

Rotationally-Invariant Exchange Interaction: The Case of Paramagnetic Iron

V. I. Anisimov,^{1,2} A. S. Belozеров,^{1,2} A. I. Poteryaev,¹ and I. Leonov³

¹*Institute of Metal Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, 620990 Yekaterinburg, Russia*

²*Ural Federal University, 620990 Yekaterinburg, Russia*

³*Theoretical Physics III, Center for Electronic Correlations and Magnetism, Institute of Physics, University of Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany*

(Dated: November 13, 2018)

We present a generalization of the spin-fluctuation theory of magnetism which allows us to treat the full rotational invariance of the exchange interaction. The approach is formulated in terms of the local density approximation plus dynamical mean-field theory (LDA+DMFT), providing a systematic many-body treatment of the effect of spin-density fluctuations. This technique is employed to study the electronic and magnetic properties of paramagnetic α iron. Our result for the Curie temperature is in good agreement with experiment, while the calculations with the Ising-type exchange interaction yield almost twice overestimated value.

PACS numbers: 71.15.Mb, 71.20.Be, 71.27.+a

The theoretical description of metallic magnets, especially those containing transition metals, is one of the central problems in condensed matter physics. Even today, in view of the great technological importance of such materials, a detailed understanding of their electronic, magnetic, and structural properties at finite temperatures remains problematic. This is mostly due to the presence of local magnetic moments above the magnetic ordering temperature which complicates the problem considerably and reduces the predictive power of first-principles calculations. Various properties of metallic magnets can be understood by using the spin-fluctuation theory [1] with its most general form based on a functional integral formulation [2]. This formulation was employed to describe the formation of local moments in paramagnetic metals [3] by reducing the many-body problem to a one-particle problem in a fluctuating external magnetic field and then evaluating the functional average. Stimulated by these results, different analytical and numerical methods have been developed, e.g. the well-known quantum Monte Carlo techniques [4, 5]. By taking into account fluctuation corrections to the mean-field approximation, the spin-fluctuation theory have shown to provide a good qualitative description of the Curie-Weiss law behavior of magnetic susceptibility. However, applications of this technique to describe, e.g., the α - γ phase transition in iron, do not lead to satisfactory results [6]. In particular, it predicts the bcc-fcc phase transition to occur below the Curie temperature, T_C , while, in fact, this phase transition occurs 150 K above T_C .

The LDA+DMFT method [7], a combination of the *ab initio* local density approximation (LDA) of the density functional theory and dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT), nowadays has become a state-of-the-art approach for the calculation of the electronic and magnetic properties of correlated electron compounds [8]. Applications of the LDA+DMFT to study transition metal

compounds have shown to give a good quantitative description of localized as well as itinerant electron states [9–11]. These calculations predict the correct values of the local magnetic moment and magnetization, while the magnetic transition temperature turns out to be significantly overestimated. It was proposed that this is caused by the single-site nature of the DMFT approach, which is not able to capture the reduction of magnetic transition temperature due to long wavelength spin waves [9]. Nevertheless, the substantial overestimation of the magnetic transition temperature was also observed in other compounds, even in those where non-local spin fluctuations are negligible [10]. This implies that the approximate form of the local Coulomb repulsion, restricted to the Ising-type exchange interaction, can be the reason of this systematic overestimation. The recently proposed continuous-time quantum Monte Carlo algorithms [12] as well as some other quantum impurity solvers [13] allow one to treat the Coulomb interaction in its general form retaining rotational symmetry of spin. However, applications of these techniques so far have been limited to simple model systems due to the high computational costs. Therefore, only the density-density part of the Coulomb repulsion, that implies the Ising form of the exchange interaction, has been employed in the most material specific calculations of correlated electron materials. However, as we will show below on the example of paramagnetic iron, the retaining of spin rotational symmetry is crucial for the correct description of the magnetic properties.

In this Letter, we present the spin-fluctuation theory of magnetism which is formulated in the framework of the LDA+DMFT method. The approach provides a systematic treatment of the effect of local electronic correlations by reducing the many-body problem to the functional integral over a fluctuating magnetic field on an effective impurity. The spin-fluctuation theory is generalized by replacing a scalar fluctuating magnetic field to

a vector one. This allows one to take into account the full rotational invariance of the exchange interaction instead of the approximate Ising-type form. The proposed method is employed to study the electronic and magnetic properties of paramagnetic α iron, resulting in the Curie temperature value which is in good agreement with experiment.

We start with the simple Hamiltonian of the Coulomb interaction in the following form

$$\widehat{H}_{\text{Coul}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu, \nu, \sigma} U \widehat{n}_{\mu\sigma} \widehat{n}_{\nu\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\mu, \nu, \sigma \\ \mu \neq \nu}} (U - J) \widehat{n}_{\mu\sigma} \widehat{n}_{\nu\sigma}, \quad (1)$$

where $\widehat{n}_{\mu\sigma}$ denotes the electron number operator with the spin σ ($=\uparrow, \downarrow$) at the orbital μ . Using the total electron number operator, $\widehat{N} = \sum_{\mu\sigma} \widehat{n}_{\mu\sigma}$, and the z -projection of the spin operator, $\widehat{S}_z = \sum_{\mu} (\widehat{n}_{\mu\uparrow} - \widehat{n}_{\mu\downarrow})/2$, the Hamiltonian can be rewritten as

$$\widehat{H}_{\text{Coul}} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{U} \widehat{N} (\widehat{N} - 1) + \frac{1}{4} J \widehat{N} - J \widehat{S}_z^2, \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{U} = U - J/2$ is the average value of the Coulomb interaction. This Hamiltonian represents the density-density part of the Coulomb interaction and contains the exchange interaction in the Ising-type form. To restore the spin rotational symmetry, one should replace the z -projection of the spin operator, \widehat{S}_z , to the vector spin operator, $\widehat{\vec{S}}$. Therefore, the Hamiltonian with the rotationally-invariant exchange interaction reads

$$\widehat{H}_{\text{Coul}} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{U} \widehat{N} (\widehat{N} - 1) + \frac{1}{4} J \widehat{N} - J \widehat{\vec{S}}^2. \quad (3)$$

Following the spin-fluctuation theory, we neglect the charge fluctuations but preserve the spin dynamics (magnetic moment fluctuations). Employing the static mean-field approximation for the first term in Eq. (3) and introducing the double counting correction for the Coulomb interaction, the Hamiltonian of the system can be expressed as

$$\widehat{H} = \widehat{H}_{\text{LDA}} + \bar{U} (n_d - n_{d0}) \widehat{N} - J \widehat{\vec{S}}^2, \quad (4)$$

where \widehat{H}_{LDA} is the LDA Hamiltonian, $n_d = \langle \widehat{N} \rangle$ is the average number of $3d$ electrons, and n_{d0} is the LDA value for n_d .

In the DMFT approach the lattice problem with the Hamiltonian (4) is mapped onto a quantum impurity model. Using the general form of the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation [2], the partition function can be expressed as a functional integral

$$Z = \int D\vec{\xi}(\tau) \exp\left[-\frac{\pi}{\beta} \int_0^\beta \vec{\xi}^2(\tau) d\tau\right] Z(\vec{\xi}), \quad (5)$$

where

$$Z(\vec{\xi}) = \text{Tr} \left\{ T_\tau \exp\left[-\beta \widehat{H}_{\text{LDA}} - \beta \bar{U} (n_d - n_{d0}) \widehat{N} + 2c \int_0^\beta \vec{\xi}(\tau) \widehat{\vec{S}} d\tau\right] \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Here, T_τ denotes the time ordering operator, β the inverse temperature, and $c = \sqrt{\pi J/\beta}$. Function $\vec{\xi}(\tau)$ stands for an effective magnetic field resulting in the potential $\widehat{V}(\tau) = 2c \vec{\xi}(\tau) \widehat{\vec{S}}$. The functional integral over all fluctuating fields gives a solution of the impurity problem.

In the functional integral formulation of the conventional spin-fluctuation theory, the fluctuating magnetic field in Eq. (5) is considered to be scalar. The generalization to a vector field corresponds to the transition from Eq. (2) to Eq. (3) and allows one to take into account the spin-rotational symmetry, thereby extending the theory from the Ising-type exchange interaction to the full rotationally-invariant one. Dividing the imaginary time interval $[0, \beta]$ on L slices of length $\Delta\tau$ and using the Trotter breakup for the exponential operator in Eq. (6), the partition function $Z(\vec{\xi})$ for a given $\vec{\xi}(\tau)$ can be expressed as

$$Z(\vec{\xi}) \simeq \text{Tr} \left\{ T_\tau \prod_{l=1}^L (\exp[-\Delta\tau \widehat{H}_0] \exp[\widehat{V}(\tau_l)]) \right\}, \quad (7)$$

where $\widehat{H}_0 = \widehat{H}_{\text{LDA}} + U(n_d - n_{d0}) \widehat{N}$ is the $\vec{\xi}$ -independent part of the Hamiltonian. These equations are similar to those of the Hirsch-Fye quantum Monte Carlo (HF-QMC) method [5]. The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \sum_{\{\vec{\xi}\}} \exp\left[-\frac{\pi}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L \vec{\xi}^2(\tau_l)\right] \prod_{\mu} \det[G_{\mu}^{-1}(\vec{\xi})]. \quad (8)$$

However, instead of a single spin-flip as in the HF-QMC method, here one should stochastically change the value of the field $\vec{\xi}(\tau)$ for a random value of imaginary time. Due to the rotational symmetry of the exchange interaction, the interacting Green function becomes non-diagonal in spin indexes. The computational scheme where the partition function is calculated with an auxiliary vector magnetic field is referred below as \vec{J} -QMC. By taking into account only the z -component of the field, the approximate form of the local Coulomb interaction, limited to the Ising-type exchange interaction, is assumed (referred as J_z -QMC).

Elemental iron is one of the most famous itinerant-electron ferromagnets which exhibits localized moment behavior above the Curie temperature, T_C . Although various properties of the low-temperature ferromagnetic state of Fe can be understood within the density-functional theory [14], applications of these techniques to describe the *paramagnetic* state do not lead to satisfactory results. Clearly, an overall understanding of the properties of iron requires a formalism which takes into account the existence of local magnetic moments above T_C [15]. Recent applications of the LDA+DMFT have shown to provide a qualitatively correct description of the




FIG. 1. (Color online) Partial densities of states obtained by the \vec{J} -QMC (top panel) and HF-QMC (bottom panel) calculations within LDA+DMFT. The Fermi level is indicated by the vertical (gray) line at zero energy. Insets: imaginary parts of the self-energies.

electronic, magnetic, and structural properties of paramagnetic iron [9, 11, 16–18]. However, a quantitative agreement has been achieved only in terms of the reduced temperature T/T_C , while the calculated Curie temperature [9] was found to be about twice larger than the experimental value of 1043 K [19]. The average Coulomb interaction in the Fe $3d$ shell is considerably smaller than the bandwidth, showing no evidence for the formation of Hubbard bands in the spectral function. However, due to the strong exchange interaction [11], the local magnetic moments are formed that is accompanied by the loss of coherence for the metallic states due to the scattering of electrons on the fluctuating spins. The charge fluctuations in paramagnetic iron are of high frequency with the $3d$ electrons being far from the localization limit. These arguments make iron an ideal candidate for our study.

To calculate the electronic structure of paramagnetic α iron within the LDA, the tight-binding linear muffin-tin orbital (TB-LMTO) method was employed [20]. The low-energy Hamiltonian containing the $4s$, $4p$, and $3d$ states has been constructed with use of the N th-order muffin-tin orbital (NMTO) method [21]. In our calculations, we used the value of the screened Coulomb interaction, $U = 2.3$ eV, and the value of Hund’s exchange, $J = 0.9$ eV, which are consistent with the previous estimations [9, 11, 16, 22].

In Fig. 1 we present the partial densities of states and the corresponding imaginary parts of the self-energies obtained by the LDA+DMFT at $\beta = 10$ eV $^{-1}$. The




FIG. 2. (Color online) Spin-spin correlation functions on the real and imaginary energy (inset) axes calculated by the HF-QMC and \vec{J} -QMC within LDA+DMFT.

HF-QMC and \vec{J} -QMC calculations give quantitatively similar results, reproducing the splitting in the density of states of the e_g -orbitals near the Fermi level caused by exchange interaction [11]. The splitting in the density of states of the t_{2g} -orbitals is found to occur in the LDA calculation and hence can be attributed to the band-structure effects. In both approaches, the self-energies for the t_{2g} orbitals remain Fermi-liquid-like, while the ones for the e_g orbitals diverge at low frequencies. The latter indicates the formation of local magnetic moments that implies more incoherent e_g states and itinerant t_{2g} states [11]. We note that at low frequencies the self-energies obtained by the \vec{J} -QMC method are close to those of the HF-QMC. This indicates that the physics near the Fermi level is dominated by the spin fluctuations while the charge fluctuations play a minor role.

In Fig. 2 we show our results for the orbitally-resolved spin-spin correlation functions on the real and imaginary energy axes. In both approaches, the non-Fermi-liquid behavior of the e_g electrons yields a pronounced peak at zero energy of the real energy axis indicating the presence of local magnetic moments. The satisfactory agreement of the results obtained by the HF-QMC and \vec{J} -QMC methods suggests that the effect of the charge fluctuations, neglected within the \vec{J} -QMC approach, is minor.

To proceed further we compute the uniform magnetic susceptibility as a response to an external magnetic field. The temperature dependence of the inverse uniform magnetic susceptibility obtained by the LDA+DMFT shows a linear behavior at high temperatures (Fig. 3). This indicates the presence of local magnetic moments and corresponds to the Curie-Weiss law, $\chi^{-1} = 3(T - T_C)/\mu_{eff}^2$, where T_C is the Curie temperature, μ_{eff} the effective local magnetic moment. The results of the least-square fit to the Curie-Weiss law are shown in Fig. 3 by the straight lines. It is clearly seen that the HF-QMC method, limited to the Ising-type exchange interaction, overestimates




FIG. 3. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the inverse uniform magnetic susceptibility obtained by the LDA+DMFT. The straight lines depict the least-squares fit to the Curie-Weiss law. The experimental value of $T_C = 1043$ K is denoted by (black) arrow. The experimental value of the local magnetic moment is $\mu_{\text{eff}}^{\text{exp}} = 3.13 \mu_B$ [19].

the Curie temperature value almost twice. The J_z -QMC approach, which has the Ising-type exchange interaction, gives a slightly smaller value of the T_C than the HF-QMC. This confirms the validity of the static approximation for the charge degrees of freedom. Taking into account the full rotationally-invariant exchange interaction, our calculations result in a substantial decrease of the T_C value, which is now found to be in satisfactory agreement with experiment. These findings are compatible with the results of the recent two-band model studies [23].

In conclusion, we presented a generalization of the spin fluctuation-theory of magnetism which allows one to take into account the full rotational invariance of the exchange interaction. The approach is formulated in terms of the LDA+DMFT method, providing a systematic many-body treatment of the effect of spin-density fluctuations. We employed this new technique to study the electronic and magnetic properties of α iron. Our results agree well with experiment and show that the overestimation of the Curie temperature by LDA+DMFT is mostly related to the approximate (Ising-type) treatment of the exchange Coulomb interaction rather than to the single-site nature of the DMFT.

The authors thank D. Vollhardt, A. Lichtenstein, A. Rubtsov, and A. Millis for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Projects Nos. 10-02-00046a, 12-02-91371-CT-a), the fund of the President of the Russian Federation for the support of scientific schools NSH-6172.2012.2, the Program of the Russian Academy of Science Presidium “Quantum microphysics of condensed matter”. Support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through

TRR 80 and FOR 1346 is gratefully acknowledged.

-
- [1] T. Moriya, *Spin Fluctuations in Itinerant Electron Magnetism* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985).
 - [2] R. L. Stratonovich, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **115**, 1097 (1957); J. Hubbard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **3**, 77 (1959).
 - [3] S. Q. Wang, W. E. Evenson, and R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 92 (1969).
 - [4] R. Blankenbecler, D. J. Scalapino, and R. L. Sugar, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 2278 (1981).
 - [5] J. E. Hirsch and R. M. Fye, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 2521 (1986).
 - [6] H. Hasegawa and D. G. Pettifor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 130 (1983).
 - [7] V. Anisimov *et al.*, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **9**, 7359 (1997); A. I. Lichtenstein and M. I. Katsnelson, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 6884 (1998).
 - [8] G. Kotliar and D. Vollhardt, Phys. Today **57**, 53 (2004); G. Kotliar *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 865 (2006); K. Held *et al.*, Phys. Status Solidi B **243**, 2599 (2006); K. Held, Adv. Phys. **56**, 829 (2007); V. Anisimov and Y. Izyumov, *Electronic Structure of Strongly Correlated Materials* (Springer, Berlin, 2010).
 - [9] A. I. Lichtenstein, M. I. Katsnelson, and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 067205 (2001).
 - [10] J. Kunes *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 146402 (2009); A. S. Belozеров, A. I. Poteryaev, and V. I. Anisimov, JETP Lett. **93**, 73 (2011).
 - [11] A. A. Katanin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 045117 (2010).
 - [12] A. N. Rubtsov, V. V. Savkin, and A. I. Lichtenstein, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 035122 (2005); P. Werner *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 076405 (2006); A. M. Lauchli and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 235117 (2009); E. Gull *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 349 (2011).
 - [13] M. Caffarel and W. Krauth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1545 (1994); R. Bulla, T. A. Costi, and T. Pruschke, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 395 (2008).
 - [14] S. Y. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 2570 (1998); D. J. Singh, W. E. Pickett, and H. Krakauer, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 11628 (1991); L. Stixrude, R. E. Cohen, and D. J. Singh, *ibid.* **50**, 6442 (1994); A. Dal Corso and S. de Gironcoli, *ibid.* **62**, 273 (2000).
 - [15] J. Hubbard, Phys. Rev. B **19**, 2626 (1979); *ibid.* **20**, 4584 (1979).
 - [16] M. Katsnelson and A. Lichtenstein, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **11**, 1037 (1999).
 - [17] M. I. Katsnelson and A. I. Lichtenstein, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 8906 (2000).
 - [18] I. Leonov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 106405 (2011); I. Leonov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 020401(R) (2012).
 - [19] *Ferromagnetic Materials*, edited by E. P. Wolfarth (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986), Vol. 1.
 - [20] O. K. Andersen and O. Jepsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2571 (1984); O. K. Andersen, Z. Pawłowska, and O. Jepsen, Phys. Rev. B **34**, 5253 (1986).
 - [21] O. K. Andersen and T. Saha-Dasgupta, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 16219 (2000).
 - [22] M. Cococcioni and S. de Gironcoli, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 035105 (2005).
 - [23] S. Sakai *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 155102 (2006); A. E. Antipov, M. S. Aleinikov, and V. I. Anisimov, JETP Lett. **94**, 126 (2011).