

1 Addendum

A Cantor-Bendixson-like process which detects Δ_2^0

SAMUEL ALEXANDER

Abstract:

For each subset of Baire space, we define, in a way similar to a common proof of the Cantor-Bendixson Theorem, a sequence of decreasing subsets S_α of $\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$, indexed by ordinals. We use this to obtain two new characterizations of the boldface Δ_2^0 Borel pointclass. ADDENDUM: In January 2012 we learned that the notion of guessability appeared in an equivalent form, and even with the same name, in the doctoral dissertation of William Wadge [4]. As for the main result of this paper, Wadge proved one direction and gave a proof for the other direction which he attributed to Hausdorff. The proofs in this paper present an alternate means to those results.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 03E15 (primary); 28A05 (secondary)

Keywords: descriptive set theory, Cantor-Bendixson, Borel hierarchy, guessability

Please read the addendum in the above abstract for an important note on this paper's unoriginality.

The usual Cantor-Bendixson derivative “detects” countability, in the sense that the perfect kernel of $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (the result of applying the Cantor-Bendixson derivative repeatedly until a fixed point is reached) is empty if and only if S is countable ([3], page 34). In this paper, I will show a process which “detects” Δ_2^0 : a process which depends on $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and which reaches a fixed point or kernel, a kernel which will be empty if and only if S is Δ_2^0 .

Definition 1 Suppose $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$, let $[X]$ denote the set of infinite sequences whose initial segments are all in X .

- Define $S_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ for every ordinal α by induction as follows: $S_0 = \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$, $S_\lambda = \bigcap_{\beta < \lambda} S_\beta$ for any limit ordinal λ . And finally, for any ordinal β define $S_{\beta+1} = \{x \in S_\beta : \exists x', x'' \in [S_\beta] \text{ such that } x \subseteq x', x \subseteq x'', x' \in S, \text{ and } x'' \notin S\}$
- Let $\alpha(S)$ be the minimal ordinal α such that $S_\alpha = S_{\alpha+1}$.

- Let $S_\infty = S_{\alpha(S)}$ (the kernel of the above process).

Throughout the paper, S will denote a subset of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. If $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, I will use $f \upharpoonright n$ to denote $(f(0), \dots, f(n-1))$; $f \upharpoonright 0$ will denote the empty sequence. My goal is to prove that the following are equivalent:

- S is Δ_2^0 .
- $S_\infty = \emptyset$.
- $S = T \setminus [T_\infty]$ for some T .

The reader might wonder why I define S_α to lie in $\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$, rather than in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as one might expect by extrapolating from the classical Cantor-Bendixson derivative. Why not define a new derivative

$$S^* = \{f \in S : f \text{ is a limit of points of } S \setminus \{f\} \text{ and also of } S^c\},$$

and then follow Cantor-Bendixson more directly? If we do this, we end up getting a kernel which does *not* detect Δ_2^0 . For example, let $S = \{f : \forall n f(n) \neq 0\}$, a Δ_2^0 subset of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. It's easy to see $S^* = S$, whereas in order for our process to detect Δ_2^0 , we would like for it to reduce S to $\emptyset$. The reader can check that $S_0 = \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$, S_1 is the set of finite sequences not containing 0, and $S_2 = \emptyset$.

Definition 2 Say that $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is guessable if there is a function $G : \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(f \upharpoonright n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f \in S; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If so, we say G is a guesser for S .

Theorem 3 A subset of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is guessable if and only if it is Δ_2^0 .

This theorem is proved on page 11 of Alexander [1]. It is also a special case of the main theorem of Alexander [2].

Proposition 4 Suppose S is Δ_2^0 . Then $S_\infty = \emptyset$.

Proof Contrapositively, suppose $S_\infty \neq \emptyset$. I will show S is non-guessable, hence non- Δ_2^0 by Theorem 3. Assume not, and let $G : \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a guesser for S . I will build a sequence on whose initial segments G diverges, contrary to Definition 2. There is some $\sigma_0 \in S_\infty$. Now inductively suppose I've defined finite sequences $\sigma_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \sigma_k$ in S_∞ such that for $0 < i \leq k$, $G(\sigma_i) \equiv i \pmod{2}$. Since $\sigma_k \in S_\infty = S_{\alpha(S)} = S_{\alpha(S)+1}$,

this means there are $\sigma', \sigma'' \in [S_\infty]$, extending σ_k , with $\sigma' \in S$, $\sigma'' \notin S$. Choose $\sigma \in \{\sigma', \sigma''\}$ with $\sigma \in S$ iff k is even. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(\sigma \upharpoonright n) \equiv k + 1 \pmod{2}$. Let $\sigma_{k+1} \subset \sigma$ properly extend σ_k such that $G(\sigma_{k+1}) \equiv k + 1 \pmod{2}$. Note $\sigma_{k+1} \in S_\infty$ since $\sigma \in [S_\infty]$.

By induction, I've defined $\sigma_0 \subsetneq \sigma_1 \subsetneq \dots$ such that for $i > 0$, $G(\sigma_i) \equiv i \pmod{2}$. This contradicts Definition 2 since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G((\cup_i \sigma_i) \upharpoonright n)$ ought to converge. $\square$

For the converse we need more machinery.

Definition 5 If $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$, $\sigma \notin S_\infty$, then let $\beta(\sigma)$ denote the least ordinal such that $\sigma \notin S_{\beta(\sigma)}$.

Note that whenever $\sigma \notin S_\infty$, $\beta(\sigma)$ is a successor ordinal.

Lemma 6 Suppose $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ are finite sequences. If $\tau \in S_\infty$ then $\sigma \in S_\infty$. And if $\sigma \notin S_\infty$, then $\beta(\tau) \leq \beta(\sigma)$.

Proof It's enough to show for any ordinal β if $\tau \in S_\beta$ then $\sigma \in S_\beta$. This is by induction on β , the limit case and $\beta = 0$ case being trivial. Assume β is successor. If $\tau \in S_\beta$, this means $\tau \in S_{\beta-1}$ and there are $\tau', \tau'' \in [S_{\beta-1}]$ extending τ with $\tau' \in S$, $\tau'' \notin S$. Since τ' and τ'' extend τ , and τ extends σ , τ' and τ'' extend σ , and since $\sigma \in S_{\beta-1}$ by induction, this shows $\sigma \in S_\beta$. $\square$

Lemma 7 Suppose $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $f \notin [S_\infty]$. Then there is some i such that for all $j \geq i$, $f \upharpoonright j \notin S_\infty$ and $\beta(f \upharpoonright j) = \beta(f \upharpoonright i)$. Furthermore, $f \in [S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright i)-1}]$.

Proof The first part of the lemma follows from Lemma 6 and the well-foundedness of ORD . For the second part we must show $f \upharpoonright k \in S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright i)-1}$ for every k . If $k \leq i$, then $f \upharpoonright k \in S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright i)-1}$ by Lemma 6. If $k \geq i$, then $\beta(f \upharpoonright k) = \beta(f \upharpoonright i)$ and so $f \upharpoonright k \in S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright i)-1}$ since it is in $S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright k)-1}$ by definition of β . $\square$

Proposition 8 If $S_\infty = \emptyset$ then S is Δ_2^0 .

Proof Assume $S_\infty = \emptyset$. I will define a function $G : \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which guesses S , which is sufficient by Theorem 3.

Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$, we have $\sigma \notin S_\infty$ (since $S_\infty = \emptyset$) and so $\sigma \in S_{\beta(\sigma)-1} \setminus S_{\beta(\sigma)}$. Since $\sigma \notin S_{\beta(\sigma)}$, this means that for every two extensions σ', σ'' of σ in $[S_{\beta(\sigma)-1}]$, either σ'

and σ'' are both in S , or both are outside S . It might be that there is no extension of σ lying in $[S_{\beta(\sigma)-1}]$. In that case, arbitrarily define $G(\sigma) = 0$. But if there are such extensions, let $G(\sigma) = 1$ if all of those extensions are in S , and let $G(\sigma) = 0$ if all of those extensions are outside S .

I claim G guesses S . To see this, let $f \in S$. I will show $G(f \upharpoonright n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f \notin [S_\infty]$, let i be as in Lemma 7. I claim $G(f \upharpoonright j) = 1$ whenever $j \geq i$. Fix $j \geq i$. We have $\beta(f \upharpoonright j) = \beta(f \upharpoonright i)$ by choice of i , and $f \in [S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright i)-1}] = [S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright j)-1}]$. By the previous paragraph, if any infinite sequence extends $f \upharpoonright j$ and lies in $[S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright j)-1}]$, then either all such sequences are in S , or all are outside S . One such sequence is f , and it is inside S , and therefore, all such sequences are inside S , whereby $G(f \upharpoonright j) = 1$ as desired.

Identical reasoning shows that if $f \notin S$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(f \upharpoonright n) = 0$. So G guesses S , S is guessable, and by Theorem 3, S is Δ_2^0 . $\square$

Theorem 9 S is Δ_2^0 if and only if $S_\infty = \emptyset$.

Proof By combining Propositions 4 and 8. $\square$

We will close by giving one more characterization of Δ_2^0 .

Theorem 10 S is Δ_2^0 if and only if $S = T \setminus [T_\infty]$ for some $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$.

Proof By Theorem 9, if S is Δ_2^0 then $S = S \setminus [S_\infty]$. For the converse, it suffices to let S be arbitrary and prove $S \setminus [S_\infty]$ is Δ_2^0 .

By Theorem 3, it is enough to exhibit a guesser $G : \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for $S \setminus [S_\infty]$. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$. If $\sigma \in S_\infty$, let $G(\sigma) = 0$. Otherwise, if σ has at least one infinite extension in $[S_{\beta(\sigma)-1}]$, and all such extensions are also in S , then let $G(\sigma) = 1$. In any other case, let $G(\sigma) = 0$.

We claim G guesses $S \setminus [S_\infty]$.

Case 1: $f \in S \setminus [S_\infty]$. By Lemma 7, find an i such that for all $j \geq i$ we have $f \upharpoonright j \notin S_\infty$ and $\beta(f \upharpoonright j) = \beta(f \upharpoonright i)$ and $f \in [S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright i)-1}]$. Thus for any $j \geq i$, $f \upharpoonright j$ does have one extension in $[S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright j)-1}]$, namely f itself, and f is in S . All other such extensions must also be in S , or else we would have $f \upharpoonright j \in S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright j)}$, violating the definition of β . So $G(f \upharpoonright j) = 1$, showing that $G(f \upharpoonright n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Case 2: $f \in [S_\infty]$. Then for every n , $f \upharpoonright n \in S_\infty$ and thus by definition $G(f \upharpoonright n) = 0$.

Case 3: $f \notin S$ and $f \notin [S_\infty]$. As in Case 1, find i such that for all $j \geq i$, $f \upharpoonright j \notin S_\infty$ and $\beta(f \upharpoonright j) = \beta(f \upharpoonright i)$, and $f \in [S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright i)-1}]$. For any $j \geq i$, $f \upharpoonright j$ has one extension in $[S_{\beta(f \upharpoonright j)-1}]$, namely f , and $f \notin S$; so by definition $G(f \upharpoonright j) = 0$. $\square$

References

- [1] Samuel Alexander (2011). On Guessing Whether a Sequence has a Certain Property. *The Journal of Integer Sequences* **14**.
- [2] Samuel Alexander (preprint). Highly lopsided information and the Borel hierarchy. arXiv: 1106.0196
- [3] Alexander Kechris (1995). Classical descriptive set theory. Springer.
- [4] William Wadge (1983). Reducibility and Determinateness on the Baire Space. PhD thesis, U.C. Berkeley.

231 W. 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210

alexander@math.ohio-state.edu

<http://www.math.osu.edu/~alexander>

Received: aa bb 20YY Revised: cc dd 20ZZ