

# Accurate exchange energy and total energy for excited states: Inclusion of gradient correction.

Md.Shamim and Manoj K. Harbola

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India

## Abstract

We present an approach for accurate calculation of exchange energy and total energy for excited states using time independent density functional formalism. This is done by inclusion of gradient correction into the excited state exchange energy functionals developed by us. We have incorporated Becke and Perdew Wang corrections into our functional and have studied various types of excited states having one and two gaps in occupation of orbitals.

## 1 introduction

Exchange energy functional for excited states which we reported in our earlier works [1] does give accurate excitation energy but absolute values of total energy it leads to is not as accurate. Therefore, further correction needs to be made in this functional so as to obtain correct total energy of the system. Inclusion of gradient corrections to improve the accuracy of energy functionals has been in practice since the inception of density functional theory [2, 3, 5]. In absence of exact energy functional for interacting electron systems, this is the only option one is left with. Such corrections are either derived from the properties of many electrons system or constructed empirically or semi-empirically. Generalized gradient approximation(GGA) and meta-generalized gradient approximation(meta-GGA) respectively are the examples of such corrections[2, 3]. While GGA uses gradient of density and its higher power, meta-GGA includes laplacian of density and its higher power. Perdew Wang [3] and Becke's [2] correction to exchange energy are the example of GGA and Perdew Burke Ernzerhof's [4] correction to exchange energy is a meta-GGA kind of correction.

In Kohn-Sham formulation of density functional theory [7] kinetic energy part is well taken care of. And correlation energy which does play important role in many instances is only a meager part. Therefore, it is basically the

exchange energy which needs to be treated accurately at least so far as we are concerned with calculation of total energy of the system. For ground states, the local density approximation for exchange energy functional gives an average error of about 10 %. To minimize this error gradient correction for exchange has to be used. Among others, the widely used gradient corrections for exchange energy are due to Becke [2] and Perdew Wang [3]. Becke's correction is a semiempirical one and the PW's correction is obtained by gradient expansion of exchange hole.

## 2 Gradient correction for excited state exchange energy functional

Exchange energy functional reported in reference [1] is quite accurate as we have seen in the results for excitation energies obtained by using it. To make it more accurate we are going to incorporate gradient corrections into it. Since doing gradient correction for excited is not as simple as for the ground state cases. Therefore we resort to some sort of approximation. We see that Becke's and PW's corrections to exchange energy have been constructed to satisfy certain properties which are not specific to the ground states. Such as the asymptotic decay of exchange energy density should go as  $\frac{1}{2r}$ . Therefore, we hope that these gradient correction will work equally well for excited states also. With this intuition we use gradient corrections for exchange energy due to Becke ?? and Perdew Wang(PW) ?? to calculate exchange energy and total energy for excited states..

Exchange energy functional for excited states with Becke's gradient correction is given as,

$$E_X^{MLSD(Becke)} = E_X^{MLSD} - \beta \sum_{\sigma} \int \rho_{\sigma}^{4/3} \frac{x_{\sigma}^2}{1 + 6\beta x_{\sigma} \sinh^{-1} x_{\sigma}} d\mathbf{r} \quad (1)$$

where,  $x_{\sigma} = \frac{\nabla \rho_{\sigma}}{\rho_{\sigma}^{4/3}}$  and  $\beta = 0.0042$  and exchange energy functional for excited states with PW's gradient correction is given as

$$E_X^{MLSD(PW)} = \int \epsilon_X^{MLSD} F(s) d\mathbf{r} \quad (2)$$

where,

$$\epsilon_X^{MLSD} = \rho(\mathbf{r}) [\epsilon(k_3) - \epsilon(k_2) + \epsilon(k_1)] + \frac{1}{8\pi^3} (k_3^2 - k_1^2)^2 \ln \left( \frac{k_3 + k_1}{k_3 - k_1} \right)$$

Table 1: Total energy and excitation energy of various atoms/ions.

atoms/ions	$-E_{HF}^*$	$-E_{Becke}^*$	$-E_{PW}^*$	$\Delta E_{HF}$	$\Delta E_{Becke}$	$\Delta E_{PW}$
$N(2s^2 2p^3 \ ^4S \rightarrow 2s^1 2p^4 \ ^4P)$	53.988	53.989	54.026	0.413	0.409	0.423
$O^+(2s^2 2p^3 \ ^4S \rightarrow 2s^1 2p^4 \ ^4P)$	73.820	73.797	73.835	0.553	0.564	0.582
$O(2s^2 2p^4 \ ^3P \rightarrow 2s^1 2p^5 \ ^3P)$	74.184	74.181	74.227	0.625	0.631	0.652
$F^+(2s^2 2p^4 \ ^3P \rightarrow 2s^1 2p^5 \ ^3P)$	98.033	98.006	98.051	0.799	0.802	0.840
$F(2s^2 2p^5 \ ^2P \rightarrow 2s^1 2p^6 \ ^2S)$	98.531	98.561	98.610	0.878	0.869	0.898
$Ne^+(2s^2 2p^5 \ ^2P \rightarrow 2s^1 2p^6 \ ^2S)$	126.861	126.745	126.791	1.083	1.074	1.111

$$- \frac{1}{8\pi^3} (k_3^2 - k_2^2)^2 \ln \left( \frac{k_3 + k_2}{k_3 - k_2} \right) - \frac{1}{8\pi^3} (k_2^2 - k_1^2)^2 \ln \left( \frac{k_2 + k_1}{k_2 - k_1} \right) (3)$$

and,  $s = \frac{\nabla \rho}{2k_f \rho}$ ,  $F = (1 + 0.086s^2/m + bs^4 + cs^6)^m$  with  $m = 1/15, b = 14, c = 0.2$

### 3 Results

We have calculated total energies and excitation energies of excited states of various atoms using these gradient corrections for exchange energies. The results obtained in this way are very encouraging, giving total energy for excited states which are very close to the corresponding exact exchange only values. In the tables 1 to 6 we present total energies and excitation of excited states obtained with Becke and PW corrections along with the exact exchange only (Hartree-Fock(HF)) values.

To calculate exchange energy and total energy we do Kohn Sham calculation with LSD approximation for exchange potential. From the orbitals generated in this way we calculate the  $k$  wave vectors from which we get exchange energy. We use this exchange energy to get total energy for the atoms or ions.

### 4 Two gap systems

We have applied the gradient corrected exchange energy functional to excited states with two-gaps also. In these cases we use the exchange energy functional for two-gap systems has the same form as the one-gap case but has more

Table 2: Total energy and excitation energy of various atoms/ions.

atoms/ions	$-E_{HF}^*$	$-E_{Becke}^*$	$-E_{PW}^*$	$\Delta E_{HF}$	$\Delta E_{Becke}$	$\Delta E_{PW}$
$Li(2s^1\ ^2S \rightarrow 2p^1\ ^2P)$	7.365	7.357	7.366	0.068	0.0702	0.075
$Na(3s^1\ ^2S \rightarrow 3p^1\ ^2P)$	161.786	161.804	161.890	0.073	0.0763	0.083
$Mg^+(3s^1\ ^2S \rightarrow 3p^1\ ^2P)$	199.214	199.210	199.297	0.158	0.171	0.182

Table 3: Total energy and excitation energy of various atoms/ions.

atoms/ions	$-E_{HF}^*$	$-E_{Becke}^*$	$-E_{PW}^*$	$\Delta E_{HF}$	$\Delta E_{Becke}$	$\Delta E_{PW}$
$P(3s^23p^3\ ^4S \rightarrow 3s^13p^4\ ^4P)$	340.417	340.400	340.507	0.302	0.307	0.324
$S(3s^23p^4\ ^3P \rightarrow 3s^13p^5\ ^3P)$	397.079	397.053	397.165	0.426	0.436	0.454
$Cl^+(3s^23p^4\ ^3P \rightarrow 3s^13p^5\ ^3P)$	458.523	458.484	458.596	0.526	0.544	0.564
$Cl(3s^23p^5\ ^2P \rightarrow 3s^13p^6\ ^2S)$	458.917	458.900	459.015	0.565	0.567	0.585
$Ar^+(3s^23p^5\ ^2P \rightarrow 3s^13p^6\ ^2S)$	525.598	525.574	525.686	0.677	0.671	0.703

Table 4: Total energy and excitation energy of various atoms/ions.

atoms/ions	$-E_{HF}^*$	$-E_{Becke}^*$	$-E_{PW}^*$	$\Delta E_{HF}$	$\Delta E_{Becke}$	$\Delta E_{PW}$
$P(2s^23p^3\ ^4S \rightarrow 2s^13p^4\ ^4P)$	333.834	333.708	333.766	6.882	7.000	7.065
$S(2s^23p^4\ ^3P \rightarrow 2s^13p^5\ ^3P)$	389.257	389.116	389.174	8.246	8.373	8.445
$Cl^+(2s^23p^4\ ^3P \rightarrow 2s^13p^5\ ^3P)$	449.234	449.079	449.132	9.812	9.949	10.028
$Cl(2s^23p^5\ ^2P \rightarrow 2s^13p^6\ ^2S)$	449.768	449.602	449.659	9.714	9.864	9.941
$Ar^+(2s^23p^5\ ^2P \rightarrow 2s^13p^6\ ^2S)$	514.882	514.699	514.751	11.393	11.566	11.638

Table 5: Total energy and excitation energy of various atoms/ions.

atoms/ions	$-E_{HF}^*$	$-E_{Becke}^*$	$-E_{PW}^*$	$\Delta E_{HF}$	$\Delta E_{Becke}$	$\Delta E_{PW}$
$B(2s^22p^1\ ^2P \rightarrow 2s^12p^2\ ^2D)$	24.312	24.304	24.330	0.217	0.211	0.220
$C^+(2s^22p^1\ ^2P \rightarrow 2s^12p^2\ ^2D)$	36.963	36.945	36.975	0.329	0.328	0.342
$C(2s^22p^2\ ^3P \rightarrow 2s^12p^3\ ^3D)$	37.395	37.376	37.409	0.294	0.303	0.315
$N^+(2s^22p^2\ ^3P \rightarrow 2s^12p^3\ ^3D)$	53.917	53.434	53.471	0.414	0.439	0.454
$Si^+(3s^23p^1\ ^2P \rightarrow 3s^13p^2\ ^2D)$	288.299	288.289	288.394	0.274	0.282	0.297
$Si(3s^23p^2\ ^3P \rightarrow 3s^13p^3\ ^3D)$	288.62	288.606	288.711	0.234	0.246	0.260

Table 6: Total energy and excitation energy of various atoms/ions.

atoms/ions	$-E_{HF}^*$	$-E_{Becke}^*$	$-E_{PW}^*$	$\Delta E_{HF}$	$\Delta E_{Becke}$	$\Delta E_{PW}$
$Be(2s^2\ ^1S \rightarrow 2p^2\ ^1D)$	14.301	14.291	14.300	0.272	0.264	0.288
$B(2s^22p^1\ ^2P \rightarrow 2p^3\ ^2D)$	24.059	24.023	24.037	0.470	0.491	0.513
$C^+(2s^22p^1\ ^2P \rightarrow 2p^3\ ^2D)$	36.595	36.540	36.552	0.697	0.733	0.765
$C(2s^22p^2\ ^3P \rightarrow 2p^4\ ^3P)$	36.946	36.934	36.948	0.743	0.746	0.776
$N^+(2s^22p^2\ ^3P \rightarrow 2p^4\ ^3P)$	52.865	52.841	52.892	1.023	1.032	1.173
$N(2s^22p^3\ ^4S \rightarrow 2p^5\ ^2P)$	53.222	53.202	53.224	1.179	1.196	1.225
$O^+(2s^22p^3\ ^4S \rightarrow 2p^5\ ^2P)$	72.829	72.795	72.811	1.544	1.566	1.606
$O(2s^22p^4\ ^3P \rightarrow 2p^6\ ^1S)$	73.306	73.319	73.339	1.5032	1.494	1.540
$F^+(2s^22p^4\ ^3P \rightarrow 2p^6\ ^1S)$	96.934	96.935	96.947	1.898	1.883	1.944
$Mg(3s^2\ ^1S \rightarrow 3p^2\ ^1D)$	199.357	199.362	199.480	0.258	0.257	0.255
$S(3s^23p^4\ ^3P \rightarrow 3p^6\ ^1S)$	396.478	96.455	396.552	1.027	1.034	1.067
$P(3s^23p^3\ ^4S \rightarrow 3p^5\ ^2P)$	339.865	339.834	339.930	0.854	0.874	0.901
$Si^+(3s^23p^1\ ^2P \rightarrow 3p^3\ ^2D)$	287.987	287.944	288.034	0.586	0.627	0.657
$Si(3s^23p^2\ ^3P \rightarrow 3p^4\ ^3P)$	288.268	288.250	288.342	0.586	0.602	0.629
$Cl^+(3s^23p^2\ ^3P \rightarrow 3p^4\ ^3P)$	457.795	457.767	457.860	1.254	1.261	1.300

terms. For details the readers may refer [1]. The results calculated for total energy and excitation energies with Becke and PW corrections are given in tables 7 along with HF values.

## 5 Concluding remarks and future prospects

We have added gradient correction to exchange energy functional to obtain better approximation for exchange energy functional. The correction term used here have been borrowed from ground state DFT on some physical grounds and are found to lead the result in right direction as expected. Becke and PW corrections which we have employed here are found to consistently improve the results for excited states exchange energy, total energy and excitation energy. The results obtained from such calculation compare very closely with Hartree Fock results.

Table 7: Total energy and excitation energy of various atoms/ions having two gaps.

atoms/ions	$-E_{HF}^*$	$-E_{Becke}^*$	$-E_{PW}^*$	$\Delta E_{HF}$	$\Delta E_{Becke}$	$\Delta E_{PW}$
$B(2p^1 3p^2 M_L = 3, M_S = 1/2)$	23.516	23.441	23.442	1.010	1.064	1.108
$N(2p^4 3p^1 M_L = 2, M_S = 3/2)$	52.958	52.874	52.884	1.438	1.524	1.565
$F(2p^6 3p^1 M_L = 1, M_S = 1/2)$	97.034	96.959	96.971	2.371	2.471	2.527
$Ne(2p^6 3p^2 M_L = 1, M_S = 1)$	124.594	124.420	124.424	3.948	4.167	4.248
$Na(2s^1 2p^6 3p^2 M_L = 1, M_S = 1/2)$	159.236	159.159	159.203	2.615	2.722	2.770
$Al(2s^1 2p^6 3s^1 3p^3 M_L = 0, M_S = 1/2)$	237.16	237.064	237.115	4.702	4.816	4.879
$Ar(2p^6 3s^0 3p^6 4p^2 M_L = 2, M_S = 0)$	524.215	524.074	524.156	2.589	2.722	2.776
$K(2p^6 3s^1 3p^6 4p^2 M_L = 1, M_S = 1/2)$	597.523	597.436	597.542	1.626	1.850	2.169

## References

- [1] Shamim M and Harbola M K 2010 J. Phys. B **43** 215002
- [2] Becke A D et al 2006 J. Chem. Phys. **124** , 221101
- [3] J. P. Perdew, Y. Wang 1992 Phys. Rev. B **45** 13244
- [4] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3865
- [5] C. V. von Weizsacker, Z. Phys. 96 (1935) 431
- [6] Hohenberg P and Kohn W 1964 Phys. Rev **136** B864
- [7] Kohn W and Sham L J 1965 Phys. Rev. **140** A1133