

On the absorption of radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ion. I. General theory and construction of the wave functions.

Alexei M. Frolov*

Department of Applied Mathematics

University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario N6H 5B7, Canada

(Dated: November 13, 2018)

Abstract

The absorption of infrared and visible radiation from stellar emission spectra by the negatively charged hydrogen ions H^- is considered. We derive the explicit formulas for the bound-free absorption coefficient a_ν for the negatively charged hydrogen ion ${}^\infty\text{H}^-$ and its isotopes ${}^1\text{H}^-$ (protium) and ${}^2\text{H}^-$ (deuterium or D^-). The bound-free absorption coefficient a_ν is used to evaluate the actual absorption of infrared and visible radiation by the H^- ion in photospheres of many cold stars with surface temperatures $T_s \leq 8,250 \text{ K}$.

*E-mail address: afrolov@uwo.ca

I. INTRODUCTION

As is well known (see, e.g., [1] - [4]) the negatively charged hydrogen ions ${}^1\text{H}^-$ (protium) and ${}^2\text{H}^-$ (deuterium or D^-) are of great interest in Stellar Astrophysics. Indeed, the negatively charged hydrogen ions substantially determine the absorption of infrared and visible radiation in photospheres of all stars with temperatures bounded between $T_{max} \approx 8,250$ K (late A-stars) and $T_{min} \approx 2,750$ K (early M-stars). The main contribution to the light absorption by the negatively charged hydrogen ion H^- comes from its photodetachment



where the notation $h\nu$ designates the incident light quantum, while e^- means the free electron, or photo-electron, for short. Here and below h is the Planck constant. Note that each of the negatively charged hydrogen ions, i.e. the ${}^1\text{H}^-$, ${}^2\text{H}^-$ (or D^-), ${}^3\text{H}^-$ (or T^-) and ${}^\infty\text{H}^-$ has only one bound (ground) 1^1S -state with $L = 0$, where L is the angular momentum of this two-electron ion (see, e.g., [5]). The ground 1^1S -state is a singlet state, i.e., the total electron spin S in this state equals zero, and therefore, $2S + 1 = 1$. Here and everywhere below the notation ${}^\infty\text{H}/{}^\infty\text{H}^-$ stands for the model atom/ion with the infinitely heavy nucleus. The total non-relativistic energy of the ground 1^1S -state in the ${}^\infty\text{H}^-$ ion is $-0.527751\ 016544\ 377196\ 59055(5)$ $a.u.$ [5], while the total energy of the hydrogen atom with the infinitely heavy nucleus (${}^\infty\text{H}$) is -0.5 $a.u.$ Therefore, the binding energy of the ${}^\infty\text{H}^-$ ion is $\chi_1 \approx 0.027\ 751$ $a.u. \approx 0.755\ 143\ 9$ eV and the corresponding ionization frequency $\nu_1 = \frac{\chi_1}{h}$ is located in the far infrared part of the spectrum. Note that the energies of ‘visible’ light quanta are $\approx 2 - 3$ eV , while the total energy of the ground state of hydrogen atom is ≈ 13.605 eV . Light quanta with such energies (≈ 13.605 eV) correspond to the vacuum ultraviolet region.

The final atomic state which arises after photodetachment of the negatively charged hydrogen ion, Eq.(1), includes the neutral hydrogen atom H and free electron e^- . The kinetic energy of this free electron can, in principle, be arbitrary. Therefore, it is easy to predict that all radiation quanta with the frequencies $\nu \geq \nu_1 = \frac{\chi_1}{h}$ can produce photodetachment of the negatively charged hydrogen ion H^- . In other words, all light quanta with $\nu \geq \nu_1$ can be absorbed during such a process. This includes infrared quanta and quanta of visible light.

The absorption of radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions has been investigated in numerous earlier studies (see, e.g., [6] - [13] and references therein). In general, the light absorption by an arbitrary atomic system is determined by separate contributions from the corresponding bound-bound, bound-free and free-free transitions in this system. As mentioned above the negatively charged hydrogen ion has only one bound (ground) 1^1S -state, i.e. for the H^- ion there is no contribution from the bound-bound transitions. The bound-free transitions in the H^- ion correspond to the photodetachment, Eq.(1), while the free-free (electron) transitions formally represent the radiation-stimulated electron scattering in the field of the neutral hydrogen atom (or inverse bremsstrahlung, for short)

$$e^- + h\nu + H = H + e^- \quad (2)$$

where the kinetic energy of the final electron differs from its incident energy due to the photon's absorption. If the kinetic energy of the both incident photon and electron in Eq.(2) are small, then the final state of the hydrogen atom coincides with its original (ground) state. Indeed, the lowest excitation energy of the ground state of the hydrogen atom is relatively high $E \approx 0.375 \text{ a.u.} \approx 10.2043 \text{ eV}$. Therefore, we can ignore all possible excitations of the central hydrogen atom during radiation-stimulated electron scattering in the field of the neutral hydrogen atom. Such an approximation has a good numerical accuracy, if the kinetic (or thermal) energies of scattered electrons $E_e \sim kT$ are significantly smaller than the lowest excitation energy of the ground state of the hydrogen atom ($\approx 0.375 \text{ a.u.} \approx 10.2043 \text{ eV}$). It can be shown that such an assumption is always obeyed in all stars in which the absorption of radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions is important, i.e. it is true for the late A-stars and all F, G and K stars. Note that in the early F-stars and late A stars the absorption of radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions is consequently outweighed by the neutral hydrogen absorption. In the hot O- and B-stars, with surface temperatures $T_s \geq 28,500 \text{ K}$ and $T_s \geq 11,000 \text{ K}$, respectively, the absorption of radiation quanta is mainly related with the transitions between different bound states in helium and hydrogen atoms. The negatively charged H^- ion does not exist at such temperatures as a stable, i.e. bound, system.

On the other hand, the H^- ions play practically no role for the cold M and N stars with $T_s \leq 2,700 \text{ K}$ where the absorption of radiation by various molecular species and atoms of metals becomes important. However, in the late F, G and early K stars the absorption

of infrared and visible radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions is maximal. This includes our Sun which is a star of spectral type G2. The great role of the H^- ions for our Sun was suggested by R. Wild in 1939 (see discussions and references in [1], [2] and [4]). An effective absorption of large amount of infrared solar radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions is crucial for correct thermal balance of our planet.

In this study we analyze the bound-free transitions in the negatively charged hydrogen ions. Our main goal is to re-consider analytical derivation of formulas which are currently used to calculate the photodetachment cross-section of the H^- ion. In particular, we want to re-evaluate the validity of some approximations made in earlier works where the absorption of radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions has been evaluated [6] - [13] (see also the references in these papers). We also consider the contribution of other channels in the process of photodetachment, Eq.(1). Usually, many of such channels are ignored in modern numerical calculations. For instance, a few very papers considered a possibility to form the final hydrogen atom in any of its excited (bound) states. The competing ps -channel of photodetachment, Eq.(1), was completely ignored in earlier studies. Moreover, in many of earlier papers authors considered formation of the final hydrogen atom in the ground state. In other works, the formation of the final hydrogen atom in a number of excited s -states was considered, but numerical results were not accurate, since for both the incident H^- ion and final ('free') electron only very approximate wave functions were used. It should be mentioned here that all Hartree-Fock based methods cannot produce the actual bound state in the H^- ion.

Currently, the photodetachment cross-section of the H^- ion must be determined to very high accuracy ($\pm 0.5\%$). The moderate accuracy known from earlier works ($\pm 6\% - 10\%$) is not sufficient to describe effects directly related to the climate change and surface temperature variations. In our study we try to improve the accuracy of numerical evaluations of the photodetachment cross-sections for the H^- ion(s). Below, we pay a significant attention to the formulas which are used in calculations of the bound-free absorption coefficient of the H^- ion. Results of different numerical calculations are discussed here very briefly, since many of them are included in our next paper.

Our original plan also included accurate numerical evaluation of the free-free absorption coefficient for the negatively charged hydrogen ions. However, by deriving the corresponding formulas we have found a number of unsolved problems. In particular, the idea of inverse

bremsstrahlung in the field of atomic polarization potentials is not welcomed by many authors in Quantum Electrodynamics. Another problem is a substantial energy-dependence of the atomic polarization potential. Combination of all these facts lead to the conclusion that accurate numerical evaluation of the free-free absorption coefficient for the negatively charged hydrogen atom is hardly possible at this time.

II. ABSORPTION COEFFICIENT OF THE NEGATIVELY CHARGED HYDROGEN ION

In general, the radiation absorption coefficient α_ν of the negatively charged hydrogen ion defined per unit volume is written in the form (see, e.g., [1])

$$\alpha_\nu = (n^- k_\nu + n_H p_e a_\nu) \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right) \right] \quad (3)$$

where n^- and n_H are the spatial densities of the H^- ions and neutral hydrogen atoms H , respectively. Also, in this formula $p_e = n_e kT$ is the electron pressure which corresponds to the temperature $T = T_e$ and electron density n_e . The spatial densities n_H, n^- and n_e are related to each other by the following equation

$$\frac{n_H n_e}{n^-} = \frac{g_1}{g_-} \frac{2(2\pi m_e kT)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{h^3} \exp\left(-\frac{\chi_1}{kT}\right) \quad (4)$$

where $g_1 = 2$ and $g_- = 1$ are the statistical weights of the ground states of the neutral hydrogen atom H and hydrogen negatively charged ion H^- , respectively. The notation χ_1 stands for the ionization potential of the H^- ion. In some works this value is called the detachment potential which is, probably, more correct, since no ion is created in the process, Eq.(1). In Eq.(4) and in all formulas below the notations m_e, h and e mean the electron mass, Planck constant and electron's electric charge, respectively.

By using Eq.(4) we can express the spatial density of the H^- ions (i.e. the n^- factor in Eq.(3)) and reduce Eq.(3) to the form

$$\alpha_\nu = n_H p_e \left[k_\nu \frac{h^3}{4(2\pi m_e)^{\frac{3}{2}} (kT)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \exp\left(\frac{\chi_1}{kT}\right) + a_\nu \right] \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right) \right] \quad (5)$$

This formula is used for all current calculations of the absorption coefficient α_ν of the negatively charged ion H^- . In real calculations of α_ν it is always assumed that $p_e = 1$ and $n_H = 1$, i.e. such calculations are performed for the unit electron pressure and per

one hydrogen atom in unit volume. In this study the formula, Eq.(5), is also applied for numerical calculations of the absorption coefficient α_ν of the H^- ion. As it follows from Eq.(5) in order to determine the coefficient α_ν one needs to know the bound-free and free-free absorption coefficients, i.e. the factors a_ν and k_ν , respectively. The third unknown value, which can be found in Eq.(5), is the ionization/detachment potential χ_1 of the H^- ion(s). In reality, the ionization potentials χ_1 of all isotope-substituted H^- ions are now known to very good numerical accuracy (see, e.g., [5] and Table I below).

Below we restrict ourselves to the analysis of computational formulas used for the a_ν and k_ν coefficients. Note that the first numerical calculations of the absorption coefficient α_ν with the use of Eq.(5) were performed in [7]. Formally, if the total absorption coefficient α_ν as the function of r in stellar photosphere is known, then it is possible to determine the optical depth at the frequency ν

$$\tau_\nu(r) = \int_r^{+\infty} \alpha_\nu(y) dy \quad (6)$$

where r is the actual geometrical distance measured from the top of the solar/stellar photosphere, where $r = \infty$.

To conclude this Section let us discuss the relations between the absorption coefficients a_ν and k_ν from Eqs.(3) and (5) and the corresponding (microscopic) cross-sections used below. Without loss of generality consider such a relation for the bound-free coefficient a_ν and photodetachment cross-section σ_ν of the H^- ion. This relation is obvious: $a_\nu = \sigma_\nu \cdot 1 \cdot N \approx \sigma_\nu \frac{\rho}{M_H} N_A$, where $N_A \approx 6.0223 \cdot 10^{23}$ is the Avogadro number, M_H is the mass of one mole of hydrogen atoms and ρ is the density of the stellar (hydrogenic) photosphere.

III. PHOTODETACHMENT OF THE NEGATIVELY CHARGED HYDROGEN ION

In this Section we derive and discuss the explicit formulas which are used for numerical computation of the photodetachment cross-section of the H^- ion. The photodetachment corresponds to the bound-free (optical) transitions, since the final state of one of the two electrons is an unbound state, or, in other words, the state from the unbound spectra of the H^- ion. For the two-electron H^- ion it is possible to obtain the closed analytical formula for the photodetachment cross-section, if the non-relativistic (or dipole) approximation is used.

The derivation of this formula is the main goal of this Section.

Let us assume that the incident (i.e. second) electron was bound to the neutral hydrogen atom with the binding energy $\epsilon_i = -I$, where $I = \chi_1$ is the ionization potential of the H⁻ ion. The incident photon has the momentum \mathbf{k} and the frequency ω (or energy $\hbar\omega = \omega$). In the final state the emitted photo-electron moves as a free particle with the momentum \mathbf{p} and energy ϵ_f . Since \mathbf{p} is a continuous variable, the photodetachment cross-section is written in the form

$$d\sigma = 2\pi |M_{i \rightarrow f}|^2 \delta(-I + \omega - \epsilon_f) \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \quad (7)$$

where $M_{i \rightarrow f}$ is the transition amplitude (see, Eq.(9), below) and the wave function of the final state is normalized per one particle in unit volume $V = 1$. All wave functions and expressions used in computations of the transition amplitude $M_{i \rightarrow i}$ are assumed to have a proper permutation symmetry upon spin-spatial coordinates of the two electrons 1 and 2. This allows one to write the formula, Eq.(7), and all formulas below in the one-electron form.

The delta-function in Eq.(7) is excluded by integrating over the momenta \mathbf{p} of the photoelectron. Indeed, by using the formula $d^3\mathbf{p} = p^2 d|\mathbf{p}| d\epsilon$ and performing the integration over $d\epsilon$ we can remove this delta-function and obtain the following general expression for the cross-section

$$d\sigma = e^2 \frac{\epsilon |\mathbf{p}|}{2\pi\omega} |M_{i \rightarrow f}|^2 d\epsilon \quad (8)$$

The derivation of the analytical formula for the transition amplitude $M_{i \rightarrow f}$ is drastically simplified (see, e.g., [16]) with the use of transverse gauge for the vector potential \mathbf{A} , i.e. $\text{div}\mathbf{A} = 0$. In this case the transition amplitude $M_{i \rightarrow f}$ is written as a scalar product of the vector potential \mathbf{A} and transition current $\mathbf{j}_{i \rightarrow f}$, i.e. $M_{i \rightarrow f} = -e\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{i \rightarrow f}$. The expressions for the vector potential \mathbf{A} (in the case when $\text{div}\mathbf{A} = 0$) and for the transition current $\mathbf{j}_{i \rightarrow f}$ can be found in standard QED books (see, e.g., [16]). With these expressions we can write for the transition amplitude

$$M_{i \rightarrow f} = -e\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{i \rightarrow f} = -e\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int \int \psi_f^*(1, 2)(\mathbf{e} \cdot \alpha_1) \exp(i\mathbf{k}_f \cdot \mathbf{r}_1) \psi_i(1, 2) d^3\mathbf{r}_1 d^3\mathbf{r}_2 \quad (9)$$

where $\psi_i(1, 2)$ and $\psi_f(1, 2)$ are the wave functions of the incident and final atomic systems. These two functions are assumed to be properly symmetrized in respect to all possible electron-electron permutations and each of these functions has a unit norm. Also, in this

equation α_1 are the three Dirac matrixes of the first electron, \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{k}_f are two vectors which describe polarization and direction of propagation of the incident photon, respectively.

In this study all electrons are considered as non-relativistic particles, while the energy of the incident photon $\hbar\omega(= \omega)$ is assumed to be larger than I , but substantially smaller than the energy of electron at rest, i.e. $\hbar\omega \ll m_e c^2$. This means that the final velocity of the photoelectron is small, i.e. we are dealing with the non-relativistic problem. Therefore, the Dirac's α matrixes in Eq.(9) can be replaced by the corresponding components of the velocity $\mathbf{v}_1 = -\frac{\mathbf{p}}{m_e} \nabla_1$. Moreover, in the non-relativistic approximation we can replace the factor $\exp(i\mathbf{k}_f \cdot \mathbf{r}_1)$ by unity. This gives the following formula for the photodetachment cross-section

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_\nu &= \frac{e^2 |\mathbf{P}|}{m_e \pi \omega} \left| \left\{ \int \int \Psi_{fi}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \left[\mathbf{e} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_1} \right) \right] \Psi_{H^-}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 d^3 \mathbf{r}_2 \right\} \right|^2 d\omega \quad (10) \\ &= \frac{e^2 |\mathbf{P}|}{2m_e \pi \omega} \left| \left\{ \int \int \Psi_{fi}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \left[\mathbf{e} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_2} \right) \right] \Psi_{H^-}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 d^3 \mathbf{r}_2 \right\} \right|^2 d\omega \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{e} is the vector which represents the polarization of the incident photon. In the case of photodetachment, Eq.(1), the notation $\Psi_{fi}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ in Eq.(10) stands for the wave function of the final state (after photodetachment), while $\Psi_{H^-}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ is the wave function of the ground 1^1S -state of the hydrogen ion. In atomic units the last formula from Eq.(10) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_\nu &= \frac{c}{v_a} \alpha^2 a_0^2 \frac{|\mathbf{P}|}{2\pi\omega} \left| \left\{ \int \int \Psi_{fi}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \left[\mathbf{e} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_2} \right) \right] \Psi_{H^-}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 d^3 \mathbf{r}_2 \right\} \right|^2 d\omega \quad (11) \\ &= \alpha a_0^2 \frac{|\mathbf{P}|}{2\pi\omega} \left| \left\{ \int \int \Psi_{fi}^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \left[\mathbf{e} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_2} \right) \right] \Psi_{H^-}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 d^3 \mathbf{r}_2 \right\} \right|^2 d\omega \end{aligned}$$

where c is the speed of light in vacuum, a_0 is the Bohr radius, $v_a = \frac{e^2}{\hbar}$ is the atomic velocity (the dimensionless ratio $\frac{c}{a_0} = \alpha^{-1}$) and all other values must be expressed in atomic units. The formula, Eq.(10), is used in numerical calculations of the photodetachment cross-section of the H^- ion. Note that the formula, Eq.(10), is written in the two forms: one-electron and two-electron. The one-electron form is used in calculations, while two-electron form is needed to show the explicit invariance of the final expressions upon all possible electron-electron permutations. To explain all essential details of such calculations we need to discuss the expressions which are used to approximate the wave function of the bound 1^1S -state in the H^- ion and wave functions of the final states, i.e. states which arise after photodetachment.

IV. WAVE FUNCTIONS OF THE NEGATIVELY CHARGED HYDROGEN IONS

The wave functions of the ground states in the hydrogen ions ($^{\infty}\text{H}^-$, $^3\text{H}^-$ (or T^-), $^2\text{H}^-$ (or D^-) and $^1\text{H}^-$) are approximated with the use of different variational expansions. One of the best such expansions is the exponential variational expansion in relative/perimetric coordinates. For the ground 1^1S -state of the H^- ion it takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{\text{H}^-} &= \Psi_{\text{H}^-}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \hat{P}_{12}) \sum_{i=1}^N C_i f_i \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \left[\exp(-\alpha_i r_{32} - \beta_i r_{31} - \gamma_i r_{21}) + \exp(-\beta_i r_{32} - \alpha_i r_{31} - \gamma_i r_{21}) \right]\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

where \hat{P}_{12} is the permutation of the two identical particles 1 and 2 (electrons), the subscript 3 designates the hydrogen nucleus. In this notation $r_{21} = |\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1|$ is the electron-electron (or correlation) coordinate (scalar) and $r_{3i} = |\mathbf{r}_3 - \mathbf{r}_i|$ are the corresponding electron-nuclear coordinates ($i = 1, 2$). Also in this formula C_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$) are the linear variational coefficients of the approximate (or trial) wave function. The $3N$ -parameters $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) are the non-linear parameters (or varied parameters) of the trial wave function, Eq.(12). As follows from the results of our earlier studies (see, e.g., [5], [15]) the exponential variational expansion, Eq.(12), provides very high numerical accuracy for any bound state in arbitrary Coulomb three-body systems, i.e. for systems with arbitrary particle masses and electric charges. For the H^- ions such an accuracy is much higher than accuracy which any other variational expansion may provide, if the same number of basis functions N is used. Note also that from Eq.(12) one finds the following formula

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{e} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_2} \right) \Psi_{\text{H}^-} &= \left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}_1}{r_1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \alpha_i f_i + \left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}_2}{r_2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \beta_i f_i \\ &= \left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1 \right) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} \Psi_{\text{H}^-} \right] + \left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{n}_2 \right) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r_2} \Psi_{\text{H}^-} \right]\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

where $\mathbf{n}_i = \frac{\mathbf{r}_{3i}}{r_{3i}}$ is the unit vector of the i -th electron and $i = 1, 2$. Note that in this expression all terms which contain the γ_i parameters cancel each other, since we always have $\frac{\partial r_{21}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_1} = -\frac{\partial r_{21}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_2}$.

The presence of a large number of the varied non-linear parameters $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$ in Eq.(12) allows one to construct very compact, extremely flexible and highly accurate approximations to the actual wave functions of arbitrary three-body systems, including the negatively

charged hydrogen ions. The results of our calculations obtained with the use of the exponential expansion can be found in Table I. This Table contains only the total energies (in atomic units) and ionization potentials χ_1 (in eV). In addition to the values shown in Table I we have determined a large number of expectation values which can be used to evaluate various bound state properties and probabilities of different processes/reactions in these ions. Some of these expectation values are shown in Tables II and III. The meaning of the notations used to designate some bound state properties is explained in [15]. Note that the total non-relativistic energies and other expectation values obtained in our calculations are the most accurate values ever obtained for isotope substituted hydrogen ions: ${}^3\text{H}^-$ (or T^-), ${}^2\text{H}^-$ (or D^-) and ${}^1\text{H}^-$. These expectation values indicate the overall quality of our wave functions used in calculations of the photodetachment cross-section of the H^- ion(s). A well known test for bound state wave functions of Coulomb few-body systems is numerical coincidence of the predicted and computed cusp values (see, e.g., [15]). Another effective test of the quality of bound state wave functions is the accurate evaluation of the lowest-order QED correction for the hydrogen ions (see Appendix I).

It should be mentioned that in our calculations of the ${}^3\text{H}^-$, ${}^2\text{H}^-$ and ${}^1\text{H}^-$ hydrogen ions we have used the following updated values for the nuclear masses of the tritium, deuterium and protium (in MeV/c^2)

$$\begin{aligned} m_e &= 0.510998910 \quad , \quad m_p = 938.272046 \\ m_d &= 1875.612859 \quad , \quad m_t = 2808.290906 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

In our calculations these masses are considered as exact. The masses of these three hydrogenic nuclei have recently been determined in high-energy experiments to better accuracy than they were known in the middle of 1990's. Usually, these masses are expressed in special high-energy mass units (MeV/c^2). Numerical value of the electron mass m_e can be used to re-calculate these masses to atomic units. Highly accurate computations of the ground states in the ${}^3\text{H}^-$ (or T^-), ${}^2\text{H}^-$ (or D^-) and ${}^1\text{H}^-$ ions with these nuclear masses have never been performed.

V. THE FINAL STATE WAVE FUNCTIONS

After photodetachment of the H^- ion, Eq.(1), in the final state we have the neutral hydrogen atom in one of its bound states and ‘free’ electron which moves in the field of the hydrogen atom with the kinetic energy $E_e = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_e}$, where $\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}$ is the reduced Planck constant, k is the wave number and m_e is the electron mass. The corresponding wave function of the final state is represented as a product of the bound state wave function of the hydrogen atom and the wave function of the free electron. The wave function of the bound $(n\ell m)$ -state of the hydrogen atom is written in the form (see, e.g., [17]) $\Phi_{n\ell m}(r, \Theta, \phi) = R_{n\ell}(Q, r)Y_{\ell m}(\Theta, \phi)$, where $Y_{\ell m}(\Theta, \phi) = Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n})$ is a spherical harmonic and $R_{n\ell}(Q, r)$ is the one-electron radial function. The radial function $R_{n\ell}(Q, r)$ takes the form (see, e.g., [17])

$$R_{n\ell}(Q, r) = \frac{1}{rn} \sqrt{\frac{Q(n-\ell-1)!}{(n+\ell)!}} [2Qr]^{n-\ell-1} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-\ell-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \binom{n+\ell}{2\ell+k+1} [2Qr]^k \right\} \times \exp\left(-\frac{Qr}{n}\right) \quad (15)$$

where $Q (= 1)$ is the nuclear charge, while n and ℓ are the quantum numbers of this bound state. Note that all radial functions defined by Eq.(15) have unit norms. The six following functions of the hydrogen atom are very important for calculations of the photodetachment cross-sections:

$$R_{10}(r) = 2 \exp(-r) \quad , \quad R_{20}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{r}{2}\right) \quad , \quad R_{21}(r) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} r \exp\left(-\frac{r}{2}\right) \quad (16)$$

$$R_{30}(r) = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \left(1 - \frac{2r}{3} + \frac{2r^2}{27}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{r}{3}\right) \quad , \quad R_{31}(r) = \frac{8}{27\sqrt{6}} \left(1 - \frac{r}{6}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{r}{3}\right)$$

The final states with these hydrogen wave functions provide largest numerical contributions in the photodetachment cross-section.

The wave function of the free electron is represented as the sum of the plane wave $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})$ and converging spherical waves which are usually designated as $\phi_{\mathbf{p}}^{(-)}(\mathbf{r})$ [17]. In the non-relativistic dipole approximation used here the following selection rule is applied: transitions from the incident $S(L = 0)$ -state of the H^- ion can only be into the final $P(L = 1)$ -state of the two-body (final) system: the H-atom plus free electron. It follows from here that in the final states we can detect the H-atom in one of its $s(\ell = 0)$ -states and free electron which moves away in the p -wave. This is the sp -channel of the H^-

ion photodetachment which produces the largest contribution into photodetachment cross-section of the H^- ion. Another possibility is the formation of the final H-atom in one of its three $p(\ell = 1)$ -states and free electron which moves away in the s -wave. This represents the ps -channel in the photodetachment of the H^- ion.

The wave function of the final state for the sp -channel takes the form

$$\psi_f(1, 2) = R_{n0}(Q = 1, r_{31}) \frac{3\ell}{2p_e} (\mathbf{n}_e \cdot \mathbf{n}_2) \Phi_{p1}(r_{32}) = \frac{3\ell}{2p_e} (\mathbf{n}_e \cdot \mathbf{n}_2) R_{n0}(r_{31}) \Phi_{p_e1}(r_{32}) \quad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{n}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{r}_{32}}{r_{32}}$ is the unit vector of the second (unbound) electron and $\mathbf{n}_e = \frac{\mathbf{p}_e}{p_e}$ is the direction of the momentum of the outgoing photo-electron \mathbf{p}_e , i.e. the second electron when it becomes unbound after photodetachment. The notation $R_{n\ell=0}(Q = 1, r_{31}) = R_{n0}(r_{31})$ stands for the radial function of the bound state of the hydrogen atom with quantum numbers $n \geq 1$ and $\ell = 0$. In this equation the notation $\Phi_{p_e1}(r_{32})$ stands for the wave function of the electron which becomes ‘free’ after photodetachment. Such a wave function represents an electron which moves in the field of the neutral hydrogen atom. This electron has an angular momentum $\ell = 1$ and absolute momentum $p_e = |\mathbf{p}_e|$.

The wave function of the final state for the ps -channel can be written in the following form

$$\psi_f(1, 2) = R_{n\ell=1}(Q = 1, r_{31}) Y_{1m}(\mathbf{n}_1) \frac{1}{2p_e} \Phi_{p\ell=0}(r_{32}) = \frac{1}{2p_e} \mathbf{n}_{1m} \cdot R_{n1}(r_{31}) \Phi_{p\ell=0}(r_{32}) \quad (18)$$

where $R_{n1}(Q = 1, r_{31}) = R_{n1}(r_{31})$ is the radial function of the bound $|n1\rangle$ -state of the hydrogen atom with the principal quantum number $n \geq 2$ and angular quantum number $\ell = 1$. Also, in Eq.(18) the notation $Y_{1m}(\mathbf{x})$ stands for the corresponding spherical harmonics [17], while the vector $\mathbf{n}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{r}_{31}}{r_{31}}$ has the following components: $(\mathbf{n}_1)_{+1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(n_x + in_y)$, $(\mathbf{n}_1)_0 = n_z$, $(\mathbf{n}_1)_{-1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(n_x - in_y)$, where n_x, n_y, n_z are the three Cartesian components of the unit vector \mathbf{n}_1 . Note that all calculations for the ps -channel in the photodetachment of the H^- ion are significantly more complicated than for the sp -channel. One obvious complication can directly be seen from Eq.(18) where the final wave function is a vector.

For the sp - and ps -channels mentioned above the radial functions of the final states of the hydrogen atom can be designated as the $|n0\rangle$ and $|n1\rangle$ states, respectively. Here the notations n and ℓ ($n \geq \ell + 1$) designate the principal quantum number n and angular number ℓ of the hydrogen atom. To designate the radial hydrogen functions we do not need

to mention the magnetic number(s) m , where $m = -\ell, -\ell + 1, \dots, \ell - 1, \ell$. In the case of the photodetachment of the hydrogen negative ion H^- we always have $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 0$. It is also clear that the largest contribution to the photodetachment of the H^- ion comes from that final state in which the final hydrogen atom is in its ground 1^2S -state with $\ell = 0$. This corresponds to the sp -channel. In this channel the final hydrogen atoms can also be formed in the excited $2s(\ell = 0)$ -, $3s(\ell = 0)$ -, $4s(\ell = 0)$ -states. All these channels also contribute to the photodetachment of the H^- ion, but their contributions are much smaller than the contribution of the photodetachment channel in which the final hydrogen atom is formed in the ground state. Analogous situation can be found for the ps -channel where the contribution from the $2p(\ell = 1)$ -state substantially exceeds contributions from other $np(\ell = 1)$ -states in hydrogen atoms (here $n \geq 3$). In general, the formation of the final hydrogen atoms in the highly excited $s(\ell = 0)$ -states and $p(\ell = 1)$ -states with $n \geq 4$ is very unlikely, since the corresponding probabilities are very small.

It is also clear *a priori* that the overall contribution of the sp -channel in the photodetachment of the H^- ion is substantially larger than analogous contribution from the ps -channel. This directly follows from very large excitation energy of the $2p(\ell = 1)$ -states in the hydrogen atom $\Delta E \approx 10.2043 \text{ eV}$. Another reason for this is almost exact orthogonality of the wave functions of the $2p$ -state of the hydrogen atom H and the ground state of the H^- ion, respectively. In reality, the overall contribution of the ps -channel in the photodetachment cross-section of the H^- ion is $\approx 15 - 25$ times smaller than analogous contribution of the sp -channel. In general, it is comparable with the contributions from the processes which include electric quadrupole and magnetic dipole transitions, i.e. processes related with absorption of higher multipole radiation. For these reasons, below in this study we shall consider only the ‘traditional’ sp -channel of the photodetachment. Note, however, that the ps -channel of the photodetachment is of some restricted theoretical interest, since in this case one finds no direct correlation between the directions of propagation of the incident photon and final electron. Furthermore, by transforming the integral, Eq.(10), where the final wave function is chosen in the form, Eq.(18), one finds that the emission of the photo-electrons in the outgoing s -wave(s) is produced during the absorption of the circularly polarized photons only.

For stellar astrophysics we can predict that in the cold stars the ps -channel does not contribute into the photodetachment of the H^- ion. However, the contribution of this

ps -channel rapidly increases with the temperature due to Maxwellian (thermal) distribution of the H^- ions upon temperatures in stellar photospheres. It follows from here that for stars with higher surface temperatures the ps -channel must play a larger role in the photodetachment of the H^- ions. In other words, there is a fundamental difference between the photodetachment of the H^- ions in photospheres of the late A-stars and in photospheres of earlier K-stars. Note also that in our non-relativistic dipole approximation the rotationally excited channels with $\ell \geq 2$ do not contribute in the total photodetachment cross-section.

VI. FORMULAS FOR THE MATRIX ELEMENTS

For the sp -channel the final state wave function is represented in the form of Eq.(17) and the formula, Eq.(10), is reduced to the form

$$\left| \left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}_{31}}{r_{31}} \right) \Phi_1 + \left(\mathbf{e} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}_{32}}{r_{32}} \right) \Phi_2 \right|^2 \quad (19)$$

where Φ_1 and Φ_2 are the two scalar expressions. In stellar photospheres all hydrogen ions are free-oriented. This means that the expression from Eq.(19) must be averaged over possible directions of the unit vectors \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 which describe the polarization of the incident photon. In the incident light wave we always have $\mathbf{e}_1 \perp \mathbf{e}_2 \perp \mathbf{k}_f$, where the unit vector \mathbf{k}_f corresponds to the direction of propagation of this light wave, or the corresponding light quantum (photon). An arbitrary scalar expression can be averaged over all possible directions of the unit vectors \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 with the use of the following formulas

$$\overline{e_i e_k} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{ik} - (k_f)_i (k_f)_k) \quad (20)$$

and

$$\overline{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{e})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{e})} = \frac{1}{2} [(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{k}_f)(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{k}_f)] = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{k}_f)(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{k}_f) \quad (21)$$

where \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are the two arbitrary vectors and the notation $\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}$ stands for the vector product of the two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . Note that the final formula includes only the unit vector \mathbf{k}_f which describes the direction of photon propagation. If the direction of the propagation of the final photon is not known, then the last formula must also be averaged over all possible directions of the unit vector \mathbf{k}_f . This leads to the following formula

$$\overline{(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{k}_f)(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{k}_f)} = \frac{8\pi}{3} (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \quad (22)$$

Now, in the case of the photodetachment of the H^- ion we can write for the following expression for the transition amplitude $M_{i \rightarrow f}$:

$$M_{i \rightarrow f} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}p_e} (\mathbf{n}_e \cdot \mathbf{e}) \sum_{j=1}^N C_j \left[\alpha_j \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|r_{31}-r_{32}|}^{r_{31}+r_{32}} R_{n0}(r_{31}) \Phi_{\ell=1}(p_e; r_{32}) \times \right. \\ \left. \exp(-\alpha_j r_{32} - \beta_j r_{31} - \gamma_j r_{21}) r_{32} r_{31} r_{21} dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21} + \beta_j \times \right. \\ \left. \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|r_{31}-r_{32}|}^{r_{31}+r_{32}} R_{n0}(r_{31}) \Phi_{\ell=1}(p_e; r_{32}) \exp(-\beta_j r_{32} - \alpha_j r_{31} - \gamma_j r_{21}) r_{32} r_{31} r_{21} dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21} \right] \quad (23)$$

where the notation $\Phi_{\ell=1}(p_e; r_2)$ designates the wave function of the ‘free’ electron which moves in the field of the neutral hydrogen atom. As one can see from this formula numerical calculation of the transition amplitude $M_{i \rightarrow f}$ is reduced to the calculation of the three-body integrals in relative coordinates r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21} . This problem is discussed in detail in the next Section. Here we want to note that the photodetachment cross-section for the sp -channel always contains the factor $|M_{i \rightarrow f}|^2$ which is proportional to the factor $(\mathbf{n}_e \cdot \mathbf{e})^2$. By applying the formula, Eq.(21), one finds that in the dipole approximation the photodetachment cross-section in such cases is always proportional to the factor $(\mathbf{n}_e \times \mathbf{k}_f)^2 = \sin^2 \Theta$, where Θ is the angle between the directions of propagation of the incident photon \mathbf{k}_f and final electron \mathbf{n}_e (or photo-electron). On the other hand, the presence of this factor in the formula for the photodetachment cross-section indicates clearly that the dipole approximation is valid.

VII. THREE-BODY INTEGRALS IN RELATIVE COORDINATES

As we have shown above the problem of analytical and numerical computation of matrix elements arising in Eq.(10) and in Eq.(23) with the trial wave function defined in Eq.(12) is reduced to the computation of the following three-body integrals with the Bessel functions $j_0(kr_2)$ and $j_1(kr_2)$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|r_{31}-r_{32}|}^{r_{31}+r_{32}} \phi_{n\ell}(r_{31}) j_q(kr_{32}) \exp(-\alpha r_{32} - \beta r_{31} - \gamma r_{21}) r_{32} r_{31} r_{21} dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21} \quad (24)$$

where $q = 0, 1$ and $r_{21} = |\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1|$ is the electron-electron coordinate which varies between the following limits $|r_{32} - r_{31}| \leq r_{21} \leq r_{32} + r_{31}$. In Eq.(24) the notation $\phi_{n\ell}(r_{31})$ stands for the unit norm wave functions $R_{n0}(r_{31})$ and $R_{n1}(r_{31})$ of the bound states of the hydrogen atom, Eqs.(15) and (16). All other notations in Eq.(24) are defined above in Eq.(12). The integrals defined by Eq.(24) are computed analytically in perimetric coordinates u_1, u_2, u_3 , where $u_1 = \frac{1}{2}(r_{31} + r_{21} - r_{32})$, $u_2 = \frac{1}{2}(r_{32} + r_{21} - r_{31})$ and $u_3 = \frac{1}{2}(r_{31} + r_{32} - r_{21})$. The

three perimetric coordinates u_1, u_2, u_3 are independent of each other and each of them varies between 0 and $+\infty$.

Let us derive the closed analytical expression for the integrals, Eq.(24) with $q = 0$ and $q = 1$. First, we need to obtain the general formula for the closely related auxiliary three-body integral $\Gamma_{n,k,l}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ which is written in the form

$$\Gamma_{n,k,l}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|r_{31}-r_{32}|}^{r_{31}+r_{32}} r_{32}^k r_{31}^l r_{21}^m \exp(-\alpha r_{32} - \beta r_{31} - \gamma r_{21}) r_{32} r_{31} r_{21} dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21} \quad (25)$$

where $k \geq 0, l \geq 0, n \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta > 0, \alpha + \gamma > 0$ and $\beta + \gamma > 0$ (see below). Analytical computation of this integral has extensively been explained in a number of our earlier works. Correspondingly, below we restrict ourselves only to a few following remarks. In perimetric coordinates the integral, Eq.(25), takes the form

$$\Gamma_{n,k,l}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp[-(\alpha + \beta)u_1 - (\alpha + \gamma)u_2 - (\beta + \gamma)u_3] (u_1 + u_2)^n (u_1 + u_3)^m (u_2 + u_3)^l du_1 du_2 du_3 \quad (26)$$

where we took into account the fact that the Jacobian of transformation from the relative (r_{32}, r_{31}, r_{21}) to perimetric coordinates (u_1, u_2, u_3) equals 2. The integration over three independent perimetric coordinates u_i ($0 \leq u_i < \infty$) in Eq.(26) is trivial and explicit formula for the $\Gamma_{n,k,l}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ integral is written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{k;l;n}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= 2 \sum_{k_1=0}^k \sum_{l_1=0}^l \sum_{n_1=0}^n C_{k_1}^k C_{l_1}^l C_{n_1}^n \frac{(l - l_1 + k_1)!}{(\alpha + \beta)^{l-l_1+k_1+1}} \frac{(k - k_1 + n_1)!}{(\alpha + \gamma)^{k-k_1+n_1+1}} \frac{(n - n_1 + l_1)!}{(\beta + \gamma)^{n-n_1+l_1+1}} \\ &= 2 \cdot k! \cdot l! \cdot n! \sum_{k_1=0}^k \sum_{l_1=0}^l \sum_{n_1=0}^n \frac{C_{n-n_1+k_1}^{k_1} C_{k-k_1+l_1}^{l_1} C_{l-l_1+n_1}^{n_1}}{(\alpha + \beta)^{l-l_1+k_1+1} (\alpha + \gamma)^{k-k_1+n_1+1} (\beta + \gamma)^{n-n_1+l_1+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where C_k^m are the binomial coefficients (= number of combinations from k by m). In many application all three integer numbers k, l, m are relatively small (≤ 3) and this simplifies numerical applications of the formula, Eq.(27).

The parameters α, β and γ in Eqs.(24) - (27) can be arbitrary real and/or complex numbers. Furthermore, one of these three parameters/numbers can be equal zero, but the three principal conditions for these parameters $\alpha + \beta > 0, \alpha + \gamma > 0$ and $\beta + \gamma > 0$ must always be obeyed. If these three parameters are complex, then these three conditions are written in the form $Re(\alpha + \beta) > 0, Re(\alpha + \gamma) > 0$ and $Re(\beta + \gamma) > 0$. These conditions are needed to guarantee the convergence of the three-body integrals $\Gamma_{k;l;n}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, Eq.(25).

Now, the explicit formulas for the analogous three-body integrals which contain the Bessel functions $j_0(kr_2)$ and/or $j_1(kr_2)$ is derived in the following way. First, consider the integral, Eq.(24), with the $j_0(x)$ function which can also be written in the form $j_0(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x}$. In this case the integral Eq.(24) is written as the sum of the two following (complex) integrals

$$I_0(n, \ell; k) = \frac{1}{2ik} \int \int \int \phi_{n\ell}(r_{32}) \exp[-\alpha r_{32} - (\beta - ik)r_{31} - \gamma r_{21}] r_{32} r_{21} dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21} \quad (28)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2ik} \int \int \int \phi_{n\ell}(r_{32}) \exp[-\alpha r_{32} - (\beta + ik)r_{31} - \gamma r_{21}] r_{32} r_{21} dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21}$$

where i is the imaginary unit. Consider the case when the final hydrogen atom is formed in its ground state (or (10)-state). The integral $I_0(n, \ell; k) = I_0(1, 0; k)$ can easily be determined in perimetric coordinates. Indeed, in this case $\phi_{n\ell}(r_{32}) = \phi_{10}(r_{32}) = 2 \exp(-Ar_{32})$, where $A = 1$, and the last integral is reduced to the form

$$I_0(1, 0; k) = \frac{1}{ik} \int \int \int \exp[-(\alpha + A)r_{32} - (\beta - ik)r_{31} - \gamma r_{21}] r_{32} r_{21} dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21} \quad (29)$$

$$- \frac{1}{ik} \int \int \int \exp[-(\alpha + A)r_{32} - (\beta + ik)r_{31} - \gamma r_{21}] r_{32} r_{21} dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21}$$

$$= -\frac{2i}{k} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp[-X_1 u_1 - X_2 u_2 - X_3 u_3] (u_1 + u_3)(u_1 + u_2) du_1 du_2 du_3$$

$$+ \frac{2i}{k} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp[-X_1 u_1 - X_2^* u_2 - X_3^* u_3] (u_1 + u_3)(u_1 + u_2) du_1 du_2 du_3$$

where $X_1 = \alpha + \gamma + A$, $X_2 = \beta + \gamma - ik$, $X_3 = \alpha + \beta + A - ik$ and $X_2^* = \beta + \gamma + ik$, $X_3^* = \alpha + \beta + A + ik$. Note that each of the last two integrals is written in the form

$$J(a, b, c) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp[-ax - by - cz] (x + z)(x + y) dz dy dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{abc} \left[\frac{2}{a^2} + \frac{1}{ab} + \frac{1}{ac} + \frac{1}{bc} \right] \quad (30)$$

This integral is often called the Coulomb three-body integral, since all matrix elements of the Coulomb interparticle potential are reduced to this integral.

The closed analytical expression for this integral allows one to obtain analytical formulas for all integrals, Eq.(24), which include the $|n\ell\rangle$ radial functions of the final hydrogen atom. In reality, such integrals are computed as the partial derivatives from Eq.(30) in respect with the parameter α . This solves the problem of analytical/numerical calculations of the three-body integrals from the exponential functions of the three relative coordinates, Eq.(24), which include the Bessel function $j_0(kr)$.

Analytical formulas for the analogous integrals with the Bessel function $j_1(kr)$ can be derived from Eq.(28) - (29) with the use of the Rayleigh relation

$$j_1(kr) = -\frac{dj_0(kr)}{r dk} \quad (31)$$

known for these two Bessel functions. Analytical and/or numerical computation of the corresponding derivatives and integrals is straightforward. In general, all analytical transformations of formulas are performed with the use of different platforms for analytical computations such as Mathematica and/or Maple (see, e.g., [20] and [21]). Note also that all integrals arising during this procedure are regular, since each derivative in respect to k gives an extra power of r_2 which compensates the presence of this variable in the denominator of the right hand side of Eq.(31).

An alternative approach which is also used for calculation of the integrals Eq.(24) is based on our formulas derived for the three-particle integrals with the Bessel functions [14]. The formulas for the integrals which contain the Bessel functions $j_0(kr_{32})$ and $j_1(kr_{32})$ are derived in the following way. First, let us obtain the computational formula for the following integral

$$B_{k;l;n}^{(0)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; k) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|r_{32}-r_{31}|}^{r_{32}+r_{31}} r_{32}^k r_{31}^l r_{21}^n j_0(kr_{32}) \times \exp(-\alpha r_{32} - \beta r_{31} - \gamma r_{21}) dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21} \quad (32)$$

By using the formula $j_0(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x}$ one finds

$$B_{k;l;n}^{(0)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; k) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^q k^{2q}}{(2q+1)!} \Gamma_{k+2q;l;n}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \approx \sum_{q=0}^{q_{max}} \frac{(-1)^q k^{2q}}{(2q+1)!} \Gamma_{k+2q;l;n}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad (33)$$

where $\Gamma_{k;l;n}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the integral defined in Eq.(26).

The integral $B_{k;l;n}^{(0)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; k)$ in the last equation converges for all k , but for $k \leq 1$ it converges very rapidly. In reality, the maximal value of the index q (or q_{max}) in Eq.(33) is finite. Numerical investigations indicate that to stabilize 15 decimal digits for $k \leq 1$ one needs to use in Eq.(33) $q_{max} = 20$ to 40. For $k \geq 2$ the value of q_{max} rapidly increases up to 50 - 70 and even 100. The same conclusion is true about the convergence of the three-body integrals with the Bessel function $j_1(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x^2} - \frac{\cos x}{x}$. This integral takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} B_{k;l;n}^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; k) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|r_{32}-r_{31}|}^{r_{32}+r_{31}} r_{32}^k r_{31}^l r_{21}^n j_1(kr_{32}) \exp(-\alpha r_{32} - \beta r_{31} - \gamma r_{21}) dr_{32} dr_{31} dr_{21} \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^q (2q+2) k^{2q+1}}{(2q+3)!} \Gamma_{k+2q+1;l;n}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The three-body integrals with the lowest order Bessel functions $j_0(x)$ and $j_1(x)$ allows one to determine all integrals, Eq.(24), needed in calculations of the photodetachment cross-section of the negatively charged hydrogen ion. In particular, the integrals $B_{k;l;n}^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; k)$ are needed to calculate the photodetachment cross-sections in the sp -channel, while the integrals $B_{k;l;n}^{(0)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; k)$ are needed to evaluate analogous cross-sections in the ps -channel.

To conclude this Section let us present the corresponding integrals with the Bessel functions and a few radial hydrogenic functions $R_{10}(r), R_{20}(r), R_{21}(r), R_{31}(r)$, where

$$R_{10}(r) = 2Q\sqrt{Q}\exp(-Qr) \quad , \quad R_{20}(r) = \frac{Q\sqrt{Q}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}Qr\right)\exp\left(-\frac{Qr}{2}\right)$$

$$R_{21}(r) = \frac{Q\sqrt{Q}}{\sqrt{24}}Qr\exp\left(-\frac{Qr}{2}\right) \quad , \quad R_{31}(r) = \frac{8Q\sqrt{Q}}{27\sqrt{6}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{6}Qr\right)\exp\left(-\frac{Qr}{3}\right)$$

where $Q = 1$ for the hydrogen atom. For the photodetachment of the hydrogen negative ion only the integrals which contain the following products $R_{10}(r_{31})j_1(kr_{32}), R_{20}(r_{31})j_1(kr_{32})$ and $R_{21}(r_{31})j_0(kr_{32}), R_{31}(r_{31})j_1(kr_{32})$ are important. In respect with Eqs.(33) and (34) these integrals are

$$2Q\sqrt{Q}B_{1;1;1}^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta + Q, \gamma; k) \quad , \quad (35)$$

$$\frac{Q\sqrt{Q}}{2}B_{1;1;1}^{(1)}\left(\alpha, \beta + \frac{Q}{2}, \gamma; k\right) - \frac{Q\sqrt{Q}}{4}B_{1;2;1}^{(1)}\left(\alpha, \beta + \frac{Q}{2}, \gamma; k\right) \quad (36)$$

and

$$\frac{Q^2\sqrt{Q}}{24}B_{1;2;1}^{(0)}\left(\alpha, \beta + \frac{Q}{2}, \gamma; k\right) \quad , \quad (37)$$

$$\frac{8Q\sqrt{Q}}{27\sqrt{6}}B_{1;1;1}^{(0)}\left(\alpha, \beta + \frac{Q}{3}, \gamma; k\right) - \frac{4Q^2\sqrt{Q}}{81\sqrt{6}}B_{1;2;1}^{(0)}\left(\alpha, \beta + \frac{Q}{3}, \gamma; k\right) \quad , \quad (38)$$

respectively. Analogous formulas can be written for the integrals which include other radial hydrogenic functions, e.g., $R_{n0}(r)$ and $R_{m1}(r)$, where $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 4$. However, the overall contribution of such integrals to the photodetachment cross-section of the H^- ion ($Q = 1$ in all formulas above) is very small and they can be neglected in the lowest order approximation.

VIII. SIMPLE METHOD TO EVALUATE THE PHOTODETACHMENT CROSS-SECTION

The photodetachment cross-section of the negatively charged H^- ion in the sp -channel can be evaluated with the use of the following simple method. The negatively charged

hydrogen ion H^- is the bound two-electron atomic ion. Let us designate the total number of bound electrons in atomic system by N_e , while the notation Q stands below for the nuclear charge Q . As is well known (see, e.g., [11] - [13] and references therein) the long range one-electron asymptotic of the N_e -electron atomic wave function is written in the form

$$|\Psi(r)| = Cr^{\frac{Z}{t}-1} \exp(-tr) \quad (39)$$

where C is some numerical constant, $Z = Q - N_e + 1$, while $t = \sqrt{2I_1}$ and $I_1 = \chi_1$ is the first ionization potential which corresponds to the dissociation process $H^- = H + e^-$. For the H^- ion one finds in Eq.(39): $Q = 1, N_e = 2$, and therefore, $Z = 0$ and the long range asymptotic of the wave function, Eq.(39), is represented in the Yukawa-type form $|\Psi(r)| = \frac{C}{r} \exp(-tr)$, where C is a constant which must provide the best correspondence with the highly accurate wave function of the H^- ion at large r . The highly accurate wave function of the H^- ion is assumed to be known. The explicit formula which is used to determine the constant C is $C = |\Psi_{H^-}(r)| r \exp(tr)$, where $\Psi_{H^-}(r) = \Psi_{H^-}(r_{32} = r, r_{31} = 0, r_{21} = r)$. As follows from the results of actual computations the factor C does not change for relatively large interval of variations of r , e.g., for r bounded between 7.5 and 35 atomic units. The determined numerical value of this constant C for the negatively charged hydrogen ions varies between ≈ 0.12595 ($^\infty H^-$) and ≈ 0.12605 ($^1 H^-$).

The photodetachment cross-section $\sigma(H^-)$ of the H^- ion is written in the form [10] (in atomic units)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(H^-) &= \alpha a_0^2 \frac{p}{2\pi\omega} \oint |\mathbf{e} \cdot \int \psi_f^* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \psi_i \right) d^3 \mathbf{r}|^2 d\omega = \frac{64\pi^2 C^2 \alpha a_0^2}{3} \cdot \frac{p^3}{(p^2 + \gamma^2)^3} \\ &= \frac{64\pi^2 C^2 \alpha}{3} \cdot \frac{p^3}{(p^2 + \gamma^2)^3} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where α is the fine structure constant and a_0 is the Bohr radius and $\gamma = t = \sqrt{2I_1}$. The energy conservation law (for the photon) is written in the form: $\hbar\omega = \frac{p^2}{2m_e} + \frac{t^2}{2}$, or (in atomic units): $\omega = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{t^2}{2}$. To transform the first formula in Eq.(39) to its final form we have chosen the incident (one-electron!) wave function in the form of Eq.(39), while the final wave function ψ_f is written in the form $\exp(i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r})$. Also in this equation $p = |\mathbf{p}|$ is the momentum of the photo-electron (in atomic units) and $\gamma = 0.23558869473885$ (see Table I). In the usual units (cm^2) the last formula takes the form

$$\sigma(H^-) = 2.7032847 \cdot 10^{-16} \cdot C^2 \cdot \frac{p^3}{(p^2 + \gamma^2)^3} \quad cm^2 \quad . \quad (41)$$

This formula with the known value of C can directly be used in calculations of the photodetachment cross-section $\sigma(H^-)$. This formula essentially coincides with Eq.(8) from [9]. In our case, $C = 0.12595$, and therefore, from the last formula one finds

$$\sigma(H^-) = 4.28840 \cdot 10^{-18} \cdot \frac{p^3}{(p^2 + \gamma^2)^3} \text{ cm}^2 \quad . \quad (42)$$

In real calculations the overall accuracy of this simple formula, Eq.(42), is outstanding. This remarkable fact follows, in part, from the comparison of the sizes of atomic system a with the wavelengths λ of the photons which produce the photodetachment. It is clear that $a \approx a_0 \approx 0.529\text{\AA}$ where a_0 is the Bohr radius and a is the effective radius of the atomic H^- ion. On the other hand, the wavelengths λ of the photons which produce the photodetachment is $\lambda \approx 1 \cdot 10^5\text{\AA}$. This means that $\lambda \gg a$, or, in other words, the ratio $\frac{\lambda}{a} \approx 1 \cdot 10^4$ is a very large number. Briefly, we can say that the incident photon sees only an outer asymptotics of the three-body wave function. All details of the wave function of the H^- ion at small inter-particle distances are not important to describe the photodetachment of this ion. Recently, we have successfully used this simple method in analogous applications related to the H^- , Mu^- (or $\mu^+e_2^-$) and Ps^- (or $e^+e_2^-$) ions.

To conclude this Section let us note that some important details of the photodetachment of the H^- ion cannot be investigated by applying only this simple method. For instance, it cannot be used, in principle, to evaluate the transition probabilities for the ps -channel(s) and to predict analogous probabilities for the formation of the hydrogen atom in the excited s -states in the sp -channels. Nevertheless, this simple method can be useful in astrophysics for fast evaluation of the absorption coefficient of the H^- ion at different conditions.

IX. INVERSE BREMSSTRAHLUNG IN THE FIELD OF THE HYDROGEN ATOM

The knowledge of the photodetachment cross-sections for the sp -channels allows one to evaluate the absorption of infrared and visible radiation by the H^- ion in photospheres of many cold stars with surface temperatures $T_s \leq 8,250 \text{ K}$. Addition of the ps -channels increases the overall accuracy of such evaluations. However, at large wavelengths ($\lambda \geq 12,000\text{\AA}$) a different mechanism begin to play a significant role in the absorption of infrared radiation. A large number of unbound electron which move in the fields of hydrogen atoms

can absorb a noticeable amount of propagating infrared radiation. In this Section we discuss the electron scattering stimulated by the electromagnetic radiation in the field of the heavy hydrogen atom, Eq.(2). During such a process the radiation energy is transferred, in part, to the scattered electrons. Electrons are accelerated, but the mean energy of the radiation field decreases. In classical physics this process is called inverse bremsstrahlung. Inverse bremsstrahlung is important in many areas of modern physics and various applications. For instance, it is crucially important for workability of explosive devices usually called ‘hydrogen bombs’. In stellar photospheres of many hydrogen stars inverse bremsstrahlung is responsible for absorption of infrared radiation with $\lambda \geq 12,000 \text{ \AA}$. This includes our Sun [22]. Furthermore, it is very important to describe the total absorption of infrared radiation in photospheres of many cold stars.

As mentioned above at small energies of the incident photon $h\nu \leq 5eV$ the original (ground) state of the hydrogen atom does not change. This means that for photons of small energies we can ignore all possible excitations of the hydrogen atom, i.e. atomic transitions from the ground state of the H atom into other excited states. The incident photon is absorbed exclusively by the electron which moves in the field of the neutral hydrogen atom. In this case the electron scattering at the hydrogen atom ($H + e^- + h\nu = H^* + e^-$) can be considered as a regular (but non-elastic!) scattering of the electron in the field of the heavy hydrogen atom. Note that the hydrogen atom is electrically neutral. In the lowest order approximation we can replace the actual hydrogen atom by its polarization potential, which is a central and local potential $V(r) = -\frac{a}{r^4}$, where a is a positive constant. It is clear that we are dealing with the case of ‘polarization’ inverse bremsstrahlung, which is substantially different from the regular (or Coulomb) bremsstrahlung (see, e.g., [23]). In particular, for the $^{\infty}\text{H}$ atom in atomic units one finds $a = \frac{9}{4}$. Below, we shall consider the electron scattering in the field of the following central potential

$$V(r; a, b) = -\frac{a}{(r + b)^4} \quad (43)$$

which analytically depends upon the two real parameters a and b . We need to determine the cross-section of inverse bremsstrahlung for an electron which moves in the field of the central potential $V(r; a, b)$, Eq.(43). By using different numerical values for the a and b parameters we can obtain a variety of such cross-sections. The main interest, however, will be related to the cases when $a \approx 4.5$ and $b \approx 0$, respectively.

To calculate the cross-section of inverse bremsstrahlung in the field of the neutral hydrogen atom we can use a number of different methods. Briefly, all such methods can be separated into two large groups. The first group of these methods is based on the use of very approximate electronic wave functions which do not describe the electron-electron correlations. By using these wave functions we can solve ‘exactly’ the corresponding scattering problem. Moreover, by varying a large number of non-linear parameters included in the incident and final wave functions one can obtain a good agreement with the known experimental data. The second group of methods is based on an explicit construction of the two-electron wave functions. Modern methods allow one to determine such wave functions to very high numerical accuracy. However, any accurate and/or numerical solution of the scattering problem with such wave functions becomes extremely complex and non-accurate. In reality, we have to face the same old-standing problem here, when the total number of actual variables in the incident and final wave functions is different from each other.

In this study we develop an alternative one-electron approach. In our approach the hydrogen atom is replaced by an ‘effective’ central potential. This interaction potential explicitly depends upon a few parameters which can be varied in computations. In the field of such a potential we have an infinite number of scattered electronic states. The corresponding one-electron wave functions can be determined to very high accuracy. Finally, we have a method which is directly related with the original problem, but it is not overloaded by very complex computational and analytical details.

Let us describe our method which can be used to calculate cross-section of inverse bremsstrahlung in the field of the hydrogen atom. First, let us obtain the incident and final wave functions which are the stationary one-electron wave functions of the continuous spectra of the radial Schrödinger equation (see, e.g., [17]) of the electron moving in the field of the central potential, Eq.(43). The solution of one-electron Schrödinger equation can be found by representing the unknown wave function $\Psi(r)$ in the form $\Psi_\ell(r) = A_\ell(r) \exp(i\delta_\ell(r))$. Note that in the field of any central potential the angular momentum ℓ is a good (i.e. conserving) quantum number. It reduces the original problem to the solution of the following differential equation

$$\frac{d\delta_\ell(r)}{dr} = -\frac{2V(r; a, b)}{k} \left[j_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r) - n_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r) \right]^2 \quad (44)$$

where the phase $\delta_\ell(r)$ of the wave function is assumed to be explicitly r -dependent and

$\delta_\ell(r=0) = 0$ (initial condition). With this initial condition the last equation is reduced to the form of the following integral equation

$$\delta_\ell(r) = -\frac{2}{k} \int_0^{+\infty} V(r; a, b) \left[j_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r) - n_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r) \right]^2 dr \quad (45)$$

The equations Eq.(44) and Eq.(45) are the main equations of the variable phase method. The method of variable phase has been developed by V.V. Babikov and others in the middle of 1960's [24] (see also references therein). Each of these two equations Eqs.(44) - (45) can be solved to high accuracy by using various numerical methods. In general, if we know the phase function $\delta_\ell(r)$ for each r , then it is possible to determine the amplitude $A_\ell(r)$ of the wave function and the wave function $\Psi_k(r) = A_\ell(r) \exp(i\delta_\ell(r))$ itself. The corresponding equation for the amplitude $A_\ell(r)$ takes the form [24]

$$\frac{dA_\ell(r)}{dr} = -\frac{A_\ell(r)V(r; a, b)}{k} \left[j_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r) - n_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r) \right] \left[j_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r) + n_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r) \right] \quad (46)$$

Note that the variable phase method works very well for central potentials which rapidly vanish at $r \rightarrow +\infty$, e.g., for $|V(r)| \sim r^{-n}$, where $n \geq 3$ at $r \rightarrow +\infty$. This means that we can apply this method to determine the accurate wave functions of the continuous spectra in the field of the polarization potential, Eq.(43), created by the neutral H atom which is assumed to be infinitely heavy. It appears that the variable phase method provides a large number of advantages in applications to the problems related with the absorption/emission of radiation from the states of continuous spectra.

In the case of inverse bremsstrahlung the energy conservation law is written in the form

$$\frac{\hbar k_i^2}{2m_e} + \omega = \frac{\hbar k_f^2}{2m_e} \quad , \quad \text{or} \quad \hbar\omega = \frac{p_f^2}{2m_e} - \frac{p_i^2}{2m_e} \quad (47)$$

where k_i and k_f are the wave numbers defined as $k_a = |\mathbf{k}_a| = \frac{|\mathbf{p}_a|}{\hbar}$ and \mathbf{p}_a is the momentum of the particle a and \mathbf{k}_a is the corresponding wave vector. In atomic units $\mathbf{k}_a = \mathbf{p}_a$ and $k_a = p_a$, while Eq.(47) takes the form $\omega = \frac{1}{2}(p_f^2 - p_i^2)$. Also, from Eq.(47) one finds $k_f = \sqrt{k_i^2 + \frac{2m_e}{\hbar}\omega}$. By using the variable phase method described above we can obtain the initial and final state wave functions, i.e. $\Psi_{k_i}(r)$ and $\Psi_{k_f}(r)$, respectively. In the variable phase method these wave functions are represented as the products of their amplitudes and phase parts, i.e. $\Psi_{\ell; k_i}(r) = A_{\ell; k_i}(r) \exp(i\delta_{\ell; k_i}(r))$ and $\Psi_{\ell; k_f}(r) = B_{\ell; k_f}(r) \exp(ig_{\ell; k_f}(r))$. Let us assume that by using the procedure described above we have determined the incident and final wave

functions. Now, these two wave functions can be used in the following computations of the cross-section of inverse bremsstrahlung.

The explicit formula for the cross-section of inverse bremsstrahlung is (in relativistic units)

$$d\sigma_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{p}_f} = \frac{\omega e^2}{(2\pi)^4 m_e p_i} |\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{i \rightarrow f}|^2 d\omega_{\mathbf{k}} d^3 \mathbf{p}_f \quad (48)$$

where $p_i = |\mathbf{p}_i|$ is the ‘absolute’ momentum of the incident electron, \mathbf{p}_f is the momentum of the final electron and $\mathbf{p}_{i \rightarrow f}$ is the following matrix element

$$\mathbf{p}_{i \rightarrow f} = -i \int \Psi_{k_f}^* \nabla \Psi_{k_i} d^3 \mathbf{r} \quad (49)$$

where Ψ_{k_i} and Ψ_{k_f} are the incident and final one-electron wave functions (see above). Each of these two functions is assumed to be properly symmetrized upon all electron-electron permutations. By integrating Eq.(48) over all directions of the final momentum one finds the following formula for the cross-section (in atomic units):

$$d\sigma_{\mathbf{k}p_f} = \alpha^3 a_0^2 \frac{p_f^2 - p_i^2}{(2\pi)^3 p_i} |\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{i \rightarrow f}|^2 d\omega_{\mathbf{k}} p_f^2 dp_f \quad (50)$$

After a few steps of straightforward transformations one finds from this formula

$$d\sigma_{p_i p_f} = \frac{2\alpha^3 a_0^2 (p_f^2 - p_i^2) p_f^2}{3\pi p_i} \left| \int_0^\infty \Psi_f \left(\frac{d\Psi_i}{dr} \right) dr \right|^2 dp_f \quad (51)$$

By using Eqs.(44) and (46) we obtain the following expression for the derivative of the Ψ_i function:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Psi_i}{dr} &= \frac{dA_{\ell; k_i}(r)}{dr} \exp(i\delta_{\ell; k_i}) + iA_{\ell; k_i}(r) \exp(i\delta_{\ell; k_i}) \frac{d\delta_{\ell; k_i}(r)}{dr} \\ &= -\frac{V(r; a, b) A_{\ell; k}(r) \exp(i\delta_{\ell; k_i})}{k} \left[j_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r) - n_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r) \right] \left[j_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + n_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r) \right] - \frac{2V(r; a, b)}{k} A_{\ell; k_i}(r) \exp(i\delta_{\ell; k_i}) \left[j_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r) - n_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r) \right]^2 \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

or, in other words,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Psi_i}{dr} &= -\frac{V(r; a, b)}{k} \Psi_i \left[j_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r) - n_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r) \right] \left[j_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r) + n_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{2V(r; a, b)}{k} \Psi_i \left[j_\ell(kr) \cos \delta_\ell(r) - n_\ell(kr) \sin \delta_\ell(r) \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

The last equation does not include explicitly the amplitude function $A_{\ell; k_i}(r)$. Note also that the last equation can be re-written in a number of different forms which are more convenient in some cases. Here we do not wish to discuss this interesting problem.

Another simplification follows from the fact that the wavelength λ of the light quantum which produces photodetachment of the H^- ion is $\geq 12,000 \text{ \AA}$, while the effective ‘scattering zone’ R_s for the process, Eq.(2) is less than 15 - 25 \AA . Therefore, we can apply the approximation based on the fact that the dimensionless parameter $\frac{R_s}{\lambda} \ll 1$. This approximation allows one to replace the r -dependent phase factor $\delta_{\ell;k_i}(r)$ in the wave function by its asymptotic value $\delta_{\ell;k_i}(+\infty)$ determined at the infinity. In other words, the phase of the wave function does not depend upon r , i.e. it is a constant. The wave function $\Psi_{\ell;k_i}(r)$ is represented in the form $\Psi_{\ell;k_i}(r) = A_{\ell;k_i}(r) \exp(i\delta_{\ell;k_i})$, where $\delta_{\ell} = \delta_{\ell}(r = +\infty)$ is a constant (or almost a constant). This drastically simplifies numerical computations of all integrals needed in Eq.(51).

Finally, it should be noted that based on the approach developed here we can evaluate the total cross-section of inverse bremsstrahlung for electrons scattered by the neutral hydrogen atoms in stellar photospheres. Currently, the progress in this important area of human knowledge is restricted only by the lack of funding.

X. CONCLUSION

We have discussed the absorption of infrared and visible radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ions H^- . Such an absorption of radiation plays an extremely important role in Solar and stellar astrophysics. In general, absorption of infrared and visible radiation by the H^- ions is mainly related with the photodetachment of these ions. The absorption of radiation by electrons scattered in the field of the neutral hydrogen atom(s) also contributes to the radiation absorption coefficient at very large wavelength $\lambda \geq 12,000 \text{ \AA}$ (infrared radiation). The photodetachment cross-section of the H^- ion has been determined with the use of highly accurate variational wave functions constructed for this ion. We explicitly discuss all details important in calculations of the photodetachment cross-section with the use of highly accurate (or truly correlated) wave functions of the two-electron (or three-body) H^- ion. To evaluate the total cross-section of electron scattering in the field of the polarization potential of the neutral H atom we propose to apply the variable phase method.

As we mentioned above all additional details of our methods and computational results will be discussed in our next study [27]. Originally, my plan was to combine general theory and numerical results in one paper, but later it was found that the volume of such a

manuscript exceeds 50 pages (and many important details are still missing). Furthermore, by performing actual calculations I found that some formulas derived for our method(s) are very good and compact only in theory. Actual calculations with the use of these formulas lead to slow convergence, numerical instabilities and other problems. For instance, after numerous trials and corrections I have replaced the final state wave functions of the ‘free’ electron by a different system of functions which is complete and more convenient in numerical applications. This will be discussed in our next study [27]. After a few such simple modifications the agreement between our photodetachment cross-section and results obtained in earlier works for different wavelength can be considered as very good, but our method is substantially more accurate and complete.

Appendix

In this Appendix we want to test our variational wave functions of the negatively charged hydrogen ${}^\infty\text{H}^-$, ${}^3\text{H}^-$, ${}^2\text{H}^-$ and ${}^1\text{H}^-$ ions in actual computations of the lowest order QED corrections for these two-electron systems. The lowest order QED corrections for the ground 1^1S -state in the negatively charged hydrogen ${}^\infty\text{H}^-$ ion is determined by the formula (in atomic units)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E^{QED} &= \frac{8}{3}Q\alpha^3 \left[\frac{19}{30} - 2 \ln \alpha - \ln K_0 \right] \langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN}) \rangle + \alpha^3 \left[\frac{164}{15} + \frac{14}{3} \ln \alpha - \frac{10}{3} S(S+1) \right] \langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{ee}) \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{7}{6\pi} \alpha^3 \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{ee}^3} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where α is the fine structure constant, Q is the nuclear charge (in atomic units) and S is the total electron spin. For the ground states in all H^- ions considered in this study we have $S = 0$ (singlet states) in Eq.(53). The notation $\ln K_0$ in this formula stands for the Bethe logarithm [25]. To simplify numerical calculations in this Appendix one can take the value of $\ln K_0$ from Table 3 in [5]. The paper [5] also contains references to earlier works in which the formula, Eq.(53), and all following expressions were derived.

For the two-electron ions with finite nuclear mass we need to evaluate the corresponding recoil correction to the lowest-order QED correction. Such a correction is calculated with the use of the formula (in atomic units)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_M^{QED} &= \Delta E_\infty^{QED} - \left(\frac{2}{M} + \frac{1}{M+1} \right) \Delta E_\infty^{QED} + \frac{4\alpha^3}{3M} \left[\frac{37}{3} - \ln \alpha - 4 \ln K_0 \right] \langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{7\alpha^3}{3\pi M} \left\langle \frac{1}{r_{eN}^3} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where $M \gg m_e$ is the nuclear mass. All expectation values in this equation must be determined for the real two-electron ions (with the finite nuclear masses). The inverse mass $\frac{1}{M}$ is a small dimensionless parameter which for the ions considered in this study is smaller than $\leq 5 \cdot 10^{-4}$. The last terms in both Eq.(53) and Eq.(54) are usually called the Araki-Sucher terms, or Araki-Sucher corrections, since this correction was obtained and investigated for the first time in papers by Araki and Sucher. The expectation value of the term $\langle \frac{1}{r_{ee}^3} \rangle$ is singular, i.e. it contains the regular and non-zero divergent parts. General theory of the singular exponential integrals was developed in our earlier works (see, e.g., [26] and [5]). In particular, in [5] we have shown that the $\langle \frac{1}{r_{ee}^3} \rangle$ expectation value is determined from the following formula

$$\langle \frac{1}{r_{ee}^3} \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{r_{ee}^3} \rangle_R + 4\pi \langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{ee}) \rangle \quad (55)$$

where $\langle \frac{1}{r_{ee}^3} \rangle_R$ is the regular part of this expectation value and $\langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{ee}) \rangle$ is the expectation value of the electron-electron delta-function. Briefly, we can say that the overall contribution of the singular part of the $\frac{1}{r_{ee}^3}$ operator is reduced to the expectation value of the corresponding delta-function.

It follows from the formulas Eqs.(53) and (54) that highly accurate evaluation of the lowest-order QED correction is a very effective test of the non-relativistic wave functions which can be later used in numerical calculations of the photodetachment cross-section(s) and absorption coefficients of the negatively charged hydrogen ions. Indeed, the formulas Eqs.(53) and (54) contain the electron-nuclear and electron-electron delta-functions. To prove the correctness of these values and approximately evaluate the total number of stable decimal digits in each of the delta-functions one need to compute the corresponding cusp values and compare them with the expected (or predicted) values. This essentially coincides with the standard test for the non-relativistic wave functions discussed in the main text. In addition to these delta-functions Eqs.(53) and (54) include the $\langle \frac{1}{r_{ij}^3} \rangle$ expectation value which is singular. Accurate numerical evaluation of the $\langle \frac{1}{r_{eN}^3} \rangle$ and $\langle \frac{1}{r_{ee}^3} \rangle$ expectation values is not an easy task (see, e.g., [5]), but it provides another effective test for the non-relativistic wave function. Our results for the lowest-order QED correction for the ${}^\infty\text{H}^-$, ${}^3\text{H}^-$, ${}^2\text{H}^-$ and ${}^1\text{H}^-$ ions can be found in Table V (in atomic units). The lowest-order QED corrections ΔE^{QED} and ΔE_M^{QED} determined for each of these ion with the finite nuclear mass are the most accurate values to-date. In reality, they coincide in seven first decimal digits with

the corresponding values from [5], where we used less accurate variational wave functions. Very likely, the lowest-order QED corrections are of interest by themselves, but we have calculated them here only to prove the high quality of our non-relativistic wave functions.

-
- [1] V.V. Sobolev, *The Course of Theoretical Astrophysics*, (Nauka, Moscow, (1967)) [in Russian].
 - [2] L.H. Aller, *The Atmospheres of the Sun and Stars*, 2nd Ed., (Ronald Press, New York, 1963).
 - [3] L. Motz, *Astrophysics and Stellar Structure*, (Ginn and Company, Waltham, MA, 1970).
 - [4] H. Zirin, *The Solar Atmospheres*, (Ginn and Company, Waltham MA, 1966).
 - [5] A.M. Frolov, Phys. Lett. A **345**, 173 (2005).
 - [6] S. Chandrasekhar, Astrophys. J. **102**, 223 (1945).
 - [7] S. Chandrasekhar and F.H. Breen, Astrophys. J. **103**, 41 (1946).
 - [8] S. Chandrasekhar, *Selected Papers. Radiative Transfer and Negative Ion of Hydrogen*, vol. **2**, (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1989).
 - [9] T. Ohmura and H. Ohmura, Phys. Rev. **118**, 154 (1960).
 - [10] T.L. John, Monthly Notices **121**, 41 (1960).
 - [11] A.K. Bhatia and R.J. Drachman, Phys. Rev. A **32**, 3745 (1985).
 - [12] C. Froese Fisher, T. Brage and P. Jonsson, *Computational Atomic Structure. An MCHF Approach*, (IOP Publishing, Bristol UK, 1997), Chpt. 10.
 - [13] A.M. Frolov and V.H. Smith, Jr., J. Chem. Phys. **36**, 1739 (2003).
 - [14] A.M. Frolov and D.M. Wardlaw, Yad. Fiz. **77** (2014), in press [Physics of Atomic Nuclei **77** (2014)], in press. (see also: *Three-particle integrals with the Bessel functions*, ArXiv: 1211.4536 [math-ph.] (2012)).
 - [15] A.M. Frolov, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 2436 (1998).
 - [16] V.B. Berestetskii, E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics*, (Moscow, Nauka (Science), 1980, in Russian).
 - [17] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics. Non-Relativistic Theory*, (3rd. ed., Oxford, England, Pergamon Press (1977)).
 - [18] M.E. Rose, *Elementary Theory of Angular Momentum*, (Dover, New York, (1995)).
 - [19] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products*, (6th revised ed., Academic Press, New York, (2000)).

- [20] *Wolfram Mathematica 8 (Home Edition)*, <http://www.wolfram.com> (2011).
- [21] *Maple 15*, <http://www.maplesoft.com> (2011).
- [22] T. Ohmura and H. Ohmura, *Astrophys. Journal* **131**, 6 (1960).
- [23] D. Consales, B. Canves and S. Williams, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 052726 (2011).
- [24] V.V. Babikov, *The Phase-Function Method in Quantum Mechanics*, *Sov. Phys. Uspekhi* **10**, 271 (1967).
- [25] H.A. Bethe and E.E. Salpeter, *Quantum Mechanics of One- and Two-Electron Atoms*, (Plenum Publ. Corp., New York, (1977)).
- [26] F.E. Harris, A.M. Frolov and V.H. Smith, Jr., *J. Chem. Phys.* **121**, 6323 (2004).
- [27] A.M. Frolov, *On the absorption of radiation by the negatively charged hydrogen ion. II. Photodetachment cross-section. Calculations and results.*, in preparation (2014).

TABLE I: The non-relativistic total energies E (in $a.u.$) determined with different number of basis functions N , the asymptotic values of the total energies $E(N = \infty)$ (in $a.u.$) and ionization/detachment potential χ_1 (in eV) of the hydrogen negatively charged ions (isotopes) in atomic units.

K	$E(^{\infty}\text{H}^-)$	$E(^3\text{H}^-)$
3500	-0.527751 016544 377196 590213	-0.527649 048201 920733 538766
3700	-0.527751 016544 377196 590333	-0.527649 048201 920733 538885
3840	-0.527751 016544 377196 590389	-0.527649 048201 920733 538983
4000	-0.527751 016544 377196 590446	-0.527649 048201 920733 539050
$E(N = \infty)$	-0.527751 016544 377196 59075(10)	-0.527649 048201 920733 539355(10)
χ_1	-0.755143 903366 701124 238	-0.754843 900893 517142 964
K	$E(^2\text{H}^-)$	$E(^1\text{H}^-)$
3500	-0.527598 324689 706528 595827	-0.527445 881119 767477 071142
3700	-0.527598 324689 706528 595960	-0.527445 881119 767477 071261
3840	-0.527598 324689 706528 596044	-0.527445 881119 767477 071359
4000	-0.527598 324689 706528 596110	-0.527445 881119 767477 071425
$E(N = \infty)$	-0.527598 324689 706528 596355(10)	-0.527445 881119 767477 071665(10)
χ_1	-0.754694 721951 430623 253	-0.754246 603605 793792 368

TABLE II: Convergence of the expectation values of some electron-nuclear and electron-electron properties for the $^{\infty}\text{H}^-$ ion. All values are shown in atomic units and N is the total number of basis functions used.

	$\langle r_{eN} \rangle$	$\langle r_{ee}^2 \rangle$	$\langle r_{eN}^{-2} \rangle$
3500	2.710178278444420365208	25.20202529124033189252	1.11666282452545228
3700	2.710178278444420365265	25.20202529124033189563	1.11666282452544953
3840	2.710178278444420365286	25.20202529124033189660	1.11666282452544421
4000	2.710178278444420365301	25.20202529124033189738	1.11666282452543860
	$\tau_{eN}^{(a)}$	$\langle -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_e^2 \rangle$	$\langle \mathbf{r}_{eN} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ee} \rangle$
3500	0.6451445424122193894344	0.26387550827218859829282	12.60101264562016594726
3700	0.6498715811920881669355	0.26387550827218859829304	12.60101264562016594781
3840	0.6498715811920881669351	0.26387550827218859829337	12.60101264562016594830
4000	0.6498715811920881669349	0.26387550827218859829358	12.60101264562016594869

^(a)The notation τ_{eN} stands for the $\langle \cos(\mathbf{r}_{31} \wedge \mathbf{r}_{21}) \rangle = \langle \cos(\mathbf{r}_{eN} \wedge \mathbf{r}_{ee}) \rangle$ expectation value. Note also, that for all two-electron atomic systems $2\tau_{eN} + \tau_{ee} = 1 + 4\langle f \rangle$, where $\langle f \rangle$ is the expectation value mentioned in Table III.

TABLE III: The expectation values of some propeties (in atomic units) for the ${}^{\infty}\text{H}^-$ ion.

$\langle r_{eN}^{-2} \rangle$	$\langle r_{ee}^{-2} \rangle$	$\langle r_{eN}^{-1} \rangle$	$\langle r_{ee}^{-1} \rangle$
1.11666282452542572	0.15510415256242466	0.6832617676515272224	0.311021502214300052
$\langle r_{eN} \rangle$	$\langle r_{ee} \rangle$	$\langle r_{eN}^2 \rangle$	$\langle r_{ee}^2 \rangle$
2.7101782784444203653	4.4126944979917277211	11.913699678051262274	25.202025291240331897
$\langle r_{eN}^3 \rangle$	$\langle r_{ee}^3 \rangle$	$\langle r_{eN}^4 \rangle$	$\langle r_{ee}^4 \rangle$
76.02309704902717911	180.60560023017477483	645.144542412219375	1590.09460393948530
$\langle [r_{32}r_{31}]^{-1} \rangle$	$\langle [r_{eN}r_{ee}]^{-1} \rangle$	$\langle [r_{32}r_{31}r_{21}]^{-1} \rangle$	$\langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{eeN}) \rangle$
0.38262789034020545	0.25307756706456687	0.20082343962918944	$5.129778775490 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$\langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN}) \rangle$	$\nu_{eN}^{(a)}$	$\langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN}) \rangle$	$\nu_{ee}^{(a)}$
0.1645528728473590	-1.00000000001778	$2.737992126104611 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.500000002446
τ_{eN}	τ_{ee}	$\langle f \rangle$	$\langle \mathbf{r}_{31} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{32} / r_{31}^3 \rangle$
0.6498715811920881669	-0.1051476935659779011	0.048648867204549608205	-0.4642618530806317014
$\langle -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_e^2 \rangle$	$\langle -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_N^2 \rangle$	$\langle \nabla_e \cdot \nabla_e \rangle$	$\langle \nabla_e \cdot \nabla_N \rangle$
0.2638755082721885983	0.560630798396681918	0.0328797818523047217	-5.60630798396681918
$\langle \mathbf{r}_{eN} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ee} \rangle$	$\langle (r_{eN}^{-3})_R \rangle$	$\langle (r_{ee}^{-3})_R \rangle$	$\langle r_{eN}^{-3} \rangle$
12.601012645620165948	-3.43559485054432	0.064307887285283	-1.36776246468689

^(a)The expected cusp values (in *a.u.*) for the ${}^{\infty}\text{H}^-$ ion are $\nu_{eN} = -1.0$ and $\nu_{ee} = 0.5$ (exactly).

TABLE IV: Convergence of the expectation values of the electron-nuclear and electron-electron delta-functions ($\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN})\rangle$ and $\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{ee})\rangle$) and regular parts of the inverse cubic expectation values ($\langle r_{eN}^{-3}\rangle_R$ and $\langle r_{ee}^{-3}\rangle_R$) for the ${}^3\text{H}^-$, ${}^2\text{H}^-$ and ${}^1\text{H}^-$ ions. All values are shown in atomic units and N is the total number of basis functions used.

	${}^3\text{H}^-$ (tritium)	${}^2\text{H}^-$ (deuterium)	${}^1\text{H}^-$ (protium)
	$\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN})\rangle$	$\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN})\rangle$	$\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{eN})\rangle$
3500	0.16446163681098	0.16441626335994	0.16427994412837
3700	0.16446163681128	0.16441626336024	0.16427994412867
3840	0.16446163681069	0.16441626335966	0.16427994412847
4000	0.16446163681105	0.16441626336002	0.16427994412844
	$\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{ee})\rangle$	$\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{ee})\rangle$	$\langle\delta(\mathbf{r}_{ee})\rangle$
3500	$2.735845627669\cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.734778360513\cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.731572792726\cdot 10^{-3}$
3700	$2.735845627652\cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.734778360496\cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.731572792710\cdot 10^{-3}$
3840	$2.735845627712\cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.734778360555\cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.731572792769\cdot 10^{-3}$
4000	$2.735845627776\cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.734778360619\cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.731572792833\cdot 10^{-3}$
	$\langle r_{eN}^{-3}\rangle_R$	$\langle r_{eN}^{-3}\rangle_R$	$\langle r_{eN}^{-3}\rangle_R$
3500	-3.43332137343396	-3.43219080091021119	-3.4287944147910614
3700	-3.43332137346264	-3.43219080093883454	-3.4287944148194641
3840	-3.43332137341561	-3.43219080089185737	-3.4287944147726374
4000	-3.4333213734460	-3.43219080092215666	-3.4287944148027678
	$\langle r_{ee}^{-3}\rangle_R$	$\langle r_{ee}^{-3}\rangle_R$	$\langle r_{ee}^{-3}\rangle_R$
3500	$6.427894892817\cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.426455565395\cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.422130608689\cdot 10^{-2}$
3700	$6.427894893018\cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.426455565595\cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.422130608885\cdot 10^{-2}$
3840	$6.427894892413\cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.426455564991\cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.422130608281\cdot 10^{-2}$
4000	$6.427894892942\cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.426455564520\cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.422130608203\cdot 10^{-2}$

TABLE V: The Bethe logarithm (in *a.u.*) and lowest order QED corrections E^{QED} and E_M^{QED} (in *MHz*) for the ground 1^1S -state(s) in the ${}^\infty\text{H}^-$, ${}^3\text{H}^-$, ${}^2\text{H}^-$ and ${}^1\text{H}^-$ ions.

	${}^\infty\text{H}^-$	${}^3\text{H}^-$ (tritium)	${}^2\text{H}^-$ (deuterium)	${}^1\text{H}^-$ (protium)
$\ln K_0$ (<i>a.u.</i>)	2.993004415	2.993011414	2.993014897	2.993025369
ΔE^{QED}	8215.203465	8210.661159	8208.402174	8201.615291
ΔE_M^{QED}	8215.203465	8207.191206	8203.207102	8191.239514