

A new class of modules for Toroidal Lie Superalgebras

S.Eswara Rao

School of Mathematics

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,
Mumbai, India.

email: senapati@math.tifr.res.in

Abstract

In this paper we construct a large class of modules for toroidal Lie superalgebras. Toroidal Lie superalgebras are universal central extensions of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ where \mathfrak{g} is a basic classical Lie superalgebra and A is Laurent polynomial ring in several variables. The case where \mathfrak{g} is a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra is included.

MSC: 17B67,17B69

Keywords: Toroidal Lie super algebras, modules, vertex operators.

Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to construct a large class of modules for toroidal Lie superalgebra. Toroidal Lie superalgebras are universal central extensions of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ where \mathfrak{g} is a basic classical simple Lie superalgebra and A is a Laurent polynomial ring in several variables. These algebras are first studied in [IK] and [EZ]. When \mathfrak{g} is a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra we get toroidal Lie algebras which are extensively studied. Frenkel, Jing and Wang [FJW] use representations of toroidal Lie algebras to construct a new form of the McKay correspondence. Inami et al. studied toroidal symmetry in the context of 4-dimensional conformal theory [IKUX], [IKU]. There are also applications of toroidal Lie algebras to soliton theory. Using representations of toroidal Lie algebras one can construct hierchies of non-linear PDEs[B], [ISW]. We hope similar application can be found for Toroidal Lie superalgebras.

In this paper we construct a functor from modules of affine superalgebras to modules of toroidal Lie superalgebras. Even in the Lie algebra case our construction is completely new and produce a large class of modules for toroidal Lie algebras. Our construction recover the well known results of [EMY] and [EM]. In [EM] and [EMY], only the level one integrable modules are considered but our construction works for any highest weight modules and any non-zero level.

We will now explain the results in more detail. Let \mathfrak{g} be a basic classical Lie superalgebra and $A = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial ring in

n commuting variables. Then $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ is naturally a Lie superalgebra. The universal central extension τ of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ is called toroidal Lie superalgebra. It is explicitly given in [IK]. Let \mathfrak{g}_{aff} be the affine superalgebra corresponding to \mathfrak{g} (See 2.4). We first construct the standard Fock space $V(\Gamma)$ for a suitable non-degenerate lattice Γ and a degenerate sublattice Q (see section 3). Let V be any restricted \mathfrak{g}_{aff} module (See 2.5) and consider $V \otimes V(\Gamma)$. We prove the following

1. $V \otimes V(\Gamma)$ is a $\tilde{\tau}$ - module (Theorem 4.1)
2. Suppose V is a weight module for \mathfrak{g}_{aff} then $V \otimes V(\Gamma)$ is a weight module for $\tilde{\tau}$ for suitable Cartan subalgebra.
3. Suppose V is a \mathfrak{g}_{aff} module in category \mathcal{O} with finite dimensional weight spaces then $V \otimes V(\Gamma)$ is a weight module with finite dimensional weight spaces (Remark 6.2).
4. Suppose \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra and suppose V is a \mathfrak{g}_{aff} integrable module then $V \otimes V(\Gamma)$ is $\tilde{\tau}$ - integrable.
5. Suppose V and W are restricted \mathfrak{g}_{aff} modules and $f : V \rightarrow W$ is \mathfrak{g}_{aff} module map. Then there exists a canonical map $\tilde{f} : V \otimes V(\Gamma) \rightarrow W \otimes V(\Gamma)$ which is a $\tilde{\tau}$ - module map (Remark 6.3).

We further note that $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Gamma/Q} V(\lambda)$ where each $V(\lambda)$ is a $\tilde{\tau}$ - module. We prove in Proposition 5.2 that $V(\lambda)$ and $V(0)$ are isomorphic as $\tilde{\tau}$ - modules upto a twist of an automorphism of $\tilde{\tau}$.

1 Lie Superalgebra

A Lie superalgebra is a \mathbb{Z}_2 - graded vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ equipped with \mathbb{C} - bilinear form $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, called the Lie super bracket, satisfying the following conditions.

1. $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}$
2. $[X, Y] = -(-1)^{ij} [Y, X]$
3. $[[X, Y], Z] = [X, [Y, Z]] - (-1)^{ij} [Y, [X, Z]]$

for all homogeneous elements $X \in \mathfrak{g}_i, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_j$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_k$.

The subspace \mathfrak{g}_0 is called even and the subspace \mathfrak{g}_1 is called odd. It is easy to see that \mathfrak{g}_0 is the usual Lie algebra and \mathfrak{g}_1 is \mathfrak{g}_0 - module. The identity (3) is called super Jacobi identity. Suppose X is a homogeneous element belonging to \mathfrak{g}_i , then we denote $|X| = i$.

A bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called

1. Supersymmetric if $(X, Y) = (-1)^{|X||Y|} (Y, X)$ for all homogeneous elements X and Y in \mathfrak{g} .
2. Invariant if $([X, Y], Z) = (X, [Y, Z])$ for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

3. Even if $(X, Y) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$.

A Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} is called basic classical if \mathfrak{g} is simple, finite dimensional, the even part is reductive and \mathfrak{g} carries an even, non-degenerate supersymmetric bilinear form. They have been classified by Kac [K2]. The following is the list of basic classical Lie superalgebra and the decomposition of the even part.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
A(m, n) & A_m + A_n + \mathbb{C} & , m \geq 0, n \geq 0, m + n \geq 1 \\
B(m, n) & B_m + C_n & , m \geq 0, n \geq 1 \\
C(n) & C_{n-1} + \mathbb{C} & , n \geq 3 \\
D(m, n) & D_m + C_n & , m \geq 2, n \geq 1 \\
D(2, 1, a) & D_2 + A_1 & a \neq 0, -1 \\
F(4) & B_3 + A_1 & \\
G(3) & G_2 + A_1 &
\end{array}$$

In addition to this we need to add all simple finite dimensional Lie algebras.

Suppose \mathfrak{g} is a basic classical Lie superalgebra and $(,)$ be an even, non-degenerate, supersymmetric and invariant bilinear form. Let $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ be a Cartan subalgebra.

Let $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and
let $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, X] = \alpha(h)X, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$, Let $\Delta = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0\}$
Then it is well known that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{h}.$$

The form $(,)$ restricted to \mathfrak{h} is non-degenerate. Using this form, \mathfrak{h}^* can be identified with \mathfrak{h} via the map $\alpha \mapsto H(\alpha)$

Let $\Delta_0 = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 \neq 0\}$ and
 $\Delta_1 = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{g}_1 \neq 0\}$.

Let Δ^+ and Δ^- denote the positive and negative roots respectively.
Let $\Delta_1^+ = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta^+$, $\Delta_1^- = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta^-$.
For $\alpha \in \Delta_1^-$, define $\sigma_\alpha = -1$ and $\sigma_\alpha = 1$ for $\alpha \notin \Delta_1^-$.
Let $\dim \mathfrak{h} = l$.

1.1 Let \mathfrak{g} be basic classical Lie superalgebra. We call a Chevelley basis \mathfrak{g} , any homogeneous \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathfrak{g} ,
 $B = \{H(i)\}_{i=1,2,\dots,l} \cup \{X(\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta\}$
such that

- (a) $H(1), \dots, H(l)$ is a \mathbb{C} basis of \mathfrak{h}
- (b) $[H(i), H(j)] = 0$, $[H(i), X(\alpha)] = \alpha(H(i))X(\alpha)$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$.
- (c) $[X(\alpha), X(-\alpha)] = \sigma_\alpha H(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Delta$
- (d) $[X(\alpha), X(\beta)] = N_{\alpha, \beta} X(\alpha + \beta)$, $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Delta$
- (d1) $N_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $N_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ for $\alpha + \beta \notin \Delta \cup \{0\}$

(d2) If $(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$ or $(\beta, \beta) \neq 0$ and if $\sum_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \{\beta - r\alpha, \dots, \beta + q\alpha\}$ is the α string through β then $N_{\alpha, \beta} = \pm(r+1)$.

(d3) If $(\alpha, \alpha) = 0 = (\beta, \beta)$ then $N_{\alpha, \beta} = \pm \beta (H(\alpha))$

(1.2) Proposition $([IK], [FG])$. Every basic classical Lie superalgebra admits a Chevelley basis.

(1.3) Remark Suppose $\mathfrak{g} = sl(d+1, d+1)$ which is not a basic classical Lie superalgebra. But it is known that Chevelley basis exists. See $[IK]$.

2 Toroidal Superalgebra

In this section we define toroidal superalgebra and fix some notation. We fix a positive integer n . Let $A = A_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial ring in n commuting variables. Let $\bar{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $t^{\bar{m}} = t_1^{m_1} \dots t_n^{m_n} \in A$. Let \mathfrak{g} be a basic classical Lie superalgebra and we fix an even, non-degenerate supersymmetric invariant bilinear form $(,)$ on \mathfrak{g} . For any vector space V over \mathbb{C} we denote $V \otimes A$ by V_A and $v(\bar{m}) = v \otimes t^{\bar{m}} \in V_A$

Then $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ has a natural Lie superalgebra structure. Let Ω_A/d_A be a space of differentials so that Ω_A is spanned by symbols $t^{\bar{m}}K_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, \bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and d_A is spanned by $\sum m_i t^{\bar{m}} K_i$. We define Lie superalgebra structure on $\tau = \mathfrak{g} \otimes A \oplus \Omega_A/d_A$

(2.1) $[X(\bar{m}), Y(\bar{k})] = [X, Y](\bar{m} + \bar{k}) + (X, Y)d(t^{\bar{m}})t^{\bar{k}}$
 where $d(t^{\bar{m}})t^{\bar{k}} = \sum_i m_i t^{\bar{m} + \bar{k}} K_i, X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \bar{m}, \bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \Omega_A/d_A$ is central in τ .

(2.2) Remark. The above construction holds good for the Lie superalgebra $sl(d+1, d+1), d \geq 1$. The canonical form is degenerate and has a one-dimensional radical.

(2.3) Theorem (Theorems (4.7) of $[IK]$) τ is the universal central extension of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$. (In the case \mathfrak{g} is of type $A(d, d)$ we take \mathfrak{g} to be $sl(d+1, d+1)$).

τ is naturally \mathbb{Z}^n -graded and to reflect this fact we add a finite set of derivations. Let D be the vector space spanned by d_1, \dots, d_n and let $\tilde{\tau} = \tau \oplus D$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} [d_i, X(\bar{m})] &= m_i X(\bar{m}), X \in \mathfrak{g}, \bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ [d_i, d(t^{\bar{m}})t^{\bar{k}}] &= (m_i + k_i)d(t^{\bar{m}})t^{\bar{k}} \\ [d_i, d_j] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{\tau}$ becomes a Lie superalgebra with even part $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \otimes A \oplus \Omega_A/d_A \oplus D$ and odd part of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} \otimes A$. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} which is contained in $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}K_i \oplus D$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\tilde{\tau}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\delta_i \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ defined by $\delta_i(\mathfrak{h}) = 0, \delta_i(K_j) = 0$ and $\delta_i(d_j) = \delta_{ij}$.
Let $\delta_{\bar{m}} = \sum_i m_i \delta_i$.

Let $\tau_{\alpha+\delta_{\bar{m}}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \otimes t^{\bar{m}}, \alpha \in \Delta, \tau_{\delta_{\bar{m}}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes t^{\bar{m}}, \bar{m} \neq 0, \tau_0 = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Then $\tilde{\tau} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta \\ \bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}} \tau_{\alpha+\delta_{\bar{m}}}$ is a root space decomposition with respect to the sub-

algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$. See [EZ] for more details.

(2.4) Affine superalgebra Let $\mathfrak{g}_{aff} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_n, t_n^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K_n \oplus \mathbb{C}\bar{d}_n$
and the Lie bracket is given by $[X \otimes t_n^m, Y \otimes t_n^k] = [X, Y] \otimes t_n^{m+k} + (X, Y)m \delta_{m+k,0} K_n$.
 K_n is central and $[\bar{d}_n, X \otimes t_n^m] = mX \otimes t_n^m$.
Then \mathfrak{g}_{aff} is called Affine superalgebra corresponding to the basic classical superalgebra.

Let δ_n be the null root. Then $\Delta_{aff} = \{\alpha + m\delta_n, m\delta_n, \alpha \in \Delta, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a root system for \mathfrak{g}_{aff} .

(2.5) A \mathfrak{g}_{aff} module V is called restricted if for any v in $V, X \otimes t_n^m v = 0$ for $m \gg 0, X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

The purpose of this paper is to construct a functor from restricted \mathfrak{g}_{aff} modules to $\tilde{\tau}$ modules. The functor takes weight modules to weight modules and integrable modules to integrable modules (In the Lie algebra case. See Remark (6.1)). But an irreducible modules never goes to irreducible module. It goes to an indecomposable module. This constructions works for any finite dimensional simple Lie algebra and a completely new result. This construction recovers the well known result in the paper [EM] and [EMY].

3 Fock Space

(3.1) Let Γ be free \mathbb{Z} -module on generators $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{n-1}$ and d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n-1} and define a symmetric bilinear form on Γ by $\langle d_i, d_j \rangle = \langle \delta_i, \delta_j \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \delta_i, d_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ so that

$$\Gamma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}\delta_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}d_i$$

Let $Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}\delta_i$, Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{s} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q, \mathfrak{b} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{p}(k) \oplus \mathbb{C}c$
Where each $\mathfrak{p}(k)$ is an isomorphic copy of \mathfrak{p} and the isomorphism is given by $\beta \mapsto \beta(k)$. The Lie algebra structure is given by

$$[\alpha(k), \beta(m)] = k \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \delta_{m+k,0} c$$

and c is central.

Let $\mathfrak{b}_+ = \bigoplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{p}(k)$ and $\mathfrak{b}_- = \bigoplus_{k<0} \mathfrak{p}(k)$, so that $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{b}_- \oplus \mathbb{C}c$
Similary define $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_{\pm}$ by replacing \mathfrak{p} by \mathfrak{s}

The Fock space representation of \mathfrak{b} is the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ of \mathfrak{b}_- together with the action of \mathfrak{b}_- on $S(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ defined by

c acts on Id .

$a(-m)$ acts as multiplication by $a(-m), m > 0$.

$a(m)$ acts as unique derivations on $S(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ for which $b(-k) \rightarrow \delta_{m,k}$ $m < a, b >, m > 0, k > 0$. For each γ in Γ let e^γ be a symbol and form the vector space

$\mathbb{C}[\Gamma] = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathbb{C}e^\gamma$ over \mathbb{C} . In particular $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ contains the subspace

$\mathbb{C}[Q] = \sum_{\alpha \in Q} \mathbb{C}e^\alpha$. Define multiplication on $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ by $e^\alpha \cdot e^\gamma = e^{\alpha+\gamma}$, $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$.

Let $M \subseteq S(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ be any \mathfrak{a} submodule (with respect to Fock space action.) and let $V(\Gamma, M) = \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \otimes M$.

Of particular interest in the sequel will be $V(\Gamma, S(\mathfrak{a}_-))$ and $V(\Gamma, S(\mathfrak{b}_-))$ which we will simply denote by $V(\Gamma)$ and $V(\Gamma, \mathfrak{b})$. We extend the action of \mathfrak{a} on M to $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$ on $V(\Gamma, M)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} a(m) \cdot e^\gamma \otimes u &= e^\gamma \otimes a(m)u, m \neq 0 \\ a(0) \cdot e^\gamma \otimes u &= (\gamma, a)e^\gamma \otimes u. \end{aligned}$$

(3.2) Vertex Operators. Let z be a complex variable and let $\alpha \in Q$

Define $T_\pm(\alpha, z) = -\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n} \alpha(n) z^{-n}$.

Then the vertex operator $X(\alpha, z) = \exp T(\alpha, z)$ where $\exp T(\alpha, z) = \exp T_-(\alpha, z)e^\alpha z^{\alpha(0)} \exp T_+(\alpha, z)$.

The operator $z^{\alpha(0)}, e^\alpha$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} z^{\alpha(0)} \cdot e^\gamma \otimes u &= z^{(\alpha, \gamma)} e^\gamma \otimes u \\ e^\alpha \cdot e^\gamma \otimes u &= e^{\alpha+\gamma} \otimes u. \end{aligned}$$

Write $X(\alpha, z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} X_m(\alpha) z^{-m}$

It is standard fact that $X_m(\alpha)$ act on F and for any v in F , $X_m(\alpha)v = 0$ for $m \gg 0$.

It is easy to see that

(3.3) $X(\alpha, z)X(\beta, z) = X(\alpha + \beta, z)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in Q$ as $(\alpha, \beta) = 0$.

The following Lemma is very standard. See For example [FK] or [EM]

(3.4) Lemma

- (1) $[b(k), X_m(\alpha)] = \langle b, \alpha \rangle X_{m+k}(\alpha)$, $b \in \mathfrak{p}$, $\alpha \in Q$, $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$
- (2) $[X_m(\alpha), X_k(\beta)] = 0$, $\alpha, \beta \in Q$, $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Define for $h \in \mathfrak{p}$

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} h(m) z^{-m-1} \\ h^+(z) &= \sum_{m \geq 0} h(m) z^{-m-1} \\ h^-(z) &= \sum_{m < 0} h(m) z^{-m-1} \end{aligned}$$

So that $h(z) = h^+(z) + h^-(z)$.

For $\alpha \in Q, h \in \mathfrak{p}$ define

$T^h(\alpha, z) = h^-(z)X(\alpha, z) + X(\alpha, z)h^+(z)$

and write $T^h(\alpha, z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} T_k^h(\alpha) z^{-k-1}$

(3.5) Recall that \langle, \rangle is a non-degenerate form on \mathfrak{p} . Let $\{\alpha_i\}, \{\alpha^i\}$ be a dual basis for \mathfrak{p} so that $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha^j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$

Define

$$L_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} : \alpha_i(k) \alpha^i(-k) :$$

Where the normal ordering is defined on $: \alpha(-k) \beta(k) :$

$$= \alpha(-k) \beta(k) \text{ if } k \geq 0$$

$$= \beta(k) \alpha(-k) \text{ if } k < 0.$$

Then the following is very standard. See [EM].

$$(1) L_0 \cdot e^\gamma \otimes a_1(-k_1) \cdots a_m(-k_m) = -\left(\frac{(\gamma, \gamma)}{2} + k_1 + \cdots + k_m\right) \cdot$$

$$e^\gamma \otimes a_1(-k_1) \cdots a_m(-k_m) (\gamma \in \Gamma, a_i \in \mathfrak{p}, k_i \in \mathbb{Z})$$

$$(2) [L_0, X_k(\delta_{\underline{m}})] = k X_k(\delta_{\underline{m}})$$

Let $\underline{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}, \bar{m} = (\underline{m}, m_n), \delta_{\underline{m}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i \delta_i$

(3.6) Lemma (Lemma (3.13) of [EM])

$$T_{m_n}^{\delta_{\underline{m}}}(\delta_{\underline{m}}) + m_n X_{m_n}(\delta_{\underline{m}}) = 0$$

An easy way to see this is to differentiate $X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)$ and compare coefficients.

We will now introduce delta function and recall some standard facts from section 2 of [FLM].

Define delta function

$$\delta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n.$$

(This delta function is not be confused with $\delta_{\underline{m}}(z)$ as the later always comes with index).

Then the following Lemma holds. See [FLM] for proof and definitions.

$$\text{Suppose } X(z, w) = \sum_{m, k \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{m, k} z^m w^k,$$

$$\text{define } D_z X(z, w) = \sum_{m, k \in \mathbb{Z}} m X_{m, k} z^{m-1} w^k$$

(3.7) Lemma

$$(1) X(z, w) \delta(z/w) = X(w, w) \delta(z/w)$$

$$(2) X(z, w) D_z(\delta(z/w)) = X(w, w) D_z(\delta(z/w)) - (D_z X)(w, w) \delta(z/w)$$

We need the following

(3.8) Lemma

$$(1) D_z z X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z) = z \delta_{\underline{m}}(z) X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z) + X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)$$

$$(2) D_z X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z) = \delta_{\underline{m}}(z) X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)$$

Proof Follows from Lemma (4.6) of [EM]. Just note that $\alpha(z)$ in our paper and $\alpha(z)$ in [EM] differ by a z factor.

We need the following Lemma for later use.

(3.9) Lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & D_z \delta(z/w) \cdot z X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z) X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w) \\
& = w X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w) D_z \delta(z/w) \\
& \quad - w \delta_{\underline{m}}(w) X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w) \delta(z/w) \\
& \quad - X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w) \delta(z/w) \\
(2) \quad & D_z \delta(z/w) X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z) X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w) \\
& = X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w) D_z \delta(z/w) \\
& \quad - \delta_{\underline{m}}(w) X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w) \delta(z/w)
\end{aligned}$$

4 Representations of Toroidal Superalgebra

Let \mathfrak{g} be a basic classical Lie superalgebra. (When \mathfrak{g} is of type $A(d, d)$, we will allow \mathfrak{g} to be $sl(d+1, d+1)$). Let \mathfrak{g}_{aff} be the corresponding super affine Lie-algebra. Recall that $V(\Gamma, M)$ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$ -module where $X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)$ and $T^{\delta_{\underline{k}}}(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)$ act.

We will fix a Chevelley basis $\{H(i)\}_{i=1, \dots, l} \cup \{X(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ for \mathfrak{g} . Let $X_k(\alpha) = X(\alpha) \otimes t^k$ and $H_k(i) = H(i) \otimes t^k$. Let V be a restricted \mathfrak{g}_{aff} module where the canonical central element K_n act as a non-zero scalar K .

(4.1) Theorem Notation as above.

Then $V \otimes V(\Gamma)$ is a $\tilde{\tau}$ -module under the following map.

$$\begin{aligned}
X(\alpha) \otimes t^{\overline{m}} & \longmapsto \sum X_k(\alpha) \otimes X_{m_n-k}(\delta_{\underline{m}}) \\
H(i) \otimes t^{\overline{m}} & \longmapsto \sum H_k(i) \otimes X_{m_n-k}(\delta_{\underline{m}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$1 \leq i \leq n-1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
t^m K_i & \longmapsto K \otimes T_{m_n}^{\delta_i}(\delta_{\underline{m}}) \\
t^m K_n & \longmapsto K \otimes X_{m_n}(\delta_{\underline{m}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$1 \leq i \leq n-1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d_i & \longmapsto 1 \otimes d_i(0) \\
d_n & \longmapsto \bar{d}_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_0
\end{aligned}$$

Notation \sum_k we mean the summation over all integers.

Proof In terms of infinite series we can write the map in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_k X(\alpha) \otimes t^{\overline{m}} t_n^k z^{-k} & \longmapsto X(\alpha, z) X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z) \\
\sum_k H(i) \otimes t^{\overline{m}} t_n^k z^{-k-1} & \longmapsto H(i, z) X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)
\end{aligned}$$

$$1 \leq i \leq n-1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_k t^{\overline{m}} t_n^k K_i z^{-k-1} & \longmapsto K \delta_i(z) X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z) \\
\sum_k t^{\overline{m}} t_n^k K_n z^{-k} & \longmapsto K X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)
\end{aligned}$$

Where $X(\alpha, z) = \sum_k X_k(\alpha) z^{-k}$,

$H(i, z) = \sum_k H_k(i) z^{-k-1}$ are operators acting on the affine module V .

In order to prove the Theorem, it is sufficient to verify the following relations as operators acting on $V \otimes V(\Gamma, M)$. When we write these infinite series in components, we will see that they satisfy bracket operations of $\tilde{\tau}$.

T1.

$$[X(\alpha, z)X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z), X(\beta, w)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \notin \Delta \cup \{0\} \\ N_{\alpha, \beta} X(\alpha + \beta, w)X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w) \delta(z/w) & \\ \text{if } \alpha + \beta \in \Delta & \\ \sigma_\alpha H(\alpha, w)X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w)w\delta(z/w) & \\ -(X_\alpha, X_\beta)K(X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w)wD_z\delta(z/w) & \\ -w\delta_{\underline{m}}(w)X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w)\delta(z/w) & \\ -X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w) \delta(z/w) & \text{if } \alpha + \beta = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$T2. [H(i, z)X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z), X(\alpha, w)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w)] \\ = \alpha(H(i)) X(\alpha, w)X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w)w^{-1} \delta(z/w)$$

$$T3. [H(i, z)X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z), H(j, w)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w)] \\ = -(H(i), H(j))K(X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w)w^{-1}D_z\delta(z/w) - w^{-1}\delta_{\underline{m}}(w)X(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}, w)\delta(z/w))$$

We also need to check that the derivations act correctly and that will be verified at the end. By definition it follows that $X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z), \delta_{\underline{k}}(z)X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)$ commute with τ action.

First recall that $X_k(\alpha) = X(\alpha) \otimes t_n^k$ and $H_k(i) = H(i) \otimes t_n^k \in \mathfrak{g}_{aff}$ and they satisfy the following relations.

A(1).

$$[X_m(\alpha), X_k(\beta)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \notin \Delta \cup \{0\} \\ N_{\alpha, \beta} X_{m+k}(\alpha + \beta), \alpha + \beta \in \Delta & \\ \sigma_\alpha H_{m+k}(\alpha) + (X_\alpha, X_\beta)m \delta_{m+k,0} K_n & \text{if } \alpha + \beta = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$A(2). [H_m(i), X_k(\alpha)] = \alpha(H(i)) X_{k+m}(\alpha)$$

$$A(3). [H_m(i), H_k(j)] = (H(i), H(j)) m \delta_{m+k,0} K_n$$

For $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$

Define

$$X(\alpha, z) = \sum_k X_k(\alpha) z^{-k} \text{ and}$$

$$H(\alpha, z) = \sum_k H_k(\alpha) z^{-k-1}.$$

Then the relations A(1) to A(3) can be written in the following infinite series.

AS(1).

$$[X(\alpha, z), X(\beta, w)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \notin \Delta \cup \{0\} \\ N_{\alpha, \beta} X(\alpha + \beta, w) & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \in \Delta \\ \sigma_\alpha H(\alpha, w)w \delta(z/w) - (X(\alpha), X(\beta))K_n z D_z \delta(z/w) & \\ \text{if } \alpha + \beta = 0 & \end{cases}$$

$$AS(2). [H(i, z), X(\alpha, w)] = \alpha(H(i)) X(\alpha, w) w^{-1} \delta(z/w)$$

$$AS(3). [H(i, z), H(j, w)] = -(H(i), H(j))K_n w^{-1} D_z \delta(z/w)$$

We will now check $T1, T2$ and $T3$.

Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta, \underline{m}, \underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$.

Let $Y = [X(\alpha, z)X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z), X(\beta, w)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w)]$.

Then $Y = [X(\alpha, z), X(\beta, w)]X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w)$

If $\alpha + \beta \notin \Delta \cup \{0\}$ then it is clear $Y = 0$

Suppose $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$

Then $Y = N_{\alpha, \beta} X(\alpha + \beta, w) \delta(z/w) \cdot X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z) X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w)$ (by AS1)

$= N_{\alpha, \beta} X(\alpha + \beta, w) X(\delta_{\underline{m} + \underline{k}}, w) \delta(z/w)$ (by Lemma (3.7)(1))

This verifies second part of $T1$.

Now suppose $\alpha + \beta = 0$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \sigma_\alpha H(\alpha, w) w \delta(z/w) X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z) X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w) \\ &\quad - (X_\alpha, X_\beta) K z D_z \delta(z/w) \cdot X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z) X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w) \text{ (By AS1)} \\ &= \sigma_\alpha H(\alpha, w) w \delta(z/w) X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, w) X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w) \\ &\quad - (X_\alpha, X_\beta) K [w X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, w) X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w) D_z \delta(z/w) \\ &\quad - w \delta_{\underline{m}}(w) X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, w) X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w) \delta(z/w) \\ &\quad - X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, w) X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w) \delta(z/w)] \text{ (by Lemma (3.7) and (3.9))} \\ &= \sigma_\alpha H(\alpha, w) w X(\delta_{\underline{m} + \underline{k}}, w) \delta(z/w) \\ &\quad - (X_\alpha, X_\beta) K [w X(\delta_{\underline{m} + \underline{k}}, w) D_z \delta(z/w) \\ &\quad - w \delta_{\underline{m}}(w) X(\delta_{\underline{m} + \underline{k}}, w) \delta(z/w) \\ &\quad - X(\delta_{\underline{m} + \underline{k}}, w) \delta(z/w)] \end{aligned}$$

This proves the third part of $T1$.

We will now verify $T2$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} T2. [H(i, z)X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z), X(\alpha, w)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w)] \\ &= [H(i, z), X(\alpha, w)]X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w) \\ &= \alpha(H(i))X(\alpha, w)w^{-1} \delta(z/w)X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w) \text{ (By AS2)} \\ &= \alpha(H(i))X(\alpha, w)w^{-1}X(\delta_{\underline{m} + \underline{k}}, w) \delta(z/w) \text{ (By Lemma 3.7)} \end{aligned}$$

Which verifies $T2$.

We will now verify $T3$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} [H(i, z)X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z), H(j, w)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w)] \\ &= [H(i, z), H(j, w)]X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w) \\ &= -(H(i), H(j))Kw^{-1}D_z \delta(z/w)X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, z)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w) \text{ (By AS3)} \\ &= -(H(i), H(j))Kw^{-1}X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, w)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w)D_z \delta(z/w) \\ &\quad + (H(i), H(j))Kw^{-1}\delta_{\underline{m}}(w)X(\delta_{\underline{m}}, w)X(\delta_{\underline{k}}, w)\delta(z/w) \text{ (By Lemma 3.9 (2))} \\ &= -(H(i), H(j))K[w^{-1}X(\delta_{\underline{m} + \underline{k}}, w)D_z \delta(z/w) - w^{-1}\delta_{\underline{m}}(w)X(\delta_{\underline{m} + \underline{k}}, w)\delta(z/w)]. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify ($1 \leq i \leq n - 1$)

$$[d_i, X \otimes t^{\overline{m}}] = m_i X \otimes t^{\overline{m}}, X \in \mathfrak{g}$$

For the n th derivation consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\bar{d}_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_0, \sum_k X_k(\alpha) X_{m_n-k}(\delta_{\underline{m}})] \\
&= \sum_k [\bar{d}_n, X_k(\alpha)] X_{m_n-k}(\delta_{\underline{m}}) \\
&+ \sum_k X_k(\alpha) [L_0, X_{m_n-k}(\delta_{\underline{m}})] \\
&= \sum_k k X_k(\alpha) X_{m_n-k}(\delta_{\underline{m}}) \\
&+ \sum_k (m_n - k) X_k(\alpha) X_{m_n-k}(\delta_{\underline{m}}) \\
&= m_n \sum_k X_k(\alpha) X_{m_n-k}(\delta_{\underline{m}}).
\end{aligned}$$

5 The module $V \otimes V(\Gamma)$

In this section we analyze the module $V \otimes V(\Gamma)$. Recall $V(\Gamma) = \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \otimes S(\mathfrak{a}_-)$. Let $V(\lambda) = V \otimes e^{\lambda+Q} \otimes S(\mathfrak{a}_-)$, $\lambda \in \Gamma$.

Which is clearly a $\tilde{\tau}$ -module.

Further $V \otimes V(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Gamma/Q} V(\lambda)$.

In this section we will prove that $V(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $V(0)$ as $\tilde{\tau}$ -module upto twist of an automorphism. Consider $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ the group of invertible matrices of order n with entries in \mathbb{Z} . $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ naturally act on \mathbb{Z}^n and we denote this action as $B \cdot \bar{m}$ for $\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $B \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$

Let $\bar{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ and $B = (b_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$

Let $B \cdot \bar{m} = \bar{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$, $l_k = \sum_i b_{ik} m_i$

We see that $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ acts as an automorphisms on $\tilde{\tau}$ by $B \cdot X \otimes t^{\bar{m}} = X \otimes t^{B \cdot \bar{m}}$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$B \cdot d(t^{\bar{m}}) t^{\bar{k}} = d(t^{B \cdot \bar{m}}) t^{B \cdot \bar{k}}$$

$$B \cdot d_i = d_i^1, (d_1^1, \dots, d_n^1) = B^{T-1} (d_1, \dots, d_n)$$

Given $\lambda \in \Gamma$ define $B_\lambda = (b_{ij}) \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ by $b_{ii} = 1$, $b_{in} = (\lambda, \delta_i)$, $i \neq n$, $b_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . Then it is easy to check that $B_\lambda e_i = e_i + (\lambda, \delta_i) e_n$, $i \neq n$, $B_\lambda e_n = e_n$. One can also check that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_\lambda(X \otimes t^{\bar{m}}) &= X \otimes t^{\bar{m}} t_n^{(\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}})} \\
B_\lambda(t^{\bar{m}} K_i) &= t^{\bar{m}} t_n^{(\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}})} K_i + (\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}}) t^{\bar{m}} t_n^{(\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}})} K_n \text{ for } i \neq n \\
B_\lambda(t^{\bar{m}} K_n) &= t^{\bar{m}} t_n^{(\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}})} K_n
\end{aligned}$$

We now recall the following Lemma from [EM] which is very standard and follows from the definition of vertex operators.

(5.1) Lemma Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\delta \in Q$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

Then

$$X_m(\delta) \cdot e^\gamma \otimes 1 = \begin{cases} e^{\gamma+\delta} \otimes S_{-m-(\gamma, \delta)}(\delta) & \text{if } m + (\gamma, \delta) < 0 \\ e^{\gamma+\delta} \otimes 1 & \text{if } m + (\gamma, \delta) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } m + (\gamma, \delta) > 0 \end{cases}$$

Where the operators $S_p(\delta)$ is defined by $\exp T_-(\delta, z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} S_p(\delta) z^p$.

□

Note that $S_0(\delta) = 1$. Let $S_p(\delta) = 0, p < 0$.

Then $X_m(\delta)e^\lambda \otimes 1 = e^{\lambda+\delta} \otimes S_{-m-(\lambda,\delta)}(\delta)$

Denote the $\tilde{\tau}$ module $V(\lambda)$ by π_λ .

Let $\varphi : V(\lambda) \rightarrow V(0)$ defined by $\varphi(v \otimes e^{\lambda+\delta_{\underline{m}}} \otimes u) = v \otimes e^{\delta_{\underline{m}}} \otimes u$

which is a vector space isomorphism.

(5.2) Proposition : π_γ and π_0 are isomorphic as $\tilde{\tau}$ modules upto a twist of automorphism B_λ .

Proof Consider for $X(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{g}, \bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \delta \in Q, v \in V$ and $u \in S(\mathfrak{a}_-)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \pi_\lambda(X(\alpha) \otimes t^{\bar{m}}) v \otimes e^{\lambda+\delta} \otimes u \\ &= \sum_k X_k(\alpha) v \otimes X_{m_n-k}(\delta_{\underline{m}})(e^{\lambda+\delta} \otimes u) \\ &= \sum_k X_k(\alpha) v \otimes e^{\lambda+\delta+\delta_{\underline{m}}} \otimes S_{-m_n+k-(\lambda,\delta_{\underline{m}})}(\delta_{\underline{m}}) u \end{aligned}$$

(By Lemma 5.1 and the fact that u commutes with $X_{m_n-k}(\delta)$)

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & \pi_0 \circ B_\lambda \cdot (X_\alpha \otimes t^{\bar{m}}) v \otimes e^\delta \otimes u \\ &= \pi_0 \circ (X_\alpha \otimes t^{\bar{m}} t_n^{(\lambda,\delta_{\underline{m}})}) v \otimes e^\delta \otimes u \\ &= \sum_k X_k(\alpha) v \otimes X_{m_n-k+(\lambda,\delta_{\underline{m}})}(\delta_{\underline{m}})(e^\delta \otimes u) \\ &= \sum_k X_k(\alpha) v \otimes e^{\delta+\delta_{\underline{m}}} \otimes S_{-m_n+k-(\lambda,\delta_{\underline{m}})}(\delta_{\underline{m}}) u \end{aligned}$$

We see that (1) and (2) are equal upto the identification of $V(\lambda)$ and the $V(0)$ by φ .

The same calculation holds good for $H(i)$. Now we will check this for the center.

(3) Let $M = (\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}})$ and $N = (\lambda, \delta_{\underline{k}})$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_\lambda(d(t^{\bar{m}})t^{\bar{k}}) v \otimes e^{\lambda+\delta} \otimes u \\ &= (T_{m_n+k_n}^{\delta_{\underline{m}}}(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}) + m_n X_{m_n+k_n}(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}})) v \otimes e^{\lambda+\delta} \otimes u \\ &= v \otimes \sum_k \delta_{\underline{m}}(k) X_{m_n+k_n-k}(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}) (e^{\lambda+\delta} \otimes u) \\ &+ m_n v \otimes X_{m_n+k_n}(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}) (e^{\lambda+\delta} \otimes u) \\ &= v \otimes \sum_{k \neq 0} e^{\lambda+\delta+\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}} \otimes \delta_{\underline{m}}(k) S_{-m_n-k_n-M-N+k}(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}) u \\ &+ (m_n + (\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}})) v \otimes e^{\lambda+\delta+\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}} \otimes S_{-m_n-k_n-M-N}(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}) u \end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad & \pi_0 0B_\lambda.(d(t^{\underline{m}})t^{\underline{k}}) v \otimes e^\delta \otimes u \\
& = \pi_0(d(t^{\underline{m}} t_n^M)t^{\underline{k}} t_n^N) v \otimes e^\delta \otimes u \\
& = T_{m_n+k_n+M+N}^{\delta_{\underline{m}}}(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}})(v \otimes e^\delta \otimes u) \\
& + (m_n + (\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}}))X_{m_n+k_n+M+N}(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}})(v \otimes e^\delta \otimes u) \\
& = \sum_k v \otimes \delta_{\underline{m}}(k)X_{m_n+k_n+M+N-k}(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}})(e^\delta \otimes u) \\
& + (m_n + (\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}}))X_{m_n+k_n+M+N-k}(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}) e^\delta \otimes u \\
& = \sum_{k \neq 0} v \otimes e^{\delta+\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}} \otimes \delta_{\underline{m}}(k)S_{-m_n-k_n-M-N+k}(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}) u \\
& + (m_n + (\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}})) v \otimes e^{\delta+\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}} \otimes S_{-m_n-k_n-M-N}(\delta_{\underline{m}+\underline{k}}) u
\end{aligned}$$

We omitted the term $k = 0$ in the first term as $\delta_{\underline{m}}(0).e^\delta \otimes u = 0$. Now we see that (3) and (4) are equal upto the identification of $V(\lambda)$ and $V(0)$ via φ .

We will verify the action of the derivations.

Without loss of generality we can assume $\lambda = k_1d_1 + \dots + k_{n-1}d_{n-1} = d_{\underline{k}}$ so that $(\lambda, \lambda) = 0$

Recall that the automorphism B_λ act on d_i as $(B_\lambda^T)^{-1}$.

So that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_\lambda.d_i & = d_i, i \neq n \\
& = -(\lambda, \delta_1)d_1 - \dots - (\lambda, \delta_{n-1})d_{n-1} + d_n \text{ if } i = n.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that for $i \neq n$

$$\pi_\lambda(d_i) = \pi_0 B_\lambda(d_i) \varphi^{-1}$$

Let v in V be such that $\bar{d}_n v = Pv$ for $P \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$\text{Let } v_1 = v \otimes e^{\lambda+\delta_{\underline{m}}} \otimes a_1(-l_1) \dots a_d(-l_d)$$

$$\text{Let } v_2 = v \otimes e^{\delta_{\underline{m}}} \otimes a_1(-l_1) \dots a_d(-l_d)$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_\lambda d_n.v_1 & = (\bar{d}_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_0)v_1 \\
& = \bar{d}_n v \otimes e^{\lambda+\delta_{\underline{m}}} \otimes a_1(-l_1) \dots a_d(-l_d) \\
& \quad + v \otimes L_0(e^{\lambda+\delta_{\underline{m}}} \otimes a_1(-l_1) \dots a_d(-l_d)) \\
& = (P - (\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}}) - \sum l_i)v_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_0 B_\lambda d_n v_2 & = \pi_0 \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda, \delta_i) d_i + \bar{d}_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_0 \right) v_2 \\
& = \left(- (\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}}) + P - \sum l_i \right) v_2
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the verification.

Note that v_1 and v_2 are identified via the map φ .

6 Integrability and finite dimensional weight spaces

In this section we make remarks on integrability and on finite dimensional weight spaces.

(6.1) Remark We would like to indicate that if V is \mathfrak{g}_{aff} integrable then $V \otimes V[\Gamma]$ is $\tilde{\tau}$ -integrable in the Lie algebra case. In the case of super affine, most of the integrable modules are trivial and one need to consider partial integrable modules. We will not address this case here.

So we suppose \mathfrak{g} is simple finite dimensional Lie algebra. Recall that Δ is a root system of \mathfrak{g} and $\tilde{\Delta} = \{\alpha + \delta_{\underline{m}}, \delta_{\underline{k}}, \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a root system of $\tilde{\tau}$. We call $\alpha + \delta_{\underline{m}}$ real if $\alpha \in \Delta$. We denote by $\tilde{\Delta}_{real}$ the set of all real roots. We call a $\tilde{\tau}$ module W integrable if all real root vectors act locally nilpotently on W .

We now take an integrable restricted module for \mathfrak{g}_{aff} of non-zero level and with finite dimensional weight spaces. Now by Theorem (1.10) of [E1] such a module is completely reducible. Thus we consider an irreducible integrable highest weight module V for \mathfrak{g}_{aff} . (Highest weight follows because we are assumeing the module is restricted.) We will now indicate how $V \otimes V(\Gamma)$ is integrable $\tilde{\tau}$ -module. The argument are very standard and hence we will only sketch the proof.

Consider the simple system $\pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ of \mathfrak{g} and let β be maximal root. Then let $\tilde{\pi} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l, -\beta + \delta_1, \dots, -\beta + \delta_n\}$ which can be thought of a simple system in the sense that \mathbb{Z} -linear span of $\tilde{\pi}$ is $\tilde{\Delta}$. It is not too difficult to check that $W \cdot \tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\Delta}_{real}$ where the Weyl group W is generated by reflections $\gamma_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \gamma_{\alpha_l}, \gamma_{-\beta + \delta_1}, \dots, \gamma_{-\beta + \delta_n}$. See [E3] for more details. Recall that $V(\lambda) = V \otimes e^{\lambda+Q} \otimes S(\mathfrak{a}_-)$ and in view of Proposition (5.2), we can assume $\lambda = 0$. It is easy to see that $v \otimes e^0 \otimes 1$ generates $V(\lambda)$ as $\tilde{\tau}$ -module where v is the highest weight vector of V . Now by Lemma 3.4(b) of [K1], to check nilpotency of a real root vector on $V(\lambda)$, it is sufficient to check on the generator. Now by Proposition 3 of section 6.1 of [MP] and the fact that $W \cdot \tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\Delta}_{real}$, it is sufficient to check local nilpotency of operators $X_0(\alpha_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, l, X_0(-\beta + \delta_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ and $X_1(-\beta)$ on the generator $v \otimes e^0 \otimes 1$. We already know that $X_0(\alpha_i)$ is locally nilpotent as it acts on the first component.

Consider for $i \neq n$.

$$\begin{aligned} & X_0(-\beta + \delta_i)v \otimes e^0 \otimes 1 \\ &= \sum_k X_k(-\beta)v \otimes X_{-k}(\delta_i)(e^0 \otimes 1) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} X_k(-\beta)v \otimes X_{-k}(\delta_i)e^0 \otimes 1 \text{ (Since } X_m(\delta_i).e^0 \otimes 1 = 0 \text{ for } m > 0 \\ & \text{by Lemma 5.1).} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.1).

$$= X_0(-\beta)v \otimes e^{\delta_i} \otimes 1 \text{ (as } X_k(-\beta)v \otimes = 0 \text{ for } k > 0)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (X_0(-\beta + \delta_i))^l (v \otimes e^0 \otimes 1) &= X_0(-\beta)^l v \otimes e^{\delta_i} \otimes 1 \\ &= 0 \text{ for } l \gg 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(-\beta)^l (v \otimes e^0 \otimes 1) &= X_1(-\beta)^l v \otimes e^0 \otimes 1 \\ &= 0 \text{ for } l \gg 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of integrability for the $\tilde{\tau}$ -module $V \otimes V(\Gamma)$.

(6.2) Remark Let V be a \mathfrak{g}_{aff} module which is in the category \mathcal{O} . (See [K1] for definition). Certainly V is restricted. We assume that V has finite dimensional weight space with respect to $\mathfrak{h}_{aff} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C} K_n \oplus \mathbb{C} \bar{d}_n$. Note that the eigenvalues of \bar{d}_n are bounded above. We will now prove that $V \otimes V(\Gamma)$ has finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum \mathbb{C} K_i \oplus \sum \mathbb{C} d_i$. Recall that the central element K_i acts as $\delta_i(0)$ for $i \neq n$ and K_n act as one. Consider $v \otimes e^{\lambda + \delta_{\underline{m}}} \otimes u, v \in V, u = a_1(-k_1) \cdots a_d(-k_d) \in S(\mathfrak{a}_-)$ and assume that it is $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ weight vector. We can assume $\lambda = l_1 d_1 + \cdots + l_{n-1} d_{n-1}$. Then by looking at the action of K_1, \cdots, K_{n-1} and d_1, \cdots, d_{n-1} we see that λ and $\delta_{\underline{m}}$ are fixed. Let $v = \sum v_i, \bar{d}_n v_i = k_i v_i$
Consider

$$D = d_n \cdot v_i \otimes e^{\lambda + \delta_{\underline{m}}} \otimes a_1(-l_1) \cdots a_d(-l_d)$$

and recall that $d_n = \bar{d}_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_0$ (See 3.5 for definition of L_0 and its action).

$$\text{Then } D = N v_i \otimes e^{\lambda + \delta_{\underline{m}}} \otimes a_1(-l_1) \cdots a_d(-l_d)$$

where $N = k_i - ((\lambda, \delta_{\underline{m}}) + \sum l_i)$ which is fixed constant. As k_i is bounded above and $-\sum_i l_i$ is bounded above we see that the possibilities for k_i and l_i are finite. This proves that the weight space is finite dimensional. In particular if V is a \mathfrak{h}_{aff} weight module than $V \otimes V(\Gamma)$ is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ weight module.

(6.3) Remark Suppose V and W are restricted \mathfrak{g}_{aff} - modules and suppose $f : V \rightarrow W$ a \mathfrak{g}_{aff} - module map. Then there exists $\tilde{f} : V \otimes V(\Gamma) \rightarrow W \otimes V(\Gamma)$ a $\tilde{\tau}$ - module map such that $\tilde{f}(v \otimes e^\gamma \otimes u) = f(v) \otimes e^\gamma \otimes u$. Follows from the definition of $\tilde{\tau}$ - module.

References

- [B] Y. Billig, *An extension of the KDV hierarchy arising from a representation of a toroidal Lie algebra*, Journal of Algebra, **217**, 40-64 (1999).
- [E1] S. Eswara Rao, *Complete reducibility of integrable modules for the affine Lie (super) algebras*, Journal of Algebra, **264**, 269-278 (2003)
- [E2] S. Eswara Rao, *Iterated loop modules and a filtration for vertex representation of toroidal Lie algebras*, Pacific Journal of mathematics, **171(2)**, 511-528 (1995).
- [E3] S. Eswara Rao, *Classification of irreducible integrable modules for toroidal Lie algebras with finite dimensional weight spaces*, Journal of Algebra, **277**, 318-348 (2004).
- [E4] S. Eswara Rao, *Representation of toroidal general linear superalgebras*, arxiv : 1104.1068 (2011). (To appear in Communications in Algebra)
- [EF] S. Eswara Rao and V. Futorny, *Integrable modules for affine Lie superalgebras*, Transactions of American mathematical Society, **361(10)**, 5435-5455 (2009).
- [EM] S. Eswara Rao and R.V. Moody, *Vertex representations for N-toroidal Lie algebras and a generalisation of the Virasoro algebra*, Communication in Mathematical Physics, **159**, 239-264 (1994).
- [EMY] S. Eswara Rao, R.V. Moody and T. Yokonuma, *Toroidal Lie algebras and Vertex representations*, Geometriae Dedicata, **35**, 283-307 (1990).
- [EZ] S. Eswara Rao and K. Zhao, *On integrable representations for toroidal Lie superalgebras*, Contemporary Mathematics, **343**, 243-261 (2004).
- [FG] R. Fioresi and F. Gavarini, *Chevelley supergroups*, arXiv: 0808.0785v7 (2010).
- [FJW] I.B. Frenkel, N. Jing and W. Wang, *Vertex representation via finite groups and the McKay correspondence*, International Math Research Notices *4*, 195-222 (2000)
- [FK] I. Frenkel and V. Kac, *Basic representations of affine Lie algebras and dual resonance modules*, Invent. Math. **62**, 23-66 (1980).
- [IKU] T. Inami, H. Kanno and T. Ueno, *Higher dimensional WZW model on Kahler manifold and toroidal Lie algebra*, Modern Physics Letters, **A 12**, 2757-2764 (1997).
- [IKUX] T. Inami, H. Kanno, T. Ueno and C.S. Xiong, *Two-toroidal Lie algebra as current algebra of four dimensional Kahler WZW module*, Physics Letters, **B 399**, 97-104 (1997).
- [IK] K. Iohara and Y. Koga, *Central extensions of Lie superalgebras*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, **76 (1)**, 110-154 (2001).

- [ISW] K. Iohara, Y. Saito and M. Wakimoto, *Hirota bilinear forms with 2-toroidal symmetry*, *Physics Letters*, **A 254**, 37-46 (1999).
- [IT] T. Ikeda and K. Takasaki, *Toroidal Lie algebra and Bogoyavlensky's 2+1-dimensional equation*, *International Math. Research Notices*, **7**, 329-369 (2001).
- [K1] V.G. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 3rd edition (1990).
- [K2] V.G. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, *Advances in Mathematics*, **26**, 8-96 (1977).
- [MP] R.V. Moody and A. Pianzola, *Lie algebras with triangular decompositions*, A Wiley Interscience publications (1995).