

Subelliptic $\text{Spin}_{\mathbb{C}}$ Dirac Operators, IV

Proof of the Relative Index Conjecture

Charles L. Epstein*
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Pennsylvania

Date: February 29, 2012

Abstract

We prove the relative index conjecture, which in turn implies that the set of embeddable deformations of a strictly pseudoconvex CR-structure on a compact 3-manifold is closed in the C^{∞} -topology.

1 Proof of the Relative Index Conjecture

In this short paper, which continues the analysis presented in [3], we show how the formula for the relative index between two Szegő projectors $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1$, defined by two embeddable CR-structures on a contact 3-manifold (Y, H) , gives a proof of the relative index conjecture:

Theorem 1. *Let (Y, H) be a compact 3-dimensional co-oriented, contact manifold, and let \mathcal{S}_0 be the Szegő projector defined by an embeddable CR-structure with underlying plane field H . There is an M such that for the Szegő projector \mathcal{S}_1 defined by any embeddable deformation of the reference structure with the same underlying plane field, we have the upper bound:*

$$\text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1) \leq M. \quad (1)$$

*Keywords: strictly pseudoconvex surface, contact manifold, embeddable CR-structure, Stein filling, index formula, relative index conjecture, Stipsicz conjecture. Research partially supported by NSF grants DMS02-03795, DMS06-03973, and the Thomas A. Scott chair. E-mail: cle@math.upenn.edu

Recall that the deformations of a reference CR-structure, $T_b^{0,1}Y$, on (Y, H) are parameterized by

$$\text{Def}(Y, H, \mathcal{S}_0) = \{\Phi \in C^\infty(Y; \text{Hom}(T_b^{0,1}Y, T_b^{1,0}Y)) : \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty} < 1\}, \quad (2)$$

via the prescription:

$${}^\Phi T_{b,y}^{0,1}Y = \{\bar{Z}_y + \Phi_y(\bar{Z}_y) : \bar{Z}_y \in T_{b,y}^{0,1}Y\}. \quad (3)$$

Here and in the sequel we often use the Szegő projector to label a CR-structure. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \text{Def}(Y, H, \mathcal{S}_0)$ consist of the embeddable deformations, that is, CR-structures arising as pseudoconvex boundaries of complex surfaces. In [2] we showed that if \mathcal{S}_0 is Szegő projector defined by the reference CR-structure and \mathcal{S}_1 that defined by an embeddable deformation, then the map

$$\mathcal{S}_1 : \text{Im } \mathcal{S}_0 \longrightarrow \text{Im } \mathcal{S}_1 \quad (4)$$

is a Fredholm operator. $\text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1)$ denotes its Fredholm index, which we call the *relative index*. In the proof of Theorem E in [2] we showed that, for each $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and any $\delta > 0$, the subsets of $\text{Def}(Y, H, \mathcal{S}_0)$ given by

$$\mathfrak{S}_m^\delta = \{\mathcal{S}_1 \in \text{Def}(Y, H, \mathcal{S}_0) : -\infty < \text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1) \leq m\} \text{ and } \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} - \delta, \quad (5)$$

are closed in the C^∞ -topology. In fact, we show that there is an integer k_0 , so that this conclusion holds for a sequence $\langle \Phi_n \rangle$ converging to Φ in the C^{k_0} -norm.

Combining (1) with Theorem E of [2] we prove:

Corollary 1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, the set of embeddable deformations of the CR-structure on Y is closed in the C^∞ -topology.*

Proof of the Corollary. Suppose that $\langle \Phi_n \rangle$ is a sequence of embeddable deformations in $\mathcal{E} \subset \text{Def}(Y, H, \mathcal{S}_0)$ converging to $\Phi \in \text{Def}(Y, H, \mathcal{S}_0)$, in the C^∞ -topology. We first observe that $\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty} < 1$.

Let Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 be deformations of the reference structure, with local representations

$$\Psi_j = \psi_j Z \otimes \bar{\omega}. \quad (6)$$

The local representation of Ψ_2 as a deformation of Ψ_1 is given by

$$\psi_{21} = \frac{\psi_2 - \psi_1}{1 - \overline{\psi_1} \psi_2}, \quad (7)$$

see equation (5.5) in [2][I]. We can represent Φ as a deformation of any of the structures in the sequence. From equation (7) it is clear that there an integer N so

that, as deformations of Φ_N , a tail of the sequence and its limit lie in the L^∞ -ball in $\text{Def}(Y, H, \mathcal{S}_N)$, centered at 0, of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Theorem 1 shows that there is an M so that

$$\text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_N, \mathcal{S}_n) \leq M, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (8)$$

Theorem E from [2] then implies that the limiting structure Φ is also embeddable, completing the proof of the corollary. \square

Before proving Theorem 1 we recall the formula for the relative index proved in [3]:

Theorem 2. *Let (Y, H) be a compact 3-dimensional co-oriented, contact manifold, and let $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1$ be Szegő projectors for embeddable CR-structures with underlying plane field H . Suppose that $(X_0, J_0), (X_1, J_1)$ are strictly pseudoconvex complex manifolds with boundaries $(Y, H, \mathcal{S}_0), (Y, H, \mathcal{S}_1)$, respectively, then*

$$\text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1) = \dim H^{0,1}(X_0, J_0) - \dim H^{0,1}(X_1, J_1) + \frac{\text{sig}[X_0] - \text{sig}[X_1] + \chi[X_0] - \chi[X_1]}{4}. \quad (9)$$

Here $\text{sig}[X]$ is the signature of the non-degenerate quadratic form,

$$([\alpha], [\beta]) \mapsto \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta, \quad (10)$$

defined for $[\alpha], [\beta] \in \widehat{H}^2(X)$, the image of $H^2(X, bX)$ in $H^2(X)$, and $\chi[X]$ is the topological Euler characteristic:

$$\chi[X] = \sum_{j=0}^4 b_j(X)(-1)^j, \text{ where } b_j(X) = \dim H_j(X; \mathbb{Q}). \quad (11)$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let X_1 be a minimal resolution of the normal Stein space with boundary (Y, H, \mathcal{S}_1) . It follows from a theorem of Bogomolov and De Oliveira that there is a small perturbation of the complex structure on X_1 making it into a Stein manifold, see [1]. Hence it follows that X_1 , with a deformed complex structure, has a strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function, and therefore X_1 has the homotopy type of a 2-dimensional CW-complex. Thus expanding the formula in (9) gives:

$$\text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1) = C_0 - \dim H^{0,1}(X_1, J_1) - \frac{\text{sig}[X_1] + 1 - b_1(X_1) + b_2(X_1)}{4}, \quad (12)$$

where C_0 denotes the contribution of the terms from the reference structure:

$$C_0 = H^{0,1}(X_0, J_0) + \frac{\text{sig}[X_0] + \chi(X_0)}{4}. \quad (13)$$

The fact that X_1 is homotopic to a 2-complex implies that $b_1(X_1) \leq b_1(Y)$, see [5]. As $\text{sig}[X_1]$ is the signature of the cup product pairing on $\widehat{H}^2(X_1)$, it is evident that

$$|\text{sig}[X_1]| \leq \dim \widehat{H}^2(X_1) \leq \dim H^2(X_1, bX_1) = b_2(X_1). \quad (14)$$

The last equality is a consequence of the Lefschetz duality theorem. Hence $0 \leq b_2(X_1) + \text{sig}[X_1]$, and therefore

$$\text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1) \leq C_0 + \frac{b_1(Y) - 1}{4}. \quad (15)$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remarks on the Ozbagci-Stipsicz Conjecture: Note that

$$\text{sig}[X_1] + b_2(X_2) = 2b_2^+(X_1) + b_2^0(X_1),$$

where $b_2^+(X_1)$ is the dimension of the space on which the pairing in (10) is positive and $b_2^0(X_1)$ is the dimension of the kernel of the map $H^2(X_1, bX_1) \rightarrow H^2(X_1)$. A global bound on $|\text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1)|$, among all Szegő projectors \mathcal{S}_1 defined by elements of \mathcal{E} , is therefore equivalent to an upper bound for $b_2^+(X_1) + b_2^0(X_1) + \dim H^{0,1}(X_1)$, among all Stein spaces, X_1 filling (Y, H) . The existence of an upper bound on $b_2^+(X_1) + b_2^0(X_1)$ was conjectured by Ozbagci and Stipsicz, and proved in some special cases, see [5].

The fact, proved in [2], that $\text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1) \geq 0$, for sufficiently small deformations shows that, for such deformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^{0,1}(X_1) + \frac{2b_2^+(X_1) + b_2^0(X_1)}{4} &\leq \\ \dim H^{0,1}(X_0) + \frac{2b_2^+(X_0) + b_2^0(X_0) + b_1(Y) - b_1(X_0)}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In [5] Stipsicz shows that for any Stein filling of (Y, H) , we have the estimate $b_2^0(X_1) \leq b_1(Y)$, as well as the existence of a constant $K_{(Y,H)}$ so that

$$b_2^-(X_1) \leq 5b_2^+(X_1) + 2 - K_{(Y,H)} + 2b_1(Y). \quad (17)$$

These estimates, along with (16) prove a ‘‘germ’’ form of the Ozbagci–Stipsicz conjecture: among sufficiently small, embeddable deformations of the CR-structure on the boundary of a strictly pseudoconvex surface, the set of numbers

$$\{b_1(X_1), \sigma(X_1), \chi(X_1)\}$$

is finite. The notion of smallness here depends in a complicated way on the reference CR-structure.

Our results suggest a strategy for proving a lower bound on $\text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1)$, among deformations Φ with $\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty} < 1 - \epsilon$, for an $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose that no such bound exists, one could then choose a sequence $\langle \Phi_n \rangle \subset \mathcal{E}$ for which $\text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_n)$ tends to $-\infty$. A contradiction would follow immediately if we could show that $\langle \Phi_n \rangle$ is bounded in the \mathcal{C}^{k_0+1} -norm.

While such an *a priori* bound seems unlikely for the original sequence, it would suffice to replace the sequence $\langle \Phi_n \rangle$ with a “wiggle-equivalent” sequence. Let M_n denote a projective surface containing $(Y, \Phi_n T_b^{0,1} Y)$ as a separating hypersurface, see [4]. An equivalent sequence with better regularity might be obtained by wiggling the hypersurfaces defined by $(Y, \Phi_n T_b^{0,1} Y)$ within M_n , perhaps using some sort of heat-flow. After composing the resultant deformations with contact transformations, we might be able to obtain a sequence $\langle \Phi'_n \rangle$ with $\text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}'_n) = \text{R-Ind}(\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_n)$ that does satisfy an *a priori* \mathcal{C}^{k_0+1} -bound. Such an argument would seem to require an improved understanding of the metric geometry of $\text{Def}(Y, H, \mathcal{S}_0)$, as well as the relationship of an abstract deformation to the local extrinsic geometry of Y as a hypersurface in M_n .

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Sylvain Cappell for helping me with some topological calculations, and the Courant Institute for their hospitality during the completion of this work.

References

- [1] F. A. BOGOMOLOV AND B. DE OLIVEIRA, *Stein small deformations of strictly pseudoconvex surfaces*, in *Birational Algebraic Geometry* (Baltimore, MD, 1996), vol. 207 of *Contemp. Math.*, Providence, RI, 1997, Amer. Math. Soc., pp. 25–41.
- [2] C. L. EPSTEIN, *A relative index on the space of embeddable CR-structures, I, II*, *Annals of Math.*, 147 (1998), pp. 1–59, 61–91.
- [3] ———, *Subelliptic $\text{Spin}_{\mathbb{C}}$ Dirac operators, III*, *Annals of Math.*, 167 (2008), pp. 1–67.
- [4] L. LEMPert, *Algebraic approximation in analytic geometry*, *Inv. Math.*, 121 (1995), pp. 335–354.
- [5] A. I. STIPSICZ, *On the geography of Stein fillings of certain 3-manifolds*, *Michigan Math. J.*, 51 (2003), pp. 327–337.