

ON LOW ORDER MIMETIC FINITE DIFFERENCE METHODS

ANDREA CANGIANI

ABSTRACT. These pages review two families of mimetic finite difference methods: the mixed-type methods presented in [3] and the nodal methods of [4]. The purpose of this exercise is to highlight the similitudes underlying the construction of the two families. The comparison prompts the definition of a piecewise linear postprocessing of the nodal mimetic finite difference solution, as it was done for the mixed-type method in [5].

1. SETTING OF THE PROBLEM

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a Lipschitz bounded polyhedral domain. We consider the following elliptic boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbb{K} \nabla u) = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathbb{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is a full symmetric tensor with components in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. Strong ellipticity is assumed: thus there exists two positive constants κ_* and κ^* such that

$$\kappa_* \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \leq \mathbf{v}^T \mathbb{K}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{v} \leq \kappa^* \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \text{ for a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Let $L_0^2(\Omega)$ denote the space consisting of square Lebesgue-integrable functions having zero mean value and

$$H(\text{div}, \Omega) = \{F \in (L^2(\Omega))^3 : \text{div } F \in L^2(\Omega)\}.$$

In view of the discretization of (1.1) by both the nodal and mixed-type MFD, we consider the standard and mixed variational formulation of the problem, namely,

$$\text{find } u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : (\mathbb{K} \nabla u, \nabla v) = (g, v) \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (1.3)$$

with (\cdot, \cdot) denoting the L^2 -scalar product, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{find } (p, F) \in L_0^2(\Omega) \times H(\text{div}, \Omega) \text{ s.t.} \\ & \begin{cases} (\mathbb{K}^{-1} F, G) + (p, \text{div } G) = 0 & \forall G \in H(\text{div}, \Omega), \\ (\text{div } F, q) = (g, q) & \forall q \in L_0^2(\Omega), \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

respectively.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65N06, 65N12, 65N15.

Key words and phrases. Compatible discretizations, mimetic finite differences, polyhedral meshes.

2. THE MIMETIC FINITE DIFFERENCE METHOD

In this section we recall the mimetic finite difference methods introduced in [2, 3] and [4]. For more details we refer to the original papers and the references therein.

Let \mathcal{T}_h be a sequence of non-overlapping conformal decomposition of Ω into simply-connected polyhedral elements. For every element P we denote by $|P|$ its volume and by h_P its diameter. Similarly, for each face f we denote by $|f|$ its area and by h_f its diameter, and for every edge e we denote by $|e|$ its length. Depending on the context, ∂P denotes either the boundary of the element P or the union of the element faces. As usual, we set

$$h = \max_{P \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_P.$$

We assume the following mesh regularity conditions (see [4]).

(HG) [*Shape-regularity*] There exist two positive real numbers N_s and ρ_s , independent of h , such that every mesh \mathcal{T}_h in the sequence admits a sub-partition \mathcal{S}_h into tetrahedra such that:

(HG1) every polyhedron $P \in \mathcal{T}_h$ admits a decomposition $\mathcal{S}_{h|P}$ made of at most N_s tetrahedra;

(HG2) every tetrahedron T of \mathcal{S}_h is *shape-regular* in the sense that the ratio between the radius r_T of the inscribed sphere and its diameter h_T is bounded from below by ρ_s , i.e.,

$$\frac{r_T}{h_T} \geq \rho_s > 0 \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{S}_h.$$

(ME) [*Star-shaped elements*] There exists a positive constant τ_* , independent of h , such that for each element P there exists a point $M_P \in P$ such that P is star-shaped with respect to every point in the ball of center M_P and radius $\tau_* h_P$.

The MFD methods solution is a collection of real values associated to the set of elements, faces, edges, and nodes of the decomposition \mathcal{T}_h . Following [1], we thus introduce four discrete spaces \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{E} , and \mathcal{N} made of collections of real values associated to each element, face, edge, and node, respectively.

The value associated to a face or an edge is to be interpreted as flux or work of vector fields, and thus faces and edges are assumed to be given an orientation. For any face f we fix its orientation once and for all by attaching to it a normal vector \mathbf{n}_f . Further, any edge e with vertices (V_1, V_2) , is assumed oriented from V_1 to V_2 .

The notation \mathcal{N}_P will indicate the restriction of \mathcal{N} to the nodes belonging to the element P , and so on. For any element P , we also introduce the notation V_P and f_P for the number of vertices and faces, respectively. Further, we denote by V_f the number of vertices of any face f .

The nodal MFD method discretizing (1.3) is built over the set \mathcal{N} of nodal values and the set \mathcal{E} of edge values. The primal discrete differential operator is the gradient operator $\text{grad}^h : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ defined as follows: for each $u_h \in \mathcal{N}$, given an edge e with vertices (V_1, V_2) ,

$$(\text{grad}^h u_h)_e = \frac{1}{|e|} (u_2^e - u_1^e),$$

where u_i^e denotes the value in u_h corresponding to the node V_i of the edge e . This is the discrete gradient operator introduced in [4], up to the scaling factor $1/|e|$: here we adopt such scaling for consistency with the definition of the divergence operator below (alternatively, we could have scaled instead the divergence operator, as in [1]). In order to implement the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, we shall also need to consider the subset \mathcal{N}_0 of the elements in \mathcal{N} that are zero-valued on all vertices belonging to $\partial\Omega$. Similarly, the elements of the subset \mathcal{E}_0 of \mathcal{E} are zero-valued on all the boundary edges. Notice that the restriction to \mathcal{N}_0 of the operator grad^h maps into \mathcal{E}_0 .

The mixed MFD method for solving (1.4) is built over the set \mathcal{P} of elemental values and the set \mathcal{F} of face values. The primal discrete differential operator is in this case the divergence operator $\text{div}^h : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ defined as follows: for each $F_h \in \mathcal{F}$, given an element P , we set

$$(\text{div}^h F_h)_P = \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{f \in \partial P} |f| F_f^P,$$

where $F_f^P = F_f \mathbf{n}_f \cdot \mathbf{n}_f^P$, with \mathbf{n}_f^P the normal of f out of P and F_f representing the value of F_h associated to f .

Let us remark that the two operators just defined operate on two different pairs of discrete spaces. In fact, it is possible to define, starting from the gradient and divergence operators above, a *derived* divergence and gradient operator, respectively. As these are not needed in the MFD formulation, we refrain to do so.

We shall also need the relevant interpolation operators. Given any function $p \in L^1(\Omega)$, we define its interpolant $\Pi_{\mathcal{P}} p \in \mathcal{P}$ as

$$(\Pi_{\mathcal{P}} p)_P = \frac{1}{|P|} \int_P p \, dV \quad \text{for all element } P. \quad (2.1)$$

For every vector-valued function $F \in (L^s(\Omega))^3$, $s > 2$, with $\text{div} F \in L^2(\Omega)$, we define its interpolant $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}} f \in \mathcal{F}$ as

$$(\Pi_{\mathcal{F}} f)_f = \frac{1}{|f|} \int_f F \cdot \mathbf{n}_f \, dS \quad \text{for all face } f. \quad (2.2)$$

As the interpolator for \mathcal{E} shall not be needed, we conclude by defining the nodal interpolator. Given any function $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$, we define its interpolant $\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} u \in \mathcal{N}$ as

$$(\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} u)_V = u(V) \quad \text{for all vertex } V. \quad (2.3)$$

Similarly to finite element methods, an MFD method is defined by restricting a given variational formulation to the MFD discrete set, with the crucial difficulty that the L^2 -product has to be substituted by *discrete* scalar products. Notice that, even when computing a finite element, the *exact* L^2 -product is substituted by a quadrature formula that is consistent with the degree of accuracy of the method.

The principle used to define MFD methods is indeed that the discrete scalar product has to be consistent, i.e. exact on (the interpolants of) the correct space of polynomials: in the case of low order MFD methods, we shall require exactness on constants. Not surprisingly, the linear and Raviar-Thomas finite element methods are instances of the low order nodal and mixed-type MFD methods, respectively, obtained by a particular choice of MFD scalar products.

In order to derive the methods from the respective consistency conditions, we shall need to work with suitable approximations of the data. We denote by \tilde{g} the piecewise constant function obtained from the forcing function g by averaging over each element P in \mathcal{T}_h . Similarly, $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ will denote the tensor obtained from \mathbb{K} by averaging each component over each P in \mathcal{T}_h .

Up to the definition of the relevant scalar products (and linear functionals), we write down the discrete MFD counterparts of the two problems (1.3) and (1.4) as follows.

The nodal MFD method reads:

$$\text{find } u_h \in \mathcal{N}_0 : [\text{grad}^h u_h, \text{grad}^h v_h]_{\mathcal{E}} = (\tilde{g}, v_h)_{\mathcal{N}} \quad \forall v_h \in \mathcal{N}_0. \quad (2.4)$$

Further, we write the mixed MFD method as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{find } (F_h, p_h^s) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{P}: \\ &\begin{cases} [F_h, G_h]_{\mathcal{F}} + [p_h, \text{div}^h G]_{\mathcal{P}} = 0 & \forall G_h \in \mathcal{F}, \\ [\text{div}^h F_h, q_h]_{\mathcal{P}} = -[\Pi_{\mathcal{P}} g, q_h]_{\mathcal{P}} & \forall q_h \in \mathcal{P}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

3. SCALAR PRODUCTS

The construction of the scalar products is achieved element by element and then summing up the elemental contributions. As mentioned earlier, the principle is that we want our scalar products to respect element by element the constants (more precisely, the interpolant of constant functions).

The definition of the product in \mathcal{P} is straightforward:

$$[p, q]_{\mathcal{P}} := \sum_{E \in \mathcal{T}_h} p_P q_P |P| \quad \forall p, q \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (3.1)$$

Regarding the discrete space \mathcal{N} , we actually just need to define the linear functional $(\tilde{g}, \cdot)_{\mathcal{N}}$. To this end, for each $P \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we introduce the numerical integration formula

$$\int_P v dP \simeq \sum_{i=1}^{V_P} v(V_P^i) \omega_P^i, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\{\omega_P^i\}_{i=1}^{V_P}$ is a set of non-negative weights such that the quadrature is exact whenever v is a constant. We then define

$$(\tilde{g}, v_h)_{\mathcal{N}} := \sum_{P \in \mathcal{T}_h} \tilde{g}|P| \sum_{i=1}^{V_P} v_h(V_P^i) \omega_P^i. \quad (3.3)$$

We now come to the less obvious problem of the definition of the scalar products mimicking the H_0^1 -products, namely $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $[\text{grad}^h \cdot, \text{grad}^h \cdot]_{\mathcal{E}}$.

The starting point is, in both cases, the Green identity

$$\int_P \Phi \cdot \nabla \phi dP = - \int_P \phi \nabla \cdot \Phi dP + \int_{\partial P} \Phi \cdot \mathbf{n}_P \phi dS \quad (3.4)$$

valid for any sufficiently smooth vector-valued function Φ and scalar function ϕ .

To deduce consistency conditions for the discrete scalar products, we specialize the above identity by testing it on the space of linear polynomials $\mathbb{P}^1(P)$. To this end, let us consider, as basis of $\mathbb{P}^1(P)$, the set $\{b_j\}_{j=0}^3$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} b_0(x) &= 1, \\ b_j(x) &= \hat{x}_j \cdot (x - x_P), \quad i = 1, \dots, 3, \end{aligned}$$

where \hat{x}_j is the j -th coordinate vector, and x_P is the barycentre of P .

Substituting $\phi = b_0$ in (3.4) gives back the divergence theorem, while with $\phi = b_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 3$, we obtain

$$\int_P \tilde{\mathbb{K}}^{-1} \Phi \cdot \tilde{\mathbb{K}} \hat{x}_j dP = - \int_P b_j \nabla \cdot \Phi dP + \int_{\partial P} \Phi \cdot \mathbf{n}_P b_j dS, \quad (3.5)$$

where we have also multiplied and divided by $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ in view of reproducing the weighted L^2 -product appearing in the first equation of (1.4).

This identity suggests to define, for every $G_h \in \mathcal{F}$, and $j = 1, \dots, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} [G_h, \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{\mathbb{K}} \hat{x}_j)]_{\mathcal{F}}^P &:= \sum_{f \in \partial P} \int_f G_f^P b_j dS \\ &= \sum_{f \in \partial P} |f| (x_f - x_P)_j G_f^P, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

with x_f denoting the barycentre of the face f . Notice that the volume integral in the right-hand side of (3.5) disappears due to the fact that $\operatorname{div}^h G_h$ is constant over P .

It easily follows that any scalar product satisfying the so called *local consistency* condition (3.6) is exact on the interpolant of constant vectors. Indeed we get that

$$[\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{\mathbb{K}} \hat{x}_i), \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{\mathbb{K}} \hat{x}_j)]_{\mathcal{F}}^P = |P| \tilde{\mathbb{K}}_{i,j} = \int_P \tilde{\mathbb{K}} \nabla b_i \cdot \nabla b_j, \quad (3.7)$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, 3$.

Substituting $\Phi = \tilde{\mathbb{K}} \nabla b_0$ in (3.4) gives the triviality $0 = 0$, while with $\Phi = \tilde{\mathbb{K}} \nabla b_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 3$, we obtain

$$\int_P \tilde{\mathbb{K}} \nabla b_j \cdot \nabla \phi dP = \int_{\partial P} \tilde{\mathbb{K}} \nabla b_j \cdot \mathbf{n}_P \phi ds = \sum_{f \in \partial P} (\tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P \hat{x}_j \cdot \mathbf{n}_f^P) \int_f \phi ds. \quad (3.8)$$

This time we mimic such identity at the discrete level by requiring that, for every $v_h \in \mathcal{N}$, and $j = 1, \dots, 3$,

$$[\operatorname{grad}^h(v_h), \operatorname{grad}^h(\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} b_j)]_{\mathcal{E}}^P \equiv [v_h, \Pi_{\mathcal{N}} b_j]_{\mathcal{N}}^P := \sum_{f \in \partial P} (\tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P \mathbf{n}_f^P)_j \sum_{l=1}^{V_f} v_l^f \omega_l^f. \quad (3.9)$$

Here, $\{\omega_l^f\}_{l=1}^{V_f}$ represents a set of non-negative weights of a quadrature formula used to approximate the integral over the face f . Assuming that such quadrature formula is exact for polynomials of degree ≤ 1 , we easily get that

$$[\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} b_i, \Pi_{\mathcal{N}} b_j]_{\mathcal{N}}^P = |P| \tilde{\mathbb{K}}_{i,j} = \int_P \tilde{\mathbb{K}} \nabla b_i \cdot \nabla b_j \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, 3. \quad (3.10)$$

Acceptable MFD scalar products over \mathcal{F} or \mathcal{N} are bilinear forms satisfying respectively (3.6) or (3.9) which are symmetric and obey the following scaling properties: there exist two constants c_* and c^* independent of $P \in \mathcal{T}_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_* \sum_{f \in \partial P} |P|(G_f^P)^2 &\leq [G_h, G_h]_{\mathcal{F}}^P \leq c^* \sum_{f \in \partial P} |P|(G_f^P)^2 \quad \forall G_h \in \mathcal{F}, \\ c_* \sum_{e \in \partial P} |P|(\text{grad}^h v_h)_e^2 &\leq [v_h, v_h]_{\mathcal{N}}^P \leq c^* \sum_{e \in \partial P} |P|(\text{grad}^h v_h)_e^2 \quad \forall v_h \in \mathcal{N}. \end{aligned}$$

The consistency, symmetry and positivity conditions leave some freedom in the definition of the forms, and indeed we shall get a family of MFD scalar products. This is better analyzed by considering the matrices associated to the bilinear forms.

Let $M_{\mathcal{F}}^P$ be the $f_P \times f_P$ symmetric matrix related to the form $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{F}}^P$ and $M_{\mathcal{N}}^P$ the $V_P \times V_P$ symmetric matrix related to $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{N}}^P$.

We translate the conditions (3.6) and (3.9) into algebraic conditions for $M_{\mathcal{F}}^P$ and $M_{\mathcal{N}}^P$ by introducing the following matrices. Let N and R be the $f_P \times 3$ matrices given by

$$N = [\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{\mathbb{K}}\hat{x}_1) \dots \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{\mathbb{K}}\hat{x}_3)] = [\mathbf{n}_{f_1}^P \dots \mathbf{n}_{f_{f_P}}^P]^T \tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P$$

(the above equivalence is obtained expressing the definition of the interpolant $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$) and

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} |f_1|(x_{f_1} - x_P) \\ \vdots \\ |f_P|(x_{f_P} - x_P) \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively. We write the consistency condition (3.6) as

$$M_{\mathcal{F}}^P N = R. \quad (3.11)$$

Further, let W be the $f_P \times V_P$ matrix collecting on each row the facial quadrature weights appearing in (3.9) filled with zeros to account for the nodes not belonging to the corresponding face. Then, by introducing the $V_P \times 3$ matrices A and B given by

$$A^T = N^T W \quad \text{and} \quad B = [\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} b_1 \dots \Pi_{\mathcal{N}} b_3],$$

we write the consistency condition (3.9) as

$$M_{\mathcal{N}}^P B = A. \quad (3.12)$$

Let now C be a $f_V \times (f_V - 3)$ matrix with columns that span the null space of N^T and D be a $V_P \times (V_P - 4)$ matrix with columns that span the null space of $[\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} b_0 \dots \Pi_{\mathcal{N}} b_3]$. Then the general form of acceptable matrices $M_{\mathcal{F}}^P$ and $M_{\mathcal{N}}^P$ is respectively given by

$$M_{\mathcal{F}}^P = \frac{1}{|P|} R \tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P^{-1} R^T + C U_{\mathcal{F}} C^T \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\mathcal{N}}^P = \frac{1}{|P|} A \tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P^{-1} A^T + D U_{\mathcal{N}} D^T \quad (3.13)$$

with $U_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $U_{\mathcal{N}}$ arbitrary symmetric and positive definite matrices of the appropriate scaling. The dimension of $U_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $U_{\mathcal{N}}$ is $(f_V - 3) \times (f_V - 3)$ and $(V_P - 4) \times (V_P - 4)$, respectively. Notice that, if P is a tetrahedron, than we just get one possible nodal scalar product, namely $M_{\mathcal{N}}^P = \frac{1}{|P|} A \tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P^{-1} A^T$. As noted in [4], when \mathcal{T}_h is made of tetrahedrons the nodal MFD values coincide with those of the standard \mathbb{P}^1 finite element method (with $\tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ used in place of \mathbb{K} before evaluating the elemental integrals).

4. GRADIENT RECONSTRUCTIONS

It is clear that only the first part of the definitions of $M_{\mathcal{F}}^P$ and $M_{\mathcal{N}}^P$ given in (3.13) acts on the relevant subspace of interpolated linear polynomials, and we know that such action is exact. As our forms operate at the gradient level, we can use them to define piecewise constant gradient reconstructions which have to be exact on linear polynomials. Following [5], for any vector field G on P we define its reconstruction G^R as

$$G_i^R := \frac{1}{|P|} [\Pi_{\mathcal{F}} G, \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{\mathbb{K}} \hat{x}_i)]_{\mathcal{F}}^P \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, 3,$$

which is exact on constant fields by (3.7). Notice that the reconstruction is easily calculated as follows

$$G^R = \frac{1}{|P|} N^T M_{\mathcal{F}}^P (\Pi_{\mathcal{F}} G)_P = \frac{1}{|P|} \tilde{\mathbb{K}}^{-1} R^T (\Pi_{\mathcal{F}} G)_P. \quad (4.1)$$

The reconstruction formula (4.1) was used in [5] to postprocess the mixed MFD method solution (p_h, F_h) . Indeed, after (p_h, F_h) have been calculated, we can assemble elementwise a piecewise linear second-order accurate solution is given, on each $P \in \mathcal{T}_h$, by

$$p_h^R|_P = p_h|_P + \frac{1}{|P|} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P^{-1} R^T F_h|_P \right) \cdot (x - x_P).$$

Similarly, we can define a reconstructed gradient of any scalar function v over P as

$$(\tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P \text{grad}^R v)_j := \frac{1}{|P|} [\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} v, \Pi_{\mathcal{N}} b_j]_{\mathcal{N}}^P, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, 3,$$

which is exact on linear polynomials by (3.10). Also in this case we have the following formula for the computation of the reconstructed gradient:

$$\text{grad}^R v = \frac{1}{|P|} \tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P^{-1} B^T M_{\mathcal{N}}^P (\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} v)_P = \frac{1}{|P|} \tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P^{-1} A^T (\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} v)_P. \quad (4.2)$$

This formula may be used to define, starting from the nodal MFD solution u_h , a piecewise linear solution u_h^R given, on each $P \in \mathcal{T}_h$, by

$$u_h^R|_P = \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{i=1}^{V_P} u_h(V_P^i) \omega_P^i + \frac{1}{|P|} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P^{-1} A^T u_h|_P \right) \cdot (x - x_P).$$

If the decomposition \mathcal{T}_h is made of tetrahedrons, the exactness of the elemental and facial quadrature formulas on the respective spaces of constant and linear polynomials implies that $u_h^R|_P$ is the unique linear polynomial which takes the values $u_h(V_P^i)$ at the elemental vertices. Thus u_h^R coincides with the standard \mathbb{P}^1 finite element solution.

It is interesting to rediscover, in the case of tetrahedral elements, the exactness of (4.2) on linear polynomials. To this purpose, let us consider $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^4$ as the standard basis of $\mathbb{P}^1(P)$, so that $\varphi_j(V_P^i) = \delta_{i,j}$. Then, for any $j = 1, \dots, 4$, denoting by f_j the face opposed to the j -th vertex of the tetrahedron, we have

$$\text{grad} \varphi_j = -\frac{1}{3|P|} |f_j| \mathbf{n}_{f_j}^P.$$

On the other hand, the reconstructed gradient of φ_j is given by

$$\text{grad}^R \varphi_j = \frac{1}{|P|} \tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P^{-1} A^T (\Pi_{\mathcal{N}} \varphi_j)_P = \frac{1}{|P|} (\tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P^{-1} A^T)^j = \frac{1}{|P|} ([\mathbf{n}_{f_1}^P \cdots \mathbf{n}_{f_{f_P}}^P] W)^j,$$

with the symbol $(\cdot)^j$ indicating the j -th column of its argument. Now, the j -th column of W is given by $(W)^j = \frac{1}{3} (|f_1| \cdots 0 \cdots |f_{f_P}|)^T$ with the 0 appearing in the j -th position, as these weights are the only ones ensuring exactness on linear polynomials. It follows that

$$\text{grad}^R \varphi_j = \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{f_P} \frac{1}{3} |f_i| \mathbf{n}_{f_i}^P = -\frac{1}{3|P|} |f_j| \mathbf{n}_{f_j}^P.$$

5. DISCRETISATION OF ADVECTIVE TERMS: NODAL MFD

We now consider the advection-diffusion problem

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbb{K} \nabla u) + \beta \cdot \nabla u = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where β is a given vector field with components in $W^{0,\infty}(\Omega)$.

We want to construct a nodal MFD discretisation of (5.1). The discretisation of the new, advective, term will be based on the gradient reconstruction formula (4.2) and on a piecewise constant approximation of the data.

Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be the vector field obtained from β by averaging each component over each mesh element P . Then $\tilde{\beta} \cdot \text{grad}^h v_h \in \mathcal{P}$, for all $v_h \in \mathcal{N}$. We define the following nodal MFD method:

$$\text{find } u_h \in \mathcal{N}_0 : [\text{grad}^h u_h, \text{grad}^h v_h]_{\mathcal{E}} + (\tilde{\beta} \cdot \text{grad}^R u_h, v_h)_{\mathcal{N}} = (\tilde{g}, v_h)_{\mathcal{N}} \quad \forall v_h \in \mathcal{N}_0. \quad (5.2)$$

We may as well introduce in the method the following streamline-diffusion type stabilizing term:

$$[\tilde{\tau}_h \tilde{\beta} \cdot \text{grad}^R u_h, \tilde{\beta} \cdot \text{grad}^R v_h]_{\mathcal{P}}, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\tilde{\tau}_h$ is a stabilization parameter defined element-wise in function of the local mesh Péclet number.

Notice that, on each element P , the reconstructed gradient appearing above gets the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grad}^R v_h|_P &= \frac{1}{|P|} \tilde{\mathbb{K}}_P^{-1} A^T v_h|_P \\ &= \frac{1}{|P|} [\mathbf{n}_{f_1}^P \cdots \mathbf{n}_{f_{f_P}}^P] W v_h|_P \\ &= \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{f \in \partial P} \mathbf{n}_f^P \sum_{l=1}^{V_f} v_h(V_f^l) \omega_f^l. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Thanks to the exactness on linears of the gradient reconstruction, the term (5.3) satisfies a local consistency condition similar to (3.9). Once again, the starting point is a Green identity. Given a generic smooth function ϕ and a linear function $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(P)$, we have

$$\int_P (\tilde{\beta} \cdot \nabla p) (\tilde{\beta} \cdot \nabla \phi) dP = \int_{\partial P} (\tilde{\beta} \cdot \nabla p) (\tilde{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_P) \phi dS = (\tilde{\beta} \cdot \nabla p) \sum_{f \in \partial P} (\tilde{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f) \int_f \phi dS. \quad (5.5)$$

The term (5.3) mimics (5.5) in that, for each $v_h \in \mathcal{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(P)$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\beta} \cdot \text{grad}^R \Pi_{\mathcal{N}p}, \tilde{\beta} \cdot \text{grad}^R v_h]_P^P &= |P|(\tilde{\beta} \cdot \text{grad}^R \Pi_{\mathcal{N}p})(\tilde{\beta} \cdot \text{grad}^R v_h) \\ &= (\tilde{\beta} \cdot \nabla p) \sum_{f \in \partial P} (\tilde{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f^P) \sum_{l=1}^{V_f} v(V_f^l) \omega_f^l, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

cf. Equation (5.14) in [4]. Indeed (5.6) follows from (5.4) and the fact that $\text{grad}^R \Pi_{\mathcal{N}p} = \nabla p$, and thus $\tilde{\beta} \cdot \text{grad}^R \Pi_{\mathcal{N}p} = \tilde{\beta} \cdot \nabla p$. Actually, this last equality can be re-obtained by direct calculation: again from (5.4) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta} \cdot \text{grad}^R \Pi_{\mathcal{N}p}|_P &= \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{f \in \partial P} (\tilde{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f^P) \sum_{l=1}^{V_f} p(V_f^l) \omega_f^l \\ &= \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{f \in \partial P} \int_f p(\tilde{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f^P) dS \\ &= \frac{1}{|P|} \int_{\partial P} p(\tilde{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f^P) dS \\ &= \frac{1}{|P|} \int_P \tilde{\beta} \cdot \nabla p dP = \tilde{\beta} \cdot \nabla p. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Brezzi and A. Buffa. Innovative mimetic discretizations for electromagnetic problems. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, to appear.
- [2] F. Brezzi, K. Lipnikov, and M. Shashkov. Convergence of the mimetic finite difference method for diffusion problems on polyhedral meshes. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 43(5):1872–1896 (electronic), 2005.
- [3] F. Brezzi, K. Lipnikov, and V. Simoncini. A family of mimetic finite difference methods on polygonal and polyhedral meshes. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 15(10):1533–1551, 2005.
- [4] Franco Brezzi, Annalisa Buffa, and Konstantin Lipnikov. Mimetic finite differences for elliptic problems. *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.*, 43(2):277–295, 2009.
- [5] A. Cangiani and G. Manzini. Flux reconstruction and pressure post-processing in mimetic finite difference methods. *Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 197/9-12:933–945, 2008.