

NUMERICAL SCHEMES FOR G -EXPECTATIONS

YAN DOLINSKY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ETH, ZURICH
SWITZERLAND

ABSTRACT. We consider a discrete time analog of G -expectations and we prove that in the case where the time step goes to 0 the corresponding values converge to the original G -expectation. Furthermore we provide error estimates for the convergence rate. This paper is continuation of [4]. Our main tool is a strong approximation theorem which we derive for general discrete time martingales.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study numerical schemes for G -expectations, which were introduced recently by Peng (see [7] and [8]). A G -expectation is a sublinear function which maps random variables on the canonical space $\Omega := C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ to the real numbers. The motivation to study G -expectations comes from mathematical finance, in particular from risk measures (see [6] and [9]) and pricing under volatility uncertainty (see [2], [6] and [12]).

Our starting point is the dual view on G -expectation via volatility uncertainty (see [1]), which yields the representation $\xi \rightarrow \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[\xi]$ where \mathcal{P} is the set of probabilities on $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that under any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the canonical process B is a martingale with volatility $d\langle B \rangle/dt$ taking values in a compact convex subset $\mathbf{D} \subset \mathbb{S}_+^d$ of positive definite matrices. Thus the set \mathbf{D} can be understood as the domain of (Knightian) volatility uncertainty and the functional above represents the European option (with reward ξ) super-hedging price. For details see ([2] and [6]).

In the current work we assume that ξ is of the form $F(B, \langle B \rangle)$ where F is a path-dependent functional which satisfies some regularity conditions. In particular, ξ can represent an award of path dependent European contingent claim. In this case the reward is a functional of the stock price which is equal to the Doolean exponential of the canonical process, and so quadratic variation appears naturally.

In [4], the authors introduced a volatility uncertainty in discrete time and an analog of the Peng G -expectation. They proved that the discrete time values converge to the continuous time G -expectation. The main tools that were used there are the weak convergence machinery together with a randomization technique. The main disadvantage of the weak convergence approach is that it can not provide error estimates. In order to obtain error estimates we should consider all the market

Date: November 11, 2018.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60F15, 60G44, 91B24.

Key words and phrases. G -expectations, volatility uncertainty, strong approximation theorems.

I am very grateful to M.Nutz, H.M.Soner and O.Zeitouni for valuable discussions.

models on the same probability space, and so methods based on strong approximation theorems come into picture. In this paper we consider a bit different (than in [4]) discrete time analog of G -expectation and prove that in a case where the time step goes to 0 the corresponding values converge to the original G -expectation. Furthermore, by deriving a strong invariance principle for general discrete time martingales, we are able to provide error estimates for the convergence rate.

The paper is organized as following. In the next section we introduce the setup and formulate the main results. In Section 3 we present the main machinery which we are use, namely we obtain a strong approximation theorem for general martingales. In Section 4 we derive auxiliary lemmas that we use for the proof of the main results. In Section 5 we complete the proof of Theorems 2.2–2.3.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

We fix the dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the sup Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^d . Moreover, we denote by \mathbb{S}^d the space of $d \times d$ symmetric matrices and by \mathbb{S}_+^d its subset of nonnegative definite matrices. Consider the space \mathbb{S}^d with the operator norm $\|A\| = \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|A(v)\|$. We fix a nonempty, convex and compact set $\mathbf{D} \subset \mathbb{S}_+^d$; the elements of \mathbf{D} will be the possible values of our volatility process. Denote by $\Omega = C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\Gamma = C([0, T]; \mathbb{S}^d)$, the spaces of continuous functions with values in \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{S}^d , respectively. We consider these spaces with the sup norm $\|x\| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|x_t\|$. Let $F : \Omega \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function which satisfies the following assumption. There exists constants $H_1, H_2 > 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad |F(u_1, v_1) - F(u_2, v_2)| \leq H_1 \exp(H_2(\|u_1\| + \|u_2\| + \|v_1\| + \|v_2\|)) \times (\|u_1 - u_2\| + \|v_1 - v_2\|), \quad u_1, u_2 \in \Omega, \quad v_1, v_2 \in \Gamma.$$

Without loss of generality we assume that the maturity date $T = 1$. We denote by $B = (B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ the canonical process (on the space Ω) $B_t(\omega) = \omega_t$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and by $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(B_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$ the canonical filtration. A probability measure P on Ω is called a *martingale law* if B is a P -martingale (with respect to the filtration \mathcal{F}_t) and $B_0 = 0$ P -a.s. (all our martingales start at the origin). We set

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{D}} = \{P \text{ martingale law on } \Omega : d\langle B \rangle / dt \in \mathbf{D}, P \times dt \text{ a.s.}\},$$

observe that under any measure $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{D}}$ the stochastic processes B and $\langle B \rangle$, are random elements in Ω and Γ , respectively. Consider the G -expectation

$$(2.3) \quad V = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{D}}} E_P F(B, \langle B \rangle)$$

where E_P denotes the expectation with respect to P . A measure $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{D}}$ will be called ϵ -optimal if

$$(2.4) \quad V < \epsilon + E_P F(B, \langle B \rangle).$$

Our goal is to find discrete time approximations for V . The advantage of discrete time approximations is that the corresponding values can be calculated by dynamical programming. Furthermore, we will apply these approximations in order to find ϵ -optimal measures in the continuous time setting.

Remark 2.1. Let $S = \{(S_t^1, \dots, S_t^d)\}_{t=0}^1$ be the Doolean's exponential $\mathcal{E}(B)$ of the canonical process B , namely $S_t^i = S_0^i \exp(B_t^i - \langle B^i \rangle_t)$, $i \leq d$, $t \in [0, 1]$. The stochastic process S represents the stock prices in a financial model with volatility uncertainty. Clearly any random variable of the form $g(S)$ where $g : C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow$

\mathbb{R}_+ is a Lipschitz continuous function, can be written in the form $g(S) = F(B, \langle B \rangle)$ for a suitable F which satisfies (2.1). Thus we see that our setup includes payoffs which correspond to path dependent European options.

Next, we formulate the main approximation results. Let ν be a distribution on \mathbb{R}^d which satisfies the following

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x d\nu(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x^i x^j d\nu(x) = \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker-Delta. Furthermore, we assume that the moment generating function $\psi_\nu(y) := \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \exp(\sum_{i=1}^d x^i y^i) d\nu(x) < \infty$ exists for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and, for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{y \in K} \psi_\nu^n \left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{n}} \right) < \infty.$$

Observe that the standard d -dimensional normal distribution $\nu = N(0, I)$ is satisfying the assumptions (2.5)–(2.6).

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Y_1, \dots, Y_n be a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors with $\mathcal{L}(Y_1) = \nu$, i.e., the distribution of the random vectors is ν . We denote by \mathcal{A}_n^ν the set of all d -dimensional stochastic process $M = (M_0, \dots, M_n)$ of the form, $M_0 = 0$ and

$$(2.7) \quad M_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \phi_j(Y_1, \dots, Y_{j-1}) Y_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

where $\phi_j : (\mathbb{R}^d)^{j-1} \rightarrow \sqrt{\mathbf{D}} := \{\sqrt{A} : A \in \mathbf{D}\}$ and Y_1, \dots, Y_n are column vectors. As usual for a matrix $A \in \mathbb{S}_+^d$ we denote by \sqrt{A} the unique square root in \mathbb{S}_+^d . Observe that M is a martingale under the filtration which is generated by Y_1, \dots, Y_n . Let $\langle M \rangle$ be the (\mathbb{S}_+^d) valued predictable variation of M . In view of (2.5) we get

$$(2.8) \quad \langle M \rangle_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^k \phi_j^2(Y_1, \dots, Y_{j-1}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

and we set $\langle M \rangle_0 = 0$. Let $\mathcal{W}_n : (\mathbb{R}^d)^{n+1} \times (\mathbb{S}^d)^{n+1} \rightarrow \Omega \times \Gamma$ be the linear interpolation operator given by

$\mathcal{W}_n(u, v)(t) := ([nt] + 1 - nt)(u_{[nt]}, v_{[nt]}) + (nt - [nt])(u_{[nt]+1}, v_{[nt]+1})$, $t \in [0, 1]$
 where $u = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n)$, $v = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and $[z]$ denotes the integer part of z . Set

$$(2.9) \quad V_n^\nu = \sup_{M \in \mathcal{A}_n^\nu} \mathbb{E}F(\mathcal{W}_n(M, \langle M \rangle)),$$

we denote by \mathbb{E} the expectation with respect to the underlying probability measure. The following theorem which will be proved in Section 5 is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2.2. *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon = \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(\nu)$ which depends only on the distribution ν such that*

$$(2.10) \quad |V_n^\nu - V| \leq \mathcal{C}_\epsilon n^{\epsilon-1/8}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Furthermore, if the function F is bounded, then there exists a constant $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\nu)$ for which

$$(2.11) \quad |V_n^\nu - V| \leq \mathcal{C} n^{-1/8}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Next, we describe a dynamical programming algorithm for V_n^ν and for the optimal control, which in general should not be unique. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and define a sequence of functions $J_k^{\nu,n} : (\mathbb{R}^d)^{k+1} \times (\mathbb{S}^d)^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ by the backward recursion

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} J_n^{\nu,n}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n) &= F(\mathcal{W}_n(u, v)) \quad \text{and} \\ J_k^{\nu,n}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k) &= \\ \sup_{\gamma \in \sqrt{\mathbf{D}}} \mathbb{E} \left(J_{k+1}^{\nu,n} \left(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, u_k + \frac{\gamma Y_{k+1}}{\sqrt{n}}, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k, v_k + \frac{\gamma^2}{n} \right) \right) \\ \sup_{\gamma \in \sqrt{\mathbf{D}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J_{k+1}^{\nu,n} \left(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, u_k + \frac{\gamma x}{\sqrt{n}}, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k, v_k + \frac{\gamma^2}{n} \right) d\nu(x) \\ &\quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1) and (2.6) it follows that there exists a constant \hat{H} such that

$$J_k^{\nu,n}(u_0, \dots, u_k, v_0, \dots, v_k) \leq \hat{H} \exp \left((H_2 + 1) \sum_{i=0}^k (||u_i|| + ||v_i||) \right), \quad \forall k, u_0, \dots, u_k, v_0, \dots, v_k.$$

Fix k . By applying (2.6) again we conclude that for any compact sets $K_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $K_2 \subset \mathbb{S}_+^d$, the family of random variables

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k+1}^{\nu,n} \left(u_0, \dots, u_k, u_k + \frac{\gamma Y_{k+1}}{\sqrt{n}}, v_0, \dots, v_k, v_k + \frac{\gamma^2}{n} \right), \\ \gamma \in \sqrt{\mathbf{D}}, \quad u_0, \dots, u_k \in K_1, \quad v_0, \dots, v_k \in K_2 \end{aligned}$$

is uniformly integrable. This together with the fact that the set \mathbf{D} is compact gives (by backward induction) that for any k , the function $J_k^{\nu,n}$ is continuous. Thus we can introduce the functions $h_k^{\nu,n} : (\mathbb{R}^d)^{k+1} \times (\mathbb{S}^d)^{k+1} \rightarrow \sqrt{\mathbf{D}}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ by

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} h_k^{\nu,n}(u_0, \dots, u_k, v_0, \dots, v_k) &= \\ \arg \max_{\gamma \in \sqrt{\mathbf{D}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J_{k+1}^{\nu,n} \left(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, u_k + \frac{\gamma x}{\sqrt{n}}, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k, v_k + \frac{\gamma^2}{n} \right) d\nu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, define by induction the stochastic processes $\{M_k^{\nu,n}\}_{k=0}^n$ and $\{N_k^{\nu,n}\}_{k=0}^n$, with values in \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{S}^d , respectively by $M_0^{\nu,n} = 0$, $N_0^{\nu,n} = 0$ and for $k < n$

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} N_{k+1}^{\nu,n} &= N_k^{\nu,n} + \frac{1}{n} (h_k^{\nu,n}(M_0^{\nu,n}, \dots, M_k^{\nu,n}, N_0^{\nu,n}, \dots, N_k^{\nu,n}))^2 \\ \text{and } M_{k+1}^{\nu,n} &= M_k^{\nu,n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} h_k^{\nu,n}(M_0^{\nu,n}, \dots, M_k^{\nu,n}, N_0^{\nu,n}, \dots, N_k^{\nu,n}) Y_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $M^{\nu,n} \in \mathcal{A}_n^\nu$ and $N^{\nu,n} = \langle M^{\nu,n} \rangle$. From the dynamical programming principle it follows that

$$(2.15) \quad V_n^\nu = J_0^{\nu,n}(0, 0) = \mathbb{E} F(\mathcal{W}_n(M^{\nu,n}, \langle M^{\nu,n} \rangle)).$$

In the following theorem (which will be proved in Section 5) we provide an explicit construction of ϵ -optimal measures for the G -expectation which is defined in (2.3).

Theorem 2.3. *Let $(\Omega^W, \mathcal{F}^W, \mathbb{P}^W)$ be a complete probability space together with a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion $\{W_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ and its natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t^W = \sigma\{W(s) | s \leq t\}$. Consider the standard normal distribution $\nu_g = \mathcal{N}(0, I)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_n : (\mathbb{R}^d)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function which is satisfying $f_n(Y_1^g, \dots, Y_n^g) = M_n^{\nu_g, n}$, where Y_1^g, \dots, Y_n^g are i.i.d. and $\mathcal{L}(Y_1^g) = \nu_g$. Observe that f_n can be calculated from (2.12)–(2.14). Define the continuous stochastic process $\{M_t^n\}_{t=0}^1$ by*

$$(2.16) \quad M_t^n = \mathbb{E}^W \left(f_n \left(\sqrt{n} W_{\frac{1}{n}}, \sqrt{n} (W_{\frac{2}{n}} - W_{\frac{1}{n}}), \dots, \sqrt{n} (W_1 - W_{\frac{n-1}{n}}) \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t^W \right), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

where \mathbb{E}^W denotes the expectation with respect to \mathbb{P}^W . Let P_n be the distribution of M^n on the canonical space Ω . Then $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_D$, and for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant \tilde{C}_ϵ such that

$$(2.17) \quad V < E_n F(B, \langle B \rangle) + \tilde{C}_\epsilon n^{\epsilon-1/8}, \quad \forall n$$

where E_n denotes the expectation with respect to P_n . If the function F is bounded then there exists a constant \tilde{C} for which

$$(2.18) \quad V < E_n F(B, \langle B \rangle) + \tilde{C} n^{-1/8}, \quad \forall n.$$

3. THE MAIN TOOL

In this section we derive a strong approximation theorem (Lemma 3.2) which is the main tool in the proof of Theorems 2.2–2.3. This theorem is an extension of the main result in [11].

For any two distributions ν_1, ν_2 on the same measurable space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ we define the distance in variation

$$(3.1) \quad \rho(\nu_1, \nu_2) = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |\nu_1(B) - \nu_2(B)|.$$

First we state some results (without a proof) from [11] (Lemmas 4.5 and 7.2 in [11]) that will be used in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.1.

i. There exists a distribution μ on \mathbb{R}^d which is supported on the set $(-1/2, 1/2)^d$ and has the following property. There exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for any distributions ν_1, ν_2 on \mathbb{R}^d which satisfy

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x d\nu_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x d\nu_2(x) \quad \text{and for } 1 \leq i, j \leq d \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x^i x^j d\nu_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x^i x^j d\nu_2(x)$$

we have

$$(3.3) \quad \rho(\nu_1 * \mu, \nu_2 * \mu) \leq C_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x\|^3 d\nu_1(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x\|^3 d\nu_2(x) \right)$$

*where $\nu * \mu$ denotes the convolution of the measures ν and μ .*

ii. Let $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ be a probability space together with a d -dimensional random vector Y , a m -dimensional random vector Z (m is some natural number), and a random variable α which is distributed uniformly on the interval $[0, 1]$ and independent of Y and Z . Let ν be a distribution on \mathbb{R}^d and let $\hat{\nu}$ be a distribution on $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\hat{\nu}(A \times \mathbb{R}^d) = \tilde{P}(Z \in A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, i.e. a marginal distribution of $\hat{\nu}$ on \mathbb{R}^m is equals to $\mathcal{L}(Z)$. There exists a measurable function $\Phi = \Phi_{\nu, \hat{\nu}, \mathcal{L}(Z, Y)} : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for the vector

$$(3.4) \quad (U, X) := \Phi(Z, Y, \alpha)$$

we have the following: $\mathcal{L}(U) = \nu$, $\mathcal{L}(Z, X) = \hat{\nu}$, U is independent of X, Z and

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{P}(U + X \neq Y | Z) = \rho(\mathcal{L}(U) * \mathcal{L}(X|Z), \mathcal{L}(Y|Z)).$$

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section. For any stochastic process $Z = \{Z_k\}_{k=0}^n$ we denote $\Delta Z_k := Z_k - Z_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 0$, where we set $Z_{-1} = 0$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider a d -dimensional martingale $\{M_k\}_{k=0}^n$ with respect to its

natural filtration, which satisfies $M_0 = 0$. For any $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $\phi_k : (\mathbb{R}^d)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$ be a measurable map such that

$$(3.6) \quad \sqrt{\Delta \langle M \rangle_k} = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\Delta M_k \Delta M'_k | \sigma\{M_0, M_1, \dots, M_{k-1}\})} = \phi_k(\Delta M_0, \Delta M_1, \dots, \Delta M_{k-1}),$$

where $\{\langle M \rangle_k\}_{k=0}^n$ is the predictable variation (\mathbb{S}_+^d valued) of M and the symbol \cdot' denotes transposition. We assume that there exists a constant H for which

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbb{E}(\|\Delta M_k\|^3 | \sigma\{M_0, \dots, M_{k-1}\}) + \|\sqrt{\Delta \langle M \rangle_k}\|^3 \leq H, \quad a.s. \quad \forall k.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let ν a distribution on \mathbb{R}^d such that*

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x d\nu(x) = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x^i x^j d\nu(x) = \delta_{ij} \quad \forall i, j \leq d$$

and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x\|^3 d\nu(x) < \infty$.

For any $\Theta > 0$ its possible to construct the martingale $\{M_k\}_{k=0}^n$ on some probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ together with a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors Y_1, \dots, Y_n with the following properties:

- i. $\mathcal{L}(Y_1) = \nu$.
- ii. For any k , the random vectors M_1, \dots, M_{k-1} are independent of Y_k .
- iii. There exists a constant $\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_2(\nu)$ which depends only on the distribution ν such that

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|M_k - \sum_{j=1}^k \sqrt{\Delta \langle M \rangle_j} Y_j\| > \Theta \right) \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_2 H n}{\Theta^3}.$$

Proof. Fix $\Theta > 0$. For any k let ν_k be the distribution of the random vector $\frac{1}{\Theta}(\Delta M_0, \dots, \Delta M_k)$. Let $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ be a probability space which contains a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors Y_1, \dots, Y_n such that $\mathcal{L}(Y_1) = \nu$, a sequence of i.i.d. random variables $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ which are distributed uniformly on the interval $[0, 1]$ and independent of Y_1, \dots, Y_n , and a random vector U_0 which is independent of $Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and satisfies $\mathcal{L}(U_0) = \mu$, where the distribution μ is defined in the first part of Lemma 3.1. Define the sequences $\{X_i\}_{i=0}^n$ and $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ by the following recursive relations, $X_0 = 0$ and

$$(3.10) \quad (U_k, X_k) = \Psi_{\mu, \nu_k, \hat{\nu}_k}(X_0, \dots, X_{k-1}, U_{k-1} + \frac{1}{\Theta} \phi_k(\Theta X_0, \dots, \Theta X_{k-1}) Y_k, \alpha_k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

where $\hat{\nu}_k$ is the distribution of $(X_0, \dots, X_{k-1}, U_{k-1} + \frac{1}{\Theta} \phi_k(\Theta X_0, \dots, \Theta X_{k-1}) Y_k)$. From the definition of the map Ψ it follows (by induction) that $\mathcal{L}(\Theta X_0, \dots, \Theta X_n) = \mathcal{L}(\Delta M_0, \dots, \Delta M_n)$. We conclude that the the stochastic process $\Theta \sum_{i=0}^k X_i$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ is distributed as $\{M_k\}_{k=0}^n$, and so we set,

$$(3.11) \quad M_k = \Theta \sum_{i=0}^k X_i, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

Let $1 \leq k \leq n$. From (3.10)–(3.11) and the fact that Y_k is independent of $Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}$ it follows that Y_k is independent of M_0, \dots, M_{k-1} . Thus in order to complete the proof, it remains to establish (3.9). Set

$$(3.12) \quad \delta_k = U_k + X_k - U_{k-1} - \frac{1}{\Theta} \phi_k(\Theta X_0, \dots, \Theta X_{k-1}) Y_k, \quad \text{and } \rho_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) \\ = \tilde{P}(\delta_k \neq 0 | X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_{k-1} = x_{k-1}), \quad x_0, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

From the properties of the map Ψ it follows that for any k , U_k is independent of X_0, \dots, X_k and $\mathcal{L}(U_k) = \mu$. This together with (3.5) and (3.10) gives

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) &= \rho(\mathcal{L}(X_k | X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_{k-1} = x_{k-1}) * \mu, \\ &\mathcal{L}(\frac{1}{\Theta} \phi(\Theta x_0, \dots, \Theta x_{k-1}) Y_k) * \mu) \quad x_0, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.3), (3.6)–(3.7), (3.11) and (3.13)

$$(3.14) \quad \rho_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_2 H}{\Theta^3}, \quad x_0, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

for some constant $\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_2(\nu)$ which depends only on the distribution ν . From (3.11)–(3.12), (3.14) and the fact that $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \|U_k\| < \frac{1}{2}$ a.s. we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|M_k - \sum_{j=1}^k \sqrt{\Delta \langle M \rangle_j} Y_j\| > \Theta \right) = \\ &\tilde{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|M_k - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \phi_j(\Delta M_0, \dots, \Delta M_j) Y_{j+1}\| > \Theta \right) = \\ &\tilde{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \Theta \|\sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i + U_0 - U_k\| > \Theta \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{P}(\delta_i \neq 0) \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_2 H n}{\Theta^3} \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude the proof. \square

4. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

In this section we derive several estimates which are essential for the proof of Theorem 2.2–2.3. We start with the following general result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\{M_t\}_{t=0}^1$ be a one dimensional continuous martingale which satisfies $\frac{d\langle M \rangle_t}{dt} \leq \mathcal{H}$ a.s. for some constant \mathcal{H} . Consider the discrete time martingale $N_k = M_{k/n}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ together with its predictable variation process $\{\langle N \rangle_k\}_{k=0}^n$ which is given by $\langle N \rangle_0 = 0$ and*

$$\langle N \rangle_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}((\Delta N_i)^2 | \sigma\{N_0, \dots, N_{i-1}\}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

There exists constants $\mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_4$ (which depend only on \mathcal{H} such that)

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \max_{k/n \leq t \leq (k+1)/n} |M_t - N_k|^4 \right) \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_3}{n}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \max_{k/n \leq t \leq (k+1)/n} |\langle M \rangle_t - \langle N \rangle_k|^2 \right) \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_4}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Proof. From the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality it follows that there exists a constant c_1 such that

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \max_{k/n \leq t \leq (k+1)/n} |M_t - N_k|^4 \right) \leq \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left(\max_{k/n \leq t \leq (k+1)/n} |M_t - M_{k/n}|^4 \right) \leq \\ &c_1 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left(|\langle M \rangle_{(k+1)/n} - \langle M \rangle_{k/n}|^2 \right) \leq c_1 n \frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{n^2} = \frac{c_1 \mathcal{H}^2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

this completes the proof of (4.1). Next, we prove (4.2). Define the optional variation of the martingale $\{N_k\}_{k=0}^n$ by $[N]_0 = 0$ and

$$(4.4) \quad [N]_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (\Delta N_i)^2, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

From the relation $\mathbb{E}(\Delta[N]_k | \sigma\{N_0, \dots, N_{k-1}\}) = \Delta\langle N \rangle_k$ and the Doob–Kolmogorov inequality we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |[N]_k - \langle N \rangle_k|^2) &\leq 4\mathbb{E}(|[N]_n - \langle N \rangle_n|^2) = \\ 4\mathbb{E}(|\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta[N]_i - \Delta\langle N \rangle_i|^2) &= 4\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(|\Delta[N]_i - \Delta\langle N \rangle_i|^2) \leq \\ 4\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}((\Delta[N]_i)^2) &= 4\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(|M_{i/n} - M_{(i-1)/n}|^4) \leq \frac{4c_1 \mathcal{H}^2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality. Next, observe that

$$(4.6) \quad [N]_k = N_k^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} N_i(N_{i+1} - N_i) = N_k^2 - 2\int_0^{k/n} N_{[nt]} dM_t, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

From the Doob–Kolmogorov inequality and Ito’s Isometry we get

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 \leq u \leq 1} \left|\int_0^u (M_t - N_{[nt]}) dM_t\right|^2\right) &\leq \\ 4\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\int_0^1 (M_t - N_{[nt]}) dM_t\right|^2\right) &= 4\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^1 (M_t - N_{[nt]})^2 d\langle M \rangle_t\right) \leq \\ 4\mathcal{H}\mathbb{E}\left(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \max_{k/n \leq t \leq (k+1)/n} |M_t - N_k|^2\right) &\leq \frac{4\mathcal{H}\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_3}}{\sqrt{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality follows from (4.1) and Jensen’s inequality. From (4.6)–(4.7) and the equality $2\int_0^{k/n} M_t dM_t = N_k^2 - \langle M \rangle_{k/n}$ it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |[N]_k - \langle M \rangle_{k/n}|^2\right) \leq \frac{16\mathcal{H}\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_3}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

This together with (4.5) and the inequality $(a + b + c)^2 \leq 4(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)$ yields

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \max_{k/n \leq t \leq (k+1)/n} |\langle M \rangle_t - \langle N \rangle_k|^2\right) &\leq \\ \frac{4\mathcal{H}^2}{n^2} + 4\mathbb{E}\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |[N]_k - \langle M \rangle_{k/n}|^2\right) + 4\mathbb{E}\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |[N]_k - \langle N \rangle_k|^2\right) & \\ \leq \frac{4\mathcal{H}^2}{n^2} + \frac{64\mathcal{H}\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_3}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{16c_1 \mathcal{H}^2}{n} & \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed. \square

Next, we apply the above lemma in order to derive some estimates in our setup.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_D$. Consider the d -dimensional martingale $N_k = B_{k/n}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ together with its predictable variation $\{\langle N \rangle_k\}_{k=0}^n$, under the measure P . There exists a constant \mathcal{C}_5 (which is independent of n and P) such that*

$$(4.9) \quad E_P(|\mathcal{W}_n(N) - B|^2) \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_5}{\sqrt{n}}$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad E_P(|\mathcal{W}_n(\langle N \rangle) - \langle B \rangle|^2) \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_5}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

In the equations (4.9) and (4.10), \mathcal{W}_n is the linear interpolation operator which is defined on the spaces $(\mathbb{R}^d)^{n+1}$ and $(\mathbb{S}^d)^{n+1}$, respectively.

Proof. Inequality (4.9) follows immediately from (4.1) and the relation

$$\|\mathcal{W}_n(N) - B\| \leq 2\sum_{i=1}^d \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \max_{k/n \leq t \leq (k+1)/n} |N_k^i - B_t^i|.$$

Next, we prove (4.10). For any $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ denote by $\langle N \rangle_k^{i,j}$ and $\langle B \rangle_t^{i,j}$, the i -th row and the j -th column of the matrices $\langle N \rangle_k$ and $\langle B \rangle_t$, respectively. Notice that $\langle B \rangle_t^{i,j} = \frac{1}{2}(\langle B^i + B^j \rangle_t - \langle B^i \rangle_t - \langle B^j \rangle_t)$ and $\langle N \rangle_k^{i,j} = \frac{1}{2}(\langle N^i + N^j \rangle_k - \langle N^i \rangle_k - \langle N^j \rangle_k)$. Thus (4.10) follows from (4.2) and the inequality

$$\|\mathcal{W}_n(\langle N \rangle) - \langle B \rangle\| \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \max_{k/n \leq t \leq (k+1)/n} |\langle N \rangle_k^{i,j} - \langle B \rangle_t^{i,j}|.$$

□

We conclude this section with the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $A > 0$. Then we have:*

i.

$$(4.11) \quad \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_D} E_P \exp(A \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|B_t\|) < \infty.$$

ii. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and ν be a distribution which satisfies (2.5)–(2.6). Consider a filtered probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k\}_{k=0}^n, \tilde{P})$ together with a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors Y_1, \dots, Y_n which satisfy $\mathcal{L}(Y_1) = \nu$. Assume that for any i , Y_i is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i$ measurable and independent of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{i-1}$. Let $\{M_k\}_{k=0}^n$ be a d -dimensional stochastic process of the following form: $M_0 = 0$ and

$$(4.12) \quad M_k = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}} \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i Y_i, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

where for any i , γ_i is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{i-1}$ measurable random matrix, which takes values in \sqrt{D} . There exists a constant \mathcal{C}_6 (which may depend on A and ν) such that

$$(4.13) \quad \exp\left(A \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \|M_k\|\right) < \mathcal{C}_6.$$

Proof. i. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_D$. From the Novikov condition it follows that for any $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $E_P \exp\left(aB_1^i - \frac{a^2}{2}\langle B^i \rangle_1\right) = 1$. Thus

$$E_P(\exp(a|B_1^i|)) \leq E_P(\exp(aB_1^i)) + E_P(\exp(-aB_1^i)) \leq 2 \exp\left(\frac{a^2}{2}\|\mathbf{D}\|\right)$$

where $\|\mathbf{D}\| = \sup_{D \in \mathbf{D}} \|D\|$. This together with the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality completes the proof of (4.11).

ii. Consider the compact set $K := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq \|\sqrt{D}\|\}$. Clearly, the rows of the matrices γ_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ are in K . Fix $1 \leq i \leq d$ and consider the i -th component of the process M , namely we consider the process (M_0^i, \dots, M_n^i) . From (4.12) we get that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp(a(M_k^i - M_{k-1}^i)) \middle| \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{k-1}\right) \leq \sup_{y \in K} \psi_\nu\left(\frac{ay}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

where ψ_ν is the function which is defined below (2.5). This together with (2.6) gives

$$(4.14) \quad \mathbb{E}(\exp(aM_n^i)) \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{y \in K} \psi_\nu^n\left(\frac{ay}{\sqrt{n}}\right) < \infty.$$

From the inequality $\mathbb{E} \exp(|aM_n^i|) \leq \mathbb{E} (\exp(aM_n^i)) + \mathbb{E} (\exp(-aM_n^i))$ and the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality it follows that there exists a constant c_2 (which may depend on A and ν) such that

$$(4.15) \quad \mathbb{E}(\exp(A\|M_n\|)) < c_2.$$

Finally, since for any i the process M_k^i , $k \leq n$ is a martingale with respect to the filtration $\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k\}_{k=0}^n$ we conclude that the stochastic process $\{\exp(A\|M_k\|/2)\}_{k=0}^n$ is a sub-martingale and so, from (4.15) and the Doob–Kolomogorv inequality $\mathbb{E} \exp(A \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \|M_k\|) \leq 4c_2$ and the proof is completed. \square

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we complete the proof of Theorems 2.2–2.3. Let ν be a distribution which satisfies (2.5)–(2.6). Fix $\epsilon > 0$. We start with proving the following statements

$$(5.1) \quad V_n^\nu > V - \mathcal{C}_\epsilon n^{\epsilon-1/8}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and for a bounded F

$$(5.2) \quad V_n^\nu > V - \mathcal{C}n^{-1/8}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$. There exists a measure $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{D}}$ for which

$$(5.3) \quad V < \delta + E_Q F(B, \langle B \rangle).$$

Consider the stochastic process $N_k = B_{k/n}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ together with its predictable variation $\{\langle N \rangle_k\}_{k=0}^n$. From the fact that \mathbf{D} is a convex compact set we obtain that there exists a sequence of functions $\psi_j : (\mathbb{R}^d)^j \rightarrow \sqrt{\mathbf{D}}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sqrt{\Delta \langle N \rangle_k} &= \sqrt{\mathbb{E} (\Delta N_k \Delta N'_k | \sigma\{N_0, N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}\})} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \psi_k(N_0, \dots, N_{k-1}), \quad \forall k \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

From the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality it follows that there exists a constant c_3 for which

$$(5.5) \quad E_Q (\|\Delta N_k\|^3 | \sigma\{N_0, \dots, N_{k-1}\}) \leq c_3 n^{-3/2}, \quad \forall k \text{ a.s.}$$

By applying (2.1), Lemmas 4.2–4.3 and Cauchy–Schwartz inequality we get

$$(5.6) \quad E_Q |F(B, \langle B \rangle) - F(\mathcal{W}_n(N), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle N \rangle))| \leq c_4 n^{-1/4}$$

for some constant c_4 (which depends only on the distribution ν). From (5.5) and Lemma 3.2 we obtain that there exists a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ which contains the martingale N , a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors Y_1, \dots, Y_n and satisfies, $\mathcal{L}(Y_1) = \nu$, for any k the random vectors N_1, \dots, N_{k-1} are independent of Y_k , and

$$(5.7) \quad \tilde{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|N_k - \sum_{j=1}^k \sqrt{\Delta \langle N \rangle_j} Y_j > n^{-1/8} \right) < \frac{c_5 n^{-3/2} n}{n^{-3/8}} = c_5 n^{-1/8}$$

for some constant c_5 which depends only on the distribution ν . Denote $M_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \sqrt{\Delta \langle N \rangle_j} Y_j$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|N_k - M_k\| > n^{-1/8}\}$. From (2.5) and the fact that N_1, \dots, N_{k-1} are independent of Y_k we obtain that M is a martingale, and $\langle M \rangle = \langle N \rangle$. Thus from (2.1), (5.7), Lemma 4.3, the Markov

inequality and the Holder inequality (for $p = \frac{1}{1-8\epsilon}$ and $q = \frac{1}{8\epsilon}$) we get that there exists constants c_6, c_7 which depend on ϵ and ν such that

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{E}|F(\mathcal{W}_n(N), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle N \rangle)) - F(\mathcal{W}_n(M), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle M \rangle))| \leq \\ & H_1 \tilde{E} \left(\exp(H_2(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|M\|_k + \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|N\|_k + 2\|\mathbf{D}\|)) \times \right. \\ & \quad \left. (n^{-1/8} + \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(\|\mathcal{W}_n(N)\| + \|\mathcal{W}_n(M)\|)) \right) \leq \\ & \leq c_6(n^{-1/8} + \tilde{P}(A)^{\frac{1}{1-8\epsilon}}) \leq c_7 n^{\epsilon-1/8} \end{aligned}$$

where we set $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}} = 1$ if an event \mathcal{A} occurs and $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$ if not, and \tilde{E} denotes the expectation with respect to \tilde{P} . If the function F is bounded, say $F \leq R$, then we have

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{E}|F(\mathcal{W}_n(N), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle N \rangle)) - F(\mathcal{W}_n(M), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle M \rangle))| \leq R\tilde{P}(A) + H_1 n^{-1/8} \\ & \times \tilde{E}(\exp(H_2(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|M\|_k + \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|N\|_k + 2\|\mathbf{D}\|))) \leq c_8 n^{-1/8} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant c_8 which depends only on ν . Since $\delta > 0$ was arbitrary, then in view of (5.3), (5.6) and (5.8)–(5.9) we conclude that in order to prove (5.1)–(5.2) it remains to establish the following inequality

$$(5.10) \quad V_n^\nu \geq \tilde{E}F(\mathcal{W}_n(M), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle M \rangle)).$$

Define a sequence of functions $L_k : (\mathbb{R}^d)^{k+1} \times (\mathbb{S}^d)^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ by the backward recursion

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & L_n(u_0, \dots, u_n, v_0, \dots, v_n) = F(\mathcal{W}_n(u, v)) \quad \text{and} \\ & L_k(u_0, \dots, u_k, v_0, \dots, v_k) = \\ & \tilde{E}L_{k+1}(u_0, \dots, u_k, u_k + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\psi_{k+1}(u_0, \dots, u_k)Y_{k+1}, v_0, \dots, v_k, \\ & v_k + \frac{1}{n}\psi_{k+1}^2(u_0, \dots, u_k)) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

From the fact that Y_{k+1} is independent of $Y_1, \dots, Y_k, N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}$ it follows (by backward induction) that for any k ,

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{E}(F(\mathcal{W}_n(M), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle M \rangle)) | \sigma\{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_k\}) = \\ & L_k(M_0, \dots, M_k, \langle N \rangle_0, \dots, \langle N \rangle_k). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, from (2.12), (5.11)–(5.12) and the fact that ψ_k takes values in $\sqrt{\mathbf{D}}$ for any k , we obtain (by backward induction) that $L_k \leq J_k^{\nu, n}$, $k \leq n$, and in particular

$$(5.13) \quad V_n^\nu = J_0^{\nu, n}(0, 0) \geq L_0(0, 0) = \tilde{E}F(\mathcal{W}_n(M), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle M \rangle)).$$

This completes the proof of (5.1)–(5.2). Next, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a distribution ν which satisfies (2.5)–(2.6) and consider the optimal control $M^{\nu, n}$ which is given by (2.12)–(2.14). By applying Lemma 3.2 for the standard normal distribution ν_g it follows that there exists a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ which contains the martingale $M^{\nu, n}$, a sequence of i.i.d. standard Gaussian random vectors (d -dimensional) Y_1^g, \dots, Y_n^g such that for any k the random vectors $M_1^{\nu, n}, \dots, M_{k-1}^{\nu, n}$ are independent of Y_k^g , and

$$(5.14) \quad \tilde{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|M_k^{\nu, n} - \sum_{j=1}^k \sqrt{\Delta \langle M^{\nu, n} \rangle_j} Y_j^g\| > n^{-1/8} \right) < c_9 n^{-1/8}$$

for some constant c_9 . Denote $\hat{M}_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \sqrt{\Delta \langle M^{\nu,n} \rangle_j} Y_j^g$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Observe that $\langle \hat{M} \rangle = \langle M^{\nu,n} \rangle$. Thus by using similar argument to those as in (5.8)–(5.9) we obtain that there exists constants c_{10}, c_{11} such that

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\tilde{E}F(\mathcal{W}_n(\hat{M}), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle \hat{M} \rangle)) - V_n^{\nu}| \leq \\ & \tilde{E}|F(\mathcal{W}_n(\hat{M}), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle \hat{M} \rangle)) - F(\mathcal{W}_n(M^{\nu,n}), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle M^{\nu,n} \rangle))| \leq c_{10}n^{\epsilon-1/8} \end{aligned}$$

and if the function F is bounded,

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\tilde{E}F(\mathcal{W}_n(\hat{M}), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle \hat{M} \rangle)) - V_n^{\nu}| \leq \\ & \tilde{E}|F(\mathcal{W}_n(\hat{M}), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle \hat{M} \rangle)) - F(\mathcal{W}_n(M^{\nu,n}), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle M^{\nu,n} \rangle))| \leq c_{11}n^{-1/8}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying similar arguments to those as in (5.11)–(5.13) we conclude that

$$(5.17) \quad V_n^{\nu g} = J_0^{\nu g, n}(0, 0) \geq \tilde{E}F(\mathcal{W}_n(\hat{M}), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle \hat{M} \rangle)).$$

Next, let $z_k : (\mathbb{R}^d)^k \rightarrow \sqrt{\mathbf{D}}$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ be a sequence of functions such that for any $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $z_k(Y_1^g, \dots, Y_k^g) = h_k^{\nu g, n}(M_0^{\nu g, n}, \dots, M_k^{\nu g, n}, N_0^{\nu g, n}, \dots, N_k^{\nu g, n})$, where the terms $M^{\nu g, n}, N^{\nu g, n}$ are given by (2.12)–(2.14). From the martingale representation theorem it follows that the martingale M_n which is defined by (2.16) equals to

$$\begin{aligned} M_t^n &= h_0^{\nu g, n}(0, 0)W_t + \mathbb{I}_{t > 1/n} \times \\ & \int_{1/n}^t z_{[nu]}(\sqrt{n}W_{1/n}, \sqrt{n}(W_{2/n} - W_{1/n}), \dots, \sqrt{n}(W_{[nu]} - W_{[nu]-1}))dW_u, \quad t \in [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

and so we obtain that $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{D}}$. As in (5.6) we have

$$(5.18) \quad E_n|F(B, \langle B \rangle) - F(\mathcal{W}_n(N), \mathcal{W}_n(\langle N \rangle))| \leq c_4n^{-1/4}$$

where $N_k = B_{k/n}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$. Finally, observe that the distribution of N under P_n equals to the distribution of the martingale $M^{\nu g, n}$. Thus from (2.15) and (5.18) we conclude that

$$V \geq E_{P_n}F(B, \langle B \rangle) \geq V_n^{\nu g} - c_4n^{-1/4}.$$

This together with (5.1)–(5.2) and (5.15)–(5.17) completes the proof of Theorems 2.2–2.3. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L.Denis, M.Hu, and S.Peng. *Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectations: applications to G-Brownian motion paths*, Potential Anal., **2** (2011) 139–161.
- [2] L.Denis and C.Martini, *A Theoretical Framework for the Pricing of Contingent Claims in the Presence of Model Uncertainty*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **16** (2006), 827–852.
- [3] S.Deparis and C.Martini, *Superhedging Strategies and Balayage in Discrete Time*, Proceedings of the 4th Ascona Conference on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications. **58** (2004), 205–219, Birkhäuser.
- [4] Y.Dolinsky, M.Nutz and H.M.Soner, *Weak Approximations of G-Expectations*, submitted.
- [5] Y.Hu and S.Peng, *Some Estimates for Martingale Representation under G-Expectation*, preprint.
- [6] M.Nutz and H.M.Soner, *Superhedging and Dynamic Risk Measures under Volatility Uncertainty*, submitted.
- [7] S.Peng, *G-expectation, G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô type*, Stochastic Analysis and Applications, volume 2 of Abel Symp., (2007), 541–567, Springer Berlin.
- [8] S.Peng, *Multi-dimensional G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under G-expectation.*, Stochastic. Processes. Appl., **12** (2008), 2223–2253.

- [9] S.Peng, *Nonlinear expectations and stochastic calculus under uncertainty*, preprint.
- [10] S.Peng *Tightness, weak compactness of nonlinear expectations and application to CLT*, preprint.
- [11] A.I Sakhanenko, *A New Way to Obtain Estimates in the Invariance Principle*, High Dimensional Probability II, (2000) 221–243.
- [12] H.M.Soner, N.Touzi, and J.Zhang, *Martingale representation theorem for the G -expectation*, Stochastic. Processes. Appl., **2** (2011), 265–287.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH ZURICH 8092, SWITZERLAND, E.MAIL: YAN.DOLINSKY@MATH.ETHZ.CH