Short Intense Laser Pulse Collapse in Near-Critical Plasma

F. Sylla, A. Flacco, S. Kahaly, M. Veltcheva, A. Lifschitz, and V. Malka

Laboratoire d'Optique Appliquée, ENSTA, CNRS,

Ecole Polytechnique, UMR 7639, 91761 Palaiseau, France

E. d'Humières and V. Tikhonchuk

University Bordeaux - CNRS - CEA, Centre Lasers Intenses et Applications, UMR 5107, 33405 Talence, France

(Dated: December 3, 2024)

It is observed that interaction of an intense ultra-short laser pulse with an overdense gas jet results in the pulse collapse and the deposition of a significant part of energy in a small and well localized volume in the rising part of the gas jet, where the electrons are efficiently accelerated and heated. The subsequent plasma expansion at a sub-relativistic velocity ($\sim c/3$) has been optically monitored in time and space, and attributed to the quasistatic field ionization of the gas associated to the hot electron current. Numerical simulations suggest the acceleration of hot electrons along with the excitation of a sizeable magnetic dipole in the collapse region.

An efficient coupling between an intense laser pulse and a plasma takes place near the critical density, n_c , where the laser frequency equals to the local plasma frequency. This interaction regime has been theoretically investigated for two decades [1–7], and a great variety of nonlinear phenomena has been unraveled: an efficient pulse absorption [1], electron and ion acceleration [2], magnetic self-channeling [3], plasma instabilities and nonlinear coherent structures [4–6].

Recent experimental data have shown the interest of such an interaction regime for forward ion acceleration using a CO_2 laser [8, 9]. In particular, the generation of quasi-monoenergetic proton beams have been ascribed in these studies to the formation of an accelerating collisionless shock [9]. However, with visible or near-infrared (IR) lasers, very few experimental studies have been reported so far in this regime, owing essentially to the technical difficulties of creation of controllable and reproducible plasmas with near critical densities at these laser wavelengths. The known methods in this case consist in either exploding a thin solid foil in vacuum [10, 11] or in using foam targets [12, 13]. The exploding foil technique requires energetic laser pulses, that are difficult to control, and hydrodynamic simulations to predict the plasma density profile. A foam is a micro-structured material that is difficult to prepare, handle and simulate. Both methods suffer from a low repetition rate and shot-toshot irreproducibility due to the fluctuations of laser and target parameters.

Here, we report on novel observations of pulse collapse and ultrafast plasma dynamics using a compact 10 Hz near-IR laser and a reproducible high density helium gas jet target [14]. With that latter device, one can create a plasma with the electron density up to $(3-5) \times 10^{21}$ cm⁻³ within a spatial size of less than 1 mm. The pump-probe diagnostics with a high spatial and temporal resolution reveal a laser energy deposition in a small plasma volume and subsequent hot and dense electron cloud expansion forming an ultrafast ionizing shock front. The expansion velocity at the early moments is about one-third of the light velocity, c/3, which was never measured before in gas jets. Our observations differ from the experiment with a foil-gas-foil package [18] where the laser energy was deposited in the foil with no given experimental detail on that process, and the subsequent ionizing shock in a gas caused by hot electrons had a velocity lower by an order of magnitude. In fact, the expansion velocity is in our case comparable to the plasma expansion rates measured in solid dielectric targets a decade ago [15, 16]. In contrast to the solid targets, the ionizing shock front in our experiment is formed on a collisionless timescale of less than 1 ps, so that our observations cover smoothly for this ultrafast front the transition from a collisionless to a collisional regime.

The experiment was carried out at the Laboratoire d'Optique Appliquée, using an ultrashort Ti:Sapphire laser "Salle Jaune" with the pulse duration ~ 35 fs and the energy on target 810 mJ. The laser was focused on a submillimetric supersonic helium jet to the focal spot of 20 μ m full width at half maximum (FWHM). The normalized laser vector potential was $a_0 = 2.7$. The experimental setup shown in Fig. 1 enables a simultaneous detection of ion acceleration (along and transverse to the laser axis), the electron plasma density and the azimuthal magnetic field with respect to the laser propagation axis. The plasma optical probing is achieved with a spatial resolution of the order of 1 μ m and with a temporal resolution equal to the duration of the frequency-doubled probe beam (~ 40 fs). The gas jet density distribution



FIG. 1. Scheme of the experimental setup with pump (red) and probe (blue) beam traces. See the details in Ref. 19.

shown in Fig. 2 was measured with a Mach-Zehnder interferometer. The peak density in the jet exponentially decreases along the vertical direction with the characteristic scale length of ~ 170 μ m, as the nozzle produces an expanding flow with a Mach number 1.5. The radial density profile at the distance of 200 μ m from the nozzle exit is fit by: $n_e = 0.95 \ n_c \exp[-(r/r_0)^{2.5}]$, where $r_0 = 263 \ \mu$ m is the jet radius, see Fig. 2(b). Though the



FIG. 2. (a) Electron density n_e map above the nozzle assuming double ionization of He gas (twice the atomic density interferometrically measured). Color contours are corresponding to $n_e = 0.5$ (pink), 0.9 (blue) and 1.5 (green) n_c . (b) Density profiles at z = 200 (solid) and 300 μ m (dashed) from the nozzle exit (normalized to the peak level at $z = 200 \ \mu$ m equal to $n_{e0} = 0.95 \ n_c$).

peak density in the jet is above the critical level close to the nozzle, the laser pulse may propagate through due to the effect of relativistic transparency [20].

The temporal evolution of the plasma is presented in Fig. 3 with a series of interferograms (showing plasma gradients and encoding the electron density) recorded at different pump-probe delays for the same plasma conditions. The laser propagates along the y-axis and it is linearly polarized along x-axis. All panels in Fig. 3 are in the y-z plane. On the left side of Fig. 3(a), 200 fs after the onset of the interaction, the plasma channel radius is much smaller than the laser beam width due to self-focusing [17]. The laser beam penetration terminates with a collapse [21, 22] well before the beam reaches the critical density. The on-axis bright spots, from plasma self-emission integrated with the CCD camera, are likely to indicate the longitudinal position where the laser pulse collapses. At this location, the laser breaks up into several filaments as can be seen later in panel (b), at 1.2

ps delay. Here, a striking circular plasma structure with sharp edges has grown asymmetrically about the laser, with its center located near the middle of the jet. This structure appears opaque to the probe beam, probably due to the strong refraction and absorption of that beam. Formation of such a structure results from the ionization of the dense gas by the expanding cloud of hot electrons [15, 16, 18], efficiently heated in the small volume where the pulse has collapsed. Multiple thin bright filaments can be seen on the left side of the opaque structure. These filaments are longer in the upper part of the picture (lower density) than in the lower part (higher density close to the nozzle exit) because of the laser light refraction on the vertical density gradient previously described (see Fig. 2(b)).

In panel (c), at 3.3 ps delay, the walls of the channel (on the left side of the figure) have started to expand and thicken. The lower edge of the structure have reached the limit of the field of view close to the nozzle exit. The asymmetric expansion either side of the laser axis (red line) can be particularly appreciated in that picture. The structure appears now elliptical and elongated along the laser propagation direction, with a forward burgeoning bubble developing ahead of the structure in the falling part of the jet, and separated from the main structure by a vertical bright edge. This secondary structure might be analogous to the dense plasma blocks ("light bullets") observed in the collisional regime near the critical density and moving along a decreasing density gradient [23]. We did not investigate further that unclear point which will be clarified in a future study.

Until the point of collapse in the ramp of the jet, the laser pulse propagates straight in the plasma, although the gas jet presents a strong gradient in the vertical direction that would deflect a low intensity light upwards. This suppression of the beam deflection is explained by the ponderomotive force of the intense pulse that expels radially most of the plasma electrons. Nevertheless, the beam propagation may be affected by small-scale perturbations created by the hosing and filamentation instabilities [22, 24, 25], but diagnostics were unsufficiently resolved to evidence such fluctuations. Refraction can be observed for the thin bright and long filaments oriented towards the top of the picture, panels (b) and (c) in Fig. 3. These are scattered parts of the laser light with a moderate intensity that escaped the collapse region and are propagating deeper in plasma where no plasma channel is supposed to form.

The effect of laser beam self-focusing and formation of a channel before the collapse is confirmed by the experimental detection of energetic ions in the direction transverse to the laser beam axis, as numerical simulations show that transverse acceleration takes place in the channel formed prior to the collapse. For each shot, we consistently measured He⁺ ions with energies up to 250 keV. The acceleration geometry in our experiments dif-



FIG. 3. Electron density interferograms for the peak density $n_e \approx 0.95n_c$ at the probe delays at: (a) $t_0 + 0.2$ ps, (b) $t_0 + 1.2$ ps and (c) $t_0 + 3.3$ ps, where t_0 corresponds to our reference time (onset of the interaction). The blue dotted line in (a) maps out the eletron density profile at the laser axis height (blue scale in unit of n_c). The yellow scale is in microns. The small red arrows indicate the position of the laser pulse assuming the laser group velocity equal to c. From $\sim t_0 + 0.4$ ps, the spherical structure is opaque to the probe beam.

fers from that reported in Ref. 13, where ions from a foam target where recorded along the laser axis.

The laser beam collapse is followed by the fast radial expansion of the opaque structure within the first picosecond of interaction. In order to measure precisely the expansion rate, we chose an axial coordinate where filaments do not significantly perturb the structure outer edges: a vertical yellow line at $x = 465 \ \mu m$ from the left boundary in Fig. 4(a). The time dependence of the opaque zone size along the yellow line is presented in panel (b). Each cutoff (see inset) maps out the transverse plasma density gradients, so the peak intensity reveals a sharp density edge. Each column in the map represents an average profile obtained from at least two shots in the same conditions.

The structure expands asymmetrically, as can be observed from the inset in panel (a) and the contours in panel (b), the expansion being faster towards the nozzle (negative coordinates and increasing densities). The opaque structure is clearly visible from about $t_0 + 400$ fs and we measured, over a timespan of 1 ps, average radial velocities in both downward and upward directions c/2 and c/3, respectively (see oblique red lines in panel (b)). These radial expansion velocities of electron fronts are higher by an order of magnitude than other reported measurements at lower plasma densities [27]. The measurements in the upper part panel (b) are affected by a noise due to the presence of several filaments, but the front stays trackable. The similar analysis leads to an expansion velocity of c/2 in the laser propagation direction. However, this fast cloud expansion slows down after the first picosecond and the further plasma evolution proceeds much slower.

The laser beam collapse in the gas and fast ionization were simulated with the particle-in-cell code PICLS [28]. This is fully electromagnetic 2D×3V kinetic code that accounts for the electron-electron and electron-ion collisions and the atom field ionization. The laser and plasma parameters correspond closely to the experimental ones. A laser pulse of the dimensionless amplitude $a_0 = 2.2$, of wavelength 0.8 μ m, of duration 29 fs FWHM



FIG. 4. Asymmetric ultrafast radial expansion: (a) interferogram at $t_0 + 1$ ps. Inset: cutoff along the yellow line. (b) Cutoffs along the yellow line in panel (a) versus time. Each cutoff is averaged over at least two shots. The cutoff intensity is normalized to the unity at the last time moment. Horizontal solid red line shows the laser axis. Isolines at the levels 0.15 (dashed blue) and 0.18 (dashed green) show the opaque structure formation. Oblique solid red lines indicate the expansion velocity c/3 upstream and c/2 downstream (red dotted lines to guide the reader).

and of transversal size 24 μ m FWHM was injected horizontally in a helium gas, with a linear density profile with a scale length of 200 μ m along the vertical direction, and a parabolic density profile with the same scale length along the laser propagation axis. The maximum electron density at the top of the plasma profile was 0.91 n_c assuming the complete ionization. Analysis of the simulation results shows that the laser pulse undergoes strong self-focusing that ends up with a beam collapse in the

plasma ramp at density $n_e \sim 0.2 n_c$, close to the experimental value ~ $0.27 n_c$. As mentioned before, the numerical simulations show ion acceleration in the transverse direction from the channel before the collapse zone. The ion energies are in the range of a few hundred keV in good agreement with the observations. In the collapse region, a significant part of the laser pulse energy of a few tenths of joule is released in a volume with the characteristic size of less than 20 μ m. Correspondingly, the electrons acquire in there energies up to a few MeV and stream away from the collapse zone with relativistic velocities. An extremely high electric current associated with these electrons leads to strong magnetic fields forming a dipole structure similar to the one described in Ref. 7. The magnetic field intensity is of the order of 10 MG, and the magnetic field energy in the collapse zone is comparable to the hot electron kinetic energy. Because of total opacity of the cloud in experiments, we did not manage to assess the magnetic field in the collapse region with our optical Faraday-effect polarimeter [19].

The hot electron cloud creates a strong electrostatic field at its edge that ionizes as it expands the ambient gas and creates a return current of plasma electrons. Consequently, the hot electron expansion creates a plasma far away from the laser axis of propagation. This process of plasma ionization by a beam of energetic electrons has been theoretically described in Refs. 29 and 30 in an 1D geometry. The velocity of the corresponding ionization front $\sim 0.7 c$ observed in simulations is slower than the fast electron velocity as an accumulation of the electron density is necessary to generate a sufficiently strong electric field, comparable to the atomic field. This front velocity is higher than that of the experiments ($\sim 0.5 c$). since our 2D simulation model overestimates the ionization front velocity because of slower electron cloud divergence as in the real 3D experiment. Fast electron propagation and plasma ionization obtained in the numerical simulation 900 fs after the laser pulse collapse are shown in Fig. 5. The collapse point is located at $x = 210 \lambda_0$ and $y = 60 \lambda_0$. This point is the origin of the electron cloud propagating radially. Panel a) shows three groups of electrons with the energies between 100 and 500 keV (red), between 500 and 1000 keV (green) and above 1 MeV (blue). The width of the electron cloud is about $80\,\mu\mathrm{m}$, which is much wider than the laser pulse in vacuum. This width depends on the magnetic dipole lifetime created in the collapse zone and sustaining the electron heating. The outer boundary of the electron cloud outlines the plasma edge, meaning that the electron cloud is responsible of the ionization of the gas. This can be seen in panels b) and c) showing the electron plasma density and the electric field averaged over the laser period. The electric field amplitude at the cloud edge $\sim 0.1 m_e c \omega_0 / e$ is of the order of the atomic field $eE_{at}/m_e\omega_0c \simeq 0.13$. That is sufficient for double ionization of helium within a characteristic time of a few femtoseconds. Also, as this time is comparable to the electron plasma period, the ionization is accompanied with large amplitude plasma oscillations seen in the electric field distribution in panels c) and d).

Similarly to the experimental data the plasma shape is anisotropic in the longitudinal and transverse directions. Longitudinally, there are almost no energetic electron behind the collapse point $(x < 210 \lambda_0)$ as the plasma density is too low to supply the return current. Transversally, the maximum of the electron density in panel b) is shifted down from the laser propagation axis in the direction of the density gradient, although the effect is weaker in simulations than in experiments because of a smoother vertical gradient. The shift would create the impression that the fast electrons are also deviating along the density gradient, as more refraction and absorption would be noticed. However, this is not seen in the fast electron distribution in panel a). As time goes on, the fast electrons that are propagating through the gas and ionizing it, lose their energy in the self-consistent electric field. The stopping power is a of few tens of keV/ μ m, therefore, in one picosecond time scale the fast electrons are reducing their energy to a few keV thus transforming a free streaming into a collisional diffusion.

The characteristics of the plasma cloud after the end of free streaming phase can be estimated by considering the electron density of the order of n_c and the size of the ionized zone of ~ 200 μ m. Assuming the deposited energy of about a few tenths of joule, the total number of ionized atoms would be ~ 10¹⁵ with the average energy of 200 – 300 eV per atom that is used for ionization and electron heating. Such a plasma blob may live for a relatively long time of a few nanosecond as the recombination is relatively slow as well as the expansion velocity, which is then of the order of 100 μ m/ns.

In conclusion, our experiment demonstrates intense laser pulse channeling in a low density gas or plasma, terminated with a violent collapse as soon as the gas density exceeds a few tens of percent of the electron critical density. A very efficient absorption of the laser pulse energy in the collapse zone leads to acceleration of hot electrons accompanied with the creation of a long-lasting magnetic dipole structure that further accelerate electrons. The current of fast electrons originating from the collapse point sustains an ionization front propagating in the gas. The front is collisionless during the first picosecond, propagates with the velocity comparable but less than the light velocity, and unveils a plasma that appear transversally anisotropic due to the gas vertical gradient. Our results shed new light on fundamental aspects of the interaction in near-critical regime, paving the way for instance towards controllable giant magnetic dipole [2] of great interest for efficient ion acceleration.

The authors acknowledge the support of OSEO project n.I0901001W-SAPHIR, the support of the European Research Council through the PARIS ERC project (con-



FIG. 5. Distribution of energetic electrons at $t = t_0 + 0.9$ ps (a), the plasma density n_e/n_c (b) and the modulus of electric field averaged over the laser period $e|E|/m_e\omega_0 c$ (c) near the zone of the beam collapse. The lengths are measured in the laser wavelengths, the plasma density in the collapse point is $0.2 n_c$, the laser field dimensionless amplitude $a_0 = 1.0$. Other parameters are given in the text. Panel d) shows the cut of panel c) along the laser propagation axis $y = 60 \,\mu\text{m}$.

tract 226424), and the National research grant ANR-08-NT08-1-38025-1. This work was partially supported by EURATOM within the "Keep-in-Touch" activities and the Aquitaine Region Council. Also, it was granted access to the HPC resources of CINES under allocations 2011-056129 and 2012-056129 made by GENCI (Grand Equipment National de Calcul Intensif).

- [1] S. C. Wilks et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1383 (1992).
- [2] T. Nakamura et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 135002 (2010).
- [3] A. Pukhov and J. Meyer-ter-Vehn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3975 (1996).
- [4] S. V. Bulanov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3562 (1996).
- [5] S. V. Bulanov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3440 (1999).
- [6] T. Esirkepov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 275002 (2002).
- [7] T. Nakamura and K. Mima, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 205006 (2008).
- [8] C. A. J. Palmer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 014801 (2011)
- [9] D. Haberberger et al., Nat. Phys. 8, 95-99 (2011)

- [10] M. Borghesi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 879 (1997).
- [11] A. Yogo et al., Phys. Rev. E 77, 016401 (2008).
- [12] L. Willingale et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 125002 (2009).
- [13] S. Okihara *et al.*, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 026401 (2004).
- [14] F. Sylla *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 83, 033507 (2012)
- [15] M. Borghesi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4309 (1999).
- [16] L. Gremillet et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5015 (1999).
- [17] G. Sun et al., Phys. Fluids, **30**, 526-532 (1987).
- [18] D. Batani et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 055004 (2005).
- [19] F. Sylla et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 115003 (2012).
- [20] E. Lefebvre and G. Bonnaud, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2002 (1995).
- [21] W. B. Mori et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 1298 (1988).
- [22] G. Li et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 125002 (2008).
- [23] N. I. Vogel et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 232 (2001).
- [24] N. M. Naumova et al., Phys. Plasmas 8, 4149 (2001).
- [25] Z. Najmudin et al., Phys. Plasmas 10, 438 (2003).
- [26] cross section of the charge exchange
- [27] P. M. Nilson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 255001 (2009).
- [28] Y. Sentoku and A. Kemp, J. Comput. Phys. 227, 6846 (2008).
- [29] S. I. Krasheninnikov *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **12**, 073105 (2005).
- [30] A. Debayle and V. T. Tikhonchuk, Phys. Plasmas 14, 073104 (2008).