
PAIR FRAMES

ABOLHASSAN FERAYDOONI AND AHMAD SAFAPOUR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, a new concept related to the frame theory is introduced; the notion of *pair frame*. By investigating some properties of such frames, it is shown that pair frames are a generalization of ordinary frames. Some classes of pair frames are considered such as (p, q) -pair frames and *near identity pair frames*.

1. Introduction

In 1946, Gabor [18] introduced a method for reconstructing functions (signals) using a family of elementary functions. Later in 1952, Duffin and Schaeffer [11] presented a similar tool in the context of nonharmonic Fourier series and this is the starting point of frame theory. After some decades, Daubechies, Grossmann and Meyer [10] announced formally the definition of frame in the abstract Hilbert spaces.

In the past two decades, frame theory has become an interesting and fruitful field of mathematics with abundant applications in other branches of sciences. There are numerous applications of operators in the definition of pair frame, multiplier operators. As a particular way to implement time-variant filters, Gabor frame multipliers are used [13]; also known as Gabor filters [24]. Such operators find applications in psychoacoustics [3], denoising [21], computational auditory scene analysis [31], virtual acoustics [22] and seismic data analysis [23].

The main idea of the frame theory is reconstructing elements of a function space using some special subsets of it. The interested readers are referred to [6] and [4] for more details. Some generalizations of frame significance have been presented such as fusion frames (frame of subspaces) [5], generalized frames [30] and continuous frames [17].

The present paper is organized as follows: In section 2, some conditions equivalent to the concept of frames are introduced. These equivalent conditions are motivations of defining *pair frames* and *near identity pair frames*. Some other equivalent conditions of frames, based on the pair frame operator, are derived there. The importance and role of pair frames in frame theory in three

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42C15.

Key words and phrases. Bessel sequence, pair Bessel, frame, pair frame, (p, q) -pair Bessel, (p, q) -pair frame, near identity pair frame.

useful aspect are considered. Also some frame-like inequalities for pair frames are given. The (p, q) -pair frames (Bessels) are introduced in section 3. The notion of *near identity pair frame* is presented in section 4 and some results related to this are proved.

Here, we recall the definition of frames and some preliminary notations. The notation \mathbb{I} denotes a countable index set and the subscripts i belong to \mathbb{I} . \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The bounded operators on \mathcal{H} denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. A frame in \mathcal{H} is defined as below.

Definition 1.1. A subset $F = \{f_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a **frame** for \mathcal{H} if there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$(1) \quad A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_i |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2.$$

Constants A and B are called lower and upper frame bounds, respectively. If the upper inequality in (1) holds, F is said to be a **Bessel sequence** with bound B .

If there is another Bessel sequence $G = \{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ satisfying

$$(2) \quad f = \sum_i \langle f, g_i \rangle g_i,$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$, G is said to be a **dual** of F . The above identity shows that for reconstructing $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we need a sequence of scalars $\{\langle f, f_i \rangle\}$ and another sequence $G = \{g_i\}$ of vectors of \mathcal{H} . Sometimes in this paper, F in the Definition 1.1 will be called an ordinary frame for \mathcal{H} in contrast of the other types of frames which will be introduced.

Proposition 1.2. A family $F = \{f_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} if and only if the operator

$$(3) \quad S(S_F) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad S(f) := \sum \langle f, f_i \rangle f_i,$$

is well-defined operator. In this situation, S is a bounded operator.

Proof. Suppose that $F = \{f_i\}$ is a Bessel sequence. Then there is a constant $B > 0$ such that

$$\sum |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2,$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore

$$U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2, \quad U(f) = \{\langle f, f_i \rangle\},$$

is a well-defined and bounded operator. Also its adjoint is

$$U^* : \ell^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad U^*(\{c_i\}) = \sum c_i f_i.$$

Hence the operator

$$S := U^*U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad S(f) = \sum \langle f, f_i \rangle f_i,$$

is a well-defined and bounded. For the converse, let the operator defined in (3) be a well-defined and bounded. Then for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\sum |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 = \langle S(f), f \rangle = |\langle S(f), f \rangle| \leq \|S\| \|f\|^2.$$

□

Let $G = \{g_i\}, F = \{f_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be Bessel sequences for \mathcal{H} . Define

$$U_G : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2, \quad U_G(f) = \{\langle f, g_i \rangle\},$$

$$T_F : \ell^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad T_F(\{c_i\}) = \sum c_i f_i.$$

For $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{N}(V)$ and $\mathcal{R}(V)$ denote the kernel and the range of T , respectively. A partial order on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ can be defined as follows. For $V, W \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we write $V \leq W$ ($V < W$) whenever for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\langle V(f), f \rangle \leq \langle W(f), f \rangle \quad (\langle V(f), f \rangle < \langle W(f), f \rangle, f \neq 0).$$

A self adjoint operator $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called nonnegative (positive) if for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$0 \leq \langle V(f), f \rangle \quad (0 < \langle V(f), f \rangle, f \neq 0).$$

2. Pair Frames

Next theorem presents some equivalent conditions for frames and is a useful motivation for defining pair frames and near identity pair frames.

Theorem 2.1. *For a sequence $F = \{f_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and its corresponding operator S_F defined in (3), the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) F is a frame for \mathcal{H} .
- (2) S_F is well defined, bounded and there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$A \leq S_F \leq B.$$

- (3) S_F is well defined, bounded and there exists $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|I - \alpha S_F\| < 1.$$

- (4) S_F is well defined, bounded and invertible.
- (5) S_F is well defined, bounded and surjective.

Proof. From Proposition 1.2 we know that the sequence F is a Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} if and only if S_F is a well defined and bounded operator. Using notations mentioned before and the proof of Proposition 1.2, it follows that there is a constant $B > 0$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$0 \leq \sum_i |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

Also since for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$(4) \quad \sum_i |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 = \langle S_F(f), f \rangle,$$

then

$$0 \leq S_F \leq B.$$

(1) \Leftrightarrow (2). F is a Bessel sequence if and only if S_F is well defined and $0 \leq S_F \leq B$. The inequality notion of operators on Hilbert spaces and equation (4) prove the equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3). It is proved in the standard textbooks of frame theory (see for example [6]). But we restate the proof here. Since $A \leq S_F \leq B$, then

$$\frac{A}{B} \leq \frac{1}{B} S_F \leq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$0 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{B} S_F \leq 1 - \frac{A}{B} \leq 1.$$

By putting $\alpha = \frac{1}{B}$, we get

$$\|I - \alpha S_F\| \leq 1 - \frac{A}{B} \leq 1.$$

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Let $\|I - \alpha S_F\| < 1$ for some $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Put $C = 1/\alpha$. Then a positive number D can be found such that $0 < D < C$. So

$$(5) \quad \|I - \frac{1}{C} S_F\| < D/C < 1.$$

By putting $A = C - D$, we have

$$0 \leq \|I - \frac{1}{C} S_F\| \leq \frac{C - A}{C} < 1.$$

On the other hand, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|f\| = 1$,

$$(6) \quad \frac{C - A}{C} = \frac{C - A}{C} \|f\|^2 = \langle \frac{C - A}{C} f, f \rangle.$$

Since S_F and hence $I - \frac{1}{C} S_F$ is self-adjoint, by using 5

$$(7) \quad \sup_{\|f\|=1} |\langle (I - \frac{1}{C} S_F)(f), f \rangle| = \|I - \frac{1}{C} S_F\| \leq \frac{D}{C} = \frac{C - A}{C}.$$

Self-adjointness of $I - \frac{1}{C} S_F$ implies that $\langle (I - \frac{1}{C} S_F)f, f \rangle$ to be a real number for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. By keeping this in mind and using (6) and (7) we get

$$\langle (I - \frac{1}{C} S_F)(f), f \rangle \leq \langle \frac{C - A}{C} f, f \rangle.$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|f\| = 1$. A simple calculation implies that for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|f\| = 1$

$$\langle Af, f \rangle \leq \langle S_F(f), f \rangle.$$

Therefore, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$(8) \quad A\|f\|^2 = \langle Af, f \rangle \leq \langle S_F(f), f \rangle.$$

Since for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$(9) \quad \langle Af, f \rangle = A\|f\|^2 \geq 0,$$

the relation (8) yields that $\langle S_F(f), f \rangle$ is nonnegative for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. So

$$\langle S_F(f), f \rangle = |\langle S_F(f), f \rangle|,$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. By putting $B = \|S_F\|$ we obtain

$$(10) \quad \langle S_F(f), f \rangle = |\langle S_F(f), f \rangle| \leq \|S_F\| \|f\|^2 = B\|f\|^2 = \langle Bf, f \rangle.$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Relations (8) together with (10) implies that

$$A \leq S_F \leq B.$$

(3) \Rightarrow (4). It is a well-known result in the operator theory (see [9]).

(4) \Rightarrow (2). It is proved in Proposition 2.7 of [25], but for the sake of completeness we restate the proof. The operator S_F is bounded and positive, therefore $\sigma(S_F) \subset [0, \infty)$. Invertibility of S_F implies that $\sigma(S_F)$ does not contain zero. We know that $\sigma(S_F)$ is compact. Hence there are nonnegative numbers A and B with $A \leq B < \infty$ such that $\sigma(S_F) \subset [A, B]$. Since $0 \notin \sigma(S_F)$ we can choose $A > 0$. Therefore $A \leq S_F \leq B$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). It is obvious.

(5) \Rightarrow (4). It is enough to show that S_F is one to one. Since S_F is self adjoint and onto then

$$\mathcal{N}(S_F) = \mathcal{N}(S_F^*) = \mathcal{R}(S_F)^\perp = \{0\}.$$

□

Well definedness and invertibility of S_F in Theorem 2.1 is the essence definition of pair Bessels and pair frames.

Definition 2.2. For two sequences $F = \{f_i\}$, $G = \{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and a scalar sequence $m = \{m_i\}$, we say the triple (m, G, F) is an **m -pair Bessel** for \mathcal{H} if the operator

$$(11) \quad S_{mFG} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad S_{mFG}(f) = \sum_i m_i \langle f, g_i \rangle f_i,$$

is well-defined. i.e. the series converges for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. If the series converges unconditionally we will call (m, G, F) an **unconditional m -pair Bessel**. If $m = \{1\}$, (G, F) will be called a **pair Bessel**.

By principle of uniform boundedness, the operator S_{mFG} is bounded. For $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $F = \{f_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$, define

$$VF = \{Vf_i\}, \quad mF = \{m_i f_i\}.$$

Clearly $S_{mFG} = T_{mF}U_G$. This describes the reason of using the notation S_{mFG} as the operator associated to a pair Bessel (frame) (m, G, F) .

Definition 2.3. For that $F = \{f_i\}$, $G = \{g_i\}$ and $m = \{m_i\}$ as in Definition 2.2, let (m, G, F) be an m -pair Bessel for \mathcal{H} . We say that (m, G, F) is an **m -pair frame** for \mathcal{H} if the operator S_{mFG} defined in (11) is invertible. In the case of $m = \{1\}$, (G, F) will be said to be a **pair frame**.

Similar to the m -pair Bessels, with respect to the type of convergence of the series in (11), we can define **unconditional m -pair frame**. If (m, G, F) is an m -pair Bessel (frame) for \mathcal{H} , then $(\overline{m}G, F)$ and (G, mF) are pair frame (Bessel) for \mathcal{H} . Also, every pair Bessel (frame) is an m -pair frame (Bessel) by putting $m = \{1\}$. For this, sometimes instead of calling (m, G, F) an m -pair frame (Bessel), we call it a pair frame (Bessel) simply. For this, all results about pair frame (Bessel) are valid for m -pair frame (Bessel) and vice versa.

In the case that (m, G, F) is an m -pair Bessel, Balazs [2] called S_{mFG} a *multiplier operator*. Also the invertibility of these operators are studied in [28].

There are examples for which $S_{mFG}^* \neq S_{\overline{m}GF}$; even well-definedness of S_{mFG} does not imply the well-definedness of $S_{\overline{m}GF}$ in general [29, Remark 3.4]. The equality $S_{mFG}^* = S_{\overline{m}GF}$ holds under some certain conditions considered in Theorem 2.5. For proving that theorem, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. [19, 26, 27] *For a sequence $\{h_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\sum_i h_i$ converges unconditionally.
- (2) $\sum_j h_j$ converges for every $\{h_j\} \subset \{h_i\}$.
- (3) $\sum_j h_j$ converges weakly for every $\{h_j\} \subset \{h_i\}$.

Theorem 2.5. *For two sequences $F = \{f_i\}$, $G = \{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and a scalar sequence $m = \{m_i\}$,*

- (1) *If (m, G, F) and (\overline{m}, F, G) are pair Bessels for \mathcal{H} , then $S_{mFG}^* = S_{\overline{m}GF}$. Additionally, in this case when (m, G, F) is a pair frame, so is (\overline{m}, F, G) .*
- (2) *(m, G, F) is an unconditional pair Bessel (frame) for \mathcal{H} if and only if (\overline{m}, F, G) is an unconditional pair Bessel (frame) for \mathcal{H} . In this case $S_{mFG}^* = S_{\overline{m}GF}$.*

Proof. (1). Since (m, G, F) and (\overline{m}, F, G) are pair Bessels, S_{mFG} and $S_{\overline{m}GF}$ are well-defined and for every $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_{\overline{m}GF}(f), g \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_i \langle f, f_i \rangle g_i, g \right\rangle = \sum_i \langle \overline{m}_i \langle f, f_i \rangle g_i, g \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle f, g_i \rangle f_i = \langle f, S_{mFG}(g) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $S_{mFG}^* = S_{\overline{m}GF}$.

For the pair frame case, invertibility of S_{mFG} results invertibility of $S_{mFG}^* = S_{\overline{m}GF}$.

(2). Theorem 2.5 is proved in an informally published article [29]. For the sake of completeness the proof is stated completely here. (m, G, F) is an unconditional pair Bessel for \mathcal{H} if and only if for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\sum_i m_i \langle f, f_i \rangle g_i$ converges unconditionally for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. By Lemma 2.4 it is equivalent to the fact that $\sum_{i \in J} m_i \langle f, g_i \rangle f_i$ converges for every subset J of \mathbb{I} and $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Again by Lemma 2.4 this means that

$$\left\langle \sum_{i \in J} m_i \langle f, f_i \rangle g_i, g \right\rangle = \sum_{i \in J} \langle f, \overline{m}_i \langle g, f_i \rangle g_i \rangle.$$

for every subset J of \mathbb{I} and $G, F \in \mathcal{H}$. This means for every subset J of \mathbb{I} and $g \in \mathcal{H}$, $\sum_{i \in J} \overline{m}_i \langle g, f_i \rangle g_i$ converges weakly. So the above lemma implies that $S_{\overline{m}GF}$ is unconditionally well-defined. In the other word (\overline{m}, F, G) is an unconditional pair Bessel.

The frame case is a consequence of the invertibility of the adjoint of an invertible operator. \square

More studies on this field are done in [15], where the concept of adjoint of pair frames is introduced in Banach space setting. If we use only a single sequence $F = \{f_i\}$ in pair frame (Bessel) definition instead two sequence $F = \{f_i\}$, $G = \{g_i\}$ and put $m = \{1\}$, we see that frames (Bessel sequences) are merely pair frames (Bessels). In fact:

Proposition 2.6. *A sequence $F = \{f_i\} \in \mathcal{H}$ is a frame (Bessel sequence) for \mathcal{H} if and only if (F, F) is a pair frame (Bessel) for \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. It is an straightforward consequence of Theorem 2.1 and definition of pair frames. \square

First, the above proposition says that pair frames (Bessels) are generalizations of frames (Bessel sequences). But the main importance of pair frames is not this. **Second**, Pair frames help us to get new reconstruction formulas like as frames and bases. For $F = \{f_i\}, G = \{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and suppose that (G, F) is a pair frame for \mathcal{H} with the associated operator S . Invertibility of S is the key property of a pair frame (G, F) for obtaining reconstruction formulas:

$$(12) \quad f = \sum \langle f, g_i \rangle S^{-1} f_i, \quad f = \sum \langle f, S^{-1*} g_i \rangle f_i.$$

Advanced methods invented for computing inverse of frame operator and frame expansion, can be conveniently applied to compute the above expansions.

Third, in practise, what we deal with is pair frames not frames. Consider frame $F = \{f_i\}$ and its operator

$$Sf = \sum \langle f, f_i \rangle f_i.$$

Computing this expansion (operator) accompany some perturbations:

$$S'f = \sum \langle f, f'_i \rangle f''_i.$$

It is necessary S' be invertible to obtain reconstruction formulas like as (12). Well-definedness of \sum -expansion and invertability of the operator is elements of pair frame definition of (F', F'') ; where $F' = \{f'_i\}$ and $F'' = \{f''_i\}$.

Let $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The operator V is called bounded below if

$$0 < \inf\{\|V(f)\| \mid f \in \mathcal{H}, \|f\| = 1\}.$$

For $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, define

$$||V|| = \inf\{\|V(f)\| \mid f \in \mathcal{H}, \|f\| = 1\}.$$

Hence V is bounded below if and only if $0 < ||V||$. For constants $A, B > 0$, we write

$$A \leq ||V|| \leq B,$$

whenever for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$A\|f\| \leq \|V(f)\| \leq B\|f\|.$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) V is invertible.
- (2) V and V^* are bounded below.
- (3) V and V^* are injective and have closed ranges.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). When V is invertible so is V^* . Invertible operators are bounded below.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3). It is proved in [9, III.12.Ex5].

(3) \Rightarrow (1). It suffices to show that S is onto. Since V^* is one to one and V has closed range

$$\mathcal{R}(V) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(V)} = \mathcal{N}(V^*)^\perp = \mathcal{H}.$$

□

We combine Theorem 2.1, Lemma 2.7 and introduced notations to get some equivalent conditions for a Bessel sequence to be a frame based on its frame operator.

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose that $F = \{f_i\}$ is a Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $F = \{f_i\}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} .

(2) There exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$A \leq \|S_F\| \leq B.$$

(3) There exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$A \leq S_F \leq B.$$

(4) S_F is injective and has closed range.

(5) S_F is surjective.

(6) S_F is invertible.

Theorem 2.9. Let $F = \{f_i\}$, $G = \{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $m = \{m_i\}$ be a scalar sequence. Assume that (m, G, F) is a pair Bessel for \mathcal{H} .

(1) If there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$(13) \quad A\|f\|^2 \leq \left| \sum_i m_i \langle f, g_i \rangle \langle f_i, f \rangle \right|,$$

then (m, G, F) is a pair frame for \mathcal{H} . In this case we obtain a frame-like inequalities i.e. there will be a constant $B > 0$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$(14) \quad A\|f\|^2 \leq \left| \sum_i m_i \langle f, g_i \rangle \langle f_i, f \rangle \right| \leq B\|f\|^2.$$

(2) Additionally suppose that (\bar{m}, F, G) is a pair Bessel for \mathcal{H} . The triple (m, G, F) (or (\bar{m}, F, G)) is a pair frame for \mathcal{H} if and only if there are constants $A, B, A', B' > 0$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$(15) \quad A\|f\|^2 \leq \left| \sum_i m_i \langle f, g_i \rangle \langle f_i, f \rangle \right| \leq B\|f\|^2.$$

and

$$(16) \quad A'\|f\|^2 \leq \left| \sum_i \bar{m}_i \langle f, f_i \rangle \langle g_i, f \rangle \right| \leq B'\|f\|^2.$$

Proof. Since (m, G, F) is a pair Bessel for \mathcal{H} , S_{mFG} is well-defined and for $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\langle S_{mFG}(f), f \rangle = \sum_i m_i \langle f, f_i \rangle \langle f_i, f \rangle.$$

(1). By Cuachy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$(17) \quad A\|f\|^2 \leq |\langle S_{mFG}(f), f \rangle| \leq \|S_{mFG}(f)\| \|f\|.$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Also for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$(18) \quad A\|f\|^2 \leq |\langle f, S_{mFG}^*(f) \rangle| \leq \|S_{mFG}^*(f)\| \|f\|.$$

Relations (17) and (18) imply that S_{mFG} and S_{mFG}^* are bounded below. Therefore Lemma 2.7 shows that S_{mFG} is invertible and hence (m, G, F) is a pair

frame. Now, put $B = \|S_{mFG}\|$ to obtain (14).

(2). Since (\overline{m}, F, G) is a pair Bessel for \mathcal{H} , $S_{\overline{m}GF}$ is well-defined and for $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\langle S_{\overline{m}GF}(f), f \rangle = \sum_i \overline{m}_i \langle f, f_i \rangle \langle f_i, f \rangle.$$

Assume that (m, G, F) is a pair frame for \mathcal{H} . By Theorem 2.5 one can conclude that (\overline{m}, F, G) is also a pair frame for \mathcal{H} . Hence the operators S_{mFG} and $S_{\overline{m}GF}$ are invertible and therefore bounded below by Lemma 2.7. By this and the fact that S_{mFG} and $S_{\overline{m}GF}$ are bounded we conclude that there are constants $A, B, A', B' > 0$ such that relations (15) and (16) holds.

Conversely, assume that (15) and (16) holds for some constants $A, B, A', B' > 0$. Then both of S_{mFG} and $S_{\overline{m}GF}$ are bounded below and therefore by Lemma 2.7 they are invertible. Hence (m, G, F) and (\overline{m}, F, G) are pair frames for \mathcal{H} . \square

The frame inequality (1) can be written in the form

$$(19) \quad A\|f\|^2 \leq \left| \sum_i \langle f, f_i \rangle \langle f, f_i \rangle \right| \leq B\|f\|^2.$$

It can be seen that the inequalities in Theorem 2.9 are similar to the inequalities in ordinary frame definition (see(19)). In fact, if instead of using the *pairs* F and G , one put $F = G$ and $m = \{1\}$, then all of the relations (14),(15) and (16) coincide with the inequalities in the definition of frames (see(19)).

If $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, for the real constants A, B , let we write

$$(20) \quad A \leq |\langle V \rangle| \leq B,$$

whenever

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq |\langle V(f), f \rangle| \leq B\|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

When $V = V^*$ and $A, B \geq 0$,

$$A \leq V \leq B,$$

if and only if

$$A \leq |\langle V \rangle| \leq B.$$

Because

$$0 \leq \langle V(f), f \rangle = |\langle V(f), f \rangle|, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Namely, when $V = V^*$ and $A, B \geq 0$, the notations $A \leq V \leq B$ and $A \leq |\langle V \rangle| \leq B$ are the same. For example in the that F is a frame, put $V = S_F$. With this notations, we can summarize the above discussion in the following corollary.

Corollary 2.10. *Let $F = \{f_i\}, G = \{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $m = \{m_i\}$ be a scalar sequence. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) (m, G, F) and (\overline{m}, F, G) are pair frames for \mathcal{H} .
- (2) There exist constants $A, B, A', B' > 0$ such that

$$A \leq \| [S_{mFG}] \| \leq B, \quad A' \leq \| [S_{\overline{m}GF}] \| \leq B'.$$

(3) There exist constants $A, B, A', B' > 0$ such that

$$A \leq |\langle S_{mFG} \rangle| \leq B, \quad A' \leq |\langle S_{\overline{m}GF} \rangle| \leq B'.$$

- (4) S_{mFG} and $S_{\overline{m}GF}$ are injective and have closed ranges.
 (5) S_{mFG} and $S_{\overline{m}GF}$ are surjective.
 (6) S_{mFG} and $S_{\overline{m}GF}$ are invertible.

In the other words, corollary 2.10 extends the results of corollary 2.8 from frames to pair frames. In [14], where Banach pair frames is introduced, the results of corollary 2.10 are considered for Banach pair frames and the relation between concept of pair frames and some other definitions in the frame theory such as atomic decomposition and Banach frame are characterized.

3. (p, q) -Pair Frames

In this section, we introduce an important class of the pair Bessels and pair frames.

Definition 3.1. Let $F = \{f_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The sequence F is called a p -**frame** for \mathcal{H} if there are constants $A, B > 0$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$(21) \quad A\|f\|^p \leq \sum_i |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^p \leq B\|f\|^p.$$

A and B are called upper and lower p -frame bounds, respectively. If the right hand inequality of (21) is satisfied for some constant $B > 0$, F is called a p -**Bessel sequence** for \mathcal{H} with bound B .

p -frames in Banach spaces are considered in [8, 12]. Also, Bachoc, and Ehler [1] considered tight p -frames.

Definition 3.2. Let $F = \{f_i\}$, $G = \{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $m = \{m_i\}$ be a scalar sequence. If $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$, we say that (m, G, F) is an m - (p, q) -**pair Bessel** for \mathcal{H} if $m \in \ell^\infty$ and F, G are p -Bessel sequence and q -Bessel sequence, respectively. When $m = \{1\}$, (G, F) will be said to be a (p, q) -pair Bessel.

If $F = \{f_i\}$ is a Bessel sequence, it is a $(2, 2)$ -pair Bessel sequence. Hence Bessel sequences constitute a subclass of the pair Bessels. In what follows, we show that m - (p, q) -pair Bessels are really pair Bessels; in fact, they are unconditionally pair Bessels.

Theorem 3.3. Let $F = \{f_i\}$, $G = \{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $m = \{m_i\}$ be as Definition 3.2. If (m, G, F) is an m - (p, q) -pair Bessel for \mathcal{H} , then it is an unconditionally m -pair Bessel and

$$\|S_{mFG}\| \leq \|m\|_\infty B^{\frac{1}{p}} B'^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where B, B' are Bessel sequence bounds of $F = \{f_i\}$ and $G = \{g_i\}$, respectively.

Proof. According to the definition, F and G are p -Bessel sequence and q -Bessel sequence, respectively. For a finite set $\mathbb{J} \subset \mathbb{I}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$ put $g = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}} m_i \langle f, f_i \rangle g_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}} m_i \langle f, f_i \rangle g_i \right\|^2 &= |\langle g, g \rangle| = \left| \sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}} \langle m_i \langle f, f_i \rangle g_i, g \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}} |m_i \langle f, f_i \rangle \langle g_i, g \rangle| \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}} |m_i| |\langle f, f_i \rangle| |\langle g_i, g \rangle| \\ &\leq \left(\sup_i |m_i| \right) \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}} |\langle g_i, g \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\sup_i |m_i| \right) B'^{\frac{1}{q}} \|g\| \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}} m_i \langle f, f_i \rangle g_i$ converges unconditionally for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus S_{mFG} is well-defined unconditionally. The above computations imply that

$$\|S_{mFG}(f)\| = \left\| \sum_i m_i \langle f, f_i \rangle g_i \right\| \leq \|m\|_{\infty} B^{\frac{1}{p}} B'^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|.$$

□

In the case that (m, G, F) is an m - (p, q) -pair Bessel, (m, G, F) will be called an m - (p, q) -**pair frame** if S_{mFG} is invertible. In the other contexts p -Bessel sequence and q -Bessel sequence are called ℓ^p -Bessel sequence and ℓ^q -Bessel sequence. Theorem 3.3 shows that ℓ^p -Bessel sequences and ℓ^q -Bessel sequences are pairable i.e. a pair of sequences of these types can construct a pair Bessel. If we let $\ell = \ell^p$, then $\ell^* = \ell^q$ and ℓ, ℓ^* are pairable. For a more general scalar sequence space ℓ , it is proved that ℓ and ℓ^* are also pairable [15].

4. Near Identity Pair Frames

Christenson and Laugesen [7] introduced the notion of "approximately dual frames" in the context of ordinary Bessel sequences. Here we present a new notion similar to "approximately dual frames" which extends that notion. Also some results of [7] are generalized in this section.

Definition 4.1. Let $F = \{f_i\}, G = \{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $m = \{m_i\}$ be a scalar sequence. A pair Bessel (m, G, F) will be called a **near identity pair frame** for \mathcal{H} if for a nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$(22) \quad \|I - \alpha S_{mFG}\| < 1.$$

The triple (m, G, F) will be said to be a **positively near identity pair frame** for \mathcal{H} if $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and S_{mFG} is self adjoint.

In Theorem 4.3(1), it is proved that every near identity pair frame is really a pair frame. The operator S_{mFG} corresponding to a near identity pair frame

(m, G, F) can be regarded as a perturbation of the identity operator. With our definition, "approximately dual frames" are "positively near identity (2,2)-pair frame". In the other words, approximately dual frames are a very special case of pair frames or even near identity pair frames. Also every frame is a positively near identity (2,2)-pair frame; see 2.1(3). The following result shows that the nature of positively near identity pair frames are very similar to ordinary frames.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $F = \{f_i\}$, $G = \{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $m = \{m_i\}$ be a scalar sequence. (m, G, F) is a positively near identity pair frame for \mathcal{H} if and only if there are constants $A, B > 0$ such that*

$$A \leq S_{mFG} \leq B.$$

Proof. Since S_{mFG} is selfadjoint and α is positive, a reasoning like the proof of the equivalence (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) of Theorem 2.1, establishes the claim. It is enough to replace S_F with S_{mFG} . \square

Figure (1) shows the relations between some different kinds of frames proposed here.




Figure 1.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $F = \{f_i\}, G = \{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $m = \{m_i\}$ be a scalar sequence. Also suppose that $V, W \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

- (1) *If (m, G, F) is a near identity pair frame, then it is a pair frame.*
- (2) *If (m, G, F) is a pair Bessel, then (m, WG, VF) is a pair Bessel.*
- (3) *If (m, G, F) is a pair frame and V, W are invertible, then (m, WG, VF) is a pair frame.*

Proof. Recall that when (m, G, F) is a pair Bessel, then the operator S_{mFG} is well-defined.

(1). If

$$\|I - \alpha S_{mFG}\| < 1,$$

for some nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, then αS_{mFG} is invertible, [9, VII.2.1]. Since α is nonzero, then S_{mFG} is invertible and hence (m, G, F) is a pair frame.

(2). The equation

$$VS_{mFG}W^*(f) = \sum_i m_i V^* \langle W^* f, g_i \rangle f_i = \sum_i m_i \langle f, W g_i \rangle V f_i = S_{m, VF, WG}(f).$$

proves (2).

(3). We know that the invertibility of V , S_{mFG} and W imply the invertibility of $VS_{mFG}W^* = S_{m, VF, WG}$. This fact and prove (3). \square

Kutyniok and Okoudjou studied scalable frames [20], those frames $F = \{m_i f_i\}$ which can be a tight frame only by multiplying with a sequence of scalars, $F' = \{m_i f_i\}$. If this situation holds, the frame operator is identity and we do not need to compute inverse of frame operator. But, when we deal with a non-scalable frame, using method of Proposition 4.4. help us for obtaining a sequence of near identity pair frame operator converging to the identity operator. The importance of the "near identity pair frames" is that the inverse of its corresponding operator S_{mFG} , can be written in "Neumann series" as

$$S_{mFG}^{-1} = \alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I - \alpha S_{mFG})^n,$$

which is very useful in the computational aspects. For this types of frames next theorem gives a sequence of operators $\{J^{(N)}\}_{N=0}^{\infty}$ converging to the identity operator.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $F = \{f_i\}, G = \{g_i\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $m = \{m_i\}$ be a scalar sequence. Suppose that (m, G, F) is a near identity pair frame for some nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define*

$$(S_{mFG}^{-1})_N = \alpha \sum_{n=0}^N (I - \alpha S_{mFG})^n,$$

and let

$$J^{(N)} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad J^{(N)}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_i \langle f, g_i \rangle (S_{mFG}^{-1})_N f_i.$$

Then

$$\|I - J^{(N)}\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\|I - J^{(N)}\| \leq \|I - \alpha S_{mFG}\|^{N+1},$$

for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a sequence $F_N = \{f_{iN}\}_i \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that (m, G, F_N) is a near identity pair frame for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and their operators $S_{mF_N G} = J^{(N)}$ converges to identity operator as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. By the definition of near identity pair frames we have

$$\|I - \alpha S_{mFG}\| < 1.$$

Therefore,

$$S_{mFG}^{-1} = \alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I - \alpha S_{mFG})^n,$$

and its partial sum

$$(S_{mFG}^{-1})_N = \alpha \sum_{n=0}^N (I - \alpha S_{mFG})^n,$$

is convergent for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$J^{(N)}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_i \langle f, g_i \rangle (S_{mFG}^{-1})_N f_i = (S_{mFG}^{-1})_N S_{mFG}(f).$$

Therefore $J^{(N)}$ is well-defined for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} J^{(N)} &= (S_{mFG}^{-1})_N S_{mFG} \\ &= \left(\alpha \sum_{n=0}^N (I - \alpha S_{mFG})^n \right) S_{mFG} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^N (I - \alpha S_{mFG})^n \right) \alpha S_{mFG} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^N (I - \alpha S_{mFG})^n \right) (I - (I - \alpha S_{mFG})) \\ &= I - (I - \alpha S_{mFG})^{N+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|I - J^{(N)}\| \leq \|(I - \alpha S_{mFG})^{N+1}\| \leq \|I - \alpha S_{mFG}\|^{N+1}.$$

Since $\|I - \alpha S_{mFG}\| < 1$ by the assumption, then $\|I - J^{(N)}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. \square

The authors [14] have shown that the notion of pair frames generalizes some various types of frames. Some characterizations of Banach pair frames are presented in [16]. Adjoint of pair frames is considered by the authors in [15].

References

- [1] Bachoc C, Ehler M Tight p -fusion frames, Appl Comput Harmon, Anal, 2013, 35 no1: 1-15
- [2] Balazs P. Basic definition and properties of Bessel multipliers. J Math Anal Appl, 2007, 325: 571-585
- [3] Balazs P, Laback B, Eckel G, Deutsch W.A. Time-Frequency sparsity by removing perceptually irrelevant components using a simple model of simultaneous masking. IEEE Audio, Speech, Language Process, 2010, 18(1): 34-49.
- [4] Casazza P G. The art of frame theory. Taiwanese J Math, 2000, 4: 129-201
- [5] Casazza P G, Kutyniok G. Frames of subspaces. Contemp Math, 2004, 345: 87-113
- [6] Christensen O. Frames and Bases: An Introductory Course: Birkhuser, Boston, MA 2008
- [7] Christensen O, Laugesen R S. Approximately dual frames in Hilbert spaces and applications to Gabor frames. Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process, 2010, 9: 77-89
- [8] Christensen O, Stoeva D T. p -frames in separable Banach spaces. Adv Comput Math, 2003, 18: 117-126
- [9] Conway J B. A Course in Functional Analysis: New York. Springer-Verlag 1990
- [10] Daubechies I, Grossmann A, Meyer Y. Painless nonorthogonal expansions. J Math Phys, 1986, 27: 1271-1283
- [11] Duffin R J, Schaeffer A C. A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. Trans Amer Math Soc, 1952, 72: 341-366
- [12] Ehler M, Okoudjou K. Minimization of the probabilistic p -frame potential, J Stat Plann Inference, 2012, 142, no3: 645-659
- [13] Feichtinger H.G, Nowak K. A first survey of Gabor multipliers, Advances in Gabor Analysis. Appl Numer Harmon, Anal, Birkhuser, Boston, MA, 2003: 99-128.
- [14] Fereydooni A, Safapour A. Banach pair frames. (Preprint)
- [15] Fereydooni A, Safapour A, Rahimi A. Adjoint of pair frames. Politehn Univ Bucharest Sci Bull Ser A Appl Math Phys, 2013
- [16] Fereydooni A, Safapour A, Rahimi A. Characterizations of Banach pair frames. (Preprint)
- [17] Fornasier M, Rauhut H. Continuous frames, function spaces, and the discretization problem. J Fourier Anal Appl, 2005, 11(3): 245-287
- [18] Gabor D. Theory of communication. J Inst Elec Eng, 1946 93: 429-457
- [19] Heil C. A basis theory primer. Technical report, 1997. Available online at <http://www.math.gatech.edu/~heil/papers/bases.pdf>.
- [20] Kutyniok G, Okoudjou K A, Philipp F, Tuley E K. Scalable Frames. Linear Algebra Appl, 2013, 438: 2225-2238
- [21] Majdak P, Balazs P, Kreuzer W, Dorfler M. A time-frequency method for increasing the signal-to-noise ratio in system identification with exponential sweeps. Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, ICASSP 2011, Prag, 2011.
- [22] Majdak P, Balazs P, Laback B. Multiple exponential sweep method for fast measurement of head related transfer functions. J Audio Eng Soc. 2007, 55(7/8): 623-637.
- [23] Margrave G. F, Gibson P, Grossman J. P, Henley D. C, Iliescu V, Lamoureux M. P. The Gabor transform, pseudodifferential operators, and seismic deconvolution. Integrated Computer-Aided Engineering, 2005, 12(1): 43-55.
- [24] Matz G, Hlawatsch F. Linear time-frequency filters: online algorithms and applications. A. Papandreuou-Suppappola (ed.), Boca Raton (FL), CRC Press, Ch.6, 2002: 205-271.
- [25] Najati, A, Faroughi M H, Rahimi A. G -frames and stability of g -frames in Hilbert spaces. Methods Funct Anal Topology, 2008, 14: 271-286
- [26] Orlicz W. Uber unbedingte Konvergenz in Funktionenr aumen. I Stud Math, 1933, 4: 33-37

- [27] Pettis B J. On integration in vector spaces. Trans Am Math Soc, 1938, 44: 277-304
- [28] Stoeva D T, Balazs P. Invertibility of multipliers. Appl Comput Harmon Anal, 2011, 33: 292-299
- [29] Stoeva D T, Balazs P. Unconditional convergence and invertibility of multipliers. Arxiv preprint arXiv:0911.2783, 2009
- [30] Sun W. G -frames and g -Riesz bases. J Math Anal Appl, 2006, 322: 437-452
- [31] Wang D, Brown G. J. Computational Auditory Scene Analysis: Principles, Algorithms, and Applications. Wiley-IEEE Press, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF BASIC SCIENCES

ILAM UNIVERSITY

ILAM, IRAN

E-mail address: fereydooniman@yahoo.com, a.fereydooni@mail.ilam.ac.ir

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

VALI-E-ASR UNIVERSITY OF RAFSANJAN

RAFSANJAN, IRAN

E-mail address: safapour@vru.ac.ir