

Canonical Duality Approach for Nonlinear Dynamical Systems

NING RUAN

*School of Science, Information Technology and Engineering, University of Ballarat,
Ballarat, VIC 3353, Australia.*

DAVID Y. GAO

*School of Science, Information Technology and Engineering, University of Ballarat,
Ballarat, VIC 3353, Australia.*

[Received on *****]

This paper presents a canonical dual approach for solving a nonlinear population growth problem governed by the well-known logistic equation. Using the finite difference and least squares methods, the nonlinear differential equation is first formulated as a nonconvex optimization problem with unknown parameters. We then prove that by the canonical duality theory, this nonconvex problem is equivalent to a concave maximization problem over a convex feasible space, which can be solved easily to obtain global optimal solution to this challenging problem. Several illustrative examples are presented.

Keywords: logistic equation, discrete dynamical systems, global optimization, canonical duality theory, least squares method.

1. Problems and Motivations

The logistic equation is a model of population growth first published by Pierre Verhulst in 1838. The continuous version of this model (see May *et al.* (1979)) is described by the following first-order nonlinear differential equation:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = r\mathbf{x}\left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{x}}{K}\right) - \mathbf{C}, \quad (1..1)$$

where $\mathbf{x}(t)$ represents the numbers of individuals (population biomass) at time t , the real number $r > 0$ is the intrinsic growth rate of population increase, K is the carrying capacity, or the maximum number of individuals that the environment can support, $\mathbf{C} > 0$ represents the constant harvesting rate.

By using finite difference method, the discrete version of the logistic equation can be written as

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t + r\mathbf{x}_t\left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{x}_t}{K}\right) - \mathbf{C}_t + \mathbf{E}_t, \quad (1..2)$$

where \mathbf{E}_t is the process error, which is critically important to the associated dynamics equation; \mathbf{x}_t is the stock abundance in year t . Due to the nonlinearity, this equation has remarkable non-trivial properties and therefore it must be handled with special methods. It is well-known that for certain given parameters, direct iterative methods for solving this nonlinear equation may lead to chaotic solutions. Such a problem was first studied on computer by the theoretical population biologist Robert May in the late 1960's. This equation and its variants still puzzle the mathematicians.

In real-world applications, the stock biomass \mathbf{x} cannot be observed directly, therefore, an observational model can be introduced below

$$\hat{\mathbf{I}}_t = q\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{I}_t + \varepsilon_t, \quad (1..3)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_t$ is the estimation of abundance, \mathbf{I}_t is the abundance observed, q is the proportional coefficient, and ε_t is the observation error. Base on this model, the least squares method for minimizing both the observation error ε_t and the process error E_t leads to the following optimization problem.

$$(\mathcal{P}_0) \quad \min \sum_{t=1}^n (q\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{I}_t)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} E_t^2 \quad (1.4)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t + r\mathbf{x}_t \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{x}_t}{K}\right) - \mathbf{C}_t + \mathbf{E}_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (1.5)$$

where α is the penalty factor. Let

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times n},$$

and

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times n},$$

the vector form optimization problem (\mathcal{P}_0) can be written as:

$$(\mathcal{P}_1) \quad \min P_1(\mathbf{x}, q, K, r) = \|\mathbf{q}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{I}\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left\| \frac{r}{K} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + (D - (1+r)R)\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{C} \right\|^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } q > 0, K > 0, r > 0,$$

$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, q \in \mathbb{R}, K \in \mathbb{R}, r \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ are given vectors, $\mathbf{A} = \{A_{ij}^t\} = \{\delta_{ij}^t\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-1) \times n}$, and

$$\delta_{ij}^t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j = t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By setting $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}/K$, can equivalent population dynamics model can be proposed in the following.

$$(\mathcal{P}_2) \quad \min P_2(\mathbf{y}, q, K, r) = \left\| \mathbf{q}\mathbf{y} - \frac{\mathbf{I}}{K} \right\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left\| r\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} + (D - (1+r)R)\mathbf{y} + \frac{\mathbf{C}}{K} \right\|^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } 1 > \mathbf{y} > 0, q > 0, K > 0, r > 0,$$

$$\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, q \in \mathbb{R}, K \in \mathbb{R}, r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Clearly, this fourth-order polynomial optimization problem with unknown parameters is in general non-convex, which could have many local minimizers. Due to the lacking of sufficient conditions for identifying global optimizers, traditional convex optimization theories and methods for solving this nonconvex

problem are very difficult. Actually, many nonconvex minimization problems in global optimization are considered to be NP-hard (see Gao and Sherali, 2009).

Canonical duality theory is a potentially useful methodological concept which was developed originally from complementary variational problems in nonconvex mechanics (see Gao and Strang, 1989). This theory is composed mainly of (1) a canonical dual transformation method, which can be used to reformulate the nonconvex primal problem as a perfect dual problem without duality gap; (2) a complementary-dual principle, which provides an analytical solution form to the primal problem; (3) a triality theory, which can be used to identify both global and local extrema. This theory has been used successfully for solving a large class of nonconvex/nonsmooth/discrete problems in computational biology, global optimization, phase transitions of solids, finite element methods for post-buckling of large deformed structures, and Euclidian distance geometry problems (see Gao et al, 2000 - 2012). The goal of this paper is to apply this theory for solving the nonconvex minimization problem (\mathcal{P}_2). In the next section, we first introduce briefly the canonical duality theory with a simple example of a one dimensional double-well function optimization problem. Then we use the canonical dual transformation to construct the canonical dual problem in Section 3. Furthermore, the form of the analytical solution is obtained from the criticality condition in Section 4. In Section 5, we present some numerical experiments. Concluding remarks are given in the last section.

2. Canonical duality theory: A brief review

The basic idea of the canonical duality theory can be demonstrated by solving the following general nonconvex problem (the primal problem (\mathcal{P}) in short)

$$(\mathcal{P}) : \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_a} \left\{ P(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f} \rangle + W(\mathbf{x}) \right\}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a given symmetric indefinite matrix, $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a given vector, $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^* \rangle$ denotes the bilinear form between \mathbf{x} and its dual variable \mathbf{x}^* , $W(\mathbf{x})$ is a general nonconvex function; and $\mathcal{X}_a \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a given feasible space.

The **key step** in the canonical dual transformation is to choose a nonlinear operator,

$$\xi = \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) : \mathcal{X}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_a \subset \mathbb{R}^p \quad (2.7)$$

and a *canonical function* $V : \mathcal{E}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the nonconvex functional $W(\mathbf{x})$ can be recast by adopting a canonical form $W(\mathbf{x}) = V(\Lambda(\mathbf{x}))$. Thus, the primal problem (\mathcal{P}) can be written in the following canonical form:

$$(\mathcal{P}) : \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_a} \{ P(\mathbf{x}) = V(\Lambda(\mathbf{x})) - U(\mathbf{x}) \}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $U(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} \rangle$. By the definition introduced in Gao (2000), a differentiable function $V(\xi)$ is said to be a *canonical function* on its domain \mathcal{E}_a if the duality mapping $\zeta = \nabla V(\xi)$ from \mathcal{E}_a to its range $\mathcal{S}_a \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ is invertible. Let $\langle \xi; \zeta \rangle$ denote the bilinear form on \mathbb{R}^p . Thus, for the given canonical function $V(\xi)$, its Legendre conjugate $V^*(\zeta)$ can be defined uniquely by the Legendre transformation

$$V^*(\zeta) = \text{sta}\{ \langle \xi; \zeta \rangle - V(\xi) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{E}_a \}, \quad (2.9)$$

where the notation $\text{sta}\{g(\xi) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{E}_a\}$ stands for finding stationary point of $g(\xi)$ on \mathcal{E}_a . It is easy to prove that the following canonical duality relations hold on $\mathcal{E}_a \times \mathcal{S}_a$:

$$\zeta = \nabla V(\xi) \Leftrightarrow \xi = \nabla V^*(\zeta) \Leftrightarrow V(\xi) + V^*(\zeta) = \langle \xi; \zeta \rangle. \quad (2..10)$$

By this one-to-one canonical duality, the nonconvex term $W(\mathbf{x}) = V(\Lambda(\mathbf{x}))$ in the problem (\mathcal{P}) can be replaced by $\langle \Lambda(\mathbf{x}); \zeta \rangle - V^*(\zeta)$ such that the nonconvex function $P(\mathbf{x})$ is reformulated as the so-called Gao and Strang total complementary function:

$$\Xi(\mathbf{x}, \zeta) = \langle \Lambda(\mathbf{x}); \zeta \rangle - V^*(\zeta) - U(\mathbf{x}). \quad (2..11)$$

By using this total complementary function, the canonical dual function $P^d(\zeta)$ can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} P^d(\zeta) &= \text{sta}\{\Xi(\mathbf{x}, \zeta) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_a\} \\ &= U^\Lambda(\zeta) - V^*(\zeta), \end{aligned} \quad (2..12)$$

where $U^\Lambda(\mathbf{x})$ is defined by

$$U^\Lambda(\zeta) = \text{sta}\{\langle \Lambda(\mathbf{x}); \zeta \rangle - U(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_a\}. \quad (2..13)$$

In many applications, the geometrically nonlinear operator $\Lambda(\mathbf{x})$ is usually quadratic function

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{x}, D_k \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}_k \rangle, \quad (2..14)$$

where $D_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbf{b}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($k = 1, \dots, p$) are given. Let $\zeta = [\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_p]^T$. In this case, the canonical dual function can be written in the following form:

$$P^d(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{F}(\zeta), \mathbf{G}^{-1}(\zeta) \mathbf{F}(\zeta) \rangle - V^*(\zeta), \quad (2..15)$$

where $\mathbf{G}(\zeta) = \mathbf{Q} + \sum_{k=1}^p \zeta_k D_k$, and $\mathbf{F}(\zeta) = \mathbf{f} - \sum_{k=1}^p \zeta_k \mathbf{b}_k$.

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_a^+ = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^p \mid G(\zeta) \succ 0\}.$$

Therefore, the canonical dual problem is proposed as

$$(\mathcal{P}^d) : \max\{P^d(\zeta) \mid \zeta \in \mathcal{S}_a^+\}, \quad (2..16)$$

which is a concave maximization problem over a convex set $\mathcal{S}_a^+ \subset \mathbb{R}^p$.

THEOREM 2..1 (GAO (2000)) Problem (\mathcal{P}^d) is canonically dual to (\mathcal{P}) in the sense that if $\bar{\zeta}$ is a critical point of $P^d(\zeta)$, then

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{G}^{-1}(\bar{\zeta}) \mathbf{F}(\bar{\zeta}) \quad (2..17)$$

is a critical point of $P(\mathbf{x})$ and

$$P(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \Xi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\zeta}) = P^d(\bar{\zeta}). \quad (2..18)$$

If $\bar{\zeta} \in \mathcal{S}_a^+$ is a solution to (\mathcal{P}^d) , then $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is a global minimizer of (\mathcal{P}) and

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_a} P(\mathbf{x}) = \Xi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\zeta}) = \max_{\zeta \in \mathcal{S}_a^+} P^d(\zeta). \quad (2..19)$$

Conversely, if $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is a solution to (\mathcal{P}) , it must be in the form of (2..17) for critical solution $\bar{\zeta}$ of $P^d(\zeta)$.

To help explain the theory, we consider a simple nonconvex optimization in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\min P(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - \lambda\right)^2 - \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{f}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2..20)$$

where $\alpha, \lambda > 0$ are given parameters. The criticality condition $\nabla P(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ leads to a nonlinear algebraic equation system in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - \lambda\right)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}. \quad (2..21)$$

Clearly, it is difficult to solve this nonlinear algebraic equation directly. Also traditional convex optimization theory can't be used to identify global minimizer. However, by the canonical dual transformation, this problem can be solved. To do so, we let $\xi = \Lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the nonconvex function $W(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - \lambda\right)^2$ can be written in canonical form $V(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\xi^2$. Its Legendre conjugate is given by $V^*(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-1}\zeta^2$, which is strictly convex. Thus, the total complementary function for this nonconvex optimization problem is

$$\Xi(\mathbf{x}, \zeta) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - \lambda\right)\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-1}\zeta^2 - \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{f}. \quad (2..22)$$

For a fixed $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$, the criticality condition $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\Xi(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ leads to

$$\zeta\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{f} = 0. \quad (2..23)$$

For each $\zeta \neq 0$, the equation (2..23) gives $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}/\zeta$ in vector form. Substituting this into the total complementary function Ξ , the canonical dual function can be easily obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} P^d(\zeta) &= \{\Xi(\mathbf{x}, \zeta) | \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\Xi(\mathbf{x}, \zeta) = 0\} \\ &= -\frac{\mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{f}}{2\zeta} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-1}\zeta^2 - \lambda\zeta, \quad \forall \zeta \neq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2..24)$$

which has only one variable! The critical point of this canonical function is obtained by solving the following dual algebraic equation

$$(\alpha^{-1}\zeta + \lambda)\zeta^2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{f}. \quad (2..25)$$

For any given parameters α, λ and the vector $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, this cubic algebraic equation can be solved analytically to have at most three real roots satisfying $\zeta_1 \geq 0 \geq \zeta_2 \geq \zeta_3$, and each of these roots leads to a critical point of the nonconvex function $P(\mathbf{x})$, i.e., $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{f}/\zeta_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. By the fact that $\zeta_1 \in \mathcal{S}_a^+ = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{R} | \zeta > 0\}$, then Theorem 1 tells us that \mathbf{x}_1 is a global minimizer of $P(\mathbf{x})$. Consider one dimension problem with $\alpha = 1, \lambda = 2, f = \frac{1}{2}$, the primal function and canonical dual function are shown in Fig. 1, where, $x_1 = 2.11491$ is global minimizer of $P(\mathbf{x})$, $\zeta_1 = 0.236417$ is global maximizer of $P^d(\zeta)$, and $P(x_1) = -1.02951 = P^d(\zeta_1)$ (See the two black dots).

The canonical duality theory was original developed for handling general nonconvex systems (see Gao (2000)). The canonical dual transformation can be used to convert a nonconvex problem into a canonical dual problem without duality gap, while the classical dual approaches may suffer from having a potential gap (see Rockafellar (1999)). The complementary-dual principle provides a unified form of analytical solutions (2..17) to general nonconvex problems in continuous or discrete systems.




FIG. 1. Graphs of the primal function $P(\mathbf{x})$ (blue) and its canonical dual function $P^d(\xi)$ (red).

3. Canonical Dual Approach for Solving Nonconvex Problem (\mathcal{P}_2)

In order to solve the nonconvex minimization problem (\mathcal{P}_2) by the canonical duality theory, we need to fix the parameters q, r, K first and introduce a geometrical nonlinear measure $\Lambda_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ defined by

$$\xi = \Lambda_1(\mathbf{y}) = r\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} + (D - (1+r)R)\mathbf{y} + \frac{C}{K}.$$

The canonical function associated with this nonlinear measure is a quadratic function: $V_1(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\|\xi\|^2$ and the duality relation

$$\zeta = \nabla V_1(\xi) = \alpha\xi$$

is invertible. Then the Legendre conjugate is defined by

$$V_1^* = \max\{\xi^T \zeta - V_1(\xi) \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\} = \frac{1}{2\alpha}\zeta^T \zeta.$$

On the same time, we rewrite the linear inequality constraints $0 < y_i < 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ in the canonical form:

$$\mathbf{y} \circ (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{e}) < 0,$$

where $\mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an one-vector, the notation $\mathbf{s} \circ \mathbf{t} := (s_1 t_1, s_2 t_2, \dots, s_n t_n)$ denotes the Hadamard product for any two vectors $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Introduce a quadratic geometrical operator $\Lambda_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\varepsilon = \Lambda_2(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \circ (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{e}),$$

and indicator function

$$V_2(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon < 0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then we know that, by theory of convex analysis, the subdifferential $\sigma \in \partial V_2(\varepsilon)$ is equivalent to the following KKT conditions:

$$\varepsilon < 0, \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad \varepsilon^T \sigma = 0.$$

Therefore, for the fixed parameter q, r, K , the inequality constrained primal problem (\mathcal{P}_2) can be written in the following canonical form.

$$(\mathcal{P}_3) \quad \min \left\{ P_3(\mathbf{y}) = V_1(\Lambda_1(\mathbf{y})) + V_2(\Lambda_2(\mathbf{y})) + \left\| q\mathbf{y} - \frac{\mathbf{I}}{K} \right\|^2 \mid \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

Since the indicator function V_2 is nonsmooth, its conjugate is defined by the Fenchel transformation

$$V_2^\sharp(\sigma) = \sup\{\varepsilon^T \sigma - V_2(\varepsilon) \mid \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma \geq 0 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the primal function can be reformulated as the total complementary function:

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(\mathbf{x}, \zeta, \sigma) &= \zeta^T \Lambda_1(\mathbf{x}) - V_1^*(\zeta) + \sigma^T \Lambda_2(\mathbf{x}) - V_2^\sharp(\sigma) + \left\| q\mathbf{x} - \frac{\mathbf{I}}{K} \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T(\zeta, \sigma) \mathbf{G}(\zeta, \sigma) \mathbf{x}(\zeta, \sigma) - \mathbf{F}^T(\zeta, \sigma) \mathbf{x} - V_1^*(\zeta) - V_2^\sharp(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}(\zeta, \sigma) &= 2(r\mathbf{A}^T \zeta + q^2 H + \text{Diag}(\sigma)), \\ \mathbf{F}(\zeta, \sigma) &= 2\frac{q}{K} \mathbf{I} + \sigma - (D - (1+r)R)^T \zeta, \end{aligned}$$

where $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an identity matrix, and $\text{Diag}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denotes a diagonal matrix with $\{\sigma_i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) as its diagonal entries. For a fixed ζ, σ , the criticality condition $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \Xi(\mathbf{x}, \zeta, \sigma) = 0$ lead to the following canonical equilibrium equation:

$$\mathbf{G}(\zeta, \sigma) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F}(\zeta, \sigma),$$

This equation can be solved analytically in the form of

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{G}^{-1}(\zeta, \sigma) \mathbf{F}(\zeta, \sigma) \quad (3.26)$$

on the canonical dual feasible space defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_a = \{(\zeta, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)+n} \mid \sigma \geq 0, \det G(\zeta, \sigma) \neq 0\}.$$

Thus, the canonical dual problem can be formulated as

$$(\mathcal{P}_3^d) : \text{sta} \left\{ P_3^d(\zeta, \sigma) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}(\zeta, \sigma)^T \mathbf{G}^{-1}(\zeta, \sigma) \mathbf{F}(\zeta, \sigma) - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \zeta^T \zeta + \frac{1}{K} \mathbf{C}^T \zeta + \frac{1}{K^2} \mathbf{I}^T \mathbf{I} \mid \zeta \in \mathcal{S}_a \right\}.$$

THEOREM 3.1 (COMPLEMENTARY-DUAL PRINCIPLE) For the given parameters q, K , and r , if $(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\zeta})$ is a KKT point of (\mathcal{P}_3^d) , then the vector

$$\bar{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{G}^{-1}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}) \mathbf{F}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}) \quad (3.27)$$

is a KKT point of (\mathcal{P}_3) , and $P_3(\bar{\mathbf{y}}) = P_3^d(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma})$.

Proof. Suppose that $(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma})$ is a KKT point of (\mathcal{P}_3^d) , by introducing Lagrange multiplier ε to relax the inequality condition $\bar{\sigma} \geq 0$ of the dual problem (\mathcal{P}_3^d) , we have

$$\nabla_{\zeta} P_3^d(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}) = r\bar{\mathbf{y}}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma})^T \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{y}}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}) + B\bar{\mathbf{y}}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}) + \frac{C}{K} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\bar{\zeta} = 0, \quad (3..28)$$

$$\nabla_{\sigma} P_3^d(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}) = \bar{\mathbf{y}}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}) \circ \bar{\mathbf{y}}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}) - \bar{\mathbf{y}}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}) = \varepsilon, \quad (3..29)$$

$$\bar{\sigma} \geq 0, \quad \varepsilon < 0, \quad (3..30)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}^T \varepsilon = 0. \quad (3..31)$$

Therefore, the equality (3..28) gives $\bar{\zeta} = \alpha(r\bar{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{y}} + B\bar{\mathbf{y}} + \frac{C}{K})$, where $B = D - (1+r)R$.

By the equation (3..29) and the KKT conditions (3..30) and the complementarity condition (3..31), we have

$$\nabla P_3(\bar{\mathbf{y}}) + 2\text{Diag}(\bar{\sigma})\bar{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{\sigma} = 0 \quad (3..32)$$

$$0 < \bar{\mathbf{y}} < 1, \quad \bar{\sigma} \geq 0 \quad (3..33)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}(\bar{\mathbf{y}} \circ \bar{\mathbf{y}} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}) = 0, \quad (3..34)$$

where

$$\nabla P_3(\bar{\mathbf{y}}) = (2r\mathbf{A}^T \bar{\zeta} + 2q^2 H)\bar{\mathbf{x}} - (2q\frac{\mathbf{I}}{K} - B^T \bar{\zeta}).$$

Therefore,

$$\bar{\mathbf{y}} = (2(r\mathbf{A}^T \bar{\zeta} + q^2 H + \text{Diag}(\bar{\sigma})))^{-1} (2q\frac{\mathbf{I}}{K} + \bar{\sigma} - B^T \bar{\zeta})$$

is a KKT point of the primal problem (\mathcal{P}_3) .

Moreover, in terms of $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{G}^{-1}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma})\mathbf{F}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P_3^d(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma})^T \mathbf{G}^{-1}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma})\mathbf{F}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}) - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\bar{\zeta}^T \bar{\zeta} + \frac{1}{K}C^T \bar{\zeta} + \frac{1}{K^2}\mathbf{I}^T \mathbf{I} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T (2(r\mathbf{A}^T \bar{\zeta} + q^2 H + \text{Diag}(\bar{\sigma})))\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T (2q\frac{\mathbf{I}}{K} + \bar{\sigma} - B^T \bar{\zeta}) - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\bar{\zeta}^T \bar{\zeta} + \frac{1}{K}C^T \bar{\zeta} + \frac{1}{K^2}\mathbf{I}^T \mathbf{I} \\ &= \bar{\zeta}^T (r\bar{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{y}}) + (B\bar{\mathbf{y}})^T \bar{\zeta} + \frac{1}{K}C^T \bar{\zeta} - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\bar{\zeta}^T \bar{\zeta} + \bar{\mathbf{y}}^T (q^2 H)\bar{\mathbf{y}} - 2(q\bar{\mathbf{y}})^T \frac{\mathbf{I}}{K} + \frac{1}{K^2}\mathbf{I}^T \mathbf{I} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2}\|r\bar{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{y}} + B\bar{\mathbf{y}} + \frac{C}{K}\|^2 + \|q\bar{\mathbf{y}} - \frac{\mathbf{I}}{K}\|^2 = P_3(\bar{\mathbf{y}}) \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. \square

For further discussion on extremality properties of the solution (3..27), we introduce the following feasible space

$$\mathcal{S}_a^+ = \{(\zeta, \sigma) \in \mathcal{S}_a \mid \mathbf{G}(\zeta, \sigma) \succ 0\}. \quad (3..35)$$

Then, the extremality of the solution (3..27) can be identified by the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.2 For the given parameters q, K, r , suppose that $(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}) \in \mathcal{S}_a^+$ is a critical point of the canonical dual function $P_3^d(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma})$ and $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{G}^{-1}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma})\mathbf{F}(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma})$. Then, $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ is a global minimizer of $P_3(\mathbf{y})$ on \mathbb{R}^n if and only if $(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma})$ is a global maximizer of $P_3^d(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma})$ on \mathcal{S}_a^+ , i.e.,

$$P_3(\bar{\mathbf{y}}) = \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n} P_3(\mathbf{y}) \Leftrightarrow \max_{(\zeta, \sigma) \in \mathcal{S}_a^+} P_3^d(\zeta, \sigma) = P_3^d(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\sigma}). \quad (3..36)$$

REMARK 3.1 Whence the global optimal solution $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ of the nonconvex problem (\mathcal{P}_3) is obtained by the canonical dual approach, the optimal parameters $\bar{q}, \bar{r}, \bar{K}$ can be obtained by solving the following minimization problem

$$(\mathcal{P}_4) \quad \min P_4(q, K, r) = \left\| q\bar{\mathbf{y}} - \frac{\mathbf{I}}{K} \right\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left\| r\bar{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{y}} + (D - (1+r)R)\bar{\mathbf{y}} + \frac{\mathbf{C}}{K} \right\|^2$$

s.t. $q > 0, K > 0, r > 0.$

By combining together problems (\mathcal{P}_3) and (\mathcal{P}_4) , the global optimal solution $(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, \bar{q}, \bar{r}, \bar{K})$ of the problem (\mathcal{P}_2) can be obtained by certain alternative iteration method. Then, the global minimizer to the problem (\mathcal{P}_1) is $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \bar{K}\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ and we have $P_1(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{q}, \bar{K}, \bar{r}) = \bar{K}^2 P_2(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, \bar{q}, \bar{K}, \bar{r})$.

4. Applications

We now list a few examples to illustrate the applications of the theory presented in this paper.

Example 1. The data we used in Table 1 is from Quinn T and Deriso R.B.'s book (see Quinn & Deriso (1999)).

By the canonical duality method presented in this paper, the optimal parameters we obtained are $\bar{q} = 0.0112, \bar{K} = 14777.4, \bar{r} = 0.1875$, and the optimal solution $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ to model (\mathcal{P}_2) is

$$\bar{\mathbf{y}} = \{0.87804, 0.595806, 0.465162, 0.407183, 0.368356, 0.353525, 0.353367, 0.366521, 0.368154, 0.372081, 0.360713, 0.391465, 0.409868, 0.432046, 0.445403, 0.458043, 0.467348, 0.477866, 0.490154, 0.509345, 0.542681, 0.476886, 0.43092, 0.386888, 0.351872, 0.296357, 0.245213\}.$$

The optimal objective function value is therefore $P_2(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, \bar{q}, \bar{K}, \bar{r}) = 0.0698$. Figure 2 presents a clear view of the tendency during these 27 years.

Example 2 The data we used in this example is the New Zealand rock lobster during 46 years given in Polacheck *et al.* (1993).

By the canonical duality method, the optimal parameters for the given data are $\bar{q} = 0.0005, \bar{K} = 28238.1, \bar{r} = 0.5729$, and the optimal solution $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ to model (\mathcal{P}_2) is

$$\bar{\mathbf{y}} = \{0.539323, 0.514615, 0.515001, 0.537057, 0.548343, 0.566006, 0.542414, 0.557294, 0.586471, 0.591127, 0.535325, 0.496853, 0.40859, 0.403013, 0.413048, 0.429969, 0.473993, 0.49995, 0.488957, 0.490067, 0.498439, 0.484909, 0.450408, 0.464355, 0.448175, 0.447358, 0.432635, 0.401602, 0.438707, 0.429822, 0.411511, 0.461489, 0.468958, 0.494921, 0.517036, 0.497474, 0.480722, 0.491527, 0.489311, 0.49443, 0.459034, 0.40785, 0.368474, 0.275356, 0.275089, 0.166475\}.$$

The optimal objective function value $P_2(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, \bar{q}, \bar{K}, \bar{r}) = 2.1596$ (see Figure 3).




FIG. 2. Stock abundance during 27 years.

TABLE 1 *Yield (Catch) and catch-per-unit-effort I (CPUE) for each of year*

Year	C (Catch)	I (CPUE)
1	3706	82
2	2662	59
3	2055	46
4	1658	37
5	1379	31
6	1171	26
7	1011	22
8	884	20
9	781	17
10	696	15
11	85	17
12	111	22
13	142	28
14	178	36
15	215	43
16	253	51
17	289	58
18	321	64
19	349	70
20	371	74
21	1451	73
22	1341	67
23	1263	63
24	1206	60
25	1162	58
26	1129	56
27	1103	55




FIG. 3. Stock abundance during 46 years.

5. Concluding remarks

We have presented a canonical dual approach for solving a challenging population growth problem in discrete dynamical systems. By using the finite difference and the least squares methods, this nonlinear problem is reformulated as a nonconvex optimization problem with inequality constraints. The problem has extensive applications in ecology, neural networks, medicine, economics, statistical physics, and

TABLE 2 *Catch and catch-rate data*

Year	Catch	CPUE	Year	Catch	CPUE
1945	809	3.49	1968	4975	1.53
1946	854	3.38	1969	4786	1.32
1947	919	3.18	1970	4699	1.45
1948	1360	3.56	1971	4478	1.40
1949	1872	1.79	1972	3495	1.09
1950	2672	4.35	1973	3784	1.23
1951	2834	2.33	1974	3643	1.12
1952	3324	2.57	1975	2987	0.92
1953	4160	2.88	1976	3311	1.02
1954	5541	3.85	1977	3237	1.0
1955	5909	4.16	1978	3418	1.05
1956	6547	4.34	1979	4050	1.09
1957	5049	3.70	1980	4190	1.02
1958	4447	2.37	1981	4058	1.01
1959	4018	2.46	1982	4331	0.98
1960	3762	2.06	1983	4385	1.01
1961	4042	2.21	1984	4911	0.85
1962	4583	2.19	1985	4856	0.84
1963	4554	2.44	1986	4657	0.81
1964	4597	2.14	1987	4500	0.84
1965	4984	2.18	1988	3128	0.68
1966	5295	2.13	1989	3318	0.62
1967	4782	1.86	1990	2770	0.54

much more. Due to the nonconvexity of the target function with unknown parameters, the problem is fundamentally difficult and can't be solved by traditional direct methods. However, by the canonical duality theory, global optimal solution is obtained for the first time to this well-known problem over the whole time domain. Applications illustrated that this theory is efficient for solving large-scale population growth problems.

Acknowledgement: This paper was partially supported by a grant (AFOSR FA9550-10-1-0487) from the US Air Force Office of Scientific Research. Dr. Ning Ruan was supported by a funding from the Australian Government under the Collaborative Research Networks (CRN) program.

References

- AUCHMUTY, G. (1983) Duality for non-convex variational principles, *J. Differ. Equations*, **50**, 80–145.
- GAO, D. Y. (2000) *Duality Principles in Nonconvex Systems: Theory, Methods and Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London.
- GAO, D. Y. AND OGDEN, R. W. (2008) Closed-form solutions, extremality and nonsmoothness criteria to nonconvex variational problem in finite elasticity, *J. Appl. Math. Phys (ZAMP)*, **59**, 498–517.
- GAO, D. Y. AND RUAN, N. (2008) Complete solutions and optimality criteria for nonconvex quadratic-exponential minimization problem, *Math. Meth. Oper. Res.*, **67**, 479–491.
- GAO, D. Y. AND RUAN, N. (2010) On the solutions to quadratic minimization problems with box and integer constraints, *J. Global Optim.*, **47**, 463–484.
- GAO, D. Y., RUAN, N. & PARDALOS, P. M. (2012) Canonical dual solutions to sum of fourth-order polynomials minimization problems with applications to sensor network localizations. In: BOGINSKI, V. L., COMMANDER, C. W., PARDALOS, P. M. & YE, Y. Y. eds. *Sensors: Theory, Algorithms and Applications*, 61, New York: Springer-Verlag, 37–54.
- GAO, D. Y., RUAN, N. & SHERALI, H. D. (2009) Solutions and optimality criteria for nonconvex constrained global optimization problems, *J. Global Optim.*, **3**, 473–497.
- GAO, D. Y., RUAN, N. & SHERALI, H. D. (2010) Canonical dual solutions for fixed cost quadratic program. In: CHINCHULUUN, A., PARDALOS, P. M., ENKHBAT, R., & TSEVEENDORJ, L. eds. *Optimization and Optimal Control: Theory and Applications*, 39, New York: Springer-Verlag, 139–156.
- GAO, D. Y. & SHERALI, H. D. (2009) Canonical Duality Theory: Connections between nonconvex mechanics and global optimization. In: GAO, D. Y., & SHERALI, H. D. eds. *Advances in Mechanics and Mathematics*, New York: Springer-Verlag, 257–326.
- HENTENRYCK, P. V., MCALLESTER, D. & KAPUR D. (1997) Solving polynomial systems using a branch and prune approach, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **34**, 797–827.
- LUDWIG, D., HILBORN, R. & WALTERS C. (1993) Uncertainty, resource exploitation and conservation: lessons from history, *Science*, **260**, 17–36.

MAY, R.M., BEDDINGTON, J.R., CLARK, C.W., HOLT, S.J. & LAWS, R.M. (1979) Management of multispecies fisheries, *Science*, **205**, 267–277.

MURTY, K.G. & KABADI, S.N. (1979) Some NP-complete problems in quadratic and nonlinear programming, *Math. Program.*, **39**, 117–129.

POLACHEK, T., HILBORN, R. & PUNT, A.E. (1993) Fitting surplus production models: Comparing methods and measuring uncertainty, *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, **50**, 2597–2607.

QUINN, T. & DERISO, R.B. (1999) Quantitative fish dynamics. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

ROCKAFELLAR, R.T. (1974) Conjugate duality and optimization. No. 16 in Conference Board of Math. Science Series, SIAM publication.

RUAN, N., GAO, D.Y. & JIAO, Y. (2010) Canonical dual least square method for solving general nonlinear systems of equations, *Comput. Optim. Appl.*, **47**, 335–347.

ZHANG, J., GAO, D.Y. & YEARWOOD, J. (2011) A novel canonical dual computational approach for prion AGAAAAGA amyloid fibril molecular modeling. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **284**, 149157 doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2011.06.024