

On higher genus Weierstrass sigma-function

D. Korotkin¹ and V. Shramchenko²

Dedicated to the 60th birthday of Boris Anatol'evich Dubrovin

Abstract. The goal of this paper is to propose a new way to generalize the Weierstrass sigma-function to higher genus Riemann surfaces. Our definition of the odd higher genus sigma-function is based on a generalization of the classical representation of the elliptic sigma-function via Jacobi theta-function. Namely, the odd higher genus sigma-function $\sigma_\chi(u)$ (for $u \in \mathbb{C}^g$) is defined as a product of the theta-function with odd half-integer characteristic β^χ , associated with a spin line bundle χ , an exponent of a certain bilinear form, the determinant of a period matrix and a power of the product of all even theta-constants which are non-vanishing on a given Riemann surface.

We also define an even sigma-function corresponding to an arbitrary even spin structure on \mathcal{L} . Even sigma-functions are constructed as a straightforward analog of a classical formula relating even and odd sigma-functions. In higher genus the even sigma-functions are well-defined on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces outside of a subspace defined by vanishing of the corresponding even theta-constant.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Theta-function: summary	5
3	Weierstrass sigma-function	6
4	Some auxiliary objects	7
4.1	Definitions	7
4.2	Transformation properties	8
5	Odd sigma-function in higher genus	9
5.1	Periodicity of odd sigma-functions	10
5.2	Transformation of σ_χ under change of canonical basis of cycles	11
6	Even sigma-functions in higher genus	12

¹e-mail: korotkin@mathstat.concordia.ca; address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University 1455 de Maisonneuve Blvd. West, Montreal H3G 1M8 Quebec, Canada

²e-mail: vasilisa.shramchenko@usherbrooke.ca; address: Département de Mathématiques, Université de Sherbrooke; 2500 boul. de l'Université, Sherbrooke J1K 2R1 Québec, Canada

7	Dependence on a choice of a marked point and a local parameter	13
8	Sigma-function as a function on a Riemann surface	14
9	Hyperelliptic sigma-functions	15
10	Open questions	16

1 Introduction

Classically, there exist two main approaches to the theory of elliptic functions: the Jacobi approach based on the theory of theta-functions, and the Weierstrass approach. Each of these two pictures has its advantages and disadvantages when tackling a concrete problem, but essentially they are completely equivalent to each other. Strangely enough, Jacobi's picture admits a very natural and well-developed generalization to the higher genus case, while the Weierstrass picture remains essentially undeveloped.

Generalization of the Weierstrass sigma-function to higher genus started from works of F.Klein who treated the hyperelliptic case in [10] and Baker [2]. In §27 of subsequent work [11], F. Klein constructed a sigma-function for an arbitrary curve of genus 3, making the first step beyond the hyperelliptic case. More recently the subject attracted attention of many researchers (Buchstaber, Leykin, Enolskii, Nakayashiki and others [3, 4, 14, 15]) who developed the theory of higher genus sigma-function for the so-called (n, s) -curves. It turns out that the sigma-functions are a convenient tool in description of algebro-geometric solutions of integrable systems of KP type as well as in description of Jacobi and Kummer algebraic varieties.

In this paper we introduce a notion of a higher genus sigma-function for an arbitrary Riemann surface of genus g . We construct a straightforward and natural analogue of elliptic sigma-functions following the classical formalism of the theory of elliptic functions as close as possible. Our definition of the higher genus sigma-function resembles the genus three sigma-function of Klein from [11], although we also use some ingredients of recent works [3, 14]. The main role in our approach is played by the matrix of logarithmic derivatives of the product of all non-vanishing theta-constants with respect to entries of the matrix of b -periods. The even sigma-functions defined via our scheme are modular invariant; as far as the odd sigma-functions are concerned, we can only claim modular invariance of a certain power of them.

Our generalization of the notion of a sigma-function to an arbitrary Riemann surface is based on the following expression of the sigma-function in terms of the Jacobi theta-function θ_1 , which is the elliptic theta-function with the odd characteristic $[1/2, 1/2]$ ([16], Section 21.43):

$$\sigma(u) = \frac{2\omega_1}{\theta_1'} \exp \left\{ \frac{\eta_1}{2\omega_1} u^2 \right\} \theta_1 \left(\frac{u}{2\omega_1} \middle| \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where the parameters η_1 and ω_1 are related via the equation

$$\omega_1 \eta_1 = -\frac{1}{12} \frac{\theta_1'''}{\theta_1'}. \quad (1.2)$$

Besides the odd sigma-function (1.1), in classical theory there exist 3 even sigma-functions [16].

To define the higher genus sigma-functions we start from the following data: a Riemann surface \mathcal{L} of genus g with a chosen canonical basis cycles $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=1}^g$, a marked point $x_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ with some local parameter ζ , and an odd non-singular spin line bundle χ .

Using the local parameter ζ we build a distinguished basis of holomorphic differentials v_j^0 which is independent of the choice of a canonical basis of cycles on \mathcal{L} (the differentials v_i^0 are defined by their local behaviour near x_0 as follows: $v_j^0(x) = (\zeta^{k_j-1} + O(\zeta^{k_j}))d\zeta$, where (k_1, \dots, k_g) is the Weierstrass gap sequence at x_0). The matrices of a - and b -periods of these differentials we denote by $2\omega_1$ and $2\omega_2$, respectively. We have $\omega_2 = \omega_1\mathbb{B}$, where \mathbb{B} is the matrix of b -periods of \mathcal{L} . Let us denote by \mathcal{S} the set of even characteristics β^μ such that $\theta[\beta^\mu](0|\mathbb{B}) \neq 0$ (or, equivalently, the set of non-vanishing theta-constants on \mathcal{L}); by N we denote the number of these characteristics. For a generic curve, according to Th.7 of [7], $N = 2^{2g-1} + 2^{g-1}$; for a hyperelliptic curve $N = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2g+2}{g+1}$. The key ingredient of our construction is the product of all non-vanishing theta-constants, we denote this product by \mathcal{F} :

$$\mathcal{F} = \prod_{\beta^\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \theta[\beta^\mu](0|\mathbb{B}) .$$

The following symmetric matrix Λ which is proportional to the matrix of derivatives of $\log \mathcal{F}$ with respect to matrix entries of \mathbb{B} plays the main role in the sequel:

$$\Lambda_{ij} = -\frac{\pi i}{N} \frac{\partial \log \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathbb{B}_{ij}} = -\frac{1}{4N} \sum_{\beta^\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{\theta[\beta^\mu](0|\mathbb{B})} \frac{\partial^2 \theta[\beta^\mu](z|\mathbb{B})}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \Big|_{z=0} .$$

This matrix was used in [11] (see §25) where it played the same role as here in the construction of sigma-functions in genus 3.

Now we define two other matrices, η_1 and η_2 as follows:

$$\eta_1 = (\omega_1^t)^{-1} \Lambda , \quad \eta_2 = \omega_1^{t-1} \Lambda \mathbb{B} - \frac{\pi i}{2} \omega_1^{t-1} . \quad (1.3)$$

The matrices $\omega_{1,2}$ and $\eta_{1,2}$ defined in this way satisfy equations $\omega_2 \omega_1^t = \omega_1 \omega_2^t$, $\eta_2 \eta_1^t = \eta_1 \eta_2^t$ and the higher genus analogue of the Legendre relation $\eta_1 \omega_2^t = \eta_2 \omega_1^t + \frac{\pi i}{2} I_g$, where I_g is the $g \times g$ unit matrix. Note that the first relation in (1.3) gives a natural generalization of (1.2).

Consider the Abel map $\mathcal{U}(x) = \int_{x_0}^x v_i$ on \mathcal{L} . Here v_i are holomorphic 1-forms on \mathcal{L} normalized via relations $\int_{a_i} v_j = \delta_{ij}$. Our construction does not depend on the choice of the initial point for the Abel map. We choose this point to coincide with x_0 , the point of normalisation for the holomorphic differentials v_i^0 .

Let us denote by D the positive divisor of degree $g-1$ corresponding to the odd spin line bundle χ . Since the divisor $2D$ lies in the canonical class, the vector $\mathcal{U}(D) + K^{x_0}$ (here K^{x_0} is the vector of Riemann constants corresponding to the point x_0) equals $\mathbb{B}\beta_1 + \beta_2$, where $\beta_{1,2} \in 1/2\mathbb{Z}^g$. Denote by β^χ , the odd theta-characteristic defined by the vectors $[\beta_1, \beta_2]$.

As a straightforward analogy to (1.1) we define an odd higher genus sigma-function corresponding to an arbitrary non-singular odd spin structure χ as follows:

$$\sigma_\chi(u) = \mathcal{F}^{-3/N} \det(2\omega_1) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} u^t (\eta_1 \omega_1^{-1}) u\right) \theta[\beta^\chi]((2\omega_1)^{-1} u|\mathbb{B}) , \quad (1.4)$$

where $\theta[\beta^\chi](z|\mathbb{B})$ is the Riemann theta-function with the odd characteristics β^χ .

The function σ_χ is modular invariant in the following sense: under a change of canonical basis of cycles σ_χ^{8N} remains invariant, i.e., σ_χ itself can get multiplied by a root of unity of degree $8N$. Thus modular properties of σ_χ are determined by a homomorphism of the modular group to the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_{8N} .

The matrices $\omega_{1,2}$ and $\eta_{1,2}$ depend on the choice of a base point x_0 and a local parameter ζ . However, the sigma-functions corresponding to different choices of a marked point turn out to be equivalent: they coincide up to a linear change of the variable u .

In addition to the odd sigma-function $\sigma(u)$, Weierstrass introduced three even sigma-functions σ_r , $r = 1, 2, 3$, which are proportional to even Jacobi theta-function. The even sigma-functions are expressed in terms of σ as follows:

$$\sigma_r(u) = \frac{e^{-\eta_r u} \sigma(u + \omega_r)}{\sigma(\omega_r)}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\omega_3 = -\omega_1 - \omega_2$ and $\eta_3 = -\eta_1 - \eta_2$. Applying a higher genus analogue of formula (1.5) to any of the odd sigma-functions (1.4), we define a higher genus even sigma-function corresponding to an arbitrary even spin line bundle μ :

$$\sigma_\mu(u) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}u^t(\eta_1\omega_1^{-1})u\right) \frac{\theta[\beta^\mu]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u|\mathbb{B})}{\theta[\beta^\mu](0|\mathbb{B})}. \quad (1.6)$$

The functions σ_μ themselves (not their $8N$ th power, as in the case of σ_χ) are invariant under any change of the canonical basis of cycles. The function σ_μ is well-defined for all Riemann surfaces for which $\theta[\beta^\mu](0|\mathbb{B}) \neq 0$.

We find our present definition of the higher genus sigma-function more natural than the definitions of this object given in [3, 4, 15, 14] and other previous works due to the following reasons. First, in contrast to these works, we do not make use of any concrete realization of a Riemann surface in the form of an algebraic equation. Second, in [3, 4, 15, 14] a higher genus sigma-function was defined only for a class of the so-called (n, s) -curves. On such a curve there exists a holomorphic 1-form with the only zero of multiplicity $2g - 2$ (see [14]); therefore these curves form only a tiny subset (a subspace of codimension $g - 2$) in the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. Third, the genus one relation (1.2) was previously not carried over to higher genus (except, perhaps, the hyperelliptic case where some analog of (1.2) was derived in [5]; however, the relation from [5] differs from ours). The formulas relating odd and even sigma-functions in genus one were also not generalized to higher genus. Fourth, in previous works (except the hyperelliptic case) the moduli-dependent factor which provides the modular invariance of (a power of) an odd sigma-function was not introduced. Finally, our definition of the higher genus sigma-function naturally generalizes the approach of F. Klein [11] to sigma-functions of non-hyperelliptic genus three Riemann surfaces.

The paper is organized as follows.

In section 2 we collect necessary facts about theta-functions. In section 3 we review definitions and properties of elliptic sigma-functions. In section 4 we introduce a few auxiliary objects and study their transformation properties under the change of canonical basis of cycles. In section 5 we define the odd sigma-functions for a generic Riemann surface of arbitrary genus and analyse their periodicity and modular properties. In section 6 we introduce even sigma-functions in arbitrary genus and study their properties. In section 7 we show that the sigma-functions corresponding to a different choice of the base point and the local parameter are equivalent, i.e., can be obtained from each other by a linear change of variables. In Section 8 we replace the argument u of the sigma-function by the Abel map of a point on the Riemann surface and thus consider the sigma-function as a function on the surface. In Section 9 we use Thomae's formulas to treat the hyperelliptic case in more detail.

2 Theta-function: summary

Let us recall the definition and properties of the Riemann theta-function.

The genus g theta-function with characteristics $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$ (where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^g$), with the matrix of periods \mathbb{B} and an argument $z \in \mathbb{C}^g$ is defined as follows:

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (z|\mathbb{B}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp\{\pi i((m + \alpha)^t \mathbb{B}(m + \alpha) + 2\pi i(m + \alpha)^t (z + \beta))\} .$$

The theta-function possesses the following quasi-periodicity properties with respect to shifts of its argument by the period vectors:

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (z + \mathbf{k}_1|\mathbb{B}) = e^{2\pi i(\alpha^t \mathbf{k}_1)} \theta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (z|\mathbb{B}) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (z + \mathbb{B}\mathbf{k}_2|\mathbb{B}) = e^{-2\pi i(\beta^t \mathbf{k}_2)} e^{-\pi i(k_2^t) \mathbb{B} k_2 - 2\pi i(k_2^t) z} \theta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (z|\mathbb{B}), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mathbf{k}_{1,2} \in \mathbb{Z}^g$ are arbitrary.

To describe modular properties of the theta-function consider a symplectic transformation of the basis of cycles on the surface \mathcal{L}

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}^\gamma \\ \mathbf{a}^\gamma \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.3)$$

defined by the matrix

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) . \quad (2.4)$$

Here $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_g)^t$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_g)^t$ are vectors composed of basis cycles.

The corresponding modular transformation of the theta-function looks as follows (see for example [8], page 7):

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}^\gamma (((C\mathbb{B} + D)^t)^{-1} z|\mathbb{B}^\gamma) = \xi(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) \{\det(C\mathbb{B} + D)\}^{1/2} e^{\pi i\{(z^t)(C\mathbb{B} + D)^{-1} C z\}} \theta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (z|\mathbb{B}), \quad (2.5)$$

where $\xi(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) = \rho(\gamma)\kappa(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$; $\rho(\gamma)$ is a root of unity of degree 8;

$$\kappa(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) = \exp\{\pi i[(\alpha^t D^t - \beta^t C^t)(-B\alpha + A\beta + (A(B)^t)_0) - (\alpha^t)\beta]\}, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}^\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} D & -C \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (CD^t)_0 \\ (AB^t)_0 \end{bmatrix} . \quad (2.7)$$

For an arbitrary matrix M , the notation M_0 is used for the column vector of diagonal entries of M .

The transformation of the Riemann matrix \mathbb{B} of b -periods is as follows [9]:

$$\mathbb{B}^\gamma = (A\mathbb{B} + B)(C\mathbb{B} + D)^{-1}. \quad (2.8)$$

3 Weierstrass sigma-function

Let us first briefly discuss the classical Weierstrass sigma-function from a convenient perspective (see, for example, chapter 20 of [16]).

Let \mathcal{L} be a Riemann surface of genus 1 with an arbitrary (not necessarily normalized) holomorphic differential v^0 on \mathcal{L} and a canonical basis $\{a, b\}$ of $H_1(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{Z})$. Introduce a - and b -periods of v^0 : $2\omega_1 := \oint_a v^0$ and $2\omega_2 := \oint_b v^0$. Choosing $x_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ as the initial point, we can map the surface \mathcal{L} to the fundamental parallelogram $J(\mathcal{L})$ with periods $2\omega_1$ and $2\omega_2$ and identified opposite sides. This map is given by $u(x) = \int_{x_0}^x v^0$; then u can be used as a local coordinate on \mathcal{L} . Now introduce the Weierstrass \wp -function, which is a double periodic function of u with the periods $2\omega_1$ and $2\omega_2$ and a second order pole at 0 such that $\wp(u) \sim u^{-2} + o(1)$ as $u \rightarrow 0$. Then the ζ -function is defined via $-\zeta'(u) = \wp(u)$ and $\zeta(u) = u^{-1} + o(1)$ as $u \rightarrow 0$, and, finally, the sigma-function is defined by the equation $\zeta(u) = \sigma'(u)/\sigma(u)$ and $\sigma(u) = u + o(u)$ as $u \rightarrow 0$.

The sigma-function defined in this way has the following properties which characterize it uniquely:

- A. $\sigma(u)$ is holomorphic in the fundamental parallelogram with the sides $2\omega_1$ and $2\omega_2$ and has a simple zero at $u = 0$.
- B. $\sigma(u)$ satisfies the following periodicity relations:

$$\sigma(u + 2\omega_1) = -e^{2\eta_1(\omega_1+u)}\sigma(u), \quad \sigma(u + 2\omega_2) = -e^{2\eta_2(\omega_2+u)}\sigma(u),$$

where $\eta_1 := \zeta(\omega_1)$ and $\eta_2 := \zeta(\omega_2)$; these constants are related to periods ω_1 and ω_2 via the Legendre relation $\eta_1\omega_2 - \eta_2\omega_1 = \pi i/2$ and

$$\omega_1\eta_1 = -\frac{1}{12} \frac{\theta_1'''}{\theta_1'}.$$

- C. Consider an arbitrary matrix $\gamma \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of the form (2.4) acting on the periods $\omega_{1,2}$ as follows: $\omega_1^\gamma = C\omega_2 + D\omega_1$, $\omega_2^\gamma = A\omega_2 + B\omega_1$. Then the sigma-functions corresponding to two sets of periods, coincide:

$$\sigma(u; \omega_1, \omega_2) = \sigma(u; \omega_1^\gamma, \omega_2^\gamma).$$

- D. The sigma-function is locally holomorphic as a function of periods ω_1 and ω_2 , which play the role of moduli parameters. Moreover, $\sigma(u; \omega_1, \omega_2)$ neither vanishes nor diverges identically in u for any values of ω_1 and ω_2 as long as $\Im(\omega_1/\omega_2)$ remains positive.
- E. Normalization: $\sigma'(0) = 1$.

These properties determine the way to generalize the classical sigma-function to an arbitrary genus. However, in higher genus it turns out to be impossible to satisfy all of the properties A-E simultaneously. Therefore, we shall keep only the (appropriately reformulated) properties A-C as the main principles. The property D, as it stands, can not be fulfilled in arbitrary genus. Namely, the higher genus sigma-function is well-defined and holomorphic with respect to moduli on each stratum of the moduli space where the given set of theta-constants remains non-vanishing. On the subspace of the moduli space where some of these theta-constants vanish the sigma-function becomes singular as a function of moduli, and has to be redefined using the new set of non-vanishing theta-constants. The property E does not have an obvious natural analog in the case of a function of several variables; therefore, we are not going to carry it over to the higher genus case.

The odd Weierstrass sigma-function is expressed in terms of the Jacobi theta-function θ_1 , and periods ω_1, ω_2 and η_1 ([16], Section 21.43) by (1.1).

This formula is the starting point of our construction of higher genus odd sigma-functions.

To construct even σ -functions in any genus we shall generalize formula (1.5).

4 Some auxiliary objects

4.1 Definitions

Fix a Riemann surface \mathcal{L} with a marked point x_0 and a chosen local parameter ζ in neighbourhood of x_0 .

Let $1 = k_1 < k_2, \dots, < k_g \leq 2g - 1$ be the Weierstrass gap sequence at x_0 (if x_0 is a non-Weierstrass point, the gap sequence is $(1, 2, \dots, g - 1, g)$).

Definition 1 *The basis of holomorphic differentials v_1^0, \dots, v_g^0 is called “distinguished” if in a neighbourhood of x_0 the holomorphic differentials v_i^0 have the following expansion in the distinguished local parameter ζ :*

$$v_j^0(x) = (\zeta^{k_j-1} + O(\zeta^{k_g}))d\zeta. \quad (4.1)$$

The existence of holomorphic differentials with zeros of order (exactly) $k_j - 1$ at the Weierstrass point x_0 is an immediate corollary of the Riemann-Roch theorem. The required structure of higher order terms in the Taylor series can always be achieved by taking linear combinations of such differentials.

Now let us choose some symplectic basis $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=1}^g$ in $H_1(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{Z})$, and introduce matrices of a - and b -periods of v_i^0 :

$$2(\omega_1)_{ij} = \int_{a_j} v_i^0, \quad 2(\omega_2)_{ij} = \int_{b_j} v_i^0.$$

Then the matrix of b -periods of the surface \mathcal{L} is given by

$$\mathbb{B} = \omega_1^{-1} \omega_2. \quad (4.2)$$

Denote the set of all non-singular even theta-characteristics β^μ on \mathcal{L} by \mathcal{S} , and their number by N ; for a generic surface $N = 2^{2g-1} + 2^{g-1}$. Consider the product of all non-vanishing theta-constants:

$$\mathcal{F} = \prod_{\beta^\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \theta[\beta^\mu](0|\mathbb{B}). \quad (4.3)$$

Let us introduce the following $g \times g$ symmetric matrix Λ :

$$\Lambda_{ij} = -\frac{\pi i}{N} \frac{\partial \log \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathbb{B}_{ij}}, \quad (4.4)$$

which, according to the heat equation for theta-function, can be written as

$$\Lambda_{ij} = -\frac{1}{4N} \sum_{\beta^\mu \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{\theta[\beta^\mu](0|\mathbb{B})} \frac{\partial^2 \theta[\beta^\mu](z|\mathbb{B})}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \Big|_{z=0}. \quad (4.5)$$

Let us now define matrices η_1 and η_2 as follows:

$$\eta_1 = (\omega_1^t)^{-1} \Lambda, \quad \eta_2 = \omega_1^{t-1} (\Lambda \mathbb{B} - \frac{\pi i}{2} I_g). \quad (4.6)$$

Definition (4.6) together with the symmetry of matrices $\mathbb{B} = \omega_1^{-1} \omega_2$ and Λ immediately imply the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} -\omega_2 \omega_1^t + \omega_1 \omega_2^t &= 0, \\ -\eta_2 \eta_1^t + \eta_1 \eta_2^t &= 0, \\ -\eta_2 \omega_1^t + \eta_1 \omega_2^t &= \frac{\pi i}{2} I_g. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Relation (4.7) is a straightforward higher genus analogue of the Legendre relation.

Definition (4.6) implies also the following relation between $\omega_{1,2}$ and $\eta_{1,2}$:

$$\eta_1^t \omega_2 - \omega_1^t \eta_2 = \frac{\pi i}{2} I_g. \quad (4.8)$$

In the sequel we shall make use of the matrix

$$\eta_1 \omega_1^{-1} = (\omega_1^t)^{-1} \Lambda \omega_1^{-1}, \quad (4.9)$$

which is obviously symmetric as a corollary of the symmetry of Λ .

4.2 Transformation properties

Let us now see how all the matrices defined in Section 4 transform under a symplectic transformation (2.3), (2.4) of the canonical basis of cycles on \mathcal{L} .

Lemma 1 *Under a change of the canonical homology basis (2.3) the matrix Λ transforms as follows:*

$$\Lambda^\gamma = (C\mathbb{B} + D)\Lambda(C\mathbb{B} + D)^t - \frac{\pi i}{2} C(C\mathbb{B} + D)^t. \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. Let us use the formula (4.4) for Λ . Due to (2.5) we have

$$\mathcal{F}^\gamma = \epsilon \{ \det(C\mathbb{B} + D) \}^{N/2} \mathcal{F}, \quad (4.11)$$

where ϵ is a root of unity of degree 8, i.e.,

$$\log \mathcal{F}^\gamma = \log \mathcal{F} + \frac{N}{2} \log \{ \det(C\mathbb{B} + D) \} + \log \epsilon. \quad (4.12)$$

Using definition (4.4) of the matrix Λ , we get

$$(\Lambda^\gamma)_{ij} = -\frac{\pi i}{N} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^\gamma}{\partial \mathbb{B}_{ij}^\gamma}. \quad (4.13)$$

The Riemann matrix \mathbb{B} transforms as in (2.8) under the change of a symplectic basis. Substituting (2.8) and (4.12) into (4.13) and taking into account that

$$\partial_{\mathbb{B}_{ij}} \log \det(C\mathbb{B} + D) = \text{Tr} \{ [\partial_{\mathbb{B}_{ij}} (C\mathbb{B} + D)] (C\mathbb{B} + D)^{-1} \},$$

we see that the matrix Λ^γ is given by (4.10).

Alternatively one can prove the lemma by a straightforward differentiation of (2.5) with respect to z_i and z_j and then putting $z = 0$. \square

Now we are in a position to prove transformation formulas for the matrices $\omega_{1,2}$ and $\eta_{1,2}$ under the change of a canonical basis of cycles:

Lemma 2 Under a symplectic transformation (2.3) the matrices $\omega_{1,2}$ and $\eta_{1,2}$ transform as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1^\gamma &= \omega_2 C^t + \omega_1 D^t, & \omega_2^\gamma &= \omega_2 A^t + \omega_1 B^t, \\ \eta_1^\gamma &= \eta_2 C^t + \eta_1 D^t, & \eta_2^\gamma &= \eta_2 A^t + \eta_1 B^t.\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

Proof. The transformation of the matrices of periods ω_1 and ω_2 (4.14) follows from the fact that the choice of holomorphic differentials v_i^0 depends only on a marked point x_0 and local parameter ζ , and does not depend on the canonical basis of cycles.

The transformation of η_1 and η_2 is immediately implied by their definition (4.6) and the transformation laws for ω_1 (given by (4.14)), \mathbb{B} (given by (2.8)), Λ (given by (4.10)) and the following relations for the blocks of a symplectic matrix γ (2.4): $C^t A = A^t C$, $D^t B = B^t D$, $D^t A - B^t C = 1$. \square

5 Odd sigma-function in higher genus

To generalize $\sigma(u)$ to any genus consider a Riemann surface \mathcal{L} of genus g and an odd non-degenerate spin structure χ on \mathcal{L} . Fix a point $x_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ and a local parameter $\zeta(x)$ in a neighbourhood of x_0 . Consider the corresponding distinguished basis of holomorphic differentials v_1^0, \dots, v_g^0 and their matrices of periods $2\omega_1$ and $2\omega_2$. Introduce matrices $\eta_{1,2}$ by (4.6).

Formula (1.1) relating the genus one odd sigma-function with the theta-function θ_1 has four main ingredients, which will be generalized to any $g > 1$ as follows:

1. The theta-function with an odd half integer characteristic (which is unique in genus 1) $\theta_1(u/2\omega_1)$ (recall that $\theta_1 = -\theta[1/2, 1/2]$).

For genus g Riemann surfaces we choose some odd non-singular spin structure χ and (ignoring the minus sign relating θ_1 and $\theta[1/2, 1/2]$ since θ_1 enters the definition of σ twice) replace $\theta_1(u/2\omega_1)$ by $\theta[\beta^\chi]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u)$, with $u \in \mathbb{C}^g$, where $[\beta^\chi] = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and the vectors $\beta_{1,2} \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}^g$ are defined by

$$\mathbb{B}\beta_1 + \beta_2 = K^{x_0} + \mathcal{U}_{x_0}(D).\tag{5.1}$$

Here D is the divisor corresponding to the spin structure χ , \mathcal{U}_{x_0} is the Abel map and K^{x_0} is the vector of Riemann constants with the base point x_0 .

2. The exponent of the expression $(\eta_1/2\omega_1)u^2$. For any $g > 1$ this term is replaced by the exponent of the bilinear form $\frac{1}{2}u^t(\eta_1\omega_1^{-1})u$.
3. The factor $2\omega_1$, which does not depend on the argument u . For any $g > 1$ this factor is replaced by $\det(2\omega_1)$.
4. The factor θ'_1 , which equals $\pi\theta_2\theta_3\theta_4$ according to Jacobi's formula. This factor does not depend on the argument u , but depends on $\mathbb{B} = \omega_1^{-1}\omega_2$. For any $g > 1$ we shall replace this factor by $\mathcal{F}^{3/N}$, where \mathcal{F} given by (4.3) is the product of all non-vanishing theta-constants on \mathcal{L} .

Definition 2 The sigma-function corresponding to an odd non-singular spin structure χ is defined by the formula

$$\sigma_\chi(u) = \mathcal{F}^{-3/N} \det(2\omega_1) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}u^t(\eta_1\omega_1^{-1})u\right) \theta[\beta^\chi]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u|\mathbb{B}).\tag{5.2}$$

Obviously, $\sigma_\chi(u)$ is an odd function since $\theta[\beta^\chi]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u|\mathbb{B})$ is odd. Now we are going to study other properties of the odd sigma-function.

5.1 Periodicity of odd sigma-functions

Periodicity properties of $\sigma_\chi(u)$ (5.2) are given by the following proposition:

Proposition 1 *The function $\sigma_\chi(u)$ has the following transformation properties with respect to shifts of the argument u by lattice vectors $2\omega_1\mathbf{k}_1$ and $2\omega_2\mathbf{k}_2$ for any $\mathbf{k}_{1,2} \in \mathbb{Z}^g$:*

$$\sigma_\chi(u + 2\omega_1\mathbf{k}_1) = e^{2\pi i(\beta_1^t)\mathbf{k}_1} e^{2(\omega_1\mathbf{k}_1+u)^t\eta_1\mathbf{k}_1} \sigma_\chi(u), \quad (5.3)$$

$$\sigma_\chi(u + 2\omega_2\mathbf{k}_2) = e^{2\pi i(\beta_2^t)\mathbf{k}_2} e^{2(\omega_2\mathbf{k}_2+u)^t\eta_2\mathbf{k}_2} \sigma_\chi(u) \quad (5.4)$$

where β_1 and β_2 are vectors forming the non-singular odd characteristic β^χ .

Proof. To prove (5.3) we first use the corresponding periodicity property of the theta-function (2.1), which produces the first exponential factor in (5.3). The multiplier coming from the exponential term in (5.2) equals

$$\exp \frac{1}{2} \{ (u^t + 2\mathbf{k}_1^t\omega_1^t)\eta_1\omega_1^{-1}(u + 2\omega_1\mathbf{k}_1) - u^t\eta_1\omega_1^{-1}u \},$$

which can be brought into the form of the second exponential factor in (5.3) by a simple computation using the symmetry of the matrix $\eta_1^t\omega_1 = \Lambda$.

The proof of (5.4) is slightly more complicated; besides (2.2) it requires also the use of relation (4.7).

Namely, consider the expression

$$\log \frac{\sigma_\chi(u + 2\omega_2\mathbf{k}_2)}{\sigma_\chi(u)}. \quad (5.5)$$

The contribution from the exponential term in (5.2) to this expression is

$$\frac{1}{2} \{ (u^t + 2\mathbf{k}_2^t\omega_2^t)\eta_1\omega_1^{-1}(u + 2\omega_2\mathbf{k}_2) - u^t\eta_1\omega_1^{-1}u \},$$

which equals

$$2\mathbf{k}_2^t\omega_2^t\eta_1\omega_1^{-1}\omega_2\mathbf{k}_2 + 2u^t\eta_1\omega_1^{-1}\omega_2\mathbf{k}_2, \quad (5.6)$$

where the symmetry of the matrix $\eta_1\omega_1^{-1}$ (4.9) was used. The contribution from the second exponential term in the transformation of the theta-function (2.2) to (5.5) equals

$$- \pi i \mathbf{k}_2^t\omega_1^{-1}\omega_2\mathbf{k}_2 - \pi i \mathbf{k}_2^t\omega_1^{-1}u. \quad (5.7)$$

Now the sum of first terms in (5.6) and (5.7) gives

$$2\mathbf{k}_2^t \left\{ \omega_2^t\eta_1 - \frac{\pi i}{2} I_g \right\} \omega_1^{-1}\omega_2\mathbf{k}_2 = 2\mathbf{k}_2^t\eta_2^t\omega_2\mathbf{k}_2 = 2\mathbf{k}_2^t\omega_2^t\eta_2\mathbf{k}_2, \quad (5.8)$$

where in the first equality we used the relation (4.8). In the second equality we used the symmetry of $\omega_2^t\eta_2 = \mathbb{B}\Lambda\mathbb{B} - \frac{\pi i}{2}\mathbb{B}$.

Now consider the sum of second terms in (5.6) and (5.7). This sum is equal to

$$2u^t \left(\eta_1\omega_2^t - \frac{\pi i}{2} \right) (\omega_1^t)^{-1}\mathbf{k}_2 = 2u^t\eta_2\mathbf{k}_2, \quad (5.9)$$

where we used the symmetry of matrix $\mathbb{B} = \omega_1^{-1}\omega_2$ and (4.7). The sum of expressions (5.8) and (5.9) gives the second exponent in (5.4). \square

5.2 Transformation of σ_χ under change of canonical basis of cycles

To deduce modular properties of the sigma-function, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3 *For an arbitrary $\gamma \in Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ of the form (2.4) acting on symplectic homology basis on \mathcal{L} according to (2.3) the characteristics $(\beta^x)^\gamma$ and β^x are related by (2.7).*

Proof. According to Lemma 1.5 on p.11 of [9], the vectors of Riemann constant $K_{x_0}^\gamma$ and K_{x_0} are related by (modulo the lattice of periods):

$$K_{x_0}^\gamma \equiv [(C\mathbb{B} + D)^t]^{-1} K_{x_0} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B}(CD^t)_0 + \frac{1}{2} (AB^t)_0,$$

which immediately shows that $(\beta^x)^\gamma$ and β^x are related by (2.7). \square

The modular properties of the odd sigma-function are described in the next theorem.

Theorem 1 *The function $\sigma_\chi(u)$ (5.2) is invariant with respect to symplectic transformations up to a possible multiplication with a root of unity of degree $8N$.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary $\gamma \in Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ of the form (2.4) acting on a symplectic homology basis on \mathcal{L} according to (2.3) and consider the ratio of sigma-functions:

$$\frac{\sigma_\chi^\gamma(u)}{\sigma_\chi(u)} = e^{\frac{1}{2}u^t(\eta_1^\gamma(\omega_1^\gamma)^{-1} - \eta_1\omega_1^{-1})u} \left(\frac{\det\omega_1^\gamma}{\det\omega_1} \right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}^\gamma}{\mathcal{F}} \right)^{-3/N} \frac{\theta[\beta^{x^\gamma}]((2\omega_1^\gamma)^{-1}u|\mathbb{B}^\gamma)}{\theta[\beta^x]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u|\mathbb{B})}. \quad (5.10)$$

According to (2.5), we have

$$\frac{\theta[\beta^{x^\gamma}]((2\omega_1^\gamma)^{-1}u|\mathbb{B}^\gamma)}{\theta[\beta^x]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u|\mathbb{B})} = \xi(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) \{ \det(C\mathbb{B} + D) \}^{1/2} e^{\pi i \{ (z^t)(C\mathbb{B} + D)^{-1}Cz \}}, \quad (5.11)$$

where $z = (2\omega_1)^{-1}u$.

Look first at the exponential factor in the ratio (5.10) composed of the first multiplier in (5.10), as well as the last term in the transformation of theta-function (5.11). It is convenient to use the variable $z = (2\omega_1)^{-1}u$. The term in the exponent arising from (5.11) equals

$$\pi i (z^t)(C\mathbb{B} + D)^{-1}Cz = \pi i (z^t)(C^t)(\mathbb{B}C^t + D^t)^{-1}z. \quad (5.12)$$

On the other hand, the first term in (5.10) can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2(z^t)(\omega_1)^t \{ (\eta_2 C^t + \eta_1 D^t)(\omega_2 C^t + \omega_1 D^t)^{-1} - \eta_1 \omega_1^{-1} \} \omega_1 z \\ = 2(z^t)(\omega_1)^t \{ (\eta_2 C^t + \eta_1 D^t)(\omega_1^{-1} \omega_2 C^t + D^t)^{-1} - \eta_1 \} z. \end{aligned}$$

Recall now that $\mathbb{B} = \omega_1^{-1} \omega_2 = \omega_2^t (\omega_1^t)^{-1}$, and the above expression rewrites as

$$2(z^t)(\omega_1)^t \{ \eta_2 C^t + \eta_1 D^t - \eta_1 (\omega_2^t (\omega_1^t)^{-1} C^t + D^t) \} (\mathbb{B}C^t + D^t)^{-1} z. \quad (5.13)$$

Using the relation $\eta_1 \omega_2^t = \eta_2 \omega_1^t + \frac{\pi i}{2} I_g$ from (4.7), we rewrite (5.13) as

$$-\pi i (z^t) C^t (\mathbb{B}C^t + D^t)^{-1} z,$$

which cancels against (5.12). Therefore, the exponential term in (5.10) is equal to 1.

Consider the term involving $\det(C\mathbb{B} + D)^{1/2}$ in (5.10). This term appears from the transformation law (2.5) of the theta-function, as well as from the transformation law (4.11) of the function \mathcal{F} , and the transformation law of $\det\omega_1$; this term cancels out similarly to the genus 1 case.

What remains is a root of unity of eight's degree from the transformation of the theta-function, as well as a root of unity of degree $8N$ from the transformation of $\mathcal{F}^{3/N}$ which give altogether a root of unity of degree $8N$ in the transformation of σ_χ . \square

6 Even sigma-functions in higher genus

Even elliptic sigma-functions are given by

$$\sigma_r(u) = \frac{e^{-\eta_r u} \sigma(u + \omega_r)}{\sigma(\omega_r)} \quad (6.1)$$

for $r = 1, 2, 3$, where $\omega_3 = -\omega_1 - \omega_2$ and $\eta_3 = -\eta_1 - \eta_2$. The enumeration of sigma-functions is different from theta-functions: σ is proportional to θ_1 , σ_3 is proportional to θ_3 (i.e. usual theta-function with 0 characteristic), σ_1 is proportional to θ_2 , and σ_2 to θ_4 :

$$\sigma_1(u) = \exp\left(\frac{\eta_1 u^2}{2\omega_1}\right) \frac{\theta_2\left(\frac{u}{2\omega_1}\right)}{\theta_2}, \quad \sigma_2(u) = \exp\left(\frac{\eta_1 u^2}{2\omega_1}\right) \frac{\theta_4\left(\frac{u}{2\omega_1}\right)}{\theta_4}, \quad \sigma_3(u) = \exp\left(\frac{\eta_1 u^2}{2\omega_1}\right) \frac{\theta_3\left(\frac{u}{2\omega_1}\right)}{\theta_3}, \quad (6.2)$$

where $\theta_k = \theta_k(0)$.

Let us now describe a generalization of the even sigma-functions to the higher genus case. Denote by μ an even spin line bundle on the surface \mathcal{L} (generically such a line bundle does not admit holomorphic sections). An inspired by (6.2) definition of an even sigma-function corresponding to μ is less ambiguous than in the case of odd sigma-function if we insist on carrying the normalization $\sigma_\mu(0) = 1$ to the higher genus case. Denote by β_μ the non-singular even characteristic corresponding (analogously to (5.1)) to the spin structure μ under some choice of a canonical basis of cycles $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=1}^g$.

Definition 3 *The sigma-function corresponding to an even non-singular spin structure μ is defined by the formula*

$$\sigma_\mu(u) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}u^t(\eta_1\omega_1^{-1})u\right) \frac{\theta[\beta^\mu]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u|\mathbb{B})}{\theta[\beta^\mu](0|\mathbb{B})}. \quad (6.3)$$

Periodicity properties of even sigma-functions (6.3) coincide with the periodicity properties (5.3), (5.4) of odd sigma-functions, where the vectors β_1 and β_2 should be substituted by characteristic vectors corresponding to the even spin bundle μ .

Moreover, even sigma-functions $\sigma_\mu(u)$ are invariant under any change of canonical basis of cycles. This property is in contrast to the case of odd sigma-functions where only $\sigma_\chi^{8N}(u|\mathbb{B})$ can be claimed to be invariant under any change of canonical basis of cycles.

Finally we have

$$\sigma_\mu(0) = 1$$

similarly to the genus 1 case.

The even sigma-functions (6.3) is well-defined if

$$\theta[\beta^\mu](0|\mathbb{B}) \neq 0. \quad (6.4)$$

Therefore, for a generic Riemann surface when none of theta-constants vanishes all even sigma-functions, as well as all odd sigma-functions, are well-defined. For Riemann surfaces for which relation (6.4) does not hold, the definition of σ_μ should be modified, but we do not consider this case here.

Proposition 2 *Formula (6.1) relating odd and even sigma functions in the elliptic case admits the following generalization to higher genus:*

$$\sigma_\mu(u) = e^{-u^t(\eta_1 n_1 + \eta_2 n_2)} \frac{\sigma_\chi(u + \omega_1 n_1 + \omega_2 n_2)}{\sigma_\chi(\omega_1 n_1 + \omega_2 n_2)}, \quad (6.5)$$

where n_1 and n_2 are integer vectors, $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^g$, such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} n_2 \\ n_1 \end{pmatrix} = \beta^\mu - \beta^\chi.$$

Proof. The proposition can be proved by the following straightforward calculation. Using definition (5.2) of σ_χ , we rewrite (6.5) in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\mu(u) = \exp \left\{ -u^t(\eta_1 n_1 + \eta_2 n_2) + \frac{1}{2}(u^t + n_1^t \omega_1^t + n_2^t \omega_2^t)(\eta_1 \omega_1^{-1})(u + \omega_1 n_1 + \omega_2 n_2) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2}(n_1^t \omega_1^t + n_2^t \omega_2^t)(\eta_1 \omega_1^{-1})(\omega_1 n_1 + \omega_2 n_2) \right\} \frac{\theta[\beta_\chi]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u + \frac{1}{2}n_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}n_2)}{\theta[\beta_\chi](\frac{1}{2}n_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}n_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying the expression in the exponent and taking into account that

$$\theta[\beta](z|\mathbb{B}) = \exp\{\pi i \beta_1^t \mathbb{B} \beta_1 + 2\pi i(z + \beta_2)^t \beta_1\} \theta(z + \beta_2 + \mathbb{B} \beta_1|\mathbb{B}) \quad \text{with} \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\mu(u) = \exp \left\{ -u^t(\eta_1 n_1 + \eta_2 n_2) + \frac{1}{2}u^t(\eta_1 \omega_1^{-1})u + u^t(\eta_1 \omega_1^{-1})(\omega_1 n_1 + \omega_2 n_2) - \pi i u^t (2\omega_1^t)^{-1} n_2 \right\} \\ \times \frac{\theta[\beta^\mu]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u)}{\theta[\beta^\mu](0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Further simplification of the exponential factor leads to

$$\sigma_\mu(u) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2}u^t(\eta_1 \omega_1^{-1})u \right\} \exp \left\{ u^t \left(-\eta_2 \omega_1^t + \eta_1 \omega_2^t - \frac{1}{2}\pi i I_g \right) (\omega_1^t)^{-1} n_2 \right\} \frac{\theta[\beta^\mu]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u)}{\theta[\beta^\mu](0)},$$

which due to relation (4.7) for the period matrices ω_i and η_i coincides with (6.3). \square

7 Dependence on a choice of a marked point and a local parameter

The period matrix ω_1 transforms under a change of the marked point x_0 and of the local parameter ζ which define the distinguished basis of holomorphic differentials. This transformation also implies a transformation of the symmetric matrix (4.9) $\eta_1 \omega_1^{-1} = (\omega_1^t)^{-1} \Lambda \omega_1^{-1}$ of the bilinear form which enters the exponential term in the definition of both odd (5.2) and even (6.3) sigma-functions. However, the matrix Λ depends only on the Riemann surface \mathcal{L} and a choice of a canonical basis of cycles on \mathcal{L} .

This allows to establish the coincidence of the sigma-functions corresponding to the same spin structure on \mathcal{L} and to different bases of distinguished differentials.

Namely, consider two points x_0 and \tilde{x}_0 on the surface with local parameters ζ and $\tilde{\zeta}$ in their neighbourhoods, respectively. Let $2\omega_1$ and $2\tilde{\omega}_1$ be the matrices of a -periods of two sets of distinguished holomorphic differentials $\{v_i^0\}$ and $\{\tilde{v}_i^0\}$ such that the differentials $\{v_i^0\}$ have expansions (4.1) at the point x_0 in ζ and the differentials $\{\tilde{v}_i^0\}$ have expansions (4.1) at the point \tilde{x}_0 in $\tilde{\zeta}$.

Both sets of differentials give bases in the space of holomorphic differentials on \mathcal{L} and, therefore, are related by a linear transformation: $(\tilde{v}_1^0, \dots, \tilde{v}_g^0)^t = Q(v_1^0, \dots, v_g^0)^t$ with some matrix Q , i.e.,

$$\tilde{\omega}_1 = Q \omega_1.$$

Then the corresponding odd sigma-functions $\sigma_\chi(u)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_\chi(\tilde{u})$ (5.2) look as follows:

$$\sigma_\chi(u) = \mathcal{F}^{-3/N} \det(2\omega_1) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}u^t(\omega_1^t)^{-1}\Lambda\omega_1^{-1}u\right) \theta[\beta^\chi]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u|\mathbb{B})$$

and

$$\tilde{\sigma}_\chi(\tilde{u}) = \mathcal{F}^{-3/N} \det(2\tilde{\omega}_1) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{u}^t(\tilde{\omega}_1^t)^{-1}\Lambda\tilde{\omega}_1^{-1}\tilde{u}\right) \theta[\beta^\chi]((2\tilde{\omega}_1)^{-1}\tilde{u}|\mathbb{B}).$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{\sigma}_\chi(\tilde{u}) = \{\det Q\} \sigma_\chi(Q^{-1}\tilde{u}),$$

or

$$\tilde{\sigma}_\chi(\tilde{u}) = \{\det Q\} \sigma_\chi(u),$$

if we put $\tilde{u} = Qu$.

The even sigma-functions are related by even simpler transformation (with $\tilde{u} = Qu$):

$$\tilde{\sigma}_\mu(\tilde{u}) = \sigma_\mu(u).$$

Thus the sigma-functions corresponding to two different sets of distinguished holomorphic differentials are essentially equivalent. We notice however that the matrix Q depends on the moduli of the Riemann surface, and on the choice of base points x_0 and \tilde{x}_0 , and on the choice of local parameters near x_0 and \tilde{x}_0 .

8 Sigma-function as a function on a Riemann surface

One can consider the sigma-function as a function of a point on a Riemann surface, just like the Riemann theta-function. We recall that the Riemann theta-function, $\theta(z)$, for $z = \mathcal{U}(x) - \mathcal{U}(D_g) - K$, where D_g is a positive non-special divisor of degree g , has g zeros on \mathcal{L} , and these zeros lie at the points of the divisor D_g . (Here we put $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_{x_0}$ and $K = K^{x_0}$.)

What is a natural definition of the sigma-function on a Riemann surface? Obviously, we should take the sigma-function $\sigma_\chi(u)$ constructed above and take $(2\omega_1)^{-1}u + \mathbb{B}\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \mathcal{U}(x) - \mathcal{U}(D_g) - K$ for some choice of a divisor D_g . A natural set of g points in our construction consists of the base point x_0 and the divisor D ; therefore, we choose $D_g = x_0 + D$. Then, according to the definition (5.1) of the characteristic β_χ , we have $u = 2\omega_1(\mathcal{U}(x) - \mathcal{U}(x_0)) = 2\omega_1\mathcal{U}(x)$.

Alternatively, we can introduce the ‘‘modified’’ Abel map

$$U(x) = 2\omega_1\mathcal{U}(x).$$

The components of $U(x)$ are given by integrals of the ‘‘distinguished’’ differentials v_j^0 :

$$U_j(x) = \int_{x_0}^x v_j^0.$$

Then the odd sigma-function on the Riemann surface is given by:

$$\sigma_\chi(x) := \sigma_\chi(U(x)).$$

Alternatively, using the representation (5.2) of σ_χ in terms of the theta-function, we have:

$$\sigma_\chi(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-3/N} \det(2\omega_1) e^{2\mathcal{U}^t(x)\Lambda\mathcal{U}(x)} \theta[\beta^\chi](\mathcal{U}(x)|\mathbb{B}).$$

The function $\sigma_\chi(x)$ has zeros at the points x_0 and P_1, \dots, P_{g-1} (where $D = P_1 + \dots + P_{g-1}$). If we divide it by the spinor $h_\chi(x)$, we get a non-single-valued $-1/2$ form

$$\hat{\sigma}_\chi(x) = \frac{\sigma_\chi(x)}{h_\chi(x)},$$

which has only one zero at $x = x_0$. This object is similar to the usual prime-form

$$E(x, x_0) = \frac{\theta[\beta^\chi](\mathcal{U}(x) - \mathcal{U}(x_0))}{h_\chi(x) h_\chi(x_0)}.$$

The difference is that $\hat{\sigma}_\chi(x)$ is a $-1/2$ -form with respect to x , and x_0 plays the role of parameter. In this sense $\hat{\sigma}_\chi(x)$ is similar to the $-1/2$ -form

$$e(x) := E(x, x_0) h_\chi(x_0) = \frac{\theta[\beta^\chi](\mathcal{U}(x) - \mathcal{U}(x_0))}{h_\chi(x)}.$$

In contrast to $e(x)$, which transforms non-trivially under modular transformations, our $-1/2$ -form, being taken to the power $8N$, is modular-invariant.

Note also that the second derivative of the logarithm of $\sigma_\chi(x - y)$ for two points $x, y \in \mathcal{L}$ is the following symmetric bidifferential (a differential on $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}$) holomorphic everywhere except for a pole of order 2 at the diagonal $x = y$:

$$d_x d_y \log \sigma_\chi(x - y) = W(x, y) - 4\mathbf{v}^t(x) \Lambda \mathbf{v}(y). \quad (8.1)$$

Here $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_g)^t$ is the vector of holomorphic 1-forms on \mathcal{L} normalized via the relations $\int_{a_i} v_j = \delta_{ij}$; and $W(x, y) := d_x d_y \log E(x, y)$ is the symmetric bidifferential with a double pole along $x = y$ and holomorphic everywhere else normalized via $\int_{a_i} W(x, y) = 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, g$, the integration being taken with respect to any of the arguments.

The bidifferential

$$W_{Klein}(x, y) = W(x, y) - 4\mathbf{v}^t(x) \Lambda \mathbf{v}(y) \quad (8.2)$$

from the right-hand side of (8.1) was introduced by F. Klein in [11] (see also discussion in J. Fay's book [8] p. 22). The bidifferential W_{Klein} is independent of the choice of homology basis defining the bidifferential $W(x, y)$, the holomorphic differentials v_i and the Riemann matrix \mathbb{B} . This independence can be derived from the transformation (4.10) of the matrix Λ and the following transformation of the bidifferential W under a change (2.3) of the canonical homology basis (see [9], p. 10):

$$W^\gamma(x, y) = W(x, y) - 2\pi i \mathbf{v}^t(x) (C\mathbb{B} + D)^{-1} C \mathbf{v}(y).$$

9 Hyperelliptic sigma-functions

Here we consider our general construction presented above for the subspaces of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, i.e., Riemann surfaces possessing a meromorphic function of degree 2. Any such Riemann surface \mathcal{L} is biholomorphically equivalent to an algebraic curve of the form

$$\nu^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{2g+2} (\lambda - \lambda_j).$$

For definiteness choose the canonical basis of cycles $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=1}^g$ on \mathcal{L} in the same way as in Chapter III, §8 of [13]. The point x_0 entering our construction can be chosen to coincide with $\infty^{(1)}$ (a point corresponding to $\lambda = \infty$ where $\nu \sim \lambda^{g+1}$) and the local parameter $\zeta = 1/\lambda$.

The basis of holomorphic differentials v_i^0 can in this case be chosen as follows:

$$v_i^0 = -\frac{\lambda^{g-i}d\lambda}{\nu}, \quad i = 1, \dots, g. \quad (9.1)$$

The corresponding matrix of periods is $(2\omega_1)_{ij} = \int_{a_i} v_j^0$, and the other matrices ω_2 and $\eta_{1,2}$ are given by (4.2), (4.6).

The non-vanishing theta-constants in the hyperelliptic case correspond to even characteristics $\beta^\mu = [\beta_1^\mu, \beta_2^\mu]$ which can be constructed via partition of the set $S = \{1, \dots, 2g+2\}$ into two subsets: $T = \{i_1, \dots, i_{g+1}\}$ and $S \setminus T = \{j_1, \dots, j_{g+1}\}$ (see [13]); therefore, $N = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2g+2}{g+1}$. Then the corresponding theta-constant is given by Thomae's formula

$$\theta[\beta^\mu]^4(0|\mathbb{B}) = \pm \det^2(2\omega_1) \prod_{i,j \in T, i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \prod_{i,j \notin T, i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j). \quad (9.2)$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{F} = \prod_{\beta^\mu} \theta[\beta^\mu](0|\mathbb{B}) = \epsilon [\det(2\omega_1)]^{N/2} \prod_{i,j=1, i < j}^{2g+2} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^{\frac{Ng}{4(2g+1)}},$$

where $\epsilon^8 = 1$.

Thus we can transform the definition of the odd sigma-function (5.2) to the form

$$\sigma_\chi(u) = (\det(2\omega_1))^{-1/2} \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^{-3/8} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}u^t(\eta_1\omega_1^{-1})u\right) \theta[\beta^\chi]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u|\mathbb{B}).$$

The even hyperelliptic sigma-function σ_μ (6.3) can be rewritten as follows using (9.2):

$$\sigma_\mu(u) = \epsilon \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}u^t(\eta_1\omega_1^{-1})u\right) \frac{\{\det(2\omega_1)\}^{-1/2} \theta[\beta^\mu]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u|\mathbb{B})}{\prod_{i < j; i, j \in T} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^{1/4} \prod_{i < j; i, j \notin T} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^{1/4}},$$

where ϵ is a root of unity of degree 8 which has to be chosen to provide the normalization $\sigma_\mu(0) = 1$.

We see that on the space of hyperelliptic curves the sigma-functions with even characteristics are always well-defined as long as the curve remains non-degenerate.

10 Open questions

There are several interesting problems related to results of this work. First, the role of sigma-functions in the theory of integrable systems should be further clarified; results of previous works [4] suggest that, due to their modular invariance, the sigma-functions might have various advantages in describing algebro-geometric solutions of integrable systems in comparison to theta-functions. The issue of modular invariance should also be resolved completely. Namely, according to our present results, the sigma-function is invariant under modular transformations up to multiplication with certain roots of unity. In particular, our present framework allows genus one sigma-function to be multiplied with a root of unity of degree 24 under modular transformations, while it is well-known that this root of

unity is in fact absent. Therefore, one may wonder whether it should be possible to better control this root of unity in the higher genus case. This issue might be quite subtle, since even the explicit computation of the transformation of Dedekind eta-function under the action of the modular group is rather non-trivial (see [1] for the review of this topic).

In the case of Riemann surfaces admitting a holomorphic differential with zero of the highest order $(2g - 2)$, and in particular for the case of the (n, s) curves studied in previous works, it is desirable to establish an explicit relationship of our construction with the previous ones. In particular, one could expect that the Taylor expansion of the sigma-functions near zero should involve an appropriate Schur polynomial, similarly to [12, 6].

Acknowledgements. We thank V. Enolskii and A. Kokotov for important discussions. We are grateful to S. Grushevsky for attracting our attention to [7]. We are grateful to the anonymous referee for useful comments. DK thanks Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn where the main part of this work was completed for support, hospitality and excellent working conditions. The work of DK was partially supported by Concordia Research Chair grant, NSERC, NATEQ and Max-Planck Society. The work of VS was supported by FQRNT, NSERC and the University of Sherbrooke.

References

- [1] Atiyah, M., *The logarithm of the Dedekind η -function*, Math. Ann. **278** 335-380 (1987)
- [2] Baker, H. F., *On the hyperelliptic sigma-functions*, Amer.Math.J. **20**, issue 4, 301-384 (1898)
- [3] Buchshtaber, V. M., Leykin, D. V., *Addition laws on Jacobian variety of plane algebraic curves*, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of mathematics, **251**, 1-72 (2005)
- [4] Buchshtaber, V. M., Enolskii V. Z., Leykin, D. V., *Kleinian functions, hyperelliptic Jacobians and applications*, Reviews in Mathematics and Math. Physics, eds. Krichever, I.M., Novikov S.P., Vol. 10, part 2, Gordon and Breach, London, 1-125 (1997)
- [5] Enolskii, V., Hartmann, B., Kagramanova, V., Kunz, J., Lämmerzahl, C., Sirimachan, P., *Inversion of a general hyperelliptic integral and particle motion in Horava-Lifshitz black hole spacetimes*, gr-qc 1106.2808, to appear in J.Math.Phys.
- [6] Harnad, J., Enolskii V.Z., "Schur function expansions of KP tau-function associated to algebraic curves", Russ.Math.Surveys **66**(4) 767-807 (2011)
- [7] Farkas, H. M., "Special divisors and analytic subloci of Teichmüller space", Amer. J. Math. **88** 881-901 (1996)
- [8] Fay, John D., *Theta functions on Riemann surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **352** (1973)
- [9] Fay, John D., *Kernel functions, analytic torsion, and moduli spaces*, Memoirs of the AMS **464** (1992)
- [10] Klein, F., *Ueber hyperelliptische Sigmafunctionen*, Math. Ann. **32**, no. 3, 351-380 (1888)
- [11] Klein, F., *Zur Theorie der Abel'schen Functionen*, Math. Ann. **36**, no. 1, 1-83 (1890)
- [12] Bukhshtaber, V. M.; Leykin, D. V.; Enolskii, V. Z. *Rational analogues of abelian functions*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **33** (1999), no. 2, 8394

- [13] Mumford, D., *Tata lectures on theta I,II*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1983,84)
- [14] Nakayashiki, A., *On Algebraic Expressions of Sigma Functions for (n, s) Curves*, Asian J.Math. **14** issue 2, 174-211 (2010)
- [15] Eilbeck, J. C., Enolski, V. Z., and Gibbons, J., *Sigma, tau and Abelian functions of algebraic curves*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43**, no. 45, 455216, 20pp. (2010)
- [16] Whittaker, E. T., Watson, G. N., *A course of modern analysis*, Cambridge University Press (1927)