

On The Motive of G -bundles

Somayeh Habibi and M. E. Arasteh Rad

October 29, 2021

Abstract

Let G be a reductive algebraic group over a perfect field k and \mathcal{G} a G -bundle over a scheme X/k . The main aim of this article is to study the motive associated with \mathcal{G} , inside the Veovodsky Motivic categories. We consider the case that $\text{char } k = 0$ (resp. $\text{char } k \geq 0$), the motive associated to X is geometrically mixed Tate (resp. geometrically cellular) and \mathcal{G} is locally trivial for the Zariski (resp. étale) topology on X and show that the motive of \mathcal{G} is geometrically mixed Tate. Moreover for a general X we construct a nested filtration on the motive associated to \mathcal{G} in terms of weight polytopes. Along the way we give some applications and examples.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 14F42 (14C25, 20G15, 14L30, 14M17, 14D99)

1 Introduction

Let k be a perfect field and let X be a scheme of finite type over k . In this paper we study motives of certain fiber bundles over X . Specially we are interested in the motives of G -bundles over a base scheme X for a connected reductive group G over k .

Let \mathcal{G} be a G -bundle as above. When $\text{char } k = 0$ and the motive associated to X is geometrically mixed Tate, we show that the motive of any Zariski locally trivial G -bundle \mathcal{G} is also geometrically mixed Tate. In addition, when we restrict ourselves to the case that X is geometrically cellular we may treat the positive characteristic case as well and moreover we are not required to assume that \mathcal{G} is Zariski locally trivial. Although we are mainly interested in the case that the motive associated to X is mixed Tate, our method will also produce a nested filtration on the motive of \mathcal{G} over a general base. In particular we apply this to the case that X is a smooth projective curve.

As a consequence of this discussion we observe that the motive of a split reductive group is mixed Tate. Using a recent result of McNinch [McN] we will see that a similar fact holds for certain Parahoric group schemes. The former case has

already been studied by Biglari, see [Big] where he makes the computations with rational coefficients. Moreover A. Huber and B. Kahn have studied the motive of split reductive groups using the theory of slice filtration, see [HK]. Let us mention that in [HK, section 8] they also produce a filtration for split torus bundles.

In this paper our approach is essentially based on several geometric observations, namely we introduce a motivic version of the Leray-Hirsch theorem and we implement the combinatorial tools provided by the theory of wonderful compactification of semi-simple algebraic groups of adjoint type, see [DP11].

Let us have a brief look at the content of this article. In section 2 we fix notation and conventions. In section 3 we introduce the notion of motivic relative cellular varieties and prove some of their basic properties. Furthermore we implement a result of B. Kahn which describes the (geometric) motive of cellular varieties (see [Kah]) to establish a motivic version of Leray-Hirsch theorem for cellular fibrations. In the next section we recall some results about the geometry of wonderful compactification of a reductive group of adjoint type. Subsequently we see that $G \times G$ -orbit closures are (motivic) cellular. Using this we study the case that $\text{char } k = 0$ and X is geometrically mixed Tate (resp. $\text{char } k > 0$ and X is geometrically cellular). In addition we introduce particular applications. Finally in section 5 we discuss the case that the base scheme X is not necessarily mixed Tate and produce a filtration on the motive associated to \mathcal{G} . Meanwhile we apply this to the case where X is a projective curve.

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to L. Barbieri Viale and B.Kahn for their helps and comments on the earlier draft of this article. We thank J. Bagalkote for editing and to J. Scholbach for the helpful discussions regarding this work.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Notation and Conventions	3
3	Motive of cellular fibrations	4
4	Geometric Motives of G-bundles	7
5	Filtration on the motive of G-bundles	12
	References	15

2 Notation and Conventions

Throughout this article we assume that k is a perfect field. We denote by Sch_k (resp. Sm_k) the category of schemes (resp. smooth schemes) of finite type over k .

For X in $\mathcal{O}b(Sch_k)$, let $CH_i(X)$ and $CH^i(X)$ denote Fulton's i -th Chow groups and let $CH_*(X) := \bigoplus_i CH_i(X)$ (resp. $CH^*(X) := \bigoplus_i CH^i(X)$).

We denote by Sch_k^{fr} (resp. Sm_k^{fr}) the full subcategory of Sch_k (resp. Sm_k) consisting of those $X \in \mathcal{O}b(Sch_k)$ (resp. $X \in \mathcal{O}b(Sm_k)$) that $CH_*(X)$ is free of finite rank over \mathbb{Z} .

Remark 2.1. The category Sm_k^{fr} need not be a tensor category. Even after passing to the coefficients in \mathbb{Q} , it is not obvious to the authors that whether the full subcategory of Sm_k consisting of objects X with $rk_{\mathbb{Q}}K_0(X) < \infty$ is a tensor category or not. However if one assumes the Bass conjecture, then this is a trivial consequence.

To denote the motivic categories over k , such as $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$, $DM_-^{eff}(k)$, $DM_-^{eff}(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and etc., and the functors $M : Sch_k \rightarrow DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ and $M^c : Sch_k \rightarrow DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ constructed by Voevodsky, we use the same notation that was introduced by him in [VSF]. These constructions were recently developed by Cisinski and Deglise. See [CD] and Voevodsky [Voe1], where they construct the triangulated category of motives over a general base scheme S .

Definition 2.2. The thick subcategory of $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$, generated by $\mathbb{Z}(0)$ and $\mathbb{Z}(1)$ is called *the category of mixed Tate motives* and we denote it by $TDM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$. Any object of $TDM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ is called a *mixed Tate motive*. A motive M is *geometrically mixed Tate* if it becomes mixed Tate over \bar{k} .

We simply denote $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ to denote a distinguished triangle $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow A[1]$ in either of the above categories.

CAUTION: Throughout this article we either assume that k admits resolution of singularities or coefficients in \mathbb{Q} .

For the definition of the geometric motives with compact support in positive characteristic we refer to [HK].

Let us now move to the algebraic group theory side. Let G be a reductive group over k . We denote by G^s the semi-simple quotient of G and by G^{ad} the adjoint group of G .

Consider an algebraic closure \bar{k} of k . Fix a maximal torus T in $G_{\bar{k}}$ and a Borel subgroup B of $G_{\bar{k}}$ that contains T . Let $X^*(T)$ (resp. $X_*(T)$) denote the group of cocharacters (resp. characters) of G . Let $\Phi := \Phi(T, G_{\bar{k}})$ be the associated root system and $\Delta \subseteq \Phi(T, G_{\bar{k}})$ be a system of simple roots (i.e. a subset of Φ which form a basis for $Lie(G_{\bar{k}})$ such that any root $\beta \in \Phi$ can be represented

as a sum $\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} m_\alpha \alpha$, with m_α all non-negative or all non-positive integral coefficients). Let $W := W(T, G_{\bar{k}})$ and $l : W \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ denote respectively the corresponding Weyl group and the usual length function on W . For any subset $I \subseteq \Delta$ we set Φ_I to be the subset of Φ spanned by I . Furthermore for $u \in W$, I_u will denote the set consisting of those elements of Δ that do not occur in the shortest expression u . We denote by W_I the subgroup of the Weyl group W generated by the reflections associated with the elements of Φ_I . Let W^I denotes a set of representative for W/W_I with minimal length. Notice that any parabolic subgroup P of G is conjugate with a standard Parabolic subgroup, i.e. to a group of the form $P_I := BW_I B$. Finally we denote by $\mathcal{B}_G := \mathcal{B}_G(B, T)$ the associated Bruhat-Tits building.

Let Y be a variety with left G -action. To a G -bundle \mathcal{G} on X one associates a fibration $\mathcal{G} \times^G Y$ with fibre Y over X , defined by the following quotient

$$\mathcal{G} \times Y / \sim,$$

here $(x, y) \sim (xg, g^{-1}y)$ for every $g \in G$.

3 Motive of cellular fibrations

First we introduce the notion of motivic relatively cellular. Notice that this notion is slightly weaker than the geometric notion of relatively cellular introduced by Chernousov, Gille, Merkurjev [CGM] and also Karpenco [Kar].

Definition 3.1. A scheme $X \in \mathcal{O}b(Sch_k)$ is called *motivic relatively cellular with respect to* the functor $M^c(-)$ if it admits a filtration by its closed subschemes:

$$\emptyset = X_{-1} \subset X_0 \subset \dots \subset X_n = X$$

together with flat equidimensional morphisms $p_i : U_i := X_i \setminus X_{i-1} \rightarrow Y_i$ of relative dimension d_i , such that the induced morphisms $p_i^* : M^c(Y_i)(d_i)[2d_i] \rightarrow M(U_i)$ are isomorphisms in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$. Here Y_i is smooth proper scheme for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover we say that X is cellular if p_i is affine bundle and $Y_i = \text{Spec } k$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose k admits resolution of singularities. Assume that $X \in \mathcal{O}b(Sch_k)$ is equidimensional of dimension n , which admits a filtration as in the definition 3.1. Then we have the following decomposition*

$$M^c(X) = \bigoplus_i M^c(Y_i)(d_i)[2d_i].$$

Proof. We prove by induction on $\dim X$. Consider the following distinguished triangle

$$M^c(X_{j-1}) \rightarrow M^c(X_j) \xrightarrow{g_j} M^c(U_j) \rightarrow M^c(X_{j-1})[1].$$

Take the closure of the graph of $p_j : U_j \rightarrow Y_j$ in $X_j \times Y_j$. This defines a cycle in $CH_{\dim X_j}(X_j \times Y_j)$ and since Y_j is smooth this gives a morphism

$$\gamma_j : M^c(Y_j)(d_j)[2d_j] \rightarrow M^c(X_j),$$

by [VSF, Chap. 5, Thm. 4.2.2.3] and Prop. 4.2.3], such that $g_j \circ \gamma_j = p_j^*$. Thus the above distinguished triangle splits and hence we conclude by induction hypothesis. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Keep the notation and the assumptions of the above proposition. Assume that each Y_i belongs to $\mathcal{O}b(\text{Sm}^{fr})$, then $X \in \mathcal{O}b(\text{Sch}_k^{fr})$.*

Proof. After apply the functor $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(i)[2i], -)$ to the decomposition $M^c(X) = \bigoplus_i M^c(Y_i)(d_i)[2d_i]$, we obtained in the above proposition, the corollary follows from [MVW, Proposition 19.18]. \square

Remark 3.4. Note that one can define a variant of the definition 3.1 with respect to the functor $M(-)$. In this case one has to replace p_i^* by p_{i*} and it is not necessary to assume that p_i 's are flat. With this definition it is not hard to see that a variant of the proposition 3.2 holds after imposing some additional condition. Indeed to apply Gysin triangle we have to assume that all X_i s that appear in the filtration of X are smooth. Note that in this case we don't need to assume k admits resolution of singularities. The proof goes similar to the proof of proposition 3.2.

Remark 3.5. Assume that X is a motivic relatively cellular scheme, such that Y_i is pure Tate for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then using noetherian induction and gysin triangle one can show that X is pure Tate.

Let Ab be the category of abelian groups. Let us recall that there is a fully faithful tensor triangulated functor $i : D_f^b(Ab) \rightarrow DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$, where $D_f^b(Ab)$ is the full subcategory of the bounded derived category $D^b(Ab)$, consisting objects with finitely generated cohomology groups, see [HK, Prop. 4.5].

Proposition 3.6. *Assume that $\text{char } k = 0$. For a cellular variety $X \in \mathcal{O}b(\text{Sch}_k)$, there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\coprod_{p \geq 0} CH_p(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(p)[2p] \rightarrow M^c(X),$$

which is functorial both with respect to proper or flat equidimensional morphisms.

Proof. C.f. [Kah, Prop. 3.4]. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let X be as above. Assume further that it is equidimensional and smooth. Then there is a natural isomorphism in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$:*

$$\coprod_{p \geq 0} CH^p(X)^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Z}(p)[2p] \rightarrow M(X),$$

where $CH^p(X)^\vee$ denotes the dual \mathbb{Z} -module.

Proof. C.f. [Kah, Cor. 3.5]. □

The most famous examples of cellular varieties are in fact generalized flag varieties. Let us state the following easy consequence of the above proposition applied to these particular examples.

Corollary 3.8. *(motive of a generalized flag variety) Let G be a split reductive group, and let P be a parabolic subgroup of G which is conjugate with a standard parabolic subgroup P_I . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$M(G/P) \cong \coprod_{w \in W^I} \mathbb{Z}(l(w))[2l(w)],$$

in particular G/P is pure Tate.

Proof. The decomposition $G = \coprod_{w \in W^I} BwP$ induces a cell decomposition $G/P \cong G/P_I = \coprod_{w \in W^I} X_w$, where $X_w \cong \mathbb{A}^{l(w)}$. Then $CH_*(G/P)$ is generated by the cycles $[\overline{X_w}]$ and thus we may conclude by the properness of G/P and proposition 3.6. □

In the rest of this section we are going to compute motives of certain fiber bundles. Recall that the naive version of *Leray-Hirsch theorem* does not hold for the chow functor. One way to tackle the problem in the algebraic set-up is to impose some stronger conditions on the fiber. For instance one has to assume that the fiber admits cell decomposition and satisfies Poincaré duality (i.e. the intersection pairings $CH_p(F) \otimes CH^p(F) \rightarrow CH_0(F)$ are perfect pairings, note that this is automatic when $\text{char } k = 0$). Let $f : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ be a smooth proper morphism that is locally trivial for the Zariski topology, with fiber F which satisfies the above conditions. Let also ζ_1, \dots, ζ_m be homogeneous elements of $CH^*(X)$ whose restriction to any fiber form a basis of its chow group over \mathbb{Z} . Then the Leray-Hirsch theorem for chow groups says that the homomorphism

$$\varphi : \oplus_{i=1}^m CH_*(X) \rightarrow CH_*(\Gamma) \quad , \quad \varphi(\oplus \alpha_i) = \sum \zeta_i \cap f^* \alpha_i$$

is an isomorphism. When X is non-singular, it means that ζ_i form a free basis for $CH^*(\Gamma)$ as a $CH^*(X)$ -module. For the proof we refer to [C-F, appendix C] Let us now state the *motivic version of the Leray-Hirsch theorem*.

Theorem 3.9. *Let X be a smooth irreducible variety over a field k of characteristic 0. Let $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ be a proper smooth locally trivial (for Zariski topology) fibration with fiber F . Furthermore assume that F is cellular. Then one has an isomorphism in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$*

$$M(\Gamma) \cong \coprod_{p \geq 0} CH_p(F) \otimes M(X)(p)[2p].$$

Proof. Take a set of homogeneous elements $\{\zeta_{i,p}\}_{i,p}$ of $CH^*(\Gamma)$ such that for any p the restrictions of $\{\zeta_{i,p}\}_i$ to any fiber $\Gamma_x \cong F$ form a basis for $CH^p(\Gamma_x)$. Notice that since X is irreducible, it is enough that the restrictions of the ζ_i 's generate $CH_*(\Gamma_x)$ for the fiber over a particular x .

By the theorems 14.16 and 19.1 of [MVW], for each i , $\zeta_{i,p}$ defines a morphism $M(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p)[2p]$. Summing up all these morphisms and taking dual, by Poincaré duality we get the following morphism

$$\varphi : M(\Gamma) \rightarrow \bigoplus_p CH_p(F) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(p)[2p].$$

Composing $M(\Delta) : M(\Gamma) \rightarrow M(\Gamma \times \Gamma) \cong M(\Gamma) \otimes M(\Gamma)$ that is induced by the diagonal map $\Delta : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$, with $M(\pi) \otimes \varphi$ we obtain a morphism

$$M(\Gamma) \rightarrow \bigoplus_p CH^p(F) \otimes M(X)(p)[2p].$$

Now take a covering $\{U_i\}$ of X that trivializes Γ . The theorem then follows from Mayer-Vietoris triangle, Kunnetth formula [VSF, Prop.4.1.7], corollary 3.7 and [VSF, theorem 4.3.7, 3].

□

4 Geometric Motives of G-bundles

In this section we study motives of G -bundles over geometrically cellular or even geometrically mixed Tate variety X . Let us first recall the following result of A. Huber and B. Kahn.

Proposition 4.1. *An object $M \in DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$ is geometrically mixed Tate if and only if there is a finite separable extension E of k such that the restriction of M to $DM_{gm}^{eff}(E)$ is mixed Tate.*

Proof. c.f. [HK, Proposition 5.3].

□

Definition 4.2. Let $X \in \mathcal{O}b(Sch_k)$. We say that X is *mixed Tate* if the associated motive $M^c(X)$ is an object of the subcategory of mixed Tate motives $TDM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$. Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the set of irreducible components of X . We call X a *configuration of mixed Tate varieties* if

- i) X_i is mixed Tate for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and
- ii) Union of the elements of any arbitrary subset of $\{X_{ij} := X_i \cap X_j\}_{i \neq j}$ is a configuration of mixed Tate varieties or is empty.

Lemma 4.3. *The motive of every configuration of mixed Tate varieties is mixed Tate.*

Proof. We prove by induction on r , the dimension of mixed Tate configuration. The statement is obvious for $r = 0$. Suppose that the lemma holds for all mixed Tate configurations of dimension $r < m$. Let $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_n$ be a configuration of mixed Tate varieties of dimension m , where X_i s are its irreducible components. For inclusion $\bigcup_{i \neq j} X_{ij} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$, we have the following induced localization distinguished triangle:

$$M^c\left(\bigcup_{i \neq j} X_{ij}\right) \rightarrow M^c(X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_n) \rightarrow M^c\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq j} X_{ij}\right) \rightarrow M^c\left(\bigcup_{i \neq j} X_{ij}\right)[1].$$

By the induction assumption, $M^c(\bigcup_{i \neq j} X_{ij})$ is mixed Tate. On the other hand we have:

$$M^c\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (X_i \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq j} X_{ij})\right) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M^c(X_i \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq j} X_{ij}).$$

It only remains to show that for every i , $M^c(X_i \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq j} X_{ij})$ is mixed Tate. To see this, for a given i consider the following distinguished triangle:

$$M^c\left(\bigcup_{j \neq i} X_{ij}\right) \rightarrow M^c(X_i) \rightarrow M^c(X_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} X_{ij}) \rightarrow M^c\left(\bigcup_{j \neq i} X_{ij}\right)[1].$$

Notice that $M^c(\bigcup_{i \neq j} X_{ij})$ is mixed Tate by induction hypothesis. □

– *Wonderful Compactification* In [DP] De Concini and Procesi have introduced the wonderful compactification of a symmetric space. In particular their method produces a smooth canonic compactification \overline{G} of an algebraic group G of adjoint type. Note that in [DP] they study only the case that the group G is defined over \mathbb{C} . Most of the theory carries over for any algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. however there are some subtleties in positive characteristic which we mention later.

As a feature of this compactification there is a natural $G \times G$ -action on \overline{G} , and the arrangement of the orbits can be explained by the associated weight polytope. Let us briefly recall some facts about the construction of \overline{G} and the geometry of its $G \times G$ -orbits and their closure.

Let $\rho_\lambda : G \rightarrow GL(V_\lambda)$ be an irreducible faithful representation of G with strictly dominant highest weight λ . We define the compactification X_λ of G as follows

$$X_\lambda = \overline{\mathbb{P}(\rho_\lambda(G))},$$

where the closure is taken inside $\mathbb{P}(\text{End}(V_\lambda))$.

It is verified in [DPII] that when G is of adjoint type, X_λ is smooth and independent of the choice of the highest weight. This compactification is called *wonderful compactification* and we denote it by \overline{G} .

The following proposition explains the geometry of the wonderful compactification and the closures of its $G \times G$ -orbits. Furthermore it provides an effective method to compute their cohomologies. Consider the one-to-one correspondence between polytopes and fans, which associates to a polytope its normal fan. Let \mathcal{P}_C denote the polytope associated to the fan of Weyl chambers and their faces.

Proposition 4.4. *Keep the above notation, we have the following statements:*

- a) *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the $G \times G$ -orbits of \overline{G} and the orbits of the action of the Weyl group on the faces of the polytope \mathcal{P}_C , which preserves the incidence relation among orbits (i.e. consider the faces $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2$ of the polytope \mathcal{P}_C , the orbit corresponding to the face \mathcal{F}_1 is contained in the closure of the orbit which corresponds to \mathcal{F}_2).*
- b) *Let $I \subset \Delta$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_I$ the associated face of \mathcal{P}_C . Let $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the closure of the orbit corresponding to the face \mathcal{F} . Then $D_{\mathcal{F}} = \sqcup_{\alpha \in W \times W} C_{\mathcal{F}, \alpha}$, such that for each $\alpha := (u, v)$ there is a bijective morphism*

$$\mathbb{A}^{n_{\mathcal{F}, \alpha}} \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{F}, \alpha},$$

where $n_{\mathcal{F}, \alpha} = l(w_0) - l(u) + |I \cap I_u| + l(v)$ and w_0 denotes the longest element of the Weyl group. In particular when $\text{char } k = 0$ (resp. $\text{char } > 0$) $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ is cellular (resp. motivic cellular).

- c) *$\overline{G} \setminus G$ is a normal crossing divisor, and its irreducible components form a mixed Tate configuration.*

Proof. For the proof of a) we refer to [Tim, Prop.8]. The existence of the bijective morphism in part b) is the main result of Renner in [Ren]. The fact that $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ is cellular in characteristic zero follows from Zariski main theorem. In positive characteristic this follows from the fact that any universal topological homeomorphism induces isomorphism of the associated h-sheaves, see [Voe2, Prop. 3.2.5]. Finally c) follows from a), b) and remark 3.5. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that $\text{char } k = 0$. Let G be a connected reductive group over k . Let \mathcal{G} be a G -bundle over an irreducible variety $X \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sm}_k)$. Suppose that \mathcal{G} is locally trivial for Zariski topology and X is geometrically mixed Tate, then $M(\mathcal{G})$ is also geometrically mixed Tate.*

Proof. We may assume the base field k is algebraically closed. Let us first assume that G is a semisimple group of adjoint type. Then G admits a wonderful compactification \overline{G} which is smooth. By construction, there is a $(G \times G)$ -action on \overline{G} . Let G act on \overline{G} via the first factor and consider the \overline{G} -fibration $\overline{\mathcal{G}} := \mathcal{G} \times_G \overline{G}$ over X . Clearly we have the open immersion $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}}$ of varieties over X . So we get the following generalized Gysin distinguished triangle:

$$M(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow M(\overline{\mathcal{G}}) \rightarrow M^c(\overline{\mathcal{G}} \setminus \mathcal{G})^*(n)[2n] \rightarrow M(\mathcal{G})[1]$$

where $n := \dim \overline{\mathcal{G}}$, see [VSF, page 197].

By proposition 4.4, $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ admits a cell decomposition. Therefore by theorem 3.9, $M^c(\overline{\mathcal{G}})$ is mixed Tate. So to prove the theorem it is enough to show $M^c(\overline{\mathcal{G}} \setminus \mathcal{G})$ is mixed Tate.

Let's now look at the geometry of the closures of $(G \times G)$ -orbits. As it is mentioned in proposition 4.4 a), these orbit closures could be indexed by a subset of faces of Weyl chamber in such a way that the incidence relation between faces gets preserved. Note that by proposition 4.4 b) the closure of these orbits also admit a cell decomposition. Thus by theorem 3.9 the irreducible components of $\overline{\mathcal{G}} \setminus \mathcal{G}$ form a mixed Tate configuration. Now lemma 4.3 implies that $M^c(\overline{\mathcal{G}} \setminus \mathcal{G})$ is mixed Tate.

Now assume that G is a reductive algebraic group. We assume that $Z(G)$ is connected. Note that since G is reductive $Z := Z(G)$ is a torus. Let \mathcal{G}' be the associated G^{ad} -bundle. By the above statements we know that $M(\mathcal{G}')$ is mixed Tate. Notice that any torus bundle is locally trivial for the Zariski topology by the theorem Hilbert90. Take a toric compactification \overline{Z} of Z and embed \mathcal{G} into $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{G} \times^Z \overline{Z}$, which is a toric fibration over \mathcal{G}' . Now the irreducible components of the complement of \mathcal{G} in \mathcal{Z} are toric fibrations over \mathcal{G}' . Since fibers are toric (and hence cellular) and $M(\mathcal{G}')$ is mixed Tate therefore by theorem 3.9 we argue that these irreducible components form a mixed Tate configuration and we may argue as above. \square

The assumptions that the G -bundle \mathcal{G} is locally trivial for Zariski topology and also $\text{char } k = 0$ may look restrictive. As we will see below these assumptions are not necessary when X is geometrically cellular. Before proving this let us state the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Let G be a connected reductive group over k , then the motive associated to G is geometrically mixed Tate. Furthermore if G is a split reductive group then $M(G)$ is mixed Tate.*

Proof. Assume that k is algebraically closed. Let T be a maximal split torus in G of rank r . We consider the projection $\pi : G \rightarrow G/T$ and view G as a T -bundle

over G/T . Consider \mathbb{P}_k^r as a compactification of T and let $\overline{\mathcal{T}} := G \times^T \mathbb{P}_k^r$ be the associated projective bundle over G/T . By projective bundle formula

$$M(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) = M(\mathbb{P}_k^r) \otimes M(G/T),$$

see [MVW, Theorem 15.12]. On the other hand $B = T \ltimes U$, where B is a Borel subgroup of G containing T and U is the unipotent part of B . Notice that, as a variety, U is isomorphic to an affine space over k . Since the fibration $G \rightarrow G/B$ is the composition of $G \rightarrow G/T$ and U -fibration $G/T \rightarrow G/B$, we deduce by proposition 3.8 that $M(\overline{\mathcal{T}})$ is pure Tate. Finally, in the similar way as in the proof of theorem 4.5, one can see that the complement of G in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ form a mixed Tate configuration and conclude that $M(G)$ is mixed Tate. The second part of the lemma is similar, only one doesn't require to pass to an algebraic closure. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let G be a connected reductive group over k . Let \mathcal{G} be a G -bundle over an irreducible variety $X \in \mathcal{O}b(\mathcal{S}m_k)$. Suppose in addition that X is geometrically cellular, then $M(\mathcal{G})$ is geometrically mixed Tate.*

Proof. We may assume that k is algebraically closed. Let

$$\emptyset = X_{-1} \subset X_0 \subset \dots \subset X_n = X$$

be a cell decomposition for X where $U_i := X_i \setminus X_{i-1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_k^{d_i}$. We prove by induction on n . Consider the following distinguished gysin triangle:

$$M(\mathcal{G}|_{U_n}) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{G}|_{X_{n-1}}).$$

By Raghunathan's theorem (i.e. the generalization of the Serre's conjecture about the triviality of vector bundles over an affine space) the restriction of \mathcal{G} to U_n is trivial over U_n , see [Rag2]. Therefore $M(\mathcal{G}|_{U_n})$ is mixed Tate. On the other hand $M(\mathcal{G}|_{X_{n-1}})$ is mixed Tate by induction hypothesis. \square

Recall that the Voevodsky's theory of motives over perfect field k can be established for the schemes over more general base S . We refer the reader to the following article of Voevodsky [Voe1], or to the article [CD] of Cisinski and Deglise. They construct the triangulated category of mixed motives $DM(S)$. Here S is any locally noetherian scheme of finite dimension. This category is constructed from the category of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers over X . For the details about the category of mixed Tate motives over a number ring we refer to [Sch].

Let \mathcal{A} be a henselian discrete valuation ring with perfect field of fractions K and perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over K . Bruhat and Tits have associated to G certain smooth affine \mathcal{A} -group schemes P with generic fiber $P_K = G$ known as *parahoric group schemes*, see [BTII].

Proposition 4.8. *Keep the above notation. Assume that the maximal torus of G splits over an unramified extension of K . Let $R_u(P_k)$ denote the unipotent radical of the special fiber P_k . Assume further that $M(R_u(P_k))$ is mixed Tate. Then the motive $M(P)$ becomes mixed Tate over an étale covering of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. We consider the six functors introduced in [CD, section 1], for the situation that:

$$\begin{aligned} i &: \text{Spec}k \rightarrow \text{Spec}\mathcal{A}, \\ j &: \text{Spec}K \rightarrow \text{Spec}\mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following distinguished triangle:

$$j_!j^* \rightarrow id \rightarrow i_*i^*$$

in $DM_{gm}(\mathcal{A})$. The generic fiber P_K of P is simply G , hence by lemma 4.6 we know that $M(P_K)$ is geometrically mixed Tate. On the other hand since G splits over a tamely ramified extension of K , we may argue by [McN] that the special fiber P_k has a Levi subgroup \mathcal{L} , in particular $\mathcal{L} \times R_u(P_k) \rightarrow P_k$ is an isomorphism. Notice that the unipotent radical $R_u(P_k)$ is isomorphic to an affine space. Choose a finite extension of k that splits \mathcal{L} . Since motive of the levi component becomes mixed Tate over this extension, thus also the motive associated to the special fiber P_k . Since k is perfect and \mathcal{A} is henselian, this extension gives an étale cover of \mathcal{A} . Now the assertion follows from the localization property, see [CD] or [Sch]. \square

5 Filtration on the motive of G -bundles

In section 4 we studied the motive of a G -bundle over base scheme X , when the associated motive $M(X)$ is geometrically mixed Tate. In the sequel we produce some kind of filtration for the motive of G -bundles over more general base schemes in terms of incidence relation between faces of a convex body. Let us first recall the filtration on the motive of a split torus bundle constructed by B. Kahn and A. Huber. In [HK], B. Kahn and A. Huber, as an application of the theory of *slice filtration*, produce a filtration (in the triangulated category $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$) on the motive of a T -bundle \mathcal{T} , for a split torus T . Also they use this filtration to study motives of split reductive groups. Let us briefly recall their construction. Let \mathcal{T} denote a principal T -bundle over a smooth variety $X \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_k)$, where T is a split torus of rank r . Let $\Xi := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T)$ be the cocharacter group. Then one can produce the following diagram of distinguished triangles in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\nu_X^{\geq p+1} M(\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & \nu_X^{\geq p} M(\mathcal{T}) & & \nu_X^{\geq 2} M(\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & \nu_X^{\geq 1} M(\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & M(\mathcal{T}) \\
& & \downarrow [1] & & \downarrow [1] & & \downarrow [1] & & \downarrow [1] \\
& & \lambda_p(X, T) & & \lambda_1(X, T) & & \lambda_0(X, T) & & \\
& \swarrow & & & \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \\
& & & & \dots & & & &
\end{array}$$

where $\lambda_p(X, T) := M(X)(p)[p] \otimes \Lambda^p(\Xi)$ for $0 \leq p \leq r$. Note that $M(\mathcal{T}) \cong \nu_X^{\geq 0} M(\mathcal{T})$, $0 = \nu_X^{\geq r+1} M(\mathcal{T})$.

For more details on the construction of relative slice filters $\nu_X^{\geq p} M(\mathcal{T})$ see [HK, section 8].

Now using the method we introduced in section 4 we construct a nested filtration on the motive of a G -bundle.

Let \mathcal{G} be a G -bundle over X , where G is a linear algebraic group. Let $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ be a compactification of G . Suppose that $D := \overline{\mathcal{G}} \setminus G$ form a mixed Tate configuration $D = \cup_{i=1}^m D_i$, such that $D^J := \cap_{i \in J} D_i$ is either irreducible or empty for any $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$. Assume that there exist a polytope whose faces correspond to those subsets $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that D^J is non empty (with face relation: \mathcal{F}_2 is a face of \mathcal{F}_1 if we have the inclusion $J_2 \subseteq J_1$ of the corresponding sets). Let \mathcal{P} be the dual of this polytope. For each face \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{P} , let $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ denote the associated subvariety of D regarding the above correspondence. For each $1 \leq r \leq m$, let Q_r be the set consisting of all faces in \mathcal{P} of codimension r . Let $\partial \mathcal{F}$ denote the boundary of \mathcal{F} , i.e. $\partial \mathcal{F} := \{\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{T} \mid \mathcal{T} \in Q_1\} \setminus \{\mathcal{F}\}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ denote the compactification $\mathcal{G} \times^G \overline{\mathcal{G}}$ of \mathcal{G} and let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the associated $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ -fibration over X . We may form the following nested filtration on $M^c(\mathcal{G})$ by the distinguished triangles, indexed by codimension r and faces $\mathcal{F} \in Q_r$

$$\begin{array}{c}
M^c(\overline{\mathcal{G}} \setminus \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow M^c(\overline{\mathcal{G}}) \rightarrow M^c(\mathcal{G}) \\
\vdots \\
M^c(\bigcup_{\mathcal{F} \in Q_{r+1}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow M^c(\bigcup_{\mathcal{F} \in Q_r} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathcal{F} \in Q_r} M^c(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \bigcup_{\mathcal{F}' \in \partial \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}'}), \\
\vdots
\end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

and for each $\mathcal{F} \in Q_r$ the triangle

$$M^c(\bigcup_{\mathcal{F}' \in \partial \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}'}) \rightarrow M^c(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow M^c(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \bigcup_{\mathcal{F}' \in \partial \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}'}),$$

is the first line of a nested filtration obtained by replacing \mathcal{P} by \mathcal{F} .

Note that this filtration is particularly interesting when $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a cellular fibration. In this situation we may apply theorem 3.9 to compute $M^c(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}})$. Let us recall two of such cases.

Example 5.1. Let T be a split torus of rank n and let \mathcal{T} be a T -bundle over X . Consider a torus embedding of T into the projective space \mathbb{P}^n associated with the standard n -simplex Δ^n . So we put $P := \Delta^n$ in the above filtration. Note that in this case for each face $\mathcal{F} \in \Delta^n$, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a projective bundle and hence one may use the projective bundle formula [MVW, Thm 15.11] to compute $M^c(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}})$. In particular when $M^c(X)$ is mixed Tate, using the above filtration, one may prove recursively that $M^c(\mathcal{T})$ is mixed Tate.

Example 5.2. Let G be a semi-simple group of adjoint type and \overline{G} its wonderful compactification. In this case the polytope \mathcal{P} is the one in proposition 4.4. Recall that for every face \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{P} , $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ admits a cell decomposition. Let us mention that for any regular compactification (see [Br] for details) of G and any vertex \mathcal{F} , $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to $G/B \times G/B$ and in particular $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a cellular fibration.

–*The case of 1-motives*, In practice it might happen that the motive of the base variety X is far from being mixed Tate. Already it can happen for the case of 1-motives. Recall that the motive $M(C)$ of a curve C decomposes in $DM_{gm}^{eff}(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ as follows

$$M(C) = M_0(C) \oplus M_1(C) \oplus M_2(C), \quad (5.2)$$

where $M_i(C) := TotLiAlb^{\mathbb{Q}}(C)[i]$. For the definition of $LiAlb^{\mathbb{Q}}(C)$ and detailed explanation of the theory we refer to section 3.12 of [B-K].

Let C be a smooth projective curve. In the next example we consider the special case when the base scheme X is a relative curve C_S over S .

Example 5.3. Let G be a reductive group over k . Let \mathcal{G} be a G -bundle over C and \mathcal{G}_s the associated G^s -bundle. Fix a closed point p of C and set $\dot{C} := C \setminus \{p\}$. Assume that $\text{char } k$ does not divide the order of $\pi_1(G)$ therefore by the well-known theorem of Drinfeld and Simpson [DS] we may take a finite extension of k which simultaneously trivializes the restriction of \mathcal{G}_s over \dot{C} and the fiber over p . Therefore we obtain the following distinguished triangle

$$M(G^s \times \dot{C}_{k'}) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{G}_{s,k'}) \rightarrow M(G^s \times k')(n)[2n],$$

and by the Kunnetth theorem

$$M(G^s) \otimes M(\dot{C}_{k'}) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{G}_{s,k'}) \rightarrow M(G^s \times k')(n)[2n].$$

Let us assume that G has a connected center. Since G is reductive $Z := Z(G)^{\circ}$ is a split torus. We may now apply either of the filtrations in example 5.1 or the relative slice filtration (see the first paragraph of this section) to the torus bundle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_s$. For instance from the latter filtration we get the following

- i) A filtration $\{\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow M_{i-1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $M_i := \nu_{\mathcal{G}_{s,k'}}^{\geq i} M(\mathcal{G}_{k'})$. In particular $M_0 = M(\mathcal{G}_{k'})$ and $M_r = 0$ for $r > \text{rk } Z(G)^\circ$
- ii) The following sort of distinguished triangles

$$M(\dot{C}_{k'}) \otimes M(G^s) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{G}_{s,k'}) \rightarrow M(G^s) \otimes M(k')(n)[2n]$$

$$M_{i+1} \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M(\mathcal{G}_{s,k'})(i)[i] \otimes F_i,$$

where F_i be the i -th wedge power of the group $\Xi := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, Z)$.

At the end it may look worthy to state the corresponding fact in the K-ring $K_0(\text{Var}_S)$ of varieties over S .

Recall that for a fibration $X \rightarrow Y$ with fiber F , locally trivial in Zariski topology, one has $[X] = [Y].[F]$ where $[.]$ denotes a class in $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$, see [Seb] (also see Guillet and Soulé [GS]). It can be shown that a similar fact (under certain assumption on the characteristic of k) holds for a G -bundle \mathcal{G} over the curve C defined over an algebraically closed field k (note however \mathcal{G} is not necessarily Zariski locally trivial).

Proposition 5.4. *Let G be a reductive group over k . Assume that $\text{char } k$ does not divide the order of the fundamental group $\pi_1(G)$. For a G -bundle \mathcal{G} over a relative curve C_S the class $[\mathcal{G}] - [G \times_S C_S]$ in the Grothendieck ring of varieties $K_0(\text{Var}_S)$ lies in the kernel of the natural morphism $K_0(\text{Var}_S) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Var}_{S'})$ induced by an étale morphism $S' \rightarrow S$. In particular when k is algebraically closed and $S = \text{Spec } k$ then $[\mathcal{G}] = [G].[C]$.*

Proof. According to the theorem Hilbert 90 torus bundles are locally trivial for Zariski topology, thus by the result of Guillet and Soulé [GS], which we mentioned above, we can reduce to the case that $G = G^s$ is semi-simple. Then the proposition follows from the theorem of Drinfeld and Simpson (cf. example 5.3). \square

References

- [B-K] L. Barbieri Viale, B. Kahn: *On the derived category of 1-motives* <http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.1900>
- [Big] S. Biglari, *Motives of Reductive Groups*, Thesis, Leipzig 2004.
- [Br] M. Brion: *The behaviour at infinity of the Bruhat decomposition*. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 73(1998),137-174.
- [BT] F. Bruhat, J. Tits: *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local*. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 41 (1972), 5251.

- [BTII] F. Bruhat, J. Tits: Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 60 (1984), 197376.
- [CD] D. C. Cisinski and F. Deglise: *Triangulated categories of motives*. Preprint, 2010.
- [C-F] A. Collino and W. Fulton, *Intersection rings of spaces of triangles*, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) 38 (1989), 75117.
- [CGM] V. Chernousov, S. Gille, A. Merkurjev: *Motivic decomposition of isotropic projective homogeneous varieties*, Duke Math. J. 126 (2005), no. 1, 137159
- [DP] C. De Concini, C. and Procesi: *Complete symmetric varieties*, Invariant theory (Montecatini,1982), volume 996 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 144, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [DPII] C. DeConcini and C. Procesi: *Complete symmetric varieties*, Lecture Notes in Math. 996, Springer, 1973, 1-44.
- [DS] V. Drinfeld and C. Simpson: *B-structures on G-bundles and local triviality*, Math.-Res.-Lett. 2 (1995) 823-829.
- [EJ] S. Evens, B. Jones: *On the Wonderful Compactification*, preprint
- [GS] H. Guillet and C. Soulé: *Descent, motives and K-theory*. J. reine angew. Math., 4, (1996).
- [HK] A. Huber , B. Kahn: *The slice filtration and mixed Tate motives*, *Compos. Math.* 2006;142(4):907-936.
- [Kah] B. Kahn : *Motivic Cohomology of smooth geometrically cellular varieties*, Algebraic K-theory, Seattle, 1997, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 67 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999), 149174.
- [Kar] N. A. Karpenko: *Cohomology of relative cellular spaces and of isotropic flag varieties*, Algebra i Analiz 12 (2000), no. 1, 369. MR 2001 c:14076
- [Kir] V. Kiritchenko: *On intersection indices of subvarieties in reductive groups* Moscow Mathematical Journal, 7 no.3, 489-505, (2007).
- [Lam] T. Y. Lam: *Serre's Problem on Projective modules*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, (2006).
- [LS] Y. Laszlo and C. Sorger: *The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic G-bundles over curves and their sections*. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4)*, 30(4):499-525, (1997).
- [McN] G. J. McNinch: *Levi decompositions of a linear algebraic group*, to appear: Transformation Groups (Morozov centennial issue).
- [MVW] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, C. A. Weibel. *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, Clay mathematics monographs, v.2., (2006).
- [Rag1] M. S. Raghunathan: *Principal bundles on affine space and bundles on the projective line* *Mathematische Annalen*(1989) Volume 285, Number 2, 309-332.

- [Rag2] M. S. Raghunathan: *Principal bundles on affine space*, in C. P. Ramanujama tribute, pp. 187206, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math. 8 (1978).
- [Ren] L. E. Renner: *An Explicit Cell Decomposition of the Wonderful Compactification of a Semisimple Algebraic Group*, *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 46 (1): 140-148, (2003).
- [Sch] J. Scholbach: *Mixed Artin-Tate motives over number rings*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 215, 21062118, (2011).
- [Seb] J. Sebag: *Intégration motivique sur les schémas formels*, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 132, no. 1, 1-54, (2004).
- [Tim] D. Timashev, *Equivariant compactifications of reductive groups*, *Sb. Math.* 194, no. 3-4, 589616, (2003).
- [VSF] V. Voevodsky, A. Suslin, E.M. Friedlander: *Cycles, Transfers, and Motivic Homology Theories*, Princeton university press, (2000)
- [Voe1] V. Voevodsky: *Motives over simplicial schemes*: *J. K-Theory* 5, no. 1, 138, (2010).
- [Voe2] V. Voevodsky: *Homology of Schemes*, *Selecta Mathematica, New Series*, 2(1):111153, (1996).

Somayeh Habibi
 Dipartimento di Matematica
 “Federigo Enriques”
 Università degli Studi di Milano
 Via C.Saldini,50
 20133 Milano
 Italy

M. Esmail Arasteh Rad
 Universität Münster
 Mathematisches Institut
 Einsteinstr. 62
 48149 Münster
 Germany