposition for any tame isolated singularity germ. Can such singularity germs have a *topological* thick and thin decomposition, namely: *Does there exist a decomposition into thick zones and thin zones such that the latter one catches the topology of the family of the links collapsing faster than linearly?*

As we will see our slightly more general point of view on the topology of the family of the links will bring tools to investigate the local metric conicalness. Note also that describing the metric structure of the thin zone in our general framework is an extremely arduous task, since there does not exist any practically usable quantified complexity of such structure, although such formal data exist in the polynomially bounded case [30].

The present paper proposes a solution to the problem of the existence of a topological thick and thin zone for thick tame isolated singularities. It is organized as follows.

In order to catch the fast contracting topology of the link, we introduce the notion of *fast contracting cycles*, which are cycles supported in the link and contracted in the subset by a tame chain whose support is thin and contains the origin (Definition 3.1). Attached to this classification problem comes the right class of mappings that we call *morphisms*, which are tame continuous mappings which extend as tame and continuous mappings after spherical blowings-up at the source and the target (Definition 3.3). The corresponding homeomorphisms will be called *isomorphisms*. The idea of using spherical blowings-up is completely natural when it comes to deal with the tangent directions at the center of the blowing-up, since the tangent link is embedded as the boundary of the strict transform (defined as the intersection of the strict transform with the ambient exceptional divisor). It turns out that the fast contracting cycles form a sub-complex of the usual homology of the link and that any morphism induces a homomorphism between the corresponding subgroups (Proposition 3.4). Thanks to these simple notions we can weaken the notion of *normal embedding model* of a (tame) subset [5] into the notion of *simply embedded model* which is any isomorphic image of a normally

embedded model (Definition 4.3). We then find out necessary and sufficient conditions on a simply embedded model (of a tame closed thick singularity) to be a cone over a topological tame submanifold (Lemma 4.9).

In order to find this topological thick and thin composition for tame closed thick isolated singularity our proof rely mostly on a weak version of Federer-Flemming Theorem on the triviality of cycles with small volumes [18]. Namely we prove

Theorem 5.1. *Let Γ be a compact polyhedron defined as the union of the simplices of a finite set T . Let ξ be a definable k -cycle in Γ such that for any positive real number ε small enough there exists a homologous definable k -cycle ξ_ε in Γ whose k -dimensional volume $\text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_\varepsilon))$ is smaller than ε . Then the cycle ξ bounds a definable $(k + 1)$ -chain of Γ .*

As expected consequence of Theorem 5.1, cones and their isomorphism classes cannot carry any fast contracting homology (Corollary 5.3).

Having identified the locus of *non-simple tangent directions* $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1$ as the subset of points in the tangent link of a simply embedded model X_1 of a closed tame thick isolated singularity where the fast contracting cycles must collapse (Definition 4.7), and showed that it is tame closed and of codimension at least 1 in the tangent link of X_1 , we can prove the first version of our *topological thick and thin decomposition*:

Theorem 5.4. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin $\mathbf{0}$ and with connected link at the origin. For any positive ε small enough, the inclusion mapping induces the following exact sequence*

$$\mathcal{H}_\bullet(X_1 \cap \text{Cone}(\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1, \varepsilon), \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\bullet(X_1, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow 0.$$

where $\mathcal{H}_\bullet(X_1, \mathbf{0})$ is the sub-complex corresponding to the fast contracting homology classes.

The proof of Theorem 5.4 consists of finding a definable triangulation, which exists, in the simplices of which we can apply Theorem 5.1 whenever possible.

Since the existence of a topological thick and thin decomposition is now established, we define the topological thin zone in a systematic way (Definition 6.3) as the image, by the spherical blowing-down mapping, of any *tame neighborhood* (Definition 6.1), in the strict transform, of the embedding of the non-simple point locus in the boundary of the strict transform as the subset $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1 \times 0$. We check that this definition makes sense (Proposition 6.2). Our main result can be rephrased as

Theorem 6.4. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin and with connected link. The inclusion mapping induces the following exact sequence*

$$\mathcal{H}_\bullet(\text{Thin}(X_1), \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\bullet(X_1, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow 0.$$

where $\text{Thin}(X_1)$ is the topological thin zone of the germ $(X_1, \mathbf{0})$.

Eventually, we present a few examples to illustrate our point of view. All the (so far) known obstructions to local inner metric conicalness, namely *fast loops*, *separating sets* and *choking horns*, can be very well described by the fast contracting homology of the topological thin zone. These notions are not only bi-Lipschitz invariant but also isomorphic invariant when it comes to simply embedded models of tame thick isolated singularities. We insist particularly on the case of separating sets. Condition (SC), introduced in Definition 8.3, holds true for simply embedded model of closed tame thick isolated singularities if and only if there exists a separating set. We end the paper by bringing to light the relation of the existence of separating sets with the fast contracting homology of the topological thin zone:

Theorem 8.7. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin and with connected link. Suppose the tangent link is of pure dimension $d - 1$. There exists a separating set if and only if the surjective homomorphism of the fc-homology groups*

$$\iota_* : \mathcal{H}_{d-2}(\text{Thin}(X_1), \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{d-2}(X_1, \mathbf{0})$$

induced by the inclusion mapping $\iota : \text{Thin}(X_1) \rightarrow X_1$ is not injective.

2. BACKGROUND - NOTATIONS

We present in this section the few definitions and notations we are going to use in the paper. We also expect here to provide most of the material to present this note as rather self-contained.

In order to avoid any confusion about the notion of cone, we recall the following

Definition 2.1. *A subset C of some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^p is a cone with vertex the origin if there exists a subset L of the unit sphere \mathbf{S}^{p-1} , the link of the cone, such that*

$$C := \text{Cone}(L) = \{tu : u \in L, \text{ and } t > 0\} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Notation: For any non-zero vector x of some Euclidean space, we denote by $\nu(x)$ the oriented direction of the vector x

$$\nu(x) := \frac{x}{|x|}.$$

Definition 2.2. *Let X be a subset of some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^p whose closure $\text{clos}(X)$ contains the origin $\mathbf{0}$. The tangent cone $T_{\mathbf{0}}X$ of X at $\mathbf{0}$ is the closed cone over the subset $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{0}}X$, the tangent link of the subset X at $\mathbf{0}$ defined as*

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{0}}X := \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{S}^{p-1} : \text{there exists } (x_k)_{k \geq 1} \in X \setminus \mathbf{0} \text{ such that } x_k \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \nu(x_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty\}.$$

As already mentioned in the introduction, any subset X of some Euclidean space can be equipped with two metrics: The *outer metric* measures the distances in the ambient Euclidean space while the *inner metric* measures the distance between two points of X as the infimum of the length of rectifiable curves within X connecting the two points. These two structure of metric spaces on X are not necessarily equivalent metric structures. This fact justify the introduction of the following

Definition 2.3. *i) A subset X of \mathbb{R}^p is normally embedded if the identity mapping between the metric spaces (X, d_{outer}) and (X, d_{inner}) is bi-Lipschitz. ii) Any normally embedded subset X bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to a subset X_0 contained in \mathbb{R}^q and equipped with the inner metric is called a normally embedded model of X_0 .*

Throughout this paper we are going to work with subsets definable in an o-minimal structure expanding the field of real numbers. For readers not familiar with an o-minimal framework, they can think of the category of the semi-algebraic subsets - which form an o-minimal structure - (see [14, 13] for definitions and standard properties).

Important Note: We assume for the rest of the paper we are given an o-minimal structure \mathcal{M} expanding the field of real numbers. Any future occurrence of the word *definable* will mean definable in this o-minimal structure \mathcal{M} .

Remark 2.4. *If X is a compact definable subset of \mathbb{R}^p , normally embedded will mean definably normally embedded, that is the bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism in Definition 2.3 is required to also be a definable mapping.*

A compact definable subset X_0 of some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^p always admits a *normally embedded model*, that is there exists a normally embedded definable subset X of some \mathbb{R}^n which is definably bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to the metric space (X_0, d_{inner}) (the definable case works exactly as the semi-algebraic case of [5] since the existence of a definable pancake decomposition can be proved along the same lines as those of the subanalytic case [21], see also [25, 28, 12, 26, 31]). We introduce now two notions which will be key for the rest of the paper

Definition 2.5. *Let $(X, \mathbf{0})$ be the germ at the origin of a closed definable subset of some Euclidean space. Let d be the dimension of the set-germ $(X, \mathbf{0})$.*

- i) The subset X is thick at the origin $\mathbf{0}$ if the tangent link \mathbf{S}_0X at $\mathbf{0}$ has dimension $d - 1$.*
- ii) The subset X is thin at the origin $\mathbf{0}$ if the tangent link \mathbf{S}_0X at $\mathbf{0}$ has dimension strictly smaller than $d - 1$.*

Note that the notion of *thick* we propose in Definition 2.5 is not as specific and thus looser than that provided in [10].

Let X be a definable subset of some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . We recall that the germ (X, x) of X at any of its point x is topologically conic: Indeed, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the intersection $X \cap \mathbf{B}^n(x, r)$, where $\mathbf{B}^n(x, r)$ is the ambient Euclidean open ball of \mathbb{R}^n centered at x of radius r , is definably homeomorphic to the cone over the intersection $X \cap \mathbf{S}^{n-1}(x, r)$ for any positive radius $r < \varepsilon$ where $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}(x, r)$ is the Euclidean sphere of radius r and centered x ([23, 24, 14, 13, 28, 12]).

Definition 2.6. *The link of X at x is the topological space homeomorphic to any intersection $X \cap \mathbf{S}^{n-1}(x, \varepsilon)$ for any sufficiently small positive ε . By a minor abuse of language we will also call link any intersection $X \cap \mathbf{S}^{n-1}(x, \varepsilon)$ when ε is small enough.*

Hereafter, every representative of a germ (X, x) is considered into an ambient Euclidean open ball $\mathbf{B}(x, r)$ of radius $r < \varepsilon$.

Definition 2.7. *The germ at the origin $\mathbf{0}$ of a definable closed subset X of some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^p is called a closed definable isolated singularity, if $(X \setminus \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$ is the germ of a C^1 submanifold of \mathbb{R}^p .*

Although the notion of isolated singularity is classical, we recall it in the context we are interested in to emphasize that in the following sections we will not only deal with a closed isolated singularity but also and mainly with definably homeomorphic images, allowing the possibility of singular points outside the origin. In particular we will speak very often of a *normally embedded model* and of a *simply embedded model* (see Definition 4.3) of the given closed definable isolated singularity, which are definably homeomorphic images, with additional properties. Since the link of an isolated singularity is always a C^1 submanifold, the link of any definably homeomorphic image will still be a definable topological submanifold

We end-up this section recalling the notion of *spherical blowing-up* of the origin of \mathbb{R}^n defined as the following mapping

$$\beta_n : \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, (\mathbf{u}, r) \rightarrow r\mathbf{u}.$$

The *strict transform* of the subset X under the spherical blowing-up β_n is the subset of $\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ defined as

$$X' := \text{clos}(\beta_n^{-1}(X \setminus \mathbf{0})).$$

The *boundary* $\partial X'$ of the strict transform X' is just the intersection of X' with the ambient exceptional divisor $\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times 0$, namely,

$$\partial X' := X' \cap (\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times 0) = \mathbf{S}_0 X \times 0.$$

We would like to insist on the fact that, since the tangent link $\mathbf{S}_0 X$ of X at the origin is the basis of the tangent cone $T_0 X$ of X at the origin, the main interest for us in using a spherical blowing-up is to give substance to the tangent link of X , embedded in the strict transform X' of X as the boundary $\partial X'$. The strict transform X' allows to see simultaneously the

geometric object we are interested in (X) and its tangent cone at the origin (T_0X) .

Note that when there will be no ambiguity on the ambient Euclidean space we are in, we will just write β .

3. FAST CONTRACTING CYCLES AND FAST CONTRACTING HOMOLOGY

We present here the objects and the morphisms of the classification problem as well as some of their elementary properties that will allow to define in Sections 5 and 6 the notion of *topological thin zone*.

We want to classify germs of definable subsets (of some Euclidean space) up to a class of definable homeomorphisms with special properties. The simplest model of germs, up to these special homeomorphisms, we have in mind are just cones. First, we present the objects under our considerations which we want to be preserved by these special homeomorphisms. Only after, we will define precisely what are these special homeomorphisms.

Let $(X, \mathbf{0})$ be a germ of definable closed subset at the origin $\mathbf{0}$ of some Euclidean space and with connected link at the origin.

We recall that any singular homology class of any given bounded definable set can be realized by a definable cycle [23, 20, 13, 28, 12].

Definition 3.1. *A definable singular k -dimensional cycle ξ of X supported in the link of X , that is whose support is contained in $X \setminus \mathbf{0}$, is said to be fast-contracting if there exists a definable $(k+1)$ -dimensional chain η on X bounded by the cycle ξ , whose support is thin at the origin and contains the origin.*

We will shorten the expression *fast contracting cycle supported in the link* into *fc-cycle*.

We denote $\mathcal{H}_k(X, \mathbf{0})$ the subset of the k -th singular homology group of the link $H_k(X \setminus \mathbf{0})$ consisting only of the homology classes represented by fc-cycles. We observe that $\mathcal{H}_k(X, \mathbf{0})$ is a subgroup of $H_k(X \setminus \mathbf{0})$.

Definition 3.2. *The group $\mathcal{H}_k(X, \mathbf{0})$ is called the k -th local homology group of the fc-cycles of X at the origin.*

Any homology class of the link represented by a fast contracting cycle supported in the link will be called a *fast contracting homology class* and shortened to *fc-homology class*.

We do not precise the coefficients group since this will not make any change on what we are going to present and demonstrate. What we have in minds are the standard coefficients groups: $\mathbb{Z}/2$, \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} .

Having specified the type of properties we want to classify germs of isolated singularities by, we introduce the class of morphisms which goes with our classification problem.

Let $(X, \mathbf{0})$ and $(Y, \mathbf{0})$ be two germs of closed definable subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m respectively.

Definition 3.3. A continuous definable mapping $\Phi : (X, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathbf{0})$ is called a morphism if

- i) the image $\Phi(X \setminus \mathbf{0})$ is contained in $Y \setminus \mathbf{0}$;
- ii) the mapping

$$\beta_m^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ \beta_n : X' \setminus \partial X' \rightarrow Y' \setminus \partial Y'$$

extends as a continuous definable mapping $\Phi' : X' \rightarrow Y'$. In particular the mapping Φ' induces a continuous definable mapping from the boundary $\partial X'$ of X' into the boundary $\partial Y'$ of Y' .

An *epi-morphism* is a morphism such that the extension mapping is surjective.

A *mono-morphism* is a morphism such that the extension mapping is injective.

A morphism which is an epi-morphism and a mono-morphism admits a continuous definable inverse mapping which extends as an epi-morphism and a mono-morphism. We call such a morphism an *isomorphism*.

Examples. 1) Let $S_\alpha := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 = z^\alpha, z \geq 0\}$ be the α -horn, for a real number $\alpha > 2$. Let π be the orthogonal projection from the α -horn S_α to the horizontal plane $\{z = 0\}$. Whence S_α is equipped with the outer metric of \mathbb{R}^3 , the mapping π is definable and Lipschitz but is not a morphism. Its inverse π^{-1} , which is not Lipschitz, is a morphism but is not an isomorphism.

2) Any definable Lipschitz homeomorphism $(X, d_{\text{outer}}) \rightarrow (Y, d_{\text{outer}})$ between definable germs embedded in some Euclidean spaces extends as an isomorphism (see below Proposition 4.1 and [1]).

3) Let T be a closed definable cone with vertex the origin. The homeomorphism $T \ni x \rightarrow |x|x \in T$ and its inverse are both isomorphisms. Because of its definability and its fast collapsing to the origin, this homeomorphism admits a differential-like mapping at the origin $T_0X \rightarrow T_0Y$ as in [1] and is mapping the whole tangent cone T_0X onto the null vector. Even though the inverse homeomorphism does not admit a differential-like mapping, it induces a homeomorphism between the tangent links.

4) We use [6, Example 4.3] to emphasize even more the difference between our notion of isomorphism and definable outer-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms. Consider the family of semi-algebraic isolated hypersurface singularities of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ defined as:

$$H_\lambda := \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+ : P(x, t)P(x, -t) = t^\lambda\} \text{ with}$$

$$P(x, t) = -t^2 + (x_1 - t)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} x_i^2 \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{>2}.$$

This family was used when $n = 4$ to exhibit a *separating set*, namely the subset $H_\lambda \cap \{x_1 = 0\}$, whose existence obstructs the inner metric conicalness of H_λ (see Section 8 for the relation of separating set and fast contracting homology). Note that if $\lambda' > \lambda > 2$ the subsets $H_{\lambda'}$ and H_λ cannot be outer bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic. Indeed, let $\Phi_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ be the

definable mapping defined as $(x, t) \rightarrow (t^\alpha x, t^{\alpha+1})$ for α a positive rational number. similarly to example 3), this mapping induces an isomorphism from H_λ onto $H_{\lambda'}$ with $\lambda' := \lambda + \alpha(\lambda - 2)$.

As already suggested by the third and fourth example above, the notion of isomorphisms we introduce here is much more general than the notion of definable outer bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms.

As expected, one of the interests of the fc-homology groups lies in the following result:

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(X, \mathbf{0})$ and $(Y, \mathbf{0})$ be germs of closed definable subsets of some Euclidean spaces. For each $k \leq \dim Y$, a morphism $\Phi : (X, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathbf{0})$ induces a homomorphism $\Phi_* : \mathcal{H}_k(X, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k(Y, \mathbf{0})$. If Φ is an isomorphism then Φ_* is also an isomorphism of groups.*

Proof. We recall that the dimension of the image of a definable subset by a definable and continuous mapping is lower than or equal to the dimension of the subset. The image of a definable k -chain ξ by the mapping Φ is a definable k -chain. Since the cycle ξ bounds a thin chain η whose support contains the origin, its image $\Phi(\xi)$ bounds the image $\Phi(\eta)$. When embedded in the boundary of the strict transform X' , the image of the tangent link of the support $\text{supp}(\eta)$ by the mapping Φ' is of dimension lower than or equal to the dimension of the tangent link of $\text{supp}(\eta)$, thus the image $\Phi(\xi)$ is contracted by a thin chain, namely the image $\Phi(\eta)$. \square

We can even be more specific requiring an additional information on the collapsing phenomenon of a given fc-homology class taking into account a measure of the collapsing.

Definition 3.5. 1) *The dimension drop at the origin of a fc-cycle ξ is the maximum of $\dim \text{supp}(\eta) - \dim T_0 \text{supp}(\eta)$ taken over the definable chains η contracting ξ in X whose support contains the origin and is thin.*

2) *The dimension drop of a fc-homology class $[c]$ is the maximum of the dimension drop at the origin of the fc-cycles homologous to $[c]$.*

For any degree $k = 1, \dots, \dim X$, and any dimension drop $\delta = 1, \dots, k$, the fc-homology classes of $\mathcal{H}_k(X, \mathbf{0})$ whose dimension drop is larger than or equal to δ form a subgroup that we denote $\mathcal{H}_k^\delta(X, \mathbf{0})$. We find the following inclusions

$$\mathcal{H}_k^k(X, \mathbf{0}) \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{H}_k^1(X, \mathbf{0}) = \mathcal{H}_k(X, \mathbf{0}).$$

Using Proposition 3.4 and its proof, we refine its statement in the following way

Proposition 3.6. *Let $(X, \mathbf{0})$ and $(Y, \mathbf{0})$ be germs of closed definable subsets of some Euclidean spaces. For each degree $k \leq \dim(Y, \mathbf{0})$ and each dimension drop $\delta = 1, \dots, k$, any morphism $\Phi : (X, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathbf{0})$ induces a homomorphism $\Phi_* : \mathcal{H}_k^\delta(X, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k^\delta(Y, \mathbf{0})$.*

Finally let us remark that when the tangent link of the subset X at the origin has dimension $e - 1 < \dim(X, \mathbf{0}) - 1$, then for any degree $k \geq e$ we necessarily find $\mathcal{H}_k(X, \mathbf{0}) = H_k(X \setminus \mathbf{0})$. In particular if $e = 1$, we find $\mathcal{H}_k^k(X, \mathbf{0}) = \mathcal{H}_k(X, \mathbf{0}) = H_k(X \setminus \mathbf{0})$ for all k .

We check easily that any non-trivial fc-homology class can be realized as Metric homology class [3, 4] and as Vanishing homology class [31].

4. SIMPLE TANGENT DIRECTIONS

The aim of this section is multiple. First we characterize the isomorphism equivalence class of a cone over a topological definable submanifold. This will allow to show in Section 5 that cones do not have fast contracting homology (Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.3). Second, this description result will allow to define the non-simple tangent direction locus, which is precisely the locus of the tangent link over which the fc-cycles will collapse and in a neighborhood of which the thin zone we are looking for is structured.

Let $(X, \mathbf{0})$ be a closed definable subset of some Euclidean space with connected link at the origin $\mathbf{0}$.

Notation: The set of points of the tangent link $\mathbf{S}_0 X$ at which the germ of $\mathbf{S}_0 X$ is the germ of topological submanifold of maximal dimension is denoted by $\mathbf{S}_0^* X$. Note that $\mathbf{S}_0^* X$ is open in the tangent link, definable and of maximal dimension.

We begin with the next result in order to have constantly present in mind that the class of definable homeomorphisms extending as isomorphisms we are using contains the definable outer-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(X, \mathbf{0})$ be the germ of a closed definable subset with connected link at the origin $\mathbf{0}$. Let $\Phi : (X, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathbf{0})$ be a definable bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism for the outer metrics. The homeomorphism Φ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. In their beautiful paper, Bernig & Lytchak, by taking the derivative in $t = 0$ of a definable curve γ of $(X, \mathbf{0})$ and its image $\Phi \circ \gamma$ of $(Y, \mathbf{0})$ both starting at the origin, define a definable homogeneous mapping $D_0 \Phi : T_0 X \rightarrow T_0 Y$, which also happens to be a bi-Lipschitz homogeneous homeomorphism [1]. Namely, given a continuous definable curve $\gamma : [0, \varepsilon[\rightarrow X$ starting at $\mathbf{0}$ with tangent vector \mathbf{u} at $t = 0$, the image vector $D_0 \Phi \cdot \mathbf{u}$ is defined simply as the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{dt} \Phi \circ \gamma(t)$. The homogeneity and the continuity of the mapping $D_0 \Phi$ induce a definable homeomorphism $\mathbf{S}_0 X \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_0 Y$ defined by the normalization of $D_0 \Phi$

$$\nu_0 \Phi : \mathbf{u} \rightarrow \frac{D_0 \Phi \cdot \mathbf{u}}{|D_0 \Phi \cdot \mathbf{u}|}$$

Thus when a sequence $(x_k)_k$ of points of X goes to the origin such that the associated sequence of oriented directions $(\nu(x_k))_k$ goes to \mathbf{u} , we can write

$$\Phi(x_k) = |x_k|D_{\mathbf{0}}\Phi \cdot \mathbf{u} + o(|x_k|).$$

Assuming that the subsets X and Y are embedded respectively in \mathbb{R}^n and in \mathbb{R}^p , we see that the definable mapping $\beta_p^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ \beta_n$ when restricted to open strict transform $X' \setminus \partial X'$ is defined as

$$(4.1) \quad X' \setminus \partial X' \ni (r, \mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\Phi(r\mathbf{u})}{|\Phi(r\mathbf{u})|}, |\Phi(r\mathbf{u})| \right) \in Y' \setminus \partial Y'.$$

We just have to check that this mapping extends continuously as the homeomorphism $\nu_{\mathbf{0}}\Phi \times 0 : \partial X' \rightarrow \partial Y'$ defined as $(\mathbf{u}, 0) \rightarrow (\nu_{\mathbf{0}}\Phi(\mathbf{u}), 0)$ which is immediate from the definition of the mappings $D_{\mathbf{0}}\Phi$ and $\nu_{\mathbf{0}}\Phi$. \square

Remark 4.2. 1) If $\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ is equipped with the outer metric from the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$, then the definable bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism of Proposition 4.1 extends as a definable bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $(X', \partial X') \rightarrow (Y', \partial Y')$ for the outer metrics.

2) Proposition 4.1 is very specific to isomorphisms induced by an outer definable bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism between closed definable germs. Isomorphisms usually do not even give a well defined mapping between tangent cones as seen in Example 3) and 4) of Section 3, even though by definition they induce a homeomorphism between the tangent links.

3) Any definable bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\Phi : (X, d_{\text{inner}}) \rightarrow (X_0, d_{\text{inner}})$, where X is a normally embedded model of closed definable isolated singularity X_0 with connected link, induces a surjective definable homogeneous finite-to-one mapping $D_{\mathbf{0}}\Phi : T_{\mathbf{0}}X \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{0}}X_0$ (see [1]). Thus the mapping Φ extends as a morphism.

If a normally embedded model X of a closed definable isolated singularity X_0 with connected link at the origin $\mathbf{0}$ is isomorphic to another definable germ X_1 , the isomorphism induces a definable homeomorphism $X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ which extends as a morphism and thus induces a surjective continuous definable finite-to-one mapping $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{0}}X_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{0}}X_0$. These facts and Proposition 4.1 justify the introduction of the following - now well defined - notion

Definition 4.3. Let X be a normally embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity X_0 and with connected link at $\mathbf{0}$.

i) A subset X_1 of some Euclidean space is called a simply embedded model of X_0 at the origin if it is isomorphic to any normally embedded model of the singularity X_0 .

ii) A definable homeomorphism $X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ is a simple embedding morphism if it is a morphism obtained as the composition of a bi-Lipschitz mapping $(X, d_{\text{inner}}) \rightarrow (X_0, d_{\text{inner}})$ from a normally embedded model X of X_0 and of an isomorphism $X_1 \rightarrow X$.

A very pleasant property of simply embedded models of an isolated singularity is the following

Proposition 4.4. *Any normally embedded model of a simply embedded model of a definable closed isolated singularity with connected link at the origin is isomorphic to the simply embedded model itself.*

Proof. Let X_0 be a closed definable isolated singularity with connected link at the origin. Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of X_0 and X be a normally embedded model of X_0 isomorphic to X_1 . Let Y be a normally embedded model of X_1 and let $\Phi : (Y, d_{\text{inner}}) \rightarrow (X_1, d_{\text{inner}})$ be a definable bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. It induces a surjective continuous definable finite-to-one mapping $\phi : \mathbf{S}_0 Y \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_0 X_1$. Let $h : X_1 \rightarrow X$ be the isomorphism and let write h' for the homeomorphism $X'_1 \rightarrow X'$. We recall that the composed mapping $\Psi := h \circ \Phi$ is a definable homeomorphism and extends as a morphism Ψ' . In particular the mapping ψ' induced by the extension Φ' between the boundaries of the strict transforms of Y and X respectively, namely $\psi' := h' \circ (\phi \times 0) : \partial Y' \rightarrow \partial X'$, is finite-to-one and surjective. Let x' be a boundary point of X' and let y'_1, y'_2 be two pre-images of x' by ψ' . Let \mathcal{V} be a small neighborhood of x' in X' and let \mathcal{U} be its pre-image by Ψ' . Since the subset Y' is contained in some product $\mathbf{S}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ we equip it with the outer metric of this product. Let C_1 and C_2 be two continuous definable curves lying in \mathcal{U} with respective starting point y'_1 and y'_2 . We can assume these curve are parametrized as $t \rightarrow c_i(t)$ by the height function (projection onto the component \mathbb{R}_+ of the product). Let $M(C_1, C_2)$ be the set of points z' of the neighborhood \mathcal{U} such that z' is equidistant to the points of C_1 and C_2 with the same height as z' . This subset is definable, not empty and thus contains a continuous definable curve C such that its starting point lies in the boundary $\mathcal{U} \cap \partial Y'$ and is equidistant to y'_1 and y'_2 . The image $\Psi'(C)$ of C is contained in the open subset \mathcal{V} and starts at a point of the boundary $\mathcal{V} \cap \partial X'$. Let $(\delta_k)_k$ be a sequence of positive real numbers decreasing to 0. Let \mathcal{V}_k be the open subset of points of X' with distance to z' is strictly less than δ_k . Let \mathcal{U}_k be the pre-image $(\Psi')^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_k)$. We construct a sequence of definable curves $(C_k)_{k>0}$ of the strict transform Y' with starting point y_k in the boundary $\partial Y' \cap \mathcal{U}_k$, equidistant from y'_1 and y'_2 . We can assume that the sequence $(y_k)_k$ converges to y' . Since the continuous definable curve $\Psi'(C_k)$ starts at a point z_k of the boundary $\partial X' \cap \mathcal{U}_k$, the sequence $(z_k)_k$ converges to z' as k goes to ∞ . Thus y' is a pre-image of z' equidistant from y'_1 and y'_2 . Unless the boundary mapping ψ' is injective, we can construct as finitely many pre-images of x' as we want. Thus ψ' is a homeomorphism, and consequently X_1 is isomorphic to any of its normally embedded model. \square

Any normally embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity is simply embedded. The converse is not true as seen in the following example in \mathbb{R}^3

$$X = \text{clos}(\{x^2 + y^2 = z^2, z > 0\}) \text{ and } X_1 := \text{clos}(\{y^2 z^2 + x^4 = z x^3, z > 0\}).$$

These cones are clearly isomorphic but X_1 is not normally embedded, since the compact plane curve $\{y^2 + x^4 = x^3\}$, basis of the cone X_1 , is not normally embedded.

The following result although obvious makes also sense of the notion of isomorphism we have introduced in Section 3.

Proposition 4.5. 1) *Any two simply embedded models of a same closed definable isolated singularity and with connected link are isomorphic.*

2) *If for $i = 1, 2$, the mappings $\Psi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_0$ are simple embedding morphisms of a closed definable isolated singularity X_0 of some Euclidean space and with connected link, then the mapping $\Psi_2^{-1} \circ \Psi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Each model is isomorphic to any normally embedded model of the singularity X_0 . Since the composition of two isomorphisms is again an isomorphism, we get the result. The second point just comes from the definition of a simple embedding morphism. \square

Important note: from now on we will only deal with definable closed isolated singularities **thick** at the origin and with connected link.

Proposition 4.6. *Let X be a normally embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity thick at the origin and with connected link. There exists a smallest closed definable subset Σ of the tangent link \mathbf{S}_0X of codimension at least one such that for each connected component Z of the complement $\mathbf{S}_0X \setminus \Sigma$, the topological type of the definable family of germs $((X', z))_{\{z \in Z \times 0\}}$ is constant along the component Z and the germ at any point $(z, 0)$ of $Z \times 0$ of the pair $(X', \partial X')$ is the germ of a topological submanifold with boundary.*

Proof. The classical theorems of topological equisingularity ensures that the definable family of germs $((X', x'))_{\{x' \in \partial X'\}}$ has finitely many topological types, see [20, 13, 28, 12]. In particular the compact parameter space $\partial X'$ is partitioned into finitely many definable pieces along which the topological type of the germs (X', x') is constant (see [20, 13, 28, 12]). This partitions the tangent link \mathbf{S}_0X into finitely many connected definable topological submanifolds $(Z_i)_{i \in I}$ such that along each piece Z_i the topological type of the family of germs $(X', x')_{\{x' \in Z_i \times 0\}}$ is constant. We call the elements of this partition strata. Let Z be a stratum of maximal dimension, then it is open in then tangent link \mathbf{S}_0X . Since a definable Whitney stratification of the pair $(X', \partial X')$ exists [14, 13] and since the subset X is normally embedded, the topological type of the strict transform X' at any point of the stratum $Z \times 0$ is that of a topological submanifold with boundary. We take Σ to be the complement of the union of the strata of maximal dimension along which the topological type is constant. \square

Since a simply embedded singularity is isomorphic to a normally embedded isolated singularity the strict transforms under the respective spherical blowings-up are homeomorphic, thus the topological nature of the germ of

the strict transform at a point of the boundary is transported by the extension homeomorphism. We can now introduce the following

Definition 4.7. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a definable closed isolated singularity X_0 thick at the origin and with connected link.*

i) A point of the boundary $\partial X'_1$ of the strict transform X'_1 at which the germ of X'_1 is a topological submanifold with boundary is called a simple point. We denote by $\text{smp}(\partial X'_1)$ the set of simple points of $\partial X'$ and by $\text{ns}(\partial X'_1)$ its complement in $\partial X'_1$.

ii) A tangent direction corresponding to a simple point of the boundary $\partial X'_1$ is called a simple tangent direction. Let $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{smp}} X_1$ be the subset of simple tangent direction of X_1 at the origin. The complement set of the simple tangent directions at the origin in the tangent link, is the locus of non-simple tangent directions and is denoted $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1$.

As already explained isomorphic simply embedded models will have definably homeomorphic simple tangent direction loci.

Since the boundary $\partial X'_1 = \mathbf{S}_0 X_1 \times 0$ is an embedding of the tangent link $\mathbf{S}_0 X_1$, we will only work with the tangent link. Note that we obviously find that $\text{smp}(\partial X'_1) = \mathbf{S}_0^{\text{smp}} X_1 \times 0$ and $\text{ns}(\partial X'_1) = \mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1 \times 0$.

We will see below and in the next two sections that the locus of non-simple tangent directions does carry a lot of information about the fast contracting homology classes. As our first step towards this goal we recall Brown Theorem about the existence of collar neighborhoods in metric spaces, since it will be very useful to define the notion of thick zone.

A subset B of a topological space Y is *collared* if there exists a homeomorphism $h : B \times [0, 1[\rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ onto a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of B such that $h(b, 0) = b$ for each point b of B . A subset B of the topological space Y is *locally collared* if it can be covered by a collection of open subsets B_i of B such that each B_i is collared. The main result of Brown [11] is

Theorem 4.8 ([11]). *A locally collared subset of a metric space is collared.*

Reading the simple and elegant proof of this theorem, we observe that this result can be achieved definably if we start with definable data.

Brown Theorem provides a pleasant property of simply embedded models of a given thick isolated singularity whose tangent link consists only of simple tangent directions:

Lemma 4.9. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin and with connected link $\mathbf{0}$. If the tangent link $\mathbf{S}_0 X_1$ is a topological submanifold, then it consists only of simple tangent directions if and only if the subset X_1 is isomorphic to its tangent cone $T_0 X_1$.*

This simple result is the basis of our main results of Sections 5 and 6.

Proof of Lemma 4.9. If X_1 is isomorphic to its tangent cone, then its tangent link consists only of simple points.

We equip the strict transform X'_1 and its boundary $\partial X'_1$ with the outer metric of the metric space $\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ coming from its inclusion in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

Brown Theorem implies the existence of a positive real number ε and of a definable homeomorphism $\Phi : \mathbf{S}_0 X_1 \times [0, \varepsilon[\rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ where \mathcal{V} is an open neighborhood of $\partial X'_1$ in X'_1 and is also definable. Moreover we also know that $\Phi(\mathbf{u}, 0) = (\mathbf{u}, 0)$. Composing with the blowing-down mappings at the target (of Φ) and at the source (of Φ) we obtain a definable homeomorphism

$$\beta \circ \Phi \circ \beta^{-1} : T_0 X_1 \setminus \mathbf{0} \rightarrow X_1 \setminus \mathbf{0},$$

which extends continuously and definably at $\mathbf{0}$. □

Notation: The ε -cone of a subset L of the unit sphere \mathbf{S}^{n-1} is the subset of \mathbb{R}^n defined as

$$\text{Cone}(L, \varepsilon) := \text{clos}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{0} : \text{dist}(\nu(x), L) < \varepsilon\}).$$

With the same principles as those of the second part of the proof of Lemma 4.9, we deduce the following

Corollary 4.10. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin and with connected link $\mathbf{0}$. For a positive real number ε small enough, each connected component of the complement $X_1 \setminus \text{Cone}(\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1, \varepsilon)$ is isomorphic to its tangent cone.*

5. COLLAPSING HOMOLOGY LOCUS OF THICK ISOLATED SINGULARITIES

We present here the first version of the main result of this paper: Theorem 5.4 states that the fast contracting homology of a simply embedded model X_1 of a closed definable thick isolated singularity X_0 with connected link can be realized in a thin zone of X_1 organized around the non-simple tangent directions. Prior to getting there, we prove Theorem 5.1 which guarantees that cones do not have fast contracting homology, fact absolutely essential to show Theorem 5.4

The next result is a polyhedral version of Federer-Flemming Theorem on the triviality of cycles of small volume in a smooth compact Riemannian manifold [18]. By vol_k is meant the k -dimensional volume taken with the outer metric.

Theorem 5.1. *Let Γ be a compact polyhedron defined as the union of the simplices of a finite set T . Let ξ be a definable k -cycle in Γ such that for any positive ε small enough there exists a homologous definable k -cycle ξ_ε in Γ whose k -dimensional volume $\text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_\varepsilon))$ is smaller than ε . Then, ξ bounds a definable $(k+1)$ -chain of Γ .*

Proof. The idea of the proof is the similar to that of the main result of [4]. We are going to show that the cycle ξ is homologous to a definable k -cycle living in the $(k - 1)$ -skeleton of the polyhedron Γ . The dimension of Γ is d .

First, observe there exists a positive real number L such that: For any simplex σ of T and any interior point x_0 of σ , the mapping

$$\Phi_{\sigma, x_0} : \sigma \setminus \{x_0\} \rightarrow \partial\sigma$$

defined as the intersection point of the half-line $\mathbb{R}_+(x - x_0)$ with the boundary $\partial\sigma$, is a L -Lipschitz mapping. The existence of such uniform constant L is due to the fact that the family $(\Phi_{\sigma, x})_{x \in \sigma, \sigma \in T}$ is a semi-algebraic family of piecewise linear mappings over the same compact semi-algebraic source.

Let ε be positive and strictly smaller than

$$\frac{1}{L^{(d-k)k}} \inf\{\text{vol}_k(\sigma) : \sigma \text{ is a } k\text{-simplex of } T\}.$$

Let ξ_ε be a definable k -cycle in Γ homologous to ξ and whose volume $\text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_\varepsilon))$ is smaller than ε . Let σ be a d -simplex of T . Since the interior of the simplex σ is not contained in the support of the cycle ξ_ε , there exists a point x_0 in the interior of σ such that the support of ξ_ε is contained in $\sigma \setminus \{x_0\}$. So, we find

$$\text{vol}_k(\Phi_{\sigma, x_0}(\xi_\varepsilon \cap \sigma)) \leq L^k \text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_\varepsilon) \cap \sigma).$$

Hence, the k -cycle ξ_ε is homologous to a definable k -cycle ξ_{d-1} which lives on the $d - 1$ -skeleton of the polyhedron Γ and whose volume satisfies

$$\text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_{d-1})) \leq L^k \text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_\varepsilon)).$$

If $d - 1 = k - 1$ the proof ends here. If not, since the volume of the support of the cycle ξ_{d-1} is small, we are dealing with a $(d - 1)$ -simplex σ of T , whose interior is not contained in the support of ξ_{d-1} , hence there exists a point x_1 in the interior of σ such that the support of ξ_{d-1} is contained in $\sigma \setminus \{x_1\}$. We deduce the following inequality

$$\text{vol}_k(\Phi_{\sigma, x_1}(\xi_{d-1} \cap \sigma)) \leq L^k \text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_{d-1}) \cap \sigma).$$

Hence, the cycle ξ_{d-1} is homologous to a definable k -cycle ξ_{d-2} which lies in the $(d - 2)$ -skeleton of Γ and satisfies

$$\text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_{d-2})) \leq L^k \text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_{d-1})) \leq L^{2k} \text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_\varepsilon)).$$

Iterating this process, we conclude that the cycle ξ is homologous to a definable k -cycle ξ_k living in the k -skeleton of the polyhedron Γ and satisfies the following inequality

$$\text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_k)) \leq L^{(d-k)k} \text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_\varepsilon)).$$

Since

$$L^{(d-k)k} \text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_\varepsilon)) < \inf\{\text{vol}_k(\sigma) : \sigma \in T \text{ is a } k\text{-simplex}\},$$

given a k -simplex σ of T , its interior is not contained in the support of the cycle ξ_k , thus there exists x_k a point in the interior of σ such that the support of ξ_k is contained in $\sigma \setminus \{x_k\}$. Considering the projection mapping

$$\Phi_{\sigma, x_k} : \sigma \setminus \{x_k\} \rightarrow \partial\sigma$$

where σ is a k -simplex of T and x_k is a point in the interior of σ such that the support of the cycle ξ_k is contained in $\sigma \setminus \{x_k\}$. We thus obtain a definable k -cycle ξ_{k-1} homologous to ξ and contained in the $(k-1)$ -skeleton of the polyhedron Γ . Necessarily ξ was trivial. \square

We deduce the following two corollaries.

Corollary 5.2. *Let Γ be a compact definable set of some Euclidean space. Let ξ be a definable k -dimensional cycle in Γ such that for any positive ε there exists a definable k -dimensional cycle ξ_ε in the set Γ homologous to ξ and whose volume $\text{vol}_k(\text{supp}(\xi_\varepsilon))$ is smaller than ε . Then the cycle ξ bounds a definable $(k+1)$ -chain in Γ .*

Let Z be a closed definable subset of \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin $\mathbf{0}$ and of dimension d at the origin. Let $Z(\varepsilon)$ be the intersection $Z \cap \mathbf{B}^n(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)$. We recall that the normalized d -volume $\varepsilon^{-d} \text{vol}_d(Z(\varepsilon))$ tends to 0 as the radius ε goes to 0 if and only if the tangent cone $T_{\mathbf{0}}Z$ has dimension strictly less than d .

Corollary 5.3. *A germ of a closed definable subset of some Euclidean space isomorphic to a cone has trivial fast contracting homology.*

Proof of Corollary 5.3. We will use Theorem 5.1. We can assume Z is contained in \mathbb{R}^n and its tangent link \mathbf{S}_0Z is connected. We work within the intersection of Z with a closed ambient Euclidean ball $\text{clos}(\mathbf{B}^n(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon))$. Let ξ be a fast contracting k -cycle and let η be the chain it bounds in Z . For a positive small real number t we define ξ_t as the k -cycle whose support is the intersection $\text{supp}(\eta) \cap \mathbf{S}_t^{n-1}$, where \mathbf{S}_t^{n-1} is the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Euclidean sphere centered at $\mathbf{0}$ of radius t , and the coefficients are those from η when the intersection is not empty. Thus ξ_t is a cycle homologous to ξ . Since the germ Z is the homeomorphic image of a cone by an isomorphism, the support $\text{supp}(\xi_t)$ is contained in the scaled tangent link $t(\mathbf{S}_0Z) \subset \mathbf{S}_t^{n-1}$. Since the support of η is thin at the origin, the Hausdorff limit at $t=0$ of the rescaled support $\frac{1}{t} \text{supp}(\xi_t)$ tends to a definable subset of dimension at most $k-1$ contained in the tangent link \mathbf{S}_0Z . We apply Corollary 5.2 to the tangent link \mathbf{S}_0Z and the family of cycles $(\frac{1}{t} \xi_t)_{\{0 < t \ll 1\}}$ and get the result. \square

Note that Corollary 5.3 above gives a very quick proof to the main result [7, Theorem 2.6] of the paper [7].

We can now state the main result of the paper. We recall that $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}}X_1$ is the locus of non-simple tangent directions (see Definition 4.7).

Theorem 5.4. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin and with connected link. For any positive real number ε small enough, the inclusion mapping induces the following exact sequence*

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_\bullet(X_1 \cap \text{Cone}(\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1, \varepsilon), \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\bullet(X_1, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Before getting into the proof let us mention the straightforward following:

Corollary 5.5. *For any degree $k = 1, \dots, \dim X_1$ and for any dimension drop $\delta = 1, \dots, k$, for any positive real number ε small enough, the inclusion mapping induces the following exact sequence*

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_k^\delta(X_1 \cap \text{Cone}(\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1, \varepsilon), \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k^\delta(X_1, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 5.4 is a consequence of the next result.

Lemma 5.6. *Let ξ be a k -dimensional definable fc-cycle of X_1 . Then for any ε small enough, the homology class of the cycle ξ can be represented by a fast contracting definable cycle supported in the link of $\text{Cone}(\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1, \varepsilon)$.*

Proof. For ε positive and small enough the topological type of the germ at the origin of the complement $X_1 \setminus \text{Cone}(\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1, \varepsilon)$ is constant. It consists in finitely many closed connected components each of which is isomorphic to its tangent cone by Corollary 4.10.

Let $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon := \text{Cone}(\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1, \varepsilon)$ and $\partial\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon := \text{clos}(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon) \setminus \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$. Let ξ be a definable fc-cycle of dimension k bounding a definable $(k+1)$ -chain η whose support is thin and contains the origin. There exists a definable triangulation of the subset X_1 such that $\eta = \sum_{\sigma \in K} a_\sigma \sigma$ and where each of the following intersections $\text{supp}(\eta) \cap (X_1 \setminus \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon)$, $\text{supp}(\eta) \cap \partial\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ and $\text{supp}(\eta) \cap \text{clos}(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon)$ is a finite union of simplices.

We observe that the support of each simplex σ of K of dimension $k+1$ is thin at the origin.

Let K^∂ be the set of simplices of the chain η contained in $\partial\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$, K^+ be the set of simplices of η contained in $X_1 \setminus \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ but not in $\partial\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$, and K^- be the set of simplices of η contained in $\text{clos}(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon)$ but not in $\partial\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$. In particular the chain η is presented as the following sum

$$\eta = \eta^+ + \eta^- + \eta^\partial,$$

where $\eta^* = \sum_{\sigma \in K^*} a_\sigma \sigma$ for $* \in \{-, +, \partial\}$. We then check that the cycle ξ decomposes as

$$\xi = \partial\eta = \xi^+ + \xi^\partial + \xi^-$$

with $\xi^* = \partial\eta^*$ where $* \in \{-, +, \partial\}$. In particular ξ^+ , ξ^∂ and ξ^- are fc-cycles at $\mathbf{0}$. By Corollary 4.10 and Corollary 5.3 we deduce that the cycles ξ^+ and ξ^∂ are trivial and thus ξ is homologous to ξ^- which is contained in $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon'} = \text{Cone}(\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X, \varepsilon')$ for any $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$. \square

6. TOPOLOGICAL THICK AND THIN DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR
THICK ISOLATED SINGULARITIES

As a consequence of the results of Section 5, we will produce a systematic decomposition of the isolated singularity we are working with into a *topological thick part* and a *topological thin part*. As already proved in Section 5, any fc-homology class can be realized in the thin zone.

As in [10], this decomposition is not unique stricto sensu. It is a one-parameter definable family of open subsets such that the Hausdorff limit at 0 of the family of tangent cones at the origin is the cone over the locus of the non-simple tangent directions, thus is thin (see Definition 6.3). We will see that it is consistent under automorphisms and its topology does not depend on the choice of any element of the family.

In order to provide an appropriate definition of the thin zone, we will review the notion of a definable neighborhood of a compact definable subset of some Euclidean space.

Let Z be a compact definable subset of some Euclidean space.

Definition 6.1. *A definable neighborhood of the definable compact subset Y of Z is any neighborhood of Y in Z of the form $f^{-1}([0, \varepsilon[)$, where $f : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a non-negative continuous definable function vanishing exactly on Y and ε is a positive real number.*

Since Z is embedded in some Euclidean space, the outer distance function on Z to any definable closed subset of Z defines a (definable) family of definable neighborhoods of the given closed subset.

Let Y be a definable compact subset of Z and let $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon := f^{-1}([0, \varepsilon[)$ be a definable neighborhood of Y . Since the definable family $(f^{-1}([0, t]))_{t>0}$ admits only finitely many topological type [13, 12], we can always take ε such that for any positive real number $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$, the definable neighborhoods \mathcal{U}_ε and $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon'}$ are (definably) homeomorphic.

The other important property of definable neighborhoods of definable compact subsets is that there are unique, up to a homeomorphism. More precisely

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon := f^{-1}([0, \varepsilon[)$ and $\mathcal{V}_\delta := g^{-1}([0, \delta[)$ be two definable neighborhoods of Y a definable compact subset of Z for any positive real numbers ε, δ small enough. Then \mathcal{U}_ε and \mathcal{V}_δ are homeomorphic.*

Before sketching the proof, let us say that we can have a much more precise statement, but what we need to define the notion of *thin zone* is just what is stated.

Proof. The proof works very much like for the semi-algebraic case [15]. The main new ingredient in this o-minimal setting is that we can definably stratify any continuous definable function as a C^r function on each stratum, for any a-priori prescribed positive integer r , such that Thom's condition (a_f) is satisfied along each stratum [22]. Assume that \mathcal{U}_ε is contained in \mathcal{V}_δ . Let

$S := g^{-1}([0, \delta]) \setminus f^{-1}([0, \varepsilon[)$ and let us define, as in the proof of [15, Lemma 1.8], the following continuous definable function $\kappa : S \rightarrow [0, 1]$

$$\kappa(x) := \frac{f(x) - \varepsilon}{(f(x) - \varepsilon) - (g(x) - \delta)}$$

The function κ is non negative and vanishes only on $f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$. If ε and δ are small enough, then the gradients of the restrictions of the functions of f and g to a given stratum do not point in opposite directions (see [15, Lemma 1.8]). Thus the gradient of the restriction of the function κ along any stratum does not vanish. We can refine the definable stratification so that κ satisfies Thom's condition (a_f). Using Thom First Isotopy Lemma we conclude that this function induces a locally trivial fibration over its image $[0, 1]$. The trivialization is realized by the flow of a vector field, so that the level $f^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ is pushed continuously onto the level $g^{-1}(\delta)$. This provides a continuous flow $F : f^{-1}(\varepsilon) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ such that $F(x, 0) = x$.

If ε was taken small enough to start with, there is also a continuous flow $G : f^{-1}(\varepsilon) \times [0, \varepsilon[\rightarrow f^{-1}([0, \varepsilon])$ such that $f(G(x, t)) = \varepsilon - t$ and $G(x, 0) = x$, and moreover the definable neighborhood $f^{-1}([0, \varepsilon])$ is homeomorphic to the definable neighborhood $f^{-1}([0, \varepsilon']]$, for any positive real number real number $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$.

We deduce from these two flows that the definable neighborhood $f^{-1}([0, \varepsilon']]$ is homeomorphic to the definable neighborhood $g^{-1}([0, \delta]) = S \cup f^{-1}([0, \varepsilon])$ for any positive $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$. \square

We can now present the definition of the topological thin zone since Proposition 6.2 (when the definable neighborhood is chosen small enough) guarantees it is relevant:

Definition 6.3. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity X_0 of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin and with connected link at the origin. A topological thin zone of X_1 is the image by the blowing-down mapping β of a definable neighborhood in the strict transform X'_1 of the non-simple point locus $\text{ns}(\partial X'_1) = \mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1 \times 0$ of the boundary $\partial X'_1$ of X'_1 . We will denote it by $\text{Thin}(X_1)$. The complement of a topological thin zone is called a topological thick zone.*

The standard family of definable neighborhoods we have in mind is obtained from the restriction to the strict transform X'_1 of the Euclidean distance function coming from the inclusions $X'_1 \subset \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Given an isomorphism $\Phi : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ between two simply embedded models, in some Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^p and \mathbb{R}^q respectively, of a closed definable isolated singularities and thick at the origin, the interest of this definition is that a topological thin zone if mapped onto a topological thin zone. Indeed a topological thin zone of the simply embedded model X_2 is defined as $\beta_q(f_2^{-1}([0, \varepsilon]))$ for a continuous definable function $f_2 : X'_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ vanishing exactly on $(\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_2) \times 0 \cap \partial X_2$. Defining a continuous definable function $f_1 : X'_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ as $f_1 := f_2 \circ \Phi'$, we see that the homeomorphism Φ'

maps the definable neighborhood $f_1^{-1}([0, \varepsilon])$ onto the definable neighborhood $f_2^{-1}([0, \varepsilon])$, so that Φ maps the topological thin zone $\beta_p(f_1^{-1}([0, \varepsilon]))$ onto the topological thin zone $\beta_q(f_2^{-1}([0, \varepsilon]))$. Thus the decomposition into topological thick zone/topologically thin zone is preserved by isomorphisms. It is as we were expected an invariant decomposition for our class of isomorphisms which, as we have repeatedly said, contains definable bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms for the outer metrics.

We can now rephrase Theorem 5.4 in terms of the topological thin zone:

Theorem 6.4. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin and with connected link. The inclusion mapping induces the following exact sequence*

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_\bullet(\text{Thin}(X_1), \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\bullet(X_1, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Its expected version with degrees and dimension drops is the following

Corollary 6.5. *For any degree $k = 1, \dots, \dim X_1$, and for any dimension drop $\delta = 1, \dots, k$, the inclusion mapping induces the following exact sequence*

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_k^\delta(\text{Thin}(X_1), \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k^\delta(X_1, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow 0.$$

7. NON-SIMPLY EMBEDDED THICK ISOLATED SINGULARITIES

We are going to have here a short view on what is happening for closed definable isolated singularities of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin and with connected link which are not simply embedded.

Any closed definable isolated singularity X_0 thick at the origin with connected link admits a simply embedded model X_1 and thus exists a simple embedding morphism $\Phi : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$. As a consequence of our definitions and of Bernig & Lytchak results [1], it provides a surjective continuous definable finite-to-one mapping $\partial X_1' \rightarrow \partial X_0'$.

Let $\Phi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_0$, $i = 1, 2$, be two simple embedding morphisms of the closed definable isolated singularity X_0 thick at the origin with connected link. By definition of simple embedding morphism, the mapping $\Phi_2^{-1} \circ \Phi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a definable homeomorphism which extends as an isomorphism $X_1' \rightarrow X_2'$. A topological thin zone of X_1 is then mapped isomorphically onto a topological thin zone of X_2 . Thus we can introduce the following:

Definition 7.1. *The topological thin zone of X_0 is defined as the image of the topological thin zone of any simply embedded model of X_0 by the corresponding simple embedding morphism of the simply embedded model.*

As observed just before Definition 7.1, defining the topological thin zone this way is really relevant since it does not depend on the simply embedded model of X_0 . The first consequence is the following

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\Phi : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ be a simply embedding morphism of a closed definable isolated singularity X_0 thick at the origin and with connected link. For any degree k and any dimension drop $\delta = 1, \dots, k$, the homomorphism Φ_* induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_k^\delta(\text{Thin}(X_1), \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k^\delta(\text{Thin}(X_0), \mathbf{0})$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Thin}(X_0) = \Phi(\text{Thin}(X_1))$ injectivity is immediate. Since the mapping Φ extends as a morphism, any fc-cycle supported in the thin zone $\text{Thin}(X_1)$ is mapped onto a fc-cycle supported in $\text{Thin}(X_0)$. Since the homeomorphism Φ induces a surjective continuous definable finite-to-one mapping $\mathbf{S}_0 X_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_0 X_0$, any fc-cycle supported in $\text{Thin}(X_0)$ and of dimension drop larger than or equal to δ is mapped by Φ^{-1} into a fc-cycle supported in $\text{Thin}(X_1)$ of dimension drop larger than or equal to δ , thus we find the surjectivity. \square

A straightforward corollary of Proposition 7.2 is

Proposition 7.3. *The inclusion mapping of the thin zone $\iota : \text{Thin}(X_0) \rightarrow X_0$ gives the following exact sequences:*

$$(7.1) \quad \iota_* : \mathcal{H}_k^\delta(\text{Thin}(X_0), \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k^\delta(X_0, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow 0$$

for any degree k and any dimension drop $\delta \leq k$.

Proof. Let $\Phi : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ be a simply embedded morphism of the singularity X_0 . We obtain a homomorphism $\Phi_* : \mathcal{H}_\bullet(X_1, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\bullet(X_0, \mathbf{0})$. Since the mapping induced on the tangent links $\mathbf{S}_0 X_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_0 X_0$ is a surjective continuous definable finite-to-one mapping, any fc-cycle of X_0 is pulled back onto a cycle of $X_1 \setminus \mathbf{0}$ such that it is contracted by a chain of the simply embedded model X_1 whose tangent cone at the origin is of the exact same dimension as the chain contracting the fc-cycle of X_0 , then the pre-image by the mapping Φ is a fc-cycle of X_1 . Thus the homomorphism $\Phi_* : \mathcal{H}_k^\delta(X_1, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k^\delta(X_0, \mathbf{0})$ is surjective for any degree k and drop dimension $\delta = 1, \dots, k$. Proposition 7.2 allows to conclude. \square

8. EXAMPLES AND APPLICATIONS

This last section presents some examples of fc-homology classes of thick singularities. First we find out that the three known obstructions (so far) to the local inner metric conicalness of complex singularities, namely *fast loops*, *separating sets* and *choking horns*, are not of metric nature but of something slightly less rigid. Second they illustrate a same phenomenon: they represent fc-homology classes which may be non trivial in the topological thin zone. Proposition 8.6 characterizes completely the existence of separating sets of simply embedded models of closed definable thick isolated singularities with connected link. Last, when the tangent link is of pure dimension Theorem 8.7 asserts that separating sets represents some non trivial fc-homology classes of the topological thin zone but trivial in the link.

We recall quickly the notions of *fast loops*, *separating sets* and *choking horns*. Although these notions were introduced for normally embedded models of semi-algebraic singularities, it is elementary to check that they are all preserved under isomorphisms since each can be defined in terms of properties on the link of some tangent cone.

Definition 8.1 ([9, 17, 10, 7]). *Let X be a normally embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space with connected link at the origin.*

- A *fast loop* is a continuous definable mapping $\gamma : \mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow X$ such that there exists a continuous definable mapping $h : [0, 1] \times \mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow X$ such that

- (1) $h(0, \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$ and $h(1, \mathbf{u}) = \gamma(\mathbf{u})$,
- (2) the image $\text{Im}(h)$ of the mapping h is thin.

- A *choking horn* in X is the image H of a definable continuous mapping $\phi : [0, 1] \times \mathbf{S}^p \rightarrow X$, for some positive integer p , such that

- (1) For any point $(t, \mathbf{u}) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbf{S}^p$, its image $\phi(t, \mathbf{u})$ lies in the intersection $X_t := X \cap \mathbf{S}_t^{n-1}$.
- (2) The tangent cone of the image of ϕ at $\mathbf{0}$ is just a single real half-line.
- (3) For every t small enough and any chain η_t whose support is contained in the subset X_t and such that the boundary of its support $\partial(\text{supp}(\eta)_t)$ coincides with the intersection $H_t := H \cap \mathbf{S}_t^{n-1}$, there exists a positive real constant K such that the diameter of the support of η_t satisfies the inequality $\text{diam}(\text{supp}(\eta)_t) \geq Kt$.

- A *separating set* S of X is a closed definable subset of X of codimension one, thin at the origin, such that the germ of the complement $X \setminus S$ has at least two thick connected components.

We observe that the both notions of fast loop and choking horn represent a fc-contracting homology class. For isolated complex singularities the presence of either one is an obstruction to metric conicalness [6, 8, 9, 17, 7]. Note also that the paper [10] considers some special fast loops, called *of the second kind*, which are just choking horns of dimension $p = 1$. The main technical result of [7] asserts that a subanalytic cone (and thus any subanalytically bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic image), cannot admit choking horns. Since the proof of this result [7, Theorem 2.6] relies on arguments about the diameters of some family of links, which can be reformulated in terms of dimension of Hausdorff limits of the linearly rescaled family, we observe that with the exact same arguments we actually have the following more general result (which could also deduce from Corollary 5.3):

Proposition 8.2. *Let X_1 be a closed definable subset isomorphic to a cone over a topological submanifold. Then X_1 cannot admit a choking horn.*

The presence of a separating set from the point of view of the fast contracting homology is slightly more complicated to deal with and it can only occur in thick isolated singularities. According to [9] the tangent cone at

the origin of a separating set separates the tangent cone of the ambient subset. It is a property on the tangent links. Consequently, as we have already suggested, a separating set is a notion invariant by isomorphisms.

The next definition, as we will see, is key to characterize completely the notion of separating set.

Definition 8.3. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin and with connected link. Let us consider the following condition:*

(SC): *The locus of non-simple tangent directions $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}}X_1$ separates the tangent link \mathbf{S}_0X_1 and there exists a non empty connected component of the complement $\mathbf{S}_0X_1 \setminus \mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}}X_1$ such that its closure intersects with $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}}X_1$ in codimension larger than of equal to two.*

The main interest of condition (SC) in relation with separating sets lies in the following

Lemma 8.4. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin and with connected link. If Condition (SC) holds true, then X_1 admits a separating set.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a connected component of the simple tangent directions locus $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{simp}}X_1 = \mathbf{S}_0X_1 \setminus \mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}}X_1$ whose closure intersects with $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}}X_1$ in codimension at least two. Let S be a connected component of this intersection. A horn neighborhood of the cone over S is a subset of the form

$$\mathcal{U}(1+a, K) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{0} : d_{\text{outer}}(\nu(x), S) < K|x|^{1+a}\} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\},$$

for a positive real number a and some positive constant K . There exists a connected component \mathcal{C} of the subset $X_1 \setminus \mathcal{U}(1+a, K)$ whose tangent cone is exactly $\text{clos}(\mathcal{C})$, for small enough positive real numbers a and for some positive constant K . Thus the boundary of the complement $X_1 \setminus \mathcal{U}(1+a, K)$ is a separating set. \square

The relation of a separating set with the topological thin zone, its fast contracting homology and the locus of non-simple tangent directions is described in the next result.

Lemma 8.5. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin and with connected link. If there exists a separating set S_1 , then there exists another separating set S contained in the topological thin zone $\text{Thin}(X_1)$ such that for any positive real number t small enough, the intersection $S_t = S \cap \mathbf{S}_t^{n-1}$ gives rise to a fc-cycle which cannot be contracted within the topological thin zone $\text{Thin}(X_1)$. In other words the inclusion mapping $\iota : \text{Thin}(X_1) \rightarrow X_1$ induces a surjective and non-injective homomorphism*

$$\iota_* : \mathcal{H}_{d-2}(\text{Thin}(X_1), \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{d-2}(X_1, \mathbf{0}).$$

Moreover condition (SC) holds true.

Proof. Since the subset S_1 separates X_1 , let Y_1 be one of the thick connected components of the complement $X_1 \setminus S_1$ and let Y_2 be the union of the other connected components. Both are open and thick subsets of X_1 . The intersection of their closures $\text{clos}(Y_1) \cap \text{clos}(Y_2)$ is contained in the separating set S_1 . We observe that the complement of this intersection $X \setminus (\text{clos}(Y_1) \cap \text{clos}(Y_2))$ coincides with the disjoint union $\text{int}(\text{clos}(Y_1)) \sqcup \text{int}(\text{clos}(Y_2))$ of the interior of the closures of Y_1 and Y_2 . Moreover the tangent link of $\text{clos}(Y_1) \cap \text{clos}(Y_2)$ cannot contain any interior point of the tangent links of $\text{clos}(Y_1)$ and $\text{clos}(Y_2)$. Thus the tangent link of $\text{clos}(Y_1) \cap \text{clos}(Y_2)$ cannot contain any simple tangent direction, so it is contained in $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1$ the locus of non-simple tangent directions. Since the intersection $\text{clos}(Y_1) \cap \text{clos}(Y_2)$ separates the subset X_1 and is contained in $\text{clos}(Y_1)$, it is a separating set. Moreover the subset $\text{clos}(Y_1) \cap \text{clos}(Y_2)$ is contained in the thin zone since its tangent link is contained in $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1$. Last $\text{clos}(Y_1) \cap \text{clos}(Y_2)$ is the boundary of the disjoint union $\text{int}(\text{clos}(Y_1)) \sqcup \text{int}(\text{clos}(Y_2))$. Defining S as the intersection $\text{clos}(Y_1) \cap \text{clos}(Y_2)$, we deduce that the family $(S_t)_t$, intersections of the subset S with the Euclidean spheres of small radius t , are fc-cycles which are trivial in the link $(X_1)_t$ but which cannot be contracted in any sufficiently small conic neighborhood $\text{Cone}(\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1, \varepsilon) \cap X_1$ of the cone over the locus of non-simple tangent directions, that is the topological thin zone.

It is clear that the intersection of the locus $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1$ with the tangent link of the closure $\text{clos}(Y_1)$ is of codimension at least two since the tangent link of the closure $\text{clos}(Y_1)$ is disconnected from its complement in $\mathbf{S}_0 X_1$ by the tangent link $\mathbf{S}_0 S$ of the subset S . \square

We can now state the first main result of this section which is just a juxtaposition of the two previous Lemma.

Proposition 8.6. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space, thick at the origin and with connected link.*

There exists a separating set if and only if the locus of non-simple tangent directions $\mathbf{S}_0^{\text{ns}} X_1$ satisfies condition (SC).

The second main result of this section clearly highlights the connection between separating sets and the fast contracting homology of the topological thin zone.

Theorem 8.7. *Let X_1 be a simply embedded model of a closed definable isolated singularity of some Euclidean space thick at the origin and with connected link. Suppose the tangent link is of pure dimension $d - 1$. There exists a separating set if and only if the surjective homomorphism of the fc-homology groups*

$$\iota_* : \mathcal{H}_{d-2}(\text{Thin}(X_1), \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{d-2}(X_1, \mathbf{0})$$

induced by the inclusion mapping $\iota : \text{Thin}(X_1) \rightarrow X_1$ is not injective

Proof. One of the statement is just of Lemma 8.5.

Suppose that the homomorphism is not injective. There exists a $(d - 2)$ -cycle ξ contracted by a chain η with thin support and containing the origin. Since the cycle ξ is trivial in the link $\mathbf{S}_0 X_1$ it separates the link, and thus the support of η must separate X_1 . Let Y_1 be a thick connected component of the complement $X_1 \setminus \text{supp}(\eta)$. Assume that all the other connected components are thin. Since the cycle ξ is not trivial in the thin zone, it cannot be contracted in the link of the thin zone $\text{Thin}(X_1)$. We deduce that there must be a point in the tangent link which cannot lie in the union of the tangent link of the thin zone $\text{Thin}(X_1)$ with the tangent link of $\text{clos}(Y_1)$. This implies that the tangent link of the closure of the complement $X_1 \setminus (\text{supp}(\eta) \cup Y_1)$ is of dimension smaller than or equal to $\dim X_1 - 2$ and cannot be contained in the tangent link of the union $Y_1 \cup \text{Thin}(X_1)$ (which contains the tangent link of the union $Y_1 \cup \text{supp}(\eta)$). This latter fact contradicts that the tangent link $\mathbf{S}_0 X_1$ is of pure dimension $\dim X_1 - 1$. \square

Separating sets were introduced and exhibited as objects whose presence denies the local inner metric conicalness of the set-germ at the considered point.

Proposition 8.6 provides an equivalent formulation of the existence of separating set for simply embedded models of thick isolated singularities by means of some topological conditions on the tangent link (Condition (SC)). Theorem 8.7 emphasizes the non-triviality in the topological thin zone of the fast contracting homological nature of separating sets.

These last two results suggest that the notion of separating set could be slightly generalized in order to still detect an obstruction to (local) metric conicalness.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BERNIG & A. LYTCHAK, *Tangent spaces and Gromov-Hausdorff limits of definable spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **608** (2007), 1–15.
- [2] L. BIRBRAIR, *Lipschitz geometry of curves and surfaces definable in O -minimal structures*, Illinois J. Math. 52 (2008), no. 4, 1325–1353.
- [3] L. BIRBRAIR & J.P. BRASSELET, *Metric homology*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 53 (2000), no. 11, 1434–1447.
- [4] L. BIRBRAIR & J.P. BRASSELET, *Metric homology for isolated conical singularities*, Bull. Sci. Math. 126 (2002), no. 2, 87–95.
- [5] L. BIRBRAIR & T. MOSTOWSKI, *Normal embeddings of semialgebraic sets*, Michigan Math. J. 47 (2000), no. 1, 125–132.
- [6] L. BIRBRAIR & A. FERNANDES *Inner metric geometry of complex algebraic surfaces with isolated singularities*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 61 (2008), no. 11, 1483–1494.
- [7] L. BIRBRAIR & A. FERNANDES & V. GRANDJEAN & D. O’SHEA, *Choking horns in Lipschitz Geometry of Complex Algebraic Varieties*, preprint, 9 pages, available at <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1206.3105.pdf>
- [8] L. BIRBRAIR & A. FERNANDES & W. NEUMANN, *Bi-Lipschitz geometry of weighted homogeneous surface singularities*, Math. Ann. 342 (2008), no. 1, 139–144.

- [9] L. BIRBRAIR & A. FERNANDES & W. NEUMANN, *Separating sets, metric tangent cone and applications for complex algebraic germs*, *Selecta Math.* 16 (2010) 377–391.
- [10] L. BIRBRAIR & W. NEUMANN & A. PICHON, *The thick-thin decomposition and the bilipschitz classification of normal surface singularities*, Preprint arXiv:1105.3327, 41 pp.
- [11] M. BROWN *Locally flat embedding of topological manifolds*, *Annals of Math.*, **75** No.2 (1962), 331–341
- [12] M. COSTE, *An introduction to o-minimal geometry*, <http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/michel.coste/polyens/OMIN.pdf>
- [13] L. VAN DEN DRIES, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 248, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [14] L. VAN DEN DRIES, & C. MILLER, *Geometric categories and o-minimal structures*, *Duke Math. J.* 84 (1996), no. 2, 497–540.
- [15] A. DURFEE, *Neighborhoods of algebraic sets*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 276 (1983), no. 2, 517–530.
- [16] A. FERNANDES, *Topological equivalence of complex curves and bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms*, *Michigan Math. J.* 51 (2003), no. 3, 593–606.
- [17] A. FERNANDES, *Fast loops on semi-weighted homogeneous hypersurface singularities*, *J. Singul.* 1 (2010), 85–93.
- [18] H. FEDERER & W. H. FLEMING, *Normal and integral currents*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 72 (1960) 458–520.
- [19] D. GRIESER, *Local geometry of singular real analytic surfaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), no. 4, 1559–1577.
- [20] R. HARDT, *Semi-algebraic local-triviality in semi-algebraic mappings*, *Amer. J. Math.* 102 (1980), no. 2, 291–302.
- [21] K. KURDYKA, *On a subanalytic stratification satisfying a Whitney property with exponent 1*, *Real algebraic geometry* (Rennes, 1991), 316–322, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1524, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [22] T.L. LOI, *Thom stratifications for functions definable in o-minimal structures on $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$* , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 324 (1997), no. 12, 1391–1394
- [23] S. LOJASIEWICZ, *Triangulation of semi-analytic sets*, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* (3) 18 1964 449–474.
- [24] J. MILNOR, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, No. 61 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1968.
- [25] A. PARUSIŃSKI, *Lipschitz stratification of definable sets*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) 27 (1994), 661–696.
- [26] W. PAWLUCKI, *Lipschitz cell decomposition in o-minimal structures. I*, *Illinois J. Math.* 52 (2008), no. 3, 1045–1063.
- [27] F. PHAM AND B. TEISSIER, *Fractions lipschitziennes d’une algèbre analytique complexe et saturation de Zariski*, *Centre de Mathématiques de l’École Polytechnique* (Paris), Juin 1969.
- [28] M. SHIOTA, *Geometry of subanalytic and semialgebraic sets*. *Progress in Mathematics*, **150** Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1997, 431 pp.
- [29] G. VALETTE, *Lipschitz triangulations*, *Illinois J. Math.* 49 (2005), no. 3, 953–979.
- [30] G. VALETTE, *On metric types that are definable in an o-minimal structure*, *J. Symbolic Logic* 73 (2008), no. 2, 439–447.
- [31] G. VALETTE, *Vanishing Homology*, *Selecta Math.* (N.S.) 16 (2010), no. 2, 267–296.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO CEARÁ (UFC), CAMPUS DO PICICI, BLOCO 914, CEP. 60455-760. FORTALEZA-CE, BRASIL

E-mail address: `birb@ufc.br`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO CEARÁ (UFC), CAMPUS DO PICICI, BLOCO 914, CEP. 60455-760. FORTALEZA-CE, BRASIL

E-mail address: `alexandre.fernandes@ufc.br`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO CEARÁ (UFC), CAMPUS DO PICICI, BLOCO 914, CEP. 60455-760. FORTALEZA-CE, BRASIL

E-mail address: `vgrandje@fields.utoronto.ca`