

ON THE REFINED SHRINKING TARGET PROPERTY OF ROTATIONS

DONG HAN KIM

ABSTRACT. We discuss the shrinking target property of irrational rotations. We obtain the condition of an irrational θ and monotone increasing $\varphi(n)$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\varphi(n)\|n\theta - s\| = 0 \text{ for almost every } s.$$

We also consider the class of irrationals for which the limit inferior is 0 for every monotone increasing $\varphi(n)$ such that $\sum_n 1/(n\varphi(n))$ diverges.

1. INTRODUCTION

The inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation theorem by Minkowski[15] state that for an irrational number θ , if s is not of the form $B\theta - A$ for integers A and B , then there are infinitely many integer n such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|n\theta - s\| < \frac{1}{4|n|}$$

where $\|t\|$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is the distance to its nearest integer.

An irrational θ is said to be of bounded type if there exist a $C > 0$ such that $n\|n\theta\| > C$ for all positive integer n . Kurzweil[14] showed that, if and only if the irrational θ is of bounded type, then for almost every s and a monotone decreasing positive $\psi(n)$ with $\sum_n \psi(n) = \infty$,

$$(1.2) \quad \|n\theta - s\| < \psi(n) \quad \text{for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

(See also [7] for the higher dimensional case). Note that the first Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that if $\sum_n \psi(n) < \infty$, then for almost every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\|n\theta - s\| < \psi(n)$ holds for only finitely many n 's. The refined Kurzweil type inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation has been studied in [2, 3, 4]. A sequence $\psi(n)$ of positive numbers is called a Khinchin sequence[4] if $n\psi(n)$ is monotone decreasing and $\sum_n \psi(n) = \infty$. In this article, we study the condition for the irrational θ and the Khinchin sequence $\psi(n)$ such that (1.2) holds for almost every s .

The inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation is related to the shrinking target property (see [7, 8]) and the dynamical Borel-Cantelli lemma (see [5, 6, 11]) of the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11J83, 11K60, 37E10.

Key words and phrases. metric inhomogeneous diophantine approximation; irrational rotation; shrinking target property.

irrational rotations. For a measure preserving transformation T on (X, μ) , it is proved[1] that for μ -almost all $x \in X$ one has

$$\liminf_{n \geq 1} n^\beta \cdot d(T^n x, y) = \infty \text{ with } \beta > \frac{1}{\underline{d}_\mu(y)},$$

where $\underline{d}_\mu(y) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \log \mu(B(y, r)) / \log r$ is the lower local dimension at y . For a piecewise expanding map on an interval[11] or some hyperbolic map([5], [6]) it is known that for μ -almost all $x \in X$ one has

$$\liminf_{n \geq 1} n^\beta \cdot d(T^n x, y) = 0 \text{ with } \beta = \frac{1}{\underline{d}_\mu(y)}$$

We assume that T is the rotation by an irrational θ on the unit interval. Then by (1.1) and Cassels' lemma[9, Lemma 2.1] we have

$$(1.3) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot \|n\theta - s\| = 0 \quad \text{almost every } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(See also [12]). In this paper, we consider the condition of the irrational θ and the monotone increasing $\varphi(n)$ for which

$$(1.4) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\varphi(n) \cdot \|n\theta - s\| = 0 \quad \text{almost every } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For the monotone increasing $\varphi(n)$, If $\sum_n 1/(n\varphi(n)) = \infty$, then $1/(n\varphi(n))$ is a Khinchin sequence.

In Section 2, we state the condition of the irrational θ and the monotone increasing $\varphi(n)$ for which (1.4) holds (Theorem 2.1). In Section 3, we give some sufficient and necessary conditions of the irrational θ that for any monotone increasing $\varphi(n)$ with $\sum_n 1/(n\varphi(n)) = \infty$, (1.4) holds (Theorem 3.1). The proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Section 4. The analogous result for the formal Laurent series case was studied in [13].

2. MAIN THEOREM

For an irrational number $0 < \theta < 1$, we have the continued fraction expansion with partial quotients a_k , $k \geq 1$. Let p_k/q_k be the k -th convergents with $p_0 = 0$, $q_0 = 1$. Then we have $q_{k+1} = a_{k+1}q_k + q_{k-1}$, thus

$$(2.1) \quad q_{k+1} \geq 2q_{k-1} \text{ for all } k \geq 1.$$

We have the main theorem of the paper as follows:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\varphi(n)$ be a monotone increasing positive function. For a given irrational θ we have*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\varphi(n) \cdot \|n\theta - s\| = 0 \quad \text{almost every } s$$

if and only if the principal convergent's denominator q_k of the irrational θ satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_k)} = \infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Section 4.

Remark 2.2. (i) For any monotone increasing $\varphi(n)$ to ∞ , choose an irrational θ such that $\varphi(q_k) > k^2$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_k)} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log \varphi(q_k)}{\varphi(q_k)} < \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2 \log k}{k^2} < \infty.$$

Therefore, as already studied in [2, Theorem 5], for any monotone increasing function $\varphi(n)$ which goes to infinity, there exist an irrational θ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\varphi(n) \cdot \|n\theta - s\| = \infty \quad \text{almost every } s.$$

(ii) We also obtain (1.3): if $\varphi(n)$ is bounded, then (2.2) diverges for every θ , thus for almost every $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot \|n\theta - s\| = 0.$$

Remark 2.3. The condition $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(n\varphi(n)) = \infty$ is implied by (2.2) since by defining $\varphi(x) = \varphi(\lfloor x \rfloor)$ and following proposition 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\varphi(n)} &\geq \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x\varphi(x)} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\varphi(e^t)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\log q_k}^{\log q_{k+1}} \frac{dt}{\varphi(e^t)} \right) \geq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{\varphi(q_{k+1})} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4. For a monotone increasing $\varphi(n) > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_k)} = \infty,$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_{k+1})} = \infty.$$

Proof. It is enough to show ‘only if’ part. Let

$$\Lambda := \{k \geq 0 : \varphi(q_{k+1}) > 2\varphi(q_k)\}.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_k)} \leq \sum_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{\log \varphi(q_k)}{\varphi(q_k)} < \infty.$$

Therefore, if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))/\varphi(q_k) = \infty$, then we have

$$\sum_{k \notin \Lambda} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_k)} = \infty,$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_{k+1})} &\geq \sum_{k \notin \Lambda} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_{k+1})} \\ &\geq \sum_{k \notin \Lambda} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{2\varphi(q_k)} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. CONDITIONS FOR THE KURZWEIL TYPE APPROXIMATIONS

Theorem 3.1. (i) Let θ be an irrational with $q_k \leq C^k$ for some constant C . Then for all monotone increasing $\varphi(n) > 0$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(n\varphi(n)) = \infty$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\varphi(n) \cdot \|n\theta - s\| = 0 \quad \text{almost every } s.$$

(ii) If there exists a constant D such that $q_{k+1}/q_k \leq D \log q_k$ for large k , then for all monotone increasing $\varphi(n) > 0$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(n\varphi(n)) = \infty$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\varphi(n) \cdot \|n\theta - s\| = 0 \quad \text{almost every } s.$$

The condition (i) is already discussed in [4, Theorem 6]. (see also [3, Remark 3]) The condition of (ii) is not implied by condition of (i). Let θ be an irrational with partial quotients $a_k = k$, $k \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\log q_k \geq \log a_1 + \cdots + \log a_k = \log 1 + \cdots + \log k \geq \int_1^k \log x dx = k \log k - k + 1$$

There is no constant C such that $q_k \leq C^k$. However, we have for large k

$$\frac{q_{k+1}}{q_k} = a_{k+1} + \frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k} \leq k + 2 \leq k \log k - k + 1 \leq \log q_k.$$

Proof. By (1.3) we may assume that $\varphi(n)$ goes to infinity as n goes to infinity. Let $\varphi(x) = \varphi(\lfloor x \rfloor)$ be defined on real $x \geq 1$.

(i) Suppose that $q_k \leq C^k$, $C > 1$ and $\varphi(n)$ be monotone increasing with $\sum_n \frac{1}{n\varphi(n)} = \infty$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{2k})} &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(C^{2k})} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \log C} \int_{2k \log C}^{2(k+1) \log C} \frac{dt}{\varphi(C^{2k})} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2 \log C} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{2k \log C}^{2(k+1) \log C} \frac{dt}{\varphi(e^t)} \right) = \frac{1}{2 \log C} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\varphi(e^t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \log C} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x \varphi(x)} \geq \frac{1}{2 \log C} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \varphi(n)} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since for large k as to $\varphi(q_{2k-1}) \geq 2$, we have by (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_{2k-1}), q_{2k}/q_{2k-1}))}{\varphi(q_{2k-1})} + \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_{2k}), q_{2k+1}/q_{2k}))}{\varphi(q_{2k})} \\ \geq \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_{2k-1}), q_{2k+1}/q_{2k-1}))}{\varphi(q_{2k})} \geq \frac{\log 2}{\varphi(q_{2k})}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $\sum_k \log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k)) / \varphi(q_k)$ diverges. Hence, by Theorem 2.1 we complete the first claim.

(ii) Let

$$\alpha_k := \frac{\log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{q_{k+1}/q_k}, \quad \beta_k := \int_{q_k}^{q_{k+1}} \frac{dx}{x\varphi(x)}.$$

If $\varphi(q_k) \leq q_{k+1}/q_k$, then since $(\log x)/x$ is decreasing for $x > e$, for some M such that $\varphi(q_M) > e$, we have for $k \geq M$

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_k)} = \frac{\log \varphi(q_k)}{\varphi(q_k)} \geq \frac{\log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{q_{k+1}/q_k} = \alpha_k.$$

When $\varphi(q_k) > q_{k+1}/q_k$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_k)} = \frac{\log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{\varphi(q_k)} \geq \int_{\log q_k}^{\log q_{k+1}} \frac{dt}{\varphi(e^t)} = \beta_k.$$

Therefore, by (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_k)} \geq \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \min(\alpha_k, \beta_k).$$

Since φ is monotone increasing, for $\ell < m$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\beta_\ell}{\log(q_{\ell+1}/q_\ell)} &= \frac{1}{\log q_{\ell+1} - \log q_\ell} \int_{\log q_\ell}^{\log q_{\ell+1}} \frac{dt}{\varphi(e^t)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\log q_{m+1} - \log q_m} \int_{\log q_m}^{\log q_{m+1}} \frac{dt}{\varphi(e^t)} = \frac{\beta_m}{\log(q_{m+1}/q_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption $\sum_n 1/(n\varphi(n)) = \infty$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{q_k}^{q_{k+1}} \frac{dx}{x\varphi(x)} = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x\varphi(x)} \geq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\varphi(n)} = \infty.$$

Therefore, if there is only finitely many k 's such that $\alpha_k \leq \beta_k$, then we have for a large N

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \min(\alpha_k, \beta_k) = \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \beta_k = \infty.$$

Suppose that there are infinitely many k 's such that $\alpha_k \leq \beta_k$. Choose the subsequence $(k_i)_{i \geq 0}$ such that $\alpha_{k_i} \leq \beta_{k_i}$ and $k_i \geq M$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \min(\alpha_k, \beta_k) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i} \min(\alpha_k, \beta_k) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha_{k_i} + \sum_{k=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i-1} \beta_k \right) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha_{k_i} + \sum_{k=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i-1} \frac{\log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{\log(q_{k_i+1}/q_{k_i})} \beta_{k_i} \right) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\alpha_{k_i} + \sum_{k=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i-1} \frac{\log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{\log(q_{k_i+1}/q_{k_i})} \alpha_{k_i} \right) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i} \frac{\log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{\log(q_{k_i+1}/q_{k_i})} \alpha_{k_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i} \frac{\log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{q_{k_i+1}/q_{k_i}} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log q_{k_i+1} - \log q_{k_{i-1}+1}}{q_{k_i+1}/q_{k_i}} \geq \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log q_{k_i+1} - \log q_{k_{i-1}+1}}{\log q_{k_i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since for any $i < j$ such that $2 \log q_{k_{i-1}+1} < \log q_{k_j+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\log q_{k_i+1} - \log q_{k_{i-1}+1}}{\log q_{k_i}} + \frac{\log q_{k_{i+1}+1} - \log q_{k_i+1}}{\log q_{k_{i+1}}} + \dots + \frac{\log q_{k_j+1} - \log q_{k_{j-1}+1}}{\log q_{k_j}} \\
&\geq \frac{\log q_{k_j+1} - \log q_{k_{i-1}+1}}{\log q_{k_j}} > \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log q_{k_j+1}}{\log q_{k_j}} > \frac{1}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \min(\alpha_k, \beta_k) = \infty.$$

Combining with (3.3), we have $\sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k)) / \varphi(q_k) = \infty$ and Theorem 2.1 implies that (1.4). Hence, we prove the second assertion. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *If θ is an irrational such that*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log q_k} < \infty,$$

then there is a monotone increasing $\varphi(n)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\varphi(n)} = \infty$ and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\varphi(n) \cdot \|n\theta - s\| = \infty \quad \text{almost every } s.$$

However, the converse is not true.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let $\varphi(n) = \log n \cdot \log(\log n)$ for large n . Then for some M we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_k)} &\leq \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \frac{\log \varphi(q_k)}{\varphi(q_k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\log q_k) + \log(\log(\log q_k))}{\log q_k \cdot \log(\log q_k)} \\ &< \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\log q_k} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1, we complete the first assertion.

Let θ be an irrational with partial quotients

$$a_k \sim k^{\log(\log k)} \quad \left(\text{i.e., } \frac{k^{\log(\log k)}}{a_k} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \right).$$

Then we have

$$\log q_k \sim \sum_{i=1}^k (\log i)(\log(\log i)) \sim k(\log k)(\log(\log k)),$$

which yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log q_k} = \infty.$$

However, if we choose $\varphi(n) = \log n \cdot \log(\log n) \cdot \log(\log(\log n))$ for large n , then we have for some N

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_k)} \leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{\log \varphi(q_k)}{\varphi(q_k)} \leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{3}{\log q_k \cdot \log(\log(\log q_k))} < \infty$$

since

$$\log q_k \cdot \log(\log(\log q_k)) \sim k(\log k)(\log(\log k))^2.$$

Hence, the condition of $\sum_k 1/\log q_k < \infty$ in Proposition 3.2 is not a necessary condition. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we give the proof of the main theorem. Let $B(x, r)$ be the ball centered at x with radius r . We denote μ the Lebesgue measure on the unit circle. We assume that $\varphi(n) \geq 4$.

Denote

$$E_k := \bigcup_{q_k < n \leq q_{k+1}} B\left(n\theta, \frac{1}{n\varphi(n)}\right).$$

Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad \bigcap_{N \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq N} B\left(n\theta, \frac{1}{n\varphi(n)}\right) = \bigcap_{K \geq 1} \bigcup_{k \geq K} E_k.$$

Since $\|n\theta - (n - q_k)\theta\| = \|q_k\theta\|$ and $\varphi(n)$ is monotone increasing, we have for each $q_k < n \leq q_{k+1}$

$$\mu\left(B\left(n\theta, \frac{1}{n\varphi(n)}\right) \setminus B\left((n - q_k)\theta, \frac{1}{(n - q_k)\varphi(n - q_k)}\right)\right) \leq \|q_k\theta\|.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E_k) &\leq \sum_{n=q_k+1}^{2q_k} \mu\left(B\left(n\theta, \frac{1}{n\varphi(n)}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=2q_k+1}^{q_{k+1}} \mu\left(B\left(n\theta, \frac{1}{n\varphi(n)}\right) \setminus B\left((n - q_k)\theta, \frac{1}{(n - q_k)\varphi(n - q_k)}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=q_k+1}^{2q_k} \frac{2}{n\varphi(n)} + \sum_{n=2q_k+1}^{q_{k+1}} \min\left(\|q_k\theta\|, \frac{2}{n\varphi(n)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \mu(E_k) \leq \sum_{n=q_k+1}^{q_{k+1}} \frac{2}{n\varphi(n)} \leq \int_{q_k}^{q_{k+1}} \frac{2dx}{x\varphi(x)} = \int_{\log q_k}^{\log q_{k+1}} \frac{2dt}{\varphi(e^t)} \leq \frac{2 \log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{\varphi(q_k)}.$$

If $\varphi(q_k)q_k < q_{k+1}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad \mu(E_k) &\leq \frac{2}{\varphi(q_k)} + \sum_{n=2q_k+1}^{\lceil q_{k+1}/\varphi(q_k) \rceil} \|q_k\theta\| + \sum_{n=\lceil q_{k+1}/\varphi(q_k) \rceil+1}^{q_{k+1}} \frac{2}{n\varphi(n)} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\varphi(q_k)} + \left(\left\lceil \frac{q_{k+1}}{\varphi(q_k)} \right\rceil - 2q_k\right) \|q_k\theta\| + \int_{q_{k+1}/\varphi(q_k)}^{q_{k+1}} \frac{2dx}{x\varphi(x)} \\ &< \frac{2}{\varphi(q_k)} + \frac{q_{k+1}\|q_k\theta\|}{\varphi(q_k)} + \int_{\log(q_{k+1}/\varphi(q_k))}^{\log(q_{k+1})} \frac{2dt}{\varphi(e^t)} \\ &< \frac{3}{\varphi(q_k)} + \frac{2 \log \varphi(q_k)}{\varphi(q_{k+1}/\varphi(q_k))} \leq \frac{3}{\varphi(q_k)} + \frac{2 \log \varphi(q_k)}{\varphi(q_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.2) and (4.3),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) \leq \left(\frac{3}{\log \varphi(1)} + 2\right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_k)} < \infty,$$

Therefore, by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma and (4.1), the proof of ‘only if’ part is obtained.

Let

$$q_k^* = \begin{cases} \max\{n \geq q_k \mid n\varphi(n) < q_{k+1}\}, & \text{if } q_k\varphi(q_k) < q_{k+1}, \\ q_k, & \text{if } q_k\varphi(q_k) \geq q_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

By the assumption $\varphi(n) \geq 4$, we have $q_k \leq q_k^* < q_{k+1}$.

Let

$$F_k := \bigcup_{q_{k-1} < n \leq q_{k+1}} B\left(n\theta, \frac{1}{n\varphi(n)}\right)$$

and put

$$b_{k+1} = \left\lceil \frac{q_{k+1} - q_k^*}{q_k} \right\rceil, \quad 1 \leq b_{k+1} \leq a_{k+1}$$

and for $0 \leq i < b_{k+1}$

$$G_{k,i} := \bigcup_{q_{k+1} - (i+1)q_k < n \leq q_{k+1} - iq_k} B\left(n\theta, \frac{1}{8(q_{k+1} - iq_k)\varphi(q_{k+1})}\right).$$

Then we have

$$G_k := \bigcup_{i=0}^{b_{k+1}-1} G_{k,i} \subset F_k.$$

Since

$$b_{k+1} < \frac{q_{k+1} - q_k^*}{q_k} + 1,$$

each ball in G_k has radius at most

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{8(q_{k+1} - (b_{k+1} - 1)q_k)\varphi(q_{k+1})} &\leq \frac{1}{8q_k^*\varphi(q_{k+1})} < \frac{1}{4(q_k^* + 1)\varphi(q_k^* + 1)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4q_{k+1}} < \frac{\|q_k\theta\|}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the balls in G_k are distanced at least by $\|q_k\theta\|$, we have

$$\mu(G_{k,i}) = \frac{q_k}{8(q_{k+1} - iq_k)\varphi(q_{k+1})}, \quad \mu(G_k) = \frac{q_k}{8\varphi(q_{k+1})} \sum_{i=0}^{b_{k+1}-1} \frac{1}{q_{k+1} - iq_k}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \frac{1}{q - ic} = \frac{1}{q - mc} + \cdots + \frac{1}{q} \geq \int_{q-mc}^{q+c} \frac{1}{x} \frac{dx}{c} = \frac{1}{c} \log \frac{q+c}{q-mc},$$

we have

$$(4.4) \quad \mu(G_k) \geq \frac{1}{8\varphi(q_{k+1})} \log \frac{q_{k+1} + q_k}{q_{k+1} - (b_{k+1} - 1)q_k} \geq \frac{1}{8\varphi(q_{k+1})} \log \frac{q_{k+1} + q_k}{q_k + q_k^*}.$$

If $q_k^* = q_k$, then $b_{k+1} = a_{k+1}$, thus we have

$$(4.5) \quad \mu(G_k) \geq \frac{1}{8\varphi(q_{k+1})} \log \frac{q_{k+1} + q_k}{q_{k+1} - (b_{k+1} - 1)q_k} \geq \frac{1}{8\varphi(q_{k+1})} \log \frac{q_{k+1} + q_k}{q_k + q_{k-1}}.$$

If $q_k\varphi(q_k) < q_{k+1}$, then we have from $\varphi(q_k^*) \geq 4$

$$(4.6) \quad \mu(G_k) \geq \frac{1}{8\varphi(q_{k+1})} \log \frac{q_{k+1} + q_k}{q_k + q_k^*} \geq \frac{1}{8\varphi(q_{k+1})} \log \frac{\varphi(q_k^*)}{2} \geq \frac{1}{16} \frac{\log \varphi(q_k^*)}{\varphi(q_{k+1})}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *If*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_k)} = \infty,$$

then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu(G_k) = \infty.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.4 we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_{k+1})} = \infty.$$

Let

$$\Delta = \{k \geq 0 \mid q_k \varphi(q_k) < q_{k+1}\}.$$

Then either

$$\sum_{k \in \Delta} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_{k+1})} = \sum_{k \in \Delta} \frac{\log \varphi(q_k)}{\varphi(q_k)} = \infty$$

or

$$\sum_{k \in \Delta^c} \frac{\log(\min(\varphi(q_k), q_{k+1}/q_k))}{\varphi(q_{k+1})} = \sum_{k \in \Delta^c} \frac{\log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{\varphi(q_k)} = \infty.$$

If $\sum_{k \in \Delta} \log \varphi(q_k)/\varphi(q_k) = \infty$, then by (4.6), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu(G_k) \geq \sum_{k \in \Delta} \mu(G_k) \geq \frac{1}{16} \sum_{k \in \Delta} \frac{\log \varphi(q_k^*)}{\varphi(q_{k+1})} = \infty.$$

Suppose that

$$\sum_{k \in \Delta^c} \frac{\log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{\varphi(q_{k+1})} = \infty.$$

If $k-1 \in \Delta$ and $k, k+1, \dots, k+m \in \Delta^c$, then by (4.4) and (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(G_{k-1}) + \mu(G_k) + \dots + \mu(G_{k+m}) &\geq \frac{1}{8\varphi(q_k)} \log \frac{q_k + q_{k-1}}{q_{k-1} + q_{k-1}^*} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\varphi(q_{k+1})} \log \frac{q_{k+1} + q_k}{q_k + q_{k-1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{8\varphi(q_{k+m+1})} \log \frac{q_{k+m+1} + q_{k+m}}{q_{k+m} + q_{k+m-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $k-1 \leq i \leq k+m$, let

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{8\varphi(q_{i+1})}, & \log(q_i + q_{i-1}) \leq x < \log(q_{i+1} + q_i), \\ g(x) &= \frac{1}{8\varphi(q_{i+1})}, & \log(q_i) \leq x < \log(q_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$f(x) \geq g(x) \quad \text{for} \quad \log q_k \leq x < \log q_{k+m+1}.$$

Since $k-1 \in \Delta$, we have $q_{k-1}\varphi(q_{k-1}) \leq q_{k-1}^*\varphi(q_{k-1}^*) < q_k$. By the assumption $\varphi(q_{k-1}) \geq 4$, we have $q_{k-1} + q_{k-1}^* < q_k$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(G_{k-1}) + \mu(G_k) + \dots + \mu(G_{k+m}) &= \int_{\log(q_{k-1} + q_{k-1}^*)}^{\log(q_{k+m+1} + q_{k+m})} f(x) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\log q_k}^{\log q_{k+m+1}} g(x) dx \\ &= \frac{\log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{8\varphi(q_{k+1})} + \dots + \frac{\log(q_{k+m+1}/q_{k+m})}{8\varphi(q_{k+m+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu(G_k) \geq \sum_{k \in \Delta^c} \frac{\log(q_{k+1}/q_k)}{8\varphi(q_{k+1})} = \infty. \quad \square$$

Now we estimate $\mu(G_\ell \cap G_k)$, $\ell < k$ by the Denjoy-Koksma inequality (see e.g., [10]): Let T be an irrational rotation by θ and f be a real valued function of bounded variation on the unit interval. Then for any x we have

$$(4.7) \quad \left| \sum_{n=0}^{q_k-1} f(T^n x) - q_k \int f d\mu \right| < \text{var}(f).$$

For a given interval I , by the Denjoy-Koksma inequality (4.7) we have

$$\# \{0 \leq n < q_k \mid n\theta \in I\} = \sum_{n=0}^{q_k-1} 1_I(T^n x) < q_k \mu(I) + 2.$$

Since $G_{k,i}$ consists of the intervals of centered at q_k orbital points with radius $(8(q_{k+1} - iq_k)\varphi(q_{k+1}))^{-1}$, we have for each i

$$\mu(G_{k,i} \cap I) < (q_k \mu(I) + 3) \frac{1}{4(q_{k+1} - iq_k)\varphi(q_{k+1})} = \mu(G_{k,i})\mu(I) + \frac{3}{q_k} \mu(G_{k,i}).$$

Note G_ℓ consists of at most $q_{\ell+1}$ intervals.

Therefore, we have for $k > \ell$

$$\mu(G_{k,i} \cap G_\ell) < \mu(G_{k,i})\mu(G_\ell) + \frac{3q_{\ell+1}}{q_k} \mu(G_{k,i}).$$

Since $G_k = \cup G_{k,i}$ by a disjoint union, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(G_k \cap G_\ell) &< \mu(G_k)\mu(G_\ell) + \frac{3q_{\ell+1}}{q_k} \mu(G_k) \\ &< \mu(G_k)\mu(G_\ell) + 3 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\lfloor (k-\ell-1)/2 \rfloor} \mu(G_k) \\ &\leq \mu(G_k)\mu(G_\ell) + \frac{6}{2^{(k-\ell)/2}} \mu(G_k). \end{aligned}$$

We need a version of Borel-Cantelli lemma (e.g. [16]) to go further:

Lemma 4.2. *Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space, let $f_k(\omega)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence of nonnegative μ -measurable functions, and let f_k, φ_k be sequences of real numbers such that*

$$0 \leq f_k \leq \varphi_k \leq 1 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Suppose that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{m < k \leq n} f_k(\omega) - \sum_{m < k \leq n} f_k \right)^2 d\mu \leq C \sum_{m < k \leq n} \varphi_k$$

for arbitrary integers m, n ($m < n$). Then

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} f_k(\omega) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} f_k + O(\Phi^{1/2}(n) \ln^{3/2+\varepsilon} \Phi(n))$$

for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary and $\Phi(n) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \varphi_k$.

Put $f_k = \varphi_k = \mu(G_k)$ and $f_k(x) = 1_{G_k}(x)$ in Lemma 4.2. Then we have for any $m < n$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \left(\sum_{m < k \leq n} f_k(\omega) - \sum_{m < k \leq n} f_k \right)^2 d\mu \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{m < \ell < k \leq n} (\mu(G_k \cap G_\ell) - \mu(G_k)\mu(G_\ell)) + \sum_{m < k \leq n} \mu(G_k) \\ & < 2 \sum_{m < k \leq n} \sum_{m < \ell < k} \frac{6}{2^{(k-\ell)/2}} \mu(G_k) + \sum_{m < k \leq n} \mu(G_k) < \left(\frac{12}{\sqrt{2}-1} + 1 \right) \sum_{m < k \leq n} \mu(G_k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.2, if

$$\sum_k \mu(G_k) = \infty,$$

then we have for almost every x

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1_{G_k}(x) = \infty$$

or

$$x \in G_k \subset F_k \text{ infinitely many } k\text{'s.}$$

Hence, we have the proof of Theorem 2.1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wish to thank Hitoshi Nakada, Bao-Wei Wang, Jian Xu and Bo Tan for many helpful discussion.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bonanno, S. Isola, and S. Galatolo, *Recurrence and algorithmic information*, Nonlinearity **17** (2004), no. 3, 1057–1074.
- [2] Boshernitzan M, Chaika J. Diophantine properties of IETs and general systems: Quantitative proximality and connectivity, Invent. Math. **192** (2013), no. 2, 375–412.
- [3] Chaika J. Shrinking targets for IETs: Extending a theorem of Kurzweil, Geom. Funct. Anal. **21** (2011), no. 5, 1020–1042.
- [4] Chaika J, Constantine D. Quantitative Shrinking Target Properties for rotations, interval exchanges and billiards in rational polygons, arXiv:1201.0941.
- [5] N. Chernov and D. Kleinbock, *Dynamical Borel-Cantelli lemmas for Gibbs measures*, Israel J. Math. **122** (2001), 1–27.
- [6] D. Dolgopyat, *Limit theorems for partially hyperbolic systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), 1637–1689.
- [7] Fayad B. Mixing in the absence of the shrinking target property, Bull. London Math. Soc. 2006, 38:829–838.
- [8] S. Galatolo and D.H. Kim, *The dynamical Borel-Cantelli lemma and the waiting time problems*, Indag. Math. **18** (2007), 421–434.

- [9] Harman G. Metric Number Theory, Oxford Univ. Press, 1998.
- [10] Herman M R. Sur la conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 1979, 49:5-233.
- [11] D.H. Kim, *The dynamical Borel-Cantelli lemma for interval maps*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **17** (2007), 891–900.
- [12] Kim D H. The shrinking target property of irrational rotations, Nonlinearity 2007, 20:1637–1643.
- [13] Kim D H, Nakada H. Metric inhomogeneous diophantine approximation on the field of formal Laurent series, Acta Arith. 2011, 150:129–142.
- [14] Kurzweil J. On the metric theory of inhomogeneous diophantine approximations, Studia Math. 1955, 15:84–112.
- [15] Minkowski H. Diophantische Approximationen, Teubner, Leipzig, 1907.
- [16] Sprindžuk V. Metric Theory of Diophantine Approximations, V. H. Winston & Sons, Washington, D.C., 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, DONGGUK UNIVERSITY – SEOUL, SEOUL 100-715,
KOREA

E-mail address: kim2010@dongguk.edu