

On a problem of Sierpiński

Jin-Hui Fang

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University
of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing 210044, P. R. CHINA

E-mail:fangjinhui1114@163.com

Yong-Gao Chen*

School of Mathematical Sciences and Institute of Mathematics,
Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210046, P. R. CHINA

E-mail: ygchen@njnu.edu.cn

Abstract

For any integer $s \geq 2$, let μ_s be the least integer so that every integer $\ell > \mu_s$ is the sum of exactly s integers > 1 which are pairwise relatively prime. In this paper we solve an old problem of Sierpiński by determining all μ_s . As a corollary, we show that $p_2 + p_3 + \cdots +$

*Corresponding author

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11A41,11A67.

Key words and phrases: Sierpiński's problem; consecutive primes; pairwise relatively prime.

$p_{s+1} - 2 \leq \mu_s \leq p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 1100$ and the set of integers $s \geq 2$ with $\mu_s = p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 1100$ has the asymptotic density 1, where p_i is the i -th prime.

1 Introduction

Let $s \geq 2$ be an integer. Denote by μ_s the least integer so that every integer $\ell > \mu_s$ is the sum of exactly s integers > 1 which are pairwise relatively prime. In 1964, Sierpiński [5] asked a determination of μ_s . Let $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, \dots$ be the sequence of consecutive primes. In 1965, P. Erdős [3] proved that there exists an absolute constant C with $\mu_s \leq p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + C$. It is easy to see that $p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} - 2$ is not the sum of exactly s integers > 1 which are pairwise relatively prime. So $\mu_s \geq p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} - 2$. Let $\mu_s = p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + c_s$. Then $-2 \leq c_s \leq C$. It is easy to see that $c_2 = -2$.

Let U be the set of integers of the form

$$p_2^{k_2} + p_3^{k_3} + \cdots + p_{11}^{k_{11}} - p_2 - p_3 - \cdots - p_{11} \leq 1100,$$

where $k_i (2 \leq i \leq 11)$ are positive integers. U can be given explicitly by Mathematica (one may refer to the Appendix). Let V_s be the set of integers of the form

$$p_{i_1} + \cdots + p_{i_l} - p_{j_1} - \cdots - p_{j_l} \leq 1100,$$

where $2 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_l \leq s + 1 < i_1 < \cdots < i_l$. It is clear that $0 \in U$ and $0 \in V_s$ ($l = 0$). Define $U + V_s = \{u + v \mid u \in U, v \in V_s\}$. Then $U + V_s$ is finite.

In this paper the following results are proved. The main results have been announced at ICM2010.

Theorem 1. *Let $s \geq 2$ be any given positive integer. Then*

$$c_s = \max\{2n \mid 2n \leq \min\{1100, p_{s+2}\}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 2n \notin U + V_s\}.$$

Remark 1. As examples, by Theorem 1 we have $c_{500} = 16$, $c_{900} = 14$, $c_{1000} = 8$, $c_{2000} = 22$ (see the last section).

Corollary 1. If $p_{s+2} - p_{s+1} > 1100$, then

$$\mu_s = \sum_{i=2}^{s+1} p_i + 1100.$$

In particular, the set of integers $s \geq 2$ with

$$\mu_s = \sum_{i=2}^{s+1} p_i + 1100$$

has the asymptotic density 1.

We pose a problem here.

Problem 1. Find the least positive integer s with $\mu_s = \sum_{i=2}^{s+1} p_i + 1100$.

Basing on the proof of Theorem 2 in Section 4, we pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. For $s \geq 3$, every integer $l > p_2 + p_3 + \cdots + p_{s+2}$ is the sum of exactly s distinct primes.

This conjecture would follow from the following “ Every odd integer $n \geq p_{s-1} + p_s + p_{s+1} + p_{s+2}$ can be written as the sum of three prime numbers $q_1 < q_2 < q_3$ with $q_1 \geq p_{s-1}$ ”. Since $p_{s-1} < n/4$, by well-known results on the odd Goldbach problem with almost equal primes, this statement is true for all sufficiently large s . Hence, Conjecture 1 is true for all sufficiently large s .

Now we give a sketch proof of Theorem 1. For the details, see Section 4.

(1) Find a “long” interval $[1102, 3858]$ such that each even number in this interval can be represented as $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i)$. For any even number $2m > 3858$, there exists a prime p_u such that $p_u^2 - p_u \leq 2m - 1102 < p_{u+1}^2 - p_{u+1}$. Then we use the induction hypothesis on $2m - (p_u^2 - p_u)$. By these arguments we know that every even number $n \geq 1102$ can be represented as $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i)$, where

t_i are positive integers. One can verify that 1100 cannot be represented as $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i)$, where t_i are positive integers.

(2) Denote by μ'_s the least integer, which has the same parity as s , so that every integer $\ell > \mu'_s$, which has the same parity as s , can be expressed as the sum of s distinct integers > 1 which are pairwise relatively prime. Let $\mu'_s = p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + \tau'_s$. Then τ'_s is even.

For $2n > \min\{1100, p_{s+2}\}$, if $\min\{1100, p_{s+2}\} < 2n \leq 1100$, then $s \leq 182$. By calculation we know that $\sum_{i=2}^{s+1} p_i + 2n$ can be expressed as the sum of s distinct odd primes. Now we assume that $2n > 1100$. If $2n$ is “large”, then we can choose a “large” prime q such that $p_{s+2} + 2n - q > \tau'_s$. By the induction hypothesis, $p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + (p_{s+2} + 2n - q)$ can be expressed as the sum of s distinct integers > 1 , which are pairwise relatively prime. Thus $p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + p_{s+2} + 2n$ can be expressed as the sum of $s + 1$ distinct integers > 1 which are pairwise relatively prime; if $2n$ is “small”, then by (1) (we take some $t_i = 1$)

$$2n = \sum_{i=2}^{s+2} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i).$$

Thus

$$p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + p_{s+2} + 2n = \sum_{i=2}^{s+2} p_i^{t_i}.$$

We can easily convert the case $p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + p_{s+2} + 2n + 1$ into $p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + (p_{s+2} + 2n - 1)$ and use the induction hypothesis.

Recall that μ'_s is the least integer, which has the same parity as s , so that every integer $\ell > \mu'_s$, which has the same parity as s , can be expressed as the sum of s distinct integers > 1 which are pairwise relatively prime, and $\tau'_s = \mu'_s - (p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1})$ is even. The following Theorem 2 is a step in the proof of Theorem 1, and not an independent result.

Theorem 2.

$$\tau'_s = \max\{2n \mid 2n \leq \min\{1100, p_{s+2}\}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 2n \notin U + V_s\}.$$

2 Preliminary Lemmas

In this paper, p, q_i are all primes. First we introduce the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. [2, Lemma 4] For $x > 24$ there exists a prime in $(x, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}x}]$.

Lemma 2. Every even number $n \geq 1102$ can be represented as $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i)$, where t_i are positive integers. The integer 1100 cannot be represented as $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i)$, where t_i are positive integers.

Proof. The proof is by induction on even numbers n . For any sets X, Y of integers, define $X + Y = \{x + y : x \in X, y \in Y\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} U_4 &= \{0, 3^2 - 3, 3^3 - 3, 3^4 - 3, 3^5 - 3, 3^6 - 3, 3^7 - 3\} \\ &\quad + \{0, 5^2 - 5, 5^3 - 5, 5^4 - 5\} + \{0, 7^2 - 7, 7^3 - 7\}, \\ U_i &= U_{i-1} \cup (U_{i-1} + \{p_i^2 - p_i\}), \quad i = 5, 6, \dots \end{aligned}$$

By Mathematica, we can produce each U_i and verify that $[1102, 3858] \cap 2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq U_{12}$ and $1100 \notin U_{12}$.

Thus, if n is an even number with $1102 \leq n \leq 3858$, then n can be represented as $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i)$, where t_i are positive integers.

Now assume that for any even number n with $1102 \leq n < 2m$ ($2m > 3858$), n can be represented as $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i)$, where t_i are positive integers.

Since $2m - 1102 > 3858 - 1102 = 53^2 - 53$, there exists a prime $p_u \geq 53$ with

$$p_u^2 - p_u \leq 2m - 1102 < p_{u+1}^2 - p_{u+1}. \quad (1)$$

Then

$$1102 \leq 2m - (p_u^2 - p_u) < 2m.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$2m - (p_u^2 - p_u) = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i),$$

where t_i are positive integers. Hence

$$2m = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i) + (p_u^2 - p_u). \quad (2)$$

Now we prove that $t_u = 1$. If this is not true, then $t_u \geq 2$ and $2m \geq 2(p_u^2 - p_u)$. By (1) we have

$$2(p_u^2 - p_u) - 1102 \leq 2m - 1102 < p_{u+1}^2 - p_{u+1} < p_{u+1}^2 - p_u.$$

Thus

$$2p_u^2 - p_u - 1102 < p_{u+1}^2.$$

By $p_u \geq 53$ and Lemma 1 we have $p_{u+1} \in (p_u, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}p_u}]$. Since

$$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}p_u} \leq \sqrt{2p_u^2 - p_u - 1102},$$

we have

$$p_{u+1}^2 \leq 2p_u^2 - p_u - 1102,$$

a contradiction. So $t_u = 1$. By (2), we have $2m$ can be represented as $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t'_i} - p_i)$, where t'_i are positive integers. Therefore, every even number $n \geq 1102$ can be expressed as the form $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i)$, where t_i are positive integers.

Suppose that 1100 can be expressed as $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i)$, where t_i are positive integers. Then $p_i^{t_i} - p_i \leq 1100$ for all i . If $t_i \geq 2$, then $p_i^2 - p_i \leq 1100$. Thus $p_i < 37$. So $i < 12$. If $t_i \geq 3$, then $p_i^3 - p_i \leq 1100$. Thus $p_i \leq 7 = p_4$. By $p_2^{t_2} - p_2 \leq 1100$ we have $t_2 \leq 6$. By $p_3^{t_3} - p_3 \leq 1100$ we have $t_3 \leq 4$. By $p_4^{t_4} - p_4 \leq 1100$ we have $t_4 \leq 3$. Hence $1100 \in U_{12}$, a contradiction. Therefore 1100 cannot be expressed as $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i)$, where t_i are positive integers. This completes the proof of Lemma 2. \square

Lemma 3. *If $2n < p_{s+2}$ and $\sum_{i=2}^{s+1} p_i + 2n$ is the sum of exactly s integers > 1 which are pairwise relatively prime, then $\sum_{i=2}^{s+1} p_i + 2n$ can be expressed as the sum of powers of s distinct primes.*

Proof. Let

$$\sum_{i=2}^{s+1} p_i + 2n = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i,$$

where $1 < m_1 < \cdots < m_s$ and $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq s$, $i \neq j$. By comparing the parities we know that these s integers m_i must all be odd. If one of these s integers has at least two distinct prime factors, then the sum of these s integers is at least $3 \times 5 + p_4 + \cdots + p_{s+2} = p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + p_{s+2} + 7$. This contradicts $2n \leq p_{s+2}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 2

For $s \geq 2$, let

$$H(s) = \{p_j - p_i : 2 \leq i \leq s+1 < j \leq 185\} \\ \cup \{p_u + p_v - p_s - p_{s+1} : s \leq u \leq 105, u < v \leq 180\}.$$

By Mathematica, for $2 \leq s \leq 182$ we find that $[p_{s+2}, 1100] \cap 2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq H(s)$. Thus, for $p_{s+2} < 2n \leq 1100$, $\sum_{i=2}^{s+1} p_i + 2n$ can be expressed as the sum of s distinct odd primes.

Let h_s be the largest even number $2n \leq 1100$ such that $\sum_{i=2}^{s+1} p_i + 2n$ cannot be expressed as the sum of s distinct integers > 1 which are pairwise relatively prime. Noting that $p_{s+2} > 1100$ for $s \geq 183$, by the above arguments we have $h_s \leq \min\{1100, p_{s+2}\}$ for all $s \geq 2$.

We will use induction on s to prove that $\tau'_s = h_s$ for all $s \geq 2$.

For every even number $\ell > 6$, we have $\phi(\ell) > 2$, where $\phi(\ell)$ is the Euler's totient function. Hence there exists an integer n with $2 \leq n \leq \ell - 2$ and $(n, \ell) = 1$. So

$$\ell = n + (\ell - n), \quad (n, \ell - n) = 1, \quad n \geq 2, \ell - n \geq 2.$$

Thus $\tau'_2 = -2 = h_2$. Suppose that $\tau'_s = h_s$. Now we prove that $\tau'_{s+1} = h_{s+1}$.

Let ℓ be an integer which has the same parity as $s+1$. Write

$$\ell = \sum_{i=2}^{s+2} p_i + 2n.$$

Then $2n$ is an even number. By the definition of τ'_{s+1} and h_{s+1} , it is enough to prove that if $2n > 1100$, then $\sum_{i=2}^{s+2} p_i + 2n$ can be expressed as the sum of $s + 1$ distinct integers > 1 which are pairwise relatively prime.

Assume that $2n > 1100$. Write $2t = 2n - \tau'_s$. By $\tau'_s = h_s \leq p_{s+2}$ we have $p_{s+2} + 2t = p_{s+2} + 2n - \tau'_s \geq 2n > 1100$. By Lemma 1 there exists an odd prime q with $\frac{2}{3}(p_{s+2} + 2t) < q < p_{s+2} + 2t$. Then

$$\ell - q > \ell - p_{s+2} - 2t = \sum_{i=2}^{s+1} p_i + \tau'_s.$$

Since

$$\ell - q \equiv s \pmod{2},$$

by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\ell - q = n_1 + \cdots + n_s,$$

where $1 < n_1 < \cdots < n_s$ and $(n_i, n_j) = 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq s, i \neq j$. By $\ell - q \equiv s \pmod{2}$ and $(n_i, n_j) = 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq s, i \neq j$, we have $2 \nmid n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

If $q > n_s$, we are done. Now we assume that $q \leq n_s$. By $\ell - q = n_1 + \cdots + n_s$, we have

$$\ell \geq 2q + p_2 + \cdots + p_s > \frac{4}{3}p_{s+2} + \frac{8}{3}t + p_2 + \cdots + p_s. \quad (3)$$

By (3) and

$$\ell = \sum_{i=2}^{s+2} p_i + 2t + \tau'_s,$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{3}p_{s+2} - p_{s+1} + \frac{2}{3}t < \tau'_s. \quad (4)$$

Noting that $\tau'_s \leq p_{s+2}$, by (4) we have

$$2n = 2t + \tau'_s < 4\tau'_s + 3p_{s+1} - p_{s+2} < 6p_{s+2}. \quad (5)$$

Since $2n > 1100$, by Lemma 2 we have

$$2n = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i), \quad t_i \geq 1, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots \quad (6)$$

For $i \geq s + 3$, by (5) and (6) we have

$$p_{s+3}^{t_i} - p_{s+3} \leq p_i^{t_i} - p_i \leq 2n < 6p_{s+2}.$$

Since $p_{s+3} - 1 \geq p_5 - 1 = 10$, we have $t_i = 1$ for all $i \geq s + 3$. Hence

$$\ell = \sum_{i=2}^{s+2} p_i + 2n = \sum_{i=2}^{s+2} p_i + \sum_{i=2}^{s+2} (p_i^{t_i} - p_i) = \sum_{i=2}^{s+2} p_i^{t_i}.$$

Thus we have proved that if $\ell = \sum_{i=2}^{s+2} p_i + 2n$ cannot be expressed as the sum of $s + 1$ distinct integers > 1 which are pairwise relatively prime, then $2n \leq 1100$. By the definition of h_{s+1} and τ'_{s+1} , we have $\tau'_{s+1} = h_{s+1}$. Therefore, $\tau'_s = h_s$ for all $s \geq 2$.

Now we have proved that $\tau'_s = h_s$ is the largest even number $2n \leq 1100$ such that $\sum_{i=2}^{s+1} p_i + 2n$ cannot be expressed as the sum of s distinct integers > 1 which are pairwise relatively prime and $\tau'_s = h_s \leq \min\{1100, p_{s+2}\}$.

In order to prove Theorem 2, it is enough to prove that $\tau'_s \notin U + V_s$ and if $2n$ is an even number with $\tau'_s < 2n \leq \min\{1100, p_{s+2}\}$, then $2n \in U + V_s$.

Let $2n$ be an even number with $\tau'_s < 2n \leq \min\{1100, p_{s+2}\}$. Now we prove that $2n \in U + V_s$. By Lemma 3 and the definition of τ'_s , we have

$$p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 2n = p_{l_1}^{\alpha_1} + \cdots + p_{l_s}^{\alpha_s},$$

where $2 \leq l_1 < \cdots < l_s$ and $\alpha_i \geq 1 (1 \leq i \leq s)$. If $l_1 \geq s + 2$, then $l_i \geq s + 1 + i (1 \leq i \leq s)$. Thus $l_s \geq 2s + 1 \geq 5$ and $p_{l_s} \geq p_5 = 11$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2n &= p_{l_1}^{\alpha_1} + \cdots + p_{l_s}^{\alpha_s} - (p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1}) \\ &\geq p_{s+2} + \cdots + p_{2s+1} - (p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1}) \\ &\geq p_{s+2} + \cdots + p_{2s} + 11 - (p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1}) \\ &> p_{s+2}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction with $2n \leq \min\{1100, p_{s+2}\}$. So $l_1 \leq s + 1$. Let r be the largest index with $l_r \leq s + 1$. If $r = s$, then $l_i = i + 1 (1 \leq i \leq s)$. Thus

$$2n = (p_2^{\alpha_1} - p_2) + \cdots + (p_{s+1}^{\alpha_s} - p_{s+1}). \quad (7)$$

If $r < s$, let

$$\{2, 3, \dots, s+1\} = \{l_1, \dots, l_r\} \cup \{j_1, \dots, j_{s-r}\}$$

with $j_1 < \dots < j_{s-r}$. Hence

$$2n = (p_{l_1}^{\alpha_1} - p_{l_1}) + \dots + (p_{l_r}^{\alpha_r} - p_{l_r}) + p_{l_{r+1}}^{\alpha_{r+1}} + \dots + p_{l_s}^{\alpha_s} - p_{j_1} - \dots - p_{j_{s-r}}. \quad (8)$$

For $1 \leq i \leq r$, if $\alpha_i \geq 2$, then by (7) and (8) we have

$$p_{l_i}(p_{l_i} - 1) \leq 2n \leq 1100.$$

Thus $p_{l_i} \leq 31$ and $l_i \leq 11$. Hence

$$(p_{l_1}^{\alpha_1} - p_{l_1}) + \dots + (p_{l_r}^{\alpha_r} - p_{l_r}) \in U. \quad (9)$$

For $r < i \leq s$, if $\alpha_i \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & p_{l_{r+1}}^{\alpha_{r+1}} + \dots + p_{l_s}^{\alpha_s} - p_{j_1} - \dots - p_{j_{s-r}} \\ & \geq p_{s+2}^2 + (s-r-1)p_{s+3} - (s-r)p_{s+1} > p_{s+2} \geq 2n, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. So $\alpha_i = 1$ for all $r < i \leq s$. By (8) we have

$$p_{l_{r+1}}^{\alpha_{r+1}} + \dots + p_{l_s}^{\alpha_s} - p_{j_1} - \dots - p_{j_{s-r}} \leq 2n \leq 1100.$$

Hence

$$p_{l_{r+1}}^{\alpha_{r+1}} + \dots + p_{l_s}^{\alpha_s} - p_{j_1} - \dots - p_{j_{s-r}} = p_{l_{r+1}} + \dots + p_{l_s} - p_{j_1} - \dots - p_{j_{s-r}} \in V_s. \quad (10)$$

By (7) - (10) we have $2n \in U + V_s$.

In order to prove Theorem 2, it suffices to prove that $\tau'_s \notin U + V_s$.

Suppose that $\tau'_s \in U + V_s$. Then

$$\tau'_s = \sum_{i=2}^{11} (p_i^{\beta_i} - p_i) + p_{i_1} + \dots + p_{i_l} - p_{w_1} - \dots - p_{w_l},$$

where $\beta_i (2 \leq i \leq 11)$ are positive integers and $w_1 < \dots < w_l \leq s+1 < i_1 < \dots < i_l$. Let

$$\sum_{i=2}^{11} (p_i^{\beta_i} - p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m (p_{e_i}^{d_i} - p_{e_i}),$$

where $2 \leq e_1 < \dots < e_m \leq 11$ and $d_i \geq 2(1 \leq i \leq m)$. Since

$$p_{e_m}(p_{e_m} - 1) \leq p_{e_m}^{d_m} - p_{e_m} \leq \tau'_s \leq p_{s+2},$$

we have $e_m \leq s + 1$. If $w_1 \leq e_m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau'_s &= \sum_{i=1}^m (p_{e_i}^{d_i} - p_{e_i}) + p_{i_1} + \dots + p_{i_l} - p_{w_1} - \dots - p_{w_l} \\ &\geq p_{e_m}^{d_m} - p_{e_m} - p_{w_1} + p_{s+2} \\ &\geq p_{e_m}(p_{e_m} - 2) + p_{s+2} > p_{s+2}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction with $\tau'_s \leq \min\{1100, p_{s+2}\}$. Hence $e_m < w_1$. Thus

$$2 \leq e_1 < \dots < e_m < w_1 < \dots < w_l \leq s + 1 < i_1 < \dots < i_l.$$

Let

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_{s-m-l}\} = \{2, \dots, s + 1\} \setminus \{e_1, \dots, e_m, w_1, \dots, w_l\}.$$

Then

$$p_2 + \dots + p_{s+1} + \tau'_s = \sum_{i=1}^m p_{e_i}^{d_i} + p_{f_1} + \dots + p_{f_{s-m-l}} + p_{i_1} + \dots + p_{i_l}.$$

Since $e_1, \dots, e_m, f_1, \dots, f_{s-m-l}, i_1, \dots, i_l$ are pairwise distinct, this contradicts the definition of τ'_s . Hence $\tau'_s \notin U + V_s$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4 Proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1

It is easy to see that $c_2 = -2$ and $\{0, 2, 4, 6\} \in V_2$. Thus, by $0 \in U$, all even numbers $2n$ with $-2 < 2n \leq \min\{1100, p_{2+2}\}$ are in $U + V_2$. So Theorem 1 is true for $s = 2$.

Now we assume that $s > 2$.

In order to prove Theorem 1, by Theorem 2 it is enough to prove that for any odd number $2k + 1 > \tau'_s$, $p_2 + \dots + p_{s+1} + 2k + 1$ can be expressed

as the sum of s distinct integers > 1 which are pairwise relatively prime. Since $\tau'_s \geq -2$, we have $k \geq -1$. If $k = -1$, then

$$p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 2k + 1 = p_1 + p_3 + p_4 + \cdots + p_{s+1}.$$

If $k = 0$, then

$$p_2 + \cdots + p_{s+1} + 2k + 1 = p_1^2 + p_3 + p_4 + \cdots + p_{s+1}.$$

Now we assume that $k \geq 1$. By Theorem 2 we have $p_{s+1} + 2k - 1 > \tau'_{s-1}$.

Hence

$$p_2 + \cdots + p_s + (p_{s+1} + 2k - 1) = n_1 + \cdots + n_{s-1},$$

where $1 < n_1 < \cdots < n_{s-1}$ and $(n_i, n_j) = 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq s-1$, $i \neq j$. By $p_2 + \cdots + p_s + (p_{s+1} + 2k - 1) \equiv s-1 \pmod{2}$ and $(n_i, n_j) = 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq s-1$, $i \neq j$, we have $2 \nmid n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$. Thus

$$p_2 + \cdots + p_s + (p_{s+1} + 2k + 1) = 2 + n_1 + \cdots + n_{s-1}$$

is the required form.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Corollary 1. Suppose that $p_{s+2} - p_{s+1} > 1100$. Then $V_s = \{0\}$. Since $1100 \notin U$, we have $1100 \notin U + V_s$. By Theorem 1 we have $c_s = 1100$. This completes the proof of the first part of Corollary 1.

The second part now follows from the fact that the number of primes $p \leq x$, such that $p+k$ is prime, is bounded above by $c \frac{x}{\log^2 x}$, where c depends only on k (Brun [1], Sándor, Mitrinović and Crstici [4, p.238], Wang [6]). This completes the proof of the second part of Corollary 1. \square

5 Final Remarks

Let $A = ([2, 1100] \cap 2\mathbf{N}) \setminus U$ and for $t < s$, let

$$\begin{aligned} V_s(t) &= \{p_{s+2+i} - p_{s+1-j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq t\} \\ &\cup \{p_{s+2+i} + p_{s+2+j} - p_{s+1-u} - p_{s+1-v} \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq t, 0 \leq u < v \leq t\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$a(s, t) = \max(A \setminus (U + V_s(t))).$$

If

$$a(s, t) < \min\{p_{s+2+t} - p_{s+1}, p_{s+2} - p_{s+1-t}, p_{s+3} + p_{s+2} - p_{s+1} - p_s\},$$

then

$$a(s, t) = \max(A \setminus (U + V_s)).$$

Noting that $A = ([2, 1100] \cap 2\mathbf{N}) \setminus U$, by Theorem 1 we have $c_s = a(s, t)$. Taking $t = 5$, by Mathematica we find that $c_{500} = 16$, $c_{900} = 14$, $c_{1000} = 8$, $c_{2000} = 22$, etc.

Acknowledgements

The authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No.11126302, 11071121. The first author is also supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, Grant No. 11KJB110006 and the Foundation of Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology. We would like to thank the referee for his/her comments.

References

- [1] V. Brun, *Le crible d'Ératosthène et le théorème de Goldbach*, Skr. Vid. Selsk. Kristiania I 3(1920), 1-36.
- [2] Y. G. Chen, *The analogue of Erdős-Turán conjecture in \mathbf{Z}_m* , J. Number Theory 128 (2008), 2573-2581.
- [3] P. Erdős, *On a problem of Sierpiński*, Acta Arith. 11(1965), 189-192.
- [4] J. Sándor, D. S. Mitrinović and B. Crstici, *Handbook of Number Theory I*, Springer 2006.

- [5] W. Sierpiński, *Sur les suites d'entiers deux á deux premiers entre eux*, Enseignement Math. 10(1964), 229-235.
- [6] Y. Wang, *On the representation of large integer as a sum of a prime and an almost prime*, Sci. Sinica 11 (1962), 1033-1054.

Appendix

$U = \{ 0, 6, 20, 24, 26, 42, 44, 48, 62, 66, 68, 78, 86, 98, 110, 116, 120, 126, 130, 134, 136, 140, 144, 152, 154, 156, 158, 162, 168, 172, 176, 178, 180, 182, 186, 188, 196, 198, 200, 204, 208, 218, 222, 224, 230, 234, 236, 240, 242, 250, 254, 260, 266, 272, 276, 278, 282, 286, 290, 292, 296, 298, 300, 302, 308, 310, 314, 316, 318, 320, 324, 328, 332, 334, 336, 338, 340, 342, 344, 348, 350, 352, 354, 356, 358, 360, 362, 364, 366, 368, 370, 380, 382, 384, 386, 388, 390, 392, 396, 398, 402, 404, 406, 408, 410, 412, 414, 416, 420, 424, 426, 428, 430, 434, 438, 440, 444, 446, 448, 450, 452, 454, 456, 458, 460, 462, 464, 466, 468, 470, 472, 476, 478, 480, 482, 486, 490, 492, 494, 496, 498, 500, 502, 504, 506, 508, 510, 512, 514, 516, 518, 520, 522, 524, 526, 528, 530, 532, 534, 536, 538, 540, 542, 544, 546, 548, 550, 554, 558, 560, 562, 564, 566, 568, 570, 572, 574, 576, 578, 580, 582, 584, 586, 590, 592, 596, 600, 602, 604, 606, 608, 612, 614, 616, 618, 620, 622, 624, 626, 628, 632, 634, 636, 638, 640, 642, 644, 646, 650, 652, 656, 658, 660, 662, 664, 666, 668, 670, 674, 676, 678, 680, 682, 684, 686, 688, 690, 692, 694, 696, 698, 700, 702, 704, 706, 710, 712, 714, 718, 722, 724, 726, 728, 730, 732, 734, 736, 738, 740, 742, 744, 746, 748, 750, 752, 754, 756, 758, 760, 762, 764, 766, 768, 770, 772, 776, 778, 780, 782, 784, 786, 788, 790, 792, 794, 796, 798, 800, 802, 804, 806, 808, 810, 812, 814, 816, 818, 820, 822, 824, 826, 830, 832, 834, 836, 838, 840, 842, 844, 846, 848, 850, 852, 854, 856, 858, 860, 862, 864, 866, 868, 870, 872, 874, 876, 878, 880, 882, 884, 886, 888, 890, 892, 894, 896, 898, 900, 902, 904, 906, 908, 910, 912, 914, 916, 918, 920, 922, 924, 926, 928, 930, 932, 934, 936, 938, 940, 942, 944, 946, 948, 950, 952, 954, 956, 958, 960, 962, 964, 966, 968, 970, 972, 974, 976, 978, 980, 982, 984, 986, 988, 990, 992, 994, 996, 998, 1000, 1002, 1004, 1006, 1008, 1010, 1012, 1014, 1016, 1018, 1020, 1022, 1024, 1026, 1028, 1030, 1032, 1034, 1036, 1038, 1040, 1042, 1044, 1046, 1048, 1050, 1052, 1054, 1056, 1058, 1060, 1062, 1064, 1066, 1068, 1070, 1072, 1074, 1076, 1078, 1080, 1082, 1084, 1086, 1088, 1090, 1092, 1094, 1096, 1098 \}.$