# SOME EXAMPLES OF SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTIONS AND TRANSLATING SOLITONS FOR LAGRANGIAN MEAN CURVATURE FLOW

Hiroshi Nakahara

Department of Mathematics Tokyo Institute of Technology 2-21-1 O-okayama, Megro Tokyo 152-8551 Japan

*E-mail address*: nakahara.h.ab@m.titech.ac.jp

November 27, 2024

#### Abstract

We construct new examples of self-similar solutions and translating solitons for Lagrangian mean curvature flow by extending the method of Joyce, Lee and Tsui [3]. Those examples include examples in which the Lagrangian angle is arbitrarily small as the examples of Joyce, Lee and Tsui [3].

## 1 Introduction

In recent years the Lagrangian mean curvature flow has been extensively studied, as it is a key ingredient in the Strominger-Yau-Zaslow Conjecture and Thomas-Yau Conjecture. Strominger-Yau-Zaslow Conjecture explains Mirror Symmetry of Calabi-Yau 3-folds. In Joyce, Lee and Tsui [3], many examples of self-similar solutions and translating solitons for Lagrangian mean curvature flow are constructed. Those Lagrangian submanifold L are the total space of a 1-parameter family of quadrics  $Q_s$ ,  $s \in I$ , where I is an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ . In this paper, we construct new examples of those Lagrangian submanifolds that link up with the examples of Lagrangian submanifolds given in [1], [2], [3], [4] and so on. To do so we improve theorems in [3] by describing Lagrangian submanifolds of the forms of Ansatz 3.1 and Ansatz 3.3 in [3]. Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Define a function  $c: L \to \mathbb{C}$ by the relation that

$$\mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}z_n |_L \equiv c \cdot \mathrm{vol}_L$$

where  $\operatorname{vol}_L$  is the volume form of L. Then  $|c| \equiv 1$  holds. So we can define Lagrangian angle  $\theta: L \to \mathbb{R}$  or  $\theta: L \to \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  by the relation that

$$\mathrm{d}z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}z_n|_L \equiv e^{i\theta} \mathrm{vol}_L.$$

On a Lagrangian submanifold L in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , the mean curvature vector H is given by

$$H = J\nabla\theta,\tag{1}$$

where  $\nabla$  is the gradient on L and J is the standard complex structure in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The proof of (1) is given in [5].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . L is called a *self-similar solution* if  $H \equiv \alpha F^{\perp}$  on L for some constant  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $F^{\perp}$  is the orthogonal projection of the position vector F in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  to the normal bundle of L, and H is the mean curvature vector of L in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . It is called a *self-shrinker* if  $\alpha < 0$  and a *self-expander* if c > 0. On the other hand  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is called a *translating soliton* if there exists a constant vector T in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $H \equiv T^{\perp}$ , where  $T^{\perp}$  is the orthogonal projection of the constant vector T in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We call T a *translating vector*.

It is well-known that if F is a self-similar solution then  $F_t = \sqrt{2\alpha t}F$  is moved by the mean curvature flow, and if F is a translating soliton then  $F_t = F + tT$  is also moved by the mean curvature flow.

First we consider self-similar solutions.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $B, C, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, E > 1, a_1, \dots, a_n > 0, and <math>\alpha, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in \mathbb{R}$  be constants. Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a connected open neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $E\{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + 2a_k B\lambda_k s)\}e^{2B\alpha s} - 1$  and  $1/a_j + 2\lambda_j Bs$  are positive for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and any  $s \in I$ . Define  $r_1, \dots, r_n : I \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$r_j = \sqrt{\frac{1}{a_j} + 2\lambda_j Bs}.$$

Define  $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_n : I \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi_j = \psi_j + \int_0^s \frac{\lambda_j |B|}{(\frac{1}{a_j} + 2\lambda_j Bt) \sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + 2a_k B\lambda_k t)\}} e^{2B\alpha t} - 1} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Then the submanifold L in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  given by

$$L = \{ (x_1 r_1(s) e^{i\phi_1(s)}, \cdots, x_n r_n(s) e^{i\phi_n(s)}) | \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j^2 = C, x_j \in \mathbb{R}, s \in I \}$$

is an immersed Lagrangian submanifold, and its position vector F and mean curvature vector H satisfy  $CH \equiv \alpha F^{\perp}$ .

Remark 1.2.1. In the situation of Theorem 1.2, let  $I' \subset I$  be a subinterval such that  $r_j$  has positive lower and upper bounds. Put  $\alpha \neq 0, n \geq 2, \lambda_j > 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k < n$  and  $\lambda_j < 0$  for  $k < j \leq n$  where k is a positive integer less than n. Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  be a constant. Define

$$L_t = \{ (x_1 r_1(s) e^{i\phi_1(s)}, \cdots, x_n r_n(s) e^{i\phi_n(s)}) | \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j^2 = 2\alpha t, x_j \in \mathbb{R}, s \in I' \}.$$

The fact that the varifold  $\cup_t L_t$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$ , forms an eternal solution for Brakke flow without mass loss is proved similarly to Lee and Wang [4]. So see Lee and Wang [4]. By Theorem 1.2,  $L_t$  is a Lagrangian self-shrinker if t < 0, a Lagrangian self-expander if t > 0, and a Lagrangian cone if t = 0.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $a_j > 0$ ,  $\psi_j \in \mathbb{R}, @E > 1$ , and  $\alpha \ge 0$  be constants. Define  $r_j(s) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $r_j(s) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{a_j} + s^2}$ . Define  $\phi_j(s) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi_j(s) = \psi_j + \int_0^s \frac{|t|}{(\frac{1}{a_j} + t^2)\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + a_k t^2)\}e^{\alpha t^2} - 1}} dt.$$
 (2)

Then the submanifold L in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  given by

$$L = \{ (x_1 r_1(s) e^{i\phi_1(s)}, \cdots, x_n r_n(s) e^{i\phi_n(s)}) | \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 = 1, x_j \in \mathbb{R}, s \in \mathbb{R}, s \neq 0 \}$$

is an immersed Lagrangian diffeomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}-\{0\})\times S^{n-1}$ , and its position vector F and mean curvature vector H satisfy  $H \equiv \alpha F^{\perp}$ .

Remark 1.3.1. The manifold obtained as  $\lim_{E\to 1+0} L$  is the same as Theorem C in [3]. So the condition  $s \neq 0$  on the definition of L is not necessary if E = 1. If we put E = 1 then changing  $0 \mapsto -\infty$  in the integral of (2) gives the example of [2].

Remark 1.3.2. Define  $\bar{\phi}_1, \cdots, \bar{\phi}_n > 0$  by

$$\bar{\phi}_j = \int_0^\infty \frac{|t|}{(\frac{1}{a_j} + t^2)\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + a_k t^2)\}e^{\alpha t^2} - 1}} \mathrm{d}t.$$

We put  $\alpha > 0$ . From the proof of Theorem 1.3 and (6) in § 2 the Lagrangian angle  $\theta$  satisfies

$$\theta = \sum_{j} \phi_{j} + \arg(s + i \frac{|s|}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + a_{k}s^{2})\}e^{\alpha s^{2}} - 1}}) \text{ and}$$
  
$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{-\alpha |s|}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + a_{k}s^{2})\}e^{\alpha s^{2}} - 1}}.$$
(3)

It follows that  $\theta$  is strictly decreasing. We define the submanifold  $L_1 \subset L$ by restricting s > 0 and  $L_2 \subset L$  by restricting s < 0. Therefore we have  $L = L_1 \cup L_2$ . We rewrite  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  as the Lagrangian angle of  $L_1, L_2$  respectively. Then  $\lim_{s \to +\infty} \theta_1(s) < \theta_1(s) < \lim_{s \to 0+0} \theta_1(s)$  and  $\lim_{s \to 0-0} \theta_2(s) < \theta_2(s) < \lim_{s \to -\infty} \theta_2(s)$ . So from the first equation of (3) we have

$$\sum_{j} \psi_{j} + \sum_{j} \bar{\phi}_{j} < \theta_{1} < \sum_{j} \psi_{j} + \tan^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{E-1}}$$

and

$$\sum_{j} \psi_{j} + \pi - \tan^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{E-1}} < \theta_{2} < \sum_{j} \psi_{j} + \pi - \sum_{j} \bar{\phi}_{j}.$$

Therefore by choosing  $\tan^{-1}(1/\sqrt{E-1})$  close to 0, that is, choosing E close to  $\infty$ , the oscillation of the Lagrangian angle of  $L_1, L_2$  can be made arbitrarily small. Furthermore  $\Phi : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (\bar{\phi}_1, \dots, \bar{\phi}_n)$  gives a diffeomorphism

$$\Phi: (0,\infty)^n \to \{(\bar{\phi}_1,\cdots,\bar{\phi}_n) \in (0,\tan^{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{E-1}})^n | 0 < \sum_j \bar{\phi}_j < \tan^{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{E-1}}\}.$$

We can prove that  $\Phi$  is a diffeomorphism similarly to the proof of Theorem D in [3]. So we omit the proof. Therefore by choosing  $\sum_j \bar{\phi}_j$  close to  $\tan^{-1}(1/\sqrt{E-1})$ , the oscillation of the Lagrangian angle of  $L_1, L_2$  can also be made arbitrarily small.

Remark 1.3.3. If we put B = 1/2,  $C = \lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n = 1$ ,  $\alpha \ge 0$  in the situation of Theorem 1.2 then L is the same as L in Theorem 1.3 where s > 0.

Next we turn to translating solitons.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $B, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, E > 1, \quad a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} > 0,$ and  $\alpha, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}, K \in \mathbb{C}$  be constants. Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a connected open neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(1+2a_kB\lambda_ks)\}e^{2B\alpha s} - 1$  and  $1/a_j + 2\lambda_j Bs$  are positive for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and any  $s \in I$ . Define  $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} : I \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$r_j = \sqrt{\frac{1}{a_j} + 2\lambda_j Bs}.$$

Define  $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_{n-1} : I \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi_j = \psi_j + \int_0^s \frac{\lambda_j |B|}{(\frac{1}{a_j} + 2\lambda_j Bt) \sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 + 2a_k B\lambda_k t)\}e^{2B\alpha t} - 1}} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Then the submanifold L in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  given by

$$L = \{ (x_1 r_1(s) e^{i\phi_1(s)}, \cdots, x_{n-1} r_{n-1}(s) e^{i\phi_{n-1}(s)}, -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j x_j^2 + Bs + 0 \}$$

$$i|B| \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1+2a_k B\lambda_k t)\}e^{2B\alpha t} - 1}} + K)|x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}, s \in I\}$$

is an immersed Lagrangian submanifold, and its mean curvature vector H satisfy  $H \equiv T^{\perp}$  where  $T = (0, \dots, 0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} > 0$ ,  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}, @E > 1$ , and  $\alpha \ge 0$  be constants. Define  $r_j(s) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $r_j(s) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{a_j} + s^2}$ . Define  $\phi_j(s) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi_j(s) = \psi_j + \int_0^s \frac{|t|}{(\frac{1}{a_j} + t^2)\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(1 + a_k t^2)\}e^{\alpha t^2} - 1}} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Then the submanifold L in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  given by

$$L = \{ (x_1 r_1(s) e^{i\phi_1(s)}, \cdots, x_{n-1} r_{n-1}(s) e^{i\phi_{n-1}(s)}, -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} s^2 + i \int_0^s \frac{|t| \mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1+a_k t^2)\} e^{\alpha t^2} - 1}} ) |x_1 \cdots, x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}, s \in \mathbb{R}, s \neq 0 \}$$

is an immersed Lagrangian diffeomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R} - \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , and its mean curvature vector H satisfy  $H \equiv T^{\perp}$  where  $T = (0, \dots, 0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

Remark 1.5.1. If we put  $\psi_1 = \cdots = \psi_{n-1} = 0$  then the manifold obtained as  $\lim_{E \to 1+0} L$  is the same as Theorem G in [3]. So the condition  $s \neq 0$  on the definition of L is not necessary if E = 1.

Remark 1.5.2. We define the submanifold  $L_1 \subset L$  by restricting s > 0 and  $L_2 \subset L$  by restricting s < 0. Similarly to Remark 1.3.2 if we fix  $\alpha > 0$  then by choosing the parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$  or E > 1, the oscillation of the Lagrangian angle of  $L_1, L_2$  can be made arbitrarily small.

Remark 1.5.3. If we put B = 1/2,  $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_{n-1} = 1$ , K = 0,  $\alpha \ge 0$  in the situation of Theorem 1.4 then L is the same as L in Theorem 1.5 where s > 0.

## 2 Proofs for self-similar solutions

In order to prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 we use the following Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 that are a slight generalization of Theorem A and Theorem B in [3]. The following Theorem 2.1 sets up the ordinary differential equations for immersed Lagrangian submanifolds diffeorphic to  $S^1 \times S^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$  or  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$  where  $1 \le m \le n$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval. Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, C \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ be constants, and  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  and  $f : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  be smooth functions. Suppose that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_j}{\mathrm{d}s} = \frac{\lambda_j f}{\overline{\omega_j}}, \quad j = 1, \cdots, n \tag{4}$$

hold in I. Then the submanifold L in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  given by

$$L = \{ (x_1\omega_1(s), \cdots, x_n\omega_n(s)) | \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j^2 = C, x_j \in \mathbb{R}, s \in I \}$$
(5)

is a Lagrangian submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , with Lagrangian angle

$$\theta(s) = \arg(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n f) \tag{6}$$

at  $(x_1\omega_1(s), \dots, x_n\omega_n(s)) \in L$ , so  $\theta$  is a function depending only on s, not on  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Further we have

$$H = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)$$

and

$$F^{\perp} = -\frac{C \operatorname{Im}(f)}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)$$

Remark 2.1.1. A direct calculation shows that

$$\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_j^2 x_j^2 |f|^2}{|\omega_j|^2}.$$

Remark 2.1.2. Let  $\tilde{\theta}: I \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function satisfying

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}s} = -\alpha \operatorname{Im}(e^{i\tilde{\theta}} \overline{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n}).$$

Put

$$f = e^{i\tilde{\theta}} \,\overline{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n}.$$

Then it is proved in [3] that L is a Lagrangian submanifold and L is a self-similar solution. This is Theorem A in [3].

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval. Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, C \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  be constants, and  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  and  $f : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  be smooth functions. Suppose that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_j}{\mathrm{d}s} = \frac{\lambda_j f}{\overline{\omega_j}}, \quad j = 1, \cdots, n$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\arg(\omega_1\cdots\omega_n f) = -\alpha \mathrm{Im}(f)$$

hold in I. Then the submanifold  $L_C$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  given by

$$L_C = \{ (x_1\omega_1(s), \cdots, x_n\omega_n(s)) | \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j^2 = C, x_j \in \mathbb{R}, s \in I \}$$

is a Lagrangian submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and its position vector F and mean curvature vector H satisfy  $CH \equiv \alpha F^{\perp}$ . Moreover, If  $|\omega_j|$  has positive lower and upper bounds and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, n \geq 2, \lambda_j > 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k < n$  and  $\lambda_j < 0$  for  $k < j \leq n$  where k is a positive integer less than n then the varifold  $\cup_t L_{2\alpha t}, -\infty < t < \infty$ , forms an eternal solution for Brakke flow without mass loss. The fact is proved similarly to Lee and Wang [4]. Then  $L_{2\alpha t}$  is a Lagrangian self-shrinker if t < 0, a Lagrangian self-expander if t > 0, and a Lagrangian cone if t = 0.

The following Theorem 2.3 gives the solution to ordinary deferential equations in Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.3.** In the situation of Theorem 2.1 write  $\omega_j := r_j e^{i\phi_j}$ , for functions  $r_1, \dots, r_n : I \to (0, \infty), \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n : I \to \mathbb{R}$ . Fix  $s_0 \in I$ . Define  $u : I \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$u(s) := 2 \int_{s_0}^s \operatorname{Re}(f(t)) \mathrm{d}t.$$

Then we have

$$r_j^2 = \alpha_j + \lambda_j u, \quad \phi_j = \psi_j + \int_{s_0}^s \frac{\lambda_j \operatorname{Im}(f(t))}{\alpha_j + \lambda_j u(t)} dt,$$

with  $\alpha_j = r_j^2(s_0), \quad \psi_j = \phi_j(s_0).$ 

Remark 2.3.1. Thus if f is explicitly given, the ordinary differential equation (4) is solved by Theorem 2.3.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. If we set

$$x_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda_n}(C - \lambda_1 x_1^2 - \dots - \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}^2)},$$

then  $(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, s)$  is a coordinate of L. With this coordinate we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{d}z_{1}\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{d}z_{n}\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}_{n})|_{L} \\ =& (\omega_{1}\mathrm{d}x_{1}+x_{1}\dot{\omega}_{1}\mathrm{d}s)\wedge(\overline{\omega_{1}}\mathrm{d}x_{1}+x_{1}\overline{\omega_{1}}\mathrm{d}s)+\cdots+\\ (\omega_{n-1}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}+x_{n-1}\dot{\omega}_{n-1}\mathrm{d}s)\wedge(\overline{\omega_{n-1}}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}+x_{n-1}\overline{\omega}_{n-1}\mathrm{d}s)+\\ & (-\frac{\lambda_{1}x_{1}}{\lambda_{n}x_{n}}\omega_{n}\mathrm{d}x_{1}-\cdots-\frac{\lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n}x_{n}}\omega_{n}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}+x_{n}\dot{\omega}_{n}\mathrm{d}s)\wedge\\ & (-\frac{\lambda_{1}x_{1}}{\lambda_{n}x_{n}}\overline{\omega_{n}}\mathrm{d}x_{1}-\cdots-\frac{\lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n}x_{n}}\overline{\omega_{n}}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}+x_{n}\overline{\omega}_{n}\mathrm{d}s)\\ =& 2\mathrm{Im}(x_{1}\omega_{1}\overline{\omega_{1}})\mathrm{d}x_{1}\wedge\mathrm{d}s+\cdots+2\mathrm{Im}(x_{n-1}\omega_{n-1}\overline{\omega_{n-1}})\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}\wedge\mathrm{d}s\\ & +2\mathrm{Im}(-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{n}}x_{1}\omega_{n}\overline{\omega_{n}})\mathrm{d}x_{1}\wedge\mathrm{d}s+\cdots+2\mathrm{Im}(-\frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n}}x_{n-1}\omega_{n}\overline{\omega_{n}})\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}\wedge\mathrm{d}s\\ =& 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$dz_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{n}|_{L}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \omega_{1} & \cdots & \cdots & x_{1}\dot{\omega}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \omega_{n-1} & x_{n-1}\dot{\omega}_{n-1} \\ -\frac{\lambda_{1}x_{1}}{\lambda_{n}x_{n}}\omega_{n} & \cdots & -\frac{\lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n}x_{n}}\omega_{n} & x_{n}\dot{\omega}_{n} \end{vmatrix} dx_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n-1} \wedge ds$$

$$= \frac{\omega_{1}\cdots\omega_{n}f}{\lambda_{n}x_{n}} \sum_{j} \frac{\lambda_{j}^{2}x_{j}^{2}}{|\omega_{j}|^{2}} dx_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n-1} \wedge ds.$$

It follows that L is a nonsingular immersed Lagrangian, with Lagrangian angle

$$\theta(s) = \arg(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n f)$$

at  $(x_1\omega_1(s), \cdots, x_n\omega_n(s))$ . Since

$$\mathrm{d}\theta = \dot{\theta}\mathrm{d}s,$$

and

$$\begin{split} \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \rangle &= \langle (x_1 \dot{\omega}_1(s), \cdots, x_n \dot{\omega}_n(s)), (0, \cdots, 0, \omega_j, 0, \cdots, 0, -\frac{\lambda_j x_j}{\lambda_n x_n} \omega_n) \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(x_j \dot{\omega}_j \overline{\omega_j} - \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_n} x_j \dot{\omega}_n \overline{\omega_n}) \\ &= x_j \lambda_j \operatorname{Re}(f - f) \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

for any  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , it follows that

$$\nabla \theta = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$H = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right).$$

The normal projection of the position vector F is computed by

$$\langle F, J \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \rangle = \langle (x_1 \omega_1, \cdots, x_n \omega_n), i(0, \cdots, 0, \omega_j, 0, \cdots, 0, -\frac{\lambda_j x_j}{\lambda_n x_n} \omega_n) \rangle$$
  
= 0,

and

$$\langle F, J \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle = \langle (x_1 \omega_1, \cdots, x_n \omega_n), i(x_1 \dot{\omega}_1(s), \cdots, x_n \dot{\omega}_n(s)) \rangle$$
  
= Re( $\sum x_j^2 \overline{\omega_j} \cdot i \dot{\omega}_j$ )  
=  $-C \operatorname{Im}(f).$ 

It follows that

$$F^{\perp} = -\frac{C \operatorname{Im}(f)}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Since we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r_j^2}{\mathrm{d}s} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}(\omega_j\overline{\omega_j}) = \lambda_j f + \lambda_j \overline{f} = 2\lambda_j \operatorname{Re}(f),$$

we obtain

$$r_j^2 = \alpha_j + \lambda_j u.$$

So we have

$$\dot{r}_j = \frac{\lambda_j \operatorname{Re} f}{r_j}.$$

By our assumption we have

$$\dot{\omega}_j = \frac{\lambda_j f}{\overline{\omega_j}}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\dot{r}_j e^{i\phi_j} + r_j i\dot{\phi}_j e^{i\phi_j} = \frac{\lambda_j f}{r_j e^{-i\phi_j}}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{\lambda_j \operatorname{Re}(f)}{r_j} + r_j i \dot{\phi}_j = \frac{\lambda_j f}{r_j}.$$

Then

$$\dot{\phi}_j = \frac{\lambda_j \operatorname{Im}(f)}{\alpha_j + \lambda_j u}.$$

Therefore

$$\phi_j = \psi_j + \int_{s_0}^s \frac{\lambda_j \operatorname{Im}(f(t))}{\alpha_j + \lambda_j u(t)} \mathrm{d}t.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

From Theorem 2.1 if  $\dot{\theta} = -\alpha \operatorname{Im}(f)$  then L is a self-similar solution. From Theorem 2.3 and (6),  $\dot{\theta} = -\alpha \operatorname{Im}(f)$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j} \frac{\lambda_j \operatorname{Im}(f)}{\alpha_j + 2\lambda_j \int_{s_0}^s \operatorname{Re}(f) \mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \operatorname{arg}(f) = -\alpha \operatorname{Im}(f).$$
(7)

Therefore if (7) holds then L is a self-similar solution. So we can get selfsimilar solutions by getting solutions of (7). For example if we put  $f \equiv i$  then f is a solution of (7). In this case if we put  $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_n = 0, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  then the construction reduces to that of Lee and Wang [4].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Define  $\omega_j : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  by  $\omega_j = r_j e^{i\phi_j}$ . Define  $f : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  by

$$f = \frac{\overline{\omega_j} \, \dot{\omega}_j}{\lambda_j}.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$f = B + i \frac{|B|}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + 2a_k B\lambda_k s)\}}e^{2B\alpha s} - 1}}.$$

Then  $f \neq 0$  in *I*. Apply Theorem 2.1 to the data  $\omega_j$ , *f* above. Then we get a Lagrangian submanifold *L* defined by (5). A direct calculation shows that *f* satisfies (7). So this completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Put  $I = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  or  $I = \mathbb{R}_{<0}$ . Define  $\omega_j : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  by  $\omega_j = r_j e^{i\phi_j}$ . Define  $f : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  by

$$f = \overline{\omega_j} \, \dot{\omega}_j.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$f = s + i \frac{|s|}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + a_k s^2)\}e^{\alpha s^2} - 1}}$$

Then  $f \neq 0$  in *I*. Apply Theorem 2.1 to the data  $\omega_j$ , *f* above and  $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n = C = 1$ . Then we get a Lagrangian submanifold *L* defined by (5). A direct calculation shows that *f* satisfies (7). So this completes the proof.

## **3** Proofs for translating solitons

In order to prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 we use the following Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 that are a slight generalization of Theorem A and Theorem B in [3]. The following Theorem 3.1 sets up the ordinary differential equations for immersed Lagrangian submanifolds diffeorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Fix  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be constants, I be an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1} : I \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\beta : I \to \mathbb{C}$  be smooth functions. Suppose that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_j}{\mathrm{d}s} = \frac{\lambda_j}{\overline{\omega_j}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}\beta}{\mathrm{d}s} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\beta}{\mathrm{d}s} \neq 0$$

hold in I. Then the submanifold L in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  given by

$$L = \{ (x_1\omega_1(s), \cdots, x_{n-1}\omega_{n-1}(s), -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j x_j^2 + \beta(s)) |$$

$$x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}, s \in I \}$$
(9)

is an immersed Lagrangian submanifolds diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with Lagrangian angle

$$\theta(s) = \arg(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n-1}\dot{\beta}). \tag{10}$$

Further we have

$$H = \frac{\theta}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)$$

and

$$T^{\perp} = \frac{-\alpha \operatorname{Im}(\dot{\beta})}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right),$$

where  $T = (0, \dots, 0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is a constant vector.

Remark 3.1.1. A direct calculation shows that

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j^2 x_j^2 |\dot{\beta}|^2}{|\omega_j|^2} + \left| -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j x_j^2 + \beta \right|^2.$$

Remark 3.1.2. Let  $\tilde{\theta}: I \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function satisfying

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\theta}}{\mathrm{d}s} = -\alpha \operatorname{Im}(e^{i\tilde{\theta}} \overline{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n-1}}).$$

Suppose that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\beta}{\mathrm{d}s} = e^{i\tilde{\theta}} \,\overline{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n-1}}.$$

hold in *I*. Then it is proved in [3] that *L* is a Lagrangian submanifold and *L* is a translating soliton with translating vector  $(0, \dots, 0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . This is Theorem G in [3].

The following Corollary 3.2 gives the solution to ordinary deferential equations in Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** In the situation of Theorem 3.1, write  $\omega_j := r_j e^{i\phi_j}$ , for functions  $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} : I \to (0, \infty), \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1} : I \to \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $u : I \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$u(s) := 2 \int_{s_0}^s \operatorname{Re}(\dot{\beta}(t)) \mathrm{d}t.$$

Then we have

$$r_j^2 = \alpha_j + \lambda_j u, \quad \phi_j = \psi_j + \int_{s_0}^s \frac{\lambda_j \operatorname{Im}(\beta(t))}{\alpha_j + \lambda_j u(t)} dt$$

with  $\alpha_j = r_j^2(s_0), \quad \psi_j = \phi_j(s_0).$ 

*Remark* 3.2.1. Thus if  $\beta$  is explicitly given, the ordinary differential equation (8) is solved by Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We consider the map

$$\iota: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times I \to \mathbb{C}^n$$

given by

$$\iota((x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1}),s) = (x_1\omega_1(s),\cdots,x_{n-1}\omega_{n-1}(s),\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j x_j^2 + \beta(s)).$$

Then we have  $L = \iota(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times I)$ . So  $(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, s)$  is a coordinate of L. With this coordinate we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{d}z_{1}\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{d}z_{n}\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}_{n})|_{L} \\ =& (\omega_{1}\mathrm{d}x_{1}+x_{1}\dot{\omega}_{1}\mathrm{d}s)\wedge(\overline{\omega_{1}}\mathrm{d}x_{1}+x_{1}\overline{\dot{\omega}_{1}}\mathrm{d}s)+\cdots+\\ (\omega_{n-1}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}+\dot{\omega}_{n-1}\mathrm{d}s)\wedge(\overline{\omega_{n-1}}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}+x_{n-1}\overline{\dot{\omega}_{n-1}}\mathrm{d}s)\\ &+(-\lambda_{1}x_{1}\mathrm{d}x_{1}-\cdots-\lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}+\dot{\beta}(s)\mathrm{d}s)\\ \wedge(-\lambda_{1}x_{1}\mathrm{d}x_{1}-\cdots-\lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}+\dot{\beta}(s)\mathrm{d}s)\\ =& 2i\mathrm{Im}(x_{1}\omega_{1}\overline{\dot{\omega}_{1}})\mathrm{d}x_{1}\wedge\mathrm{d}s+\cdots+2i\mathrm{Im}(x_{n-1}\omega_{n-1}\overline{\dot{\omega}_{n-1}})\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}\wedge\mathrm{d}s\\ &-2i\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_{1}x_{1}\overline{\dot{\beta}})\mathrm{d}x_{1}\wedge\mathrm{d}s-\cdots-2i\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1}\overline{\dot{\beta}})\mathrm{d}x_{n-1}\wedge\mathrm{d}s\\ =& 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n|_L$$
  
= $(\omega_1 dx_1 + x_1 \dot{\omega}_1 ds) \wedge \dots \wedge (\omega_{n-1} dx_{n-1} + x_{n-1} \dot{\omega}_{n-1} ds) \wedge$   
 $(-\lambda_1 x_1 dx_1 - \dots - \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1} dx_{n-1} + \dot{\beta} ds)$   
= $\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n-1} \dot{\beta} (1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j^2 x_j^2}{|\omega_j|^2}) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{n-1} \wedge ds.$ 

It follows that L is a nonsingular immersed Lagrangian, with Lagrangian angle

$$\theta = \arg(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n-1}\dot{\beta}).$$

Since

$$\begin{split} &\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \rangle \\ &= \langle (x_1 \dot{\omega}_1(s), \cdots, x_{n-1} \dot{\omega}_{n-1}(s), \dot{\beta}), (0, \cdots, 0, \omega_j, 0, \cdots, 0, -\lambda_j x_j) \rangle \\ &= &\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\omega_j} x_j \dot{\omega}_j - \lambda_j x_j \dot{\beta}) \\ &= &0, \end{split}$$

and  $d\theta = \dot{\theta} ds$ , it follows that

$$abla heta = rac{\dot{ heta}}{\langle rac{\partial}{\partial s}, rac{\partial}{\partial s} 
angle} \left( rac{\partial}{\partial s} 
ight).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$H = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right).$$

The normal projection of the position vector F is computed by

$$\langle T, J \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \rangle$$
  
= $\langle (0, \cdots, 0, \alpha), i(0, \cdots, 0, \omega_j, 0, \cdots, 0, -\lambda_j x_j) \rangle$   
=0

and

$$\langle T, J \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle = \langle (0, \cdots, 0, \alpha), i(x_1 \dot{\omega}_1(s), \cdots, x_{n-1} \dot{\omega}_{n-1}(s), \dot{\beta}) \rangle$$
  
= Re(\alpha i \beta)  
= -\alpha Im(\beta).

It follows that

$$T^{\perp} = -\frac{\alpha \operatorname{Im}(\dot{\beta})}{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle} J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

As the proof of Theorem G in [3] there is an another proof of Theorem 3.1 which obtains Theorem 3.1 from Theorem 2.1 by a limiting procedure. See Joyce, Lee and tsui [3].

Proof of Corollary 3.2. Changing f to  $\dot{\beta}$  in the proof of Theorem 2.3 gives the proof of Corollary 3.2.

From Theorem 3.1 if  $\dot{\theta} = -\alpha \operatorname{Im}(\dot{\beta})$  then *L* is a translating soliton with translating vector  $T = (0, \dots, 0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . From Theorem 3.2 and (10),  $\dot{\theta} = -\alpha \operatorname{Im}(\dot{\beta})$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_j \operatorname{Im}(\dot{\beta})}{\alpha_j + 2\lambda_j \int_{s_0}^s \operatorname{Re}(\dot{\beta}) \mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \operatorname{arg}(\dot{\beta}) = -\alpha \operatorname{Im}(\dot{\beta}).$$
(11)

Therefore if (11) holds then L is a translating soliton with translating vector  $T = (0, \dots, 0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Define  $\omega_j : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  by  $\omega_j = r_j e^{i\phi_j}$ . Define  $\beta : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  by

$$\dot{\beta} = \frac{\overline{\omega_j} \dot{\omega}_j}{\lambda_j}$$
 and  $\beta(0) = -K$ .

A direct calculation shows that

$$\beta(s) = \int_0^s (B + i \frac{|B|}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 + 2a_k B\lambda_k s)\}} e^{2B\alpha s} - 1}}) ds + K.$$

Then  $\dot{\beta} \neq 0$  in *I*. Apply Theorem 3.1 to the data  $\omega_j, \beta$  above. Then we get a Lagrangian submanifold *L* defined by (9). A direct calculation shows that  $\beta$  satisfies (11). So this completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Put  $I = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  or  $I = \mathbb{R}_{<0}$ . Define  $\omega_j : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  by  $\omega_j = r_j e^{i\phi_j}$ . Define  $\beta : I \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  by

$$\beta = \overline{\omega_j} \dot{\omega}_j$$
 and  $\beta(0) = 0$ .

A direct calculation shows that

$$\beta(s) = \int_0^s (s+i\frac{|s|}{\sqrt{E\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(1+a_ks^2)\}e^{\alpha s^2} - 1}}) \mathrm{d}s$$

Then  $\dot{\beta} \neq 0$  in *I*. Apply Theorem 3.1 to the data  $\omega_j, \beta$  above and  $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_{n-1} = 1$ . Then we get a Lagrangian submanifold *L* defined by (9). A direct calculation shows that  $\beta$  satisfies (11). So this completes the proof.

#### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the supervisor Akito Futaki. He also wishes to thank Masataka Shibata, Yuji Terashima, Mitutaka Murayama and Kota Hattori for useful conversations.

## References

- [1] H. Anciaux. Construction of Lagrangian self-similar solutions to the mean curvature flow in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Geom. Dedicata, 120:37–48, 2006.
- [2] D.D. Joyce. Special Lagrangian submanifolds with isolated conical singularities. V. Survey and applications. J. Differential Geom., 63(2):279– 347, 2003.
- [3] D.D. Joyce, Y.-I. Lee, and M.-P. Tsui. Self-similar solutions and translating solitons for Lagrangian mean curvature flow. J. Differential Geom., 84(1):127–161, 2010.
- [4] Y.-I. Lee and M.-T. Wang. Hamiltonian stationary cones and self-similar solutions in higher dimension. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(3):1491– 1503, 2010.
- [5] R. P. Thomas and S.-T. Yau. Special Lagrangians, stable bundles and mean curvature flow. Comm. Anal. Geom., 10(5):1075–1113, 2002.