# LEXSEGMENT IDEALS OF HILBERT DEPTH 1

YI-HUANG SHEN

ABSTRACT. Let  $I \subset S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a lexsegment ideal, generated by monomials of degree d. The main aim of this paper is to characterize when the Hilbert depth of I will be 1, in the standard graded case. In addition to this, we will give an estimate of depth of squarefree monomial ideals, generalizing a result of Popescu [Pop12]. We will also show that Stanley conjecture holds for squarefree stable ideals, in the multigraded case.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of Krull dimension  $n \geq 1$ . It has a canonical  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -grading. Let M be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S-module. Stanley [Sta82, 5.1] conjectured that

$$(\bigstar)$$
 sdepth $(M) \ge depth(M)$ .

Here, the Stanley depth sdepth(M) of M will be introduced in Section 2 of this paper. Correspondingly, the depth depth(M) of M is the common length of maximal M-sequences in the graded maximal ideal  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)S$ .

Herzog, Soleyman Jahan and Yassemi [HJY08, 4.5] showed that conjecture  $(\bigstar)$  implies the following combinatorial conjecture, due to Garsia [Gar80, 5.2] and Stanley [Sta79, page 149] separately:

Every Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex is partitionable.

Conjecture  $(\bigstar)$  remains open. From our point of view, the current related research includes at least the following three areas:

- Verify conjecture  $(\bigstar)$  in special cases. For example, the module M is:
  - an almost clean module [HVZ09];
  - of the form M = S/I where I is an initial or final lexsegment ideal [Ish10, 3.9], or a generic or cogeneric Cohen-Macaulay ideal[Ape03, Theorems 3, 5], or a Cohen-Macaulay monomial ideal of codimension 2 [HJY08, 2.4], or a Gorenstein monomial ideal of codimension 3 [HJY08, 3.1], or the edge ideal of a complete k-partite graph [IQ11, 2.8].

Date: November 18, 2018.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05E45, 05E40, 06A07; Secondary 13C13, 05C70.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Stanley depth; Hilbert depth; Lex<br/>segment ideals; Squarefree lex<br/>segment ideals.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (WK0010000017). Many important ideas of this work came from discussions with Professor Jürgen Herzog, to whom the author wants to express the most sincere gratitude. This work was partly completed while the author was visiting the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology. He thanks Professor Beifang Chen for useful discussions and hospitality during this visit.

- a monomial ideal I such that this I is the intersection of four prime monomial ideals [Pop10, 4.2], or the intersection of three monomial primary ideals [Zar, 2.2], or an almost complete intersection ideal [Cim11, 1.9], or a general monomial ideal if the Krull dimension of the ring  $n \leq 5$  [Pop09, 2.11].
- Determine the Stanley depth of special modules, e.g, almost clean modules [HVZ09], graded maximal ideals [BHK<sup>+</sup>10], monomial complete intersection ideals [She09], and some squarefree Veronese ideals [Cim09], [KSSY11] and [GLS].
- Generalize the notion of Stanley depth, e.g., to cover depth [NV08], Hilbert depth [Uli10] and [BKU10], new depth [Wan09].

This list is definitely not complete, nor is it meant to be.

Many authors use the obstruction from Hilbert function to give upper bounds for Stanley depth. Hilbert depth, which studies the decomposition of the equivalent class of modules sharing the same (multigraded or standard graded) Hilbert series, consolidate this type of treatment. We will review the basics of Stanley depth and Hilbert depth in Section 2.

As an application of these notions, we give a sufficient condition for deciding the depth of I/J where  $J \subset I$  are two squarefree monomial ideals of S. This result, which generalizes a corresponding one in Popescu [Pop12], originates from a consideration of Stanley decomposition of I/J, as explained in Remark 2.5. Due to the still-openness of conjecture ( $\bigstar$ ), it is only resolved by applying Hilbert depth techniques, as shown in the proof of Theorem 2.4.

In the standard graded setting, the Hilbert depth of the powers of the maximal ideals [BKU11] and squarefree Veronese ideals [GLW11a] have been calculated and their relation has been studied [GLW11b], from combinatorial point of view. Except for these, the Hilbert depth of many interesting graded objects remains unknown.

The aim of this paper is to characterize lexsegment ideals of Hilbert depth 1. This will be completed in Section 5 as Theorem 5.3. Before that, we need some preparations which we outline here.

The lexsegment ideals that we will investigate in Theorem 5.3 are special (strongly) stable ideals. We will go over these notions as well as their squarefree counterparts in Section 3. Several easy results related to their depth and Hilbert depth will be outlined as well.

Our proof for Theorem 5.3 depends on the Gil Kalai correspondence between strongly stable ideals and squarefree strongly stable ideals. We will go over this relation in Section 4. This correspondence provides the underlying philosophy for connecting the Stanley depth and Hilbert depth of these two special types of monomial ideals, which we formulate as Conjecture 4.3.

After proving the main result in Section 5, we take a further study of the squarefree stable ideals in Section 6. We will calculate the Stanley depth of S/I when Iis a squarefree strongly stable ideal in Remark 6.1 and show the Stanley conjecture ( $\bigstar$ ) holds for squarefree stable ideals in Theorem 6.2.

# 2. Stanley depth and Hilbert depth

Let  $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of Krull dimension n, with the lexicographic order  $>_{\text{lex}}$  induced by the ordering  $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n$ . We consider two graded structures on S:

- (a) the multigrading, more precisely, the  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -grading in which the degree of  $x_i$  is the *i*th vector  $e_i$  of the canonical basis;
- (b) the standard grading over  $\mathbb{Z}$  in which each  $x_i$  has degree 1.

Following the convention in [BKU10], we will use the subscript n to denote invariants associated with the multigrading, and the subscript 1 for those associated with the standard grading.

Let M be a finitely generated graded (in either standard graded or multigraded setting) R-module and  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)S$  be the graded maximal ideal of S. The grade of  $\mathfrak{m}$  on M (also known as the depth of M) shall be simply written as depth(M).

A Stanley decomposition of M is a finite family  $\mathcal{D} = (S_i, x_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ , in which  $x_i$  is a homogeneous element of M and  $S_i$  is a graded K-algebra retract of S for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $S_i \cap \operatorname{ann}(x_i) = 0$ , and

(1) 
$$M = \bigoplus_{i} S_{i} x_{i}$$

as a K-graded space. The direct sum on the right hand side of (1) carries a structure of an *R*-module, and thus has a well-defined depth, called the *Stanley depth* of this decomposition  $\mathcal{D}$ . The *Stanley depth* sdepth(M) of M is the maximal depth of a Stanley decomposition of M. We always set sdepth(0) =  $\infty$ .

If  $J \subsetneq I$  are two squarefree monomial ideals of S, consider the poset  $P_{I\setminus J}$  of all squarefree monomials of  $I \setminus J$  with the order given by divisibility. If  $u \subseteq v$  are two monomials in  $P_{I\setminus J}$ , the interval [u, v] is the set

$$\{ w \in P_{I \setminus J} : u \text{ divides } w \text{ and } w \text{ divides } v \}.$$

Herzog, Vladoiu and Zheng's method [HVZ09, 2.5] for squarefree monomial ideals can be easily checked to be equivalent to the following characterization:

**Lemma 2.1.** Let k be a positive integer and  $J \subsetneq I$  two squarefree monomial ideals of S. Then  $\operatorname{sdepth}_n(I/J) \ge k$  if and only if  $P_{I\setminus J}$  has a disjoint partition  $\mathcal{P}: P_{I\setminus J} = \coprod_{i=1}^{l} [u_i, v_i]$  such that the cardinalities  $|v_i| \ge k$  for all i.

With the additional help of [She09, 3.3] and its proof, one can further assume (require) that in the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  above, for every  $u_i$  such that  $\deg(u_i) \leq k$ , one has  $\deg(v_i) = k$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** Let k be a positive integer and I a squarefree monomial ideal of S. If J is another squarefree monomial ideal of S, generated by monomials of degrees  $\geq k$ , then sdepth<sub>n</sub>(I)  $\geq k$  if and only if sdepth<sub>n</sub>(I + J)  $\geq k$ .

The Hilbert decomposition that we shall review next, is a generalization of Stanley decomposition. To be more precise, a *Hilbert decomposition* of M is a finite family  $\mathcal{H} = (S_i, s_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ , such that  $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}^m$  (where m = 1 or m = n, respectively, depending on the grading),  $S_i$  is a graded K-algebra retract of R for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , and

(2) 
$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i} S_i(-s_i)$$

as a graded K-vector space. The depth of the *R*-module on the right hand side of (2) is called the *Hilbert depth* of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The *Hilbert depth* hdepth(*M*) of *M* is the maximal depth of a Hilbert decomposition of *M*.

It follows easily from the definition that

$$hdepth_1(I/J) \ge sdepth_1(I/J) \ge sdepth_n(I/J) = hdepth_n(I/J)$$

for any monomial ideals  $J \subsetneq I$  in S; see [BKU10, 2.8]. Furthermore, we have the following facts for nonzero finitely generated graded module M.

(a) Stanley conjecture holds in the standard graded case:

$$\operatorname{sdepth}_1(M) \ge \operatorname{depth}(M).$$

This is a result of Baclawski and Garsia [BG81], with a short proof in [BKU10, 2.7].

(b) When depth $(M) \ge 1$ , sdepth $_n(M) \ge 1$  by [BKU10, 2.13]. Since any nonzero monomial ideal I satisfies depth $(I) \ge 1$ , one has sdepth $_n(I) \ge 1$ .

It is worth noting that, in the standard graded case, Uliczka [Uli10, 3.2] proved the formula

(3) 
$$\operatorname{hdepth}_1(M) = \max\left\{ u : (1-T)^u H_M(T) \text{ is positive } \right\}.$$

Here  $H_M(T)$  is the Hilbert series of M, and a rational function is called *positive* if its Laurent expansion at 0 has only nonnegative coefficients. In the following, we give an application of the formula (3).

Setting 2.3. Let  $I \supseteq J$  be two squarefree monomial ideals, generated by monomials of degrees  $\geq d$  and  $\geq d + 1$  respectively. Write  $\rho_j(I \setminus J)$  for the number of all squarefree monomials of degree j in  $I \setminus J$ .

It is known that depth $(I/J) \ge d$  by [Pop11b, 1.1]. Assume that depth<sub>S</sub> $(I/J) \ge t$ , where t is an integer such that  $d \le t < n$ . If

$$\rho_{t+1}(I \setminus J) < \alpha_t := \sum_{i=0}^{t-d} (-1)^{t-d+i} \rho_{d+i}(I \setminus J),$$

[Pop12, 1.3] proved that  $\operatorname{depth}_{S}(I/J) = t$  independently of the characteristic of K. We generalize Popescu's result as follows:

**Theorem 2.4.** With the Setting 2.3, assume that  $\operatorname{depth}_S(I/J) \ge t$ , where t is an integer such that  $d \le t < n$ . If for some k with  $d + 1 \le k \le t + 1$ :

(4) 
$$\rho_k(I \setminus J) < \sum_{j=d}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-j+1} \binom{t+1-j}{k-j} \rho_j(I \setminus J)$$

then depth<sub>S</sub>(I/J) = t independently of the characteristic of K.

*Proof.* In the standard graded setting, we have the following relation:

$$\operatorname{depth}(I/J) \leq \operatorname{sdepth}_1(I/J) \leq \operatorname{hdepth}_1(I/J).$$

Here, the first inequality is due to [BKU10, 2.7] while the second inequality is simply by definition. Now it suffices to show that if inequality (4) holds for some suitable k, then hdepth<sub>1</sub>(I/J)  $\leq t$ .

We will take use of the formula (3), and calculate the Hilbert series  $H_{I/J}(T)$  directly. Notice that the canonical image of a monomial  $u \in I$  in I/J is nonzero if and only if  $\sqrt{u} := \prod_{x_i|u} x_i \in I \setminus J$ . Thus

$$H_{I/J}(T) = \sum_{j=d}^{n} \frac{\rho_j (I \setminus J) \cdot T^j}{(1-T)^j}.$$

If hdepth<sub>1</sub>(I/J)  $\geq t+1$ , then  $(1-T)^{t+1}H_{I/J}(T)$  is positive. Thus, its coefficient at each degree k is nonnegative. When  $d+1 \leq k \leq t+1$ , this is equivalent to saying

(5) 
$$\sum_{j=d}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{t+1-j}{k-j} \rho_j(I \setminus J) \ge 0.$$

Relating (5) with (4), we complete the proof.

Obviously, when inequality (4) holds for k = t + 1, we will recover Popescu's result.

Remark 2.5. Theorem 2.4 can be established by using Stanley depth, if the Stanley conjecture ( $\bigstar$ ) holds in this case. Notice that when sdepth $(I/J) \ge t + 1$ ,  $P_{I\setminus J}$  has a partition  $P_{I\setminus J} = \coprod_i [u_i, v_i]$  such that for every  $u_i$  with deg $(u_i) \le t + 1$ , one has deg $(v_i) = t + 1$ . Let  $a_j := |\{u_i : \deg(u_i) = j\}|$  for  $d \le j \le n$ . Then  $\rho_k(I\setminus J) = \sum_{j=d}^k a_j {t+1-d \choose j}$  for  $d \le k \le t+1$ . It is not difficult to deduce from this fact those inequalities of (5).

When depth $(I/J) \ge t+1$ , we will have hdepth $_1(I/J) \ge d+1$ . Thus in the proof of Theorem 2.4, after applying k = d+1 in (5), we get  $\rho_{d+1}(I \setminus J) \ge (t+1-d)\rho_d(I)$ . Now, as opposed to [Pop12, 1.5], we can get

**Corollary 2.6.** With the Setting 2.3, suppose that  $depth(I/J) \ge d+2$ , then

 $2\rho_d(I) \le \rho_{d+1}(I \setminus J) \le \rho_d(I) + \rho_{d+2}(I \setminus J).$ 

Whence, the condition  $\rho_{d+2}(I \setminus J) = 0$  forces  $\rho_d(I) = \rho_{d+1}(I \setminus J) = 0$ .

3. STABLE IDEALS AND SQUAREFREE STALE IDEALS

For each monomial  $u \in S$ , let  $\mathbf{m}(u)$  be the maximal integer *i* such that  $x_i$  divides u. If  $I \subset S$  is a nonzero monomial ideal, define  $\mathbf{m}(I) = \max \{ \mathbf{m}(u) : u \in G(I) \}$ , where G(I) is the set of minimal monomial generators of I.

- (a) A monomial ideal I is called *stable* if for all monomials  $u \in I$  and  $i < \mathbf{m}(u)$ one has  $x_i(u/x_{\mathbf{m}(u)}) \in I$ . A squarefree monomial ideal I is called *squarefree stable* if for all squarefree monomials  $u \in I$  and for all  $i < \mathbf{m}(u)$  such that  $x_i$  does not divide u one has  $x_i(u/x_{\mathbf{m}(u)}) \in I$ .
- (b) I is called strongly stable if one has x<sub>i</sub>(u/x<sub>j</sub>) ∈ I for all monomials u ∈ I and all i < j such that x<sub>j</sub> divides u. A squarefree monomial ideal I is called squarefree strongly stable if for all squarefree monomials u ∈ I and for all j < i such that x<sub>i</sub> divides u and x<sub>j</sub> does not divide u one has x<sub>j</sub>(u/x<sub>i</sub>) ∈ I.
- (c) A (squarefree) monomial ideal I is called (squarefree) lexsegment if for all (squarefree) monomials  $u \in I$  and all (squarefree) monomials v with deg  $v = \deg u$  and  $v >_{\text{lex}} u$  one has  $v \in I$ . A set V of monomials in  $S_d$  is lexsegment if the homogeneous ideal  $S \cdot V$  is a lexsegment ideal.

Obviously we have the implication

(squarefree) lexsegment  $\implies$  (squarefree) strongly stable  $\implies$  (squarefree) stable.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial ideal.

- (a) If I is stable, then depth $(I) = n + 1 \mathbf{m}(I)$ .
- (b) If I is squarefree stable, then  $\operatorname{depth}(I) = \min \{ n \mathbf{m}(u) + \operatorname{deg}(u) : u \in G(I) \}.$

*Proof.* When I is stable,

$$\operatorname{proj} \dim S/I = \max \{ \mathbf{m}(u) : u \in G(I) \}$$

by [Her02, 3.4(b)]. We apply the graded version of Auslander-Buchsbaum formula

 $\operatorname{depth} S/I + \operatorname{proj} \dim S/I = n$ 

and the well-known depth lemma [BH93, 1.2.9] to get the desired formula for depth(I). The squarefree case can be treated similarly, by using [Her02, 3.6(b)].  $\Box$ 

Given positive integers a and d, let

$$a = \binom{\lambda_d}{d} + \dots + \binom{\lambda_k}{k},$$

where  $k \ge 1$  and  $\lambda_d > \cdots > \lambda_k \ge k \ge 1$ , be the *d*th *Macaulay representation* of *a*. One defines

$$a^{\mathrm{MG}(d)} = {\binom{\lambda_d + 1}{d}} + \dots + {\binom{\lambda_k + 1}{k}}$$

and  $0^{MG(d)} = 0$ . Related, one also defines

$$\partial_{d-1}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_d \\ d-1 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\partial_{d-1}(0) = 0$ .

Suppose I is a lexsegment ideal, generated by monomials of degree d. Let u be the minimal monomial in  $I_d$  with respect to  $>_{\text{lex}}$ . Then u can be written as

(6) 
$$u = x_1^{a_0-1} x_2^{a_1-a_0} \cdots x_k^{a_{k-1}-a_{k-2}} x_{k+1}^{a_k-a_{k-1}+1} x_n^{d-a_k}$$

where  $0 < a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_k \leq d$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ . The (n-1)th Macaulay representation of  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(I_d) = \mu(I)$  is

$$\mu(I) = \binom{d - a_0 + n - 1}{n - 1} + \dots + \binom{d - a_k + n - 1 - k}{n - 1 - k},$$

by [IK, C.10]. Furthermore, the homogeneous piece  $I_{d+1} = S_1 \cdot I_d$  is again lexsegment. Equation (14) of [MH08] says that

(7) 
$$H(I, d+1) = H(I, d)^{\mathrm{MG}(n-1)}.$$

It follows that the Hilbert function of I is essentially determined by  $\mu(I) = H(I, d)$ , the minimal number of generators of I.

**Corollary 3.2.** Suppose I and I' are two lexsegment ideals, generated in degree d and d' respectively, with  $\mu(I) = \mu(I')$  and  $d' \ge d$ , then

- (a)  $I' = x_1^{d'-d} \cdot I;$
- (b) the Hilbert series satisfies  $H_{I'}(T) = T^{d'-d}H_I(T)$ ;
- (c) the Hilbert function satisfies  $H(I, d + \delta) = H(I', d' + \delta)$  for all  $\delta \ge 0$ ;
- (d) the Hilbert depth satisfies  $hdepth_1(I) = hdepth_1(I')$  and  $hdepth_n(I) = hdepth_n(I')$ ;
- (e) the Stanley depth satisfies  $\operatorname{sdepth}_1(I) = \operatorname{sdepth}_1(I')$  and  $\operatorname{sdepth}_n(I) = \operatorname{sdepth}_n(I')$ .

*Proof.* We only need to show part (a). Now, suppose u in (6) is the minimal element in  $I_d$  with respect to  $>_{\text{lex}}$ . Since  $\mu(I) = \mu(I')$ ,  $x_1^{d'-d}u$  is the minimal element of  $I'_{d'}$ . For each monomial  $v \in I_d$ , one has  $v >_{\text{lex}} u$  in  $I_d$  and thus  $x_1^{d'-d}v >_{\text{lex}} x_1^{d'-d}u$ in  $I'_{d'}$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $x_1^{d'-d}I_d \subseteq I'_{d'}$ . Since these two sets have the same cardinality, they must coincide.  $\Box$ 

Let V be a subspace of the K-vector space  $S_d$ . We write  $lex(V) \subset S_d$  for the K-vector space spanned by the lexsegment set  $L \subset S_d$  of monomials with  $|L| = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} V$ . The set V is called a *Gotzmann space* if  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(S_1 \cdot V) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(S_1 \cdot lex(V))$ . The Gotzmann Persistence Theorem [Got78] says that if V is a Gotzmann space, then  $S_1 \cdot V$  is also a Gotzmann space. A homogeneous ideal I of S is *Gotzmann* if  $I_k$  is Gotzmann for all k. Lexsegment ideals are obviously Gotzmann.

**Corollary 3.3.** Suppose I and I' are Gotzmann ideals of S, and generated in degrees d and d' respectively, with  $\mu(I) = \mu(I')$ , then

- (a) the Hilbert series satisfies  $H_I(T) = T^{d-d'}H_{I'}(T)$ ;
- (b) the Hilbert function satisfies  $H(I, d + \delta) = H(I', d' + \delta)$  for all  $\delta \ge 0$ ;
- (c) the Hilbert depth satisfies  $hdepth_1(I) = hdepth_1(I')$ .

Here is another direct application of (3):

**Lemma 3.4.** Let I be a monomial ideal of S, generated by monomials of degrees  $\geq d$ . If hdepth<sub>1</sub>(I)  $\geq k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $H(I, d+1) \geq k \cdot H(I, d)$ .

*Proof.* The Hilbert series of I is

$$H_I(T) = H(I,d)T^d + H(I,d+1)T^{d+1} + \cdots$$

Direct computation shows that

$$(1-T)^k H_I(T) = H(I,d)T^d + (H(I,d+1) - k \cdot H(I,d))T^{d+1} + \cdots$$

If  $hdepth_1(I) \ge k$ , the coefficient  $H(I, d+1) - k \cdot H(I, d) \ge 0$  by (3).

**Conjecture 3.5.** Let I be a stable ideal in S of degree d, then  $hdepth_1(I) = \lfloor H(I, d+1)/H(I, d) \rfloor$ .

This conjecture holds at least in the following two cases:

- (a)  $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^d$  is the power of the graded maximal ideal, by [BKU11, 1.2].
- (b) *I* is lexsegment with hdepth<sub>1</sub>(*I*) = 1, i.e., this lexsegment ideal satisfies  $\mu(I) > \xi_{n-1} := \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} {2j-1 \choose j}$  by Theorem 5.3.

**Example 3.6.** The Conjecture 3.5 fails if I is not stable. For example, one can take  $I = (x^2, y^2) \subset \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ . Since  $xy \notin I$ , I is not stable. The Hilbert series of I is

$$H_I(T) = 2T^2 + \sum_{j \ge 3} (j+1)T^j.$$

Thus,  $hdepth_1(I) = 1 < H(I,3)/H(I,2) = 2$ .

#### 4. Shifting operations

Let us review a pair of operators  $(\sigma, \tau)$ , due to Gil Kalai, that relates monomials with squarefree monomials in a larger polynomial ring. If  $u = x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_d}$ , where  $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_d$ , we set  $u^{\sigma} = x_{i_1}x_{i_2+1}\cdots x_{i_j+(j-1)}\cdots x_{i_d+(d-1)}$ . The operator  $\sigma: u \mapsto u^{\sigma}$  will be called the squarefree operator. Its inverse  $\tau$  is the map which associate each squarefree monomial  $v = x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_d}$ , where  $i_1 < \cdots < i_d$  with the monomial  $v^{\tau} = x_{i_1}x_{i_2-1}\cdots x_{i_j-(j-1)}\cdots x_{i_d-(d-1)}$ . It is clear that the pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  establishes a bijection between  $A_{n,d}$ , the set of monomials in the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of degree d, with  $B_{n+d-1,d}$ , the set of squarefree monomials in the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n+d-1}$  of degree d.

If  $I \subset S$  is a monomial ideal with  $G(I) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_s\}$ , we write  $I^{\sigma}$  for the squarefree monomial ideal generated by the monomials  $u_1^{\sigma}, \ldots, u_s^{\sigma}$  in  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$  where  $m = \max \{\mathbf{m}(u) + \deg(u) - 1 : u \in G(I)\}$ . Similarly, if  $I \subset S$  is a squarefree monomial ideal with  $G(I) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$ , we write  $I^{\tau}$  for the monomial ideal generated by  $v_1^{\tau}, \ldots, v_s^{\tau}$  in S. The operators  $(\sigma, \tau)$  establishes a bijection between strongly stable ideals and squarefree strongly stable ideals:

**Lemma 4.1** ([AHH00, 1.2, 1.4]). If  $I \subset S$  is a strongly stable ideal with  $G(I) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_s\}$ ,  $I^{\sigma}$  is a squarefree strongly stable ideal with  $G(I^{\sigma}) = \{u_1^{\sigma}, \ldots, u_s^{\sigma}\}$ . Conversely, if I is a squarefree strongly stable ideal with  $G(I) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$ ,  $I^{\tau}$  is a strongly stable ideal with  $G(I^{\tau}) = \{v_1^{\tau}, \ldots, v_s^{\tau}\}$ .

The bijection above restricts to a bijection between lexsegment ideals and squarefree lexsegment ideals:

**Lemma 4.2** ([AHH00, 1.8]). If  $I \subset S$  is a lexsegment ideal, then  $I^{\sigma}$  is a squarefree lexsegment ideal. Conversely, if I is a squarefree lexsegment ideal in S, then  $G(I^{\tau}) \subseteq S' = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$  where  $m = \max \{ \mathbf{m}(u) - \deg(u) + 1 : u \in G(I) \}$ , and  $I^{\tau} \cap S'$  is a lexsegment ideal in S'.

If I is a squarefree strongly stable monomial ideal, then  $\beta_{i,i+j}(I) = \beta_{i,i+j}(I^{\tau})$  for all i and j by [AHH00, 2.2]. Whence, all homological invariants that are expressible by the graded Betti numbers essentially coincide. For instance, we will have depth $(I) = \text{depth}(I^{\tau})$  and  $\text{hdepth}_1(I) = \text{hdepth}_1(I^{\tau})$ . Since powers of the graded maximal ideal and squarefree Veronese ideals are connected by this Gil Kalai correspondence, this gives a quick algebraic solution for the relation established in [GLW11b]; see also the discussion below.

This fact leads to the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 4.3.** Let  $I \subset S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a squarefree strongly stable ideal, generated by monomials of degree d. Then the following numbers coincide:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{i}) & \mathrm{sdepth}_n(I), \\ (\mathrm{ii}) & \mathrm{hdepth}_n(I), \\ (\mathrm{iii}) & \mathrm{hdepth}_1(I), \\ (\mathrm{iv}) & \mathrm{sdepth}_n(I^{\tau}), \\ (\mathrm{v}) & \mathrm{hdepth}_n(I^{\tau}), \\ (\mathrm{vi}) & \mathrm{hdepth}_1(I^{\tau}), \\ (\mathrm{vii}) & \left\lfloor \frac{H(I^{\tau}, d+1)}{H(I^{\tau}, d)} \right\rfloor. \end{array}$ 

This conjecture was first suggested by Herzog, asking the equality of (i) and (iv). In this conjecture, the three equalities  $\operatorname{sdepth}_n(I) = \operatorname{hdepth}_n(I)$ ,  $\operatorname{sdepth}_n(I^{\tau}) =$   $\operatorname{hdepth}_n(I^{\tau})$  and  $\operatorname{hdepth}_1(I) = \operatorname{hdepth}_1(I^{\tau})$  are already known. In addition to Theorem 5.3 that we will prove later, we have two additional supporting facts for this conjecture.

(a) Let  $I_{n,d}$  be the squarefree Veronese ideal, generated by all the degree d squarefree monomials of  $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Now  $1 \leq d \leq n$  and  $I_{n,d}$  is squarefree strongly stable with  $(I_{n,d})^{\tau} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-d+1})^d S$ . Let  $S' = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-d+1}]$ . Then we have the following relation that has been studied in detail in [GLW11b]:

 $hdepth_1(I_{n,d}) = hdepth_1((I_{n,d})^{\tau}) = hdepth_1((x_1, \dots, x_{n-d+1})^d \cap S') + (d-1),$ 

whereas the formula

$$\mathrm{hdepth}_1((x_1,\ldots,x_{n-d+1})^d \cap S') = \left\lceil \frac{n-d+1}{d+1} \right\rceil$$

has been established in [BKU11, 1.2].

On the other hand, for the Stanley depth, the conjecture

$$\operatorname{sdepth}_n(I_{n,d}) = \left\lceil \frac{n - (d-1)}{d+1} \right\rceil + (d-1)$$

has been partially verified in [Cim09], [KSSY11] and [GLS].

(b) Using direct computer verification, we know that a lexsegment ideal  $I \subset \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_4]$  of degree d satisfies  $\mathrm{hdepth}_1(I) \geq 3$  if and only if  $\mu(I) \leq 3$ . On the other hand, when  $d \leq 9$ , direct computer verification shows that a squarefree lexsegment ideal  $J \subset \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_{d+3}]$  of degree d satisfies  $\mathrm{sdepth}_n(J) \geq d+2$  if and only if  $\mu(J) \leq 3$ .

The following example gives a counter-example for Conjecture 4.3 when I is not generated by monomials of the same degree:

**Example 4.4.** Take  $I = \langle x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_1 x_4, x_2 x_3 x_4, x_2 x_3 x_5 \rangle \subset S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_5]$ . Then I is squarefree strongly stable. The corresponding ideal  $I^{\tau} = \langle x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2^3, x_2^2 x_3 \rangle S$ . Meanwhile, sdepth<sub>n</sub> $(I) = 4 > \text{sdepth}_n(I^{\tau}) = 3$  by the SdepthLib.coc library [Rin08] for CoCoA [CoCoATe].

The following example gives a counter-example for Conjecture 4.3 when (square-free) strongly stable condition is not satisfied:

**Example 4.5.** Let  $J = \langle x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_1x_2, x_2x_3 \rangle \subset S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ . Since  $x_1x_3 \notin J$ , J is not stable. The Hilbert series of J is

$$H_J(T) = \frac{5T^2 - 5T^3 + T^5}{(1-T)^3}.$$

It is not difficult to see that  $hdepth_1(J) = 2$ . On the other hand,  $sdepth_n(J) = 1$  by the SdepthLib.coc library [Rin08] for CoCoA [CoCoATe].

For the time being, we don't have any counter example for Conjecture 4.3 when I is squarefree stable but not squarefree strongly stable. However, we are not very sure of its validity in this case.

5. Lexsegment ideals

If k is a positive integer, write  $\xi_k = \sum_{j=1}^k {\binom{2j-1}{j}}$ .

Lemma 5.1. Let I be a squarefree lexsegment ideal, generated by monomials of degree d. Then sdepth<sub>n</sub>(I) = d if and only if  $\mu(I) > \xi_{n-d}$ .

*Proof.* When  $\mu(I) \leq \xi_{n-d}$ , sdepth<sub>n</sub> $(I) \geq d+1$  by [She11, 1.1]. When  $\mu(I) =$  $\xi_{n-d} + 1$ , sdepth<sub>n</sub>(I) = d by [She11, 4.1]. Thus, when  $\mu(I) > \xi_{n-d}$ , sdepth<sub>n</sub>(I) = d by [She11, 2.3]. 

The following result is a generalization of [She11, 3.6].

**Proposition 5.2.** The following conditions are equivalent for positive integers xand k:

(a)  $x \leq \xi_k$ ; (b)  $\partial_{k-1}(x) \ge x;$ (c)  $x^{\mathrm{MG}(k)} \ge 2x.$ 

*Proof.* Suppose  $x = \binom{a_k}{k} + \dots + \binom{a_i}{i}$  is the *k*th Macaulay representation of *x*. For the equivalence of (b) and (c), we just need to notice that  $x^{\mathrm{MG}(k)} - x = \sum_{j=i}^{k} {a_j+1 \choose j} - \sum_{j=i}^{k} {a_j \choose j} = \sum_{j=i}^{k} {a_j+1 \choose j} - {a_j \choose j} = \sum_{j=i}^{k} {a_j \choose j-1} = \partial_{k-1}(x).$ For the equivalence of (a) and (b), we recall that the direction (a)  $\implies$  (b) is

actually [She11, 3.6]. The proof of  $(b) \implies (a)$  shall be carried out similarly as follows.

We induct on the positive integer k, with the case k = 1 being trivial.

Now, suppose k > 1 and  $x > \xi_k$ . If i > 1, for  $1 \le j < i$ , we write  $a_i = j - 1$ . Then  $(a_k, a_{k-1}, \ldots, a_1) >_{\text{lex}} (2k-1, 2k-3, \ldots, 3, 1)$  by [BH93, 4.2.7]. In particular,  $a_k \ge 2k - 1.$ 

If  $a_k = 2k - 1$ , we have  $x' = \sum_{j=i}^{k-1} {a_j \choose j} > \xi_{k-1}$ . Thus, by induction hypothesis, we have  $\partial_{k-2}(x') < x'$  and equivalently  $\partial_{k-1}(x) < x$ .

If  $a_k > 2k - 1$ , the inequality  $\partial_{k-1}(x) < x$  is equivalent to

(8) 
$$\binom{a_k}{k} - \binom{a_k}{k-1} > \sum_{j=i}^{k-1} \left[ \binom{a_j}{j-1} - \binom{a_j}{j} \right].$$

In the left hand side of (8), the difference  $\binom{a_k}{k} - \binom{a_k}{k-1}$  is an increasing function for integer  $a_k > 2k - 1$  (see the proof of [She11, 3.6]), thus has the minimum  $\binom{2k}{k} - \binom{2k}{k-1}$ . In the right hand side of (8), we have  $a_j \ge j$  and the difference  $\binom{a_j}{j-1} - \binom{a_j}{j}$  is positive only for  $a_j < 2j - 1$ . When  $j \le a_k < 2j - 1$ , this difference is an increasing function for the integer  $a_i$ . Thus the right side of (8) has the maximum  $\sum_{j=i}^{k-1} \left[ \binom{2j-2}{j-1} - \binom{2j-2}{j} \right]$ . Now, it suffices to show that

(9) 
$$\binom{2k}{k} - \binom{2k}{k-1} > \sum_{j=i}^{k-1} \left[ \binom{2j-2}{j-1} - \binom{2j-2}{j} \right]$$

Notice that previously we assume that  $j \leq a_j < 2j - 1$ , thus j > 1 and we only need to consider the case when i > 1 in the above inequality. Now (9) follows directly from the well-known recurrence relation of Catalan numbers. Recall that the nth

Catalan number  $C_n = \binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n+1}$ , and it satisfies

$$C_{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} C_i C_{n-j}$$
 for  $n \ge 0$ ,

with  $C_0 = 1$ ; see for instance [vLW01, 14.7].

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $I \subset S$  be a nonzero lexsegment ideal, generated by monomials of degree d.

- (a) In the standard graded case, the Hilbert depth hdepth<sub>1</sub>(I) = 1 if and only if  $\mu(I) > \xi_{n-1}$ .
- (b) In the multigraded case, the Hilbert depth  $hdepth_n(I) = 1$  when  $\mu(I) > \xi_{n-1}$ .
- $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof.} & (a) \mbox{ In the standard graded case, we consider the following two sub-cases.} \\ (i) \mbox{ If } \mu(I) > \xi_{n-1}, \mbox{ Proposition 5.2 says that } \mu(I)^{\mathrm{MG}(n-1)} < 2 \cdot \mu(I), \mbox{ i.e.,} \\ H(I,d+1) < 2 \cdot H(I,d). \mbox{ Thus, by Lemma 3.4, } \mathrm{hdepth}_1(I) \leq 1. \\ \mathrm{Meanwhile, } \mathrm{hdepth}_1(I) \geq \mathrm{depth}(I) \geq 1. \mbox{ Thus } \mathrm{hdepth}_1(I) = 1. \end{array}$ 
  - (ii) If  $\mu(I) \leq \xi_{n-1}$ , we may assume that  $\mu(I) \geq n$ , since otherwise  $\mathbf{m}(I) = \mu(I) \leq n-1$  and hdepth<sub>1</sub>(I)  $\geq$  depth(I) which is at least 2 by Lemma 3.1. Now, with  $\mu(I) \geq n$ , the squarefree  $I^{\sigma} \subseteq S' = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+d-1}]$  is a squarefree lexsegment ideal by Lemma 4.2. Since  $\mu(I^{\sigma}) = \mu(I) \leq \xi_{n-1}$ , sdepth<sub>n</sub>( $I^{\sigma}$ )  $\geq d+1$  by Lemma 5.1. Notice that ( $I^{\sigma}$ )<sup>au</sup> = IS''. Thus hdepth<sub>1</sub>(I) = hdepth<sub>1</sub>( $I^{\sigma}$ )  $(d-1) \geq$  hdepth<sub>n</sub>( $I^{\sigma}$ ) (d-1) = sdepth<sub>n</sub>( $I^{\sigma}$ )  $(d-1) \geq 2$ .
  - (b) In the multigraded graded case, when  $\mu(I) > \xi_{n-1}$ , one has  $1 \leq \text{depth}(I) \leq \text{hdepth}_n(I) \leq \text{hdepth}_1(I) \leq 1$  by part (a). Thus,  $\text{hdepth}_n(I) = 1$ .

Remark 5.4. In Conjecture 4.3, we believe that the converse of Theorem 5.3 (b) also holds, i.e., if  $\mu(I) \leq \xi_{n-1}$ , then  $\operatorname{sdepth}_n(I) \geq 2$ . Except for computational evidence, we cannot establish this as a fact so far. However, we have the inequality

$$\operatorname{sdepth}_n(I) \ge n - \left\lfloor \frac{\mu(I)}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

which was established by Keller and Young [KY09] for squarefree monomial ideals, and by Okazaki [Oka11] for general monomial ideals. This implies that when  $\mu(I) \leq 2n-3$ , sdepth<sub>n</sub>(I)  $\geq 2$ .

**Conjecture 5.5.** When I is a lexsegment ideal of  $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , generated by monomials of degree d, the Hilbert depth hdepth<sub>1</sub>(I) is a decreasing function on  $\mu(I)$ .

# 6. Squarefree strongly stable ideals

Remark 6.1. Let  $I \subseteq S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a squarefree strongly stable monomial ideal (not necessarily generated by monomials of the same degree) and  $\Delta$  the associated Stanley-Reisner simplicial complex. Then  $\Delta$  is shifted, i.e., for each  $F \in \Delta$ ,  $i \in F$  and  $j \in [n]$  with j > i, one has  $(F \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{j\} \in \Delta$ . Thus  $\Delta$  is nonpure shellable by [BW97, 11.3]. Now the Stanley-Reisner ring  $\mathbb{K}[\Delta] = S/I$  is a clean ring by [Dre93], and sdepth<sub>n</sub>(R/I) = depth(R/I) by [HVZ09, 1.3]. In particular, Stanley conjecture holds for S/I.

If  $I \subset S$  is a monomial ideal, Rauf [Rau10] asked if

(10) 
$$\operatorname{sdepth}_n(I) \ge 1 + \operatorname{sdepth}_n(S/I).$$

It holds for monomial complete intersections [Rau10, 2.7] and intersections of two irreducible monomial ideals [PQ10, 5.4]. In addition, if  $1 \le r \le e \le q$  are some integers such that n = r + e + q, and  $\mathfrak{p}_1 = (x_1, \ldots, x_r), \mathfrak{p}_2 = (x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{r+e}), \mathfrak{p}_3 = (x_{r+e+1}, \ldots, x_{r+e+q})$  are disjoint prime ideals. Take  $I = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{p}_3$ . Then inequality (10) holds except possible in the case when either r = e is even and q is even, or ris odd and e = r + 1, see [Pop11a, 20].

Obviously, when  $\operatorname{sdepth}_n(R/I) = \operatorname{depth}(R/I)$  (e.g, I is squarefree strongly stable), inequality (10) is equivalent to the Stanley conjecture  $(\bigstar)$  for I:

$$\operatorname{sdepth}_n(I) \ge \operatorname{depth}(I).$$

**Theorem 6.2.** The Stanley conjecture holds for squarefree stable ideals. In particular, Rauf's inequality (10) holds for squarefree strongly stable ideals.

*Proof.* We prove by induction on the Krull dimension n. When n = 1, all nonzero monomial ideals I are principal with sdepth(I) = depth(I) = 1.

Now, let  $n \ge 2$ . Let I be a squarefree stable ideal of S, generated by monomials of degree  $\ge d$  with  $I_d \ne 0$ . If  $\mathbf{m}(I) < n$ , take  $S' = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$  for  $m = \mathbf{m}(I)$  and  $I' := I \cap S'$ . Then I = I'S and  $\mathbf{m}(I) = \mathbf{m}(I')$ . Now depth(I) = depth(I') + (n-m)and  $\text{sdepth}_n(I) = \text{sdepth}_m(I') + (n-m)$  by [HVZ09, 3.6]. Thus, from the very beginning, we may assume that  $\mathbf{m}(I) = n$ .

Obviously  $G(I) = G_0 \sqcup G_1$  where  $G_0 = \{ u \in G(I) : \mathbf{m}(u) < n \}$  and  $G_1 = \{ u \in G(I) : \mathbf{m}(u) = n \}$ .  $G_1 \neq \emptyset$  by our assumption. If  $G_1 \cap S_d \neq \emptyset$ , then depth(I) = d by Lemma 3.1. On the other hand, since I is squarefree and generated by monomials of degree at least d, sdepth $_n(I) \ge d$  by [HVZ09, 1.3, 3.1]. Thus, we are done in this case.

Otherwise,  $G_1 \subset S_{\geq \tilde{d}}$  where  $\tilde{d} := \operatorname{depth}(I) \geq d+1$ . Write  $S'' = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$ and  $I'' = I \cap S''$ . Then I'' is squarefree stable with  $G(I'') = G_0$  above. By induction hypothesis and Lemma 3.1, we have  $\operatorname{sdepth}_{n-1}(I'') \geq \operatorname{depth}_{S''}(I'') \geq \tilde{d} - 1$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{sdepth}_n(I''S) \geq \tilde{d}$  by [HVZ09, 3.6]. Since  $G_1 \subset S_{\geq \tilde{d}}$ , this implies that  $\operatorname{sdepth}_n(I) \geq \tilde{d} = \operatorname{depth}(I)$  by Corollary 2.2.

## References

- [AHH00] A. Aramova, J. Herzog, and T. Hibi, Shifting operations and graded Betti numbers, J. Algebraic Combin. 12 (2000), 207–222. MR1803232 (2001k:13022)
- [Ape03] J. Apel, On a conjecture of R. P. Stanley. II. Quotients modulo monomial ideals, J. Algebraic Combin. 17 (2003), 57–74. MR1958009 (2004e:13027)
- [BG81] K. Baclawski and A. M. Garsia, Combinatorial decompositions of a class of rings, Adv. in Math. 39 (1981), 155–184. MR609203 (84a:06003a)
- [BH93] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay rings, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR1251956 (95h:13020)
- [BHK<sup>+</sup>10] C. Biró, D. M. Howard, M. T. Keller, W. T. Trotter, and S. J. Young, Interval partitions and Stanley depth, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 117 (2010), 475–482. MR2592896
- [BKU10] W. Bruns, C. Krattenthaler, and J. Uliczka, Stanley decompositions and Hilbert depth in the Koszul complex, J. Commut. Algebra 2 (2010), 327–357. MR2728147
- [BKU11] \_\_\_\_\_, Hilbert depth of powers of the maximal ideal, Commutative algebra and its connections to geometry, 2011, pp. 1–12. MR2882670
- [BW97] A. Björner and M. L. Wachs, Shellable nonpure complexes and posets. II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), 3945–3975. MR1401765 (98b:06008)

- [Cim09] M. Cimpoeaş, Stanley depth of square free Veronese ideals (2009), available at arXiv:0907.1232.
- [Cim11] \_\_\_\_\_, The Stanley conjecture on monomial almost complete intersection ideals, ArXiv e-prints (2011), available at arXiv:1112.4956.
- [CoCoATe] CoCoATeam, CoCoA: a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra. Available at http://cocoa.dima.unige.it.
  - [Dre93] A. Dress, A new algebraic criterion for shellability, Beiträge Algebra Geom. 34 (1993), 45–55. MR1239277 (95d:13027)
  - [Gar80] A. M. Garsia, Combinatorial methods in the theory of Cohen-Macaulay rings, Adv. in Math. 38 (1980), 229–266. MR597728 (82f:06002)
  - [GLS] M. Ge, J. Lin, and Y.-H. Shen, On a conjecture of Stanley depth of squarefree Veronese ideals, available at arXiv:0911.5458. to appear in Communications in Algebra.
- [GLW11a] M. Ge, J. Lin, and Y. Wang, Hilbert series and Hilbert depth of squarefree Veronese ideals, J. Algebra 344 (2011), 260–267. MR2831940
- [GLW11b] \_\_\_\_\_, On Two Classes of Closely Related Monomial Ideals (2011), available at arXiv:1106.3922.
  - [Got78] G. Gotzmann, Eine Bedingung für die Flachheit und das Hilbertpolynom eines graduierten Ringes, Math. Z. 158 (1978), 61–70. MR0480478 (58 #641)
  - [Her02] J. Herzog, Generic initial ideals and graded Betti numbers, Computational commutative algebra and combinatorics (Osaka, 1999), 2002, pp. 75–120. MR1890097 (2003b:13021)
  - [HJY08] J. Herzog, A. S. Jahan, and S. Yassemi, Stanley decompositions and partitionable simplicial complexes, J. Algebraic Combin. 27 (2008), 113–125. MR2366164 (2008m:13041)
- [HVZ09] J. Herzog, M. Vladoiu, and X. Zheng, How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 3151–3169. MR2567414
  - [IK] A. Iarrobino and S. L. Kleiman, The gotzmann theorems and the hilbert scheme. Appendix C in Power sums, Gorenstein algebras, and determinantal loci, A. Iarrobino and V. Kanev, eds., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1721, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. MR1735271 (2001d:14056)
  - [IQ11] M. Ishaq and M. I. Qureshi, Stanley depth of edge ideals (2011), available at arXiv:1104.1018.
- [Ish10] M. Ishaq, Lexsegment ideals are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay (2010), available at arXiv:1010.5615.
- [KSSY11] M. T. Keller, Y.-H. Shen, N. Streib, and S. J. Young, On the Stanley depth of squarefree Veronese ideals, J. Algebraic Combin. 33 (2011), 313–324. MR2765327
  - [KY09] M. T. Keller and S. J. Young, Stanley depth of squarefree monomial ideals, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 3789–3792. MR2568363
  - [MH08] S. Murai and T. Hibi, Gotzmann ideals of the polynomial ring, Math. Z. 260 (2008), 629–646. MR2434473 (2010f:13025)
  - [NV08] B. Nill and K. Vorwerk, Stanley's conjecture, cover depth and extremal simplicial complexes, Matematiche (Catania) 63 (2008), 213–228 (2009). MR2531663 (2010m:13031)
  - [Oka11] R. Okazaki, A lower bound of Stanley depth of monomial ideals, J. Commut. Algebra 3 (2011), 83–88. MR2782700
  - [Pop09] D. Popescu, An inequality between depth and Stanley depth, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.) 52(100) (2009), 377–382. MR2554495 (2010h:13019)
- [Pop10] \_\_\_\_\_, Stanley conjecture on intersections of four monomial prime ideals (2010), available at arXiv:1009.5646.
- [Pop11a] \_\_\_\_\_, Bounds of Stanley depth, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanţa Ser. Mat. 19 (2011), 187–194. MR2794292 (2012d:13038)
- [Pop11b] \_\_\_\_\_, Depth of factors of square free monomial ideals, Arxiv preprint arXiv:1110.1963 (2011).
- [Pop12] \_\_\_\_\_, Upper bounds of depth of monomial ideals (2012), available at arXiv:1206.3977.
- [PQ10] D. Popescu and M. I. Qureshi, Computing the Stanley depth, J. Algebra 323 (2010), 2943–2959. MR2609185
- [Rau10] A. Rauf, Depth and Stanley depth of multigraded modules, Comm. Algebra 38 (2010), 773–784. MR2598911

- [Rin08] G. Rinaldo, An algorithm to compute the Stanley depth of monomial ideals, Matematiche (Catania) 63 (2008), 243–256 (2009). MR2531665 (2010d:13035)
- [She09] Y.-H. Shen, Stanley depth of complete intersection monomial ideals and upper-discrete partitions, J. Algebra 321 (2009), 1285–1292. MR2489900 (2009k:13044)
- [She11] \_\_\_\_\_, When will the stanley depth increase (2011), available at arXiv:1110.3182.
- [Sta79] R. P. Stanley, Balanced Cohen-Macaulay complexes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 249 (1979), 139–157. MR526314 (81c:05012)
- [Sta82] \_\_\_\_\_, Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology, Invent. Math. 68 (1982), 175–193. MR666158 (83m:10017)
- [Uli10] J. Uliczka, Remarks on Hilbert series of graded modules over polynomial rings, Manuscripta Math. 132 (2010), 159–168. MR2609292 (2011c:13030)
- [vLW01] J. H. van Lint and R. M. Wilson, A course in combinatorics, Second, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001. MR1871828 (2002i:05001)
- [Wan09] Y. Wang, A New Depth Related to the Stanley Depth of Some Power Sets of Multisets (2009), available at arXiv:0908.3699.
  - [Zar] A. Zarojanu, Stanley conjecture on intersection of three monomial primary ideals, available at arXiv:1107.3211.

Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, China

WU WEN-TSUN KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, USTC, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, CHINA

E-mail address: yhshen@ustc.edu.cn