

A MEAN VALUE THEOREM FOR THE DIOPHANTINE EQUATION $axy - x - y = n$

JINGJING HUANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove an asymptotic formula for the average number of solutions to the Diophantine equation $axy - x - y = n$ in which a is fixed and n varies.

1. INTRODUCTION

People has been considering Diophantine equations involving products and sums of some variables for a long time. The Diophantine equation

$$\prod_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i = n \quad (1)$$

was studied by various people during the past a few decades. It is easy to see that there always exists a few trivial solutions with most of x_i 's equal to 1. So people are asking about the number of solutions of this equation with all $x_i > 1$.

The case when $n = 0$ is very special, since it concerns the number of k -tuples with equal sum and product. In this case, it is conjectured by Misiurewicz [2] that $k = 2, 3, 4, 6, 24, 114, 174$ and 444 are the only values of k for which there are only trivial solutions. For general n , very little is known except that in 1970s Viola [6] proved that if $E_k(N)$ denotes the number of positive integers $n \leq N$ for which (1) is not soluble in integers $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k > 1$ then $E_k(N) = N \exp(-c_k(\log N)^{1-1/(k+1)})$ for some positive constant c_k . It is believed that for large n equation (1) always has a nontrivial solution, which nevertheless is an open question in this area.

On the other hand, the case that $k = 3$ has received extensive attention, and several variations of this problem were studied. Brian Conrey asked whether the number of solutions in positive integers to the equation

$$xyz + x + y = n \quad (2)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11D45, Secondary 11D09.
Key words and phrases. Diophantine equations, mean value theorem.

can be bounded by $O_\varepsilon(n^\varepsilon)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Kevin Ford posed a generalisation of this problem, in which one would like to show that there are $O_\varepsilon(|AB|^\varepsilon)$ nontrivial positive integer solutions to the equation

$$xyz = A(x + y) + B \quad (3)$$

for given nonzero $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In this paper, we consider another variation of the case that $k = 2$, namely the following equation

$$axy - x - y = n \quad (4)$$

where a is a positive integer and n is any nonnegative integer. This can be viewed as equation (3) in which z is fixed and $A = 1$. Hence if the number of solutions of equation (4) is well understood, then one can probably understand the number of solutions of equation (3) simply by averaging over a .

Let

$$R_a(n) = \text{Card} \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : axy - x - y = n \}.$$

Here we are considering the number of positive integer solutions of equation (4) when a is fixed and n varies. A sharp asymptotic formula is established in this paper on the average of $R_a(n)$ over n . Notice the case that $a = 1$ is trivial, since then $R_1(n) = d(n+1)$ is just the divisor function of $n+1$, the average of which is relatively well understood.

Theorem 1. *For positive integers $a > 1$ and $N \geq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{0 \leq n \leq N} R_a(n) = \frac{1}{a} \left(N \log N - C(a)N \right) + \Delta_a(N)$$

where

$$C(a) = 2 \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{a-1}{a})}{\Gamma(\frac{a-1}{a})} + 2 \sum_{p|a} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + \log a + 2\gamma + 1 \quad (5)$$

and

$$\Delta_a(N) \ll \phi(a) \sqrt{\frac{N}{a}} \left(\log(aN) \right)^2. \quad (6)$$

Here $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt$ is the standard Γ function, and γ is the Euler constant.

In fact, since the error term above is roughly of size $\sqrt{aN} \left(\log(aN) \right)^2$, it is conceivable that the main term will be inferior to the error term when $a \gg N^{\frac{1}{3}}$. So in order for the above asymptotic formula to really make sense, one would impose a condition on a , such as $a \ll N^{\frac{1}{3}} / \log N$.

Moreover, one can argue what is the right order of magnitude of the error $\Delta_a(N)$. In view of $R_1(n) = d(n+1)$, one can think $R_a(n)$ as a

“generalized” divisor function. Hence Theorem 1 just proves a mean value theorem for such a “generalized” divisor function. Since for the classical divisor function, the error is believed to be $O(N^{1/4+\varepsilon})$. It is very natural to pose such a conjecture for our error $\Delta_a(N)$. The author suspects that following the van der Corput method on exponential sums as in the classical case, one can show $\Delta_a(N) = O_a(N^{1/3-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Remark. It’s not hard to adapt the method in this paper in order to deal with equations like

$$axy - bx - cy = n$$

and prove similar asymptotic formulas.

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

We state several lemmas before embarking on the proof of Theorem 1. The content of Lemma 2 can be found, for example, in Corollary 1.17 and Theorem 6.7 of Montgomery & Vaughan [4], and Lemma 3 can be deduced from Theorem 4.15 of Titchmarsh [5] with $x = y = (|t|/2\pi)^{1/2}$.

Lemma 1. *When $\sigma \geq 1$ and $|t| \geq 2$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\log |t|} \ll \zeta(\sigma + it) \ll \log |t|.$$

Lemma 2. *When $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $|t| \geq 2$, we have*

$$\zeta(\sigma + it) \ll |t|^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}} \log(|t|).$$

Lemma 3. *Let χ be a non-principle character modulo a and $s = \sigma + it$ and assume that $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$L(s, \chi) \ll \log(a(2 + |t|)), \text{ when } \sigma \geq 1$$

and

$$L(s, \chi) \ll (a|t|)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}+\varepsilon}, \text{ when } \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1.$$

Proof. The first part follows from Lemma 10.15 of MV [4]. Now suppose that χ is primitive. Then by Corollary 10.10 of MV [4],

$$L(s, \chi) \ll (a|t|)^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} \log(a(2 + |t|))$$

when $\sigma \leq 0$. Then by the convexity principle for Dirichlet series, for example as described in Titchmarsh [5] (cf. exercise 10.1.19 of MV [4]),

$$L(s, \chi) \ll (a|t|)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}+\varepsilon}$$

when $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$. The proof is completed by observing that if $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and χ modulo a is induced by the primitive character χ^* with conductor q , then

$$L(s, \chi) = L(s, \chi^*) \prod_{\substack{p|a \\ p \nmid q}} (1 - \chi^*(p)p^{-s}) \ll |L(s, \chi^*)| 2^{\omega(a)}.$$

□

Lemma 4. *Let $T \geq 2$, then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \\ \text{mod } a}} \int_{-T}^T |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)|^2 dt \ll \frac{\phi^2(a)}{a} T \log T.$$

A proof of this lemma can be found for example in Montgomery [3].

Lemma 5. *Let a be a positive integer greater than 1 and $w > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq w \\ n \equiv -1 \pmod{a}}} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{a} \left(\log w - \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{a-1}{a})}{\Gamma(\frac{a-1}{a})} - \log a \right) + O(1/w).$$

Proof. By Abel summation, the left hand side above is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq w \\ n \equiv -1 \pmod{a}}} \frac{1}{n} &= \left\lfloor \frac{w+1}{a} \right\rfloor \frac{1}{w} + \int_1^w \left\lfloor \frac{t+1}{a} \right\rfloor \frac{1}{t^2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{a} + \int_1^w \frac{t+1}{at^2} dt - \int_1^w \left\{ \frac{t+1}{a} \right\} \frac{dt}{t^2} + O(1/w) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \left(\log w + 2 - \int_1^\infty a \left\{ \frac{t+1}{a} \right\} \frac{dt}{t^2} \right) + O(1/w). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the digamma function $\psi(z)$ is defined as $\frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)}$, and $\psi'(z)$ has a series expansion $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z+k)^2}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \left(a \left\{ \frac{t+1}{a} \right\} - \{t\} - 1 \right) \frac{dt}{t^2} &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \int_0^a \left(a \left\{ \frac{r+1}{a} \right\} - \{r\} - 1 \right) \frac{dr}{(ah+r)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{a^2} \int_0^a \left(a \left\{ \frac{r+1}{a} \right\} - \{r\} - 1 \right) \psi' \left(\frac{r}{a} \right) dr \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Notice that

$$a \left\{ \frac{r+1}{a} \right\} - \{r\} - 1 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq r < 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq r < 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a-2, & \text{if } a-2 \leq r < a-1 \\ -1, & \text{if } a-1 \leq r < a \end{cases}$$

Hence (7) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{a^2} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{a-2} l \int_0^1 \psi' \left(\frac{l+r}{a} \right) dr - \int_0^1 \psi' \left(\frac{a-1+r}{a} \right) dr \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{a-2} l \left(\psi \left(\frac{l+1}{a} \right) - \psi \left(\frac{l}{a} \right) \right) - \left(\psi(1) - \psi \left(\frac{a-1}{a} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\frac{a-1}{a} \right) - \frac{1}{a} \sum_{l=1}^a \psi \left(\frac{l}{a} \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\frac{a-1}{a} \right) + \log a + \gamma \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from a well known property of the digamma function ψ . Now the lemma is established after the observation $\gamma = 2 - \int_1^\infty \frac{\{t\}+1}{t^2} dt$. \square

Lemma 6. *Let a be a positive integer greater than 1, then we have*

$$\frac{1}{\phi(a)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \neq \chi_0 \\ \text{mod } a}} \bar{\chi}(-1) L(1, \chi) = -\frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{\Gamma'(\frac{a-1}{a})}{\Gamma(\frac{a-1}{a})} + \sum_{p|a} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + \log a + \gamma \right).$$

Proof. Let w be large compared to a (eventually we will let w goes to ∞). Then for non-principal characters χ modulo a , by Abel summation

$$L(1, \chi) = \sum_{n \leq w} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} + O(a/w).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\phi(a)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \neq \chi_0 \\ \text{mod } a}} \bar{\chi}(-1) L(1, \chi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(a)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \neq \chi_0 \\ \text{mod } a}} \bar{\chi}(-1) \sum_{n \leq w} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} + O(a/w). \end{aligned}$$

The main term on the right is

$$\frac{1}{\phi(a)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \\ \bmod a}} \bar{\chi}(-1) \sum_{n \leq w} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} - \frac{1}{\phi(a)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq w \\ (n,a)=1}} \frac{1}{n}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq w \\ (n,a)=1}} \frac{1}{n} &= \sum_{m|a} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \sum_{n \leq w/m} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \sum_{m|a} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \left(\log(w/m) + \gamma + O(m/w) \right) \\ &= \frac{\phi(a)}{a} \left(\log w + \sum_{p|a} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + \gamma \right) + O(d(a)/w). \end{aligned}$$

Here we are using the fact that $-\sum_{m|a} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \log m = \frac{\phi(a)}{a} \sum_{p|a} \frac{\log p}{p-1}$, this is because

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{m|a} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \log m &= \sum_{p|a} \frac{\log p}{p} \sum_{\substack{k|a/p \\ (p,k)=1}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \\ &= \sum_{p|a} \frac{\log p}{p} \prod_{\substack{p'|a \\ p' \neq p}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p'} \right) \\ &= \sum_{p|a} \frac{\log p}{p} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \right) \prod_{p'|a} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p'} \right) \\ &= \frac{\phi(a)}{a} \sum_{p|a} \frac{\log p}{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{1}{\phi(a)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \\ \bmod a}} \bar{\chi}(-1) \sum_{n \leq w} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} = \sum_{\substack{n \leq w \\ n \equiv -1 \pmod a}} \frac{1}{n}$$

And by lemma 5, this is

$$\frac{1}{a} \left(\log w - \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{a-1}{a})}{\Gamma(\frac{a-1}{a})} - \log a \right) + O(1/w).$$

Thus we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\phi(a)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \neq \chi_0 \\ \text{mod } a}} \bar{\chi}(-1)L(1, \chi) \\
 &= \frac{1}{a} \left(\log w - \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{a-1}{a})}{\Gamma(\frac{a-1}{a})} - \log a \right) - \frac{1}{a} \left(\log w + \sum_{p|a} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + \gamma \right) + O(a/w) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{\Gamma'(\frac{a-1}{a})}{\Gamma(\frac{a-1}{a})} + \sum_{p|a} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + \log a + \gamma \right) + O(a/w)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now the lemma is established when we let $w \rightarrow \infty$ in the above. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The starting point of the proof is the following observation. One can rewrite equation (4) in the following form

$$(ax - 1)(ay - 1) = an + 1. \quad (8)$$

Namely we are going to count the following quantities,

$$R_a(n) = \text{Card} \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : (ax - 1)(ay - 1) = an + 1 \}$$

and

$$S_a(N) = \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N} R_a(n).$$

After the change of variables $u = ax - 1$ and $v = ay - 1$, it follows that $R_a(n)$ is the number of ordered pairs of natural numbers u, v such that $uv = an + 1$ and $u \equiv v \equiv -1 \pmod{a}$.

Now the residue class $u \equiv -1 \pmod{a}$ and $v \equiv -1 \pmod{a}$ are readily isolated *via* the orthogonality of the Dirichlet characters χ modulo a . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S_a(N) \\
 &= \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N} \sum_{\substack{uv=an+1 \\ u \equiv -1 \pmod{a} \\ v \equiv -1 \pmod{a}}} 1 \\
 &= \sum_{m \leq M} \sum_{\substack{uv=m \\ u \equiv -1 \pmod{a} \\ v \equiv -1 \pmod{a}}} 1 \\
 &= \frac{1}{\phi^2(a)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \\ \text{mod } a}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \\ \text{mod } a}} \bar{\chi}_1(-1)\bar{\chi}_2(-1) \sum_{m \leq M} \sum_{uv=m} \chi_1(u)\chi_2(v),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $M = aN + 1$.

Let

$$a_m(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \sum_{uv=m} \chi_1(u)\chi_2(v).$$

Then we have

$$S_a(N) = \frac{1}{\phi^2(a)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \\ \text{mod } a}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \\ \text{mod } a}} \bar{\chi}_1(-1)\bar{\chi}_2(-1) \sum_{m \leq M} a_m(\chi_1, \chi_2).$$

We analyze this expression through the properties of the Dirichlet series

$$f_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m(\chi_1, \chi_2)}{n^s} = L(s, \chi_1)L(s, \chi_2). \quad (9)$$

This affords an analytic continuation of f_{χ_1, χ_2} to the whole complex plane.

By a quantitative version of Perron's formula, as in Theorem 5.2 of MV [4] for example, we obtain

$$\sum'_{m \leq M} a_m(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_0 - iT}^{\sigma_0 + iT} f_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s) \frac{M^s}{s} ds + R(\chi_1, \chi_2),$$

where $\sigma_0 > 1$ and

$$R(\chi_1, \chi_2) \ll \sum_{\substack{M/2 < m < 2M \\ m \neq M}} |a_m(\chi_1, \chi_2)| \min\left(1, \frac{M}{T|m-M|}\right) + \frac{4^{\sigma_0} + M^{\sigma_0}}{T} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_m(\chi_1, \chi_2)|}{m^{\sigma_0}}.$$

Here \sum' means that when M is an integer, the term $a_M(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ is counted with weight $\frac{1}{2}$.

Let $\sigma_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{\log M}$. By (9) we have $|a_m(\chi_1, \chi_2)| \leq d(n)$. Thus

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_m(\chi_1, \chi_2)|}{n^{\sigma_0}} \ll \zeta(\sigma_0)^2 \ll (\log B)^2$$

and so $R(\chi_1, \chi_2) \ll_{\varepsilon} M^{1+\varepsilon} T^{-1}$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence

$$\sum_{m \leq M} a_m(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_0 - iT}^{\sigma_0 + iT} f_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s) \frac{M^s}{s} ds + O\left(\left(\frac{M}{T} + 1\right) M^{\varepsilon}\right).$$

The error term here is

$$\ll M^{\varepsilon}$$

provided that

$$T \geq M.$$

The integrand is a meromorphic function in the complex plane and is analytic for all s with $\Re s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ except for a possible pole of finite order at $s = 1$. Suppose that $T \geq 4$. By the residue theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_0 - iT}^{\sigma_0 + iT} f_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s) \frac{M^s}{s} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{\sigma_0 - iT}^{\frac{1}{2} - iT} + \int_{\frac{1}{2} - iT}^{\frac{1}{2} + iT} + \int_{\frac{1}{2} + iT}^{\sigma_0 + iT} \right) \frac{L(s, \chi_1)L(s, \chi_2)M^s}{s} ds \\ & \quad + \text{Res}_{s=1} \left(L(s, \chi_1)L(s, \chi_2) \frac{M^s}{s} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemmas 1, 2 and 3, the contribution from the horizontal paths is

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll (\log aT)^2 \frac{M}{T \log M} + \frac{(aT)^\varepsilon}{T} \int_{1/2}^1 (aT)^{1-\sigma} M^\sigma d\sigma \\ & \ll T^{-1} (aT)^\varepsilon M + T^{-1} (aT)^{1/2+\varepsilon} M^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and provided that $T \geq M^5$ this is

$$\ll M^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, the contribution from the vertical path on the right is bounded by

$$M^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{2^k \leq T} 2^{-k} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)| dt.$$

And by Lemma 4

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\chi_1, \chi_2 \\ \text{mod } a}} \bar{\chi}_1(-1)\bar{\chi}_2(-1) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - iT}^{\frac{1}{2} + iT} \frac{L(s, \chi_1)L(s, \chi_2)M^s}{s} ds \\ & \ll M^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{2^k \leq T} 2^{-k} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \left(\sum_{\chi \text{ mod } a} |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)| \right)^2 dt \\ & \ll M^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{2^k \leq T} 2^{-k} \phi(a) \sum_{\chi \text{ mod } a} \int_{-2^{k+1}}^{2^{k+1}} |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)|^2 dt \\ & \ll M^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{2^k \leq T} \frac{\phi^3(a)}{a} k \\ & \ll \frac{\phi^3(a)}{a} M^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log M)^2 \end{aligned}$$

on taking

$$T = M^5.$$

Hence we obtain

$$S_a(N) = \frac{1}{\phi^2(a)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \\ \text{mod } a}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \\ \text{mod } a}} \bar{\chi}_1(-1)\bar{\chi}_2(-1) \text{Res}_{s=1} \left(f_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(s) \frac{M^s}{s} \right) + \Delta_a(N)$$

where

$$\Delta_a(N) \ll \frac{\phi(a)}{a} \sqrt{M} (\log M)^2 \ll \phi(a) \sqrt{\frac{N}{a}} \left(\log(aN) \right)^2. \quad (10)$$

It remains to compute the residue at $s = 1$.

By (9) there are naturally two cases, namely

- (i) $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi_0$;
- (ii) only one of χ_1 and χ_2 is equal to χ_0 while the other one is equal to $\chi \neq \chi_0$.

In the latter case the integrand has a simple pole at $s = 1$ and the residue is

$$\prod_{p|a} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) L(1, \chi)(aN + 1) = \phi(a)L(1, \chi)N + \frac{\phi(a)}{a} L(1, \chi).$$

By lemma 6, the sum over χ for the second term above is small, hence can be absorbed in $\Delta_a(N)$. While in the former case, the integrand has a double pole at $s = 1$ and the residue is

$$\prod_{p|a} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^2 \left(M \log M - M \right).$$

Hence we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned} S_a(N) &= \frac{1}{a^2} \left((aN + 1) \log(aN + 1) - aN - 1 \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{2}{\phi(a)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \neq \chi_0 \\ \text{mod } a}} \bar{\chi}(-1)L(1, \chi) \right) N + \Delta_a(N). \end{aligned}$$

Now by lemma 6, this is

$$\frac{1}{a} \left(N \log N - C(a)N \right) + \Delta_a(N)$$

where $C(a)$ and $\Delta_a(N)$ are given by (5) and (6) respectively.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

REFERENCES

- [1] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved problems in Number Theory*, second edition, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [2] M. Misiurewicz, *Ungelöste Probleme*, Elem. Math., 21(1966) 90.
- [3] H.L. Montgomery, *Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [4] H.L. Montgomery and R.C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative Number Theory I. Classical Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [5] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd edition, revised by D.R. Heath-Brown, Oxford, 1986.
- [6] C. Viola, *On the diophantine equation $\prod_{i=0}^k x_i - \sum_{i=0}^k x_i = n$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{x_i} = \frac{a}{n}$* , Acta Arithmetica, 1973, 22: 339-352.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MCALLISTER BUILDING, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802-6401, U.S.A.

E-mail address: huang@math.psu.edu