

Finite escape fraction for ultrahigh energy collisions around Kerr naked singularity

Mandar Patil ^{*} and Pankaj S. Joshi [†]
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India

We investigate here the issue of observability of high energy collisions around Kerr naked singularity and show that the results are in contrast with the Kerr black hole case. We had shown earlier that it would be possible to have ultrahigh energy collisions between the particles close to the location $r = M$ around the Kerr naked singularity if the Kerr spin parameter transcends the unity by an infinitesimally small amount $a \rightarrow 1^+$. The collision is between initially ingoing particle that turns back as an outgoing particle due to angular momentum barrier, with another ingoing particle. We assume that two massless particles are produced in such a collision and their angular distribution is isotropic in the center of mass frame. We calculate the escape fraction for the massless particles to reach infinity. We show that escape fraction is finite and approximately equal to half for ultrahigh energy collisions. Therefore the particles produced in high energy collisions would escape to infinity providing signature of the nature of basic interactions at those energies. This result is in contract with the extremal Kerr black hole case where almost all particles produced in high energy collisions are absorbed by the black hole, thus rendering the collisions unobservable.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Dw, 04.70.-s, 04.70.Bw

I. INTRODUCTION

Banados, Silk and West demonstrated an intriguing possibility of collisions of particles with arbitrarily large center of mass energy around extremal Kerr black hole [1]. The collisions were between two ingoing particles that start from rest at infinity and fall freely towards the black hole. The collision takes place at a location that is close to the event horizon. It turns out that in order for collision to be energetic the angular momentum of one of the particles must be finetuned to a specific value [3]. This particle approaches the event horizon asymptotically. Thus the proper time required for the collision is infinite. A similar process was also studied in the context of many other known examples of black holes by different authors. While analyzing the possible implications of the high energy collision process for the distant observers at infinity the escape fraction was subsequently calculated. Escape fraction tells us the fraction of particles created in the high energy collisions that manage to escape to infinity. It turns out that since the collision takes place at a location close to the event horizon most of the particles produced are absorbed by the black hole. Thus the escape fraction in the case of a black hole turns out to be vanishingly small [2, 4]. Thus although ultrahigh energy collisions would take place around extremal Kerr black holes, they would be inconsequential from the perspective of observational implications and in such a case the physics at high energies would remain unprobed.

We studied earlier the process of high energy collisions in the context of Kerr naked singularity [6]. We showed that it would be possible to have ultrahigh energy parti-

cle collisions around Kerr naked singularity provided the Kerr spin parameter is larger than but arbitrarily close to unity. Collisions take place at a location which is away from the singularity. The collision is between ingoing and outgoing particles. Outgoing particles naturally arise as initially ingoing particles are reflected back due to the potential barrier offered by the angular momentum of the particle in the equatorial plane and due to the repulsive nature of gravity along the axis of symmetry. We also studied the similar process in the context of Reissner-Nordström naked singularity when charge parameter transcends the mass parameter by infinitesimal amount [7]. In this case, exploiting the spherical symmetry we could replace the particles by thin spherical shells and take into account the effect of self-gravity of colliding particles on the center of mass energy of collisions. We showed that in a reasonable astrophysical scenario the upper bound on the center of mass energy of collision between two proton like particles was much larger than the Planck scale. Whereas a similar calculation in the context of black holes showed the upper bound on center of mass energy of collision to be much smaller than the Planck energy. We also showed that neither black holes nor naked singularities are necessary for high energy collisions to occur. We demonstrated this point in the context of the Bardeen spacetime. It turns out that ultrahigh energy collisions can take place in the spacetimes that do not contain black hole [8].

In this paper we address the issue of observability of high energy collisions in the absence of an event horizon. We specifically work in the context of Kerr naked singular spacetime. We calculate the escape fraction for the particles produced in the high energy collisions around Kerr naked singularity. Following [2] we make various assumptions. The collision considered is between two identical massive particles starting from rest at infinity. The collision, as stated before, is between ingoing and

^{*}Electronic address: mandarp@tifr.res.in

[†]Electronic address: psj@tifr.res.in

outgoing particle. We assume that the particles move on the equatorial plane. Collision products are taken to be two massless particles. In the center of mass frame colliding particles move in the opposite directions with same speed. In this frame two massless particles produced move in the opposite directions with equal magnitude of momenta. We assume that the two massless particles also travel in the equatorial plane. The distribution of the direction of motion of massless particles with respect to the direction of colliding particles is taken to be isotropic. We focus attention on only one of the particles as we intend to compute the order of magnitude of escape fraction.

We show that the escape fraction for the collision products to escape will be finite and would be approximately half. This implies that around half of the particles produced eventually hit the singularity and disappear while remaining half manage to escape away to infinity. Thus significant fraction of collision products escape to infinity and would carry the information about nature of basic interactions at extremely large energy scales. This is in contrast with the black hole case where high energy collisions that take place in the vicinity of event horizon would be unobservable.

II. KERR GEOMETRY

In this section we briefly describe the Kerr naked singularity geometry. We work in the units where the gravitational radius, speed of light and mass of the colliding particles is set to unity $r_g = \frac{GM}{c^2} = c = \mu = 1$.

The Kerr metric when restricted to the equatorial plane, in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates is given by,

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2}{r}\right) dt^2 - \frac{4ra}{\Sigma} dt d\phi + \left(\frac{r^2}{\Delta}\right) dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + \left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2a^2}{r}\right) d\phi^2 \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2r$ and a is the angular momentum parameter. When $a > 1$, event horizon is absent in the spacetime. However, there is a singularity located at $r = 0, \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, which turns out to be visible for the asymptotic observer. Thus the Kerr solution represents a naked singularity.

We now analyze the geodesic motion in the spacetime. Let $U = (U^t, U^r, U^\theta, U^\phi)$ be the velocity of the particle. We assume for simplicity that particle follows geodesic motion in the equatorial plane $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ so that $U^\theta = 0$. The Kerr spacetime admits Killing vectors $\partial_t, \partial_\phi$ and there are corresponding conserved quantities $E = -U \cdot \partial_t$, $L = U \cdot \partial_\phi$ associated with the geodesic motion which are interpreted as the conserved energy and orbital angular momentum per unit mass. Using these relations, U^t, U^ϕ can be written down and U^r can be obtained using the normalization condition for velocity $U \cdot U = -m^2$. Here




FIG. 1: The variation of center of mass energy of collision between the ingoing and outgoing particles with angular momenta $L_1 = 0.4, L_2 = 1.4$ with radius around $r = 1$ in a spacetime containing naked singularity with spin parameter close to unity, with $a - 1 = \epsilon = 10^{-6}$.

$m = \mu = 1$ for the massive particle and $m = 0$ for the massless particle. The velocity components are given by,

$$U^t = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[\left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2a^2}{r} \right) E - \frac{2a}{r} L \right] \\ U^\phi = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[\left(1 - \frac{2}{r} \right) L + \frac{2a}{r} E \right], U^\theta = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$U^r = u \sqrt{E^2 - m^2 + \frac{2m^2}{r} - \frac{(L^2 - a^2(E^2 - m^2))}{r^2} + \frac{2(L - aE)^2}{r^3}}$$

where $u = \pm 1$ stands for radially outgoing and ingoing particles respectively. We shall deal with the colliding particles that start from rest at infinity. For such particles it turns out that $E = 1$.

III. ULTRAHIGH ENERGY COLLISIONS

In this section we briefly describe the process of ultra-high energy particle collisions in the Kerr naked singularity geometry with the spin parameter transcending unity by an extremely small amount $a - 1 = \epsilon = 1^+$ [6].

We consider collision between ingoing and outgoing particles at $r = 1$. We assume that both the particles start from infinity at rest. Thus the conserved energy per unit mass for each of the particles is $E = 1$. One of the initially ingoing particles must turn back as an outgoing particle at the radial location $r < 1$. The angular momentum per unit mass of this particle must lie in the range $2(-1 + \sqrt{1+a}) \leq L < (2 - \sqrt{2a^2 - 2})$. The lower limit on its value corresponds to the angular momentum it must have for it to turn back at all, whereas the upper limit comes from the restriction that it must turn back at the radial location $r < 1$. The second particle is an ingoing particle at the collision location. The requirement that it should not turn back before it reaches

$r = 1$ puts the following restriction on its angular momentum $L < (2 - \sqrt{2a^2 - 2})$ [6].

The center of mass energy of collision between the particles with velocities U^1, U^2 is given by

$$E_{c.m.}^2 = 2m^2 (1 - g_{\mu\nu} U_1^\mu U_2^\nu) \quad (3)$$

Approximate expression for the center of mass energy of collision in the situation that we described is given by

$$E_{c.m.}^2 \simeq \frac{2T_1 T_2}{\epsilon} \quad (4)$$

where T_1, T_2 are factors that can be written down in terms of the energy and angular momenta of the particles and they are of $O(1)$. Clearly the center of mass energy of collision is large in the limit $\epsilon = a - 1 \rightarrow 0$. The variation of center of mass energy in the vicinity of $r = 1$ is shown in Fig 1 for Kerr geometry with $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$.

IV. ESCAPE FRACTION FOR COLLISION PRODUCTS

We now compute the escape fraction for the collision products. We assume that two identical massive particles each with mass $\mu (= 1)$ participate in a collision and produce two massless particles. In the center of mass frame colliding particles travel in the opposite direction with equal magnitude of three-momenta. This is also the case with massless particles produced in the collision. The distribution of massless particles in the center of mass frame is assumed to be isotropic for simplicity and we focus only on one of the particles since we intend to calculate the order of magnitude of escape fraction. We assume that the massless particles travel in the equatorial plane. We first calculate the conditions under which massless particle will be able to escape to infinity. We then move over to the center of mass frame. The conditions for particles to escape can be translated to the escape cones in the center of mass frame within which particles must travel if it were to escape to infinity. Sum of angles of these cones divided by 2π will be the estimate of the escape fraction.

A. Escape conditions for massless particles

We now derive the conditions for massless particles to escape to infinity. Null geodesics are characterized by the ratio of conserved energy and angular momentum $\frac{L}{E}$, rather than by both conserved energy and angular momentum as in the case of timelike geodesics. This can be seen from (2) by dividing it throughout by E and choosing a new affine parameter $\tilde{\lambda} = E\lambda$ where λ is the old affine parameter. Equivalently, one can choose $E = 1$.

The angular momentum required for the particle to turn back at the radial coordinate r can be obtained from




FIG. 2: The variation of angular momentum $b(r) = L_1(r), L_2(r)$ required for the massless particle to turn back from radius r . The lower branch of $L_2(r)$ admits a maximum $L_2 = L_{2max} \approx -7$ around $r = r_{max} \approx 4$ for Kerr spin parameter $a \approx 1$.

(2) and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} b(r) = L_1(r) &= \frac{1}{r-2} \left(-2a + \sqrt{r^4 + a^2 r^2 - 2r^3} \right) \\ &= L_2(r) = \frac{1}{r-2} \left(-2a - \sqrt{4r^4 + a^2 r^2 - 2r^3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Two branches of $b(r)$ namely $L_1(r), L_2(r)$ are plotted in Fig.2. The lower branch of $L_2(r)$ admits a maximum $L_{2max} \simeq -7$ around $r = r_{max} \simeq 4$ for Kerr spin parameter close to unity. The massless particle produced in a collision at r could either be ingoing or outgoing corresponding to $u = \pm 1$. The particle will escape to infinity if

$$\begin{aligned} r < r_{max}, u = +1, L_{2,max} < L < L_1(r) \\ r < r_{max}, u = -1, a < L < L_1(r) \\ r > r_{max}, u = +1, L_2 < L < L_1(r) \\ r > r_{max}, u = -1, a < L < L_1(0) \\ r > r_{max}, u = -1, L_2(r) < L < L_{2,max} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The first condition in (6) indicates that if the collision takes place at radial location r and if the massless particle produced in the collision is to be radially ingoing then it would escape to infinity if its angular momentum lies in the range $L_{2,max} < L < L_1(r)$. This is evident from Fig.2. Other four conditions can be interpreted similarly. These conditions can be translated into the escape fraction as we demonstrate below.

B. Center of mass frame

We now consider the procedure to move over to the center of mass frame. We first introduce locally non-rotating frame [5]. Given a vector in Boyer-Lindquist coordinate system V^μ , its components in the locally non-rotating frame $V^{\tilde{\mu}}$ are given by

$$V^{\tilde{\mu}} = e_{\nu}^{\tilde{\mu}} V^{\nu} \quad (7)$$

where

$$e_{\nu}^{\tilde{\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{g_{t\phi}^2 - g_{tt}g_{\phi\phi}}{g_{\phi\phi}}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{g_{t\phi}}{\sqrt{g_{\phi\phi}}} \\ 0 & \sqrt{g_{rr}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{g_{\theta\theta}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{g_{\phi\phi}} \end{pmatrix}$$

in the above the $g_{\mu\nu}$ being the Kerr metric. We then make a rotation in the $\tilde{r} - \tilde{\phi}$ plane in the locally non-rotating frame so that the net three velocity of two colliding particles is oriented along the new radial direction. We further make a boost along new radial direction so that all the components of total three velocity now vanish. This is the center of mass frame.

The transformation to center of mass frame from locally nonrotating frame can be given as

$$V^{\tilde{\mu}} = \Lambda^{\tilde{\mu}}_{\tilde{\nu}} V^{\tilde{\nu}} \quad (8)$$

where the transformation matrix is composed of rotation and boost

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_{boost} \Lambda_{rot} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\beta\gamma \cos \alpha & 0 & -\beta\gamma \sin \alpha \\ -\beta\gamma & \gamma \cos \alpha & 0 & \gamma \sin \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin \alpha & 0 & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

The boost parameter β and rotation parameter α are given in terms of components of total velocity $U_{tot} = U_1 + U_2$ in the locally non-rotating frame as

$$\beta = \left(\frac{\sqrt{U_{tot}^{\tilde{r}2} + U_{tot}^{\tilde{\phi}2}}}{U_{tot}^{\tilde{r}}} \right) \quad (10)$$

$$\alpha = \arccos \left(\frac{U_{tot}^{\tilde{r}}}{\sqrt{U_{tot}^{\tilde{r}2} + U_{tot}^{\tilde{\phi}2}}} \right)$$

Given the energy and angular momentum parameters of the colliding particles one can write down the velocity components in the Boyer-Lindquist coordinate system and also in the locally non-rotating orthogonal tetrad. The rotation and boost parameters can be written down

once components of the colliding particles are known in the non-rotating frame. Thus we can write down transformation laws that will allow us to write down components of any vector in the center of mass frame given its components in the Boyer-Lindquist coordinate system.

C. Escape Fraction

We assume that the massless particles produced in the collisions travel in the equatorial plane and their distribution is isotropic in the center of mass energy frame. Particles would escape to infinity if (6) are satisfied. These conditions can be translated into the escape cones in the center of mass frame such that if the direction of motion (in other words three-velocity) lies in the cone, particle escapes to infinity. Directions that define edges of the escape cones would correspond to the extreme angular momentum values in (6) given the radial location of collision and whether particle is ingoing or outgoing. Given the extreme momentum values one can write down the velocity components of the massless particle in the Boyer-Lindquist coordinate system. Then one can make a transition to the center of mass frame and write down the three-velocities as

$$U_3^i = U^{\hat{i}} = \Lambda^{\hat{i}}_{\tilde{\mu}} e_{\nu}^{\tilde{\mu}} U^{\nu}. \quad (11)$$

Angle between two extreme three-velocities will be the escape angle. When escape angle is divided by 2π we get the escape fraction,

$$EF(r, a) = \frac{\Theta(r - r_{max}(a))A_1(r, a) + \Theta(r_{max}(a) - r)A_2(r, a)}{2\pi}$$

Here Θ is the step function. The first term makes a contribution if collision takes place outside $r_{max}(a)$, whereas the second term contributes if collision takes place inside $r_{max}(a)$. Since we are dealing with the case where $a \rightarrow 1$ in that case the high energy collisions will take place around $r = 1$. In this situation $r_{max}(a) \simeq 4$ and the second term is relevant here but not the first one. There are two escape cones and thus there are two terms in A_2 .

$$A_1(r, a) = \left| \left(\arccos \left[\frac{U_3(r, a, L = L_1(r), u = 1).U_3(r, a, L = L_2(r), u = 1)}{|U_3(r, a, L = L_1(r), u = 1)| |U_3(r, a, L = L_2(r), u = 1)|} \right] \right) \right|$$

$$+ \left| \left(\arccos \left[\frac{U_3(r, a, L = a, u = -1).U_3(r, a, L = L_1(0), u = -1)}{|U_3(r, a, L = a, u = -1)| |U_3(r, a, L = L_1(0), u = -1)|} \right] \right) \right|$$

$$+ \left| \left(\arccos \left[\frac{U_3(r, a, L = L_2(r), u = -1).U_3(r, a, L = L_{2max}, u = -1)}{|U_3(r, a, L = L_2(r), u = -1)| |U_3(r, a, L = L_{2max}, u = -1)|} \right] \right) \right|$$




FIG. 3: Fig 3a shows the variation of escape fraction with radius. Highly energetic collisions take place around $r = 1$. Here $\epsilon = a - 1 = 10^{-6}$. The collision is between ingoing and outgoing particles with angular momenta $L_1 = 0.4, L_2 = 1.4$. The escape fraction is more or less constant and takes a value $E.F. \approx 0.5$, unlike the extremal Kerr black hole case where it decreases as one approaches the horizon $r = 1$. Fig 3b depicts the slight increase in the escape fraction with the center of mass energy of collision, unlike the Kerr black hole case where there is a sharp fall in the escape fraction with the center of mass energy of collision.

$$A_2(r, a) = \left| \left(\arccos \left[\frac{U_3(r, a, L = L_1(r), u = 1) \cdot U_3(r, a, L = L_{2max}, u = 1)}{|U_3(r, a, L = L_1(r), u = 1)| |U_3(r, a, L = L_{2max}, u = 1)|} \right] \right) \right| \\ + \left| \left(\arccos \left[\frac{U_3(r, a, L = L_1(r), u = -1) \cdot U_3(r, a, L = a, u = -1)}{|U_3(r, a, L = L_1(r), u = -1)| |U_3(r, a, L = a, u = -1)|} \right] \right) \right|$$

We numerically calculate the escape fraction for high energy collisions that take place around $r = 1$. The spin parameter is slightly larger than unity $\epsilon = a - 1 \rightarrow 10^{-6}$. Collision is between ingoing and outgoing particles with angular momenta $L_1 = 0.4, L_2 = 1.4$. The escape fraction as a function of radial coordinate is plotted in Fig 3a. Escape fraction in this region around radial coordinate $r = 1$ takes a value which is approximately half. Variation of the escape fraction with the center of mass energy of collision is depicted in Fig 3b. Escape fraction increases slowly with the center of mass energy. This behavior is in contrast with the extremal Kerr black hole case where there is a sharp decrement in the escape fraction with center of mass energy for the collision as we approach the horizon $r = 1$ [2]. Thus the flux of the particles produced in the high energy collisions around the Kerr naked singularity will be large as approximately half of the particles manage to escape to infinity while remaining half of the particles eventually hit the naked singularity. The high energy collisions, unlike the black hole case, would be observable and in principle would shed light on the nature of basic interactions at very large energies.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

Collisions with large center of mass energy can take place around extremal Kerr black hole. But since they occur at the location close to the event horizon, so most of the collision products formed are absorbed by the black hole. Thus these collisions, although interesting from the theoretical perspective, are not observable at all. Ultrahigh energy collisions can also take place around Kerr naked singularity provided the spin parameter transcends the unity by extremely small amount. However, the collisions take place at a location away from the singularity. Thus one might expect that the significant fraction of collision products would manage to escape to infinity. In this paper we calculated the escape fraction for the collision products in the Kerr naked singularity case and confirmed that it is indeed a finite and large enough number, unlike the black hole case. The escape fraction for high energy collisions is approximately half in this case. This implies that half of the collision products manage to escape to infinity. Thus high energy collisions around naked singularity are observable, in principle, and could help us understand the laws of basic interesting physics at ultrahigh energies.

Another important issue as far as the observability of the collisions is concerned is the time required for the collision to occur. We had shown earlier in the context

of the Reissner-Nordström spacetime that the timescale associated with the Planck scale collision in a reasonable astrophysical setting is significantly smaller than the Hubble time in the naked singularity case, while that for

black hole is many orders of magnitude larger than the age of the universe [7]. Similar results also hold good in the context of Kerr geometry as well. We shall present these findings elsewhere [9].

-
- [1] M.Banados,J.Silk,S.M.West,Phys.Rev.Lett.103,111102(2009);
 [2] M.Banados,B.Hassanain,J.Silk,S.M.West,
 Phys.Rev.D,83,023004(2011); A.Williams,
 arXiv/astro.ph/1101.4819(2011).
 [3] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, L. Gualtieri, F. Pretorius, U. Sperhake, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 239001 (2009); T. Jacobson, T. P. Sotiriou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 021101 (2010).
 [4] S. McWilliams, arXiv:1212.1235 (2012).
 [5] J.Bardeen, W.Press, S.Teukolsky, ApJ, 178, 347 (1972).
 [6] M.Patil and P.S. Joshi, arXiv:1103.1082; arXiv:1103.1083.
 [7] M. Patil, P.S. Joshi, M.Kimura, K.Nakao Phys. Rev. D 86, 084023 (2012)
 [8] M.Patil and P.S. Joshi, Phys. Rev. D 86, 044040 (2012)
 [9] M. Patil et al (in preparation).