

ON FAST AND SLOW TIMES IN MODELS WITH DIFFUSION

M.DE ANGELIS - A.M.MONTE - P.RENNO ¹*Facoltà di Ingegneria, Dip. di Mat. e Appl., via Claudio 21, 80125, Napoli, Italy.*

The linear Kelvin-Voigt operator \mathcal{L}_ε is a typical example of wave operator \mathcal{L}_0 perturbed by higher - order viscous terms as εu_{xxt} . If \mathcal{P}_ε is a prefixed boundary - value problem for \mathcal{L}_ε , when $\varepsilon = 0$ \mathcal{L}_ε turns into \mathcal{L}_0 and \mathcal{P}_ε into a problem \mathcal{P}_0 with the *same* initial - boundary conditions of \mathcal{P}_ε . Boundary - layers are missing and the related control terms depending on the *fast time* are negligible. In a *small time* - interval, the wave behavior is a realistic approximation of u_ε when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. On the contrary, when t is large, diffusion effects should prevail and the behavior of u_ε for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$ should be analyzed. For this, a suitable functional correspondence between the Green functions \mathcal{G}_ε and \mathcal{G}_0 of \mathcal{P}_ε and \mathcal{P}_0 is achieved and its asymptotic behavior is rigorously examined. For this, a suitable functional correspondence between the Green functions \mathcal{G}_ε and \mathcal{G}_0 of \mathcal{P}_ε and \mathcal{P}_0 is derived and its asymptotic behavior is rigorously examined. As consequence, the interaction between diffusion effects and pure waves is evaluated by means of the *slow time* εt ; the main results show that in time - intervals as $(\varepsilon, 1/\varepsilon)$ pure waves are propagated nearly undisturbed, while damped oscillations predominate as from the instant $t > 1/\varepsilon$.

Green's Function, Partial differential equations, Viscoelastic models, Singular perturbations.

1. Introduction

Consider the class of dissipative phenomena described by the following model:

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \equiv (\varepsilon \partial_{xxt} + c^2 \partial_{xx} - \partial_{tt})u_\varepsilon = f, \quad (1.1)$$

where ε, c are positive constants and the source term f may be linear or not. In the linear case, when a prefixed boundary-initial problem \mathcal{P}_ε is stated, the knowledge of the related Green function G_ε allows to solve explicitly \mathcal{P}_ε . When the function f is not linear, then G_ε represents the explicit kernel of the integral equation to which the problem \mathcal{P}_ε can be reduced.

Two typical examples in the non linear case are:

- i) For $f = au_t + b \sin u$, the equation (1.1) is the perturbed Sine-Gordon equation which models the Josephson effect in Superconductivity [1].
- ii) For $f = f(u_x, u_{xt}, u_{xx})$, the equation (1.1) is the Navier-Stokes equation for a compressible gas with small viscosity [2].

Moreover, as for the artificial viscosity methods, (1.1) represents a model of wave equations perturbed by viscous terms with a small parameter ε [3], [4]. In this framework, the behaviour of u_ε as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ must be examined and the interaction

¹E-mail: modeange@unina.it, amonte@unina.it, renno@unina.it

of pure waves with the diffusion effects caused by εu_{xxt} must be estimated. This interaction is meaningful in the evolution of many dissipative models (viscoelastic liquids or solids [5] - [9], real gases with viscosity [10], magnetohydrodynamic fluids [11].)

For $\varepsilon \equiv 0$, the parabolic equation (1.1) turns into the wave equation

$$\mathcal{L}_0 u_0 \equiv (c^2 \partial_{xx} - \partial_{tt}) u_0 = f, \quad (1.2)$$

and \mathcal{P}_ε changes into a problem \mathcal{P}_0 for u_0 , with the *same initial-boundary* conditions of \mathcal{P}_ε . So, boundary-layers are missing, but the approximation of u_ε by u_0 is rough, because in large time-intervals the diffusion effects are dominant. As consequence, the asymptotic behaviour of u_ε should depend on the *slow time* εt typical of the diffusion; on the contrary, boundary layer control terms characterized by the *fast time* t/ε , should be negligible.

Aim of the paper is to derive and analyze an appropriate functional relation between G_ε and the wave Green function G_0 related to \mathcal{P}_0 (Th. 3.1). By means of this transformation, a rigorous asymptotic analysis of G_ε is achieved (Th. 4.1), and G_ε is approximated by solutions $v(x, t)$ of the second-order *diffusion-wave* equations

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} v_{xx} = v_t + cv_x, \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{2} v_{xx} = v_t - cv_x \quad (1.3)$$

which correspond to the *heat equations* $v_{yy} = v_\theta$, where the time - variable θ is just the slow - time ($\theta = \varepsilon t$) and the space-variables $y = x \pm ct$ are related to traveling or backward waves.

The physical meaning of the above analysis is clarified by the explicit solution related to the case $f = 0$ (n.5). Except errors of order $O(\varepsilon/t)$, this relationship is

$$u_\varepsilon(x, t) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{c^2(\tau-t)^2}{2\varepsilon t}} u_0(x, \tau) d\tau, \quad (1.4)$$

and it clearly shows the interaction between diffusion and wave propagation. In the time - interval $(\varepsilon, \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$, when $\varepsilon t < 1$, pure waves propagate almost without perturbation; while as from the instant $t > 1/\varepsilon$, damped oscillations predominate.

Let us remark, generally, asymptotic theories are developed for models with first and second order operators as documented in [12] and [13]. For these operators, in fact, numerous maximum theorems for the rigorous estimate of the remainder are well known.

As for the third - order model (1.1), the error of the approximation has been examined by means of the functional relation (3.1).

2. Statement of the problem

If $u_\varepsilon(x, t)$ is a function defined in the strip

$$\Omega = \{(x, t) : 0 \leq x \leq l, \quad t > 0\},$$

let \mathcal{P}_ε the initial- boundary value problem related to the Eq. (1.1) with conditions

$$\begin{cases} u_\varepsilon(x, 0) = f_0(x), & \partial_t u_\varepsilon(x, 0) = f_1(x), & x \in [0, l], \\ u_\varepsilon(0, t) = \varphi(t), & u_\varepsilon(l, t) = \psi(t), & t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where f_0, f_1, φ, ψ are arbitrary given data.

Boundary conditions (2.1)₂ represent only an example of the analysis we are going to apply; *flux-boundary* conditions or *mixed boundary* conditions could be considered too. Further, as $f(x, t), f_0(x), f_1(x)$ are quite arbitrary, it is not restrictive assuming $\varphi = 0, \psi = 0$; otherwise, it suffices to put

$$\bar{u}_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon - \frac{x}{l}\psi - \frac{l-x}{l}\varphi; \quad \bar{f} = f + \frac{x}{l}\ddot{\psi} + \frac{l-x}{l}\ddot{\varphi} \quad (2.2)$$

and to modify $f_0(x), f_1(x)$ consequently.

Let $\hat{z}(s)$ denote the Laplace transform of the function $z(t)$ and let

$$\hat{F}(x, s) = \hat{f}(x, s) - sf_0(x) - f_1(x). \quad (2.3)$$

Further, if $\sigma(\varepsilon) = s(\varepsilon s + c^2)^{-1/2}$, let

$$\hat{g}(y, s) = \frac{\cosh[(l-y)\sigma]}{2(\varepsilon s + c^2)\sigma \sinh(l\sigma)} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\hat{G}_\varepsilon(\xi, x, s) = \hat{g}(|x - \xi|, s) - \hat{g}(|x + \xi|, s). \quad (2.5)$$

Then, the Laplace transform \hat{u}_ε of the solution of the problem \mathcal{P}_ε is given by

$$\hat{u}_\varepsilon(x, s) = \int_0^l [\hat{F}(\xi, s) + \varepsilon f_0''(\xi)] \hat{G}_\varepsilon(x, \xi, s) d\xi. \quad (2.6)$$

When $\varepsilon = 0$ one has $\sigma(0) = s/c$ and (2.4),(2.5) turn into

$$\hat{g}_0(y, s) = \frac{\cosh[(l-y)s/c]}{2 c s \sinh(ls/c)}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\hat{G}_0(\xi, x, s) = \hat{g}_0(|x - \xi|, s) - \hat{g}_0(|x + \xi|, s). \quad (2.8)$$

Problem \mathcal{P}_0 is defined by (1.2), (2.1) and the Laplace transform \hat{u}_0 of it's solution is:

$$\hat{u}_0(x, s) = \int_0^l \hat{F}(\xi, s) \hat{G}_0(\xi, x, s) d\xi. \quad (2.9)$$

By comparing (2.4),(2.5) with (2.7), (2.8), the following relationship between \hat{G}_ε and \hat{G}_0 is pointed out:

$$\hat{G}_\varepsilon(s) = \frac{c^2}{\varepsilon s + c^2} \hat{G}_0\left(\frac{cs}{\sqrt{\varepsilon s + c^2}}\right). \quad (2.10)$$

In order to estimate $G_\varepsilon(t)$ in terms of $G_0(t)$ and to achieve the rigorous asymptotic behaviour of $G_\varepsilon(t)$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the correspondence (2.10) must be analyzed and its inverse Laplace transform must be established.

3. Functional dependence between G_ε and G_0

If I_0 denotes the modified Bessel function of first kind and order zero, the inverse Laplace - transform of (2.10) is fully given by the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1- *The relationship between the Green functions $G_\varepsilon(t)$ and $G_0(t)$ is:*

$$G_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{c^3 e^{-c^2 t/\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\pi \varepsilon t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{c^2 v^2}{4\varepsilon t}} dv \int_0^v G_0(u) I_0\left(\frac{2c^2}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{u(v-u)}\right) du \quad (3.1)$$

and their \mathcal{L} - transforms satisfy (2.10) in the half- plane $Re(s) > -c^2/\varepsilon$.

Proof. For $Re(\varepsilon s + c^2) > 0$, one has:

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{e^{-\frac{c^2 t}{\varepsilon}}}{\sqrt{\pi \varepsilon t}} e^{-\frac{c^2 v^2}{4\varepsilon t}}\right) = \frac{e^{-\frac{c}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\varepsilon s + c^2} v}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon s + c^2}}, \quad (3.2)$$

therefore the Laplace transform of (3.1) is :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}_\varepsilon(s) &= \frac{c^3}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\varepsilon s + c^2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{c}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\varepsilon s + c^2} v} dv \int_0^v G_0(u) I_0\left(\frac{2c^2}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{u(v-u)}\right) du \\ &= \frac{c^3}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\varepsilon s + c^2}} \int_0^\infty G_0(u) du \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{c}{\varepsilon} (u+v) \sqrt{\varepsilon s + c^2}} I_0\left(\frac{2c^2}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{uv}\right) dv. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Considering that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{cv}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\varepsilon s + c^2}} I_0\left(\frac{2c^2}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{uv}\right) dv = \frac{\varepsilon}{c} \frac{e^{-\frac{c}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\varepsilon s + c^2} u}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon s + c^2}} \quad (3.4)$$

see ([14]), one has

$$\hat{G}_\varepsilon(s) = \frac{c^2}{\varepsilon s + c^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{cs}{\sqrt{\varepsilon s + c^2}} u} G_0(u) du \quad (3.5)$$

therefore the above formula coincides with (2.10). ■

Consider now a first application of Theorem 3.1. By means of the transformation (3.1), it's possible to deduce explicit expressions for G_ε from known formulae of G_0 . So, for instance, if G_0 is represented by its Fourier series

$$G_0 = \frac{2}{c\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{l}cnt\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{l}nx\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{l}n\xi\right), \quad (3.6)$$

then (3.1) allows to make Fourier series of G_ε explicit. It suffices to evaluate the transform (3.1) of the time-component $G_{0,n}$ of G_0 :

$$G_{0,n}(t) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{l}c n t\right). \quad (3.7)$$

Owing to:

$$I = \int_0^{2v} \sin(ay) I_0(b\sqrt{y(2v-y)}) dy = 2 \sin(av) \frac{\sin(v\sqrt{a^2-b^2})}{\sqrt{a^2-b^2}}, \quad (3.8)$$

if one puts:

$$a = \pi cn/l, \quad b = 2c^2/\varepsilon, \quad k = 2cl/(\pi\varepsilon), \quad \omega_n = \sqrt{1 - (n/k)^2}, \quad (3.9)$$

the integral transform (3.1) of $G_{0,n}$ gives

$$G_{\varepsilon,n}(t) = e^{-\frac{\pi^2 n^2}{2l^2} \varepsilon t} \frac{\sin(a\omega_n t)}{\omega_n}. \quad (3.10)$$

So, by (3.1), (3.6), (3.10), one obtains

$$G_\varepsilon = \frac{2}{\pi c} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 n^2}{2l^2} \varepsilon t} \sin\left(\frac{c\pi n}{l} \omega_n t\right) \frac{1}{n \omega_n} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{l}nx\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{l}n\xi\right). \quad (3.11)$$

and this formula represents the Fourier series of G_ε previously established in [16] by Fourier method.

4. Asymptotic behavior of G_ε

An additional consequence of (3.1) is the asymptotic analysis of G_ε when ε tends to zero. Referring to (3.1), let $\tau = t/\varepsilon$ and let

$$\Gamma(u, v, \tau) = \frac{(c\sqrt{\tau})^3}{\sqrt{\pi}} G_0(tu) I_0(2c^2\tau\sqrt{uv}) e^{-c^2\tau[1+(u+v)^2/4]}. \quad (4.1)$$

Then, the function G_ε can be given the form

$$G_\varepsilon = \iint_Q \Gamma(u, v, \tau) du \, dv, \quad (4.2)$$

where $Q \equiv \{(u, v) \in [0, \infty] \times [0, \infty]\}$.

So, G_ε depends on ε only through the fast time τ . When ε is vanishing and $t > \varepsilon$, the parameter τ goes to infinity and the asymptotic behaviour of integral (4.2) can be rigorously obtained by Laplace method [15]

To establish precise estimates of the remainder terms, let

$$\chi(\tau) = \chi_0 \tau^{-1/3}, \quad \sigma(\tau) = \sigma_0 \tau^{-1/3}, \quad (4.3)$$

where χ_0 and σ_0 are arbitrary real positive constants such that χ and σ are less than one for all $\tau > 1$. Further, let

$$Q_0 \equiv \{(u, v) \in [1 - \chi, 1 + \chi] \times [1 - \sigma, 1 + \sigma]\} \subset Q. \quad (4.4)$$

The following Lemma holds:

Lemma 4.1 - For all $\tau > 1$, it results:

$$|G_\varepsilon - \iint_{Q_0} \Gamma(u, v, \tau) du dv| < \mu e^{-\lambda^2 \tau^{1/3}}, \quad (4.5)$$

where the constants λ^2 , μ depend only on c, χ_0, σ_0 .

Proof. For all real and positive z one has $I_0(z) < e^z$, so that by (4.1) one obtains

$$|\Gamma| \leq \frac{|G_0|}{\sqrt{\pi}} (c\sqrt{\tau})^3 e^{-c^2 \tau h(u, v)} \quad (4.6)$$

with

$$h(u, v) = 1 + (1/4)(u + v)^2 - 2\sqrt{uv}. \quad (4.7)$$

Further, for all $(u, v) \in Q$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} h(u, v) &= \frac{1}{4}[(u - 1)^2 + (v - 1)^2 + (\sqrt{u} - 1)^2(\sqrt{v} + 1)^2 \\ &+ (\sqrt{v} - 1)^2(\sqrt{u} + 1)^2] \geq \frac{1}{4}[(u - 1)^2 + (v - 1)^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Therefore, indicating by C a constant independent by τ , one has:

$$|\iint_{Q-Q_0} \Gamma du dv| \leq C \iint_{Q-Q_0} e^{-\frac{c^2}{4}[(u-1)^2+(v-1)^2]\tau} du dv. \quad (4.9)$$

Hence, by standard computations, the estimate (4.5) is obtained. ■

The estimates of Lemma 4.1 allow us to define the following function

$$H(x, t, \varepsilon) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{c^2(\tau-t)^2}{2\varepsilon t}} G_0(x, \tau) d\tau \quad (4.10)$$

and to obtain the following result:

Theorem 4.1 - For all $(x, t) \in \Omega$, and $t > \varepsilon$, one has:

$$G_\varepsilon(x, t) = H(x, t, \varepsilon) (1 + \rho_1) + \rho_2, \quad (4.11)$$

where the remainder terms ρ_1, ρ_2 are decreasing functions of the fast time $\tau = t/\varepsilon$ such that

$$|\rho_1| \leq k_1 \tau^{-1}; \quad \rho_2 \leq k_2 e^{-\lambda^2 \tau^{1/3}}, \quad (4.12)$$

with the constants k_1, k_2, λ^2 depending only on c, χ_0, σ_0 .

Proof. By asymptotic formulae of Bessel functions, for real, positive and large z one has ([17]):

$$I_0(z) = \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} (1 + r_0) \quad (|r_0| < c_0 z^{-1}) \quad (4.13)$$

and so the integral of Γ on Q_0 (see (4.1), (4.2)) can be given the form

$$\iint_{Q_0} \Gamma dudv = \frac{c\tau}{2\pi} \int_{1-\chi}^{1+\chi} G_0(tu) du \int_{1-\sigma}^{1+\sigma} \frac{1+r_1}{(uv)^{1/4}} e^{-c^2\tau h(u,v)} dv, \quad (4.14)$$

where $h(u, v)$ is defined in (4.7) and the remainder term r_1 is such that $|r_1| \leq c_1 (\tau\sqrt{uv})^{-1}$, according to (4.13).

The function $h(u, v)$ has a point of absolute minimum in $(u_0, v_0) = (1, 1)$, where it vanishes. Therefore, it results: $h = h_0 + h_*$ with

$$h_0 = \frac{1}{2}[(u-1)^2 + (v-1)^2]; \quad |h_*| \leq c_*[|u-1| + |v-1|]^3. \quad (4.15)$$

According to the Laplace method, [15], for large τ , the dominant contribution is due to a neighbourhood of (u_0, v_0) , so that it's enough to expand Γ near to this point. Further, if u and v are substituted by

$$u-1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} u_1, \quad v-1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} v_1, \quad (4.16)$$

the terms of third order like h_* are vanishing with order of $\tau^{-3/2}$; besides, the limits of integration become $(-\infty, +\infty)$ as: $\chi\sqrt{\tau} = \chi_0 \tau^{1/6}$ and $\sigma\sqrt{\tau} = \sigma_0 \tau^{1/6}$.

Then, for $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, one has:

$$\iint_{Q_0} \Gamma dudv = \frac{c^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{c^2}{2}u^2} G_0(t + \sqrt{\varepsilon t} u) du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{c^2}{2}v^2} dv + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right). \quad (4.17)$$

The above formula, together with the results of Lemma 4.1 (see(4.5),(4.10)), implies (4.1) and the estimate (4.12)₂ for ρ_2 . Finally, by means of routine calculations, the order of the error ρ_1 can be rigorously specified according to (4.12)₁. \blacksquare

5. Diffusion and waves

To remark the physical meaning of the results, let consider the simple case: $f = 0$, $f_0 = 0$, $f_1 \neq 0$. By (2.6) and (2.9) one has:

$$u_\varepsilon = - \int_0^l f_1(\xi) G_\varepsilon(\xi, x, t) d\xi; \quad u_0 = - \int_0^l f_1(\xi) G_0(\xi, x, t) d\xi \quad (5.1)$$

Consequently, except errors of order $O(\varepsilon/t)$, Theorem 4.1 allows to obtain (see (4.10),(4.11)) the following estimate:

$$u_\varepsilon(x, t) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{c^2(\tau-t)^2}{2\varepsilon t}} u_0(x, \tau) d\tau. \quad (5.2)$$

The above explicit correspondence between u_0 and u_ε clearly shows the interaction between diffusion and wave propagation.

For instance, when $f_1 = \frac{c\pi}{l} \sin(\frac{\pi x}{l})$, one has the *pure wave*

$$u_0(x, t) = \sin(\frac{\pi x}{l}) \sin(\frac{\pi}{l} ct), \quad (5.3)$$

while u_ε , owing to (5.2), is given by

$$u_\varepsilon(x, t) = e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2l^2} \varepsilon t} u_0(x, t). \quad (5.4)$$

This means that the dissipation caused by εu_{xxt} is significant by slow times εt only; the terms depending on the fast time t/ε are fully negligible for all $t > \varepsilon$.

As consequence, in the time interval $(\varepsilon, \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ where $\varepsilon t < 1$, the pure wave (5.3) is propagated nearly undisturbed; when $t > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, damped oscillations predominate.

These aspects are generally valid, whatever the initial data may be, and for appropriate f . In fact, if one transforms by (4.10) the time-components $G_{0,n}(t) = \sin(\frac{n\pi}{l} ct)$ of G_0 , one has [14]:

$$\frac{c}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{c^2}{2} \frac{(\tau-t)^2}{\varepsilon t}} \sin(\frac{\pi}{l} c n \tau) d\tau = e^{-\frac{\pi^2 n^2}{2l^2} \varepsilon t} \sin(\frac{\pi}{l} c n t). \quad (5.5)$$

Therefore, the Fourier series of the function H which approximates G_ε is given by

$$H = \frac{2}{c\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\pi n}{l})^2 \varepsilon t} \sin(\frac{\pi}{l} cnt) \sin(\frac{\pi}{l} nx) \sin(\frac{\pi}{l} n\xi). \quad (5.6)$$

This formula, together with (2.6), (4.11), makes explicit the asymptotic behavior of the solution u_ε of the problem \mathcal{P}_ε related to arbitrary data f_0 , f_1 and f . As (5.6) shows, this behavior is like (5.4), with damped waves which become vanishing as from the instants $t > 1/\varepsilon$.

It must be remarked that the function H can be given the form:
 $H = H^- - H^+$, with

$$H^\pm = \frac{2}{c\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\pi n}{l})^2 \varepsilon t} \sin(\frac{\pi n}{l}\xi) \cos[\frac{\pi n}{l}(x \pm ct)]. \quad (5.7)$$

Then, the basic variables which are typical of the diffusion and wave propagation are identified by the following

Property 5.1 - *The function H^- (or H^+) is solution of the diffusion-wave equation*

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2}v_{xx} = v_t + cv_x \quad (\text{or} : \frac{\varepsilon}{2}v_{xx} = v_t - cv_x). \quad (5.8)$$

Moreover, if one puts: $y = x \pm ct$, $\theta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}t$, Eq. (5.8) turns into the heat equation $v_{yy} = v_\theta$, with the time -variable θ given just by the slow time and the space-variable y related to traveling (or retrograde) waves.

Finally, we observe that H_x^\pm is given by Jacobi Theta function $\theta_3(y, \theta)$; in fact, for $y = x + ct$ or $y = x - ct$, one has:

$$H_x^\pm = \frac{1}{4cl} [\theta_3(\frac{y-\xi}{2l}, \frac{\theta}{2l^2}) - \theta_3(\frac{y+\xi}{2l}, \frac{\theta}{2l^2})], \quad (5.9)$$

according to well-known formulae related to the heat equation.

REFERENCES

1. A.Barone, G. Paternò, **Physics and Application of the Josephson Effect** Wiley, N. Y. 530 (1982)
2. V.P. Maslov, P. P. Mosolov, **Non linear wave equations perturbed by viscous terms** Walter deGruyher Berlin N. Y. 329 (2000).
3. A.I. Kozhanov, N. A. Lar'kin, *Wave equation with nonlinear dissipation in noncylindrical Domains*, Dokl. Math 62, 2, 17-19 (2000)
4. Ali Nayfeh, *A comparison of perturbation methods for nonlinear hyperbolic waves in* **Proc. Adv. Sem. Wisconsin** 45, 223-276 (1980).
5. R. I. Tanner, *Note on the Rayleigh Problem for a Visco-Elastic Fluid*, ZAMP vol XIII, 575-576 (1962)
6. D.D Joseph, M. Renardy and J. C. Saut, *Hyperbolicity and change of type in the flow of viscoelastic fluids*, Arch Rational Mech. Analysis, 87 213-251, (1985).
7. J. A. Morrison, *Wave propagations in rods of Voigt material and visco-elastic materials with three-parameters models*, Quart. Appl. Math. 14 153-173, (1956).
8. P. Renno, *On some viscoelastic models*, Atti Acc. Lincei Rend. fis. 75 (6) 1-10, (1983).
9. Y.Shibata, *On the rate of decay of solutions to linear viscoelastic Equation*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.,23 203-226 (2000)
10. H. Lamb, **Hydrodynamics**, Dover Publ. Inc., (1932)
11. R. Nardini, *Soluzione di un problema al contorno della magneto idrodinamica*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.,35 269 (1953)

12. L.L.Bonilla and J.S. Solen, *High field limit of the Vlasov Poisson Fokker Plank system: A comparison of different perturbation methods*, Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci. 11, 1457-1468, (2001)
13. P.Degond, *An infinite system of diffusion equation arising in transport theory: the coupled spherical harmonics expansion model*, Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci. 11. 903-932, (2001)
14. Erdelyi, Magnus, Oberhettinger, Tricomi, **Tables of integral transforms** vol. I Mac Graw-Hill Book (1956)
15. De Bruijn, **Asymptotic Methods in Analysis** North- Holland Publishin (1958)
16. M. De Angelis, *Asymptotic analysis for the strip problem related to a parabolic third-order operator*, Appl.Math.Letters 14 (4), 425-430 (2001)
17. G. N. Watson, **Theory of Bessel Function** Cambridge p.804 (1944)
18. P. Renno, *On a Wave Theory for the Operator $\varepsilon \partial_t(\partial_t^2 - c_1^2 \Delta_n) + \partial_t^2 - c_0^2 \Delta_n$* , Ann. Mat. pura e Appl.,136(4) 355-389 (1984).