

NONLINEAR STOCHASTIC HOMOGENIZATION IN ORLICZ SPACES AND APPLICATIONS

DIMITRIS KONTOGIANNIS

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we are interested in the stochastic homogenization of integral functionals defined in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. We present a general version of nonlinear stochastic homogenization in these spaces and apply the general versions to homogenization problems in random media. In particular, we introduce a notion of Γ -convergence in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and we show the compactness of a class \mathcal{F} of integral functionals with respect to this convergence. To guarantee that the Γ -limit is a measure, one has to give a criterion called fundamental estimate which the class of functionals must satisfy. For classical L^p -spaces, such estimate was introduced by DeGiorgi and further developed by Dal Maso, Modica, Braides. A distance function is also defined as a metric so that the family of minimizers of these functionals is continuous with respect to this metric. Using results of ergodic theory, we prove a stochastic theorem concerning the limit of minimizers, which is an extension of [10]. Finally, we apply the theorem to homogenization over a class of partial differential equations defined in Orlicz spaces. A technique of such homogenization problems has been developed in [18]. We improve these methods using continuum percolation models. We also mention the possible improvement of existing results on homogenization of p -Laplace type equations [3].

The paper is organized as follows.

- I Basic properties of Orlicz Spaces, embedding theorems and continuity of nonlinear superposition (Nemytskii) operators.
- II Integral functionals in Orlicz spaces, lower semicontinuity and existence of minimizers.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 06B10; Secondary: 06D05.

Key words and phrases. Homogenization, Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, random media.

- III Γ -convergence, integral representation, uniform estimates and compactness of Γ -limits.
- IV Random functionals and ergodic theory.
- V Application of ergodic theorem to homogenization of equations with generalized growth conditions. Discussion on improvement of results for equations defined in Sobolev spaces with variable exponent (p -Laplace equations).

2. PRELIMINARIES

A function Φ is called a Young function if it admits the presentation

$$\Phi(u) = \int_0^u \phi(t) dt$$

where $\phi(t)$, $t > 0$ satisfies

- (1) $\phi(0) = 0$
- (2) $\phi(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$
- (3) ϕ is nondecreasing, right continuous
- (4) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = \infty$
- (5) $u\phi(u) < a\Phi(u)$ for $a > 1$ and for all $u \geq 0$

The function Φ is called an N -function. We say that Φ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition (or has the doubling property) if there exists $k > 0$, and $l \geq 0$ such that

$$\Phi(2u) \leq k\Phi(u)$$

for all $u > l$.

Then Φ is nonnegative, continuous, strictly increasing, convex function on $[0, \infty)$. The complementary function Ψ to Φ is defined by the formula

$$\Psi(v) = \max_{u>0} [uv - \Phi(u)]$$

The following Young's inequality holds:

$$uv \leq \Phi(u) + \Psi(v)$$

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . We define the Orlicz class $\tilde{L}_\Phi(\Omega)$ as the set of all measurable functions u such that

$$\rho(u, \Phi, \Omega) = \int_\Omega \Phi(|u(x)|) dx < \infty$$

By Young's inequality, the norm

$$\|u\|_{\Phi,\Omega} = \sup_{\rho(v,\Phi,\Omega) \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x)dx \right|$$

is well defined in $\tilde{L}_{\Phi}(\Omega)$. If both Φ, Ψ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition, then the class $\tilde{L}_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ equipped with either the previous norm or the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{\Phi,\Omega} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \Phi \left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

is a reflexive Banach space that we will denote by $L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$. For $u \in L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$, $v \in L_{\Psi}(\Omega)$, the following Holder inequality holds:

$$\int_{\Omega} uvdx \leq \|u\|_{\Phi,\Omega} \|v\|_{\Psi,\Omega}$$

Also,

$$(2.1) \quad \|u\|_{\Phi,\Omega} \leq \rho(u, \Phi, \Omega) + 1$$

and if $\|u\|_{\Phi,\Omega} \leq 1$,

$$(2.2) \quad \rho(u, \Phi, \Omega) \leq \|u\|_{\Phi,\Omega}$$

We say that the sequence $u_n \in L_{\Phi}$ converges in the mean to u provided

$$\rho(u_n - u, \Phi, \Omega) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If the Δ_2 condition holds, the convergence in the mean is equivalent to the convergence in norm.

2.1. Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Consider the space of smooth functions $C^1(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\Phi,\Omega}^1 = \max_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \{ \|D^{\alpha}u\|_{\Phi,\Omega} \}$$

The Orlicz-Sobolev space $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$ is defined as the closure of $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ with respect to this norm. The closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ to this norm is denoted by $W_{0,\Phi}^1(\Omega)$ and is a subspace of $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$. The following embedding theorem holds [12]: if Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary, the embedding of $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$ into $L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is compact and

$$\|u\|_{\Phi,\Omega} \leq C \|Du\|_{\Phi,\Omega}$$

for all $u \in W_{0,\Phi}^1(\Omega)$.

Notation. For two Young functions Q, P , we will use the symbol $P \prec\prec Q$ when Q grows more rapidly than P near infinity, i.e. for all $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(t)}{Q(\delta t)} = 0$$

Lemma 1 ([15], [5]). *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n of finite measure and let Φ, P be Young functions satisfying the Δ_2 condition. Suppose that $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function such that*

$$P(|g(x, s)|) \leq k_1 \Phi(|s|)$$

for some constant k_1 . Then the Nemytskii operator $T_g(u)(x) = g(x, u(x))$ is strongly continuous from $L_\Phi(\Omega)$ to $L_P(\Omega)$.

For the proof we need the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Suppose $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_\Phi(\Omega)$ there exists a subsequence u_{n_k} and $h \in L_\Phi(\Omega)$ such that*

$$u_{n_k} \rightarrow u \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$

and

$$|u_{n_k}| \leq h \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$

The proof of theorem 1 is a modification of theorem 2.3 in [4].

Proof of Lemma 1. The map $u \rightarrow g(\cdot, u)$ is well defined from $L_\Phi(\Omega)$ to $L_P(\Omega)$. From theorem 1 and the continuity of g in u , for a sequence $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_\Phi(\Omega)$ we have

$$g(\cdot, u_n) \rightarrow g(\cdot, u) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$

and

$$|u_n| \leq h \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h \in L_\Phi(\Omega)$. The continuity of P gives

$$P(|g(\cdot, u_n)|) \rightarrow P(|g(\cdot, u)|) \text{ a.e. } \Omega$$

Then

$$P(|g(\cdot, u_n)|) \leq k_1 \Phi(|u_n|) \leq k_1 \Phi(|h|)$$

with $\Phi(|h|) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Thus, the Dominated convergence theorem says that

$$\int_{\Omega} P(|g(\cdot, u_n)|) \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} P(|g(\cdot, u)|)$$

which implies that $g(\cdot, u_n) \rightarrow g(\cdot, u)$ for any $u_n \rightarrow u$. \square

Lemma 2 ([1],8.23). *Suppose P, Q are Young functions with $P \prec\prec Q$. Then, any bounded subset of $L_Q(\Omega)$ which is precompact in $L^1(\Omega)$ is also precompact in $L_P(\Omega)$.*

Theorem 2 ([1],8.32). *Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has the cone property and $\int_0^1 \frac{\Phi^{-1}(t)}{t^{n(n+1)}} dt < \infty$ and $\int_1^\infty \frac{\Phi^{-1}(t)}{t^{n(n+1)}} dt = \infty$. Consider the Young function defined by*

$$\Phi_*^{-1}(|t|) = \int_1^{|t|} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(s)}{s^{n(n+1)}} ds$$

Then for any $B \prec\prec \Phi_$, the embedding $W_\Phi^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L_B(\Omega)$ is compact.*

3. INTEGRAL FUNCTIONALS IN ORLICZ SPACES

Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function such that

- $f(x, \cdot)$ is continuous a.e. $x \in \Omega$
- $f(\cdot, p)$ is measurable for every $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$

We assume that

$$(3.1) \quad f \text{ is convex in } p;$$

and that there are constants $c_f^1, c_f^2 > 0$ so that

$$(3.2) \quad c_f^1 \Phi(|p|) \leq f(x, p) \leq c_f^2 (1 + \Phi(|p|)) \text{ for all } (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

Let also $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function that is lower semicontinuous in the second variable and assume that for some constant $c_g > 0$ and some $b_g(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$,

$$(3.3) \quad g(x, u) \geq c_g B(|u|) - b_g(x)$$

where $B \prec\prec \Phi_*$. We denote by $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(c_f^1, c_f^2, \Phi)$ the class of functionals $F : L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad F(u, \Omega) = \int_\Omega f(x, Du(x)) dx \text{ for all } u \in W_\Phi^1(\Omega)$$

where f is previously defined.

Let

$$G(u, \Omega) = F(u, \Omega) + \int_\Omega g(x, u(x)) dx$$

Proposition 1. *The functional $F(u, \Omega)$ is lower semicontinuous in the weak topology of $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$, that is, if $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$, then*

$$F(u, \Omega) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(u_n, \Omega)$$

Proof. Let v_n be a sequence converging to v in $L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(v_n, \Omega)$ exists. From the definition of the norm in L_{Φ} and Fatou's lemma,

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right) dx \leq 1$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\Omega} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi\left(\frac{v_n - v}{\|v_n - v\|}\right) dx \leq 1$$

The continuity of Φ implies that

$$\Phi\left[\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{v_n - v}{\|v_n - v\|}\right)\right] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi\left(\frac{v_n - v}{\|v_n - v\|}\right) \leq \infty \text{ a.e.}$$

so that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{v_n - v}{\|v_n - v\|}\right) \leq \infty \text{ a.e.}$$

Then, there exists a subsequence, still denoted by v_n , such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ a.e.

Assume that $\|v_n - v\| < 1/2$. The convexity of Φ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(v_n) &= \Phi\left(\|v_n - v\| \frac{v_n - v}{\|v_n - v\|} + (1 - \|v_n - v\|) \frac{v}{1 - \|v_n - v\|}\right) \\ &\leq \|v_n - v\| \Phi\left(\frac{v_n - v}{\|v_n - v\|}\right) + (1 - \|v_n - v\|) \Phi\left(\frac{v}{1 - \|v_n - v\|}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} \Phi(v_n) dx \leq \|v_n - v\| + (1 - \|v_n - v\|) \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{v}{1 - \|v_n - v\|}\right) dx$$

If in addition we choose m such that $\|v\| \leq 2^m$, the Δ_2 -condition reads

$$\Phi\left(\frac{v}{1 - \|v_n - v\|}\right) \leq \Phi(2v) \leq k\Phi(v)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(v) dx &= \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\|v\| \frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(2^m \frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) dx \leq k^m \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) dx \leq k^m < \infty \end{aligned}$$

From the Dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{v}{1 - \|v_n - v\|}\right) dx = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(v) dx$$

so that (3.4) gives

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(v_n) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi(v) dx$$

Using again Fatou's lemma,

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi(v_n) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(v_n) dx$$

so that

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi(v_n) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \Phi(v) dx$$

Since $f(x, \cdot)$ and Φ are continuous,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x, v_n) - c_f^1 \Phi(v_n)) = f(x, v) - c_f^1 \Phi(v)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, v) dx \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, v_n) dx$$

Thus, the functional $\int_{\Omega} f(x, v) dx$ is lower semicontinuous in $L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ which implies that $F(u, \Omega)$ is lower semicontinuous in $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$, since if $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$, then $Dv_n \rightarrow Dv$ in $L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$. \square

Proposition 2. *The functional $\int_{\Omega} g(x, u) dx$ is sequentially lower semicontinuous in $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Suppose $u_n \rightarrow u$ weak $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$. Then $\{u_n\}$ is bounded and up to a subsequence it converges strongly to u in $L_B(\Omega)$, due to theorem 2. Using proposition 1 with g instead of f , we obtain that $\int_{\Omega} g(x, u) dx$ is lower semicontinuous on $L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$. This implies the needed result. \square

Theorem 3. *Suppose X is a nonempty, weakly closed subset of $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$. Then the functional*

$$G(u, \Omega) = F(u, \Omega) + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) dx$$

has a minimum over all $u \in X$.

Proof. Let χ_X be the indicator function of X , which is weakly lower semicontinuous in $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$. Then the minimization problem can be written in the equivalent form

$$\min_{u \in W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)} (F(u, \Omega) + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) dx + \chi_X(u))$$

Then,

$$F(u, \Omega) + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) \, dx + \chi_X \geq cZ(u) - b$$

holds for some positive constants c, b with $Z(u) = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) \, dx$ which is sequentially coercive in $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$. The reason is that if $\|u\| \leq 1$ then $Z(u) \leq \|u\|$ and if $\|u\| \geq 1$ then $Z(u) \geq \|u\|$. Thus, the set $\{u : Z(u) \leq t\}$ is bounded in $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$ and sequentially compact since the space is reflexive. The direct method of variational problems implies the existence of the minimizer. If X is convex, one can show that the minimum is unique. \square

3.1. Yosida transforms and distance in \mathcal{F} . For $F \in \mathcal{F}$ the ε -Yosida transform is the functional $T_{\varepsilon}F(u, \Omega) : L_{\Phi}(\Omega) \times \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$T_{\varepsilon}F(u, \Omega) = \inf_{v \in W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)} \{F(v, \Omega) + \varepsilon^{-1}\|u - v\|_{L_{\Phi}(\Omega)}\}$$

Proposition 3. *For every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $u \in L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} T_{\varepsilon}F(u, \Omega) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} T_{\varepsilon}F(u, \Omega) = F(u, \Omega)$$

Proof. See [10], proposition 1.11 \square

The ε -Yosida transform can be used to define a metric in \mathcal{F} so that the metric space (\mathcal{F}, d) is compact and the map $F \rightarrow \min_{u \in X} F(u)$ is continuous with respect to the metric. For this purpose, we pick a countable dense subset $W = \{w_j\}$ of $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$ and a family $\mathcal{B} = \{B_k\}$ of open bounded subsets of \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$ and $h : \bar{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$(3.6) \quad d(F, G) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i+j+k}} |h(T_{1/i}(F(w_j, B_k))) - h(T_{1/i}(G(w_j, B_k)))|$$

To show that d is a distance in \mathcal{F} , it suffices to show that if $d(F, G) = 0$, then $F = G$.

4. Γ -CONVERGENCE IN ORLICZ SPACES

The next step is to show that (\mathcal{F}, d) is compact, thus separable and complete. The notion of Γ -convergence will be introduced for this purpose.

Definition 1. Let X be a metric space and $F_n : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ a sequence of functionals on X . We say that F_n $\Gamma(X)$ -converges to the $\Gamma(X)$ -limit $F : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ if the following two conditions hold:

- $F(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n)$, for every sequence x_n converging to x as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- for every $x \in X$, there is a sequence x_n converging to x as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $F(x) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x_n)$

In this case we write $F(x) = \Gamma(X) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x)$.

We adopt the definition of Γ -convergence for functionals in \mathcal{F} and $u \in L_\Phi(\Omega)$ and we denote the limit by

$$\Gamma(L_\Phi) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(u) = F(u)$$

Proposition 4 (Main Γ -Convergence result). *The class \mathcal{F} is compact for the $\Gamma(L_\Phi)$ convergence, i.e. every sequence $\{F_n\}$ in \mathcal{F} contains a subsequence that $\Gamma(L_\Phi)$ -converges to a functional $F \in \mathcal{F}$.*

The proof of proposition 4 will be a consequence of the following results:

Proposition 5. *Let (X, d) be a separable metric space, and for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let $f_j : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function. Then there is an increasing sequence of integers (j_k) such that the $\Gamma(d) - \lim_k f_{j_k}$ exists for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. See [8], chap. 8. □

Note that if the Δ_2 -condition is satisfied the Orlicz space is separable [16]. In the following definition, $\mathcal{A}(A)$ is the family of all open subsets of $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2. A function $\alpha : \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called an increasing set function if $\alpha(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\alpha(A) \leq \alpha(B)$ if $A \subset B$. An increasing set function is subadditive if $\alpha(A \cup B) \leq \alpha(A) + \alpha(B)$ for all $A, B \subset \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. Finally, α is called inner regular if

$$\alpha(A) = \sup\{\alpha(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{A}, B \subset\subset A\}$$

4.1. An Integral representation for Γ -limits. We recall that a function $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ is piecewise affine in Ω if there is a family of disjoint open subsets of Ω and a set $N \subset \Omega$ with $|N| = 0$ such that $\Omega = (\bigcup_{i \in I} \Omega_i) \cup N$ and $u|_{\Omega_i}$ is affine in Ω_i . We have the following density result.

Proposition 6. *For every $u \in W_\Phi^1(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence $u_j \in W_\Phi^1(\Omega)$ of piecewise affine functions such that $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $W_\Phi^1(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Applying theorem 2.1 of [12], we can find a sequence $\{u_j\} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ converging to u in $W_\Phi^1(\Omega)$. Furthermore, by proposition 2.1, chap. X of [13], for $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ there is a sequence $\{u_j\}$ of piecewise affine functions so that $u_j \rightarrow u$ and $Du_j \rightarrow Du$ uniformly in Ω . Then, since $u_j \in W_\Phi^1(\Omega)$ and the uniform convergence implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi(|u_j - u|) + \Phi(|Du_j - Du|) dx \rightarrow 0$$

a diagonal process gives the desired sequence. \square

We are in position to state and show an integral representation result for a class of functionals in the Orlicz space.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that $F : L_\Phi(\Omega) \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be an increasing functional satisfying the following assumptions:*

- (1) *F is local, i.e. $F(u, A) = F(v, A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and u, v such that $u = v$ a.e. in A ;*
- (2) *F is lower semicontinuous;*
- (3) *$F(u + c, A) = F(u, A)$ for every $u \in L_\Phi(\Omega)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$*
- (4) *there is constant $\beta > 0$ and a function $a(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that*

$$0 \leq F(u, A) \leq \beta \int_A a(x) + \Phi(|Du|) dx$$

for all $u \in W_\Phi^1(\Omega)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$

Then there exist a Caratheodory function $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that

- (i) *for every $u \in L_\Phi(\Omega)$,*

$$F(u, A) = \int_A f(x, Du(x)) dx$$

- (ii) *$f(x, \cdot)$ is convex for every $x \in \Omega$ and it satisfies*

$$0 \leq f(x, p) \leq a(x) + \Phi(|p|)$$

Proof. The proof, in general, follows the steps with the proof in the case of Sobolev spaces [8] with a few differences.

Step 1 We define the linear function $u_p(x) = p \cdot x$ and use assumption (4) of the theorem to claim that $F(u_p, \cdot)$ is continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Thus there is a density function

$$f(x, p) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(u_p, B_\rho(x))}{|B_\rho(x)|}$$

in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ such that

$$F(u_p, A) = \int_A f(x, p) \, dx$$

for $A \in \mathcal{A}$. One can show that the representation (i) holds for every piecewise affine function.

Step 2 It can be shown that $f(x, \cdot)$ is convex on \mathbb{R}^n :

$$f(x, p) \leq tf(x, p_1) + (1-t)f(x, p_2)$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $p_1 \neq p_2$ with $p = tp_1 + (1-t)p_2$.

Step 3 The map

$$u \rightarrow \int_A f(x, Du(x)) \, dx$$

is continuous with respect to the $W^1_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ convergence. Let $u \in W^1_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Applying proposition 6, for $A' \subset A$ there exists a sequence u_j of piecewise affine functions such that $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $W^1_{\Phi}(\Omega)$. By the lower semicontinuity of F we have that

$$F(u, A') \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(u_j, A') = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A'} f(x, Du_j) \, dx = \int_{A'} f(x, Du) \, dx$$

Taking the limit $A' \nearrow A$, we obtain $F(u, A) \leq \int_A f(x, Du) \, dx$ for all $u \in W^1_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Step 4 Fix $v \in W^1_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ and define the functional

$$W(u, A) = F(u + v, A)$$

It is straightforward to see that G satisfies assumptions (1) – (3) and (4) can be verified with the computation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq W(u, A) = F(u + v, A) &\leq \int_A a(x) + \Phi(|Du + Dv|) \, dx \\ &\leq 2^{\beta-1} \int_A \frac{a(x)}{2^{\beta-1}} + \Phi(|Du|) + \Phi(Dv) \, dx \\ &= \int_A b(x) + \Phi(|Du|) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

where $b(x) = a(x) + 2^{\beta-1}\Phi(Dv) \in L^1(\Omega)$, $\beta = \log_2 k$. Thus, from step 1, we can find a measurable function $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$W(u, A) \leq \int_A g(x, Du) \, dx$$

for all piecewise affine functions $u \in W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows that the map $u \rightarrow \int_A g(x, Du) dx$ is continuous in $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$. For $A' \subset A$ there is a sequence of piecewise affine functions (v_n) converging to u in $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$ so that step 1 together with the last inequality give us

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A'} g(x, 0) dx &= W(0, A') = F(v, A') \\ &\leq \int_{A'} f(x, Dv) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A'} f(x, Dv_n) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(v_n, A') \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W(v_n - v, A') &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A'} g(x, Dv_n - Dv) dx = \int_{A'} g(x, 0) dx \\ \text{so as } A' \nearrow A &\text{ we get } F(v, A) = \int_A f(x, Dv) dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2. Uniform Estimate. To proceed to the compactness for integral functionals, we need to prove some properties of the Γ -limit as a set function. We do that by elaborating a method of joining sequences of functions so that, from the knowledge of the minimizing sequences for $F(u, A)$ and $F(u, B)$, we can obtain an estimate for $F(u, A \cup B)$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *Suppose $U, U', V \in \mathcal{A}(A)$ with $U' \subset\subset U$ and let $u \in W_{\Phi}^1(U)$, $v \in W_{\Phi}^1(V)$. Then for every cutoff function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ between U' and U (i.e. $\text{spt } \phi \subset U$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $\phi = 1$ in U') we have $\phi u + (1 - \phi)v \in W_{\Phi}^1(U' \cup V)$.*

Definition 3. Let $F : L_{\Phi} \times \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a functional. We say that F satisfies the fundamental L_{Φ} -estimate if for every $U, U', V \in \mathcal{A}(A)$ with $U' \subset\subset U$ and $\sigma > 0$ there is $M_{\sigma} > 0$ such that for all $u, v \in L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ there exists a cut-off function ϕ between U' and U such that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} F(\phi u + (1 - \phi)v, U' \cup V) \\ \leq (1 + \sigma)(F(u, U) + F(v, V)) + M_{\sigma} \int_{(U \cap V) \setminus U'} \Phi(|u - v|) dx + \sigma \end{aligned}$$

The same definition holds for a family $\{F_n\}_{n>0}$ if in addition there is n_0 such that for all $n \leq n_0$ the last estimate is valid uniformly.

This definition corresponds to the definition of L^p -fundamental estimate for functionals defined in L^p spaces.

Proposition 7 (Uniform estimate). *The family $\mathcal{F}(c_f^1, c_f^2, \Phi)$ satisfies the fundamental L_Φ -estimate uniformly.*

Proof. Pick $F \in \mathcal{F}(c_f^1, c_f^2, \Phi)$ and let $U, U', V \in \mathcal{A}(A)$ with $U' \subset\subset U$. Let $\delta = d(U', \partial U)$ and take parameters $0 < \eta < \delta$, $0 < r < \delta - \eta$. Choose a cutoff function ϕ between the sets $\{x \in U : d(x, U') < r\}$ and $\{x \in U : d(x, U') < r + \eta\}$ with $|D\phi| \leq 2/\eta$. Define $V_r^\eta = \{x \in V : r < d(x, U') < r + \eta\}$.

Let $u, v \in L_\Phi(\Omega)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& F(u\phi + (1 - \phi)v, U' \cup V) \\
&= \int_{U' \cup V} f(x, \phi Du + (1 - \phi)Dv + (u - v)D\phi) dx \\
&= \int_{\{x \in V : d(x, U') \geq r + \eta\}} f(x, Dv) dx + \int_{\{x \in V \cup U' : d(x, U') \leq r\}} f(x, Du) dx \\
&+ \int_{V_r^\eta} f(x, \phi Du + (1 - \phi)Dv + (u - v)D\phi) dx \\
&\leq F(v, V) + F(u, U) + c_f^2 \int_{V_r^\eta} 1 + \Phi(|\phi Du + (1 - \phi)Dv + (u - v)D\phi|) dx \\
&= F(v, V) + F(u, U) + c_f^2 \int_{V_r^\eta} 1 + \Phi\left(3 \frac{|\phi Du + (1 - \phi)Dv + (u - v)D\phi|}{3}\right) dx \\
&\leq F(v, V) + F(u, U) \\
&+ k3^\beta c_f^2 \int_{V_r^\eta} 1 + \Phi\left(\frac{|\phi Du + (1 - \phi)Dv + (u - v)D\phi|}{3}\right) dx \\
&\leq F(v, V) + F(u, U) \\
&+ k3^{\beta-1} c_f^2 \int_{V_r^\eta} 1 + \Phi(|\phi Du|) + \Phi(|(1 - \phi)Dv|) + \Phi(|(u - v)D\phi|) dx \\
&\leq F(v, V) + F(u, U) \\
&+ k3^{\beta-1} c_f^2 \int_{V_r^\eta} 1 + \Phi(|Du|) + \Phi(|Dv|) dx + k3^{\beta-1} c_f^2 \left(\frac{2}{\eta}\right)^\beta \int_{(U \cap V) \setminus U'} \Phi(|(u - v)|) dx
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = \log_2 k$. To obtain the above inequalities we use assumption (3.2), the properties of ϕ , Jensen's inequality and the fact that the Δ_2 -condition implies that $\Phi(\lambda u) \leq k\lambda^\beta \Phi(u)$, for $\lambda \geq 1$. Note that from (3.2),

$$c_f^2 \int_{U \cap V} 1 + \Phi(|Du|) + \Phi(|Dv|) dx \leq c_f^2 |U \cap V| + \frac{c_f^2}{c_f^1} (F(u, U) + F(v, V))$$

Then, for all $N = 1, 2, \dots$ there is $\mu \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & c_f^2 k 3^{\beta-1} \int_{\{x \in V: \frac{\delta(\mu-1)}{N} < d(x, U') < \frac{\delta\mu}{N}\}} 1 + \Phi(|Du|) + \Phi(|Dv|) \, dx \\ & \leq c_f^2 k 3^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{N} |U \cap V| + c_f^2 k 3^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{N} \frac{c_f^2}{c_f^1} (F(u, U) + F(v, V)) \end{aligned}$$

Fix σ and choose $N \geq \max \left\{ \frac{c_f^2 k 3^{\beta-1}}{\sigma}, \frac{1}{\sigma} k 3^{\beta-1} \frac{c_f^2}{c_f^1} \right\}$, $\eta = \frac{\delta}{N}$ and $r = \frac{(\mu-1)\delta}{N}$ so that the constant M_σ depends only on U, U', V, c_f^1, c_f^2 . This implies that the estimate holds uniformly in $\mathcal{F}(c_f^1, c_f^2, \Phi)$. \square

Using the uniform estimate, one can include boundary conditions to the study of Γ -limits of local functionals.

Proposition 8. *Suppose that $\{F_n\}$ is a family of functionals defined on $L_\Phi(\Omega) \times \mathcal{A}(A)$ that satisfy the fundamental L_Φ estimate as $n \rightarrow 0$ and let (n_j) be a sequence of positive numbers converging to zero. If $F'(u, U) = \Gamma(L_\Phi) - \liminf_j F_{n_j}(u, U)$ and $F''(u, U) = \Gamma(L_\Phi) - \limsup_j F_{n_j}(u, U)$ then*

$$F'(u, U' \cup V) \leq F'(u, U') + F''(u, V)$$

and

$$F''(u, U' \cup V) \leq F''(u, U) + F''(u, V)$$

for all $u \in L_\Phi(\Omega)$ and $U, U', V \in \mathcal{A}(A)$.

Proof. We start by noticing that we can find two sequences $\{u_j\}$ and $\{v_j\}$ converging to u in L_Φ such that

$$F'(u, V) = \liminf_j F_{n_j}(u_j, V)$$

and

$$F''(u, V) = \limsup_j F_{n_j}(v_j, V)$$

Applying the fundamental estimate to $\{u_j\}$ and $\{v_j\}$ for fixed $\sigma > 0$, we can find $M_\sigma, n_\sigma > 0$ so that for $n_j < n_\sigma$ there is a sequence $w_j = \phi_j u_j + (1 - \phi_j) v_j$ (ϕ_j are cutoff functions) between U', U such that

$$F_{n_j}(w_j, U' \cup V) \leq (1 + \sigma)(F_{n_j}(u_j, U) + F_{n_j}(v_j, V)) + M_\sigma \int_{(U \cap V)} \Phi(|u_j - v_j|) \, dx + \sigma$$

Since $\int_{U \cap V} \Phi(|u_j - v_j|) dx \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F'(u, U \cup V) &\leq \liminf_j F_{n_j}(w_j, U' \cup V) \\ &\leq (1 + \sigma)(\liminf_j F_{n_j}(u_j, U) + \limsup_j F_{n_j}(v_j, V)) + \sigma \\ &= (1 + \sigma)(F'(u, U) + F''(u, V)) + \sigma \end{aligned}$$

for any $\sigma > 0$. The proof of the second inequality follows the same steps. \square

Then one can show that $F'(u, \cdot), F''(u, \cdot)$ are increasing set functions. We return to the proof of proposition 4:

Proof of proposition 4. Taking into account the compactness result 10.3 in [6] and the above propositions, there exists a subsequence $F_{h(n)}$ and a non-negative, convex function $f_\gamma : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f_\gamma(x, Du) dx = \Gamma(L_\Phi) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (F_{h(n)})$$

for each $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}(A)$, $u \in L_\phi(\Omega)$. The growth conditions of f_γ remain the same (because of the lower semicontinuity) so that $f_\gamma \in \mathcal{F}(c_f^1, c_f^2, \Phi)$. \square

Proposition 9. *Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$ and F_n be a sequence in \mathcal{F} . Suppose that X is a weakly closed subset in $W_\Phi^1(\Omega)$. Suppose $\{F_n(u, \Omega)\}$ $\Gamma(L_\Phi)$ -converges to a functional $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{F}$. Let X be a weakly closed subspace of $W_{0,\Phi}^1(\Omega)$ and*

$$c_1(x) + P^{-1}c_g^1B(|u|) \leq g(x, u) \leq c_2(x) + P^{-1}c_g^2B(|u|)$$

where $c_i(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\Phi \prec\prec P$. Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{u \in X} G_n(u) = \min_{u \in X} \tilde{G}(u)$$

where $\tilde{G}(u) = \tilde{F}(u, \Omega) + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u(x)) dx$. Furthermore, any sequence $u_n \in X$ with $G_n(u_n) = \min_{u \in X} G_n(u)$ contains a subsequence that converges strongly in $L_\Phi(\Omega)$, weakly in $W_\Phi^1(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω to a function $\tilde{u} \in X$ such that

$$\tilde{G}(\tilde{u}) = \min_{u \in X} \tilde{G}(u)$$

Proof. From theorem 3, the functionals G_n, \tilde{G} attain their minimum in X . For any sequence such that $G_n(u_n) = \min_{u \in X} G_n(u)$, we have that u_n is bounded

in $W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$ so, up to a subsequence u_{n_k} , it converges in $L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ and pointwise a.e. to a function $\tilde{u} \in W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$ and

$$(4.2) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\min_{u \in X} G_n(u) \right) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\min_{u \in X} G_{n_k}(u) \right)$$

Take a fixed subset $B \subset \subset \Omega$; if the sequence u_{n_k} converges to \tilde{u} , the sequence F_{n_k} $\Gamma(L_{\Phi})$ -converges to \tilde{F}_{n_k} in B and hence

$$\tilde{F}(\tilde{u}, B) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_k}(u_{n_k}, B) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_k}(u_{n_k}, \Omega)$$

Fatou's lemma gives

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_{n_k}) \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega} g(x, \tilde{u}) \, dx$$

Combining, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(\tilde{u}, B) + \int_{\Omega} g(x, \tilde{u}) \, dx \\ \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[F_{n_k}(u_{n_k}, B) + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_{n_k}) \, dx \right] = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{n_k}(u_{n_k}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence taking $B \uparrow \Omega$ yields

$$\tilde{G}(\tilde{u}) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{n_k}(u_{n_k})$$

Consider now the second term $K(u) = \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) \, dx$ and note that Lemma 1 implies the continuity of K in X .

We will show that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a sequence (v_n) in X converging to \tilde{v} so that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(v_n, \Omega) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\tilde{F}(\tilde{v}, \Omega) + c\varepsilon$$

with $c = c(\tilde{v})$. Fix $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. Our assumption says that there is a sequence (w_n) converging to \tilde{v} in $L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\tilde{F}(\tilde{v}, \Omega) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(w_n, \Omega)$$

To have that $v_n \in X$, we modify w_n by taking a compact subset B of Ω with

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus B} (1 + \Phi(|D\tilde{v}|)) \, dx < \varepsilon$$

and sets Ω_1, Ω_2 with $B \subset \Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \Omega$. Applying proposition 6, one can find $M > 0$ and cutoff functions $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_k$ of $C_0^\infty(\Omega_2)$ between the sets Ω_1, Ω_2 so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} F_n(\phi_i w_n + (1 - \phi_i) \tilde{v}, \Omega) \\ & \leq (1 + \varepsilon)[F_n(w_n, \Omega) + F_n(\tilde{v}, \Omega \setminus B)] \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \left[\int_{\Omega} \Phi(w_n) dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B} \Phi(\tilde{v}) dx + 1 \right] + M \int_{\Omega \setminus B} \Phi(w_n - \tilde{v}) dx \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If we denote by i_n the index at which the minimum is attained and define $v_n = \phi_{i_n} w_n + (1 - \phi_{i_n}) \tilde{v} \in X$ then v_n converges to \tilde{v} in X . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(v_n, \Omega) \\ & \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \left[\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(w_n, \Omega) + C \int_{\Omega \setminus B} (1 + \Phi(|D\tilde{v}|)) dx \right] \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \left[2 \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\tilde{v}) dx + 1 \right] \\ & \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \tilde{F}(\tilde{v}, \Omega) + c\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

In our previous discussion, the function f may be taken in the form $f(x, z, p)$ instead of $f(x, p)$.

The following theorem shows the connection between the distance d and the Γ -convergence. Together with proposition 4, it shows that (\mathcal{F}, d) is complete.

Theorem 5. *Suppose that $\{F_n\}_{n>0}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{F} and $F_\gamma \in \mathcal{F}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(F_n, F_\gamma) = 0$
- (2) $\Gamma(L_\Phi) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_n) = F_\gamma$
- (3) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_\varepsilon F_n)(u, \Omega) = (T_\varepsilon F_\gamma)(u, \Omega) \forall \varepsilon > 0, u \in L_\Phi, \Omega \in \mathcal{A}(A)$

Corollary 1. *The map $m_{\Omega, X, g}(F) = \min_{u \in X} J(u, \Omega)$ is continuous on (\mathcal{F}, d) for $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}(A)$, $X \subseteq W_\Phi^1(\Omega)$.*

5. RANDOM FUNCTIONALS AND THE ERGODIC THEOREM

We denote by (\mathcal{S}, Σ, P) a fixed probability space where Σ is the σ -algebra on \mathcal{S} and P is the probability measure. A random functional is a measurable function $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ when \mathcal{F} is endowed with the field $\Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ generated by the distance d . The image $P(F^{-1}(S))$, $S \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the distribution law of F . If F and G have the same distribution law, we write $F \sim G$.

For $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we define the translation operator τ_z by

$$(5.1) \quad (\tau_z F)(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} f(x+z, Du) dx$$

and for $\varepsilon > 0$ the homothety operator ρ_{ε} by

$$(5.2) \quad (\rho_{\varepsilon} F)(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, Du\right) dx$$

Note that, since the integrand is independent of u ,

$$(\tau_z F)(u, \Omega) = F(\tau_z u, \tau_z \Omega)$$

where $\tau_z u(x) = u(x-z)$, $\tau_z \Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x-z \in \Omega\}$ and

$$\rho_{\varepsilon} F(u, \Omega) = \varepsilon^n F(\rho_{\varepsilon} u, \rho_{\varepsilon} \Omega)$$

where $\rho_{\varepsilon} u = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u(\varepsilon x)$, $\rho_{\varepsilon} \Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varepsilon x \in \Omega\}$. If F is a random functional then both the translated and the homothetic functionals are also random functionals.

A stochastic homogenization process is a family of random variables $(F_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon > 0}$ that has the same distribution law with the random functionals $\rho_{\varepsilon} F$.

For $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $u \in L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$, we consider the Dirichlet problem

$$(5.3) \quad m(F, u_0, \Omega) = \min_u \{F(u, \Omega) : u - u_0 \in W_{0, \Phi}^1(\Omega)\}$$

Let $Q_{1/\varepsilon}$ be the cube

$$Q_{1/\varepsilon} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_i| < 1/\varepsilon, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

with volume $(2/\varepsilon)^n$. We denote by $l_p = p \cdot x$ the linear function with gradient p . The main theorem of this section is the following:

Theorem 6. *Let F be a random integral functional and define $F_{\varepsilon} = \rho_{\varepsilon} F$. Suppose that $\tau_z F = F(\tau_z u, \tau_z \Omega)$ and F have the same distribution law. Then the family F_{ε} converges P -almost everywhere as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ to a random*

integral functional F_0 . In addition, there is a set $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}$ of full measure such that the limit

$$f_0(\omega, p) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{m(u, l_p, Q_{1/\varepsilon})}{|Q_{1/\varepsilon}|}$$

exists for all $\omega \in \mathcal{S}'$ and

$$F_0(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} f_0(\omega, p) \, dx$$

Moreover, if F is ergodic, the integrand f_0 is independent of ω and

$$f_0(p) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Omega} \frac{m(u, l_p, Q_{1/\varepsilon})}{|Q_{1/\varepsilon}|}$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

We give a sketch of the proof which can be found in [11]. We need the following results.

In [9], the question of determining an integral functional by the knowledge of their minima was studied. In particular, suppose the numbers

$$m(u, l_p, \Omega)$$

are given and that we have a family of subsets $\{A_\rho\}_{\rho>0}$ of \mathbb{R}^n which shrinks nicely to x as $\rho \rightarrow 0^+$. This means that the following density-type inequalities are satisfied:

$$A_\rho \subseteq B(x, \rho) \quad |A_\rho| \geq c|B(x, \rho)|$$

where $B(x, \rho)$ is the ball centered at x of radius ρ . The following theorem holds

Theorem 7. *Suppose $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the assumptions of section 3, (3.1) and*

$$\phi_1(p) \leq f(x, p) \leq \phi_2(p)$$

for all $(x, p) \in (\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ where ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are convex in p and

$$\lim_{|p| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi_1(p)}{|p|} = \infty$$

Then there is a measurable subset $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|N| = 0$ such that

$$f(x, p) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{m(u, l_p, A_\rho)}{|A_\rho|}$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus N$ and every family $\{A_\rho\}_{\rho>0}$ shrinking nicely to x as $\rho \rightarrow 0^+$.

A function $\mu : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called subadditive if for every finite and disjoint family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ with $|A \setminus \cup_{i \in I} A_i| = 0$,

$$\mu(A) \leq \sum_i \mu(A_i)$$

We say that μ is dominated if $0 \leq \mu(A) \leq C|A|$ for all sets A . Consider now the family of dominated, subadditive functions and the group of translations $(\tau_z \mu)(A) = \mu(\tau_z A)$, where $\tau_z A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x - z \in A\}$.

Theorem 8. (Ergodic)(see [2], [10]): Let $\mu : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a subadditive process, periodic in law, in the sense that $\mu(\cdot)$ and $\tau_z \mu(\cdot)$ have the same distribution for every $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then, there exists measurable function $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a subset $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ of full measure such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(\omega)(tQ)}{|tQ|} = \phi(\omega)$$

exists a.e. $\omega \in \mathcal{S}'$ and for every cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore, if μ is ergodic then ϕ is constant.

We return to the proof of theorem 6.

Proof. For fixed $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for $\omega \in \mathcal{S}$ we define

$$\mu_p(\omega)(\Omega) = m(F(\omega), l_p, \Omega)$$

which is a measurable map, since $m(\cdot, l_p, \Omega)$ is continuous in \mathcal{F} . For $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_z \mu_p)(\omega)(\Omega) &= m_p(\omega)(\tau_z \Omega) \\ &= \min_u \{(\tau_z F)(\omega)(\tau_{-z} u, \Omega) : \tau_{-z} u - \tau_{-z} l_p \in W_{0, \Phi}^1(\Omega)\} \\ &= \min_u \{(\tau_z F)(\omega)(v + l_p(z), \Omega) : v - l_p \in W_{0, \Phi}^1(\Omega)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\tau_z F)(\omega)(v + l_p(z), \Omega) = (\tau_z F)(\omega)(v, \Omega)$$

since the integrand is independent of u . Thus,

$$(\tau_z \mu_p)(\omega)(\Omega) = m((\tau_z F), l_p, \Omega)$$

which shows that μ_p is periodic in law. Applying theorem 4, there exists measurable function $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow R$ and a subset $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ of full measure such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_p(\omega)(tQ)}{|tQ|} = \phi_p(\omega)$$

exists a.e. $\omega \in \Omega'$ and for every cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let

$$f_0(\omega, p) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_p(\omega)(Q_t)}{|Q_t|}$$

We observe that the convexity of F in u says that the functions

$$p \rightarrow \frac{\mu_p(\omega)(\Omega)}{|\Omega|}$$

are convex and equibounded. Hence, f_0 is convex in p and

$$f_0(\omega, p) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_p(\omega)(tQ)}{|tQ|}$$

Furthermore,

$$\mu_p(\omega)(tQ) = t^n m((\rho_{1/t} F)(\omega), l_p, Q)$$

so that, since $\rho_\varepsilon F = F_\varepsilon$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{m(F(\omega), l_p, Q)}{|Q|} = f_0(\omega, p)$$

for each cube Q , $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\omega \in \mathcal{S}'$. Fix $\omega \in \mathcal{S}'$. Corollary 1 and proposition 4 tell that there is an integral functional $F_0(\omega) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F_\varepsilon(\omega)$ Γ -converges to $F_0(\omega)$. Then we are in position to compute the integrand of $F_0(\omega)$ since, from theorem 7 there is a subset N with $|N| = 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(\omega, x, p) &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{m(F_0(\omega), l_p, Q_\rho)}{|Q_\rho|} \\ &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{m(F_\varepsilon(\omega), l_p, Q_\rho)}{|Q_\rho|} \\ &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu_p(\omega)(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q_\rho)}{|\frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q_\rho|} = f_0(\omega, p) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus N$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that

$$F_0(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} f_0(\omega, Du) dx$$

Note that if F is ergodic, μ_p is constant. □

Remark 1. The last proof is derived in [10] under the assumption that the integrand is independent of u . If the integrand depends on u , i.e. $f = f(\omega, x, u, Du)$, we can consider the function

$$f_u(\omega, x, Du) = f(\omega, x, u, Du)$$

and apply the last proof to see that

$$f_{0,u}(\omega, p) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{m(F_u(\omega), l_p, Q_{1/\varepsilon})}{|Q_{1/\varepsilon}|}$$

for all $\omega \in \mathcal{S}'$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence,

$$F_{0,u}(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} f_0(\omega, u, Du) \, dx$$

and from the last limit,

$$f_0(\omega, u, p) = f_{0,u}(\omega, p)$$

The abstract form of this theorem can be applied to obtain homogenization results over random structures for partial differential equations defined in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

5.1. Examples of random functionals. An application of theorem 3 is the case of random two-phase domains. Such domains can be obtained for instance from the realization of Poisson processes. Construction of random domains has been studied in [14], using the connectivity function of continuum percolation theory [19]. In particular, we take a Poisson process X with density $\lambda > 0$ and we consider the realization $X(\omega)$ of the process in a given domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, for some $\omega \in \mathcal{S}$. A connection function $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ connects two points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with probability $g(|x_1 - x_2|)$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean distance. Suppose that ω is a given realization for X which is locally finite, i.e. a finite number of points hits every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ almost surely:

$$P(\omega \in \Omega : \psi(K) < \infty \text{ for all compact } K \subset \mathbb{R}^n) = 1$$

where $\psi(\cdot)$ is a counting measure. Let $x_i \in X$ be a given point of this realization.

Consider the annulus $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : c_1 \leq |x - x_i| \leq c_2\}$, where c_1, c_2 are positive constants with $c_1 \leq c_2$.

We connect the point x_i with all the points in A that are given from X . For this purpose we choose the connection function

$$g(|x - x_i|) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_1 \leq |x - x_i| \leq c_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For a point $x_j \in A$, we denote by $l_{ij}(\omega) = l(x_i, x_j)$ the line segment with endpoints x_i, x_j and let $T_{c_1/2}(l_{ij})(\omega)$ the tube of radius $c_1/2$ surrounding l_{ij} . Let now $T(x_i)(\omega) = \cup_j T_{c_1/2}(l_{ij})(\omega)$ and $G(\omega, c_1/2) = \cup_i T(x_i)(\omega)$ for all points x_i of the process.

Thus, the set $G(\omega, c_1/2)$ is the union of random tubes obtained from the given realization of the point process. Let $\Omega(\omega, c_1/2) = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus G(\omega, c_1/2)$.

We define the indicator function

$$a(\omega, x) = 1 - \min\{X_{F(\omega, c_1/2)}, 1\}$$

which is zero in the union of tubes and one elsewhere.

Let Ω be an open, bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n and consider the random functional $F(\omega)(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} a(\omega, x)f(\omega, Du)dx = \int_{G(\omega) \cap \Omega} f(\omega, Du)dx$ for $u \in W_{\Phi}^1(\Omega)$. This functional is periodic in law and independent at large distances, thus ergodic. Furthermore let $(\rho_{\varepsilon}F)(u, A) = \varepsilon^n F(\rho_{\varepsilon}u, \rho_{\varepsilon}A)$ where $(\rho_{\varepsilon}u)(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}u(\varepsilon x)$, $(\rho_{\varepsilon}A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varepsilon x \in A\}$

Then the family

$$F^{\varepsilon}(u, \Omega) = \rho_{\varepsilon}F(u, \Omega)$$

satisfies the assumptions of theorem 3. Note that the ρ_{ε} -homothetic functional is the functional obtained if we scale by ε the distance between the connected points of the set $F(\omega, c_1/2)$ that corresponds to the union of tubes $\varepsilon F = F(\varepsilon\omega, \varepsilon c_1/2)$, where $\varepsilon\omega$ maps to the point measure whose support is $\{\varepsilon x_i\}$ and $\{x_i\}$ is the support of $X(\omega)$. Also, the scaling properties of this model are the same (in terms of distribution) with the model that we have if we choose

$$g_{\varepsilon}(|x - x_i|) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_1\varepsilon \leq |x - x_i| \leq c_2\varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with density function λ/ε . Let us define $G^{\varepsilon}(\omega) = \varepsilon G = G(\varepsilon\omega, \varepsilon c_1/2)$ and $\Omega^{\varepsilon}(\omega) = \varepsilon\Omega(\omega) = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus G^{\varepsilon}(\omega)$.

We may also model a domain perforated with balls at random positions but nonintersecting. Instead of constructing tubes, we let every point be the center of a ball with radius $\rho(\omega) \leq \min d(x_i, x_j)(\omega)$, where the minimum is taken over all the pairs of points x of $X(\omega)$. Note that, without any affect to our proofs, we may assume that $\rho(\omega)$ is identically distributed random variable taking maximum value $\min d(x_i, x_j)(\omega)/4$. We consider for simplicity the first case. According to this construction, we obtain a domain randomly perforated with balls of radius and with minimal distance between them. Finally, we define

$G^\varepsilon(\omega) = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} B(\varepsilon \rho(\omega), \varepsilon x_i) \cap \Omega$ and $\Omega^\varepsilon(\omega) \cap \Omega = \Omega \setminus G^\varepsilon(\omega)$. Note that $\text{meas}G^\varepsilon(\omega)$ tends to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

6. APPLICATION TO HOMOGENIZATION PROBLEMS

6.1. Homogenization of pde's with generalized growth conditions.

We study the homogenization of the Dirichlet problem

$$(6.1) \quad \text{div}A(x, u^\varepsilon, Du^\varepsilon) + B(x, u^\varepsilon, Du^\varepsilon) = f(x) \text{ in } \Omega^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon - u_0 \in W_{0,G}^1(\Omega^\varepsilon)$$

where $\Omega^\varepsilon = \Omega^\varepsilon(\omega)$ is a randomly perforated domain (as in section 4.1 for instance), $\Omega^\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus G^\varepsilon$, G to be precisely defined below. We assume that the following structure conditions hold:

$$(6.2) \quad p \cdot A \geq |p|g(|p|) - a_1g\left(\frac{|z|}{R}\right)\frac{|z|}{R} - a_2$$

$$(6.3) \quad |A| \leq a_3g(|p|) + a_4g\left(\frac{|z|}{R}\right) + a_5$$

where a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 are nonnegative constants and g is a C^1 function satisfying

$$(6.4) \quad \delta \leq \frac{tg'(t)}{g(t)} \leq g_0 \text{ if } t > 0$$

for some $\delta > 0$.

We define $G(t) = \int_0^t g(s) ds$. It can be shown [17] that G is twice differentiable, convex function and it satisfies

$$(6.5) \quad \frac{tg(t)}{1+g_0} \leq G(t) \leq tg(t) \text{ if } t \geq 0,$$

$$(6.6) \quad \frac{G(a)}{G(b)} \leq \frac{a}{b} \text{ if } b \geq a > 0,$$

$$(6.7) \quad g(t) \leq g(2t) \leq 2^{g_0}g(t) \text{ if } t \geq 0,$$

$$(6.8) \quad ag(b) \leq ag(a) + bg(b) \text{ if } a, b \geq 0$$

The smoothness of solutions for the equation (5.1) under the above structure conditions have been derived in [17].

If in addition $tg(t) \leq C$ for $t \geq 0$, (5.5) implies that G satisfies the Δ_2 -condition. To see this, note that from (5.5),

$$\frac{C}{t} \geq \frac{g(t)}{G(t)} = \frac{G'(t)}{G(t)} = (\log G(t))'$$

and consequently

$$\log \frac{G(2t)}{G(t)} = \int_t^{2t} \frac{g(s)}{G(s)} ds \leq C \log 2$$

i.e.

$$G(2t) \leq 2^C G(t)$$

Thus, $G \in \Delta_2$ with $k = 2^C$. The additional assumption $tg(t) \leq C$ is also included in [17][Lemma 2.1] to derive L^∞ estimates for the solutions.

We denote by $W_G^1(\Omega)$ the Orlicz-Solobev space as defined in section 2.1. We seek the asymptotic behavior of the family u^ε as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the general variational form

$$(6.9) \quad \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} f(x, u^\varepsilon, Du^\varepsilon) dx : u^\varepsilon - u_0 \in W_{0,G}^1(\Omega^\varepsilon) \right\}$$

where $u_0 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, $f(x, u, p)$ is a measurable function defined in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, continuously differentiable with respect to u, p and satisfies

$$(6.10) \quad C_1 G(|p|) - C_2 G(u) \leq f(x, u, p) \leq C_3(1 + G(u) + G(|p|)),$$

$$(6.11)$$

$$|f(x, u, p) - f(x, v, q)| \leq C_4(1 + g(u) + g(v) + g(|p|) + g(|q|))(|u - v| + |p - q|),$$

$$(6.12) \quad f(x, u, p) - f(x, u, q) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_{q_i}(x, u, q)(p_i - q_i) \geq 0$$

with $f_{p_i} = A_i$, $f_u = B$.

Theorem 9 ([17]). *Suppose that g satisfies (5.4)*

$$(6.13) \quad p \cdot A \geq |p|g(|p|) - a_1 g(|p|)|p| - a_2,$$

$$(6.14) \quad |A| \leq a_3 g(|p|) + a_5,$$

$$(6.15) \quad |B| \leq b_0 g(|p|)|p| + b_1$$

for $x \in \Omega^\varepsilon$, $|z| \leq M$. If $u^\varepsilon \in L^\infty \cap W_G^1$ solves $Lu^\varepsilon = 0$ where

$$Lz = \operatorname{div} A(x, z, p) + B(x, z, p)$$

with $|u^\varepsilon| \leq M$ in Ω^ε . Then u^ε is locally Holder continuous with

$$\operatorname{osc}_{B_\rho} u^\varepsilon \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right)^\alpha \left(\operatorname{osc}_{B_R} u^\varepsilon + \chi R \right)$$

for $\alpha > 0$, $C = C(a_3, b_0 M, g_0, n, \delta)$ and concentric balls B_ρ, B_R in Ω^ε , $0 < \rho \leq R \leq 1$.

6.2. Passing the limit. In this section, we improve the homogenization method of [18]. For the convenience of the reader we present it explicitly. Taking $u_0 \in C^1(\Omega)$ and using the smoothness of the solution from the last section, we can extend u^ε to Ω by taking $u^\varepsilon = u_0$ in $\Omega \setminus \Omega^\varepsilon$. We keep the notation u^ε for the extended function. Then,

$$\|u^\varepsilon\|_{W_G^1(\Omega)} \leq c \|f\|_{W_G^1(\Omega)}$$

and hence, the family u^ε is compact in $C^\alpha(\Omega)$ and weakly compact in $W_G^1(\Omega)$. Thus, we can extract a subsequence, still denoted by u^ε that converges weakly to a function $u \in C^\alpha(\Omega) \cap W_G^1(\Omega)$.

We denote by $Q_h^x = Q(x, h)$ the cube centered at x of size $h > 0$ and we define the function

$$(6.16) \quad R(x, v^\varepsilon, \nabla v^\varepsilon) = f(x, 0, \nabla v^\varepsilon) + h^{-1-\gamma} G(v^\varepsilon - b)$$

where γ is a positive parameter. We also define the capacity-type functionals

$$(6.17) \quad \text{cap}_f(\omega, x, \varepsilon, h, b) = \inf_{v^\varepsilon} \int_{Q_x^h \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} f(x, 0, \nabla v^\varepsilon) \, dx$$

and

$$(6.18) \quad \text{cap}(\omega, x, \varepsilon, h, b) = \inf_{v^\varepsilon} \int_{Q_x^h \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} R(x, v^\varepsilon, \nabla v^\varepsilon) \, dx$$

where the infimum is taken over the set $\{v^\varepsilon \in W_G^1(\Omega) : v^\varepsilon = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \Omega^\varepsilon\}$. Note that theorem 3 shows that the limit

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_f(\omega, x, \varepsilon, h, b)}{h^n} = c(x)$$

exists for every point $x \in \Omega$. In addition, since our functionals are independent at large distances, the ergodicity implies that the limit $c(x) = c_0$ is constant. The continuity of v^ε shows that the integral $\int_{Q_x^h \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} G(v^\varepsilon - b) \, dx$ can be estimated in terms of $\int_{Q_x^h \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} G(|\nabla v^\varepsilon|) \, dx$ (see also [20] for Poincaré type inequalities) times a factor $h^{1+\gamma}$.

Let us also consider the limit

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}(\omega, x, \varepsilon, h, b)}{h^n} = c_0(b)$$

Furthermore, we assume that for every $x \in \Omega$,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}(\omega, x, \varepsilon, h, b)}{h^n} \leq C(1 + g(|b|))|b|$$

Theorem 10. *The (extended) family of minimizers of (5.9) converges weakly to the minimizer $u \in C^\alpha(\Omega) \cap W_G^1(\Omega)$ of*

$$(6.19) \quad \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du) + c_0(u - u_0) \, dx : u - u_0 \in W_{0,G}^1(\Omega) \right\}$$

Proof. We consider a partition of Ω with cubes $Q^\alpha = Q(x^\alpha, h)$ centered at x^α of size h , so that $\cup_\alpha Q(x^\alpha, h)$ is a cover of Ω and the points x^α form a periodic lattice of period $h - r$, r to be chosen. Consider a partition of unity $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ of C^2 functions such that

- (1) $0 \leq \phi_\alpha \leq 1$
- (2) $\phi_\alpha = 0$ if $x \notin Q^\alpha$, $\phi_\alpha = 1$ if $x \in Q^\alpha \setminus \cup_{\beta \neq \alpha} Q^\beta$
- (3) $\sum \phi_\alpha(x) = 1$, if $x \in D$
- (4) $|\nabla^\alpha \phi_\alpha| \leq C/r$

Let us denote by $v_\alpha = v_\alpha^\varepsilon$ the minimizer of (6.9) in the cube centered at x^α with $b = b_\alpha$.

From the last assumption and (6.10),

$$\int_{Q^\alpha} G(|\nabla v_\alpha|) dx = O(h^n)$$

and

$$\int_{Q^\alpha} G(|v_\alpha - b_\alpha|) dx = O(h^{n+1+\gamma})$$

We denote by $\hat{Q}_h^\alpha = Q_h^\alpha \setminus \cup_{\beta \neq \alpha} Q_h^\beta$ the concentric cube centered at x^α of size $\hat{h} = h - 2r$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_h^\alpha \setminus \hat{Q}_h^\alpha} R(x, v_\alpha, \nabla v_\alpha) dx \\ &= \int_{Q_h^\alpha} R(x, v_\alpha, \nabla v_\alpha) dx - \int_{\hat{Q}_h^\alpha} R(x, v_\alpha, \nabla v_\alpha) dx + O(rh^{n-1}) \\ &\leq \text{cap}(\omega, x, h, \varepsilon, b) - \text{cap}(\omega, x, \hat{h}, \varepsilon, b) + O(rh^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\int_{(Q_h^\alpha \setminus \hat{Q}_h^\alpha) \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} R(x, v_\alpha, \nabla v_\alpha) dx = o(h^n)$$

which implies that

$$(6.20) \quad \int_{(Q_h^\alpha \setminus \hat{Q}_h^\alpha) \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} G(|\nabla v_\alpha|) dx = o(h^n)$$

$$(6.21) \quad \int_{(Q_h^\alpha \setminus \hat{Q}_h^\alpha) \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} G(v_\alpha - b_\alpha) dx = o(h^n)h^{1+\gamma}$$

Note that these relations imply that the local limit

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}(\omega, x, \varepsilon, h, b)}{h^n} = c(x, b) = c_0(b)$$

exists.

Consider a function $w \in C^1(\Omega)$ such that $w = u_0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and denote by \mathcal{K}_θ the set of the cubes Q_h^α that cover Ω such that $|w(x) - f(x)| > \theta$ for $\theta > 0$.

We set $b_\alpha = w(x^\alpha) - f(x^\alpha)$ for each $Q_h^\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_\theta$ and $b_\alpha = 1$ for $Q_h^\alpha \notin \mathcal{K}_\theta$. For each cube Q_h^α , we define the set

$$(6.22) \quad \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\delta, \varepsilon, h) = \{x \in Q_h^\alpha : \operatorname{sgn} b_\alpha \leq |b_\alpha| - \delta\}$$

and the function

$$V_\alpha^\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} v_\alpha^\varepsilon & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\delta, \varepsilon, h) \\ b_\alpha^\delta = (|b_\alpha| - \delta) \operatorname{sgn} b_\alpha & \text{if } x \in Q_h^\alpha \setminus \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\delta, \varepsilon, h) \end{cases}$$

with $0 < \delta \leq \theta/2 \ll 1$. To estimate the measure of $\mathcal{B}^\alpha(\delta, \varepsilon, h)$, observe that from our assumption and (5.26), for ε sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned} G(\delta) \operatorname{meas} \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\delta, \varepsilon, h) &\leq \int_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha(\delta, \varepsilon, h) \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} G(v_\alpha - b_\alpha) \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{Q_h^\alpha \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} G(v_\alpha - b_\alpha) \, dx \leq Ch^{n+1+\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

We set $\delta = G^{-1}((h^{-1-\gamma})^{-1+\delta_1})$ for $\delta_1 \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$(6.23) \quad \operatorname{meas} \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\delta, \varepsilon, h) = O(h^n)h^{(1+\gamma)\delta_1} = o(h^n)$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$. For $w \in C^2(\Omega)$ supported in Ω , consider the function

$$w_h^\varepsilon(x) = w(x) + \sum_\alpha \frac{(w(x) - u_0(x))}{b_\alpha^\delta} (V_\alpha^\varepsilon(x) - b_\alpha^\delta) \phi_\alpha(x)$$

so that $w_h^\varepsilon - u_0 \in W_{0,G}^1(\Omega^\varepsilon)$. Let us denote by

$$J^\varepsilon[v^\varepsilon] = \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} f(x, v^\varepsilon, Dv^\varepsilon) \, dx$$

and note that since u^ε minimizes $J^\varepsilon[\cdot]$,

$$J^\varepsilon[u^\varepsilon] \leq J^\varepsilon[w_h^\varepsilon]$$

To estimate the last inequality, observe that

$$J^\varepsilon[w_h^\varepsilon] \leq \sum_\alpha \int_{\hat{Q}_h^\alpha \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} f(x, w_h^\varepsilon, Dw_h^\varepsilon) \, dx + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{(\hat{Q}_h^\alpha \cap \hat{Q}_h^\beta) \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} |f(x, w_h^\varepsilon, Dw_h^\varepsilon)| \, dx$$

Taking into account the properties of $V_\alpha^\varepsilon, \phi_\alpha, w$ and the convexity of $G(\cdot)$ one derives

$$(6.24) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{(\hat{Q}_h^\alpha \cap \hat{Q}_h^\beta) \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} |f(x, w_h^\varepsilon, Dw_h^\varepsilon)| \, dx = 0$$

Introduce the sets $\mathcal{B}_1^\alpha(\varepsilon, h) = \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\delta, \varepsilon, h) \cap (\hat{Q}_h^\alpha \cap \Omega^\varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{B}_2^\alpha(\varepsilon, h) = (\hat{Q}_h^\alpha \cap \Omega^\varepsilon) \setminus \mathcal{B}_1^\alpha(\varepsilon, h)$. Then,

$$(6.25) \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}_2^\alpha(\varepsilon, h)} f(x, w_h^\varepsilon, Dw_h^\varepsilon) dx \leq \int_{\hat{Q}_h^\alpha} f(x, w, Dw) dx + o(h^n)$$

Furthermore, for $Q_h^\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_\theta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{B}_1^\alpha(\varepsilon, h)} f(x, w_h^\varepsilon, Dw_h^\varepsilon) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{B}_1^\alpha(\varepsilon, h)} f(x, 0, Dv_\alpha^\varepsilon) dx + \int_{\mathcal{B}_1^\alpha(\varepsilon, h)} f(x, w_h^\varepsilon, Dw_h^\varepsilon) - f(x, 0, Dv_\alpha^\varepsilon) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{B}_1^\alpha(\varepsilon, h)} f(x, 0, Dv_\alpha^\varepsilon) dx + c_1 \int_{\mathcal{B}_1^\alpha(\varepsilon, h)} g(c_2 + c_3|\nabla v_\alpha^\varepsilon|) dx \\ &\quad + O(h) \int_{\mathcal{B}_1^\alpha(\varepsilon, h)} G(|\nabla v_\alpha^\varepsilon|) dx \end{aligned}$$

for constants independent of ε, h, δ . Note that the second term on the right hand side is of order $O(h^{n+1})$. To estimate the first term consider the subsets of $\mathcal{B}_1^\alpha(\varepsilon, h)$,

$$(6.26) \quad \mathcal{B}_{11}^\alpha(\varepsilon, h) = \{x \in \mathcal{B}_1^\alpha(\varepsilon, h) : c_2 + c_3|\nabla v_\alpha^\varepsilon| \leq m(h)\}$$

$$(6.27) \quad \mathcal{B}_{12}^\alpha(\varepsilon, h) = \{x \in \mathcal{B}_1^\alpha(\varepsilon, h) : c_2 + c_3|\nabla v_\alpha^\varepsilon| > m(h)\}$$

where $m(h) = (h^{-1-\gamma})^{\delta_1/2}$. From condition 5 in section 2,

$$\begin{aligned} m(h)g(c_2 + c_3|\nabla v_\alpha^\varepsilon|) &< (c_2 + c_3|\nabla v_\alpha^\varepsilon|)g(c_2 + c_3|\nabla v_\alpha^\varepsilon|) \\ &\leq CG(c_2 + c_3|\nabla v_\alpha^\varepsilon|) \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathcal{B}_{12}^\alpha(\varepsilon, h)$. The convexity of G and (6.27) give us

$$(6.28) \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}_{12}^\alpha(\varepsilon, h)} g(c_2 + c_3|\nabla v_\alpha^\varepsilon|) dx = o(h^n)$$

so that

$$(6.29) \quad \int_{Q_h^\alpha \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} f(x, w_h^\varepsilon, Dw_h^\varepsilon) dx \leq \int_{Q_h^\alpha \cap \Omega} f(x, w_h^\varepsilon, Dw_h^\varepsilon) dx + \text{cap}(\omega, x^\alpha, \varepsilon, h, b_\alpha) + o(h^n)$$

for cubes $Q_h^\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_\theta$. Similarly we can obtain this inequality for $Q_h^\alpha \notin \mathcal{K}_\theta$.

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $h \rightarrow 0$ and finally $\theta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$(6.30) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J^\varepsilon[w_h^\varepsilon] \leq J_{c_0}(w)$$

and hence

$$(6.31) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J^\varepsilon[u^\varepsilon] \leq J_{c_0}(w)$$

for all $w \in C^1(\Omega)$ and hence for all $w \in W_G^1(\Omega)$, with $J_{c_0}(u)$ being the functional in (5.23), which is continuous in $W_G^1(\Omega)$.

To prove the lower bound, we will use the following lemma [18]:

Lemma 4. *Suppose $w \in W_G^1(\Omega)$ with $\|w\|_{G,\Omega}^1 < 1$. Under the assumption of theorem 8, there exists a sequence $W^\varepsilon \in W_G^1(\Omega)$ with $W^\varepsilon = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \Omega^\varepsilon$ converging weakly in $W_G^1(\Omega)$ to w and, for sufficiently small ε , satisfies*

$$\|W^\varepsilon\|_{G,\Omega}^1 \leq \Lambda(\|w\|_{G,\Omega}^1)$$

for some continuous nonnegative function Λ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Lambda(t) = 0$.

Now let $u \in W_G^1(\Omega)$ be a weak limit of a sequence u^ε of solutions of (5.9) extended by u_0 in $\Omega \setminus \Omega^\varepsilon$. Given $\delta > 0$ we pick a function $u_\delta \in C^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$(6.32) \quad \|u_\delta - u\|_{G,\Omega}^1 < \delta$$

From lemma 4, it follows that there exists a sequence $\{W_\delta^\varepsilon\}$ converging weakly to $u_\delta - u$. We define $u_\delta^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon + W_\delta^\varepsilon$ so that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $u_\delta^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_\delta$ and $u_\delta^\varepsilon = u_0$ in $\Omega \setminus \Omega^\varepsilon$. Then,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|u_\delta^\varepsilon - u^\varepsilon\|_{G,\Omega}^1 = 0$$

that leads to

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |J^\varepsilon[u_\delta^\varepsilon] - J^\varepsilon[u^\varepsilon]| = 0$$

The continuity of J_{c_0} implies that it suffices to show

$$(6.33) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J_{c_0}[u^\varepsilon] \geq J_{c_0}[u]$$

To prove (6.37), we introduce the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\theta &= \Omega_\theta^+ \cup \Omega_\theta^- & \tilde{\Omega}_\theta &= \tilde{\Omega}_\theta^+ \cup \tilde{\Omega}_\theta^- & \Xi_\theta &= \Omega \setminus \Omega_\theta \\ \Omega_\theta^\varepsilon &= \Omega_\theta \cup \Omega^\varepsilon & \tilde{\Omega}_\theta^\varepsilon &= \tilde{\Omega}_\theta \cup \tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon & \Xi_\theta^\varepsilon &= \Xi_\theta \cap \Omega_\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Omega_\theta^\pm = \{c \in \Omega : \pm(u_\delta - u_0) > \theta\} \quad \tilde{\Omega}_\theta^\pm = \{\cup_\alpha Q_h^\alpha : Q_h^\alpha \subset \Omega_\theta^\pm\}$$

Since u_δ is smooth,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \text{meas}[\Omega_\theta^\varepsilon \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\theta^\varepsilon] = 0$$

The limit of the capacity functional implies that

$$\text{meas}[G^\varepsilon \cap Q_h^\alpha] = O(h^n)h^{1+\gamma}$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ so that

$$\text{meas}[G^\varepsilon] = o(1)$$

We write $J^\varepsilon[u_\delta^\varepsilon]$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} J^\varepsilon[u_\delta^\varepsilon] &= \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_\theta^\varepsilon} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\theta^\varepsilon} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) dx + \int_{\Xi_\theta^\varepsilon} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) dx \end{aligned}$$

From (6.11) and (6.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) &\geq f(x, u_\delta, \nabla u_\delta) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_{x_i}}(x, u_\delta, \nabla u_\delta) \left(\frac{\partial u_\delta^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_\delta}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &\quad - (g(u_\delta^\varepsilon) + 2g(|\nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon|) + 1)(|u_\delta^\varepsilon - u_\delta|) \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of u_δ^ε to u_δ and the last estimation implies that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_\theta^\varepsilon} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) dx \geq 0$$

Furthermore,

$$\int_{\Xi_\theta^\varepsilon} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) dx = \int_{\Xi_\theta} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) dx - \int_{\Xi_\theta \cap G^\varepsilon} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) dx$$

and

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Xi_\theta^\varepsilon} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) dx \geq \int_{\Xi_\theta} f(x, u_\delta, \nabla u_\delta) dx$$

Let Q_h^α be a cube in $\tilde{\Omega}_\theta^+$ and set

$$b_\alpha^{\min} = \min_{Q_h^\alpha} u_\delta - h_1 \quad b_\alpha^{\max} = \max_{Q_h^\alpha} u_0 + h_1 \quad b_\alpha = b_\alpha^{\min} - b_\alpha^{\max}$$

for $h_1 > 0$ to be chosen. Now we split the set $Q_h^\alpha \cap \Omega^\varepsilon$ into the following nonintersecting sets:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{1\alpha}^\varepsilon &= \{x \in Q_h^\alpha \cap \Omega^\varepsilon : u_\delta^\varepsilon < b_\alpha^{\max}\} \\ \Omega_{2\alpha}^\varepsilon &= \{x \in Q_h^\alpha \cap \Omega^\varepsilon : b_\alpha^{\max} \leq u_\delta^\varepsilon \leq b_\alpha^{\min}\} \\ \Omega_{3\alpha}^\varepsilon &= \{x \in Q_h^\alpha \cap \Omega^\varepsilon : u_\delta^\varepsilon > b_\alpha^{\min}\}\end{aligned}$$

Since $u_\delta^\varepsilon \rightarrow u_\delta$ in the $L_G(\Omega)$, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough

$$\int_{Q_h^\alpha} G(u_\delta^\varepsilon - u_\delta) = O(h^{n+2+2\gamma})$$

and hence

$$G(h_1) \text{meas}[\Omega_{1\alpha}^\varepsilon \cup \Omega_{2\alpha}^\varepsilon] \leq \int_{\Omega_{1\alpha}^\varepsilon \cup \Omega_{2\alpha}^\varepsilon} G(u_\delta^\varepsilon - u_\delta) = O(h^{n+2+2\gamma})$$

Choosing $h_1 = G^{-1}(h^{1+\gamma})$, we get $\text{meas}[\Omega_{1\alpha}^\varepsilon \cup \Omega_{2\alpha}^\varepsilon] = O(h^{n+1+\gamma})$. We follow the steps that we did to show (6.33), to get

$$(6.34) \quad \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega_{1\alpha}^\varepsilon \cup \Omega_{3\alpha}^\varepsilon} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) dx \geq \int_{Q_h^\alpha} f(x, u_\delta, \nabla u_\delta) dx + o(h^n)$$

The estimate over $\Omega_{2\alpha}^\varepsilon$ follows by introducing the function

$$v_\alpha^\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{in } \Omega_{3\alpha}^\varepsilon \cup (G^\varepsilon \cup Q_h^\alpha) \\ u_\delta^\varepsilon - b_\alpha^{\max}, & \text{in } \Omega_{2\alpha}^\varepsilon \\ b_\alpha, & \text{in } \Omega_{3\alpha}^\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

Since u_δ^ε are bounded in $\Omega_{2\alpha}^\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha}^\varepsilon} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) dx &= \int_{Q_h^\alpha} f(x, 0, \nabla v_\alpha^\varepsilon) dx \\ &\quad + h^{-1-\gamma} \int_{Q_h^\alpha} G(v_\alpha^\varepsilon - b_\alpha) dx + o(h^n) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\int_{\Omega_{2\alpha}^\varepsilon} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) dx \geq \text{cap}(\omega, x^\alpha, \varepsilon, h, b_\alpha) + o(h^n)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_h^\alpha \cap \Omega^\varepsilon} f(x, u_\delta^\varepsilon, \nabla u_\delta^\varepsilon) dx &\geq \int_{Q_h^\alpha} f(x, u_\delta, \nabla u_\delta) dx \\ &\quad + \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{cap}(\omega, x^\alpha, \varepsilon, h, b_\alpha) + o(h^n) \end{aligned}$$

The same inequality can be obtained for $Q_h^\alpha \subset \Omega_\theta^-$ so that

$$J_{c_0}[u] \leq J_{c_0}[w]$$

for all $w \in W_{0,G}^1(\Omega)$. This completes the proof. \square

6.3. $p(\mathbf{x})$ -Laplace type equations. Homogenization results for the $p(x)$ -Laplacian have been studied in [3]. The problem under consideration is

$$(6.35) \quad -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^{p_\varepsilon(x)-2} \nabla u^\varepsilon) + |u^\varepsilon|^{p_\varepsilon(x)-2} u^\varepsilon = f(x) \text{ in } \Omega^\varepsilon$$

$$(6.36) \quad u^\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega^\varepsilon$$

where $p_\varepsilon(x)$ is continuous, oscillating function satisfying a modulus of continuity $|p_\varepsilon(x) - p_\varepsilon(y)| \leq \xi_\varepsilon(|x - y|)$ with $\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \xi_\varepsilon(\tau) \ln(1/\tau) = 0$. Such equations are defined in Sobolev spaces with variable exponents. Assuming certain convergence properties on $p_\varepsilon(\cdot)$, it is shown that the sequence u_ε converges in $W^{1,p_0(x)}(\Omega)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|p_\varepsilon - p_0\|_{C^0(\Omega)} = 0$, to the minimizer u of the energy functional

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_0(x)} |\nabla u|^{p_0(x)} + \frac{1}{p_0(x)} |u|^{p_0(x)} + c(x, u) - fu \, dx$$

where

$$c(x, b) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{c(\varepsilon, h, z, b)}{h^n}$$

and

$$c(\varepsilon, h, z, b) = \inf_{v^\varepsilon} \int_{Q_h^z} \frac{1}{p_\varepsilon(x)} |\nabla u|^{p_\varepsilon(x)} + h^{-1-\gamma} (|v^\varepsilon - b|^{p_\varepsilon(x)} + |v^\varepsilon - b|^{p_0(x)}) \, dx$$

Proceeding as in the proof of theorem 9, one can show the existence of the capacity limits, assuming appropriately modelled perforated domains in which the ergodic theorem is valid. A setup of Γ -convergence in Sobolev spaces with variable exponent and the integral representation of variational functionals (see [7]) would produce similar homogenization results as long as theorem 4 is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] Robert A. Adams. *Sobolev spaces*. Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65.
- [2] M. A. Akcoglu and U. Krengel. Ergodic theorems for superadditive processes. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 323:53–67, 1981.

- [3] B. Amaziane, S. Antontsev, L. Pankratov, and A. Piatnitski. Homogenization of p -Laplacian in perforated domain. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 26(6):2457–2479, 2009.
- [4] Antonio Ambrosetti and Giovanni Prodi. *A primer of nonlinear analysis*, volume 34 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [5] A. Benkirane and A. Elmahi. An existence theorem for a strongly nonlinear elliptic problem in Orlicz spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 36(1, Ser. A: Theory Methods):11–24, 1999.
- [6] Andrea Braides and Anneliese Defranceschi. *Homogenization of multiple integrals*, volume 12 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [7] Alessandra Coscia and Domenico Mucci. Integral representation and Γ -convergence of variational integrals with $P(X)$ -growth. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 7:495–519 (electronic), 2002.
- [8] Gianni Dal Maso. *An introduction to Γ -convergence*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 8. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [9] Gianni Dal Maso and Luciano Modica. Integral functionals determined by their minima. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 76:255–267, 1986.
- [10] Gianni Dal Maso and Luciano Modica. Nonlinear stochastic homogenization. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 144:347–389, 1986.
- [11] Gianni Dal Maso and Luciano Modica. Nonlinear stochastic homogenization and ergodic theory. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 368:28–42, 1986.
- [12] Thomas K. Donaldson and Neil S. Trudinger. Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and imbedding theorems. *J. Functional Analysis*, 8:52–75, 1971.
- [13] Ivar Ekeland and Roger Temam. *Convex analysis and variational problems*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1976. Translated from the French, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 1.
- [14] Dimitris Kontogiannis. Homogenization and continuum percolation, submitted.
- [15] M. A. Krasnoselskii and Ja. B. Rutickii. *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*. Translated from the first Russian edition by Leo F. Boron. P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1961.
- [16] Alois Kufner, Oldřich John, and Svatopluk Fučík. *Function spaces*. Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1977. Monographs and Textbooks on Mechanics of Solids and Fluids; Mechanics: Analysis.
- [17] Gary M. Lieberman. The natural generalization of the natural conditions of ladyzhenskaya and uraltseva for elliptic equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 16(2-3):311–361, 1991.
- [18] Vladimir A. Marchenko and Evgueni Ya. Khruslov. *Homogenization of partial differential equations*, volume 46 of *Progress in Mathematical Physics*. Birkhäuser Boston

- Inc., Boston, MA, 2006. Translated from the 2005 Russian original by M. Goncharenko and D. Shepelsky.
- [19] Ronald Meester and Rahul Roy. *Continuum percolation*, volume 119 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [20] Yuming Xing. Poincaré inequalities with Luxemburg norms in $L^\phi(m)$ -averaging domains. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, pages Art. ID 241759, 11, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY, AMES, IA 50010

E-mail address: `dkontog@iastate.edu`