# Loose Laplacian spectra of random hypergraphs

Linyuan Lu \* Xing Peng †

June 14, 2022

#### Abstract

Let H=(V,E) be an r-uniform hypergraph with the vertex set V and the edge set E. For  $1\leq s\leq r/2$ , we define a weighted graph  $G^{(s)}$  on the vertex set  $\binom{V}{s}$  as follows. Every pair of s-sets I and J is associated with a weight w(I,J), which is the number of edges in H passing through I and J if  $I\cap J=\emptyset$ , and 0 if  $I\cap J\neq\emptyset$ . The s-th Laplacian  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$  of H is defined to be the normalized Laplacian of  $G^{(s)}$ . The eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$  are listed as  $\lambda_0^{(s)}, \lambda_1^{(s)}, \dots, \lambda_{\binom{n}{s}-1}^{(s)}$  in non-decreasing order. Let  $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(H) = \max_{i\neq 0}\{|1-\lambda_i^{(s)}|\}$ . The parameters  $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(H)$  and  $\lambda_1^{(s)}(H)$ , which were introduced in our previous paper, have a number of connections to the mixing rate of high-ordered random walks, the generalized

For  $0 , let <math>H^r(n,p)$  be a random r-uniform hypergraph over  $[n] := \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ , where each r-set of [n] has probability p to be an edge independently. For  $1 \le s \le r/2$ ,  $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^4 n}{n^{r-s}}$ , and  $1-p \gg \frac{\log n}{n^2}$ , we prove that almost surely

distances/diameters, and the edge expansions.

$$\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) \le \frac{s}{n-s} + (3+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{n-s}{r-s}p}}.$$

We also prove that the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$  for  $H^r(n,p)$  follows the Semicircle Law if  $p(1-p)\gg \frac{\log^{1/3}n}{n^{r-s}}$  and  $1-p\gg \frac{\log n}{n^{2+2r-2s}}$ .

### 1 Introduction

The spectrum of the adjacency matrix (and/or the Laplacian matrix) of a random graph was well-studied in the literature [1, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 21]. Given a graph G, let  $\mu_1(G), \ldots, \mu_n(G)$  be the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of G in the non-decreasing order, and  $\lambda_0(G), \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}(G)$  be the eigenvalues of (normalized) Laplacian matrix of G respectively. Let G(n,p) be the Edős-Rényi random graph model. Füredi and Komlós [21] showed that if  $np(1-p) \gg \log^6 n$  then almost surely  $\mu_n = (1+o(1))np$  and  $\max\{-\mu_1, \mu_{n-1}\} \le (2+o(1))\sqrt{np(1-p)}$ . The results are extended to sparse random graphs [17, 25] and general random matrices [15, 21]. Alon, Krivelevich, and Vu [1] proved the concentration of the s-th largest eigenvalue of a random symmetric matrix with independent random entries of absolute value at most 1. Friedman (in a series of papers [18, 19, 20]) proved that the second largest eigenvalue of random d-regular graphs is almost surely  $(2 + o(1))\sqrt{d-1}$  for any  $d \ge 4$ . Chung, Lu, and Vu [11] studied the Laplacian eigenvalues of random graphs with

<sup>\*</sup>University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, (lu@math.sc.edu). This author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS 1000475.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, (pengx@mailbox.sc.edu). This author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS 1000475.

given expected degrees; their results were supplemented by Coja-Oghlan [13, 14] for much sparser random graphs.

In this paper, we study the spectra of the Laplacians of random hypergraphs. Laplacians for regular hypergraphs were first introduced by Chung [5] using the homology approach. Rodríguez [28, 29] treated a hypergraph as a multi-edge graph and then defined its Laplacian to be the Laplacian of the corresponding multi-edge graph. Inspired by these work, we [26] introduced the generalized Laplacian eigenvalues of hypergraphs through high-ordered random walks. Let H = (V, E) be an r-uniform hypergraph on n vertices. We can associate r-1 Laplacians  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$   $(1 \leq s \leq r-1)$  to H; roughly speaking,  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$  captures the incidence relations between s-sets and edges in H. Our definition of the Laplacian at the spacial case s=1 is the same as the Laplacian considered by Rodríguez [28, 29]. The s-th Laplacian is loose if  $1 \le s \le r/2$ , and is tight if  $r/2 < s \le r-1$ . Here we consider only the spectra of loose Laplacians.

For  $1 \le s \le r/2$ , we consider an auxiliary weighted graph  $G^{(s)}$  defined as follows: the vertex set of  $G^{(s)}$  is  $\binom{V}{s}$  while the weight function  $W:\binom{V}{s} \times \binom{V}{s} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is defined as

$$W(S,T) = \begin{cases} |\{F \in E(H) \colon S \cup T \subset F\}| & \text{if } S \cap T = \emptyset; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

The s-th Laplacian of H, denoted by  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$ , is the normalized Laplacian of  $G^{(s)}$ . For any s-set S, let  $d_S$  be the number of edges in H passing through S; the degree of S in  $G^{(s)}$  is  $\binom{r-s}{s}d_S$ . Let D be the diagonal matrix of the degrees  $\{d_S\}$  and W be the weight matrix  $\{w(S,T)\}$ . Note that  $T:=\binom{r-s}{s}D$  is the diagonal matrix of degrees in  $G^{(s)}$ . We have

$$\mathcal{L}^{(s)} = I - T^{-1/2}WT^{-1/2}. (2)$$

The eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$  are listed as  $\lambda_0^{(s)}, \lambda_1^{(s)}, \dots, \lambda_{\binom{n}{s}-1}^{(s)}$  in non-decreasing order. We have

$$0 = \lambda_0^{(s)} \le \lambda_1^{(s)} \le \dots \le \lambda_{\binom{n}{s}-1}^{(s)} \le 2.$$
 (3)

The first non-trivial eigenvalue  $\lambda_1^{(s)} > 0$  if and only if  $G^{(s)}$  is connected. When this occurs, we say H is s-connected. The diameter of  $G^{(s)}$  is called the s-th diameter of H. The largest eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\binom{s}{n}-1}^{(s)}$  is also denoted by  $\lambda_{max}^{(s)}$ . The (Laplacian) spectral radius, denoted by  $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}$ , is the maximum of  $1 - \lambda_1^{(s)}$  and  $\lambda_{max}^{(s)} - 1$ .

This definition differs slightly with the one in [26], where the vertex set of the auxiliary graph (denoted by  $G^{(s)'}$ ) is the set of all distinct s-tuples instead. Note that  $G^{(s)'}$  is the blow-up of  $G^{(s)}$ . Their Laplacian spectra differ only by the multiplicity of 1's. Therefore, two different definitions give the same values of  $\lambda_1^{(s)}$ ,  $\lambda_{max}^{(s)}$ , and  $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}$ .

For different s, the following inequalities were proved in [26]

$$\lambda_1^{(1)} \ge \lambda_1^{(2)} \ge \dots \ge \lambda_1^{(\lfloor r/2 \rfloor)};$$

$$\lambda_{\max}^{(1)} \le \lambda_{\max}^{(2)} \le \dots \le \lambda_{\max}^{(\lfloor r/2 \rfloor)}.$$

$$(5)$$

$$\lambda_{\max}^{(1)} \le \lambda_{\max}^{(2)} \le \dots \le \lambda_{\max}^{(\lfloor r/2 \rfloor)}.$$
 (5)

The s-th Laplacian has a number of connections to the mixing rate of high-ordered random walks, the generalized distances/diameters, and the edge expansions. Here we list some applications, which are similar to results in [26], and results for graphs [4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12].

**Random** s-Walks: The mixing rate of the random s-walk on H is at most  $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}$ .

The s-Diameter: The s-diameter of H is at most

$$\frac{\log \frac{|E(H)|\binom{r}{s}}{\delta}}{\log \frac{\lambda_{\max}^{(s)} + \lambda_1^{(s)}}{\lambda_{\max}^{(s)} - \lambda_1^{(s)}}} \ .$$

Here  $\delta = \min_{S \in \binom{V}{s}} d_S$  is the minimum degree among all s-sets.

**Edge expansion:** For  $1 \le t \le s \le \frac{r}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{S} \subset {V \choose t}$ , and  $\mathcal{T} \subset {V \choose t}$ , define

 $E(S, T) = \{ F \in E(H) : \exists S \in S, \exists T \in T \text{ such that } S \cap T = \emptyset, \text{ and } S \cup T \subset F \},$ 

$$e(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = \frac{|E(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})|}{\left|E(\binom{V}{s}, \binom{V}{t})\right|},$$

$$e(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} d_S}{\sum_{S \in \binom{V}{s}} d_S},$$

$$e(\mathcal{T}) = \frac{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} d_T}{\sum_{T \in \binom{V}{s}} d_T}.$$

Then we have

$$|e(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) - e(\mathcal{S})e(\mathcal{T})| \le \bar{\lambda}^{(s)} \sqrt{e(\mathcal{S})e(\mathcal{T})e(\bar{\mathcal{S}})e(\bar{\mathcal{T}})}.$$

The proofs of these claims are very similar to those in [26] and are omitted here.

Our first result is the eigenvalues of the s-th Laplacian of the complete r-uniform hypergraph  $K_n^r$ .

**Theorem 1** Let  $K_n^r$  be the complete r-uniform hypergraph on n vertices. For  $1 \le s \le r/2$ , the eigenvalues of s-th Laplacian of  $K_n^r$  are given by

$$1 - \frac{(-1)^i \binom{n-s-i}{s-i}}{\binom{n-s}{s}} \text{ with multiplicity } \binom{n}{i} - \binom{n}{i-1} \text{ for } 0 \le i \le s.$$

Here we point out an application of this theorem to the celebrated Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem, which states "if the  $n \geq 2s$ , then the size of the maximum intersecting family of s-sets in [n] is at most  $\binom{n-1}{s-1}$ ." (The theorem was originally proved by Erdős-Ko-Rado [16] for sufficiently large n; the simplest proof was due to Katona [24].) Here we present a proof adapted from Calderbank-Frankl [2], where they use the eigenvalues of Kneser graph instead. (The relation between  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r)$  and the Laplacian of the Kneser graph is explained in section 2.)

It suffices to show for any intersecting family U of s-sets,  $|U| \leq {n-1 \choose s-1}$ . Note that U is an independent set of  $G^{(s)}(K_n^r)$ . Restricting to U,  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r)$  becomes an identity matrix; whose eigenvalues are all equal to 1. By Cauchy's interlace theorem, we have

$$\lambda_k^{(s)} \le 1 \le \lambda_{\binom{n}{s} - |U| + k}^{(s)} \tag{6}$$

for  $0 \le k \le |U| - 1$ . Let  $N^+$  (or  $N^-$ ) be the number of eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r)$  which is  $\ge 1$  (or  $\le 1$ ) respectively. Inequality (6) implies that  $|U| \le N^+$  and  $|U| \le N^-$ . By Theorem 1,  $N^+ = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (s-1)/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2i+1} - \binom{n}{2i}$  and  $N^- = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2i} - \binom{n}{2i-1}$ . We have

$$|U| \le \min\{N^+, N^-\} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (-1)^{s-1-i} \binom{n}{i} = \binom{n-1}{s-1}.$$

For  $0 , let <math>H^r(n,p)$  be a random r-uniform hypergraph over  $[n] = \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ , where each r-set of [n] has probability p to be an edge independently. We can estimate the Laplacian spectrum of  $H^r(n,p)$  using the Laplacian spectrum of  $K_n^r$  as follows.

**Theorem 2** Let  $H^r(n,p)$  be a random r-uniform hypergraph. For  $1 \le s \le r/2$ , if  $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^4 n}{n^{r-s}}$  and  $1-p \gg \frac{\log n}{n^2}$ , then almost surely the s-th spectral radius  $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p))$  satisfies

$$\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) \le \frac{s}{n-s} + (3+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{n-s}{r-s}p}}.$$
 (7)

Moreover, for  $1 \le k \le \binom{n}{s} - 1$ , almost surely we have

$$|\lambda_k^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) - \lambda_k^{(s)}(K_n^r)| \le (3 + o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{n-s}{r-s}p}}.$$
 (8)

Note that G(n,p) is a special case of  $H^r(n,p)$  with r=2. By choosing s=1, Theorem 2 implies that

$$\bar{\lambda}(G(n,p)) \le (3+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{(n-1)p}} \quad \text{for } p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^4 n}{n}.$$
 (9)

Chung, Lu, and Vu's result[11], when restricted to G(n, p), implies

$$\bar{\lambda}(G(n,p)) \le (4+o(1))\frac{1}{\sqrt{np}} \quad \text{for } 1-\epsilon \ge p \gg \frac{\log^6 n}{n}.$$
 (10)

Inequality (9) has a smaller constant and works for a larger range of p than inequality (10).

Füredi and Komlós [21] proved the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of G(n, p) follows the Semicircle Law. Chung, Lu, and Vu [11] proved a similar result for the random graphs with given expected degrees. Here we prove a similar result for random hypergraphs.

**Theorem 3** For  $1 \le s \le r/2$ , if  $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^{1/3} n}{n^{r-s}}$  and  $1-p \gg \frac{\log n}{n^{2+2r-2s}}$ , then almost surely the empirical distribution of eigenvalues of the s-th Laplacian of  $H^r(n,p)$  follows the Semicircle Law centered at 1 and with radius  $(2+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{r-s}{s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}p}}$ .

**Remark 1** The proof of Theorem 3 actually implies the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) - \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r)$  follows the Semicircle Law centered at 0 and with radius  $(2+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{r-s}{s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}p}}$ . Thus we have

$$\max_{1 \le k \le \binom{n}{s} - 1} |\lambda_k^{(s)}(H^r(n, p)) - \lambda_k^{(s)}(K_n^r)| \ge \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\binom{r-s}{s}}} + o(1)\right) \sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{n-s}{r-s}p}}.$$
 (11)

This shows that the upper bound of  $|\lambda_k^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) - \lambda_k^{(s)}(K_n^r)|$  in Theorem 2 is best up to a constant multiplicative factor.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the notation and prove some basic lemmas. We will prove Theorem 1 in section 3 and Theorem 2 in section 4.

## 2 Notation and Lemmas

#### 2.1 Laplacian eigenvalues of hypergraphs

Let H = (V, E) be an r-uniform hypergraph. For any subset S(|S| < r), the degree of S, denoted by  $d_S$ , is the number of edges passing through S. For each  $1 \le s \le r/2$ , we associate a weighted graph  $G^{(s)}$  on the vertex set  $\binom{V}{s}$  to H as follows. Every pair of s-sets S and T is associated with a weight w(S, T), which is given by

$$w(S,T) = \begin{cases} d_{S \cup T} & \text{if } S \cap T = \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The s-th Laplacian  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$  of H is defined to be the normalized Laplacian of  $G^{(s)}$ . The degree of S in  $G^{(s)}$  is  $\sum_{T} w(S,T) = \binom{r-s}{s} d_{S}$ .

We assume that the s-sets in  $\binom{V}{s}$  are ordered alphabetically. Let  $N := \binom{n}{s}$ ; all square matrices considered in the paper have the dimension  $N \times N$  and all vectors have dimension N. Let W := (W(S,T)) be the weight matrix, D be the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $D(S,S) = d_S$ ,  $\mathbf{d}$  be the column vector with entries  $d_S$  at position  $S \in \binom{V}{S}$ , J be the square matrix of all 1's, and 1 be the column vector of all 1's. Let  $T := \binom{r-s}{s}D$ ; here T is the diagonal matrix of degrees in  $G^{(s)}$ . Then, we have

$$\mathcal{L}^{(s)} = I - T^{-1/2}WT^{-1/2}.$$

We list the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$  as

$$0 = \lambda_0^{(s)} \le \lambda_1^{(s)}, \dots, \lambda_{\binom{n}{s}-1}^{(s)} \le 2.$$

We aim to compute the spectral radius  $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(H) = \max_{i \neq 0} |1 - \lambda_i^{(s)}|$ . Let  $\operatorname{vol}^{(s)}(H) := \sum_{S \in \binom{V}{s}} d_s$  and  $\phi_0 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{vol}^{(s)}(H)}} D^{1/2} \mathbf{1}$ . Note that  $\phi_0$  is the unit eigenvector corresponding to the trivial eigenvalue 0 of  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$ .

We are ready to prove theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1:** We can express  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r)$  using the following notation. The Kneser graph K(n,s) is a graph over the vertex set  $\binom{[n]}{s}$ ; two s-sets S and T form an edge of K(n,s) if and only if  $S \cap T = 0$ . Let K be the adjacency matrix of K(n,s); the eigenvalues of K are  $(-1)^i\binom{n-s-i}{s-i}$  with multiplicity  $\binom{n}{i}-\binom{n}{i-1}$  for  $0 \le i \le s$  (see [22]). Note that K(n,s) is a regular graph; so the Laplacian eigenvalues can be determined from the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix. We observe that the associated weighted graph  $G^{(s)}$  for the complete r-uniform hypergraph  $K_n^r$  is essentially the Kneser graph with each edge associated with a weight  $\binom{n-2s}{r-2s}$ . Note that the multiplicative factor  $\binom{n-2s}{r-2s}$  is canceled after normalization. The  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$  (for  $K_n^r$ ) is exactly the Laplacian of Kneser graph. Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r) = I - \frac{1}{\binom{n-s}{s}} K.$$

Thus, the eigenvalues of s-th Laplacian of  $K_n^r$  are given by

$$1 - \frac{(-1)^i \binom{n-s-i}{s-i}}{\binom{n-s}{s}} \text{ with multiplicity } \binom{n}{i} - \binom{n}{i-1} \text{ for } 0 \le i \le s.$$

**Remark 2** For  $1 \le s \le r/2$ , we have

$$\lambda_1^{(s)}(K_n^r) = 1 - \frac{s(s-1)}{(n-s)(n-s-1)},$$
 (12)

$$\lambda_{max}^{(s)}(K_n^r) = 1 + \frac{s}{n-s},\tag{13}$$

$$\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(K_n^r) = \frac{s}{n-s}. (14)$$

#### 2.2 Random hypergraphs

Let  $H^r(n,p)$  be a random r-uniform hypergraph over the vertex set V=[n] and each r-set has probability p to be an edge independently. We would like to bound the spectral radius of the s-th Laplacian of  $H^r(n,p)$  for  $1 \le s \le r/2$ .

For any  $F \in \binom{V}{r}$ , let  $X_F$  be the random indicator variable for F being an edge in  $H^r(n,p)$ ; all  $X_F$ 's are independent to each other. For any  $S, T \in \binom{V}{s}$ , we have

$$W(S,T) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{F \in \binom{n}{r} \\ S \cup T \subset F}} X_F & \text{if } S \cap T = \emptyset; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$E(W(S,T)) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-2s}{r-2s} p & \text{if } S \cap T = \emptyset; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (15)

The degree  $d_S = \sum_{S \subset F \in \binom{V}{r}} X_F$ ; we have  $\mathrm{E}(d_S) = \binom{n-s}{r-s} p$ . For simplicity, let  $d := \binom{n-s}{r-s} p$ . We use the following Lemma to compare the eigenvalues of two matrices.

**Lemma 1** Given any two  $(N \times N)$ -Hermitian matrices A and B, for  $1 \le k \le N$ , let  $\mu_k(A)$  (or  $\mu_k(B)$ ) be the k-th eigenvalues of A (or B) in the increasing order. We have

$$|\mu_k(A) - \mu_k(B)| < ||A - B||.$$

**Proof:** By the Min-Max Theorem (see [27]), we have

$$\mu_k(A) = \min_{S_k} \max_{x \in S_k, ||x|| = 1} x' A x,$$
  
 $\mu_k(B) = \min_{S_k} \max_{x \in S_k, ||x|| = 1} x' B x.$ 

where the minimum is taken over all k-th dimensional subspace  $S_k \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . We have

$$\mu_k(A) = \min_{S_k} \max_{x \in S_k, \|x\| = 1} x'Ax$$

$$= \min_{S_k} \max_{x \in S_k, \|x\| = 1} (x'Bx + x'(A - B)x)$$

$$\leq \min_{S_k} \max_{x \in S_k, \|x\| = 1} (x'Bx + \|A - B\|)$$

$$= \mu_k(B) + \|A - B\|.$$

Similarly, we can show  $\mu_k(A) \ge \mu_k(B) - \|A - B\|$ . The proof of the Lemma is finished.  $\square$  Our idea is to bound the spectral norm of the difference of  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p))$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r)$ . Let  $M := \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r) - \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) = T^{-1/2}WT^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{\binom{n-s}{s}}K$ . We write  $M = M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4 +$ 

 $M_3 + M_4$ , where

$$M_{1} = \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}} \left( D^{-1/2} (W - E(W)) D^{-1/2} - d^{-1} (W - E(W)) \right),$$

$$M_{2} = \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s} d} (W - E(W)),$$

$$M_{3} = \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}} D^{-1/2} E(W) D^{-1/2} - \frac{d}{\binom{n}{s}} D^{-1/2} J D^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{\binom{n-s}{s}} K + \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} J,$$

$$M_{4} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} (dD^{-1/2} J D^{-1/2} - J).$$

By the triangular inequality of matrix norms, we have

$$||M|| \le ||M_1|| + ||M_2|| + ||M_3|| + ||M_4||.$$

Through this paper, the norm of any square matrix is the spectral norm. We would like to bound  $||M_i||$  for i = 1, 2, 3, 4. We use the following Chernoff inequality.

**Theorem 4** [3] Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent random variables with

$$\Pr(X_i = 1) = p, \qquad \Pr(X_i = 0) = 1 - p.$$

We consider the sum  $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ , with expectation E(X) = np. Then we have

(Lower tail) 
$$\Pr(X \le \mathrm{E}(X) - \lambda) \le e^{-\lambda^2/2\mathrm{E}(X)},$$
(Upper tail) 
$$\Pr(X \ge \mathrm{E}(X) + \lambda) \le e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2(\mathrm{E}(X) + \lambda/3)}}.$$

**Lemma 2** Suppose  $d \geq \log N$ . With probability at least  $1 - \frac{1}{N^2}$ , we have  $d_S \in (d - 1)$  $3\sqrt{d\log N}, d + 3\sqrt{d\log N})$  for all  $S \in \binom{V}{s}$ .

**Proof:** Note  $d_s = \sum_{F:S \subset F} X_F$  and  $\mathrm{E}(d_S) = d$ . Applying the lower tail of Chernoff's inequality with  $\lambda = 3\sqrt{\mathrm{E}(X)\log N}$ , we have

$$\Pr(X - E(X) \le -\lambda) \le e^{-\lambda^2/2E(X)} \le \frac{1}{N^{9/2}}.$$

Applying the upper tail of Chernoff's inequality with  $\lambda = 3\sqrt{\mathrm{E}(X)\log N}$ , we have

$$\Pr\left(X - \mathrm{E}(X) \ge \lambda\right) \le e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2(\mathrm{E}(X) + \lambda/3)}} \le \frac{1}{N^{27/8}}.$$

The probability that  $d_S \notin (d-3\sqrt{d\log N}, d+3\sqrt{d\log N})$  is at most  $\frac{1}{N^3}$ . Thus, with probability at least  $1 - \frac{1}{N^2}$ , we have  $d_S \in (d - 3\sqrt{d \log N}, d + 3\sqrt{d \log N})$  for all  $S \in \binom{V}{s}$ .  $\square$  For convenience, let  $d_{min} := d - 3\sqrt{d \log N}, d_{max} := d + 3\sqrt{d \log N}$ ; almost surely we have

 $d_{min} \leq d_S \leq d_{max}$  for all S.

**Lemma 3** If  $d \ge \log N$ , then almost surely  $||M_3|| = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{n \cdot \sqrt{J_3}}\right)$ .

**Proof:** Note  $E(W) = \binom{n-2s}{r-2s} pK$ , where K is the adjacency matrix of the Kneser graph K(n,s). Let  $M_0 := \frac{1}{\binom{n-s}{s}} K - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} J$ . We can rewrite  $M_3$  as

$$M_3 = dD^{-1/2} M_0 D^{-1/2} - M_0.$$

Note  $||M_0|| = \bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(K_n^r) = \frac{s}{n-s}$ . We have

$$\begin{split} \|M_3\| &= \|dD^{-1/2}M_0D^{-1/2} - M_0\| \\ &\leq \|(dD^{-1/2} - d^{1/2}I)M_0D^{-1/2}\| + \|M_0(d^{1/2}D^{-1/2} - I)\| \\ &\leq \|(d^{1/2}I - dD^{-1/2})\| \|M_0\| \|D^{-1/2}\| + \|M_0\| \|(d^{1/2}D^{-1/2} - I)\| \\ &\leq \left| d^{1/2} - dd_{min}^{-1/2} \right| \frac{s}{n-s} d_{min}^{-1/2} + \frac{s}{n-s} \left| d^{1/2}d_{min}^{-1/2} - 1 \right| \\ &= O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{n\sqrt{d}}\right). \end{split}$$

**Lemma 4** If  $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log n}{n^{r-s}}$ , then almost surely

$$\sum_{S \in \binom{V}{s}} (d_S - d)^2 = (1 + o(1)) \binom{n}{s} d(1 - p).$$

**Proof:** For  $S \in \binom{V}{s}$ , let  $X_S = (d_S - d)^2$ . We have

$$E(X_S) = E((d_S - d)^2) = Var(d_S) = \binom{n-s}{r-s} p(1-p) = d(1-p).$$

We use the second moment method to prove that  $\sum_{S} X_s$  concentrates around its expectation  $\binom{n}{s} d(1-p)$ . For any  $S, T \in \binom{V}{s}$ , the covariance can be calculated as follows.

$$Cov(X_S, X_T) = E(X_S X_T) - E(X_S) E(X_T)$$
  
=  $E((d_S - d)^2 (d_T - d)^2) - d^2 (1 - p)^2$ .

For  $F \in \binom{V}{r}$ , let  $Y_F = X_F - \mathrm{E}(X_F)$ . Then we have  $d_S - d = \sum_{S \subset F} Y_F$ .

$$E((d_S - d)^2 (d_T - d)^2) = \sum_{\substack{F_1, F_2 : S \subset F_1 \cap F_2 \\ F_3, F_4 : T \subset F_3 \cap F_4}} E(Y_{F_1} Y_{F_2} Y_{F_3} Y_{F_4}).$$

Since  $E(Y_{F_i}) = 0$ , the non-zero terms occur only if

1.  $F_1 = F_2 = F_3 = F_4$ . In this case, we have

$$E(Y_{F_1}Y_{F_2}Y_{F_3}Y_{F_4}) = E(Y_{F_1}^4) = (1-p)^4p + (-p)^4(1-p) = p(1-p)(1-3p+3p^2).$$

The number of choices is  $\binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|}$ .

2.  $F_1 = F_2 \neq F_3 = F_4$ . In this case, we have

$$E(Y_{F_1}Y_{F_2}Y_{F_3}Y_{F_4}) = E(Y_{F_1}^2)E(Y_{F_3}^2) = p^2(1-p)^2.$$

The number of choices is  $\binom{n-s}{r-|S|}\binom{n-s}{r-|T|} - \binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|}$ .

3.  $F_1 = F_3 \neq F_2 = F_4$ . In this case, we have

$$E(Y_{F_1}Y_{F_2}Y_{F_3}Y_{F_4}) = E(Y_{F_1}^2)E(Y_{F_2}^2) = p^2(1-p)^2.$$

The number of choices is  $\binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|}^2 - \binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|}$ .

4.  $F_1 = F_4 \neq F_2 = F_3$ . This is the same as item 3.

Thus, we have

$$E(X_{S}X_{T}) = \binom{n - |S \cup T|}{r - |S \cup T|} p(1 - p)(1 - 3p + 3p^{2})$$

$$+ \left(\binom{n - s}{r - s}^{2} + 2\binom{n - |S \cup T|}{r - |S \cup T|}\right)^{2} - 3\binom{n - |S \cup T|}{r - |S \cup T|} p^{2}(1 - p)^{2}$$

$$= \binom{n - |S \cup T|}{r - |S \cup T|} p(1 - p)(1 - 6p + 6p^{2}) + \left(\binom{n - s}{r - s}^{2} + 2\binom{n - |S \cup T|}{r - |S \cup T|}\right)^{2} p^{2}(1 - p)^{2}.$$

This expression on the right depends only on the size of  $S \cup T$ . Putting together, we get

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{S \in \binom{V}{s}} X_S\right) = \sum_{S,T \in \binom{V}{s}} \operatorname{Cov}(X_S, X_T)$$

$$= \sum_{S,T \in \binom{V}{s}} \left(\operatorname{E}(X_S X_T) - d^2(1-p)^2\right)$$

$$= \sum_{S,T \in \binom{V}{s}} \left(\operatorname{E}(X_S X_T) - \binom{n-s}{r-s}^2 p^2(1-p)^2\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=s}^{2s} \sum_{|S \cup T| = i} \left(\binom{n-i}{r-i} p(1-p)(1-6p+6p^2) + 2\binom{n-i}{r-i}^2 p^2(1-p)^2\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=s}^{2s} \sum_{|S \cup T| = i} \binom{n-i}{r-i} p(1-p) \left(1-6p+6p^2 + 2\binom{n-s}{r-s} p(1-p)\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=s}^{2s} \sum_{|S \cup T| = i} \binom{n-i}{r-i} 3dp(1-p)^2$$

$$= \binom{n}{s} 3dp(1-p)^2 \sum_{i=s}^{2s} \frac{r!}{(i-s)!^2(2s-i)!(r-i)!}$$

$$< 3 \cdot 4^r \binom{n}{r} dp(1-p)^2$$

$$= O\left(\binom{n}{s} d^2(1-p)^2\right).$$

Let  $X = \sum_{S} X_{S}$ . We have  $E[X] = \binom{n}{s} d(1-p)$  and  $Var(X) = O\left(\binom{n}{s} d^{2}(1-p)^{2}\right)$ . Applying Chebyshev's inequality to  $X = \sum_{S \in \binom{V}{s}}$ , we have

$$\Pr\left(|X - \mathrm{E}(X)| \ge \log n \sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(X)}\right) \le \frac{1}{\log^2 n}.$$

Thus, almost surely 
$$X = E(X) + O(\log n \sqrt{Var(X)}) = (1 + o(1)) \binom{n}{s} d(1 - p).$$

**Lemma 5** If  $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log n}{n^{r-s}}$ , then almost surely  $||M_4|| \leq (1+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}}$ .

**Proof:** We can rewrite  $M_4$  as

$$M_{4} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} (dD^{-1/2}JD^{-1/2} - J)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} \left( \left( d^{1/2}D^{-1/2} - I \right) JD^{-1/2} d^{1/2} + J \left( d^{1/2}D^{-1/2} - I \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} \left( \alpha \mathbf{1}' D^{-1/2} d^{1/2} + \mathbf{1} \alpha' \right).$$

Here  $\alpha:=d^{1/2}D^{-1/2}\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1}$ . Note that the spectral norm of a vector is the same as the  $L_2$ -norm. We have

$$\|\alpha\| = \|d^{1/2}D^{-1/2}\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}\|$$

$$= \sqrt{\sum_{S \in \binom{V}{s}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{d}}{\sqrt{d_S}} - 1\right)^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\sum_{S \in \binom{V}{s}} \frac{(d_S - d)^2}{d_S(\sqrt{d} + \sqrt{d_S})^2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{S \in \binom{V}{s}} (d_S - d)^2}}{\sqrt{d_{min}}(\sqrt{d} + \sqrt{d_{min}})}$$

$$= (\frac{1}{2} + o(1))\sqrt{\frac{(1 - p)\binom{n}{s}}{d}}.$$

In the last step, we applied Lemma 4. Therefore, we have

$$||M_{4}|| = \left\| \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} \left( \alpha \mathbf{1}' D^{-1/2} d^{1/2} + \mathbf{1} \alpha' \right) \right\|$$

$$= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} \left( \left\| \alpha \mathbf{1}' D^{-1/2} d^{1/2} \right\| + \left\| \mathbf{1} \alpha' \right\| \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} ||\alpha|| \left( \left\| \mathbf{1}' D^{-1/2} d^{1/2} \right\| + \left\| \mathbf{1} \right\| \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} ||\alpha|| \left( \sqrt{\sum_{S \in \binom{n}{s}} \frac{d}{ds}} + \sqrt{\binom{n}{s}} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} \left( \frac{1}{2} + o(1) \right) \sqrt{\frac{(1-p)\binom{n}{s}}{d}} (2 + o(1)) \left( \sqrt{\binom{n}{s}} \right)$$

$$= (1 + o(1)) \sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}}.$$

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

To estimate the spectral norm of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , we need consider the matrix C := W - E(W). We estimate the expectation of the trace of  $C^t$  as follows. **Lemma 6** For any k satisfying  $k \ll \sqrt[4]{n^{r-s}p(1-p)}$ , we have

$$E\left(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{2k})\right) \leq (1+o(1)) \frac{n^{s+k(r-s)}\binom{r-s}{s}^k}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k} \binom{2k}{k} p^k (1-p)^k, \tag{16}$$

$$E\left(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{2k+1})\right) = O\left(\frac{2k^2n^{s+k(r-s)}\binom{r-s}{s}^{k+1}}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}p^k(1-p)^k\right). \tag{17}$$

If further  $k = o(\log(n^{r-s}p(1-p)))$ , then we have

$$E\left(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{2k})\right) = (1+o(1))\frac{n^{s+k(r-s)}}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k} {2k \choose k} p^k (1-p)^k.$$
 (18)

The proof of this technical Lemma is quite long. We will delay its proof until the end of this section.

**Lemma 7** If  $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^4 n}{n^{r-s}}$ , then we have  $||C|| \leq \left(2\binom{r-s}{s} + o(1)\right) \sqrt{d(1-p)}$  almost surely.

**Proof:** By Lemma 6, we have  $E(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{2k})) \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{n^{s+k(r-s)}\binom{r-s}{s}^k}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k} \binom{2k}{k} p^k (1-p)^k$ . As  $E(\|C\|^{2k}) \leq E(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{2k}))$ , we have

$$E(\|C\|^{2k}) \le (1 + o(1)) \frac{n^{s+k(r-s)} {r-s \choose s}^k}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1} ((r-2s)!)^k} {2k \choose k} p^k (1-p)^k.$$

Let  $U := \frac{n^{s+k(r-s)} \binom{r-s}{s}^k}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1} \binom{r-2s}{s}^k} \binom{2k}{k} p^k (1-p)^k$ . By Markov's inequality,

$$\Pr\left(\|C\| \ge (1+\epsilon)^{2k}\overline{U}\right) = \Pr\left(\|C\|^{2k} \ge (1+\epsilon)^{2k}U\right)$$

$$\le \frac{\mathrm{E}(\|C\|^{2k})}{(1+\epsilon)^{2k}U}$$

$$\le \frac{(1+o(1))U}{(1+\epsilon)^{2k}U}$$

$$= \frac{1+o(1)}{(1+\epsilon)^{2k}}.$$

Let g(n) be a slowly growing function such that  $g(n) \to \infty$  as n approaches the infinity and  $g(n) \ll \frac{(n^{r-s}p(1-p))^{1/4}}{s\log n}$ . This is possible because  $n^{r-s}p(1-p) \gg \log^4 n$ . Choose  $k = sg(n)\log n$  and  $\epsilon = 1/g(n)$ . We have  $k \ll (n^{r-s}p(1-p))^{1/4}$  and  $\epsilon \to 0$ . Then we have  $(1+o(1))/(1+\epsilon)^{2k} = O(n^{-s})$ , which implies that almost surely

$$\begin{split} |\|C\| & \leq \quad (1+o(1))^{\frac{2k}{\sqrt{U}}} \\ & = \quad (1+o(1)) \left( \frac{n^{s+k(r-s)} \binom{r-s}{s}^k}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1} ((r-2s)!)^k} \binom{2k}{k} p^k (1-p)^k \right)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \\ & < \quad n^{\frac{s}{2k}} 2 \sqrt{\frac{n^{r-s} \binom{r-s}{s} p(1-p)}{s!(r-2s)!}} \\ & = \quad \left( 2 \binom{r-s}{s} + o(1) \right) \sqrt{d(1-p)}. \end{split}$$

Recall  $M_2 = \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}d}C$ . We have

**Lemma 8** If  $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^4 n}{n^{r-s}}$ , then we have  $||M_2|| \leq (2+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}}$  almost surely.

**Lemma 9** If  $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^4 n}{n^{r-s}}$ , then we have  $||M_1|| = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{(1-p)\log N}}{d}\right)$  almost surely.

**Proof:** We have

$$M_1 = \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}} \left( D^{-1/2}CD^{-1/2} - d^{-1}C \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}} \left( (D^{-1/2} - d^{-1/2}I)CD^{-1/2} + d^{-1/2}C(D^{-1/2} - d^{-1/2}I) \right).$$

Note  $||D^{-1/2} - d^{-1/2}I|| \le |d_{min}^{-1/2} - d^{-1/2}| = O(\frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{d}), ||D^{-1/2}|| \le d_{min}^{-1/2} = (1 + o(1))d^{-1/2},$  and  $||C|| = \left(2\binom{r-s}{s} + o(1)\right)\sqrt{d(1-p)}$ . We have

$$||M_1|| = \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}} ||(D^{-1/2} - d^{-1/2}I)CD^{-1/2} + d^{-1/2}C(D^{-1/2} - d^{-1/2}I)||$$
$$= O\left(\frac{\sqrt{(1-p)\log N}}{d}\right).$$

**Proof of Theorem 2:** Combining Lemmas 3, 5, 8, and 9, we have

$$\begin{split} \|M\| &= \|M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4\| \\ &\leq \|M_1\| + \|M_2\| + \|M_3\| + \|M_4\| \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{\sqrt{(1-p)\log N}}{d}\right) + \frac{(2+o(1))\sqrt{1-p}}{\sqrt{d}} + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{n\sqrt{d}}\right) + (1+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}} \\ &= (3+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}}. \end{split}$$

In the last step, we use the fact  $\frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{n\sqrt{d}} = o\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}}\right)$  since  $1-p \gg \frac{\log n}{n^2}$ .

By Lemma 1, for  $1 \le k \le \binom{n}{s} - 1$ , we have

$$|\lambda_k^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) - \lambda_k^{(s)}(K_n^r)| \le \|M\| \le (3+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}}.$$

Reall that  $X_F$  is the random indicator variable for F being an edge in  $H^r(n,p)$ . For any fixed positive integer t, the terms in  $Trace(C^t)$  are of the form

$$c_{S_1S_2}c_{S_2S_3}\dots c_{S_tS_{S_t}}$$
.

Here  $c_{ST} = W(S,T) - \mathbb{E}(W(S,T)) = \sum_{\substack{F \in \binom{V}{r} \\ S \cup T \subset F}} (X_F - \mathbb{E}(X_F))$  if  $S \cap T = \emptyset$ ;  $c_{ST} = 0$  otherwise.

Note  $c_{S_iS_j} = 0$  if  $S_i \cap S_j \neq \emptyset$ . Thus we need only to consider the sequence  $S_1S_2 \dots S_tS_1$  such that  $S_i \cap S_{i+1} = \emptyset$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , here t+1=1.

12

For  $F \in \binom{V}{r}$  and  $S, T \in \binom{V}{s}$ , we define a random variable  $c_{ST}^F$  as follows.

$$c^F_{ST} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X_F - \mathrm{E}(X_F) & \text{ if } S \cap T = \emptyset \text{ and } S \cup T \subseteq F; \\ 0 & \text{ otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

The sequence  $w := S_1 F_1 S_2 F_2 S_3 \dots S_t F_t S_1$  is called a closed s-walk of length t if

- 1.  $S_1, \ldots, S_t \in \binom{V}{s}$ ,
- 2.  $F_1, \ldots, F_t \in \binom{V}{r}$ ,
- 3.  $S_i \cap S_{i+1} = \emptyset$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ ,
- 4.  $S_i \cup S_{i+1} \subset F_i$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., t.

Here we use the convention  $S_{t+1} = S_1$ . Those r-sets  $F_i$ 's are referred as edges while those s-sets  $S_i$ 's are referred as stops. For  $1 \le i \le t$ , we say w walks from  $S_i$  to  $S_{i+1}$  at step i via the edge  $F_i$ .

Using the notation above, we rewrite the trace as

$$\operatorname{Trace}(C^t) = \sum_{\text{closed } s\text{-walks}} c_{S_1 S_2}^{F_1} c_{S_2 S_3}^{F_2} \dots c_{S_t S_1}^{F_t},$$

where the summation is over all possible closed s-walks of length t.

Taking the expectation on both sides, we get

$$E(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{t})) = \sum_{\text{closed s-walks}} E(c_{S_{1}S_{2}}^{F_{1}} c_{S_{2}S_{3}}^{F_{2}} \dots c_{S_{t}S_{1}}^{F_{t}}).$$

The terms in the product above can be regrouped according to the values of  $F_i$ 's; those terms with distinct F's are independent to each other. Since  $E(c_{S,T}^F) = 0$ , the contribution of a closed walk is 0 if some F appears only once. Thus we need only to consider the set of closed walks where each edge appears at least twice or do not occur; we call these closed walks as good closed walks. A good closed walk can contain at most  $\left\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \right\rfloor$  distinct edges.

Let  $\mathcal{G}_i$  be the set of good closed walks of length t with i distinct edges. For  $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ , let  $\mathcal{G}_i^j$  be the set of good closed walks with exactly i distinct edges and j distinct vertices; we have  $\mathcal{G}_i := \bigcup_j \mathcal{G}_i^j$ .

We consider a good closed walk in  $\mathcal{G}_i$ . When a new edge comes in the walk, it can bring in at most (r-s) new vertices. Thus such a good closed walk covers at most  $m_i := s + i(r-s)$  vertices. Any walk contains at least one edge. Hence, the number of vertices in a walk from  $\mathcal{G}_i$  is in the interval  $[r, m_i]$ .

We have

$$E(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{t})) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{S_{1}F_{1}S_{2}...S_{t}S_{1} \in \mathcal{G}_{i}} E(c_{S_{1}S_{2}}^{F_{1}}c_{S_{2}S_{3}}^{F_{2}}...c_{S_{t}S_{1}}^{F_{t}}).$$
(19)

Assume that an edge F occurs q times in a good closed walk and  $T := \{i : 1 \le i \le t \text{ and } F_i = F\}$ . We have  $\Pr\left(\Pi_{i \in T} c_{S_i S_{i+1}}^F = (1-p)^q\right) = p$  and  $\Pr\left(\Pi_{i \in T} c_{S_i S_{i+1}}^F = (-p)^q\right) = 1-p$ . Thus, for each positive integer  $l \ge 2$ , we have

$$E\left(\Pi_{i \in T} c_{S_i S_{i+1}}^F\right) = (1-p)^q p + (-p)^q (1-p) \le p(1-p).$$

The equality holds for q=2.

Pick a good closed walk  $w := S_1 F_1 S_2 F_2 S_3 \dots S_t F_t S_1$  in  $\mathcal{G}_i$ . Let  $F^1, \dots, F^i$  be the list of distinct edges in the order as they appear in w.

For each  $1 \le l \le i$ , let  $T_l := \{1 \le j \le t : F_j = F^l\}$ ; then  $\sum_{l=1}^{i} |T_l| = t$ . We have

$$E(c_{S_1S_2}^{F_1}c_{S_2S_3}^{F_2}\dots c_{S_tS_1}^{F_t}) = \prod_{l=1}^{i} \prod_{j \in T_l} E(c_{S_jS_{j+1}}^{F^l}) \leq \prod_{l=1}^{i} p(1-p) = p^i(1-p)^i.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{S_1 F_1 S_2 \dots S_t S_1 \in \mathcal{G}_i} \mathbb{E}(c_{S_1 S_2}^{F_1} c_{S_2 S_3}^{F_2} \dots c_{S_t S_1}^{F_t}) \le |\mathcal{G}_i| \, p^i (1-p)^i$$
(20)

for all  $1 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ . In particular, the equality holds when t = 2i. Combining equation (19) and inequality (20), we get

$$E(\operatorname{Trace}(C^t)) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} |\mathcal{G}_i| p^i (1-p)^i.$$
(21)

Now we estimate the value of  $|\mathcal{G}_i^j|$ , the number of good closed walks of length t on i edges and j vertices. Let w be a good closed walk in  $\mathcal{G}_i^j$ . For  $2 \le k \le i$ , let  $\cdots SF^kS' \cdots$  be a piece of sequence in w where the edge  $F^k$  occurs first time; S is called the in-stop of  $F^k$  and S' is called the out-stop of  $F^k$ .

The following lemma will state the hypergraph structure of these i edges; it is independent of the walk w. We will use the following notation. Let  $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{l=1}^{i} \binom{F^l}{s}$ . For any s-set  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , the degree of S, denoted by  $d_S$ , is the number of edges in  $\{F^1, F^2, \ldots, F^i\}$  containing S.

Define

$$d_S' = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} d_S - 1 & \text{if there exists a unique } k \text{ such that } S = F_k \cap (\cup_{l=1}^{k-1} F_l), \\ d_S & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

**Lemma 10** Assume that  $F^1, \ldots, F^i$  is the list of distinct edges in the order as they appear in  $w \in \mathcal{G}_i^j$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} (d'_S - 1) \le \left(1 + \frac{2}{s} \binom{r}{s - 1}\right) (m_i - j).$$

**Proof:** For  $2 \le k \le i$ , let  $x_k = |F^k \setminus (\bigcup_{l=1}^{k-1} F^l)|$ ; we have

$$0 \le x_k \le r - s$$
.

Thus,

$$j = r + x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_i \le r + (i-1)(r-s) = m_i.$$

Since a new edge  $F_k$  can contribute at most  $\binom{r-x_k}{s}$  to  $\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}}(d_S-1)$ , we have

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} (d_S - 1) \le \sum_{k=2}^i \binom{r - x_k}{s}.$$

Let  $K := \{k : x_k = r - s, 2 \le k \le i\}$  and  $\overline{K} = \{2, \dots, i\} \setminus K$ . The edges in the set  $\{F_k : k \in K\}$  are called *forward* edges while the edges in the set  $\{F_k : k \in \overline{K}\}$  are called *backward* edges. Note each backward edge contribute at least one to  $m_i - j$ ; thus

$$m_i - j \ge |\overline{K}|.$$

Note for each  $k \in K$ ,  $\binom{r-x_k}{s} = 1$ . We have

$$\sum_{S \in S} (d_S - 1) \leq \sum_{k=2}^{i} {r - x_k \choose s}$$

$$= |K| + \sum_{k \in \overline{K}} {r - x_k \choose s}$$

$$= |K| + \sum_{k \in \overline{K}} \frac{r - x_k - s + 1}{s} {r - x_k \choose s - 1}$$

$$\leq |K| + \sum_{k \in \overline{K}} \frac{2}{s} {r \choose s - 1} (r - x_k - s)$$

$$= |K| + \frac{2}{s} {r \choose s - 1} (m_i - j).$$

For any  $k \in K$ , let  $S(F_k) := F_k \cap (\bigcup_{l=1}^{k-1} F_l)$  be the starting stop of  $F_k$  when  $F_k$  first occurs in w. List the elements in K as  $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{|K|}$  in an increasing order. Consider the sequence of stops  $S(F_{k_1}), S(F_{k_2}), \ldots, S(F_{k_{|K|}})$  (not necessarily distinct). Let z be the number of distinct stops in the sequence. If  $S(F_{k_l})$  does not appear the first time in the sequence above, then we consider the partial walk  $S_{k_{l-1}}F_{k_{l-1}}\ldots S_{k_l}F_{k_l}$ . Since  $F_{k_{l-1}}$  is a forward edge, there exists at least one backward edge  $F^{l'}$  for some  $l' \in (k_{l-1}, k_l)$ . Thus,

$$|K| \le z + |\overline{K}| \le z + m_i - j.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} (d'_S - 1) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} (d_S - 1) - z$$

$$\leq |K| + \frac{2}{s} {r \choose s - 1} (m_i - j) - z$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{s} {r \choose s - 1} (m_i - j) + m_i - j$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{2}{s} {r \choose s - 1}\right) (m_i - j).$$

The proof of this Lemma is finished.

**Lemma 11** For  $1 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$  and  $r \le j \le m_i$ , we have

$$|\mathcal{G}_{i}^{j}| \leq {t-2 \choose t-2i} i^{t-2i} \frac{1}{i+1} {2i \choose i} {r-s \choose s}^{t-i} \frac{n^{m_{i}}}{(s!)^{i+1} ((r-2s)!)^{i}} \left(\frac{C_{1} i^{C_{2}}}{n}\right)^{m_{i}-j}.$$

Here  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  depend only on r and s, independent of i, j, and n.

Corollary 1 For  $1 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$  and  $n \gg i^{C_2}$ , we have

$$|\mathcal{G}_i| \le (1 + o(1)) \binom{t - 2}{t - 2i} i^{t - 2i} \frac{1}{i + 1} \binom{2i}{i} \binom{r - s}{s}^{t - i} \frac{n^{m_i}}{(s!)^{i + 1} ((r - 2s)!)^i}.$$
 (22)

**Proof:** We can associate a walk  $w \in \mathcal{G}_i^j$  with a code of length t consisting of three symbols: '(', ')', and '\*'. We scan the edges of the walk w from left to right; if an edge appears first time, then we assign the code '('; if an edge appears second time, then we assign the code ')'; otherwise, we assign the code '\*'.

For example, consider the following good walk with i = 3, j = 8, and t = 8:

$$w = S_1 F_1 S_2 F_2 S_3 F_3 S_4 F_1 S_5 F_1 S_4 F_3 S_3 F_2 S_2 F_1 S_1$$

Here edges are:  $F_1 = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ ,  $F_2 = (4, 5, 6, 7, 8)$ ,  $F_3 = (7, 8, 5, 3, 4)$ . Stops are:  $S_1 = (1, 2)$ ,  $S_2 = (4, 5)$ ,  $S_3 = (7, 8)$ ,  $S_4 = (3, 4)$ ,  $S_5 = (2, 5)$ . The code for this walk is ((()\*)\*.

Since w has i distinct edges, there are i '('s, i ')'s, and (t-2i) '\*'s. Note that the number of '(' is always greater than or equal to the number of ')' at any point when the sequence is read from left to right; each '(' has a matched ')' in the sequence. The symbol '\*' starts at position three and up. There are  $\binom{t-2}{t-2i}$  ways to choose the '\*'-positions and  $\frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}$  ways to choose i matched parentheses (the Catalan number). The number of such codes is

$$\binom{t-2}{t-2i}\frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}$$
.

To construct a walk from a given code, we scan the symbols from left to right. The first symbol is always '('. There are  $\binom{n}{s}$ ) ways to choose the first stop  $S_1$  and  $\binom{n-s}{r-s}$  ways to choose the rest of vertices in the first edge  $F^1$ . Suppose that we already build a partial walk and need to decide the next stop and the next edge. There are at most  $\binom{r-s}{s}$  ways to choose the next stop S. The choices of selecting the next edge depends on the next available symbol in the code sequence. Let  $b_0$ , and  $b_1$  be the product of the number of ways to choose the next edge at the '(', ')', and '\*' positions respectively. We have

$$|\mathcal{G}_i^j| \le {t-2 \choose t-2i} \frac{1}{i+1} {2i \choose i} {n \choose s} {r-s \choose s}^t b_{(\cdot} b_* \cdot b_{)}. \tag{23}$$

First we estimate  $b_l$ , the number of ways to choose new edges  $F^1, \ldots, F^i$  given the first stop  $S_1$ . Besides the s vertices selected at the first stop, there are  $\binom{n-s}{j-s}$  ways to choose remaining j-s vertices. Recall that  $F^1, \ldots, F^i$  is the list of distinct edges in the order as they appear in w. For  $2 \le l \le i$ , let  $\tilde{F}^l := F^l \setminus (\bigcup_{l'=1}^{l-1} F^{l'})$ ,  $x_l := |\tilde{F}^l|$ , and  $y_l := r-s-x_l$ . We also define  $\tilde{F}^1 := F^1 \setminus S_1$ ;  $x_1 := |\tilde{F}^1| = r-s$ , and  $y_1 = 0$ . Note that  $\bigcup_{l=1}^i \tilde{F}^l$  forms a partition of the remaining (j-s) selected vertices. The number of ways to choose such a partition is

$$\frac{(j-s)!}{x_1!x_2!\cdots x_i!}.$$

To choose  $F_l$ , we need select  $x_l$  new vertices and  $y_l$  old vertices; each old vertex has at most j choices. We have

$$b_{\ell} \leq \sum_{x_2,\dots,x_i} {n-s \choose j-s} \frac{(j-s)!}{(r-s)!x_2!\dots x_i!} j^{\sum_{l=2}^i y_l}.$$

Observe that  $\sum_{l=2}^{i} y_l = m_i - j$  and

$$\frac{(j-s)!}{(r-s)!x_2!\cdots x_i!} \le \binom{j-s}{r-s} \frac{(m_i-r)!}{((r-s)!)^{i-1}}.$$

The number of ways to choose  $x_2, \ldots, x_i$  is the same as the number of ways to choose  $y_2, \ldots, y_i$ , which is  $\binom{m_i-j+i-2}{m_i-j} \leq (m_i-j+i-2)^{m_i-j}$ . Therefore,

$$b_{(} \leq \sum_{x_{2},\dots,x_{i}} {n-s \choose j-s} \frac{(j-s)!}{(r-s)!x_{2}! \cdots x_{i}!} j^{\sum_{l=2}^{i} y_{l}}$$

$$\leq {n-s \choose j-s} {j-s \choose r-s} \frac{(m_{i}-r)!}{((r-s)!)^{i-1}} (m_{i}-j+i-2)^{m_{i}-j} j^{m_{i}-j}$$

$$\leq {n-s \choose j-s} {j-s \choose r-s} \frac{(m_{i}-r)!}{((r-s)!)^{i-1}} \left(\frac{m_{i}+i-2}{2}\right)^{2(m_{i}-j)}.$$
(24)

There is at most i choices of edges at each '\*' position. Thus

$$b_* \le i^{t-2i}. (25)$$

It remains to bound  $b_j$ . We first present an easy bound for  $b_j$ . Edge F can be chosen at most one ')'-position. For any possible stop  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , S can appear at the )-positions at most  $d_S$  times; each occurrence of S involves different edges since we are considering the second occurrence of edges. Thus,

$$b_{)} \leq \prod_{S \in \mathcal{S}} d_{S}! \leq \prod_{S \in \mathcal{S}} d_{S}^{d_{S}-1} \leq i^{\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} (d_{S}-1)}.$$

We need a better upper bound for  $b_j$ . Consider a stop S which is first chosen at a ')'-position. Let F be the edge on the walk right before the ')'-position; i.e., the walk w enters S through F. If this F occurred before, then the choices of edges at ')'-positions starting with S is at most

$$(d_S - 1)! \le i^{d_S - 2}$$
.

If this F occurs first time and F is an forward edge, then there is only one choice for the next edge leaving S; namely F itself. In this case, the choices of edges at ')'-positions starting with S is at most

$$(d_S-1)! \le i^{d_S-2}.$$

In the remaining case, F must be a backward edge. The number of backward edges is at most  $m_i - j$ . Since F contains at most  $\binom{r}{s}$  stops, the number of such S is at most  $\binom{r}{s}(m_i - j)$ . A additional factor  $i^{\binom{r}{s}(m_i - j)}$  is enough. We have

$$b_{j} \leq i^{\binom{r}{s}(m_{i}-j)} \prod_{S:d_{S} \geq 2} i^{d_{S}-2}$$

$$\leq i^{\binom{r}{s}(m_{i}-j)} \prod_{S} i^{d'_{S}-1}$$

$$\leq i^{\binom{r}{s}(m_{i}-j)} i^{(1+\frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1})(m_{i}-j)}$$

$$= i^{\binom{r}{s}+1+\frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1}(m_{i}-j)}. \tag{26}$$

Combining equations (23), (24), (25), and (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}_{i}^{j}| & \leq \left(\frac{t-2}{t-2i}\right) \frac{1}{i+1} \binom{2i}{i} \binom{n}{s} \binom{r-s}{s}^{t} \binom{n-s}{j-s} \binom{j-s}{r-s} \\ & \frac{(m_{i}-r)!}{((r-s)!)^{i-1}} \left(\frac{m_{i}+i-2}{2}\right)^{2(m_{i}-j)} i^{t-2i} i^{\left(\binom{r}{s}\right)+1+\frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1}\right) (m_{i}-j)} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{t-2}{t-2i}\right) i^{t-2i} \frac{1}{i+1} \binom{2i}{i} \binom{r-s}{s}^{t-i} \frac{n^{j}}{(s!)^{i+1} ((r-2s)!)^{i}} \\ & \frac{(m_{i}-r)!}{(j-r)!} \left(\frac{m_{i}+i-2}{2}\right)^{2(m_{i}-j)} i^{\left(\binom{r}{s}\right)+1+\frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1}\right) (m_{i}-j)} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{t-2}{t-2i}\right) i^{t-2i} \frac{1}{i+1} \binom{2i}{i} \binom{r-s}{s}^{t-i} \frac{n^{m_{i}}}{(s!)^{i+1} ((r-2s)!)^{i}} \left(\frac{C_{1}i^{C_{2}}}{n}\right)^{m_{i}-j} \end{aligned}$$

Here we set  $C_1 = 4(r-s)^3$  and  $C_2 = {r \choose s} + 4 + \frac{2}{s} {r \choose s-1}$ .

**Lemma 12** If t = 2k is even, then we have

$$|\mathcal{G}_k^{m_k}| = \binom{n}{m_k} \frac{m_k!}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k} \binom{2k}{k}. \tag{27}$$

**Proof:** We will construct a bijection from  $\mathcal{G}_k^{m_k}$  to a triple  $(U, \mathcal{P}, C)$ , where U is a set of  $m_k$  vertices,  $\mathcal{P}$  is a partition of U into (k+1) s-sets and k (r-2s)-sets, and C is a code consisting of k pairs valid parentheses.

For any good walk  $w \in \mathcal{G}_k^{m_k}$ , let U be the set of vertices covered by w. Note each edge appears exactly twice. We define a graph T, whose vertices are the stops in w. Two stops are connected if they belong to one edge. Observe that T is acyclic and connected; T must be a tree. Since T has exactly k edges, T must have k+1 vertices. Hence w has exactly k+1 stops; we list them as  $S^0, S^1, \ldots, S^k$ . For  $1 \le i \le k$ , let  $E_i$  be the set of (r-2s) vertices in  $F^i$  but not in any stops. We get a partition:  $U = (\cup_{j=0}^i S^j) \cup (\cup_{j=1}^i E_j)$ . A code consists of k '(' and k ')' is generated as follows. When we scan the walk from left to right, if an edge appears the first time, we append the code by a '('; otherwise, we append the code by a ')'. The code is a valid sequence of k pairs of parentheses. (In this case, the number of '\*'s is zero.) It suffices to recover a walk from a partition of  $[m_k]$  and a sequence of valid parentheses.

Given a partition of U

$$\left(\cup_{j=0}^{i} S^{j}\right) \cup \left(\cup_{j=1}^{i} E_{j}\right)$$

and a sequence of k pairs valid parentheses, we first build a rooted tree T as follows. At each time, we maintain a tree T, a current stop S, a set of unused stops S. Initially T contains nothing but the root stop  $S_0$ ,  $S := S_0$ , and  $S = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k\}$ . At each time, read a symbol from the sequence. If the symbol is an open parenthesis, then find an  $S_i$  in S with index i as small as possible, delete  $S_i$  from S, attach  $S_i$  to T as a child stop of S, and let  $S := S_i$ ; if the symbol is ")", then let S point to the the parent stop of the current S. Repeat this process until all symbols from the sequence are processed.

Since every closed parenthesis has a matching open parenthesis, this process never get stuck. When the process ends, a rooted tree T on the vertex set  $\{S_0, \ldots, S_k\}$  is created. For  $1 \le i \le k$ , let  $F_i$  be the union of  $E_i$  and two ends of i-th edge, which created in the process. For example, for k = 3, if the sequence is (())(), then the corresponding good closed walk is

$$S_1F_1S_2F_2S_3F_2S_2F_1S_1F_3S_4F_3S_1$$

where  $F_1 = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup E_1$ ,  $F_2 = S_2 \cup S_3 \cup E_2$ , and  $F_3 = S_4 \cup S_1 \cup E_3$ .

Thus, this is a bijection from  $\mathcal{G}_k^{m_k}$  to all triples  $\{U, \mathcal{P}, C\}$ . The number of ways to choose  $m_k$  vertices is  $\binom{n}{m_k}$ . The number of ways to choose these sets  $S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_k, E_1, \ldots, E_k$  as a partition of U is

$$\binom{m_k}{s, \dots, s, r - 2s, \dots, r - 2s} = \frac{m_k!}{(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}.$$

The number of sequences of k pairs valid parentheses is the Catalan number  $\frac{1}{k+1} {2k \choose k}$ . By taking product of these three numbers, we get equation (27).

**Proof of Lemma 6:** By equations (21) and (22), we have

$$E(\operatorname{Trace}(C^t)) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} |\mathcal{G}_i| p^i (1-p)^i \le (1+o(1)) \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} a_i.$$

Here  $a_i := {t-2 \choose t-2i} i^{t-2i} \frac{1}{i+1} {2i \choose i} {r-s \choose s}^{t-i} \frac{n^{m_i} p^i (1-p)^i}{(s!)^{i+1} ((r-2s)!)^i}$ . We get

$$\frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}} = \frac{\binom{t-2}{t-2i}i^{t-2i}\frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}\binom{r-s}{s}^{t-i}\frac{n^{m_i}p^i(1-p)^i}{(s!)^{i+1}((r-2s)!)^i}}{\binom{t-2}{t-2i-2}i^{t-2i-2}\frac{1}{i+2}\binom{2i+2}{i+1}\binom{r-s}{s}^{t-i-1}\frac{n^{m_i}p^i+1}{(s!)^{i+2}((r-2s)!)^{i+1}}}$$

$$= \frac{i^3(2i-1)(i+2)}{(2i+1)(t-2i)(t-2i-1)}\frac{(r-s)!}{n^{r-s}p(1-p)}$$

$$< \frac{3i^4(r-s)!}{n^{r-s}p(1-p)}.$$

When  $n^{r-s}p(1-p)\gg t^4$ , we have  $a_i=o(a_{i+1})$ . Thus,

$$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^t)) \le (1 + o(1))a_{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor}.$$

When t = 2k, we get

$$E\left(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{2k})\right) \le (1+o(1)) \frac{n^{s+k(r-s)} {r-s \choose s}^k}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1} ((r-2s)!)^k} {2k \choose k} p^k (1-p)^k.$$

For t = 2k + 1, we have

$$E(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{2k+1})) \leq (1+o(1))a_k$$

$$\leq (1+o(1))\frac{2k^2n^{s+k(r-s)}\binom{r-s}{s}^{k+1}}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}p^k(1-p)^k.$$

Now we assume  $k = o(\log(n^{r-s}p(1-p)))$ . For t = 2k, let

$$b_k := |\mathcal{G}_k^{m_k}| p^k (1-p)^k = \binom{n}{m_k} \frac{m_k!}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k} \binom{2k}{k} p^k (1-p)^k.$$

It is clear that  $E(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{2k})) \geq b_k$ . We also have

$$E(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{2k})) - b_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |\mathcal{G}_i| p^i (1-p)^i + \sum_{j=r}^{m_k-1} |\mathcal{G}_k^j| p^k (1-p)^k$$

$$= (1+o(1)) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i + a_k \sum_{j=r}^{m_k-1} \left(\frac{C_1 k^{C_2}}{n}\right)^{m_k-j}.$$

Note 
$$a_k = (1 + o(1)) {r-s \choose s}^k b_k$$
 and  $a_i = O\left(a_k \left(\frac{k^4}{n^{r-s}p(1-p)}\right)^{k-i}\right)$ . We conclude

$$E(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{2k})) - b_k = O\left(b_k \binom{r-s}{s}^k \left(\frac{k^4}{n^{r-s}p(1-p)} + \frac{C_1k^{C_2}}{n}\right)\right) = o(b_k).$$

Here we use the fact  $\binom{r-s}{s}^k \left(\frac{k^4}{n^{r-s}p(1-p)} + \frac{C_1k^{C_2}}{n}\right) = o(1)$  since  $k = o(\log(n^{r-s}p(1-p)))$ .  $\square$ 

#### 4 The semicircle law

Let us review the definition of the Semicircle Law. Let F(x) be the continuous distribution function with density f(x) such that  $f(x) = (2/\pi)\sqrt{1-x^2}$  when  $|x| \le 1$  and f(x) = 0 when |x| > 1. Let A be a Hermitian matrix of dimension  $N \times N$ . The *empirical distribution* of the eigenvalues of A is

$$F(A,x) := \frac{1}{N} |\{ \text{ eigenvalues of } A \text{ less than } x \}|.$$

We say, the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of A asymptotically follows the Semicircle Law centered at c with radius R if  $F(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI),x)$  tends to F(x) in probability as N goes to infinity. (In this case, we write  $F(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI),x) \stackrel{p}{\to} F(x)$ .) If c is the center of the Semicircle Law, then any c'=c+o(R) is also the center of the Semicircle Law.

**Theorem 5** If  $n^{r-s}p(1-p) \to \infty$ , then the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of W - E(W) follows the semicircle law centered at 0 with radius  $2\sqrt{\binom{r-s}{s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}p(1-p)}$ .

**Proof:** Let 
$$R := 2\sqrt{\binom{r-s}{s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}p(1-p)}$$
,  $C := W - E(W)$ , and  $C_{nor} := \frac{1}{R}C$ .

To prove the theorem, we need to show that for any fixed t, the t-th moment of  $F(C_{nor}, x)$  (with n goes to infinity) is asymptotically equal to the t-th moment of F(x). We know the t-th moment of  $F(C_{nor}, x)$  equals  $\binom{n}{s}^{-1} \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C_{nor}^t))$ . For even t = 2k, the t-th moment of F(x) is  $(2k)!/2^{2k}k!(k+1)!$ . For odd t, the t-th moment of F(x) is 0.

In order to prove the theorem, we need to show for any fixed k,

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} E(\operatorname{Trace}(C_{nor}^{2k})) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{(2k)!}{2^{2k} k! (k+1)!}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} E(\operatorname{Trace}(C_{nor}^{2k+1})) = o(1).$$

We know

$$\mathbf{E}(\operatorname{Trace}(C^t_{nor})) = \frac{1}{R^t}\mathbf{E}(\operatorname{Trace}(C^t))$$

for any t. By Lemma 6, we have

$$E(\operatorname{Trace}(C^{2k})) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{n^{s+k(r-s)}}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k} \binom{2k}{k} p^k (1-p)^k.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C_{nor}^{2k})) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{(2k)!}{2^{2k} k! (k+1)!}$$

as desired.

By Lemma 6 again, we have

$$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k+1})) = O\left(\frac{2k^2n^{s+k(r-s)}p^k(1-p)^k\binom{r-s}{s}^{k+1}}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}\right).$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} E(\operatorname{Trace}(C_{nor}^{2k+1})) = O\left(\frac{2k^2 \binom{2k}{k} \binom{r-s}{s}^{k+1}}{2^{2k}(k+1)R}\right) = o(1).$$

Here k is any constant but  $R \to \infty$ . The theorem is proved.

The following Lemma is useful to derive the Semicircle Law from one matrix to the other.

**Lemma 13** Let A and B be two  $(N \times N)$ -Hermitian matrices. Suppose that the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of A follows the Semicircle Law centered at c with radius R. If either ||B|| = o(R) or the rank of B is o(N), then the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of A + B also follows the Semicircle Law centered at c with radius R.

**Proof:** It suffices to show  $F(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI),x) \stackrel{p}{\to} F(x)$ . First we assume ||B|| = o(R). By Lemma 1, for  $1 \le k \le N$ , we have

$$\left| \mu_k \left( \frac{1}{R} (A + B - cI) \right) - \mu_k \left( \frac{1}{R} (A - cI) \right) \right| \le \frac{\|B\|}{R} = o(1).$$

Hence

$$F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI),x-\frac{\|B\|}{R}\right) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI),x\right) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI),x+\frac{\|B\|}{R}\right).$$

Since ||B|| = o(R), we have  $F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI), x - \frac{||B||}{R}\right) \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$  and  $F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI), x + \frac{||B||}{R}\right) \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$ . By the Squeeze theorem, we have  $F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI), x\right) \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$ .

F(x). By the Squeeze theorem, we have  $F(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI),x) \stackrel{p}{\to} F(x)$ . Now we assume rank(B) = o(N). Let U be the kernel of B (i.e.  $B|_{U} = 0$ ); U has co-dimension rank(B). Let  $Z := \frac{1}{R}(A-cI)|_{U} = \frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI)|_{U}$ . By Cauchy's interlace theorem [23], for  $1 \le j \le N - \text{rank}(B)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \mu_j \left( \frac{1}{R} (A - cI) \right) & \leq & \mu_j(Z) \leq \mu_{j+\mathrm{rank}(B)} \left( \frac{1}{R} (A - cI) \right), \\ \mu_j \left( \frac{1}{R} (A + B - cI) \right) & \leq & \mu_j(Z) \leq \mu_{j+\mathrm{rank}(B)} \left( \frac{1}{R} (A + B - cI) \right). \end{split}$$

Thus, for  $rank(B) + 1 \le j \le N - rank(B)$ , we have

$$\mu_{j-\operatorname{rank}(B)}\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI)\right) \le \mu_{j}\left(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI)\right) \le \mu_{j+\operatorname{rank}(B)}\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI)\right).$$

It implies

$$F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI),x\right) - \frac{\operatorname{rank}(B)}{N} \le F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI),x\right) \le F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI),x\right) + \frac{\operatorname{rank}(B)}{N}.$$

Since rank(B) = o(N), we have  $F(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI),x) \pm \frac{\operatorname{rank}(B)}{N} \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$ . By the Squeeze theorem, we have  $F(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI),x) \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$ .

#### Proof of Theorem 3: Recall

$$\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r) - \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) = M_1 + M_2 + M_2 + M_4.$$

We can write  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p))$  as  $-M_2 + \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^s}{\binom{n}{s}}\right)I + B_1 - M_3 - M_4 - M_1$ , where  $B_1 = \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r) - \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^s}{\binom{n}{s}}\right)I$ .

By Theorem 5, the empirical distribution of the spectrum of W - E(W) follows the Semicircle Law centered at 0 with radius  $(2 + o(1))\sqrt{\binom{r-s}{s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}p(1-p)}$ . Since  $M_2 = \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}d}(W - E(W))$ ,  $\left(1 - \frac{(-1)^s}{\binom{n}{s}}\right)I - M_2$  follows the Semicircle Law centered at  $c := 1 - \frac{(-1)^s}{\binom{n}{s}}$  with radius  $R := (2 + o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{r-s}{s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}p}}$ . Note  $\frac{(-1)^s}{\binom{n}{s}} = o(R)$ . We can change the center to 1.

By Theorem 1,  $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r)$  has an eigenvalue  $1-(-1)^s\frac{\binom{n-s}{s}}{\binom{n}{s}}$  with multiplicity  $\binom{n}{s}-\binom{n}{s-1}$ . Thus  $B_1$  has rank  $\binom{n}{s-1}=o\left(\binom{n}{s}\right)$ . We also observe that  $M_4$  has rank at most 2,  $\|M_1\|=O\left(\frac{\sqrt{(1-p)\log N}}{d}\right)=o(R)$ , and  $\|M_3\|=O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{n\sqrt{d}}\right)=o(R)$ . Here we notice  $d\gg\log^{1/3}n$  and  $1-p\gg\frac{\log n}{n^2d^2}$ .

By Lemma 13, the matrices  $B_1$ ,  $M_1$ ,  $M_3$ , and  $M_4$  will not affect the Semicircle Law. The proof of this Lemma is finished.

### References

- [1] N. Alon, M. Krivelevich, and V. H. Vu, Concentration of eigenvalues of random matrices *Israel Math. J.* **131**, (2002) 259–267.
- [2] A. R. Calderbank and P. Frankl, Improved upper bounds concerning the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* 1, (1992) 115-122.
- [3] H. Chernoff, A note on an inequality involving the normal distribution, Ann. Probab., 9, (1981), 533-535.
- [4] F. Chung, Diameters and eigenvalues, J. of the Amer. Math. Soc, 2 (1989), 187-196.
- [5] F. Chung, The Laplacian of a hypergraph, In J. Friedman (Ed.), *Expanding graphs* (DIMACS series), 1993, 21-36.
- [6] F. Chung, V. Faber, and T.A. Manteuffel, An upper bound on the diameter of a graph from eigenvalues associated with its Laplacian, *Siam. J. Disc. Math*, **7-3** (1994), 443-457.
- [7] F. Chung, Spectral graph theory, AMS publications, 1997.
- [8] F. Chung, Laplacians and the Cheeger inequality for directed graphs, *Annals of Comb*, 9 (2005), 1-19.
- [9] F. Chung, The diameter and Laplacian eigenvalues of directed graphs, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, **13** (2006), N4.
- [10] F. Chung, L. Lu, and V. H. Vu, Eigenvalues of random power law graphs, Annals of Combinatorics, 7 (2003), 21-33.

- [11] F. Chung, L. Lu, and V. H. Vu, Spectra of random graphs with given expected degrees, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **100**(11) (2003), 6313-6318.
- [12] F. Chung and M. Radcliffe, On the spectra of general random graphs, Preprint.
- [13] A. Coja-Oghlan, On the Laplacian eigenvalues of G(n, p), Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, **16**(6) (2007), 923-946.
- [14] A. Coja-Oghlan and A. Lanka, The spectral gap of random graphs with given expected degrees, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, (2009) R138.
- [15] X. Ding and T. Jiang, Spectral distributions of adjacency and Laplacian matrices of random graphs, The Annals of Applied Probability, 20(6) (2010), 2086-2117.
- [16] P. Erdős, C. Ko,R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Oxford Series, series 2 12: (1961) 313-320.
- [17] U. Feige and E. Ofek, Spectral techniques applied to sparse random graphs, *Random Structures and Algorithms*, **27**(2) (2005), 251-275.
- [18] J. Friedman, J. Kahn, and E. Szemerédi, On the second eigenvalue in random regular graphs, in Proc. 21st ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, 1989, 587-598.
- [19] J. Friedman, On the second eigenvalue and random walks in randomd-regular graphs, Combinatorica 11, Number 4, (1991) 331-362.
- [20] J. Friedman, A Proof of Alon's Second Eigenvalue Conjecture and Related Problem, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society 2008; 100 pp.
- [21] Z. Füredi and J. Komlós. The eigenvalues of random symmetric matrices, Combinatorica, 1(3) 1981, 233-241.
- [22] C. Godsil and G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [23] S.-G. Hwang, Cauchy's interlace theorem for eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices, *Amer.Math. Monthly* **111** (2004), 157-159.
- [24] G. O. H. Katona, A simple proof of the Erdős-Chao Ko-Rado theorem, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, Series B 13: (1972) 183-184.
- [25] M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov, The largest eigenvalue of sparse random graphs, *Combinatorics*, *Probability and Computing*, **12** (2003), 61-72.
- [26] L. Lu and X. Peng, High-Ordered Random Walks and Generalized Laplacians on Hypergraphs, in A. Frieze, P. Horn, P. Pralat (Eds.): Algorithms and Models for the Web Graph 8th International Workshop, (WAW 2011) Proceedings. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 6732 Springer 2011, 14-25.
- [27] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics IV: Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, 1978.
- [28] J. A. Rodríguez, On the Laplacian Spectrum and Walk-regular Hypergraphs, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 51 (3) (2003), 285-297.
- [29] J. A. Rodríguez, Laplacian eigenvalues and partition problems in hypergraphs, Applied Mathematics Letters, 22 (2009), 916-921.