

On the absorption of radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ion

Alexei M. Frolov*

Department of Chemistry

University of Western Ontario,

London, Ontario N6H 5B7, Canada

(Dated: March 17, 2019)

Abstract

The absorption of infrared and visible radiation from stellar emission spectra by the negatively charged hydrogen ions H^- is considered. We derive the explicit formulas which can be used to determine the total absorption coefficient (per unit volume) for the negatively charged hydrogen ions $^1\text{H}^-$ (protium) and $^2\text{H}^-$ (deuterium or D^-). The bound-free and free-free absorption coefficients a_ν and k_ν obtained in our methods can be used to evaluate the actual absorption of infrared and visible radiation by the H^- ion in photospheres of many cold stars with surface temperatures $T_s \leq 8,250 \text{ K}$.

*E-mail address: afrolov@uwo.ca

I. INTRODUCTION

As is well known (see, e.g., [1] - [3]) the negatively charged hydrogen ions ${}^1\text{H}^-$ (protium) and ${}^2\text{H}^-$ (deuterium or D^-) are of great interest in Stellar Astrophysics. Indeed, the negatively charged hydrogen ions substantially determine the absorption of infrared and visible radiation in photospheres of all stars with temperatures bounded between $T_{max} \approx 8,250$ K (late A-stars) and $T_{min} \approx 2,750$ K (early M-stars). The main contribution to the light absorption by the negatively charged hydrogen ion H^- comes from its photodetachment

$$\text{H}^- + h\nu = \text{H} + e^- \quad (1)$$

where the notation $h\nu$ designates the incident light quantum, while e^- means the free electron, or photo-electron, for short. Here and below h is the Planck constant. Note that each of the negatively charged hydrogen ions, i.e. the ${}^1\text{H}^-$, ${}^2\text{H}^-$ (or D^-), ${}^3\text{H}^-$ (or T^-) and ${}^\infty\text{H}^-$ has only one bound (ground) 1^1S -state with $L = 0$, where L is the angular momentum of this two-electron ion (see, e.g., [4]). The ground 1^1S -state is a singlet state, i.e., the total electron spin S in this state equals zero, and therefore, $2S + 1 = 1$. Here and everywhere below the notation ${}^\infty\text{H}/{}^\infty\text{H}^-$ stands for the model atom/ion with the infinitely heavy nucleus. The total energy of the ground 1^1S -state in the ${}^\infty\text{H}^-$ ion is $-0.527751\ 016544\ 377196\ 59055(5)$ $a.u.$ [4], while the total energy of the hydrogen atom with the infinitely heavy nucleus (${}^\infty\text{H}$) is -0.5 $a.u.$ Therefore, the binding energy of the ${}^\infty\text{H}^-$ ion is $\chi_1 \approx 0.027\ 751$ $a.u.$ $\approx 0.755\ 143\ 9$ eV and the corresponding ionization frequency $\nu_1 = \frac{\chi_1}{h}$ is located in the far infrared part of the spectrum. Note that the energies of ‘visible’ light quanta are $\approx 2 - 3$ eV , while the total energy of the ground state of hydrogen atom is ≈ 13.65 eV . Light quanta with such energies (≈ 13.65 eV) correspond to the vacuum ultraviolet region. The total energies of the ground 1^1S -states of other H^- -like ions and H -like atoms can be found in Table I.

The final atomic state which arises after photodetachment of the negatively charged hydrogen ion, Eq.(1), includes the neutral hydrogen atom H and free electron e^- . The kinetic energy of this free electron can, in principle, be arbitrary. Therefore, it is easy to predict that all radiation quanta with the frequencies $\nu \geq \nu_1 = \frac{\chi_1}{h}$ can produce photodetachment of the negatively charged hydrogen ion H^- . In other words, all light quanta with $\nu \geq \nu_1$ can be absorbed during such a process. This also includes the quanta of visible light.

The absorption of radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions has been investigated in numerous earlier studies (see, e.g., [5] - [10] and references therein). In general, the light absorption by an arbitrary atomic system is determined by separate contributions from the corresponding bound-bound, bound-free and free-free transitions in this system. As mentioned above the negatively charged hydrogen ion has only one bound (ground) 1^1S -state, i.e. there is no contribution from the bound-bound transitions for the H^- ion. The bound-free transitions in the H^- ion correspond to the photodetachment, Eq.(1), while the free-free (electron) transitions formally represent the radiation-stimulated electron scattering in the field of the neutral hydrogen atom (or inverse bremsstrahlung, for short)

$$e^- + h\nu + H = H + e^- \quad (2)$$

where the kinetic energy of the final electron differs from its incident energy due to the photon's absorption. If the kinetic energy of the both incident photon and electron in Eq.(2) are small, then the final state of the hydrogen atom coincides with its original (ground) state. Indeed, the lowest excitation energy of the ground state of the hydrogen atom is relatively high $E \approx 0.375 \text{ a.u.} \approx 10.2043 \text{ eV}$. Therefore, we can ignore all possible excitations of the central hydrogen atom during radiation-stimulated electron scattering in the field of the neutral hydrogen atom. Such an approximation has a good numerical accuracy, if the kinetic (or thermal) energies of scattered electrons $E_e \sim kT$ are significantly smaller than the lowest excitation energy of the ground state of the hydrogen atom ($\approx 0.375 \text{ a.u.} \approx 10.2043 \text{ eV}$). It can be shown that such an assumption is always obeyed in all stars in which the absorption of radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions is important, i.e. it is true for the late A-stars and all F, G and K stars. Note that in the early F-stars and late A stars the absorption of radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions is consequently outweighed by the neutral hydrogen absorption. In the hot O- and B-stars, with surface temperatures $T_s \geq 28,500 \text{ K}$ and $T_s \geq 11,000 \text{ K}$, respectively, the absorption of radiation quanta is mainly related with the transitions between different bound states in helium and hydrogen atoms. The negatively charged H^- ion does not exist at such temperatures as a stable, i.e. bound, system.

On the other hand, the H^- ions play practically no role for the cold M and N stars with $T_s \leq 2,700 \text{ K}$ where the absorption of radiation by various molecular species and atoms of metals becomes important. However, in the late F, G and early K stars the absorption

of infrared and visible radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions is maximal. This includes our Sun which is a star of spectral type G2. The great role of the H^- ions for our Sun was suggested by R. Wild in 1939 (see discussions and references in [1], [2] and [3]).

In this study we analyze the bound-free and free-free transitions in the negatively charged hydrogen ions. Our main goal is to improve earlier evaluations of the photodetachment cross-section and the total cross-section of the free-free transitions in the H^- ion. In particular, we want to re-consider the validity of some approximations made in earlier works where the absorption of radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions has been evaluated [5] - [10]. Below, we pay a significant attention to the formulas which are used in numerical calculations of the absorption coefficient of the H^- ion. Results of different numerical calculations are discussed here very briefly, since we want to include such a discussion in our next paper.

II. ABSORPTION COEFFICIENT OF THE NEGATIVELY CHARGED HYDROGEN ION

In general, the radiation absorption coefficient α_ν of the negatively charged hydrogen ion defined per unit volume is written in the form (see, e.g., [1])

$$\alpha_\nu = (n^-k_\nu + n_H p_e a_\nu) \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right) \right] \quad (3)$$

where n^- and n_H are the spatial densities of the H^- ions and neutral hydrogen atoms H , respectively. Also, in this formula $p_e = n_e kT$ is the electron pressure which corresponds to the temperature $T = T_e$ and electron density n_e . The factor $1 - \exp\left(-\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right)$ in Eq.(3) represents the ‘negative absorption’ of radiation. The spatial densities n_H, n^- and n_e are related to each other by the following equation

$$\frac{n_H n_e}{n^-} = \frac{g_1}{g_-} \frac{2(2\pi m_e kT)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{h^3} \exp\left(-\frac{\chi_1}{kT}\right) \quad (4)$$

where $g_1 = 2$ and $g_- = 1$ are the statistical weights of the ground states of the neutral hydrogen atom H and hydrogen negatively charged ion H^- , respectively. The notation χ_1 stands for the ionization potential of the H^- ion. In this equation and in all formulas below the notations m_e, h and e mean the electron mass, Planck constant and electron’s electric charge, respectively.

By using Eq.(4) we can express the spatial density of the H^- ions (i.e. the n^- factor in Eq.(3)) and reduce Eq.(3) to the form

$$\alpha_\nu = n_H p_e \left[k_\nu \frac{h^3}{4(2\pi m_e)^{\frac{3}{2}} (kT)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \exp\left(\frac{\chi_1}{kT}\right) + a_\nu \right] \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right) \right] \quad (5)$$

This formula is used for all current calculations of the absorption coefficient α_ν of the negatively charged ion H^- . In real calculations of α_ν it is always assumed that $p_e = 1$ and $n_H = 1$, i.e. such calculations are performed for the unit electron pressure and per one hydrogen atom in unit volume. In this study the formula, Eq.(5), is also applied for numerical calculations of the absorption coefficient α_ν of the H^- ion. As it follows from Eq.(5) in order to determine the coefficient α_ν one needs to evaluate the k_ν and a_ν coefficients. The third unknown value, which can be found in Eq.(5), is the ionization potential χ_1 of the H^- ion(s). In reality, the ionization potentials χ_1 of all H^- ions are now known to very good numerical accuracy (see, e.g., [4] and Table I below). Therefore, below we restrict ourselves to the analysis of computational formulas used for the k_ν and a_ν coefficients. Note that the first numerical calculations of the absorption coefficient α_ν with the use of Eq.(5) were performed in [6]. It is also clear that if the total absorption coefficient α_ν as the function of r in stellar photosphere is known, then one finds the corresponding optical depth at the frequency ν

$$\tau_\nu(r) = \int_r^{+\infty} \alpha_\nu(y) dy \quad (6)$$

where r is the actual geometrical distance measured from the top of the Solar/stellar photosphere, where $r = \infty$.

Now, let us discuss the relations between the absorption coefficients a_ν and k_ν from Eqs.(3) and (5) and the corresponding cross-sections used below. Without loss of generality consider such a relation for the bound-free coefficient a_ν and photodetachment cross-section σ_ν of the H^- ion. The general relation produces the following formula $a_\nu = \sigma_\nu \cdot 1 \cdot N = \sigma_\nu \frac{\rho}{M_H} N_A$, where N_A is the Avogadro number, M_H is the mass of one mole of hydrogen atoms and ρ is the density of the stellar (hydrogenic) photosphere.

III. PHOTODETACHMENT OF THE NEGATIVELY CHARGED HYDROGEN ION

In this Section we derive and discuss the explicit formulas which are used for numerical computation of the photodetachment cross-section of the H^- ion. The photodetachment

corresponds to the bound-free (optical) transitions, since the final state of one of the two electrons is an unbound state, or, in other words, the state from the unbound spectra of the H^- ion. For the two-electron H^- ion it is possible to obtain the closed analytical formula for the photodetachment cross-section, if the non-relativistic (or dipole) approximation is used. The derivation of this formula is the main goal of this Section.

Let us assume that the incident (i.e. second) electron was bound to the neutral hydrogen atom with the binding energy $\epsilon_i = -I$, where $I = \chi_1$ is the ionization potential of the H^- ion. The incident photon has the momentum \mathbf{k} and the frequency ω (or energy $\hbar\omega = \omega$). In the final state the emitted photo-electron moves as a free particle with the momentum \mathbf{p} and energy ϵ_f . Since \mathbf{p} is a continuous variable, the photodetachment cross-section is written in the form

$$d\sigma = 2\pi |M_{i \rightarrow f}|^2 \delta(-I + \omega - \epsilon_f) \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \quad (7)$$

where the wave function of the final state is normalized per one particle in unit volume $V = 1$. All wave functions and expressions used in computations of the transition amplitude $M_{i \rightarrow f}$ are assumed to have a proper permutation symmetry upon spin-spatial coordinates of the two electrons 1 and 2. This allows one to write the formula, Eq.(7), and all formulas below in the one-electron form.

The delta-function in Eq.(7) is excluded by integrating over the momenta \mathbf{p} of the photo-electron. Indeed, by using the formula $d^3\mathbf{p} = p^2 d|\mathbf{p}| d\Omega$ and performing the integration over $d\Omega$ we can remove this delta-function and obtain the following general expression for the cross-section

$$d\sigma = e^2 \frac{\epsilon |\mathbf{p}|}{2\pi\omega} |M_{i \rightarrow f}|^2 d\Omega \quad (8)$$

The derivation of the analytical formula for the transition amplitude $M_{i \rightarrow f}$ is drastically simplified (see, e.g., [12]) with the use of transverse gauge, i.e.

$$M_{i \rightarrow f} = -e\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{i \rightarrow f} = -e\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int \int \psi_f^*(1, 2) [(\mathbf{e} \cdot \alpha_1) \exp(i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1) + (\mathbf{e} \cdot \alpha_2) \exp(i\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2)] \psi_i(1, 2) d^3\mathbf{r}_1 d^3\mathbf{r}_2 \quad (9)$$

In this equation and everywhere below we shall use the so-called relativistic units where $\hbar = 1$ and $c = 1$.

In this study all electrons are considered as non-relativistic particles, while the energy of the incident photon $\hbar\omega (= \omega)$ is assumed to be larger than I , but it is substantially less

than the energy of electron at rest, i.e. $\hbar\omega \ll m_e c^2$. This means that the final velocity of the photoelectron is small, i.e. we are dealing with the non-relativistic problem. Therefore, the Dirac's α matrixes can be replaced by the corresponding velocities $\mathbf{v}_j = -\frac{\hbar}{m_e} \nabla_j$, where j is the electron index. Moreover, in the non-relativistic approximation we can replace the factors $\exp(i\mathbf{k}_j \cdot \mathbf{r}_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$) by unity. Now, it is easy to obtain the following formula for the photodetachment cross-section

$$d\sigma_\nu = \frac{e^2 m_e |\mathbf{p}|}{2\pi\omega} |\mathbf{e} \cdot \left\{ \int \int \Psi_{fi}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_2} \right) \Psi_{H^-}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) d^3\mathbf{r}_1 d^3\mathbf{r}_2 \right\}|^2 d\omega \quad (10)$$

where \mathbf{e} is the vector which represents the polarization of the incident photon, $\Psi_{fi}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ is the wave function of the final state (after photodetachment), while $\Psi_{H^-}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ is the wave function of the ground 1^1S -state of the hydrogen ion. In this study the ground state of the H^- ion is approximated by the following (exponential) variational expansion:

$$\Psi_{H^-} = \Psi_{H^-}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i f_i = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \exp(-\alpha_i r_1 - \beta_i r_2 - \gamma_i r_{12}) \quad (11)$$

where $r_{12} = |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|$ is the electron-electron (or correlation) coordinate and C_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$) are the linear variational coefficients of the approximate (or trial) wave function. The $3N$ -parameters $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) are the non-linear parameters (or varied parameters) of the trial wave function, Eq.(11). As follows from the results of our earlier studies (see, e.g., [4], [11]) the exponential variational expansion, Eq.(11), provides very high numerical accuracy for any bound state in arbitrary Coulomb three-body systems, i.e. for systems with arbitrary particle masses and electric charges. For the H^- ions such an accuracy is much higher than accuracy which any other variational expansion may provide, if the same number of basis functions N is used. The explicit form of the $\Psi_{fi}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ wave function is discussed below. Note that from Eq.(11) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_2} \right) \Psi_{H^-} &= \left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}_1}{r_1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \alpha_i f_i + \left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}_2}{r_2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \beta_i f_i \\ &= \left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1 \right) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} \Psi_{H^-} \right] + \left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{n}_2 \right) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r_2} \Psi_{H^-} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbf{n}_i = \frac{\mathbf{r}_i}{r_i}$ is the unit vector of the i -th electron and $i = 1, 2$). Note that in this expression all terms which contain the γ_i parameters cancel each other, since we always have $\frac{\partial r_{12}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_1} = -\frac{\partial r_{12}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_2}$. It is true only in the lowest order approximation, i.e., when all wave vectors \mathbf{k} in Eq.(10) are assumed to be zero.

After photodetachment of the H^- ion, Eq.(1), in the final state we have the neutral hydrogen atom in one of its bound state and free electron which moves as a free particle with the kinetic energy $E_e = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_e}$, where $\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}$ is the reduced Planck constant and m_e is the electron mass. The corresponding wave function of the final state is represented as a product of the bound state wave function of the hydrogen atom and the wave function of the free electron. The wave function of the bound $(n\ell m)$ -state of the hydrogen atom is written in the form (see, e.g., [13]) $\Phi_{n\ell m}(r, \Theta, \phi) = R_{n\ell}(Q, r)Y_{\ell m}(\Theta, \phi)$, where $Y_{\ell m}(\Theta, \phi) = Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n})$ is a spherical harmonic and $R_{n\ell}(Q, r)$ is the one-electron radial function. The radial function $R_{n\ell}(Q, r)$ takes the form (see, e.g., [13])

$$R_{n\ell}(Q, r) = \frac{1}{rn} \sqrt{\frac{Q(n-\ell-1)!}{(n+\ell)!}} \left[\frac{2Qr}{n} \right]^{\ell+1} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-\ell-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \binom{n+\ell}{2\ell+k+1} \left[\frac{2Qr}{n} \right]^k \right\} \times \exp\left(-\frac{Qr}{n}\right) \quad (13)$$

where $Q (= 1)$ is the nuclear charge, while n and ℓ are the quantum numbers of this bound state. Note that all radial functions, Eq.(13), have unit norms.

The wave function of the final (i.e. free) electron is represented as the sum of the plane wave $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})$ and converging spherical wave which is usually designated as $\phi_{\mathbf{p}}^{(-)}(\mathbf{r})$. In the non-relativistic dipole approximation used here one finds that the following selection rule is applied: transition from the incident $S(L = 0)$ -state of the H^- ion can only be into the final $P(L = 1)$ -state. This means that in the final state we can detect either the H-atom in one of its $s(\ell = 0)$ -states and free electron which moves away in the p -wave (the sp -channel of the H^- ion photodetachment), or the H-atom in one of its three $p(\ell = 1)$ -states and free electron which moves away in the s -wave (the ps -channel). This corresponds to the ps -channel of the H^- ion photodetachment.

The wave function of the final state for the sp -channel takes the form

$$\psi_f(1, 2) = R_{n0}(Q = 1, r_1) \frac{3\imath}{2p_e} (\mathbf{n}_e \cdot \mathbf{n}_2) \Phi_{p1}(r_2) = \frac{3\imath}{2p_e} (\mathbf{n}_e \cdot \mathbf{n}_2) R_{n0}(r_1) \Phi_{p1}(r_2) \quad (14)$$

where $\mathbf{n}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{r}_2}{r_2}$ is the unit vector of the second (bound) electron and $\mathbf{n}_e = \frac{\mathbf{p}_e}{p_e}$ is the direction of the momentum of the outgoing photo-electron \mathbf{p}_e , i.e. the second electron when it becomes unbound after photodetachment. The notation $R_{n\ell=0}(Q = 1, r_1) = R_{n0}(r_1)$ stands for the radial function of the bound state of the hydrogen atom with quantum numbers $n \geq 1$ and $\ell = 0$.

The wave function of the final state for the ps -channel can be written in the following form

$$\psi_f(1, 2) = R_{n\ell=1}(Q = 1, r_1)(\mathbf{n}_e \cdot \mathbf{n}_1) \frac{1}{2p_e} \Phi_{p\ell=0}(r_2) = \frac{1}{2p_e} (\mathbf{n}_e \cdot \mathbf{n}_1) R_{n1}(r_1) \Phi_{p0}(r_2) \quad (15)$$

where $R_{n1}(Q = 1, r_1) = R_{n1}(r_1)$ is the radial function of the bound state of the hydrogen atom with quantum numbers $n \geq 2$ and $\ell = 1$.

As follows from Eqs.(10) - (15) the formula, Eq.(10), is reduced to the form

$$\left| \left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}_1}{r_1} \right) \Phi_1 + \left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}_2}{r_2} \right) \Phi_2 \right|^2 \quad (16)$$

where Φ_1 and Φ_2 are the two scalar expressions. In stellar photospheres all hydrogen ions are the free-oriented atomic systems. This means that the expression from Eq.(16) must be averaged over possible directions of the unit vectors \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 which describe the polarization of the incident photon. In the incident light wave we always have $\mathbf{e}_1 \perp \mathbf{e}_2 \perp \mathbf{n}$, where the unit vector \mathbf{n} corresponds to the direction of propagation of this light wave, or the corresponding light quantum (photon). An arbitrary scalar expression can be averaged over all possible directions of the unit vectors \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 with the use of the following formulas

$$\overline{e_i e_k} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{ik} - n_i n_k) \quad (17)$$

and

$$\overline{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{e})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{e})} = \frac{1}{2} [(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{n})] = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{n}) \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}$ is the vector product of the two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . Note that the final formula includes only the unit vector \mathbf{n} which describes the direction of photon propagation. If the direction of the propagation of the final photon is not known, then the last formula must also be averaged over all possible directions of the unit vector \mathbf{n} . This leads to the formula

$$\overline{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{e})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{e})} = \frac{1}{2} \overline{(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{n})} = \frac{8\pi}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = \frac{4\pi}{3} (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \quad (19)$$

For the sp - and ps -channels mentioned above the radial functions of the final states of the hydrogen atom can be designated as the $|n0\rangle$ and $|n1\rangle$, respectively. Here the notations n and ℓ designate the principal quantum number n and angular number ℓ of the hydrogen atom. To designate the radial hydrogen functions we do not need to mention the magnetic number(s) m , where $m = -\ell, -\ell + 1, \dots, \ell - 1, \ell$. In reality, the largest contribution to the photodetachment of the H^- ion comes from that final state in which the final hydrogen atom

forms in its ground state. This corresponds to the sp -channel. The final hydrogen atoms can also be formed in the excited $2s(\ell = 0)$ -, $3s(\ell = 0)$ -, $4s(\ell = 0)$ -states. Such channels also contribute to the photodetachment of the H^- ion, but their contributions are much smaller than the contribution of the photodetachment channel in which the final hydrogen atom is formed the ground state. Analogous situation can be found for the ps -channel where the contribution from the $2p(\ell = 1)$ -state substantially exceeds contributions from other $np(\ell = 1)$ -states in hydrogen atoms (here $n \geq 3$). In general, the formation of the final hydrogen atoms in the highly excited $s(\ell = 0)$ -states and $p(\ell = 1)$ -states with $n \geq 4$ is very unlikely, since the corresponding probabilities are very small.

It is also clear *a priori* that the contribution of the sp -channel in the photodetachment of the H^- ion is substantially larger than the contribution from the ps -channel. It directly follows from very large excitation energy of the $2p(\ell = 1)$ -states in the hydrogen atom $\Delta E \approx 10.2043$ eV. Another reason for this is almost exact orthogonality of the wave functions of the $2p$ -state of the hydrogen atom H and ground state of the H^- ion. In reality, in the cold stars the ps -channel does not contribute into the photodetachment of the H^- ion. However, the contribution of this ps -channel rapidly increases with the temperature due to Maxwellian (thermal) distribution of the H^- ions upon temperatures in stellar photospheres. It follows from here that for stars with higher surface temperatures the ps -channel must play a larger role in the photodetachment of the H^- ions. In other words, there is a difference between the photodetachment of the H^- ions in photospheres of the late A-stars and in photospheres of earlier K-stars. Note also that in our non-relativistic approximation the rotationally excited channels with $\ell \geq 2$ do not contribute in the total photodetachment cross-section.

IV. THE GROUND STATE WAVE FUNCTIONS OF THE HYDROGENIC IONS

The ground state wave functions of the hydrogen ions (${}^\infty H^-$, ${}^3 H^-$ (or T^-), ${}^2 H^-$ (or D^-) and ${}^1 H^-$) are approximated with the use of different variational expansions. The best of these expansion is the exponential variational expansion, Eq.(11), which allows one to construct very compact and highly accurate approximations to the actual wave functions of arbitrary three-body systems. The results of our calculations obtained with the use of the exponential expansion can be found in Tables I and II. These Tables contain only the total energies (in

atomic units) and ionization potentials χ (in eV). In fact, we have determined a large number of expectation values which can be used to evaluate various bound state properties and probabilities of different processes/reactions in these ions. These expectation values are very close to the values given in [4] and [10]. The total energies obtained in our calculations are the most accurate non-relativistic energies ever obtained for these hydrogen ions: $^{\infty}\text{H}^-$, $^3\text{H}^-$ (or T^-), $^2\text{H}^-$ (or D^-) and $^1\text{H}^-$. The same is true for other expectation values determined in our study.

Note also that in our calculations of the hydrogen ions we have used the following updated values for the nuclear masses of the tritium, deuterium and protium were used (in MeV/c^2)

$$\begin{aligned} m_e &= 0.510998910 \quad , \quad m_p = 938.272046 \\ m_d &= 1875.612859 \quad , \quad m_t = 2808.290906 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

In our calculations these masses are considered as exact. The masses of these four hydrogenic nuclei have recently been determined in high-energy experiments to better accuracy than they were known in the middle of 1990's. Usually, these masses are expressed in special high-energy mass units (MeV/c^2). Numerical value of the electron mass m_e can be used to re-calculate these masses to atomic units. Highly accurate computations of the ground states in the $^3\text{H}^-$ (or T^-), $^2\text{H}^-$ (or D^-) and $^1\text{H}^-$ ions with these nuclear masses have never been performed.

V. COMPUTATION OF THREE-BODY INTEGRALS IN PERIMETRIC COORDINATES

As we have shown above the problem of analytical and numerical computation of matrix elements arising in Eq.(10) with the trial wave function defined in Eq.(11) is reduced to the computation of the following three-body integrals with the Bessel functions $j_0(kr_2)$ and $j_1(kr_2)$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|\mathbf{r}_1-\mathbf{r}_2|}^{r_1+r_2} \phi_{n\ell}(r_1) j_q(kr_2) \exp(-\alpha r_1 - \beta r_2 - \gamma r_{12}) r_1 r_2 r_{12} dr_1 dr_2 dr_{12} \quad (21)$$

where $q = 0, 1$ and $r_{12} = |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|$ is the electron-electron coordinate which varies between the following limits $|r_1 - r_2| \leq r_{12} \leq r_1 + r_2$. In Eq.(21) the notation $\phi_{n\ell}(r_1)$ stands for the unit norm wave functions $R_{n0}(r)$ and $R_{n1}(r)$ of the bound states of the hydrogen

atom, Eq.(13). The integrals defined by Eq.(21) are computed analytically in perimetric coordinates u_1, u_2, u_3 , where $u_1 = \frac{1}{2}(r_2+r_{12}-r_1)$, $u_2 = \frac{1}{2}(r_1+r_{12}-r_2)$ and $u_3 = \frac{1}{2}(r_1+r_2-r_{12})$. The three perimetric coordinates u_1, u_2, u_3 are independent of each other and each of them varies between 0 and $+\infty$.

Let us derive the closed analytical expression for the integrals, Eq.(21) with $q = 0$ and $q = 1$. First, we need to obtain the general formula for the closely related auxiliary three-body integral $\Gamma_{n,k,l}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ which is written in the form

$$\Gamma_{n,k,l}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|r_1-r_2|}^{r_1+r_2} r_1^k r_2^l r_{12}^m \exp(-\alpha r_1 - \beta r_2 - \gamma r_{12}) dr_1 dr_2 dr_{12} \quad (22)$$

where $k \geq 0, l \geq 0, n \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta > 0, \alpha + \gamma > 0$ and $\beta + \gamma > 0$ (see below). Analytical computation of this integral has extensively been explained in a number of our earlier works. Correspondingly, below we restrict ourselves only to a few following remarks. In perimetric coordinates this integral, Eq.(22), takes the form

$$\Gamma_{n,k,l}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp[-(\alpha + \beta)u_1 - (\alpha + \gamma)u_2 - (\beta + \gamma)u_3] (u_1 + u_2)^n (u_1 + u_3)^m (u_2 + u_3)^l du_1 du_2 du_3 \quad (23)$$

where we took into account the fact that the Jacobian of transformation from the relative (r_1, r_2, r_{12}) to perimetric coordinates (u_1, u_2, u_3) equals 2. The integration over three independent perimetric coordinates u_i ($0 \leq u_i < \infty$) in Eq.(23) is trivial and explicit formula for the $\Gamma_{n,k,l}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ integral is written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{k;l;n}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= 2 \sum_{k_1=0}^k \sum_{l_1=0}^l \sum_{n_1=0}^n C_{k_1}^k C_{l_1}^l C_{n_1}^n \frac{(l - l_1 + k_1)!}{(\alpha + \beta)^{l-l_1+k_1+1}} \frac{(k - k_1 + n_1)!}{(\alpha + \gamma)^{k-k_1+n_1+1}} \frac{(n - n_1 + l_1)!}{(\beta + \gamma)^{n-n_1+l_1+1}} \\ &= 2 \cdot k! \cdot l! \cdot n! \sum_{k_1=0}^k \sum_{l_1=0}^l \sum_{n_1=0}^n \frac{C_{n-n_1+k_1}^{k_1} C_{k-k_1+l_1}^{l_1} C_{l-l_1+n_1}^{n_1}}{(\alpha + \beta)^{l-l_1+k_1+1} (\alpha + \gamma)^{k-k_1+n_1+1} (\beta + \gamma)^{n-n_1+l_1+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where C_k^m are the binomial coefficients (= number of combinations from k by m). In many application all three integer numbers k, l, m are relatively small (≤ 3) and this simplifies numerical applications of the formula, Eq.(24).

The parameters α, β and γ in Eqs.(21) - (24) can be arbitrary real and/or complex numbers. Furthermore, one of these three parameters/numbers can be equal zero, but the three principal conditions for these parameters $\alpha + \beta > 0, \alpha + \gamma > 0$ and $\beta + \gamma > 0$ must always be obeyed. If these three parameters are complex, then these three conditions are

written in the form $Re(\alpha + \beta) > 0$, $Re(\alpha + \gamma) > 0$ and $Re(\beta + \gamma) > 0$. These conditions are needed to guarantee the convergence of the corresponding integrals in relative coordinates.

Now, the explicit formulas for the analogous three-body integrals which contain the Bessel functions $j_0(kr_2)$ and/or $j_1(kr_2)$ is derived in the following way. First, consider the integral, Eq.(21), with the $j_0(x)$ function which can also be written in the form $j_0(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x}$. In this case the integral Eq.(21) is written as the sum of the following two integrals

$$I_0(n, \ell; k) = \frac{1}{2ik} \int \int \int \phi_{n\ell}(r_1) \exp[-\alpha r_1 - (\beta - ik)r_2 - \gamma r_{12}] r_1 r_{12} dr_1 dr_2 dr_{12} \quad (25)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2ik} \int \int \int \phi_{n\ell}(r_1) \exp[-\alpha r_1 - (\beta + ik)r_2 - \gamma r_{12}] r_1 r_{12} dr_1 dr_2 dr_{12}$$

where i is the imaginary unit. Consider the case when the final hydrogen atom is formed in its ground state (or (10)-state). The integral $I_0(n, \ell; k) = I_0(1, 0; k)$ can easily be determined in perimetric coordinates. Indeed, in this case $\phi_{n\ell}(r_1) = \phi_{10}(r_1) = 2 \exp(-Ar)$, where $A = 1$, and the last integral is reduced to the form

$$I_0(1, 0; k) = \frac{1}{ik} \int \int \int \exp[-(\alpha + A)r_1 - (\beta - ik)r_2 - \gamma r_{12}] r_1 r_{12} dr_1 dr_2 dr_{12} \quad (26)$$

$$- \frac{1}{ik} \int \int \int \exp[-(\alpha + A)r_1 - (\beta + ik)r_2 - \gamma r_{12}] r_1 r_{12} dr_1 dr_2 dr_{12}$$

$$= -\frac{2i}{k} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp[-X_1 u_1 - X_2 u_2 - X_3 u_3] (u_1 + u_3)(u_1 + u_2) du_1 du_2 du_3$$

$$+ \frac{2i}{k} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp[-X_1 u_1 - X_2^* u_2 - X_3^* u_3] (u_1 + u_3)(u_1 + u_2) du_1 du_2 du_3$$

where $X_1 = \alpha + \gamma + A$, $X_2 = \beta + \gamma - ik$, $X_3 = \alpha + \beta + A - ik$ and $X_2^* = \beta + \gamma + ik$, $X_3^* = \alpha + \beta + A + ik$. Note that each of the last two integrals is written in the form

$$J(a, b, c) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp[-ax - by - cz] (x + z)(x + y) dz dy dx = \frac{1}{abc} \left[\frac{2}{a^2} + \frac{1}{ab} + \frac{1}{ac} + \frac{1}{bc} \right] \quad (27)$$

This integral is often called the Coulomb three-body integral, since all matrix elements of the Coulomb interparticle potential are reduced to this integral.

The closed analytical expression for this integral allows one to obtain analytical formulas for all integrals, Eq.(21), which include the $|n\ell\rangle$ radial functions of the final hydrogen atom. In reality, such integrals are computed as the partial derivatives from Eq.(27) in respect with the parameter α . This solves the problem of analytical/numerical calculations of the three-body integrals from the exponential functions of the three relative coordinates, Eq.(21), which include the Bessel function $j_0(kr)$.

Analytical formulas for the analogous integrals with the Bessel function $j_1(kr)$ are easily derived from Eq.(25) - (26) with the use of the relation

$$j_1(kr) = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{dj_0(kr)}{dk} \quad (28)$$

known for these two Bessel functions. Analytical and/or numerical computation of the corresponding derivatives and integrals is straightforward. In general, all analytical transformations of formulas are easily performed with the use of different platforms for analytical computations such as Mathematica and/or Maple (see, e.g., [16] and [17]). Note also that all integrals arising during this procedure are regular, since each derivative in respect to k gives an extra power of r_2 which compensate the presence of this variable in the denominator of the right hand side of Eq.(28).

VI. A SIMPLE METHOD TO EVALUATE THE PHOTODETACHMENT CROSS-SECTION

The negatively charged hydrogen ion H^- is the bound two-electron atomic ion, i.e. $N_e = 2$, while the nuclear charge Q equals 1. As is well known (see, e.g., [9] - [10] and references therein) the long range one-electron asymptotic of the N_e -electron atomic wave function is written in the form

$$|\Psi(r)| = Cr^{\frac{Z}{t}-1} \exp(-tr) \quad (29)$$

where C is some numerical constant, $Z = Q - N_e + 1$, while $t = \sqrt{2I_1}$ and $I_1 = \chi_1$ is the first ionization potential which corresponds to the dissociation process $H^- = H + e^-$. For the H^- ion one finds in Eq.(29): $Q = 1$, $N_e = 2$, and therefore, $Z = 0$ and the long range asymptotic of the wave function is represented in the Yukawa-type form $|\Psi(r)| = \frac{C}{r} \exp(-tr)$, where C is a constant which must provide the best correspondence with the highly accurate wave function of the H^- ion at large r . The highly accurate wave function of the H^- ion is assumed to be known. The explicit formula which is used to determine the constant C is $C = |\Psi_{H^-}(r)| r \exp(tr)$, where $\Psi_{H^-}(r) = \Psi_{H^-}(r_{32} = r, r_{31} = 0, r_{21} = r)$. As follows from the results of actual computations the factor C does not change for relatively large interval of variations of r , e.g., for r bounded between 7.5 and 35 atomic units. The determined numerical value of this constant C for the negatively charged hydrogen ions varies between ≈ 0.12595 ($^\infty H^-$) and ≈ 0.12605 ($^1 H^-$).

The photodetachment cross-section $\sigma(H^-)$ of the H^- ion is written in the form (in atomic units) (see, e.g., [8])

$$\sigma(H^-) = \frac{64\pi^2 C^2 \alpha a_0^2}{3} \cdot \frac{p^3}{(p^2 + \gamma^2)^3} = \frac{64\pi^2 C^2 \alpha}{3} \cdot \frac{p^3}{(p^2 + \gamma^2)^3} \quad . \quad (30)$$

where a_0 is the Bohr radius and $\gamma = \sqrt{2I_1}$. In the usual units (cm^2) the last formula takes the form

$$\sigma(H^-) = 2.7032847 \cdot 10^{-16} \cdot C^2 \cdot \frac{p^3}{(p^2 + \gamma^2)^3} \quad cm^2 \quad . \quad (31)$$

This formula with the known value of C can directly be used in calculations of the photodetachment cross-section $\sigma(H^-)$. This formula essentially coincides with Eq.(8) from [7]. In our case, $C = 0.12595$, and therefore, from the last formula one finds

$$\sigma(H^-) = 4.28840 \cdot 10^{-18} \cdot \frac{p^3}{(p^2 + \gamma^2)^3} \quad cm^2 \quad , \quad (32)$$

where $p = |\mathbf{p}|$ is the momentum of the photo-electron (in atomic units) and $\gamma = 0.23558869473885$ (see Table I).

The overall accuracy of this simple formula, Eq.(32), is outstanding. This remarkable fact follows from the ‘photodetachment’ inequality (or condition) $a \ll \lambda$, where $a \approx a_0$ is the effective radius of the atomic H^- ion (a_0 is the Bohr radius), while λ is the wavelength of the photon which produces photodetachment of the H^- ion. For the H^- ion we have $a \approx a_0 \approx 0.529 \text{ \AA}$ and $\lambda \approx 1 \cdot 10^5 \text{ \AA}$, i.e. $\lambda \gg a_0$. Briefly, we can say that the incident photon sees only an outer asymptotics of the three-body wave function. All details of the wave function of the H^- ion at small inter-particle distances are not important to describe the photodetachment of this ion. Recently, this simple method was used in various applications related to the H^- , Mu^- (or $\mu^+ e_2^-$) and Ps^- (or $e^+ e_2^-$) ions. However, some important details of the photodetachment of the H^- ion cannot be investigated with the use of this simple method only.

VII. INVERSE BREMSSTRAHLUNG IN THE FIELD OF THE HYDROGEN ATOM

In this Section we discuss the electron scattering stimulated by the electromagnetic radiation in the field of the heavy hydrogen atom, Eq.(2). During such a process the radiation energy is transferred, in part, to the scattered electrons. Electrons are accelerated, but the

mean energy of the radiation field decreases. In classical physics this process is called inverse bremsstrahlung. Inverse bremsstrahlung is crucially important for workability of various explosive devices generally called ‘hydrogen bombs’. In stellar photospheres of many hydrogen stars inverse bremsstrahlung is responsible for absorption of infrared radiation with $\lambda \geq 12,000 \text{ \AA}$. This includes our Sun. Furthermore, it is very important to describe the total absorption of infrared radiation in photospheres of many cold stars.

As mentioned above at small energies of the incident photon $h\nu \leq 5eV$ the original (ground) state of the hydrogen atom does not change. This means that for photons of small energies we can ignore all possible excitations of the hydrogen atom, i.e. atomic transitions from the ground state of the H atom into other excited states. The incident photon is absorbed exclusively by the electron which moves in the field of the neutral hydrogen atom. In this case the electron scattering at the hydrogen atom ($H + e^- + h\nu = H + e^-$) can be considered as a regular (but non-elastic!) scattering of the electron in the field of the heavy hydrogen atom. In the lowest order approximation we can replace the actual hydrogen atom by its polarization potential, which is a central and local potential $V(r) = -\frac{a}{r^4}$, where a is a positive constant. In particular, for the $^{\infty}H$ atom in atomic units one finds $a = \frac{9}{4}$. Below, we shall consider the electron scattering in the field of the following central potential

$$V(r; a, b) = -\frac{a}{(r + b)^4} \quad (33)$$

which analytically depends upon the two real parameters a and b . We need to determine the cross-section of inverse bremsstrahlung for an electron which moves in the field of the central potential $V(r; a, b)$, Eq.(33). By using different numerical values for the a and b parameters we can obtain a variety of such cross-sections. The main interest, however, will be related to the cases when $a \approx 4.5$ and $b \approx 0$, respectively.

First, let us obtain the incident and final wave functions which are the stationary one-electron wave functions of the continuous spectra of the radial Schrödinger equation (see, e.g., [13]) of the electron moving in the field of the central potential, Eq.(33). The solution of one-electron Schrödinger equation can be found by representing the unknown wave function $\Psi(r)$ in the form $\Psi_{\ell}(r) = A_{\ell}(r) \exp(i\delta_{\ell}(r))$. Note that in the field of any central potential the angular momentum ℓ is a good (i.e. conserving) quantum number. It reduces the original problem to the solution of the following differential equation

$$\frac{d\delta_{\ell}(r)}{dr} = -\frac{2V(r; a, b)}{k} \left[j_{\ell}(kr) \cos \delta_{\ell}(r) - n_{\ell}(kr) \sin \delta_{\ell}(r) \right]^2 \quad (34)$$

where the phase $\delta_\ell(r)$ of the wave function is assumed to be explicitly r -dependent and $\delta_\ell(r=0) = 0$ (initial condition). With this initial condition the last equation is reduced to the form of the following integral equation

$$\delta_\ell(r) = -\frac{2}{k} \int_0^{+\infty} V(r; a, b) [j_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r) - n_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r)]^2 dr \quad (35)$$

The equations Eq.(34) and Eq.(35) are the main equations of the variable phase method. The method of variable phase has been developed by V.V. Babikov and others in the middle of 1960's [18] (see also references therein). Each of these two equations Eqs.(34) - (35) can be solved to high accuracy by using various numerical methods. In general, if we know the phase function $\delta_\ell(r)$ for each r , then it is possible to determine the amplitude $A_\ell(r)$ of the wave function and the wave function $\Psi_k(r) = A_\ell(r) \exp(i\delta_\ell(r))$ itself. The corresponding equation for the amplitude $A_\ell(r)$ takes the form [18]

$$\frac{dA_\ell(r)}{dr} = -\frac{A_\ell(r)V(r; a, b)}{k} [j_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r) - n_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r)] [j_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r) + n_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r)] \quad (36)$$

Note that the variable phase method works very well for central potentials which rapidly vanish at $r \rightarrow +\infty$, e.g., for $|V(r)| \sim r^{-n}$, where $n \geq 3$ at $r \rightarrow +\infty$. This means that we can apply this method to determine the accurate wave functions of the continuous spectra in the field of the polarization potential, Eq.(33), created by the neutral H atom which is infinitely heavy. It appears that the variable phase method provides a large number of advantages in applications to the problems related with the absorption/emission of radiation from the states of continuous spectra.

Note that in the case of inverse bremsstrahlung the energy conservation law is written in the form

$$\frac{\hbar k_i^2}{2m_e} + \omega = \frac{\hbar k_f^2}{2m_e} \quad , \quad \text{or} \quad \hbar\omega = \frac{p_f^2}{2m_e} - \frac{p_i^2}{2m_e} \quad (37)$$

where k_i and k_f are the wave numbers defined as $k_a = |\mathbf{k}_a| = \frac{|\mathbf{p}_a|}{\hbar}$ and p_a is the momentum of the particle a and \mathbf{k}_a is the corresponding wave vector. In Eq.(37) the notation \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, while m_e is the electrons mass. From Eq.(37) one finds $k_f = \sqrt{k_i^2 + \frac{2m_e}{\hbar}\omega}$. By using the variable phase method described above we can obtain the initial and final state wave functions, i.e. $\Psi_{k_i}(r)$ and $\Psi_{k_f}(r)$, respectively. In the variable phase method these wave functions are represented as the products of their amplitudes and phase parts, i.e. $\Psi_{\ell;k_i}(r) = A_{\ell;k_i}(r) \exp(i\delta_{\ell;k_i}(r))$ and $\Psi_{\ell;k_f}(r) = A_{\ell;k_f}(r) \exp(i\delta_{\ell;k_f}(r))$.

These two wave functions are used in the following computations of the cross-section of inverse bremsstrahlung. The explicit formula for the cross-section of inverse bremsstrahlung is (in relativistic units)

$$d\sigma_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{p}_f} = \frac{\omega e^2}{(2\pi)^4 m_e p_i} | \mathbf{e}^* \mathbf{p}_{i \rightarrow f} |^2 d\omega_{\mathbf{k}} d^3 \mathbf{p}_f \quad (38)$$

where $p_i = | \mathbf{p}_i |$ is the ‘absolute’ momentum of the incident electron, \mathbf{p}_f is the momentum of the final electron and $\mathbf{p}_{i \rightarrow f}$ is the following matrix element

$$\mathbf{p}_{i \rightarrow f} = -i \int \Psi_{k_f}^* \nabla \Psi_{k_i} d^3 \mathbf{r} \quad (39)$$

where Ψ_{k_i} and Ψ_{k_f} are the incident and final one-electron wave functions (see above).

Note that the wavelength λ of the light quantum which produces photodetachment of the H^- ion is $\geq 12,000 \text{ \AA}$, while the effective ‘scattering zone’ R_s for the process, Eq.(2) is less than 50 \AA . Therefore, we can apply the approximation based on the fact that the dimensionless parameter $\frac{R_s}{\lambda} \ll 1$. This approximation allows one to replace the r -dependent phase factor $\delta_{\ell; k_i}(r)$ in the wave function by its asymptotic value $\delta_{\ell; k_i}(+\infty)$ determined at the infinity. In other words, the phase of the wave function does not depend upon r , i.e. it is a constant. The wave function $\Psi_{\ell; k_i}(r)$ is represented in the form $\Psi_{\ell; k_i}(r) = A_{\ell}(r) \exp(i\delta_{\ell})$, where $\delta_{\ell} = \delta_{\ell}(r = +\infty)$ is a constant (or almost a constant). This drastically simplifies numerical computations of the integrals in Eq.(38) and Eq.(39).

VIII. CONCLUSION

We have discussed the absorption of infrared and visible radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions H^- . Such an absorption of radiation plays an extremely important role in Solar/Stellar Astrophysics. In general, the absorption of infrared and visible radiation by the H^- ions is mainly related with the photodetachment of these ions. The absorption of radiation by electrons scattered in the field of the neutral hydrogen atom(s) also contributes to the radiation absorption coefficient at very large wavelength $\lambda \geq 12,000 \text{ \AA}$ (infrared radiation). The photodetachment cross-section of the H^- ion has been determined with the use of highly accurate variational wave functions constructed recently for this ion. We explicitly discuss all details important for calculations of the photodetachment cross-section with the use of highly accurate (or truly correlated) wave functions of the three-body H^- ion. To

evaluate the total cross-section of electron scattering in the field of the polarization potential of the neutral H atom we apply the variable phase method. The agreement between our cross-sections and results obtained in earlier works for different wavelength can be considered as very good.

-
- [1] V.V. Sobolev, *The Course of Theoretical Astrophysics*, (Nauka, Moscow, (1967)).
 - [2] L.H. Aller, *The Atmospheres of the Sun and Stars*, 2nd Ed., (Ronald Press, New York, 1963).
 - [3] H. Zirin, *The Solar Atmospheres*, (Ginn and Company, Waltham MA, 1966).
 - [4] A.M. Frolov, *Phys. Lett. A* **345**, 173 - 183 (2005).
 - [5] S. Chandrasekhar, *Astrophys. J.* **102**, 223 (1945).
 - [6] S. Chandrasekhar and F.H. Breen, *Astrophys. J.* **103**, 41 (1946).
 - [7] T. Ohmura and H. Ohmura, *Phys. Rev.* **118**, 154 (1960).
 - [8] T.L. John, *Monthly Notices* **121**, 41 (1960).
 - [9] A.K. Bhatia and R.J. Drachman, *Phys. Rev. A* **28**, 2523 (1983).
 - [10] A.M. Frolov and V.H. Smith, Jr., *J. Chem. Phys.* **36**, 1739 (2003).
 - [11] A.M. Frolov, *Phys. Rev. A* **57**, 2436 (1998).
 - [12] V.B. Berestetskii, E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics*, (Moscow, Nauka (Science), 1980, in Russian).
 - [13] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics. Non-Relativistic Theory*, (3rd. ed., Oxford, England, Pergamon Press (1977)).
 - [14] M.E. Rose, *Elementary Theory of Angular Momentum*, (Dover, New York, (1995)).
 - [15] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products*, (6th revised ed., Academic Press, New York, (2000)).
 - [16] *Wolfram Mathematica 8 (Home Edition)*, <http://www.wolfram.com> (2011).
 - [17] *Maple 15*, <http://www.maplesoft.com> (2011).
 - [18] V.V. Babikov, *The Phase-Function Method in Quantum Mechanics*, *Sov. Phys. Uspekhi* **10**, 271 (1967).

TABLE I: The non-relativistic total energies E (in atomic units) and ionization potential χ_1 (in eV) of the hydrogen negatively charged ions (isotopes).

ion	E				χ_1			
$^{\infty}\text{H}^-$	-0.527751	016544	377196	59075(10)	-0.755143	903366	701124	238
T^-	-0.527649	048201	920733	539283(10)	-0.754843	900893	517142	964
D^-	-0.527598	324689	706528	596342(10)	-0.754694	721951	430623	253
$^1\text{H}^-$	-0.527445	881119	767477	071657(10)	-0.754246	603605	793792	368

TABLE II: The non-relativistic total energies E and ionization potential χ_1 of the hydrogen negatively charged ions (isotopes) in atomic units.

K	$E(^{\infty}\text{H}^-)$				$E(^3\text{H}^-)$			
3500	-0.527751	016544	377196	590213	-0.527649	048201	920733	538670
3700	-0.527751	016544	377196	590333	-0.527649	048201	920733	538807
3840	-0.527751	016544	377196	590389	-0.527649	048201	920733	538898
4000	-0.527751	016544	377196	590446	-0.527649	048201	920733	538971
K	$E(^2\text{H}^-)$				$E(^1\text{H}^-)$			
3500	-0.527598	324689	706528	595731	-0.527445	881119	767477	071047
3700	-0.527598	324689	706528	595868	-0.527445	881119	767477	071183
3840	-0.527598	324689	706528	595984	-0.527445	881119	767477	071273
4000	-0.527598	324689	706528	596032	-0.527445	881119	767477	071347