General relativity and OPERA Experiment

Christian Corda

October 29, 2018

Institute for Theoretical Physics and Advanced Mathematics Einstein-Galilei, Via Santa Gonda 14, 59100 Prato, Italy

E-mail addresses: cordac.galilei@gmail.com

Abstract

In his paper "A very simple solution to the OPERA neutrino velocity problem" the author J. Manuel Garcia-Islas claims to have very easily solved and explained within the general theory of relativity that OPERA's neutrinos are not traveling faster than the speed of light and the early time arrival is due to the presence of the Earth's gravitational field. In this letter we easily show that the argument by Garcia-Islas does not work.

Although it looks that data suggesting that neutrinos can travel faster than light probably resulted from a faulty connection in a GPS timing system, it is important to clarify that, in any case, the general relativistic effect discussed by Garcia-Islas cannot explain the original OPERA's data.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 14.60.Lm.

The OPERA collaboration claimed that "the measurement indicates an early arrival time of CNGS muon neutrinos with respect to the one computed assuming the speed of light in vacuum" [\[1\]](#page-4-0). Such a claim generated an interesting debate within the Scientific Community. Various authors try to justify or invalidate the results of OPERA by using theoretical analyses, other authors discuss potential errors in OPERA's experimental methodology, especially concerning the clocks' synchronization, see for example refs. [\[2,](#page-4-1) [3,](#page-4-2) [4,](#page-4-3) [5,](#page-4-4) [6,](#page-4-5) [7\]](#page-4-6). Now, it looks that data suggesting that neutrinos can travel faster than light probably resulted from a faulty connection in a GPS timing system [\[13\]](#page-5-0).

In [\[8\]](#page-4-7) the author claims to have very easily solved and explained within the general theory of relativity that OPERA's neutrinos are not traveling faster than the speed of light and the early time arrival is due to the presence of the Earth's gravitational field. In this letter we easily show that the argument in [\[8\]](#page-4-7) does not work. In fact, although the original OPERA's data could be wrong, see for example [\[13\]](#page-5-0), it is important to clarify that, in any case, the general relativistic effect discussed in [\[8\]](#page-4-7) cannot explain such original OPERA's data.

In [\[8\]](#page-4-7) the problem is simplified by assuming that on Earth a massive particle is traveling at velocity v in a circular orbit (or just in an arc $\Delta\varphi$) at a fixed radial distance $r = R$. Then, the proper time of travel measured by an observer which is fixed at the same radial distance is calculated [\[8\]](#page-4-7). The analysis is performed by using the well known Schwarzschild solution to Einstein's field equation [\[8\]](#page-4-7). A first comment is needed. Actually, general relativistic effects, i.e. the presence of the gravitational field, are taken into due account in the process of clocks' synchronization when the GPS receivers are used [\[9\]](#page-5-1). This is exactly the case of the OPERA experiment, which worked with two identical systems installed at CERN and LNGS and composed of a GPS receiver for timetransfer applications Septentrio PolaRx2e operating in "common-view" mode and a Cs atomic clock Symmetricom Cs4000 [\[1\]](#page-4-0). More, synchronization of GPS does not work in Schwarzschild coordinates. Indeed, it works in the Universal Coordinated Time as maintained by the U.S. Naval Observatory on the rotating geoid, but with synchronization established in an underlying, locally inertial, reference frame [\[9\]](#page-5-1). In such a reference frame, by setting $G = c = 1$ with the sign conventions for the line element $(-, +, +, +)$, an approximate solution of Einstein's field equations in isotropic coordinates is used [\[9\]](#page-5-1)

$$
ds^{2} = -(1 + 2(V - \Phi_{0})) dt^{2} + (1 - 2V) (dr^{2} + r^{2} (\sin^{2} \theta d\varphi^{2} + d\theta^{2}))
$$
 (1)

where

$$
V = -\frac{M}{r} \left[1 - J_2 \left(\frac{a_1}{r} \right)^2 P_2 \cos \theta \right],
$$
 (2)

$$
\Phi_0 = -\left(\frac{M}{a_1} + \frac{M J_2}{2a_1} + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 a_1^2\right). \tag{3}
$$

M is the Earth's mass, J_2 is Earth's quadrupole moment coefficient, a_1 is Earth's equatorial radius and ω the Earth's angular velocity.

In any case, we start by showing that the conclusions in $[8]$ are not correct in the framework of the Schwarzschild solution. Then, we will discuss the coordinates [\(1\)](#page-1-0) too.

Let us review the analysis in [\[8\]](#page-4-7).

The Schwarzschild line element reads [\[8,](#page-4-7) [10\]](#page-5-2) (but see [\[11\]](#page-5-3) for clarifying historical notes to this notion)

$$
ds^{2} = -(1 - \frac{2M}{r})dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - \frac{2M}{r}} + r^{2}(\sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2} + d\theta^{2}),
$$
\n(4)

being M the Earth's mass.

Hence, the world line for a massive particle which travels in a circular orbit $r = R$ at a velocity v in the space-time of eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-1) is [\[8\]](#page-4-7)

$$
x^{\mu}(\tau) = \left(\gamma \tau, R, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\gamma v \tau}{R}\right),\tag{5}
$$

where

$$
\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2 - \frac{2M}{R}}}
$$
\n⁽⁶⁾

is the general "gamma factor" in presence of a gravitational field and τ is the proper time for the world line [\(5\)](#page-2-0). The gamma factor [\(6\)](#page-2-1) is obtained by imposing the 4-velocity to be orthogonal for the world line [\[8,](#page-4-7) [10\]](#page-5-2)

$$
g_{\mu\nu}\frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau}\frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau} = -1.
$$
\n(7)

Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-0) gives [\[8\]](#page-4-7)

$$
\frac{d\varphi}{d\tau} = \frac{\gamma v}{R}.\tag{8}
$$

An observer which uses Schwarzschild coordinates measures [\[8\]](#page-4-7)

$$
\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{d\varphi}{d\tau}\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{v}{R}.\tag{9}
$$

On the other hand, a stationary observer fixed at the radial distance R measures a proper time related to the Schwarzschild time coordinate by [\[8,](#page-4-7) [12\]](#page-5-4)

$$
dt' = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{R}} dt.
$$
\n(10)

Such a stationary observer also sees a displacement along a circular arc [\[8\]](#page-4-7)

$$
dl = Rd\varphi. \tag{11}
$$

Then, one can compute the velocity of the particle in orbital motion as measured by the stationary observer at R like $[8]$

$$
\frac{dl}{dt'} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{R}}} \frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{R}}}.\tag{12}
$$

By integrating this expression one gets the time measured by the stationary observer at R for the particle to travel an arc displacement $\triangle l$ on Earth [\[8\]](#page-4-7)

$$
\triangle t' = \frac{\triangle l}{v} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{R}}.\tag{13}
$$

The neutrinos' trajectory can be approximately thought as a circular arc $\triangle l$, which as a numerical value is 731 kilometers [\[8\]](#page-4-7). The author of [8] claims that eq. [\(13\)](#page-2-2) gives the correct answer of the measured time by a stationary observer when these particles travel an angle distance Δl because the time given by formula [\(13\)](#page-2-2) is shorter than the time $\frac{\Delta l}{v}$ the particles will take if they were traveling in flat space-time. Even if the above analysis is correct we easily show that the final conclusion is not correct. In fact, the value of $\frac{2M}{R}$ is of order 6.9 $* 10^{-10}$ [\[4\]](#page-4-3) which gives a correction on $\frac{\Delta l}{v}$ of order 3.4 $* 10^{-10}$. The effect originally measured by the OPERA Collaboration was of order 10^{-5} [\[1\]](#page-4-0). Therefore the gravitational effect is five orders of magnitude less than the one originally measured by OPERA.

Now, let us make the computation by using the approximate solution [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Again, we simplify the problem by assuming a circular orbit, i.e. $r = R$, $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. If one sets such values in the coordinates [\(1\)](#page-1-0) the particle travels at the equator, i.e. $R = a_1$ [\[9\]](#page-5-1). The world line for a massive particle which travels in a circular orbit $r = R$ at a velocity v' in the spacetime of eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) is

$$
x^{\mu}(\tau) = \left(\gamma'\tau, R, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\gamma'v'\tau}{R}\right),\tag{14}
$$

where the condition [\(7\)](#page-2-3) now sets the general gamma factor γ' as

$$
\gamma' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{M J_2}{R} + \omega^2 R^2 - \frac{v'^2}{R^2} \left(1 + \frac{2M}{R}\right)}}.
$$
(15)

Eq. (14) gives

$$
\frac{d\varphi}{d\tau} = \frac{\gamma' v'}{R}.\tag{16}
$$

An observer which uses the coordinates [\(1\)](#page-1-0) measures

$$
\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{d\varphi}{d\tau}\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{v'}{R}.
$$
\n(17)

Now, a stationary observer fixed at the radial distance R measures a proper time related to the time coordinate by [\[8,](#page-4-7) [12\]](#page-5-4)

$$
dt' = \sqrt{1 + \frac{M J_2}{R} + \omega^2 R^2} dt.
$$
 (18)

Such a stationary observer also sees a displacement along a circular arc

$$
dl = \sqrt{1 + \frac{2M}{R}} R d\varphi.
$$
\n(19)

Hence, we can compute the velocity of the particle in orbital motion as measured by the stationary observer at R like

$$
\frac{dl}{dt'} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{2M}{R}R}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{MJ_2}{R} + \omega^2 R^2}} \frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{2M}{R}v'}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{MJ_2}{R} + \omega^2 R^2}}.
$$
(20)

By integrating this expression one gets the time measured by the stationary observer at R for the particle to travel an arc displacement $\triangle l$ on Earth in the coordinates [\(1\)](#page-1-0)

$$
\triangle t' = \frac{\triangle l}{v'} \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{MJ_2}{R} + \omega^2 R^2}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{2M}{R}}}.\tag{21}
$$

 $\frac{M J_2}{R}$ is order 10^{-13} while $\omega^2 R^2$ is order 10^{-12} [\[9\]](#page-5-1). These values, together with the value of $\frac{2M}{R}$, give a correction on $\triangle l$ again of order 10^{-10} which cannot explain the original OPERA's results as the effect originally measured by the OPERA Collaboration was of order 10−⁵ [\[1\]](#page-4-0).

In summary, in this letter we have shown that the claims of the author of [\[8\]](#page-4-7) to have very easily solved and explained within the general theory of relativity that OPERA's neutrinos are not traveling faster than the speed of light and the early time arrival is due to the presence of the Earth's gravitational field are not correct. In fact, the presence of the gravitational field generates a variation of the proper time of order 10[−]¹⁰ in both of the Schwarzschild solution of Einstein's field equations and the approximate solution of Einstein's field equations where GPS receivers are usually synchronized, while the effect originally measured by the OPERA Collaboration is of order 10[−]⁵ [\[1\]](#page-4-0). Thus, although it looks that data suggesting that neutrinos can travel faster than light probably resulted from a faulty connection in a GPS timing system [\[13\]](#page-5-0), it is important to clarify that, in any case, the general relativistic effect discussed by Garcia-Islas in [\[8\]](#page-4-7), cannot explain the original OPERA's data [\[1\]](#page-4-0).

Acknowledgements The R. M. Santilli Foundation has to be thanked for partially supporting this letter (Research Grant Number RMS-TH-5735A2310). It is a pleasure to thank Ruggero M. Santilli, Erasmo Recami, Ammar Sakaji, Ignazio Licata, Herman Mosquera Cuesta, Gaetano Lambiase and Lawrence Crowell for various interesting comments and discussions on the issue of the neutrino faster than the speed of light.

References

- [1] The OPERA Collaboration, [arXiv:1109.4897.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.4897)
- [2] G. Amelino-Camelia et al., [arXiv:1109.5172.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.5172)
- [3] J. P. Mbelek, [arXiv:1110.4095.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.4095)
- [4] O. Besida, [arXiv:1110.2909.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.2909)
- [5] C.R. Contaldi, [arXiv:1109.6160.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.6160)
- [6] J. W. Moffat, [arXiv:1110.1330.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.1330)
- [7] A. G. Cohen and S. L. Glashow, [arXiv:1109.6562.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.6562)
- [8] J. Manuel Garcia-Islas, [arXiv:1110.5866.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.5866)
- [9] N. Ashby, Liv. Rev. Rel. 2003, 1.
- [10] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, J. A. Wheeler, "Gravitation", Feeman and Company (1973).
- [11] C. Corda, Electr. J. Theor. Phys. 8, 25, 65-82 (2011).
- [12] L. Landau and E. Lifsits, Classical Theory of Fields (3rd ed.), London: Pergamon (1971).
- [13] E. Cartlidge, Science 335 (6072): 1027 (2012).