

On integrable rational potentials of the Dirac equation

Tomasz Stachowiak*

*Copernicus Center for Interdisciplinary Studies,
ul. Gronostajowa 3, 30-387 Kraków, Poland*

The Dirac equation, when reducible to an ordinary second order linear equation, exhibits a form of quasi-integrability, i.e. exact solutions exist only for a particular subset of energies. The differential Galois theory can be used to identify the integrable cases, recover integrable rational potentials, explicit solutions and strictly rule out the remaining cases as non-integrable. The effectiveness of this approach is demonstrated by providing a new class of potentials for which the equation in question can be transformed to the Whittaker form.

I.

The goal of this work is to examine the solvability of the Dirac equation, when reduced to one spatial dimension. The key point is of course that the analysis is easier and deeper for an ordinary differential equation, and the reduction itself can be achieved by many means, such as separating the radial from the angular part, or neglecting two directions in the Cartesian coordinates. The resulting form is also encountered when dealing with the supersymmetric Schrödinger equation [1], to which all results on integrability can be transferred. Of course, one has to keep track of the different definitions of potentials and physical interpretation, but the mathematical structure remains the same, and that is the main interest of the present paper.

A great many of known solutions were obtained for the scale invariant potentials, and can be found in [2]. However, such approach relies on the assumption of a particular symmetry and is not exhaustive. Not disregarding it, it seems natural to also consider equations like this (i.e. second order and linear) on grounds of the differential Galois group theory. Mostly because it provides rigorous tools for finding the exact forms of solutions and also because it strictly rules out unsolvable cases – exactly like the “ordinary” Galois theory for polynomials.

Since linear equations often present themselves as central, like the Schrödinger equation or the density perturbation in cosmology, they have already been successfully studied with these methods, e.g. in [1, 3, 4]. When it comes to the Dirac equation, the complete analysis in the case of general polynomial potentials was carried out in a previous work by the author [5]. This class happened to be very restrictive, and only one non-trivial case – the so called Dirac oscillator – is explicitly integrable.

The next obvious step seems to be the wider class of rational functions which is undertaken here. This turns out to be too wide to be treated as completely as polynomials, but a lot can be said nevertheless, and one can find here the so called quasi-integrability, which can be better understood when viewed from the Galois theory point of view.

II.

To be more specific, let us consider the same setup as in [5], so that the time-independent Dirac equation in one dimension z is

$$E\psi = (-i\alpha_3\partial_z + \beta m)\psi, \quad (1)$$

which gives the second order equations for each spinorial component

$$\psi''_{1,2}(z) = (V(z)^2 \pm V'(z) - E^2) \psi_{1,2}(z), \quad (2)$$

where the Dirac matrices were taken to be

$$\alpha_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

*Electronic address: toms@oa.uj.edu.pl

and the potential V was introduced in the so called scalar coupling, so that it is added to the mass term $m \rightarrow m + V$. For simplicity m will henceforth denote the effective mass thus obtained, that is the old mass plus the constant term of V . The other, vector, coupling is equivalent, as shown in [5], so that essentially the equation in question is just

$$\psi'' = (V^2 + V' - E^2)\psi =: r\psi. \quad (4)$$

The question of exact solutions of such an equation with a rational coefficient $r(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ is best expressed in terms of field extensions of $\mathbb{C}(z)$. An extension including all the elementary functions, direct integration and some special transcendental functions is the generalized Liouvillian extension. It consists of a finite chain of differential function fields,

$$F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_n, \quad (5)$$

such that each new field F_{k+1} is obtained from the previous F_k by adjoining an element x_k which satisfies one of the three following conditions:

1. x_k is algebraic over F_k .
2. x_k is primitive, i.e. $x'_k \in F_k$.
3. x_k is the solution of $x' = ax$, for some a in F_K .

These fields are differential fields precisely so that there is some notion of the derivative x'_k , although here it is simply the differentiation with regard to z and the starting field F_0 is just $\mathbb{C}(z)$. If the solution of a given equation belongs to F_n we might call it a Liouvillian solution and it is explicitly expressible by finite number of elementary operations like integrals, exponentials or solving algebraic equations. In other words, the equation in question is solvable/integrable if it has Liouvillian solutions.

A more detailed exposition of the above can be found in [6], and here it should be added that this particular notion of exact solution is intimately connected with the underlying group theory. Namely, one defines the differential Galois group of a differential equation as the group of automorphisms of the field to which the solutions belong, such that their action on the base field F_0 is trivial. Intuitively it means that the group permutes the solutions, but does not change the equation's coefficients. It then follows that the equation is solvable when the group is solvable. In case of the second order equations like (5) the group is an algebraic subgroup of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and there exists an algorithm contrived by Kovacic [7] for deciding on its integrability and finding the solutions. Also, most of the common equations of mathematical physics have been completely analyzed in this regard (see e.g. the monograph [8] for a collection of results), so the question is often that of finding a connection with what is already known. This will be the main route taken here, allowing to uncover a new, wide class of integrable potentials.

III.

A naive generalization of the results of [5] is difficult for the following reason. When V is polynomial, it only has one pole at infinity (and so has $r(z)$), and one can think of it as a Laurent expansion with only finitely many terms. For rational V it gets much more complicated, as there can be any number of poles with infinite expansions, and in general the equation has to be analyzed case by case with full application of the Kovacic algorithm. However, as mentioned above, there are many general classes for which a lot can be said in terms of solvability, and which would lead us in the other direction, i.e. reconstruction of integrable potentials. To demonstrate the idea let us first make some simplifying assumptions.

We want our main equation (5) to assume some particular, known form with rational $r(z)$, and notice immediately that energy enters in such a way that the infinity must be an irregular singular point. Also, let us assume that $r(z)$ has only one pole in the affine part of the complex plane, so that it can be moved to zero by a linear change of the independent variable – a special case of the Möbius transform which holds infinity fixed. A very well known equation satisfying these conditions is the confluent hypergeometric or Whittaker equation:

$$y'' = \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\kappa}{z} + \frac{4\mu^2 - 1}{4z^2} \right) y. \quad (6)$$

In order for the Dirac equation to assume such a form, the potential has to satisfy

$$V^2 + V' - E^2 = \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\kappa}{z} + \frac{4\mu^2 - 1}{4z^2} \right), \quad (7)$$

which is a Riccati equation. Its rational solution can be obtained by the analysis of the poles of the right-hand side. The general solution to the above is

$$V = m + \frac{\alpha}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{1}{z - c_k}. \quad (8)$$

The poles c_k have to be included even though they do not correspond to the poles of $V^2 + V'$ because each terms of the sum is a solution to $V^2 + V' = 0$. Since the pole at zero is essential in the equation, its residue is $\alpha \neq 1$. Alternatively, the above could be rewritten as

$$V = m + \frac{\alpha}{z} + \frac{P'(z)}{P(z)}, \quad (9)$$

where P is a polynomial with d distinct roots c_k , such that $P(0) \neq 0$, and can be taken to be monic.

Substituting this form of V to the coefficient r , one gets

$$r = m^2 - E^2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{z^2} + \frac{2m\alpha}{z} + \frac{2(mz + \alpha)P' + zP''}{zP}. \quad (10)$$

The restrictions on the singular points require that the last term is of the form $a + b/z + c/z^2$, so that we have an equation for P

$$zP'' + 2(\alpha + mz)P' = (az + b + \frac{c}{z})P. \quad (11)$$

As the solution is supposed to be a polynomial, the coefficient a must be zero, or the terms of the highest order would not cancel. Similarly, the lowest order terms require that $P(0)c = 0$ and since we excluded zero from the list of the roots c also must vanish. We now have

$$zP'' + 2(\alpha + mz)P' = bP, \quad (12)$$

which is the associated Laguerre equation, with polynomial solutions only for

$$b = 2md, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \neq 0, \quad (13)$$

so that

$$P = L_d^{2\alpha-1}(-2mz). \quad (14)$$

With such condition, the Dirac equation becomes

$$\psi'' = \left(m^2 - E^2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{z^2} + \frac{2m(\alpha + d)}{z} \right) \psi, \quad (15)$$

and assuming $m^2 \neq E^2$ (the special case will be dealt with below) a change of the dependent variable to

$$u = 2\sqrt{m^2 - E^2} z \quad (16)$$

gives

$$\psi''(u) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{u^2} + \frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2 - E^2}} \frac{\alpha + d}{u} \right) \psi, \quad (17)$$

so that the appropriate Whittaker's equation's coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= -(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}) \\ \kappa &= -\frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2 - E^2}}(\alpha + d), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and we simply have

$$\psi(z) = W_{\kappa, \mu} \left(2\sqrt{m^2 - E^2} z \right). \quad (19)$$

Since the equation is of the second order, there will be also another, linearly independent solution given by $W_{-\kappa,\mu}(-z)$. However, in application one will choose only one taking into account the physical context such as square integrability, and the domain of z . For example, in the $z \gg 0$ region, one would not take the function $W_{-\kappa,\mu}(-z)$ since it behaves as $\exp(z)$ asymptotically, while $W_{\kappa,\mu}(z)$ behaves as $\exp(-z)$.

Here, however, we are interested in the general nature of the involved functions, rather than particular physical model, so we wish to impose other restrictions, namely the Liouvillian character of the solutions. For the Whittaker equation the conditions for that are well known [8] and here they give

$$\begin{aligned} p &:= \mu + \kappa - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{or} \\ q &:= \mu - \kappa - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

which, upon substitution, leads to

$$\begin{aligned} p + 1 &= \frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2 - E^2}}(\alpha + d) + \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{or} \\ q &= \frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2 - E^2}}(\alpha + d) - \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

In other words, when the potential is such that $\alpha = -d$ the solutions are always Liouvillian, and otherwise the parameters must satisfy

$$\frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2 - E^2}} = \frac{l \pm \alpha}{d + \alpha}, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{22}$$

which in turn means that the integrable part of the spectrum is bounded by

$$0 \leq \frac{E^2}{m^2} = 1 - \left(\frac{d + \alpha}{l \pm \alpha} \right)^2 \leq 1. \tag{23}$$

Note that when α is an integer itself, the case of $l = \pm\alpha$ is excluded by $m \neq 0$.

For the particular case of $m^2 = E^2$, equation (15) is effectively the Bessel equation

$$w^2 \varphi''(w) + w \varphi'(w) + (w^2 - (1 - 2\alpha)^2) \varphi(w) = 0, \tag{24}$$

when the variables are changed according to

$$\psi(z) = \sqrt{z} \varphi(w), \quad w^2 := -8m(\alpha + d)z, \tag{25}$$

so, assuming $\alpha \neq d$, the solutions are given in term of the Bessel functions

$$\psi(z) = \sqrt{z} J_{1-2\alpha}(2\sqrt{-2m(\alpha + d)z}), \tag{26}$$

and these are known to be Liouvillian only if their order, i.e. $1 - 2\alpha$ is half an odd integer.

Finally, for $\alpha = -d$, the equation is solvable by elementary functions of

$$\psi(z) = c_1 z^\alpha + c_2 z^{1-\alpha}. \tag{27}$$

IV.

To put the results together, the requirements for the Dirac equation that: 1. it has a rational potential, 2. it has an irregular singularity at infinity (due to energy), and 3. it has only one pole, which is regular, force it to be of the Whittaker (confluent hypergeometric) form, and the potential to be

$$V = m + \frac{\alpha}{z} + \frac{P'(z)}{P(z)}, \tag{28}$$

where P is expressed by the associated Laguerre polynomial of degree d as

$$P(z) = L_d^{2\alpha-1}(-2mz), \tag{29}$$

and m is necessarily non-zero. The solutions are then given by the Whittaker functions $W_{\kappa,\mu}(z)$, and are additionally Liouvillian (can be expressed in closed form) when

α, E	$E \in \mathbb{C}$	$\frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2 - E^2}}(\alpha + d) \pm \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$	$E^2 = m^2$
$\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$	$W_{\kappa, \mu}(u), W_{-\kappa, \mu}(-u)$	$W_{\kappa, \mu}(u), W_{-\kappa, \mu}(-u)$ [L]	$\sqrt{z}J_{1-2\alpha}(w), \sqrt{z}Y_{1-2\alpha}(w)$
$2\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$	$W_{\kappa, \mu}(u), W_{-\kappa, \mu}(-u)$	$W_{\kappa, \mu}(u), W_{-\kappa, \mu}(-u)$ [L]	$\sqrt{z}J_{1-2\alpha}(w), \sqrt{z}Y_{1-2\alpha}(w)$ [L]
$\alpha = -d$	$\sqrt{z}J_{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}(\frac{u}{2i}), \sqrt{z}Y_{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}(\frac{u}{2i})$ [L]	$\sqrt{z}J_{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}(\frac{u}{2i}), \sqrt{z}Y_{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}(\frac{u}{2i})$ [L]	$z^\alpha, z^{1-\alpha}$ [L]

Table I: The list of bases of solutions depending on parameters. W denotes the Whittaker function, J and Y the Bessel functions. The auxiliary variables are: $w = 2\sqrt{-2m(\alpha + d)z}$, $u = 2\sqrt{m^2 - E^2}z$. [L] signifies a Liouvillian pair of solutions.

1. $\alpha = -d$ regardless of energy, or
2. $2\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ for $E^2 = m^2$,
3. for particular levels satisfying $\frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2 - E^2}}(\alpha + d) \pm \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The third possibility gives countably infinitely many energetic levels which are confined to $E \in [-m, m]$. All the cases are put together in table I.

It should be clear from the general expression of the potential (28) that it describes a very wide class, with an arbitrary number of singularities, thus modelling drastically different physical situations. The mathematical analysis given here gives the full information about the integrability and formal solutions, but it is beyond its scope to select the appropriate eigenstates and respective energies corresponding to a particular quantum system. However, just as is the case with the Dirac oscillator, the integrability condition can be thought of as some quantization rule. As shown in [5] dual rules will hold for the vector coupling upon exchanging the roles of E and m . Either way, once can speak of some form of quasi-integrability here, since Liouvillian solutions depends on values of (at least) two independent physical parameters, so even before taking the physical restrictions into account, we are left with at most countably many explicit solutions.

Concerning the property of shape invariance, the recovered potential contains the known Coulomb case (albeit the spatial variable is then radial) listed in [2]. This is the only case when the property holds, so that the whole class is in fact new, and the method described can be used to uncover more solvable cases by loosening some of the initial requirements. Future generalisations might include target equations with more singular (regular or not) points, or simply integrability conditions for given, more complex potentials (trigonometric, meromorphic etc.).

Of course the Liouvillian solutions are not the only notion of integrability, and most linear equations can be solved by means of series or numerically, but the concept of an exact solution remains as the starting point in any such approach. Any further study of the properties of the system in question, especially qualitative, it greatly facilitated by working on explicit formulae, which this work hopefully provides.

-
- [1] Acosta-Humánez P. B., PhD Thesis, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (2009). arXiv:0906.3532
 - [2] Cooper F., Khare A. and Sukhatme U., Phys. Repts. **251** 267–385 (1995).
 - [3] Acosta-Humánez P. B. and Blázquez-Sanz D., Discr. and Contin. Dynam. Sys. Series B, **10**, 2&3, 265–293 (2008).
 - [4] Stachowiak T., Szydłowski M. and Maciejewski A. J., J. Math. Phys. **47** 032502 (2006).
 - [5] Stachowiak T., J. Math. Phys. **52**, 012301 (2011).
 - [6] Kaplansky I., “An Introduction to differential algebra”, Hermann, Paris (1957).
 - [7] Kovacic J., J. Symbolic Comput. **2**(1): 3–34 (1986).
 - [8] Juan J. Morales-Ruiz. “Differential Galois theory and non-integrability of Hamiltonian systems”, (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999).