

# DOMAINS IN COMPLEX SURFACES WITH A NONCOMPACT AUTOMORPHISM GROUP – II

KAUSHAL VERMA

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be an arbitrary complex surface and  $D \subset X$  a domain that has a noncompact group of holomorphic automorphisms. A characterization of those domains  $D$  that admit a smooth real analytic, finite type, boundary orbit accumulation point and whose closures are contained in a complete hyperbolic domain  $D' \subset X$  is obtained.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$  be a bounded domain and let  $\text{Aut}(D)$  be the group of holomorphic automorphisms of  $D$ . There is a natural action of  $\text{Aut}(D)$  on  $D$  given by

$$(f, z) \mapsto f(z)$$

where  $f \in \text{Aut}(D)$  and  $z \in D$ . Suppose the orbit of some point  $p \in D$  under this action accumulates at  $p_\infty \in \partial D$  – call such a point a boundary orbit accumulation point. In this situation, it has been shown that (see [1]–[4], [5], [11], [22], [26] and [33] among others) the nature of  $\partial D$  near  $p_\infty$  provides global information about  $D$ . The question of investigating this phenomenon when  $D$  is a domain in a complex manifold was raised in [7] and [14] and it was shown in the latter article that the Wong-Rosay theorem remains valid when  $D$  is a domain in an arbitrary complex manifold with  $p_\infty \in \partial D$  a strongly pseudoconvex point. In short, such a domain  $D$  is biholomorphic to the unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Motivated by this result, it was shown in [28] that the analogues of [1] and [5] are also valid, with the same conclusion, when  $D$  is a domain in an arbitrary complex surface and  $p_\infty$  is a smooth weakly pseudoconvex point of finite type. The pseudoconvexity hypothesis near  $p_\infty$  was dropped in [4] and a local version of this result for bounded domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and with the boundary  $\partial D$  near  $p_\infty$  being smooth real analytic and of finite type can be found in [31]. The purpose of this article is to generalise the result in [31] by finding all possible model domains when  $D$  is a domain in an arbitrary complex surface  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be an arbitrary complex surface and  $D \subset X$  a domain. Suppose that  $\bar{D}$  is contained in a complete hyperbolic domain  $D' \subset X$  and that there exists a point  $p \in D$  and a sequence  $\{\phi_j\} \in \text{Aut}(D)$  such that  $\{\phi_j(p)\}$  converges to  $p_\infty \in \partial D$ . Assume that the boundary of  $D$  is smooth real analytic and of finite type near  $p_\infty$ . Then exactly one of the following alternatives holds:*

(i) *If  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 2$  then either*

- $D \simeq \mathcal{D}_1 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + P_1(\Re z_1) < 0\}$  where  $P_1$  is a polynomial that depends on  $\Re z_1$ , or
- $D \simeq \mathcal{D}_2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + P_2(|z_1|^2) < 0\}$  where  $P_2$  is a polynomial that depends on  $|z_1|^2$ , or

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 32M12 ; Secondary : 32M99.

*Key words and phrases.* Non-compact automorphism group, finite type, orbit accumulation point.

The author was supported in part by the DST SwarnaJayanti Fellowship 2009-2010.

- $D \simeq \mathcal{D}_3 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + P_{2m}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) < 0\}$  where  $P_{2m}$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $2m$  without harmonic terms.
- (ii) If  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 3$  then  $D \simeq \mathcal{D}_4 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + (\Re z_1)^{2m} < 0\}$  for some integer  $m \geq 2$ .
- (iii) If  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 4$  then  $D \simeq \mathcal{D}_5 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + |z_1|^{2m} < 0\}$  for some integer  $m \geq 2$ .
- (vi) If  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 8$  then  $D \simeq \mathcal{D}_6 = \mathbb{B}^2$  the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

The dimensions 0, 1, 5, 6, 7 cannot occur with  $D$  as above.

To clarify several points, first note that  $D$  is hyperbolic since it is contained in  $D'$  which is assumed to be complete hyperbolic in the sense of Kobayashi. Therefore  $\text{Aut}(D)$  is a real Lie group endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $D$ . Moreover, the family  $\phi_j : D \rightarrow D \subset D'$  is normal since  $D'$  is complete. By theorem 2.7 in [30] (which generalises Cartan's theorem – see [25] pp. 78) we see that every possible limit map  $\phi$  is either in  $\text{Aut}(D)$  or satisfies  $\phi(D) \subset \partial D$ . Since  $\phi(p) = p_\infty \in \partial D$ , it follows that  $\phi(D) \subset \partial D$ . Fix a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $p_\infty$  and a biholomorphism  $\psi : U \rightarrow \psi(U) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $\psi(p_\infty) = 0$  and  $\psi(U \cap \partial D)$  is a smooth real analytic hypersurface of finite type – note that the type is a biholomorphic invariant and hence it suffices to work with a fixed, sufficiently small neighbourhood of  $p_\infty$ . Let  $W$  be a neighbourhood of  $p$  small enough so that  $\phi(W) \subset U$ . If possible, let  $k > 0$  be the maximal rank of  $\phi$  which is attained on the complement of an analytic set  $A \subset D$ . If  $p \in W \setminus A$ , then the image of a small neighbourhood of  $p$  that does not intersect  $A$  under  $\phi$  is a germ of a positive dimensional complex manifold contained in  $U \cap \partial D$  and this is a contradiction. On the other hand if  $p \in A$ , pick  $q \in W \setminus A$  and repeat the above argument to see that  $k = 0$  in this case as well. Thus  $\phi(D) \equiv p_\infty$ . Since this is true of any limit map, it follows that the entire sequence  $\phi_j$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $D$  to the constant map  $\phi(z) \equiv p_\infty$ . It follows that  $D$  must be simply connected (see for example [24]) for any loop  $\gamma \subset D$  is contractible if and only if  $\phi_j(\gamma)$  is so for all  $j$ . However, for all large  $j$  the loop  $\phi_j(\gamma) \subset U \cap D$  which is simply connected if  $U$  is small enough. Hence  $\phi_j(\gamma)$  is a trivial loop for large  $j$  and hence so is  $\gamma$ .

Second, note that  $\psi(p_\infty)$  cannot belong to the envelope of holomorphy of  $\psi(U \cap D)$ . Indeed, for if not, then on the one hand we see from the above reasoning that the Jacobian determinant  $\det(\psi \circ \phi_j)'$  must tend to zero uniformly on compact subsets of  $D$ . On the other hand, all the maps  $\phi_j^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1} : \psi(U \cap D) \rightarrow D \subset D'$  extend to a fixed, open neighbourhood of  $\psi(p_\infty)$  by a theorem of Ivashkovich (see [20]) since  $D'$  is complete. Moreover, the extensions of these maps near  $\psi(p_\infty)$  take values in  $D'$ . Hence there is an upper bound for  $\det(\phi_j^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1})'$  near  $\psi(p_\infty)$  and this is a contradiction. As a consequence, this observation of Greene-Krantz is also valid in the situation of the main theorem.

Third, recall the stratification of the smooth real analytic finite type hypersurface  $U \cap \partial D$  that was used in [31]. There is a biholomorphically invariant decomposition of  $U \cap \partial D$  as the union of two relatively open sets, namely  $\partial D^+$  (for brevity, we drop the reference to  $\psi$ ) which consists of points near which  $U \cap \partial D$  is pseudoconvex and  $\hat{D} \cap \partial D$  that has those points which are in the envelope of holomorphy of  $U \cap D$ , and their closed complement  $M_e$  which is a locally finite union of smooth real analytic arcs and points. Note that  $M_e$  is contained in the set of Levi flat points  $\mathcal{L}$  which by the finite type assumption is a codimension one real analytic subset of  $U \cap \partial D$ . By the second remark above,  $p_\infty \notin \hat{D} \cap \partial D$ . If  $p_\infty \in \partial D^+$  then by [28] it follows that

$$D \simeq \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + P_{2m}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) < 0\}$$

where  $P_{2m}(z_1, \bar{z}_1)$  is a homogeneous subharmonic polynomial of degree  $2m$  (this being the 1-type of  $U \cap \partial D$  near  $p_\infty$ ) without harmonic terms. In this case, the assumption that  $D \subset D'$  plays no role for pseudoconvexity of  $U \cap \partial D$  near  $p_\infty$  (an orbit accumulation point) is enough to guarantee that  $D$  is complete hyperbolic – see [5], [13] for example. In particular, in the situation of the main theorem, the Levi form of  $U \cap \partial D$  changes sign in every neighbourhood of  $p_\infty$ . Finally, a word about the assumption that  $\bar{D}$  is contained in a complete hyperbolic domain  $D' \subset X$ . Perhaps the most natural assumption would be to *not* assume anything except finite type and smooth real analyticity of  $U \cap \partial D$  near  $p_\infty$ . In this situation, the first thing to do would be to show the normality of  $O(\Delta, D)$ , the family of holomorphic mappings from the unit disc  $\Delta$  into  $D$ . And as in [5] and [13] this should be a consequence of understanding the rate of blow up of the Kobayashi metric on  $D$  near  $p_\infty$ . That the metric can even be localised near  $p_\infty$  near which the Levi form changes sign does not seem to be known. Therefore another possibility is to assume that  $D$  is locally taut near  $p_\infty$ , i.e.,  $V \cap D$  is taut for some fixed neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p_\infty$ . However, working with this also requires knowledge that an analytic disc  $f : \Delta \rightarrow D$  with  $f(0)$  close to  $p_\infty$  can be localised. Moreover, if we strengthen the hypothesis on  $D$  by assuming that it is complete hyperbolic, then  $D$  would be pseudoconvex near  $p_\infty$ . The model domains in this case have been determined in [28]. With these observations a plausible hypothesis seemed that of requiring that  $\bar{D} \subset D'$  where  $D' \subset X$  is complete – and this, though being global in nature, seemed to complement well the assumption made in [31] that  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is a bounded domain.

The general strategy is the same as in [31]. Note that since  $D$  is hyperbolic it follows from [21], [23] that  $0 \leq \dim \text{Aut}(D) \leq n^2 + 2n = 8$  as  $n = 2$ . Furthermore by [21] it is known that if  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) \geq 5$ , then  $D$  is homogeneous and hence there is an orbit that clusters at strongly pseudoconvex points in  $U \cap \partial D$ . Such points form a non-empty open subset of  $U \cap \partial D$  that contains  $p_\infty$  in its closure and this follows from the decomposition of  $U \cap \partial D$  alluded to above. Consequently by [14],  $D \simeq \mathbb{B}^2$ . Therefore it suffices to treat the case when  $0 \leq \dim \text{Aut}(D) \leq 4$ . An initial scaling of  $D$  using the orbit  $\{\phi_j(p)\}$  as described below shows that  $D$  is biholomorphic to a model domain of the form

$$G = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + P(z_1, \bar{z}_1) < 0\}$$

where  $P(z_1, \bar{z}_1)$  is a polynomial without harmonic terms. Let  $g : G \rightarrow D$  be the biholomorphism.  $G$  is evidently invariant under the one parameter subgroup of translations in the imaginary  $z_2$ -direction, i.e.,  $T_t(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, z_2 + it)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . This shows that  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) \geq 1$ . If  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = \dim \text{Aut}(G) = 1$ , it is possible to explicitly write down what an element of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  should look like and this description shows that the orbits in  $G$  stay uniformly away from the boundary and accumulate only at the point at infinity in  $\partial G$ . Using the assumption that  $D$  is contained in a taut domain, it can be seen that the Kobayashi metric in  $D$  blows up near  $p_\infty$ . Let  $X = g_*(i\partial/\partial z_2)$ ; note that  $i\partial/\partial z_2$  is a holomorphic vector field in  $G$  whose real part generates the translations  $T_t$ . Then  $p_\infty$  is seen to be an isolated zero of  $X$  on  $\partial D$  and the arguments of [4] show that  $X$  must be parabolic and this forces  $D$  to be equivalent to an ellipsoid whose automorphism group is four dimensional. This is a contradiction. When  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 2$ , two cases arise depending on whether  $\text{Aut}(D)^c$ , the connected component of the identity in  $\text{Aut}(D)$  is abelian or not. In the former case,  $\text{Aut}(D)^c$  must be isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or to  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ . These lead to the conclusion that  $D \simeq \mathcal{D}_1$  or  $D \simeq \mathcal{D}_2$ . In the non-abelian case  $\text{Aut}(D)^c$  is solvable and it can be shown that  $D \simeq \mathcal{D}_3$ . A case-by-case analysis is used when  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 3, 4$  to identify the relevant domain from the classification obtained by A. V. Isaev in [17], [18]. While the argument remains the same in some cases, we take this opportunity to streamline and provide

alternate proofs in some instances – for example, ruling out the possibility that  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 1$  and identifying the right model domain when  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 3$ . There are several possibilities in [17] and here we focus on three interesting classes from that list, as the proof for the others remains the same. Nothing changes when  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 2, 4$ , i.e., the same proofs from [31] carry over to these cases and we have decided to be brief, the emphasis being not to merely repeat what carries over to this situation from [31], but to identify and focus on the differences instead.

## 2. THE DIMENSION OF $\text{Aut}(D)$ IS AT LEAST TWO

To describe the scaling of  $D$  using the base point  $p$  and the sequence  $\{\phi_j\} \in \text{Aut}(D)$ , first note that for  $j$  large, there is a unique point  $\tilde{p}_j \in \psi(U \cap \partial D)$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \text{dist}(\psi \circ \phi_j(p), \psi(U \cap \partial D)) = |\tilde{p}_j - \psi \circ \phi_j(p)|.$$

By a rotation of coordinates, we may assume that the defining function  $\rho(z)$  for  $\psi(U \cap \partial D)$  is of the form

$$\rho(z) = 2\Re z_2 + \sum_{k,l} c_{kl}(y_2) z_1^k \bar{z}_1^l$$

where  $c_{00}(y_2) = O(y_2^2)$  and  $c_{10}(y_2) = \bar{c}_{01}(y_2) = O(y_2)$ . Let  $m$  be the type of  $\psi(U \cap \partial D)$  at the origin. By definition, there exist  $k, l$  both at least one and  $k + l = m$  for which  $c_{kl}(0) \neq 0$  and  $c_{kl}(0) = 0$  for all other  $k + l < m$ . The pure terms, if any, up to order  $m$  in the defining function can be removed by a polynomial automorphism of the form

$$(2.2) \quad (z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_1, z_2 + \sum_{k \leq m} (c_{k0}(0)/2) z_1^k).$$

These coordinate changes will be absorbed in  $\psi$ . Let  $\psi_{p,1}^j(z) = z - \tilde{p}_j$  so that  $\psi_{p,1}^j(\tilde{p}_j) = 0$ . A unitary rotation  $\psi_{p,2}^j(z)$  then ensures that the outer real normal to  $\psi_{p,1}^j \circ \psi(U \cap \partial D)$  at the origin is the real  $z_2$ -axis. The defining function for  $\psi_{p,2}^j \circ \psi_{p,1}^j \circ \psi(U \cap \partial D)$  near the origin is then of the form

$$(2.3) \quad \rho_j(z) = 2\Re z_2 + \sum_{k,l} c_{kl}^j(y_2) z_1^k \bar{z}_1^l$$

with the same normalisations on the coefficients  $c_{00}^j(y_2)$  and  $c_{10}^j(y_2)$  as described above. Since  $\tilde{p}_j \rightarrow 0$  it follows that both  $\psi_{p,1}^j$  and  $\psi_{p,2}^j$  converge to the identity uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Note that the type of  $\psi_{p,2}^j \circ \psi_{p,1}^j \circ \psi(U \cap \partial D)$  is at most  $m$  for all  $j$  and an automorphism of the form (2.2) can be used to remove all pure terms up to order  $m$  from  $\rho_j(z)$ . Denote this by  $\psi_{p,3}^j$ . Lastly, note that  $\psi \circ \phi_j(p)$  is on the inner real normal to  $\psi(U \cap \partial D)$  at  $\tilde{p}_j$  and it follows that  $\psi_{p,2}^j \circ \psi_{p,1}^j \circ \psi \circ \phi_j(p) = (0, -\delta_j)$  for some  $\delta_j > 0$  and the explicit form of (2.2) shows that this is unchanged by  $\psi_{p,3}^j$ . Let

$$\psi_{p,4}^j(z_1, z_2) = (z_1/\varepsilon_j, z_2/\delta_j)$$

where  $\varepsilon_j > 0$  will be chosen in the next step. The defining function for  $\psi_p^j \circ \psi(U \cap \partial D)$  near the origin, where  $\psi_p^j = \psi_{p,4}^j \circ \psi_{p,3}^j \circ \psi_{p,2}^j \circ \psi_{p,1}^j$ , is given by

$$\rho_{j,p}(z) = \delta_j^{-1} \rho_j(\varepsilon_j z_1, \delta_j z_2) = 2\Re z_2 + \sum_{k,l} \varepsilon_j^{k+l} \delta_j^{-1} c_{kl}^j(\delta_j y_2) z_1^k \bar{z}_1^l.$$

Observe that  $\psi_p^j \circ \psi \circ \phi_j(p) = (0, -1)$  for all  $j$ . Now choose  $\varepsilon_j > 0$  by demanding that

$$\max \{ |\varepsilon_j^{k+l} \delta_j^{-1} c_{kl}^j(0)| : k+l \leq m \} = 1$$

for all  $j$ . In particular, note that  $\{\varepsilon_j^m \delta_j^{-1}\}$  is bounded and by passing to a subsequence it follows that

$$\rho_{j,p}(z) \rightarrow \rho_p = 2\Re z_2 + P(z_1, \bar{z}_1)$$

in the  $C^\infty$  topology on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , where  $P(z_1, \bar{z}_1)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $m$  without any harmonic terms. Therefore the domains  $G_{j,p} = \psi_p^j \circ \psi(U \cap D)$  converge to

$$G_p = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + P(z_1, \bar{z}_1) < 0\}$$

in the Hausdorff sense. Let  $K \subset G_p$  be a relatively compact domain containing the base point  $(0, -1)$ . Then  $K \subset \psi_p^j \circ \psi(U \cap D)$  for all large  $j$  and therefore the mappings

$$g_p^j : (\psi_p^j \circ \psi \circ \phi_j)^{-1} : K \rightarrow D \subset D'$$

are well defined and satisfy  $g_p^j(0, -1) = p$ . The completeness of  $D'$  shows that the family  $\{g_p^j\}$  is normal and hence there is a holomorphic limit  $g_p : G_p \rightarrow \bar{D}$  with  $g_p(0, -1) = p$ . It remains to show that  $g_p$  is a biholomorphism from  $G_p$  onto  $D$ . For this, recall the observation made in [4] that since  $P(z_1, \bar{z}_1)$  is not harmonic, the envelope of holomorphy of  $G_p$  is either all of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  or  $\partial G_p$  contains a strongly pseudoconvex point. The former situation cannot hold – indeed, by [20] again, the map  $g_p$  will extend to  $\mathbb{C}^2$  taking values in  $D'$  and since  $D'$  is complete,  $g_p(z) \equiv p$ . Let  $W \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a bounded domain that intersects infinitely many of the boundaries  $\psi_p^j \circ \psi(U \cap \partial D)$  – and hence also  $\partial G_p$ . Then for each  $j$ , note that the cluster set of  $W \cap \psi_p^j \circ \psi(U \cap \partial D)$  under  $g_p^j$  is contained in  $\partial D$  since  $\phi_j \in \text{Aut}(D)$ . Now, if the envelope of  $G_p$  were all of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , it is possible to find a domain  $\Omega$  with  $\Omega \cap \partial G_p \neq \emptyset$  on which the family  $\{g_p^j\}$  would converge uniformly. In this case, by passing to the limit, we see that  $g_p(U \cap \partial G_p) \subset \partial D$  and thus  $g_p$  cannot be the constant map. Therefore there must be a strongly pseudoconvex point, say  $\zeta$  on  $\partial G_p$ . Fix  $r > 0$  so that all points on  $\partial G_p \cap B(\zeta, r)$  are strongly pseudoconvex and since  $\rho_{j,p} \rightarrow \rho_{\infty,p}$  in the  $C^\infty$  topology on  $B(\zeta, r)$ , it follows that each of the open pieces  $\psi_p^j \circ \psi(U \cap \partial D) \cap B(\zeta, r)$  are themselves strongly pseudoconvex for  $j \gg 1$ . For a complex manifold  $M$ , let  $F_M(z, v)$  denote the Kobayashi metric at  $z \in M$  along a tangent vector  $v$  at  $z$ . By the stability of the Kobayashi metric under smooth strongly pseudoconvex perturbations, it follows that for all  $q \in B(\zeta, r) \cap G_p$

$$F_{G_{j,p}}(q, v) \geq c|v|$$

for some uniform  $c > 0$  and by the invariance of the Kobayashi metric we see that

$$(2.4) \quad F_{\phi_j^{-1}(U \cap D)}(g_p^j(q), dg_p^j(q)v) = F_{G_{j,p}}(q, v) \geq c|v|.$$

Since the automorphisms  $\phi_j \rightarrow p_\infty$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $D$ , it can be seen that the domains  $\phi_j^{-1}(U \cap D)$  form an exhaustion of  $D$  in the sense that for any compact  $K \subset D$ , there is an index  $j_0$  for which  $K \subset \phi_{j_0}^{-1}(U \cap D)$ . Furthermore, as  $g_p(0, -1) = p \in D$ , it follows that  $g_p^{-1}(\partial D)$  is closed and nowhere dense in  $G_p$  and therefore it is possible to choose a  $q \in (B(\zeta, r) \cap G_p) \setminus g_p^{-1}(\partial D)$ . This ensures that  $g_p^j(q) \rightarrow g_p(q) \in D$ . Now, the completeness of  $D'$



implies that the Kobayashi metrics on  $\phi_j^{-1}(U \cap D)$  converge to the corresponding metric on  $D$  and thus (2.4) shows that

$$(2.5) \quad F_D(g_p(q), dg_p(q)v) \gtrsim c|v|.$$

Thus  $dg_p(q)$  has full rank. Thus the rank of  $dg_p$  can be smaller only on an analytic set  $A \subset G_p$  of dimension at most one. Pick  $\tilde{q} \in A$  and let  $N_1, N_2$  be small neighbourhoods of  $\tilde{q}$  and  $g_p(\tilde{q})$  respectively such that  $g_p^j(N_1) \subset N_2$  for  $j \gg 1$ . By identifying  $N_2$  with an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , Hurwitz's theorem applied to the Jacobians  $\det(dg_p^j)$  shows that either  $\det(dg_p^j)$  never vanishes or is identically zero in  $N_1$ . Since  $A$  has strictly smaller dimension it follows that  $dg_p$  has full rank everywhere, i.e.,  $A$  must be empty. Hence  $g_p$  is locally biholomorphic in  $G_p$  and therefore  $g_p(G_p) \subset D$ . Injectivity of  $g_p$  is now a consequence of the fact that  $g_p^j$  are all biholomorphic and they converge uniformly on compact subsets of  $G_p$  to  $g_p$ .

To conclude, we have to show that  $D_p = g_p(G_p)$  is all of  $D$ . If not, pick  $\tilde{p} \in \partial D_p \cap D$  and note that since  $\phi_j(\tilde{p}) \rightarrow p_\infty$ , the scaling argument above can be repeated to get a biholomorphism  $g_{\tilde{p}} : G_{\tilde{p}} \rightarrow g_{\tilde{p}}(G_{\tilde{p}}) \subset D$ . Here  $G_{\tilde{p}}$  has the same form as  $G_p$  with possibly a different polynomial than  $P(z_1, \bar{z}_1)$ . Note that  $V = D_p \cap D_{\tilde{p}}$  is then a nonempty open subset of  $D$ . Let  $f_p^j = (g_p^j)^{-1}, f_p = g_p^{-1}$  and  $f_{\tilde{p}}^j = (g_{\tilde{p}}^j)^{-1}, f_{\tilde{p}} = g_{\tilde{p}}^{-1}$ . Observe that both  $f_p, f_{\tilde{p}}$  are biholomorphic on  $V$ , and that both  $f_p^j, f_{\tilde{p}}^j$  are defined on a given compact set in  $D$  for large  $j$ . We may write  $f_p = A \circ f_{\tilde{p}}$  where  $A = g_p^{-1} \circ g_{\tilde{p}}$  is biholomorphic on  $f_{\tilde{p}}(V)$ . But more can be said about  $A$  – indeed, by definition we have

$$g_p^j \circ \psi_p^j \circ (\psi_{\tilde{p}}^j)^{-1} = g_{\tilde{p}}^j$$

where  $A_j = \psi_p^j \circ (\psi_{\tilde{p}}^j)^{-1}$  are polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  of bounded degree as their construction shows. Since  $g_p^j$  and  $g_{\tilde{p}}^j$  converge to  $g_p$  and  $g_{\tilde{p}}$  respectively, we may take  $A$  as the limit of  $A_j$  on  $f_{\tilde{p}}(V)$  and conclude that  $A$  is also a polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Now the functional equation  $f_p = A \circ f_{\tilde{p}}$  extends  $f_p$  as a biholomorphic mapping from a small neighbourhood  $W$  of  $\tilde{p}$  onto  $W'$ , a neighbourhood of  $f_p(\tilde{p})$ . On the other hand, note first that since  $g_{\tilde{p}}$  is biholomorphic near  $(0, -1)$  and maps it to  $\tilde{p}$ , it follows that  $f_{\tilde{p}}^j$  form a normal family on  $W$ , after possibly shrinking it if necessary. As a consequence, the equality

$$f_p^j = A_j \circ f_{\tilde{p}}^j$$

which holds on  $W$  for  $j$  large, shows that  $f_p^j$  converges to  $f_p$  on  $W$  and hence in the limit we see that  $f_p(W) \subset \overline{G_p}$ . That is,  $f_p(W)$  cannot contain a neighbourhood of  $f_p(\tilde{p})$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $g_p : G_p \rightarrow D$  is biholomorphic and since  $G_p$  is invariant under the translations  $T_t$ , it follows that  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = \dim \text{Aut}(G_p) \geq 1$ . In the sequel, we will write  $g, G$  in place of  $g_p, G_p$  respectively.

Recall that  $p_\infty$  is not in the envelope of holomorphy of  $U \cap D$  where  $(U, \phi)$  is the coordinate chart around  $p_\infty$  that was fixed earlier. Let  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$  be the unit disc. The following estimate on the Kobayashi metric near  $p_\infty$  will be useful.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For every  $r \in (0, 1)$ , there is a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p_\infty$  compactly contained in  $U$  such that every analytic disc  $f : \Delta \rightarrow D$  with  $f(0) \in V$  satisfies  $f(r\Delta) \subset U$ . As a result, the Kobayashi metric can be localised near  $p_\infty$  – there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$C \cdot F_{U \cap D}(p, v) \leq F_D(p, v) \leq F_{U \cap D}(p, v)$$

uniformly for all  $p \in V \cap D$  and tangent vectors  $v$  at  $p$ . Moreover,

$$F_D(p, v)/|v| \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $p \rightarrow p_\infty$ . In particular, for any neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p_\infty$  and  $R < \infty$ , there exists another neighbourhood  $W \subset V$  of  $p_\infty$  such that the Kobayashi ball  $B_D^k(p, R) \subset V$  whenever  $p \in W \cap D$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_v : \Delta \rightarrow D \subset D'$  be a sequence of holomorphic disks with  $f_v(0) = p_v \rightarrow p_\infty$ . The completeness of  $D'$  implies that some subsequence of  $\{f_v\}$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Delta$  to a holomorphic limit  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{D}$  and  $f(0) = p_\infty$ . Suppose that  $f(z) \not\equiv p_\infty$  on  $\Delta$ . Let  $\eta > 0$  be such that  $f(\eta\Delta) \subset U$ . Since  $U \cap \partial D$  is of finite type, no open subset of  $f(\eta\Delta)$  can be contained in it and hence  $f(\eta\Delta) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . By the strong disk theorem ([32]) it follows that  $p_\infty$  belongs to the envelope of holomorphy of  $U \cap D$  which is a contradiction. Therefore  $f(z) \equiv p_\infty$  and this shows that all limit functions for the given family of holomorphic disks are constant. The first claim follows and the equivalence of the metrics on  $U \cap D$  and  $D$  is then a consequence of the definition of the Kobayashi metric.

If there exists a sequence  $p_v \rightarrow p_\infty$  and non-zero vectors  $v_v$  at  $p_v$  and a constant  $C$  such that  $F_D(p_v, v_v) \leq C|v_v|$ , then there would exist a uniform  $r > 0$  and holomorphic disks  $f_v \in O(r\Delta, D)$  with  $f_v(0) = p_v$  and  $df_v(0) = v_v$ . By the homogeneity of the metric in the vector variable, we may assume that  $|v_v| = 1$  for all  $v$ . The argument above shows that every possible limit function  $f$  of the family  $\{f_v\}$  is constant which contradicts  $|df(0)| = 1$ . Therefore  $F_D(p, v)/|v|$  blows up as  $p \rightarrow p_\infty$ .

For the claim about the size of  $B_D^k(p, R)$ , let us work in local coordinates around  $\phi(p_\infty) = 0$ . For  $a, b \in U \cap D$ , let  $d(a, b)$  denote the euclidean distance on  $U \cap D$  induced by  $\phi$ . For a given neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p_\infty$  and  $R < \infty$ , let  $p_\infty \in N_2 \subset V$  be such that  $F_D(p, v)/|v| \geq 2R$  for all  $p \in N_2 \cap D$  and tangent vectors  $v$  at  $p$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $N_2 \subset U$  and  $N_2 = \phi^{-1}(B(0, 2))$ . Let  $N_1 = \phi^{-1}(B(0, 1))$ . Fix  $p \in N_1 \cap D$  and  $q \in D$  and let  $\gamma(t)$  be a path in  $D$  parametrised by  $[0, 1]$  with  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\gamma(1) = q$  such that

$$\int_0^1 F_D(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t)) dt \leq d_D^k(p, q) + \varepsilon$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given and  $d_D^k(p, q)$  is the Kobayashi distance between  $p, q$ . Suppose that  $q \in N_1 \cap D$ ; two cases now arise – first, if the entire path  $\gamma \subset N_2 \cap D$ , then

$$2R d(p, q) \leq \int_0^1 F_D(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t)) dt \leq d_D^k(p, q) + \varepsilon.$$

Second, if  $\gamma$  does not entirely lie in  $N_2 \cap D$ , then there is a connected component of  $\gamma$  that contains  $p$  and a point  $a \in \partial N_2 \cap D$ . The length of this connected component is at least  $2 \geq d(p, q)$ . On the other hand, if  $q \in D \setminus N_1$ , then the length of this path can be bounded from below by simply  $2R$ . Thus we get

$$d_D^k(p, q) \geq 2R d(p, q) - \varepsilon$$

if  $q \in N_1 \cap D$  and  $d_D^k(p, q) \geq 2R$  otherwise. Now if  $p \in N_1 \cap D$  and  $q \in B_D^k(p, R)$ , it follows from these comparisons that  $q \in N_1 \cap D$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

The holomorphic vector field  $X = g_*(i\partial/\partial z_2)$  on  $D$  is such that its real part  $\Re X = (X + \overline{X})/2$  generates the one parameter subgroup  $L_t = g \circ T_t \circ g^{-1} = \exp(t \Re X) \in \text{Aut}(D)$ . Two observations can be made about  $X$  at this stage – first, Proposition 2.3 of [31] shows that  $(L_t)$  induces a local one parameter group of holomorphic automorphisms of a neighbourhood of  $p_\infty$  when

$D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is a bounded domain. In particular,  $\mathcal{X}$  extends as a holomorphic vector field near  $p_\infty$ . The proof of this relies on a local parametrised version of the reflection principle from [10], the main tools being the use of Segre varieties and their invariance property under biholomorphisms to construct the desired extension of  $(L_t)$  near  $p_\infty$  for all  $|t| < \eta$  for a fixed  $\eta > 0$ . The same arguments can be applied in the local coordinates induced on  $U$  by  $\phi$  to get the same conclusion in the setting of the main theorem as well. Second, consider the pullback of the orbit  $\{\phi_j(p)\} \in D$  under the equivalence  $g : G \rightarrow D$ , i.e., let  $g^{-1} \circ \phi_j(p) = (a_j, b_j) \in G$  and

$$2\varepsilon_j = 2\Re b_j + P(a_j, \bar{a}_j).$$

Note that  $\varepsilon_j < 0$  for all  $j$ . Proposition 2.5 of [31] shows that if  $|\varepsilon_j| > c > 0$  for all large  $j$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  vanishes to finite order at  $p_\infty$ . The proof of this uses the boundedness of  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  which in particular implies that a family of holomorphic maps into  $D$  is normal. The same argument can be applied in the situation of the main theorem since  $D \subset D'$  and  $D'$  is assumed to be complete hyperbolic. Thus we have:

**Proposition 2.2.** *The group  $(L_t)$  induces a local one parameter group of holomorphic automorphisms of a neighbourhood of  $p_\infty$  in  $X$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{X}$  extends as a holomorphic vector field near  $p_\infty$ . Moreover, if  $|\varepsilon_j| > c > 0$  for all large  $j$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  vanishes to finite order at  $p_\infty$ .*

The next step is to describe what the elements of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  look like under the assumption that  $\dim \text{Aut}(G) = 1$ . This calculation was done in Propositions 2.6 and 2.7 of [31] and they remain valid here since they do not involve any features of  $D$ . The conclusion is that if  $g \in \text{Aut}(G)$  then

$$g(z_1, z_2) = (g_1(z_1, z_2), g_2(z_1, z_2)) = (\alpha z_1 + \beta, \phi(z_1) + a z_2)$$

where  $|\alpha| = 1$ ,  $a = \pm 1$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\phi(z_1)$  is a holomorphic polynomial. Moreover, if  $q = (q_1, q_2) \in G$ ,  $g \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and

$$E = 2\Re(g_2(q_1, q_2)) + P(g_1(q_1, q_2), \overline{g_1(q_1, q_2)})$$

then  $|E| = |2\Re q_2 + P(q_1, \bar{q}_1)|$  as Lemma 2.8 of [31] shows. Hence  $|E|$  is independent of  $g$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *The dimension of  $\text{Aut}(D)$  is at least two.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = \dim \text{Aut}(G) = 1$ . Write

$$(a_j, b_j) = g^{-1} \circ \phi_j(p) = g^{-1} \circ \phi_j \circ g(g(p))$$

and note that  $g^{-1} \circ \phi_j \circ g \in \text{Aut}(G)$  for all  $j \geq 1$ . Let  $g^{-1}(p) = q = (q_1, q_2) \in G$ . By the arguments summarized above, it follows that

$$(2.6) \quad |2\Re b_j + P(a_j, \bar{a}_j)| = |2\Re q_2 + P(q_1, \bar{q}_1)| > 0$$

for all  $j \geq 1$ . This shows that the orbit  $\{g^{-1} \circ \phi_j(p)\} \in G$  can only cluster at the point at infinity in  $\partial G$ . Let

$$\eta = |2\Re q_2 + P(q_1, \bar{q}_1)| > 0$$

and for  $r > 0$  define

$$G_r = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + P(z_1, \bar{z}_1) < -r\} \subset G.$$

Observe that the boundaries of  $G$  and  $G_r$  intersect only at the point at infinity for all  $r > 0$ . Furthermore, the entire orbit  $(a_j, b_j)$  and  $q$  are contained in  $G_{\eta/2}$  by (2.6). By Proposition 2.2 above it follows that  $\mathcal{X}(p_\infty) = 0$  and by Lemma 3.5 of [4] the intersection of the zero set of  $\mathcal{X}$  with  $\partial D$  contains  $p_\infty$  as an isolated point. Now regard  $g$  as a holomorphic mapping from  $G_{\eta/2}$  into  $D$ . The sequence  $(a_j, b_j) \in G_{\eta/2}$  converges to the point at infinity in  $\partial G_{\eta/2}$  and its image



under  $g$ , namely  $\phi_j(p)$ , converges to  $p_\infty$ . Proposition 2.2 also shows that if the cluster set of the point at infinity in  $\partial G_{\eta/2}$  intersects  $\partial D$  near  $p_\infty$ , then the vector field  $\mathcal{X}$  vanishes at all such points. Since the cluster set of the point at infinity in  $\partial G_{\eta/2}$  under  $g$  is connected and contains  $p_\infty$  as an isolated point, it must equal  $p_\infty$ .

Thus for a given small neighbourhood  $U$  of  $p_\infty$  there exists a neighbourhood of the point at infinity in  $\partial G_{\eta/2}$  which is mapped by  $g$  into  $U \cap D$ . However, a neighbourhood of infinity in  $G_{\eta/2}$  contains  $G_M$  for some large  $M > 0$ . Fix a point  $s \in g(G_M) \subset D$  and let  $\tilde{s} = (\tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2) \in G_M$  be such that  $s = g(\tilde{s})$ . Note that

$$L_t(s) = L_t \circ g(\tilde{s}) = g \circ T_t(\tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2) = g(\tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2 + it)$$

which gives  $L_t(s) \rightarrow p_\infty$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ . For any compact  $K \subset D$  there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $K$  is contained in the Kobayashi ball  $B_D^k(s, R)$ . Hence  $L_t(K) \subset B_D^k(L_t(s), R)$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . By Lemma 2.1 it follows that  $L_t$  moves any point in  $D$  in both forward and backward time to  $p_\infty$ , i.e., the action of  $L_t$  on  $D$  is parabolic. The arguments of [4] can now be applied to show that

$$D \simeq \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^{2m} < 1\}$$

for some integer  $m \geq 1$ . Thus  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 4$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

In case  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 2$ , note that the calculations done in section 3 of [31] deal with only the defining function of  $G$  and hence they apply in this situation as well. Indeed, the following dichotomy holds – here  $\text{Aut}(D)^c$  is the connected component of the identity.

(i) If  $\text{Aut}(D)^c$  is abelian, then  $D$  is biholomorphic to either

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + P_1(\Re z_1) < 0\}$$

or

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + P_2(|z_1|^2) < 0\}$$

for some polynomials  $P_1, P_2$  that depend only on  $\Re z_1$  or  $|z_1|^2$  respectively.

(ii) If  $\text{Aut}(D)^c$  is non-abelian then  $D$  is biholomorphic to

$$\mathcal{D}_3 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + P_{2m}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) < 0\}$$

where  $P_{2m}(z_1, \bar{z}_1)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $2m$  without harmonic terms.

### 3. MODEL DOMAINS WHEN $\text{Aut}(D)$ IS THREE DIMENSIONAL

**3.1. A tube domain and its finite and infinite sheeted covers.** For  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$  define

$$\mathfrak{S}_{s,t} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : s < (\Re z_1)^2 + (\Re z_2)^2 < t\}$$

which is a non-simply connected tube domain over a nonconvex base. Evidently  $D$  cannot be biholomorphic to  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$  since  $D$  is simply connected as observed earlier. It is possible to consider finite and infinite sheeted covers of  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$ . To obtain a finite sheeted cover, consider the  $n$ -sheeted covering self map

$$\Phi_\chi^{(n)} : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{\Re z_1 = \Re z_2 = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{\Re z_1 = \Re z_2 = 0\}$$

whose components are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{z}_1 &= \Re((\Re z_1 + i\Re z_2)^n) + i\Im z_1, \\ \tilde{z}_2 &= \Im((\Re z_1 + i\Re z_2)^n) + i\Im z_2. \end{aligned}$$

Equip  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{\Re z_1 = \Re z_2 = 0\}$  with the pull-back complex structure using  $\Phi_\chi^{(n)}$  and call the resulting complex surface  $M_\chi^{(n)}$ . For  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$  and  $n \geq 2$  define

$$\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^{(n)} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in M_\chi^{(n)} : s^{1/n} < (\Re z_1)^2 + (\Re z_2)^2 < t^{1/n}\}.$$

Then  $\Phi_\chi^{(n)}$  is an  $n$ -sheeted holomorphic covering map from  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^{(n)}$  onto  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$ . It is clear that the domains  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^{(n)}$  are not simply connected and hence  $D$  cannot be equivalent to any of them. Proposition 4.7 in [31] provides a different proof of this fact which uses ideas that are applicable for other classes of domains as well. This can be adapted in the setting of theorem 1.1 as follows:

**Proposition 3.1.** *There cannot exist a proper holomorphic mapping from  $D$  onto  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$  for all  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$ . In particular,  $D$  cannot be equivalent to  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^{(n)}$  for any  $n \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : D \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$  be a proper holomorphic mapping. The case when  $0 < s < t < \infty$  will be considered first. The boundary of  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$  has two components, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^+ &= \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : (\Re z_1)^2 + (\Re z_2)^2 = t\}, \text{ and} \\ \partial\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^- &= \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : (\Re z_1)^2 + (\Re z_2)^2 = s\}. \end{aligned}$$

The orientation induced on these pieces by  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$  makes them strongly pseudoconvex and strongly pseudoconcave respectively. Lemma 2.1 of [31] shows that there is a two dimensional stratum  $S \subset \mathcal{L} \cap \hat{D}$  that clusters at  $p_\infty$  – this is a purely local assertion and hence it remains valid here as well. Pick  $a \in S$  near  $p_\infty$  and let  $W$  be a small neighbourhood of  $a$  so that  $\pi$  extends holomorphically to  $W$ . Note that  $(W \cap \partial D) \setminus S$  consists of points that are either strongly pseudoconvex or strongly pseudoconcave. Let  $V_\pi \subset W$  be the branching locus of  $\pi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ . Since  $\partial D$  is finite type, it follows that  $V_\pi \cap \partial D$  has real dimension at most one. There are two possibilities now – first, if  $\pi(a) \in \mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^+$ , then choose a strongly pseudoconcave point  $a' \in (W \cap \partial D) \setminus V_\pi$ . Thus  $\pi$  maps a neighbourhood of  $a'$ , which is strongly pseudoconcave, locally biholomorphically onto a neighbourhood of  $\pi(a') \in \partial\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^+$  and this is a contradiction. A similar argument can be given when  $\pi(a') \in \mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^-$ . The only possibility then is that there are no pseudoconcave points near  $p_\infty$ , i.e.,  $\partial D$  is weakly pseudoconvex near  $p_\infty$ . In this case, [28] shows that

$$(3.1) \quad D \simeq \tilde{D} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + P_{2m}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) < 0\}$$

where  $P_{2m}(z_1, \bar{z}_1)$  is a homogeneous subharmonic polynomial of degree  $2m$  – this being the 1-type of  $\partial D$  at  $p_\infty$ , without harmonic terms. In particular  $D$  is globally pseudoconvex and as  $\pi$  is proper, it follows that  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$  is also pseudoconvex. However, this is not the case.

When  $0 = s < t < \infty$ , the two components of  $\partial\mathfrak{S}_{0,t}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\mathfrak{S}_{0,t}^+ &= \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : (\Re z_1)^2 + (\Re z_2)^2 = t\}, \text{ and} \\ i\mathbb{R}^2 &= \{\Re z_1 = \Re z_2 = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $a \in S$  as above and let  $W, W'$  be small neighbourhoods of  $a$  and  $\pi(a)$  so that  $\pi : W \rightarrow W'$  is a well defined holomorphic mapping. Suppose that  $\pi(a) \in i\mathbb{R}^2$ . Since  $V_\pi \cap \partial D$  has real dimension at most one, it follows that there is an open piece of  $W \cap \partial D$  near  $a$  that is mapped locally biholomorphically onto an open piece in  $i\mathbb{R}^2$  and this is a contradiction. A similar argument shows that  $\pi(a) \notin \partial\mathfrak{S}_{0,t}^+$  and therefore the only possibility is that  $\partial D$  is weakly pseudoconvex

near  $p_\infty$ . By [28] it follows that  $D \simeq \tilde{D}$  where  $\tilde{D}$  is as in (3.1). Let  $\pi$  still denote the proper mapping

$$\pi : \tilde{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{0,t}.$$

Let  $\phi$  be a holomorphic function on  $\tilde{D}$  that peaks at the point at infinity in  $\partial\tilde{D}$ . Then  $\psi = \log|\phi - 1|$  is a plurisubharmonic function that is bounded above on  $\tilde{D}$  and has the property that  $\psi \rightarrow -\infty$  at the point at infinity in  $\partial\tilde{D}$ . If  $\pi_1^{-1}, \pi_2^{-1}, \dots, \pi_m^{-1}$  are the local branches of  $\pi^{-1}$ , then it is known that

$$\tilde{\psi} = \max\{\psi \circ \pi_j^{-1} : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$$

extends to a plurisubharmonic function on  $\mathfrak{S}_{0,t}$ . If there is an open piece of  $\partial\mathfrak{S}_{0,t}^+$  on which  $\tilde{\psi} \rightarrow \infty$ , then the uniqueness theorem shows that  $\tilde{\psi} \equiv -\infty$  and this is a contradiction. Thus there is a point, say  $p \in \partial\tilde{D}$  whose cluster set under  $\pi$  intersects  $\partial\mathfrak{S}_{0,t}^+$ . Then  $\pi$  extends continuously up to  $\partial\tilde{D}$  near  $p$  and this extension is even locally biholomorphic across strongly pseudoconvex points which are known to be dense on  $\partial\tilde{D}$ . By Webster's theorem,  $\pi$  is algebraic. Away from a codimension one algebraic variety  $Z$ , the inverse  $\pi^{-1}$  defines a correspondence that is locally given by finitely many holomorphic maps. Since  $Z \cap i\mathbb{R}^2$  has real dimension at most one, it is possible to pick  $p' \in i\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus Z$ . The branches of  $\pi^{-1}$  will now map an open piece of  $i\mathbb{R}^2$  near  $p'$  locally biholomorphically (shift  $p'$  if necessary to achieve this) to an open piece on  $\partial\tilde{D}$ . This cannot happen as  $\partial\tilde{D}$  is not totally real.

To conclude, let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^{(n)}$  be biholomorphic. Since  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^{(n)}$  inherits the complex structure from  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$  via  $\Phi_\chi^{(n)}$ , it follows that

$$\pi = \Phi_\chi^{(n)} \circ f : D \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$$

is an unbranched, proper holomorphic mapping between domains with the standard complex structure. Such a map cannot exist as shown above.  $\square$

To construct an infinite sheeted cover of  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$ , consider the infinite sheeted covering map

$$\Phi_\chi^{(\infty)} : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{\Re z_1 = \Re z_2 = 0\}$$

whose components are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{z}_1 &= \exp(\Re z_1) \cos(\Im z_1) + i\Re z_2, \text{ and} \\ \tilde{z}_2 &= \exp(\Re z_1) \sin(\Im z_1) + i\Im z_2. \end{aligned}$$

Equip  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with the pull-back complex structure using  $\Phi_\chi^{(\infty)}$  and denote the resulting complex manifold by  $M_\chi^{(\infty)}$ . For  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$  define

$$\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^{(\infty)} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in M_\chi^{(\infty)} : (\ln s)/2 < \Re z_1 < (\ln t)/2\}.$$

This is seen to be an infinite sheeted covering of  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$ , the holomorphic covering map being  $\Phi_\chi^{(\infty)}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.**  *$D$  is not biholomorphic to  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^{(\infty)}$  for  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^{(\infty)}$  be a biholomorphism. Then

$$\pi = \Phi_\chi^{(\infty)} \circ f : D \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$$

is a holomorphic infinite sheeted covering map between domains equipped with the standard complex structure. Using the explicit description of  $\Phi_\chi^{(\infty)}$ , we see that it maps the boundary of  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}^{(\infty)}$  into the boundary of  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$ . Hence the cluster set of  $\partial D$  under  $\pi$  is contained in  $\partial \mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$ . Now if  $0 < s < t < \infty$ , then by choosing an appropriate point on the two dimensional stratum  $S \subset \mathcal{L}$  as in the previous proposition, it follows that  $\partial D$  must be weakly pseudoconvex near  $p_\infty$ . By [28],  $D \simeq \tilde{D}$  where  $\tilde{D}$  is as in (3.1). Hence  $\tilde{D}$  covers  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$  and since the Kobayashi metric on  $\tilde{D}$  is complete, it follows that the same must hold for  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$ . Completeness then forces  $\mathfrak{S}_{s,t}$  to be pseudoconvex which it is not. Contradiction.

If  $0 = s < t < \infty$ , then first note that the conclusion that  $\tilde{D}$  covers  $\mathfrak{S}_{0,t}$  still holds and let  $\pi$  still denote this infinite sheeted covering map. By [8], there exists a point on  $\partial \tilde{D}$  whose cluster set under  $\pi$  intersects  $\partial \mathfrak{S}_{0,t}^+$ . By standard arguments involving the Kobayashi metric,  $\pi$  extends continuously up to  $\partial \tilde{D}$  near this point. This extension is even locally biholomorphic near strongly pseudoconvex points that are known to be dense in  $\partial \tilde{D}$ . By Webster's theorem,  $\pi$  is algebraic and therefore the cardinality of a generic fibre of  $\pi$  is finite. This contradicts the fact that  $\pi$  is an infinite sheeted cover.  $\square$

**3.2. A domain in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .** Let  $Q_+ \subset \mathbb{C}^3$  be the smooth complex analytic set given by

$$z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 = 1.$$

For  $1 \leq s < t < \infty$  define

$$E_{s,t}^{(2)} = \{(z_0, z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : s < |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 < t\} \cap Q_+.$$

This is a two sheeted covering of

$$E_{s,t} = \{[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] \in \mathbb{P}^2 : s|z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2| < |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 < t|z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2|\},$$

the covering map being  $\psi(z_0, z_1, z_2) = [z_0 : z_1 : z_2]$ . Similarly, for  $1 < t < \infty$ , the map

$$\psi : E_t^{(2)} \rightarrow E_t$$

is a two sheeted covering, where

$$E_t^{(2)} = \{(z_0, z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 < t\} \cap Q_+$$

and

$$E_t = \{[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] \in \mathbb{P}^2 : |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 < t|z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2|\}.$$

To construct a four sheeted cover of  $E_{s,t}$ , consider the map  $\Phi_\mu : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow Q_+$  whose components are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{z}_1 &= -i(z_1^2 + z_2^2) + i(z_1\bar{z}_2 - \bar{z}_1z_2)/(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2), \\ \tilde{z}_2 &= z_1^2 - z_2^2 - (z_1\bar{z}_2 + \bar{z}_1z_2)/(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2), \text{ and} \\ \tilde{z}_3 &= 2z_1z_2 + (|z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2)/(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\Phi_\mu$  is a two sheeted cover onto  $Q_+ \setminus \mathbb{R}^3$ . Therefore we may equip the domain of  $\Phi_\mu$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  with the pull back complex structure using  $\Phi_\mu$  and denote the resulting complex surface by  $M_\mu^{(4)}$ . For  $1 \leq s < t < \infty$ , the domain

$$E_{s,t}^{(4)} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in M_\mu^{(4)} : ((s-1)/2)^{1/2} < |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 < ((t-1)/2)^{1/2}\}$$

is a four sheeted cover of  $E_{s,t}$ , the holomorphic covering map being  $\psi \circ \Phi_\mu$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *There cannot exist a proper holomorphic mapping from  $D$  onto  $E_{s,t}$  for all  $1 \leq s < t < \infty$ . In particular,  $D$  is not equivalent to either  $E_{s,t}^{(2)}$  or  $E_{s,t}^{(4)}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f : D \rightarrow E_{s,t}$  be a proper holomorphic mapping. Consider the case when  $1 < s < t < \infty$ . The boundary  $\partial E_{s,t}$  has two components, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \partial E_{s,t}^+ &= \{[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] \in \mathbb{P}^2 : |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = t|z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2|\}, \text{ and} \\ \partial E_{s,t}^- &= \{[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] \in \mathbb{P}^2 : |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = s|z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2|\}, \end{aligned}$$

which are strongly pseudoconvex and strongly pseudoconcave hypersurfaces respectively. The argument used in proposition 3.1 can be applied here to conclude that  $p_\infty \in \partial D$  must be a weakly pseudoconvex point. By [28] it follows that  $D \simeq \tilde{D}$  where  $\tilde{D}$  is as in (3.1). Thus we have a proper mapping from  $\tilde{D}$  onto  $E_{s,t}$  which implies that  $E_{s,t}$  must be holomorphically convex and this is a contradiction.

Now suppose that  $1 = s < t < \infty$ . Then the boundary  $\partial E_{1,t}$  consists of a strongly pseudoconvex piece, namely  $\partial E_{1,t}^+$  and a maximally totally real piece given by  $\psi(\partial \mathbb{B}^3 \cap Q_+)$ . The argument in the preceding paragraph applies again to show that  $D \simeq \tilde{D}$  with  $\tilde{D}$  as in (3.1). Let  $f$  still denote the proper map from  $\tilde{D}$  onto  $E_{1,t}$ . Let  $\phi$  be a holomorphic function on  $\tilde{D}$  that peaks at the point at infinity in  $\partial \tilde{D}$  and denote by  $f_1^{-1}, f_2^{-1}, \dots, f_l^{-1}$  the locally defined branches of  $f^{-1}$  that exist away from a closed codimension one analytic set in  $E_{1,t}$ . Then

$$\tilde{\phi} = (\phi \circ f_1^{-1}) \cdot (\phi \circ f_2^{-1}) \cdots (\phi \circ f_l^{-1})$$

is a well defined holomorphic function on  $E_{1,t}$  and satisfies  $|\tilde{\phi}| < 1$  there. Now  $\tilde{\phi}$  extends across  $\psi(\partial \mathbb{B}^3 \cap Q_+)$ , which has real codimension two and is totally real strata, as well. Thus  $\tilde{\phi} \in O(E_t)$  and  $|\tilde{\phi}| \leq 1$ . If  $|\tilde{\phi}(a')| = 1$  for some  $a' \in \psi(\partial \mathbb{B}^3 \cap Q_+)$ , the maximum principle implies that  $|\tilde{\phi}| \equiv 1$  on  $E_{1,t} \subset E_t$  and this is a contradiction. This argument shows that for every  $a' \in \psi(\partial \mathbb{B}^3 \cap Q_+)$ , there is a point  $a \in \partial \tilde{D}$  such that the cluster set of  $a$  under  $f$  contains  $a'$ . On the other hand, by [8], there are points  $b, b'$  on  $\partial \tilde{D}, \partial E_{1,t}^+$  respectively such that the cluster set of  $b$  contains  $b'$ . Thus  $f$  will be algebraic by Webster's theorem as before. Away from an algebraic variety  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $f^{-1}$  defines a holomorphic correspondence that locally splits into finitely many holomorphic mappings. Since  $Z \cap \psi(\partial \mathbb{B}^3 \cap Q_+)$  has real dimension at most one, it is possible to choose  $a' \in \psi(\partial \mathbb{B}^3 \cap Q_+) \setminus Z$ . Now one of the branches of  $f^{-1}$  will map  $a'$  into  $\partial \tilde{D}$  and therefore an open piece of the totally real component  $\psi(\partial \mathbb{B}^3 \cap Q_+)$  will be mapped locally biholomorphically onto an open piece of  $\partial \tilde{D}$ . Contradiction.

To conclude, if  $D \simeq E_{s,t}^{(2)}$  or  $E_{s,t}^{(4)}$ , then this would imply the existence of an unbranched proper holomorphic mapping from  $D$  onto  $E_{s,t}$  and this cannot happen by the arguments given above.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *There cannot exist a proper holomorphic mapping from  $D$  onto  $E_t$  for all  $1 < t < \infty$ . In particular,  $D$  cannot be equivalent to  $E_t^{(2)}$  for all  $1 < t < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* By working in local coordinates it can be seen that  $E_t$  is described as a sub-level set of a strongly plurisubharmonic function. Hence  $E_t$  must be holomorphically convex and therefore  $D$  is pseudoconvex if there were to exist a proper map  $f : D \rightarrow E_t$ . By standard arguments involving the Kobayashi metric, this map  $f$  will be continuous up to  $\partial D$  near  $p_\infty$ . By [9] it



follows that  $p_\infty$  is a weakly spherical point on  $\partial D$ , i.e., there is a defining function for  $\partial D$  near  $p_\infty = 0$  of the form

$$\rho(z) = 2\Re z_2 + |z_1|^{2m} + \dots$$

Since  $p_\infty$  is an orbit accumulation point, [28] shows that  $D$  is equivalent to the model domain at  $p_\infty$ , i.e.,

$$D \simeq \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + |z_1|^{2m} < 0\}.$$

This shows that  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 4$  which is a contradiction. To conclude, if  $D \simeq E_t^{(2)}$ , then there would exist an unbranched proper mapping from  $D$  onto  $E_t$  which is not possible.  $\square$

**3.3. Domains constructed by using an analogue of Rossi's map.** For  $-1 \leq s < t \leq 1$  let

$$\Omega_{s,t} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : s|z_1^2 + z_2^2 - 1| < |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 - 1 < t|z_1^2 + z_2^2 - 1|\}$$

and for  $-1 < t < 1$  let

$$\Omega_t = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 - 1 < t|z_1^2 + z_2^2 - 1|\}.$$

It was shown in [17] that  $\Omega_t$  has a unique maximally totally real  $\text{Aut}(\Omega_t)^c$ -orbit, namely

$$O_5 = \{(\Re z_1, \Re z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (\Re z_1)^2 + (\Re z_2)^2 < t\}$$

for all  $t \in (-1, 1)$ . Moreover  $\Omega_t = \Omega_{-1,t} \cup O_5$  for all  $t \in (-1, 1)$ .

For  $1 \leq s < t \leq \infty$  let

$$D_{s,t} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : s|1 + z_1^2 - z_2^2| < 1 + |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2 < t|1 + z_1^2 - z_2^2|, \Im(z_1(1 + \bar{z}_2)) > 0\}$$

where it is assumed that the domain  $D_{s,\infty}$  does not contain the complex curve

$$O = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 1 + z_1^2 - z_2^2 = 0, \Im(z_1(1 + \bar{z}_2)) > 0\}.$$

For  $1 \leq s < \infty$  let

$$D_s = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : s|1 + z_1^2 - z_2^2| < 1 + |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2, \Im(z_1(1 + \bar{z}_2)) > 0\}$$

and note that  $D_s = D_{s,\infty} \cup O$ .

Observe that  $D$  cannot be equivalent to  $\Omega_{s,t}$  or  $D_{s,t}$  as neither is simply connected. It remains to consider whether  $D$  can be equivalent to  $\Omega_t$  or  $D_s$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *There cannot exist a proper holomorphic mapping from  $D$  onto  $\Omega_t$  for  $-1 < t < 1$  or to  $D_s$  for  $1 \leq s < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* We first consider  $\Omega_t$ . Let  $z_1 = x + iy, z_2 = u + iv$  so that

$$O_5 = \{(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + u^2 < 1\}$$

and its boundary

$$\partial O_5 = \{(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + u^2 = 1\} \subset \partial \Omega_t$$

for all  $t \in (-1, 1)$ . Note that  $\partial \Omega_t \setminus \partial O_5$  is a smooth strongly pseudoconvex hypersurface. Suppose that  $f : D \rightarrow \Omega_t$  is proper. As in proposition 3.1, it is possible to choose  $a \in S \subset \mathcal{L}$  such that  $f$  extends holomorphically to a neighbourhood of  $a$ . By shifting  $a \in S$  if necessary we may assume that  $f$  is in fact locally biholomorphic near  $a$ . Note that  $f(a) \notin \partial \Omega_t \setminus \partial O_5$ , as otherwise there are strongly pseudoconcave points near  $a$  that will be mapped to strongly pseudoconvex points. The remaining possibility is that  $f(a) \in \partial O_5$  which is totally real. Since  $f$  is locally biholomorphic near  $a$ ,  $f$  cannot map an open piece of  $\partial D$  near  $a$  into  $\partial O_5$ . Again, there are

strongly pseudoconcave points near  $a$  that are mapped by  $f$  to  $\partial\Omega_t \setminus \partial O_5$  which is strongly pseudoconvex and this is a contradiction.

Hence the boundary  $\partial D$  is weakly pseudoconvex near  $p_\infty$  and thus  $D \simeq \tilde{D}$  by [28] where  $\tilde{D}$  is as in (3.1). Let  $f : \tilde{D} \rightarrow \Omega_t$  still denote the biholomorphism. Observe that the automorphism group of  $\tilde{D}$  is at least two dimensional; apart from the translations  $T_t$ , it is also invariant under the one parameter subgroup

$$S_s(z_1, z_2) = (\exp(s/2m)z_1, \exp(s)z_2),$$

$s \in \mathbb{R}$ . The corresponding real vector fields  $X = \Re(i\partial/\partial z_2)$  and  $Y = \Re((z_1/2m)\partial/\partial z_1 + z_2\partial/\partial z_2)$  satisfy  $[X, Y] = X$ . By the arguments in the last part of the proof of proposition 4.1 in [31], it follows that  $D \simeq \mathcal{D}_4$  where

$$\mathcal{D}_4 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + (\Re z_1)^{2m} < 0\}.$$

Let  $f : \mathcal{D}_4 \rightarrow \Omega_t$  still denote the proper map. Choose an arbitrary strongly pseudoconvex point  $b' \in \partial\Omega_t \setminus \partial O_5$ . By [8] there exists  $b \in \partial\mathcal{D}_4$  such that the cluster set of  $b$  under  $f$  contains  $b'$ . Then by well known arguments involving the Kobayashi metric on  $\mathcal{D}_4$  and  $\Omega_t$  near  $b$  and  $b'$  respectively, it follows that  $f$  is continuous up to  $\partial\mathcal{D}_4$  near  $b$  and  $f(b) = b'$ . By [9], it follows that  $b \in \partial\mathcal{D}_4$  must be a weakly spherical point, i.e., there exists a coordinate system near  $b$  in which the defining equation for  $\partial\mathcal{D}_4$  is of the form

$$\rho(z) = 2\Re z_2 + |z_1|^{2m} + \dots,$$

the dots indicating terms of higher order. However, the explicit form of  $\partial\mathcal{D}_4$  shows that no point on it is weakly spherical.

It remains to show that no proper map  $f : D \rightarrow D_s$  can exist for  $1 \leq s < \infty$ . Suppose the contrary. Observe that if  $s > 1$  then  $\partial D_s$  is the disjoint union of three components, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^1 &= \{1 + |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2 = s|1 + z_1^2 - z_2^2|, \Im(z_1(1 + \bar{z}_2)) > 0\}, \\ \mathcal{C}^2 &= \{1 + |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2 > s|1 + z_1^2 - z_2^2|, \Im(z_1(1 + \bar{z}_2)) = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{C}^3 &= \{1 + |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2 = s|1 + z_1^2 - z_2^2|, \Im(z_1(1 + \bar{z}_2)) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{C}^1$  is a strongly pseudoconvex hypersurface and that  $\Im(z_1(1 + \bar{z}_2)) = 0$  has an isolated singularity at  $(z_1, z_2) = (0, -1)$  away from which it is smooth Levi flat. Also,  $(0, -1) \notin \mathcal{C}^2$  as  $s > 1$ . As above, choose  $a \in S \subset \mathcal{L}$  near which  $f$  extends locally biholomorphically. Since  $\mathcal{C}^1$  is strongly pseudoconvex, it follows that  $f(a) \notin \mathcal{C}^1$ . Further if  $f(a) \in \mathcal{C}^2$ , then a small open piece of  $\partial D$  near  $a$  will be mapped locally biholomorphically into the Levi flat piece  $\{\Im(z_1(1 + z_2)) = 0\}$  and this is a contradiction as points on  $\partial D \setminus S$  near  $a$  are Levi non-degenerate. The remaining possibility is that  $f(p) \in \mathcal{C}^3$ . However, an open piece of  $\partial D$  near  $a$  cannot be mapped by  $f$  into  $\mathcal{C}^3$  as it has real dimension at most 2 near each of its points. Thus there is an open dense set of points near  $a$  that are mapped locally biholomorphically into either  $\mathcal{C}^1$  or  $\mathcal{C}^2$ . Both cannot occur for reasons mentioned above. Thus  $\partial D$  must be weakly pseudoconvex near  $p_\infty$  and we may now argue as before to get a contradiction.

When  $s = 1$ , it was noted in [17] that there is a proper mapping  $g$  from the bidisc  $\Delta^2$  onto  $D_1$ . If  $f : D \rightarrow D_1$  is proper, then  $F : f^{-1} \circ g : \Delta^2 \rightarrow D$  is a proper holomorphic correspondence. Thus  $D$  is pseudoconvex and by [28], it follows that  $D \simeq \tilde{D}$  where  $\tilde{D}$  is as in (3.1). Let  $F : \Delta^2 \rightarrow \tilde{D}$  still denote the proper correspondence. Using the holomorphic function on  $\tilde{D}$  that peaks at the point at infinity in  $\partial\tilde{D}$  it can be seen that there is an open dense subset of  $\partial\Delta^2$  whose cluster set under

$F$  intersects the finite part of  $\partial\Delta^2$  – call this subset  $\Gamma$ . Fix  $\zeta_0 \in \Gamma$  and a small neighbourhood  $W$  containing it such that  $W \cap \partial\Delta^2$  is smooth. Note that  $W \cap \partial\Delta^2$  is defined as the zero locus of either  $|z_1|^2 - 1$  or  $|z_2|^2 - 1$  both of which are plurisubharmonic. Now well known arguments using the branches of  $F^{-1}$ , these plurisubharmonic defining equations and a suitable version of the Hopf lemma show that

$$\text{dist}(F(z), \partial\tilde{D}) \lesssim \text{dist}(z, \partial\Delta^2)$$

whenever  $z \in W \cap \Delta^2$  – here  $F(z)$  denotes any one of the finitely many branches of  $F$ . By [6] it follows that  $F$  extends continuously up to  $W \cap \partial\Delta^2$  as a correspondence. The branching locus of  $F$  in  $\Delta^2$  is therefore defined by a holomorphic function in  $\Delta^2$  that extends continuously up to  $W \cap \partial\Delta^2$ . Let  $h \in O(\Delta^2)$  define the branching locus. If  $h \equiv 0$  on  $W \cap \partial\Delta^2$ , the uniqueness theorem shows that  $h \equiv 0$  in  $\Delta^2$  which cannot happen. By shifting  $\zeta_0$  we may assume that  $h(\zeta_0) \neq 0$ . Therefore near  $\zeta_0$  the correspondence  $F$  splits into well defined holomorphic functions, say  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k$  each of which is holomorphic on  $W$  (shrink  $W$  if needed) and continuous up to  $W \cap \partial\Delta^2$ . Since  $W \cap \Delta^2$  is a product domain and each point of  $\partial\tilde{D}$  supports a holomorphic peak function, arguments from [27] show that these branches  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k$  must be independent of either  $z_1$  or  $z_2$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $F$  is proper.  $\square$

It is also possible to construct finite and infinite sheeted covers of  $D_{s,t}, \Omega_{s,t}$  as explained in [17]. That  $D$  cannot be equivalent to any of them follows by similar arguments and we omit the details.

Finally proposition 4.1 of [31] shows that a bounded domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  that satisfies the hypotheses of the main theorem and admits a Levi flat  $\text{Aut}(D)^c$ -orbit must be equivalent to

$$\mathcal{D}_4 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 2\Re z_2 + (\Re z_1)^{2m} < 0\}.$$

The proof is purely local and can be applied here as well to conclude that a domain  $D \subset X$  as in the main theorem with a Levi flat  $\text{Aut}(D)^c$ -orbit must be equivalent to  $\mathcal{D}_4$ . This is the only possibility that remains after eliminating all others and the conclusion is that if  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 3$  then  $D \simeq \mathcal{D}_4$ .

#### 4. MODEL DOMAINS WHEN $\text{Aut}(D)$ IS FOUR DIMENSIONAL

Of the 7 isomorphism classes listed in [18] of hyperbolic surfaces with four dimensional automorphism group, the following cannot be equivalent to  $D$  for topological reasons.

- The spherical shell  $S_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : r < |z| < 1\}$  for  $0 \leq r < 1$  – the automorphism group here is the unitary group  $U_2$  which is compact, or the quotient  $S_r/\mathbb{Z}_m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , none of which are simply connected.
- $\mathcal{E}_{r,\theta} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1| < 1, r(1 - |z_1|^2)^\theta < |z_2| < (1 - |z_1|^2)^\theta\}$ , where  $\theta \geq 0, 0 < r < 1$  or  $\theta < 0, r = 0$ . This is not simply connected.
- $\mathcal{D}_{r,\theta} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : r \exp(\theta|z_1|^2) < |z_2| < \exp(\theta|z_1|^2)\}$ , where  $\theta = 1, 0 < r < 1$  or  $\theta = -1, r = 0$ . This is again not simply connected.

The remaining four classes listed below have a common feature that a large part of their boundary, if not the whole, is spherical.

- $\Omega_{r,\theta} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1| < 1, r(1 - |z_1|^2)^\theta < \exp(\Re z_2) < (1 - |z_1|^2)^\theta\}$ , where  $\theta = 1, 0 \leq r < 1$  or  $\theta = -1, r = 0$
- $\mathfrak{S} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : -1 + |z_1|^2 < \Re z_2 < |z_1|^2\}$ .

- $\mathcal{E}_\theta = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1| < 1, |z_2| < (1 - |z_1|^2)^\theta\}$ , for  $\theta < 0$ . Here the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{E}_\theta$  contains a Levi flat piece  $L = \{|z_1| = 1\} \times \mathbb{C}_{z_2}$ . Away from  $L$ ,  $\partial\mathcal{E}_\theta$  is spherical and strongly pseudoconcave as seen from  $\mathcal{E}_\theta$
- $\mathcal{E}_\theta = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^\theta < 1\}$ , where  $\theta > 0$  and  $\theta \neq 2$ .

To see that  $D$  cannot be equivalent to  $\Omega_{r,\theta}$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}$  or to  $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ , suppose the contrary. Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\theta$  be biholomorphic. Let  $p \in \partial D$  be a strongly pseudoconcave point near  $p_\infty$  across which  $f$  extends locally biholomorphically. Note that  $f(p) \notin L$  as  $\partial D$  is of finite type near  $p_\infty$ . Then  $f(p) \in \partial\mathcal{E}_\theta$ . Let  $g$  be a local biholomorphism defined on an open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $f(p)$  that takes  $W \cap \partial\mathcal{E}_\theta$  into  $\partial\mathbb{B}^2$ . Then  $g \circ f$  is a biholomorphic germ at  $p$  that maps an open piece of  $\partial D$  into  $\partial\mathbb{B}^2$ . By [29], this germ can be analytically continued along all paths in  $U \cap \partial D$  that start at  $p$ . Thus  $p_\infty$  must be a weakly pseudoconvex point and by [9], it must be weakly spherical as well. By [28], it follows that  $D \simeq E_{2m}$  and so  $\mathcal{E}_\theta \simeq E_{2m}$  which is a contradiction.

To conclude, it remains to show that if  $D \simeq E_\theta$ , then  $\theta = 2m$  for some integer  $m \geq 2$ . Proposition 5.1 in [31] remains valid here too and we omit the details. The conclusion is that if  $\dim \text{Aut}(D) = 4$  then  $D \simeq E_{2m} \simeq \mathcal{D}_5$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bedford, S. Pinchuk: *Domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with noncompact holomorphic automorphism group*, Math. USSR Sb. **63** (1989), 141–151.
- [2] E. Bedford, S. Pinchuk: *Domains in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with noncompact automorphism group*, J. Geom. Anal. **1**, no. 3 (1991), 165–191.
- [3] E. Bedford, S. Pinchuk: *Convex domains with noncompact groups of automorphisms*, (Russian) Mat. Sb. **185** (1994), no. 5, 3–26; translation in Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. **82** (1995), no. 1, 1–20.
- [4] E. Bedford, S. Pinchuk: *Domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with noncompact automorphism group*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **47** no. 1 (1998), 199–222.
- [5] F. Berteloot: *Characterization of models in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by their automorphism groups*, Internat. J. Math. **5** (1994), 619–634.
- [6] F. Berteloot, A. Sukhov: *On the continuous extension of holomorphic correspondences*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **24** no. 4 (1997), 747–766.
- [7] W. S. Cheung, S. Fu, S. G. Krantz, B. Wong: *A smoothly bounded domain in a complex surface with a compact quotient*, Math. Scand. **91** (2002), 82–90.
- [8] B. Coupet, S. Pinchuk: *Holomorphic equivalence problem for weighted homogeneous rigid domains in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$* , In *Collection of papers dedicated to B. V. Shabat*, Ed. E. M. Chirka, Farsis, Moscow (1997), 111–126.
- [9] B. Coupet, S. Pinchuk, A. Sukhov: *On boundary rigidity and regularity of holomorphic mappings*, Int. J. Math. **7**(5) (1996), 167–643.
- [10] K. Diederich, S. Pinchuk: *Proper holomorphic maps in dimension two extend*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **44** (1995), 1089–1126.
- [11] A. M. Efimov: *A generalization of the Wong-Rosay theorem for the unbounded case*, (Russian) Math. Sb., **186**, 41–50; translation in Sb. Math., **186** (1995), 967–976.
- [12] S. Frankel: *Complex geometry of convex domains that cover varieties*, Acta Math., **163** (1989), 109–149.
- [13] H. Gaussier: *Tautness and complete hyperbolicity of domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** no. 1, (1999), 105–116.
- [14] H. Gaussier, K. T. Kim, S. G. Krantz: *A note on the Wong-Rosay theorem in complex manifolds*, Complex Var. Theory Appl. **47** no. 9, (2002), 761–768.
- [15] R. E. Greene, S. G. Krantz: *Biholomorphic self maps of domains*, In *Complex Analysis II (College Park, Md., 1985-86)*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1276** (1987), 136–207.
- [16] R. E. Greene, S. G. Krantz: *Invariants of Bergman geometry and results concerning the automorphism group of domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Geometrical and algebraical aspects in several complex variables (Cetraro, 1989), Sem. Conf. **8**, EditEl, Rende, (1991), 107–136.

- [17] A. V. Isaev: *Hyperbolic 2-manifolds with 3-dimensional automorphism group*, *Geom. Topol.* **12** (2008), 643–711.
- [18] A. V. Isaev: *Hyperbolic  $n$ -dimensional manifolds with automorphism group of dimension  $n^2$* , *Geom. Funct. Anal. (GAFA)* **17** (2007), 192–219.
- [19] A. V. Isaev, S. G. Krantz: *Domains with non-compact automorphism group: a survey*, *Adv. Math.* **146** no. 1 (1999), 1–38.
- [20] S. Ivashkovich: *Rational curves and extensions of holomorphic mappings*, *Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 1* (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), 93–104, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 52, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [21] W. Kaup: *Relle transformationsgruppen und invariante metriken auf komplexen räumen*, *Inv. Math.* **3**, (1967), 43–70.
- [22] K. T. Kim: *Complete localization of domains with noncompact automorphism group*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **319** no. 1 (1990), 139–153.
- [23] S. Kobayashi: *Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1970).
- [24] S. G. Krantz: *Geometric analysis and function spaces*, CBMS and American Mathematical Society, 1993.
- [25] R. Narasimhan: *Several Complex Variables*, Univ. of Chicago Press (1971).
- [26] J. P. Rosay: *Sur une caractérisation de la boule parmi les domaines de  $\mathbb{C}^n$  par son groupe d'automorphismes*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **29** (1979), 91–97.
- [27] W. Rudin: *Function theory in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, **241** Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980.
- [28] H. Seshadri, K. Verma: *Domains in complex surfaces with non-compact automorphism groups*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **337** (2008), 1273–1283.
- [29] R. Shafikov: *Analytic continuation of germs of holomorphic mappings between real hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , *Mich. Math. J.* **47** (2000), 133–149.
- [30] Do Duc Thai, Tran Hue Minh: *Generalizations of the theorems of Cartan and Greene-Krantz to complex manifolds*, *Illinois J. Math.* **48** (2004), no. 4, 1367–1384.
- [31] K. Verma: *A characterization of domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with noncompact automorphism group*, *Math. Ann.* **344** (2009), no. 3, 645–701.
- [32] V. S. Vladimirov: *Methods of the theory of functions of many complex variables*, MIT Press, Cambridge (1966).
- [33] B. Wong: *Characterization of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by its automorphism group*, *Inv. Math.* **41** (1977), 253–257.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560 012, INDIA  
E-mail address: kverma@math.iisc.ernet.in