

# NOTE ON THE BEST CONSTANT OF DECAY OF EIGENFUNCTIONS ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

HIRONORI KUMURA\*\*

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of this paper is to search for the exponential decay of eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on noncompact complete Riemannian manifolds. Earlier works will be improved, and the best constant of decay of eigenfunctions will be determined. In passing, some spectral properties will be also discussed.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta_g$ , defined on  $C_0^\infty(M)$ , of a noncompact complete Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $L^2(M, v_g)$ ; the analytic structures of its self-adjoint extension, denoted also by  $\Delta_g$ , and the geometries of  $(M, g)$  are closely related to each other, and their relationship has been studied by several authors from various points of view. The purpose of this paper is to search for the best-possible constant for the exponent of decay of eigenfunctions of  $-\Delta_g$  on noncompact complete Riemannian manifolds.

First, we shall recall earlier works on the decay of eigenfunctions on noncompact Riemannian manifolds. Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional connected noncompact complete Riemannian manifold. In the sequel, the following notations will be used:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(-\Delta_g) &:= \text{the spectrum of } -\Delta_g; \\ \lambda_0 &:= \min \sigma(-\Delta_g); \\ \sigma_{\text{pp}}(-\Delta_g) &:= \text{the set of all eigenvalues of } -\Delta_g; \\ \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_g) &:= \text{the essential spectrum of } -\Delta_g; \\ s_0 &:= \min \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_g); \\ v_g &:= \text{the Riemannian measure of } (M, g).\end{aligned}$$

Using Agmon's method [1] for the Schrödinger operators in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Donnelly proved the following:

**Theorem D1** (Donnelly [6]). *Assume that there exists an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $-\Delta_g$  satisfying  $\lambda < s_0$ . Then, an eigenfunction  $u$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  has a general  $L^2$ -bound:*

$$\int_{M-B_r(p)} |u|^2 dv_g \leq C_1 \exp \left\{ -2\sqrt{s_0 - \lambda} r + \varepsilon r \right\}.$$

---

*Date:* revised form; Nov. 13, 2011.

\* *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58J50; Secondary 47A75.

*Key Words and Phrases* Laplace-Beltrami operator, eigenfunction, exponential decay, spectrum.

\*\* smhkumu@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Shizuoka University, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan.

Moreover, if the Ricci curvature of  $(M, g)$  is bounded from below by the constant  $-(n-1)\kappa$ :

$$(1) \quad \text{Ric}_g \geq -(n-1)\kappa \quad \text{on } M,$$

then the following point-wise bound holds on  $M$ :

$$(2) \quad |u(x)| \leq \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{\text{Vol}(B_x(1))}} \exp \left\{ -\sqrt{s_0 - \lambda} r(x) + \varepsilon r(x) \right\},$$

where  $C_2$  is a positive constant.

In order to state the next result, let us recall the following: if  $\lambda_0 = \min \sigma(-\Delta_g)$  is an eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_g$ , then  $\lambda_0$  is simple (i.e., the multiplicity of  $\lambda_0$  is 1), and, up to multiplicative constant, an eigenfunction  $u_0$  corresponding to  $\lambda_0$  is positive on  $M$ ; this positive function  $u_0$  (if it exists) is called a *ground state* of  $-\Delta_g$ . As for a ground state, Donnelly proved the following:

**Theorem D2** (Donnelly [7]). *Assume that (1) holds for a positive constant  $\kappa$  and that  $\lambda_0 = \min \sigma(-\Delta_g) < s_0 = \min \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_g)$ . Let  $u_0$  be a ground state of  $-\Delta_g$ . Then, the following holds:*

$$(3) \quad u_0(x) \geq C_3 \exp\{-a_0 r(x) - \varepsilon r(x)\} \quad \text{for } x \in M,$$

where

$$(4) \quad a_0 := \frac{(n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4} - \lambda_0}.$$

Here, note that, by the eigenvalue comparison theorem due to S. Y. Chen [4], the lower bound (1) of the Ricci curvature immediately implies that

$$(5) \quad s_0 = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_g) \leq \frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4};$$

this fact was pointed out in the pioneering work [5].

Now, we shall examine the results stated above. First, the feature of the inequality (2) seems to be quite abstract in the following two points:

- (i) Some additional assumption is required for the inequality  $s_0 > 0$  to hold;
- (ii) By assuming only (1), the *growth of the measure* cannot be reflected in an exponential bound of an eigenfunction.

To see these points, let us consider the following typical example; let  $\mathbb{H}^n(-\kappa) = (\mathbb{R}^n, g_\kappa)$  be the simply connected hyperbolic  $n$ -space with the constant negative sectional curvature  $-\kappa$ , and let us connect the standard  $n$ -sphere  $S^n(R_0)$  with a large radius  $R_0$  to  $\mathbb{H}^n(-\kappa)$ , attaching a “thin neck” between them; let  $(M_{\text{typ}}, g_{\text{typ}})$  denote this compact-supported perturbation of  $\mathbb{H}^n(-\kappa)$ . Then, the mini-max principle implies that  $-\Delta_{g_{\text{typ}}}$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  satisfying  $\lambda < \frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4} = \min \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_{g_{\text{typ}}})$ ; Theorem 1.1, mentioned below, implies that an eigenfunction  $u$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  obeys the exponential decay

$$(6) \quad |u(x)| \leq C \exp\{-a r(x)\} \quad \text{for } x \in M,$$

where  $C > 0$  and

$$(7) \quad a := \frac{(n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4} - \lambda}$$

are constants;  $r$  is the distant function to a fixed point of  $(M_{\text{typ}}, g_{\text{typ}})$ . This constant (7) is the best possible (see the inequalities (15) and (17) below). Now, let us compare the coefficients of “ $r$ ” appearing in the exponential functions on the right hand sides of (2) and (6); we have

$$(8) \quad -\sqrt{s_0 - \lambda} + \varepsilon \geq -\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}} - \lambda + \varepsilon \\ > -\frac{(n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}} - \lambda (= -a),$$

where, in the first inequality of (8), we have used (5). What should be noted here is that the first term  $\frac{(n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}}{2}$  on the right hand side of (8) expresses one-half of the volume growth of  $\mathbb{H}^n(-\kappa)$ ; indeed, the Riemannian measure  $v_{g_\kappa}$  of  $\mathbb{H}^n(-\kappa)$  is written as follows:

$$dv_{g_\kappa} = \left( \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{\kappa}r)}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \right)^{n-1} dr dv_{S^{n-1}(1)}; \\ 2^{n-1} \sinh^{n-1}(\sqrt{\kappa}r) \sim \exp\{(n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}r\} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

We can understand that *the first term  $\frac{(n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}}{2}$  of (7) plays a role to cancel out the volume growth; on the other hand, the second term of (7) comes purely from the spectral structure, that is, the difference between the eigenvalue and the bottom of the essential spectrum*; we will devote a little more space to discussing this point. Let  $(M_{\text{typ}}, g_{\text{typ}})$  be the compact-supported perturbation of  $\mathbb{H}^n(-\kappa)$ , as mentioned above, and that  $\varphi = \phi \circ r \in C^\infty(M_{\text{typ}})$  depends only on the distance  $r$  to a fixed point of  $(M_{\text{typ}}, g_{\text{typ}})$ . Then, the eigenvalue equation  $-\Delta_{g_{\text{typ}}}\varphi - \lambda\varphi = 0$  is reduced to

$$(9) \quad -\frac{d^2\phi}{dr^2} - (\Delta_{g_{\text{typ}}}r)\frac{d\phi}{dr} - \lambda\phi = 0 \quad \text{near the infinity of } (M_{\text{typ}}, g_{\text{typ}}).$$

Here, since  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{g_{\text{typ}}}r = (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}$ , the following equation (10) approximates (9) near the infinity of  $(M, g)$ :

$$(10) \quad -\frac{d^2\phi}{dr^2} - (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}\frac{d\phi}{dr} - \lambda\phi = 0;$$

fundamental solutions of this ordinary differential equation (10) are obtained by  $\exp(br)$ , where  $b$  is a solution of the following quadratic equation:

$$(11) \quad -x^2 - (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}x - \lambda = 0,$$

that is,

$$(12) \quad b = -\frac{(n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4} - \lambda}.$$

Because  $\exp(br)$  must be in  $L^2$  (near the infinity of  $(M_{\text{typ}}, g_{\text{typ}})$ ), we have to take the minus sign in (12). As a result, we obtain exactly the constant  $(-a)$  and the function  $\exp\{-ar\}$  on the right hand side of (6); this is the reason the constant  $(-a)$  appears in (6). A similar phenomenon arises, when we consider the essential spectrum of  $(M_{\text{typ}}, g_{\text{typ}})$  (see [16]). This paper will discuss the relationship between this best-possible constant “ $a$ ” of eigenfunctions and the curvature bounds; also, Theorem D2 will be improved.

We shall now state our results precisely. First, we shall introduce some terminologies. Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional noncompact connected complete Riemannian manifold and  $U$  be an open subset of  $M$ . We shall say that  $E := M \setminus U$  is an *end with radial coordinates* if and only if the boundary  $\partial U$  is compact, connected, and  $C^\infty$  and the outward normal exponential map  $\exp_{\partial U}^\perp : N^+(\partial U) \rightarrow E = M \setminus U$  induces a diffeomorphism, where  $N^+(\partial U) = \{v \in T(\partial U) \mid v \text{ is outward normal to } \partial U\}$ . Note that  $U$  is not necessarily relatively compact. Let us denote  $r := \text{dist}_g(*, \partial U)$  defined on  $E$ . We shall say that a 2-plane  $\pi \subset T_x M$  ( $x \in E$ ) is *radial* if  $\pi$  contains  $\nabla r$ , and, by the *radial curvature*, we mean the restriction of the sectional curvature to all the radial planes. In the sequel, the following notations will be used:

$$\begin{aligned} E(t, \infty) &:= \{x \in E \mid r(x) > t\} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0; \\ A(t) &:= \{x \in E \mid r(x) = t\} \quad \text{for } t > 0; \\ K_{\text{rad}} &:= \text{the radial curvature on } M \setminus U. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and  $E$  be an end with radial coordinates. We write  $r := \text{dist}_g(\partial E, *)$  on  $E$ . Assume that there exist constants  $\kappa > 0$ ,  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , and  $r_0 \geq 0$  such that*

$$(13) \quad \nabla dr \geq 0 \quad \text{on } A(r_0).$$

and

$$(14) \quad K_{\text{rad}} \leq -\kappa + \frac{C_1}{r\{\log(r+1)\}^{1+\delta} + 1} \leq 0 \quad \text{on } E(r_0, \infty).$$

Let  $\lambda < \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}$  be a positive constant, and assume that  $u$  is a solution of the differential equation  $(-\Delta_g - \lambda)u = 0$  on  $E(r_0, \infty)$ . If  $u \in L^2(E(r_0, \infty), v_g)$ , then

$$(15) \quad |u(x)| \leq C \exp\{-ar(x)\} \quad \text{for } x \in E(r_0 + 1, \infty),$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant, and “ $a$ ” is the constant defined by (7).

Note that the constant “ $a$ ” on the right hand side of (15) is sharp in view of the typical example  $(M_{\text{typ}}, g_{\text{typ}})$  stated above; note also that the condition (14) is sharp in the sense that, there exists a rotationally symmetric manifold  $(\mathbb{R}^n, g := dr^2 + f(r)^2 g_{S^{n-1}(1)})$  satisfying  $K_{\text{rad}} \geq -\kappa + \frac{C_1}{r\log(r+1)+1}$  for  $r \gg 1$  and a solution of  $(-\Delta_g - \lambda)u = 0$  satisfies  $u = (\log r)^\theta \exp\{-ar\}$  for  $r \gg 1$ , where  $C_1 > 0$  and  $\theta = \theta(\kappa, n, C_1, \lambda) > 0$  are constants.

As for the lower bound of a ground state, we obtain the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and  $U$  be an (not necessarily relatively compact) open subset of  $M$  with the compact  $C^\infty$  boundary  $\partial U$ . Assume that  $M \setminus U$  is connected and unbounded, and denote  $r = \text{dist}_g(\partial U, *)$  on  $M \setminus U$ . Assume that there exist constants  $\kappa > 0$ ,  $r_0 \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , and  $C_1 > 0$  such that*

$$(16) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1) \left\{ \kappa + \frac{C_1}{r\{\log(r+1)\}^{1+\delta} + 1} \right\} \\ \text{on } \{x \in M \setminus U \mid r(x) \geq r_0\} \setminus \text{Cut}(\partial U),$$

where  $\text{Cut}(\partial U)$  stands for the cut locus in  $M \setminus U$  with respect to the outward normal exponential map  $\exp_{\partial U}^\perp : N^+(\partial U) \rightarrow M \setminus U$ ;  $\nabla r$  stands for the gradient of

$r$ . Assume that  $u$  is a positive solution of  $(-\Delta_g - \lambda)u = 0$  on  $M \setminus U$ , where  $0 < \lambda < \frac{(n-1)^2 \kappa}{4}$  is a constant. Then, there exists a constant  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$(17) \quad u(x) \geq C_2 \exp\{-ar(x)\} \quad \text{for } x \in M \setminus U,$$

where “ $a$ ” is the constant defined by (7).

Note that the constant “ $a$ ” on the right hand side of (17) is sharp, as is seen above in the typical example  $(M_{\text{typ}}, g_{\text{typ}})$ ; see also Theorem 1.3 below. Note also that the condition (16) is sharp in the sense that, there exists a rotationally symmetric manifold  $(\mathbb{R}^n, g := dr^2 + f(r)^2 g_{S^{n-1}(1)})$  satisfying  $K_{\text{rad}} \leq -\kappa - \frac{C_1}{r \log(r+1)+1}$  for  $r \gg 1$  and a solution of  $(-\Delta_g - \lambda)u = 0$  satisfies  $u = (\log r)^{-\theta} \exp\{-ar\}$  for  $r \gg 1$ , where  $C_1 > 0$  and  $\theta = \theta(n, \kappa, C_1, \lambda) > 0$  are constants.

Theorem 1.2 immediately implies the following:

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and  $U$  be a relatively compact open subset of  $M$  with  $C^\infty$  boundary  $\partial U$ . We write  $r = \text{dist}_g(\partial U, *)$  on  $M \setminus U$ , and assume that there exist constants  $\kappa > 0$ ,  $r_0 \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , and  $C_1 > 0$  such that*

$$(18) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1) \left\{ \kappa + \frac{C_1}{r \{\log(r+1)\}^{1+\delta} + 1} \right\} \\ \text{on } \{x \in M \setminus U \mid r(x) \geq r_0\} \setminus \text{Cut}(\partial U).$$

Assume also that  $\lambda_0 = \min \sigma(-\Delta) < \frac{(n-1)^2 \kappa}{4}$  and  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{\text{pp}}(-\Delta_g)$ . Let  $u$  be a ground state, that is, a positive solution of  $(-\Delta_g - \lambda_0)u = 0$  on  $M$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$(19) \quad u(x) \geq C_2 \exp\{-a_0 r(x)\} \quad \text{for } x \in M,$$

where  $a_0$  is the constant defined by (4).

Comparing assumptions, (1) and (18), and inequalities, (4) and (19), it can be seen that Corollary 1.1 improves Theorem D2. Note that assumption (18) implies that  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_g) \leq \frac{(n-1)^2 \kappa}{4}$ ; note also that we do not assume that  $M \setminus U$  is connected in Corollary 1.1; hence,  $\partial U$  may have several but finitely many components.

When a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  has ends with different curvatures, we should note that the decay order of an eigenfunction differs on each end as mentioned below; it may be interesting to point out, in passing, the relationship of various curvature bounds and spectral structures of  $-\Delta_g$ :

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold and  $U$  be a relatively compact open subset of  $M$  with compact  $C^\infty$  boundary. We denote  $r = \text{dist}_g(\partial U, *)$  on  $M \setminus U$ , and assume that  $\nabla dr \geq 0$  on  $\partial U$ . Assume further that  $M \setminus U$  consists of finitely many ends  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_p$  with radial coordinates and that there exists a sequence of positive numbers  $\kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \dots < \kappa_q$  such that*

$$(20) \quad -\kappa_i - K_i(r) \leq K_{\text{rad}} \leq -\kappa_i + K_i(r) \leq 0 \quad \text{on } E_i,$$

where we set

$$K_i(r) := \frac{C_i}{r \{\log(r+1)\}^{1+\delta_i} + 1}$$

for simplicity, and  $\delta_i$  and  $C_i$  are positive constants ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ). Then,  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_g) = [(\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa_1}{4}, \infty)$  and  $\sigma_{\text{pp}}(-\Delta_g) \cap (\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa_1}{4}, \infty) = \emptyset$ . Moreover, assume that  $\sigma(-\Delta_g) \cap (0, \frac{(n-1)^2\kappa_1}{4}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\lambda \in \sigma(-\Delta_g) \cap (0, \frac{(n-1)^2\kappa_1}{4})$ ; let  $u$  be an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , and set

$$b_i := \frac{(n-1)\sqrt{\kappa_i}}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa_i}{4} - \lambda}$$

Then, the decay order of  $u$  differs on each end as follows:

$$|u(x)| \leq C_2(i) \cdot \exp\{b_i r(x)\} \quad \text{for } x \in E_i,$$

where  $C_2(i)$  is a positive constant ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ). Moreover, a ground state  $u_0$  satisfies

$$C_3(i) \cdot \exp\{b_i r(x)\} \leq u_0(x) \leq C_4(i) \cdot \exp\{b_i r(x)\} \quad \text{for } x \in E_i,$$

where  $C_3(i)$  and  $C_4(i)$  are positive constants ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ). Furthermore, if we replace (20) with the following stronger condition,

$$(21) \quad -\kappa_i - \frac{C_i}{r^{1+\delta_i}} \leq K_{\text{rad}} \leq -\kappa_i + \frac{C_i}{r^{1+\delta_i}} \leq 0 \quad \text{on } E_i,$$

then  $-\Delta_g$  is absolutely continuous on  $(\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa_1}{4}, \infty)$ , where  $C_i$  and  $\delta_i$  are positive constants ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ).

The following explicit construction of a ground state (near the infinity) will serve us to understand better the arguments and theorems, stated above:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\kappa$  be a positive constant. Then, for “any”  $\lambda_0 \in (0, \frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4})$ , there exists a rotationally symmetric manifold  $(M, g) = (\mathbb{R}^n, dr^2 + f_{\lambda_0}(r)^2 g_{S^{n-1}(1)})$  satisfying (i) and (ii) below:*

(i)  $f_{\lambda_0}(r) = C_{r_0} \cdot \exp\{\sqrt{\kappa}r\}$  for  $r \geq r_0$ , and hence,

$$K_{\text{rad}} \equiv -\kappa \quad \text{for } r \geq r_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_g) = [\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}, \infty);$$

(ii)  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{\text{pp}}(-\Delta_g)$  and  $\lambda_0 = \min \sigma(-\Delta_g)$ . Moreover, a ground state  $u_0$ , that is, a positive-valued eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$  satisfies

$$u_0(x) = \exp\{-a_0 r(x)\} \quad \text{for } x \text{ with } r(x) \geq r_0.$$

Here,  $r_0 = r_0(\lambda_0, n)$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\lambda_0$  and  $n$ ;  $C_{r_0}$  is a constant depending only on  $r_0$ ;  $a_0$  is the constant defined by (4).

The method of proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 is very simple but very useful one: supersolution and subsolution method developed by S. Agmon [2].

## 2. EXPLICIT CONSTRUCTION OF A GROUND STATE

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.3.

Let  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4})$  be a constant. Then, there exists a unique constant  $R_0 = R_0(\lambda, n) > 0$  such that the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian  $-\Delta_{g_0}^D$  on the open ball  $B_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathbf{0}, R_0)$  with its radius  $R_0$  in Euclidean  $n$ -space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, g_0)$  coincides with  $\lambda$ . Let  $\phi_1$  be a positive-valued Dirichlet first eigenfunction of  $B_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathbf{0}, R_0)$ . Then, since  $(\mathbb{R}^n, g_0)$  is rotational symmetric,  $\varphi_1$  is a radial function, that is,

$$\varphi_1(x) = v_1(|x|) \quad \text{for } |x| \leq R_0,$$

where  $|x| := \text{dist}_{g_0}(\mathbf{0}, x)$ ; moreover, we have

$$v_1 > 0 \quad \text{on } [0, R_0]; \quad v'_1 < 0 \quad \text{on } (0, R_0].$$

We define  $v_2(t) := \exp\{-a_0 t\}$  on  $[R_0, \infty)$ . By multiplying a positive large constant  $c \gg 1$  by  $v_1$ , if necessarily, we can take a positive-valued function  $v_0 \in C^\infty[0, \infty)$  so that

$$(a) \quad v_0(t) = \begin{cases} v_1(t) & \text{for } t \in [0, \frac{R_0}{2}] \\ v_2(t) & \text{for } t \in [R_0, \infty); \end{cases}$$

$$(b) \quad v'_0 < 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, \infty);$$

note that  $v'_1 < 0$  on  $(0, \frac{R_0}{2}]$  and  $v'_2 < 0$  on  $[R_0, \infty)$ . Using this function  $v_0$ , let us define

$$(22) \quad f_\lambda(r) := \frac{R_0}{2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\frac{R_0}{2}}^r \frac{v''_0(t) + \lambda v_0(t)}{v'_0(t)} dt \right\} \quad \text{for } r > 0.$$

Then, since  $v''_1(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} v'_1(r) = -\lambda v_1(r)$  on  $(0, \frac{R_0}{2})$ , a direct computation shows that

$$f_\lambda(r) = r \quad \text{for } 0 < r \leq \frac{R_0}{2};$$

in particular,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, g_{f_\lambda} := dr^2 + f_\lambda(r)^2 g_{S^{n-1}(1)})$  is smooth around the origin  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, by the definition of  $v_2$ , we see that

$$f_\lambda(r) = \frac{R_0}{2} \cdot C(R_0) \cdot \exp\{\sqrt{\kappa}(r - R_0)\} \quad \text{for } r \geq R_0,$$

where  $C(R_0)$  is the constant defined by

$$C(R_0) := \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\frac{R_0}{2}}^{R_0} \frac{v''_0(t) + \lambda v_0(t)}{v'_0(t)} dt \right\};$$

thus,  $K_{\text{rad}} \equiv -\kappa$  for  $r \geq R_0$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, g_{f_\lambda})$ ; since  $\Delta_{g_{f_\lambda}} r \equiv (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}$  for  $r \geq R_0$ , we obtain  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_{g_{f_\lambda}}) = [\frac{(n-1)^2 \kappa}{4}, \infty)$ . Moreover, from the definition of (22),  $v_0$  satisfies

$$-v''_0(r) - (n-1) \frac{f'_\lambda(r)}{f_\lambda(r)} v'_0(r) = \lambda v_0 \quad \text{for } r > 0.$$

Here, note that, by the definition (a) above,  $v_0 = v_1$  on  $[0, \frac{R_0}{2}]$ , and hence,  $-\Delta_{g_{f_\lambda}}(v_0 \circ r) = -\Delta_{g_0}(v_1 \circ r) = \lambda v_1$  on  $B_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathbf{0}, \frac{R_0}{2})$ ; thus, including the neighborhood of  $\mathbf{0}$ , the following equation holds:

$$-\Delta_{g_{f_\lambda}}(v_0 \circ r) = \lambda(v_0 \circ r) \quad \text{on } (\mathbb{R}^n, g_{f_\lambda}).$$

From the definition (4) of  $a_0$ , we see that  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, v_{g_\lambda})$ ; thus,  $\lambda \in \sigma(-\Delta_{g_{f_\lambda}})$ . Furthermore, since  $v_0 > 0$  on  $[0, \infty)$ , the mini-max principle implies that  $\lambda = \min \sigma(-\Delta_{g_{f_\lambda}})$  and that  $(v_0 \circ r)$  is a ground state of  $(\mathbb{R}^n, g_{f_\lambda})$ . Thus, we have proved Theorem 1.3.

**Remark 2.1.** (i) In a fashion similar to the above, we can show that there exists a rotationally symmetric manifold  $(\mathbb{R}^n, g := dr^2 + f(r)^2 g_{S^{n-1}(1)})$  such that

$$K_{\text{rad}} \geq -\kappa + \frac{C_1}{r \log(r+1) + 1} \quad \text{for } r \gg 1;$$

$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_g) = [\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}, \infty)$ ; an eigenfunction  $u$  with an eigenvalue  $\lambda < \frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}$  satisfies  $u = (\log r)^\theta \exp\{-ar\}$  for  $r \gg 1$ , where  $C_1 > 0$  and  $\theta = \theta(\kappa, n, C_1, \lambda) > 0$  are constants. Hence, the curvature condition (14) in Theorem 1.1 can be seen to be sharp, as is mentioned in Introduction.

(ii) As is pointed right after Theorem 1.2, the condition (16) can be seen to be sharp by constructing a similar example of a rotationally symmetric manifold.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional connected noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and  $V$  be a (not necessarily relatively compact) open subset of  $M$  with compact  $C^\infty$  boundary  $\partial V$ . We assume that  $M \setminus \overline{V}$  is unbounded and set  $r = \text{dist}_g(\partial V, *)$  on  $M \setminus V$ . We shall consider a solution  $u$  of the eigenvalue equation

$$(23) \quad (-\Delta_g - \lambda)u = 0$$

on  $M \setminus \overline{V}$ , where  $\lambda \geq 0$  is a constant. By a supersolution of (23), we mean a real-valued function  $u$  in  $H_{\text{loc}}^1(M \setminus \overline{V})$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \{\langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle - \lambda u \varphi\} dv_g \geq 0$$

for every non-negative function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M \setminus \overline{V})$ ; analogously, by a subsolution of (23), we mean a real-valued function  $u$  in  $H_{\text{loc}}^1(M \setminus \overline{V})$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \{\langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle - \lambda u \varphi\} dv_g \leq 0$$

for every non-negative function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M \setminus \overline{V})$ . First, we shall recall the following:

**Lemma 3.1** (S. Agmon [2]). *Let  $w$  be a positive-valued continuous supersolution of (23) and  $v$  be a continuous subsolution of the same equation (23). Assume that there exists a constant  $\alpha > 1$  such that*

$$\liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ R^{-2} \int_{B(\alpha R) \setminus B(R)} |v|^2 dv_g \right\} = 0,$$

where we set  $B(t) := \{x \in M \setminus \overline{V} \mid r(x) \leq t\}$  for  $t > 0$ . Then, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$v(x) \leq Cw(x) \quad \text{on } \{x \in M \setminus V \mid r(x) \geq 1\}.$$

Firstly, we shall prove Theorem 1.1. Let  $E$  be an end with radial coordinates satisfying conditions (13) and (14). Then, the comparison theorem in Riemannian geometry implies that

$$(24) \quad \Delta_g r \geq (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa} - \frac{C_3}{r(\log r)^{1+\theta}} \quad \text{on } E(r_0 + 2, \infty),$$

where  $C_3 > 0$  and  $\theta \in (0, \delta)$  are constants. Direct computations show the following:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\lambda < \frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}$  be a positive constant and  $u$  be a solution of the differential equation  $(-\Delta_g - \lambda)u = 0$  on  $E$ . Let  $a$  be the constant defined by (7) and  $\varepsilon$  be a constant satisfying  $0 < \varepsilon < \theta$ . Assume that (24) holds. Then,  $\exp\{-ar - (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\}$  is a supersolution of (23) on some neighborhood of the infinity of  $E$ .*



*Proof.* A simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(25) \quad & \exp\{ar + (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\} (-\Delta_g - \lambda) \exp\{-ar - (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\} \\
&= -a^2 - \varepsilon^2 r^{-2} (\log r)^{-2\varepsilon-2} + 2a\varepsilon r^{-1} (\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1} + \varepsilon(\varepsilon+1)r^{-2} (\log r)^{-\varepsilon-2} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon r^{-2} (\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1} + (\Delta_g r) (a - \varepsilon r^{-1} (\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1}) - \lambda \\
&= -a^2 + 2a\varepsilon r^{-1} (\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1} + (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa} (a - \varepsilon r^{-1} (\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1}) \\
&\quad + (\Delta_g r - (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}) (a - \varepsilon r^{-1} (\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1}) - \lambda + O(r^{-2} (\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1}) \\
&= (2a - (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}) \varepsilon r^{-1} (\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1} \\
&\quad + (\Delta_g r - (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}) (a - \varepsilon r^{-1} (\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1}) + O(r^{-2} (\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the equation  $a^2 - (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}a + \lambda = 0$ . Combining (24) and (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(26) \quad & \exp\{ar + (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\} (-\Delta_g - \lambda) \exp\{-ar - (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\} \\
&\geq \{2a - (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa} + O(r^{-1})\} \varepsilon r^{-1} (\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1} - O(r^{-1} (\log r)^{-\theta-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

From  $2a - (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa} > 0$  together with  $0 < \varepsilon < \theta$ , we see that the right hand side of (26) is positive for large  $r \gg 1$ ; thus  $\exp\{-ar - (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\}$  is a supersolution of (23) on some neighborhood of the infinity of  $E$ .  $\square$

By applying Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(x)| &\leq C \exp\{-ar(x) - (\log r(x))^{-\varepsilon}\} \\
&\leq C \exp\{-ar(x)\}
\end{aligned}$$

on  $E(r_0 + 2, \infty)$ , where  $C > 0$  is a constant. Thus, we have proved Theorem 1.1.

Next, we shall prove Theorem 1.2. First, let us recall that the comparison theorem in Riemannian geometry implies the following:

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional connected noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and  $U$  be an (not necessarily relatively compact) open subset of  $M$  with  $C^\infty$ -boundary  $\partial U$ . We set  $r(*) := \text{dist}_g(\partial U, *)$  on  $M \setminus U$ . Let  $\exp_{\partial U}^\perp : \mathcal{N}^+(\partial U) \rightarrow M \setminus U$  be the outward normal exponential map and  $\text{Cut}(\partial U)$  be the corresponding cut locus of  $\partial U$  in  $M \setminus U$ , where  $\mathcal{N}^+(\partial U) := \{v \in TM|_{\partial U} \mid v \text{ is outward normal to } \partial U\}$ . Assume that there exist constants  $\kappa > 0$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $C_3 > 0$ , and  $r_0 \geq 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r)(x) &\geq -(n-1) \left( \kappa + \frac{C_1}{r(x)\{\log(r(x)+1)\}^{1+\delta} + 1} \right) \\
&\quad \text{for } x \in M \setminus (U \cup \text{Cut}(\partial U)) \text{ with } r(x) \geq r_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, there exist constants  $C_4 > 0$  and  $0 < \delta' < \delta$  such that

$$(27) \quad \Delta_g r \leq (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa} + \frac{C_4}{r\{\log(r+1)\}^{1+\delta'} + 1} \quad \text{on } M \setminus U,$$

where (27) holds “in the sense of distribution”.

For a proof of the fact that (27) holds “in the sense of distribution”, see Kasue [10], [11], and references listed there; in particular, contributions due to Calabi, Cheeger-Gromoll, Yau, and Wu.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $(M, g)$  and  $U$  be as in Theorem 1.2, and assume that (27) holds in the sense of distribution. Then,  $\exp\{-ar + (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\}$  is a subsolution of (23) near the infinity of  $M \setminus U$ , and  $\exp\{-ar + (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\} \in L^2(B(2, \infty))$ . Here,  $\varepsilon$  is a constant satisfying  $0 < \varepsilon < \delta'$  and  $B(2, \infty) := \{x \in M \setminus \bar{U} \mid r(x) \geq 2\}$ .*

*Proof.* First, note that  $\exp\{-ar + (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\} \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(B(2, \infty))$  (cf. [4] or [3]). For  $x \in B(2, \infty) \setminus \text{Cut}(\partial U)$ , a simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(28) \quad & \exp\{ar - (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\} (-\Delta_g - \lambda) \exp\{-ar + (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\} \\
&= -\left(a + \varepsilon r^{-1}(\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1}\right)^2 - \varepsilon(\varepsilon + 1)r^{-2}(\log r)^{-\varepsilon-2} - \varepsilon r^{-2}(\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1} \\
&\quad + (\Delta_g r - (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}) (a + \varepsilon r^{-1}(\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1}) \\
&\quad + (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa} (a + \varepsilon r^{-1}(\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1}) - \lambda \\
&= \{(n-1)\sqrt{\kappa} - 2a\} \varepsilon r^{-1}(\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1} \\
&\quad + (\Delta_g r - (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}) (a + \varepsilon r^{-1}(\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1}) \\
&\quad - O(r^{-2}(\log r)^{-\varepsilon-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the equation  $-a^2 + (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}a - \lambda = 0$ . Combining (27) and (28), we see that

$$(29) \quad (-\Delta_g - \lambda) \exp\{-ar + (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\}(x) < 0$$

for  $x \in B(r_1, \infty) \setminus \text{Cut}(\partial U)$  with  $r(x) \geq r_1$ , where  $r_1 \gg \min\{r_0, 2\}$  is a large constant; note that  $(n-1)\sqrt{\kappa} - 2a < 0$ ;  $a > 0$ ;  $0 < \varepsilon < \delta'$ . Since  $\exp\{-ar + (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\} \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(B(2, \infty))$  and the measure of  $\text{Cut}(\partial U)$  is zero, (29) holds in the sense of distribution on some neighborhood of the infinity of  $M \setminus U$ . Thus,  $\exp\{-ar + (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\}$  is a subsolution of (23) near the infinity of  $M \setminus U$ .

Next, we shall prove  $\exp\{-ar + (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\} \in L^2(B(2, \infty))$ . Let  $\nu$  denote the outward unit normal vector field along  $\partial U$ , and set

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(w) &:= \sup\{t > 0 \mid \text{dist}_g(U, \exp_{\partial U}^\perp(t \cdot \nu(w))) = t\} \quad \text{for } w \in \partial U; \\
\mathcal{D}_{\partial U} &:= \{t \cdot \nu(w) \in \mathcal{N}^+(\partial U) \mid 0 \leq t < \rho(w), w \in \partial U\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $A_{\partial U}$  denote the induced Riemannian measure on  $\partial U$ , and write the Riemannian measure  $dv_g$  on  $\exp_{\partial U}^\perp(\mathcal{D}_{\partial U}) = M \setminus (U \cup \text{Cut}(\partial U))$  as follows:

$$dv_g = \sqrt{G(r, w)} dr dA_{\partial U} \quad \text{for } w \in \partial U \text{ and } 0 \leq r < \rho(w).$$

Then,  $\Delta r = \frac{\partial_r \sqrt{G(r, w)}}{\sqrt{G(r, w)}}$  on  $M \setminus (U \cup \text{Cut}(\partial U))$ ; hence, (27), together with  $a > \frac{(n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}}{2}$ , implies that  $\exp\{-ar + (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\} \in L^2(B(2, \infty))$ .  $\square$

Lemma 3.1, together with Lemma 3.4, implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
u &\geq C_5 \exp\{-ar + (\log r)^{-\varepsilon}\} \\
&\geq C_5 \exp\{-ar\} \quad \text{on } B(2, \infty),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C_5 > 0$  is a constant. Thus, we have proved Theorem 1.2.

As for Corollary 1.2, the fact that  $\sigma(-\Delta_g) = [\frac{(n-1)^2 \kappa_1}{4}, \infty)$  follows from Theorem 1.2 in [12] together with  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_{M \setminus E_1}^D) = [\frac{(n-1)^2 \kappa_2}{4}, \infty)$ , where  $-\Delta_{M \setminus E_1}^D$  stands for the Dirichlet Laplacian of  $M \setminus E_1$ ; Theorem 1.6 in [13] implies the absence of

eigenvalues embedded in  $(\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa_1}{4}, \infty)$ ; the absolutely continuity on the interval  $(\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa_1}{4}, \infty)$  follows from [15].

#### 4. FURTHER ARGUMENTS

If  $s_0 = \min \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_g) > 0$ , attaching a large standard  $n$ -sphere  $S^n(R_0)$  to  $(M, g)$  with a thin neck between them would produce a finite number of eigenvalues below  $s_0$ . But, the following curvature bound (31) seems to be interesting, because it yields infinitely many discrete spectrum below  $s_0$  and also satisfies the bound (14) above:

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and  $U$  be a relatively compact open subset of  $M$ . Assume that  $M \setminus U$  consists of a finite number of ends with radial coordinates and write  $r := \text{dist}_g(\partial U, *)$  on  $M \setminus U$ . Assume also that there exist constants  $\kappa > 0$ ,  $r_0 \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $C_1 > 0$ , and  $\beta > \frac{1}{(n-1)^2}$  such that*

$$(30) \quad \nabla dr \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \{x \in M \setminus U \mid r(x) = r_0\}.$$

and

$$(31) \quad -\kappa + \frac{\beta}{r^2 + 1} \leq K_{\text{rad}} \leq -\kappa + \frac{C_1}{r\{\log(r+1)\}^{1+\delta} + 1} \leq 0$$

on  $\{x \in M \setminus U \mid r(x) \geq r_0\}$ .

Then, there exists a sequence of eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  of  $-\Delta_g$  such that

$$(0 <) \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_i < \lambda_{i+1} < \cdots < \frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4};$$

$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i = \frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}$ ; each eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  has a finite multiplicity. Let  $\lambda_i < \frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}$  be one of eigenvalues above and  $u_i$  be a corresponding eigenfunction and set

$$a_i = \frac{(n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4} - \lambda_i}.$$

Then, there exists a positive constant  $C_{2,i}$  such that

$$(32) \quad |u_i(x)| \leq C_{2,i} \cdot \exp\{-a_i r(x)\} \quad \text{on } M \setminus U.$$

Moreover,  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_g) = [\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}, \infty)$  and  $\sigma_{\text{pp}}(-\Delta_g) \cap (\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}, \infty) = \emptyset$ . If we replace (31) with the following stronger condition

$$(33) \quad -\kappa + \frac{\beta}{r^2} \leq K_{\text{rad}} \leq -\kappa + \frac{C_1}{r^{1+\delta}} \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \{x \in M \setminus U \mid r(x) \geq r_0\},$$

then  $-\Delta_g$  is absolutely continuous on  $(\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}, \infty)$ .

Since (31) implies  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_g) = [\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}, \infty)$ , [14, Theorem 1.1] shows that there exists infinitely many discrete spectrum below  $\frac{(n-1)^2\kappa}{4}$  under the curvature assumption (31).

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon, Lectures on exponential decay of solutions of second order elliptic equations, bounds on eigenfunctions of  $n$ -body Schrödinger operators, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1982.
- [2] S. Agmon, *Bounds on exponential decay of eigenfunctions of Schrödinger operators*, Lecture note in Math., 1159, 1–38 (1984).
- [3] I. Chavel, Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry, Academic Press, (1984).
- [4] S. Y. Cheng, *Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric applications*, Math. Z, **143**, 289–297 (1982).
- [5] H. Donnelly, On the essential spectrum of a complete Riemannian manifold, Topology, **20** (1981), 1–14.
- [6] H. Donnelly, *Eigenforms of the Laplacian on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, **9**, 1299–1321 (1984)..
- [7] H. Donnelly, *Lower bounds for eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Ann., **279**, 635–671 (1988).
- [8] H. Donnelly, *Decay of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*, Lecture notes in Math., 1339, 106–117 (1987).
- [9] R. Green and H. Wu, Function theory on manifolds which possess a pole, Lecture Note in Math., vol. 699.
- [10] A. Kasue, A Laplacian comparison theorems and function theoretic properties of a complete Riemannian manifold, Japanese J. Math., **18**, (1982).
- [11] A. Kasue, Applications of Laplacian and Hessian comparison theorems, Geometry of geodesics and related topics (Tokyo, 1982), 333–386, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 3.
- [12] H. Kumura, *On the essential spectrum of the Laplacian on complete manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **49**, 1–14 (1997).
- [13] H. Kumura, *The radial curvature of an end that makes eigenvalues vanish in the essential spectrum I*, Math. Ann., **346**, 795–828 (2010).
- [14] H. Kumura, *The lower bound of the Ricci curvature that yields the infinite number of the discrete spectrum of the Laplacian*, arXiv:0902.1919, to appear in Ann. I. Fourier.
- [15] H. Kumura, *Limiting absorption principle on manifolds having ends with various radial curvatures*, ArXiv:0606125v11, (preprint).
- [16] H. Kumura, *The behavior of the curvatures of ends and the spectral structure of the Laplace-Beltrami operator*, Sugaku **59**, 154–170, 2007, (in Japanese).