

Cosmology and large mass hierarchy in multiply warped braneworld scenario

Narayan Banerjee¹

*Indian Institute for Science Education and Research, Kolkata
Mohanpur Campus
Mohanpur - 741252, Nadia
West Bengal, India*

Sayantani Lahiri²

*Relativity and Cosmology Centre
Department of Physics
Jadavpur University
Raja Subodh Chandra Mullick Road
Jadavpur
Kolkata- 700032, India*

Soumitra SenGupta³

*Department of Theoretical Physics
Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science
2A and 2B Raja Subodh Chandra Mullick Road, Jadavpur
Kolkata - 700 032, India*

Abstract

Hubble expansion in warped braneworld model is addressed in presence of more than one warped extra dimensions. It is shown that while the expansion depends on all the moduli, an exponential nature of the expansion of the scale factor emerges as a generic feature which is independent of the number of extra dimensions. Expression for the effective brane cosmological constant in such model has been derived. It is shown that similar to the 5-dimensional Randall-Sundrum model a fine tuning between the bulk cosmological constant and brane tension is required to obtain the desired cosmological constant on the brane. The length of the extra dimensions are determined in such scenario. Finally introducing pressureless matter in the bulk an observationally consistent cosmology was obtained on the visible brane.

¹E-mail: narayan@iiserkol.ac.in

²E-mail: sayantani.lahiri@gmail.com

³E-mail: tpssg@iacs.res.in

1 Introduction

The standard model of elementary particles, despite its spectacular success in explaining Physics up to TeV scale, bears an unsatisfactory feature of unnatural fine tuning problem in connection with the large radiative correction of mass of the Higgs scalar [1]. Extra dimensional models [2, 3, 4] successfully resolved this problem and at the same time invoked new Physics beyond the Standard model [5, 6, 7, 8]. The warped geometry model, proposed by Randall and Sundrum [3], is one of the significant steps towards this direction. Various phenomenological as well as cosmological implications of this model have been studied extensively during the past decade and many interesting predictions have been made which are to be tested in the forthcoming TeV scale and cosmological experiments. While the original Randall Sundrum model was formulated with one warped dimension, a generalisation of this model was proposed in the presence of more than one warped dimensions [9]. Such models give rise to additional new features which may be observed in the future collider experiments[10]. While some of the cosmological implications have already been studied in the context of 5-dimensional RS model [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17], an extensive investigation in the context of multiply warped model is yet to be done. In this paper we propose to study the features of Hubble expansion of the universe in the context of multiply warped model and explore new Physics at the cosmological scale to search for extra dimensions.

We begin with a brief description of the RS model and it's cosmological significance. Then we describe the multiply warped model to find the role of additional warped dimensions in respect to the expanding model of the universe.

2 Five dimensional Randall-Sundrum Model

Randall-Sundrum model [3] considers a five dimensional anti de-Sitter spacetime where the extra coordinate y is compactified on a S^1/Z_2 manifold. Two 3-branes are located at the orbifold fixed points $y = 0$ and $y = \pi$. The action for this model is given by :

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= S_{gravity} + S_{pl} + S_{vis} , \\
 S_{gravity} &= \int d^4x \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dy \sqrt{-g_5} \left(\frac{M_*^3}{2} R_5 - \Lambda_5 \right) \\
 S_{pl} &= \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_{pl}} [\mathcal{L}_1 - \lambda_1] . \\
 S_{vis} &= \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_{vis}} [\mathcal{L}_2 - \lambda_2]
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ are Lagrangian on the Planck brane and visible brane respectively while λ_1, λ_2 are corresponding brane tensions. The 5-dimensional bulk contains only the cosmological constant Λ_5 and M_* is the 5D Planck mass scale.

The geometry of the 5D spacetime is $[M^{1,3} \times S^1/Z_2]$ with a metric ansatz,

$$ds^2 = e^{-2\sigma(y)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + b_0^2 dy^2 . \tag{2}$$

Here $\eta_{\mu\nu} = diag(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ is the four dimensional Minkowski metric. b_0 is the radius of S^1 and y is an angular co-ordinate running from 0 to π . Therefore the proper length of the extra dimension is $L_y = b_0\pi$.

Solution of the 5D Einstein's equation corresponding to this metric incorporating Z_2 symmetry, yields

$$\sigma = b_0|y|k \tag{3}$$

where $k = \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_5}{6M^3}}$.

In the RS scenario, the relation between bulk cosmological constant Λ_5 and brane tensions λ_1 and λ_2 is as follows :

$$k = k_1 = -k_2 \quad (4)$$

where $k = \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_5}{6M^3}}$, $k_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{6M^3}$ ($i = 1, 2$)

The solution given by eqn.(3) suggests that the bulk is five dimensional AdS spacetime with negative bulk cosmological constant Λ_5 such that the warped solution is obtained. The 3-brane situated at $y = 0$ suffers no warping and is called the hidden brane while the Standard model 3-brane located at $y = \pi$, is exponentially warped. The brane tensions on the two 3-branes as seen from eqn.(4) are identical in magnitude but the brane tension turns out to be positive on the hidden brane and negative on the Standard Model brane. Subsequently it was shown that the brane separation modulus b_0 can be stabilized by introducing a bulk scalar field [18] without further unnatural fine-tuning. The effective 4D visible brane cosmological constant is [19]

$$\Lambda_4^{eff} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa_5^2 \left(\Lambda_5 + \frac{1}{6} \kappa_5^2 \lambda_2^2 \right) \quad (5)$$

where κ_5 is related to the 5-dimensional gravitational constant and λ_2 is the brane tension of the four dimensional visible world. Using the expression of the brane tension λ_i , the induced cosmological constant on both the branes in the Randall-Sundrum model turns out to be zero thereby making each of them flat which can be observed particularly for visible brane from eqn.(5) using the expression for k_2 . Due to warped geometry, the physical mass on the visible brane suffers an exponential warping,

$$m = e^{-kb_0\pi} m_0 \quad (6)$$

Thus mass of Higgs scalar is always exponentially warped on the Standard Model brane. With $kb_0 \simeq 11.5$ one can achieve the desired Planck to TeV scale warping without introducing any unnatural fine tuning. In this model the four dimensional Planck mass scale M_{pl} is related to five dimensional Planck scale as,

$$M_{pl}^2 = \frac{M_*^3}{k} (1 - e^{-2kb_0\pi}) \quad (7)$$

3 Inflation in five dimensional spacetime

We briefly discuss how inflation [20] in our Universe can arise from its embedding in a five dimensional warped geometry $[M^{1,3} \times S^1/Z_2]$ with AdS bulk. Our Universe is a 3-brane located at $y = \pi$ while the other brane situating at the orbifold point $y = 0$ is the Planck brane. The 3-space of the visible universe is assumed to be homogeneous and isotropic and is also taken to be flat. In this five dimensional model its time evolution is governed by a scale factor, $R(y, t) = f(y)v(t)$ which smoothly converges to Randall- Sundrum model in the appropriate static limit. The action for the above set up is given by eqn.(1). The metric ansatz for such a warped cosmological model is given by :

$$ds^2 = f^2(y)[-dt^2 + v^2(t)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j] + b_0^2 dy^2 \quad (8)$$

where b_0 is a constant.

The proper length along the direction of the compact co-ordinate y is : $L_5 = b_0\pi$. In the absence of inflation one receives the static limit of RS model given by eqn.(4).

In this scenario we additionally introduce energy densities i.e. ρ on the 3-branes. As a result from (tt) and (ii) components of Einstein's equations we find :

$$v(t) = e^{H_0 t} \quad (9)$$

where H_0 is the Hubble's constant. Now from (55) component of Einstein's equation one can obtain the solution for $f(y)$ in terms of k and H_0 consistent with Z_2 symmetry as :

$$f(y) = \frac{H_0}{k} \sinh(-kb_0|y| + d_0) \quad (10)$$

where d_0 is the constant of integration.

The resulting cosmological warped metric therefore becomes :

$$ds^2 = \left(\frac{H_0}{k}\right)^2 \sinh^2(-kb_0|y| + d_0) [-dt^2 + e^{2H_0 t} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j] + b_0^2 dy^2 \quad (11)$$

This metric describes inflation of the spatial three dimensions. In the static limit when $H_0 \rightarrow 0$ and $d_0 \rightarrow \infty$ with the ratio $\left(\frac{H_0}{2k}\right)^2 e^{2d_0} \rightarrow 1$, the metric coefficient becomes that of static RS metric. Using the boundary conditions, the brane tensions on the two 3-branes are :

$$k_1 = k \coth(d_0) \quad (12)$$

$$-k_2 = k \coth(-kb_0\pi + d_0) \quad (13)$$

which also reduce to eqn.(4) in the static limit.

In the non-static scenario, the effective 4D cosmological constant on the visible brane is now non-zero. However in the static limit when $H_0 = 0$ we retrieve $\Lambda_4^{eff} = 0$ [using eqn.(5)]. Moreover, the non-static solutions given by eqn.(12) and eqn.(13), are satisfied only when $k < k_1 < -k_2$.

Now once again using eqn.(12) and eqn.(13) the length of the extra dimension L_5 can be written in terms of k_1, k_2 and k . The resulting expression for L_5 turns out to be :

$$L_5 = b_0\pi = \frac{1}{2k} \ln \left[\frac{-k_2 - k}{k_1 - k} \frac{k_1 + k}{-k_2 + k} \right] \quad (14)$$

As we can see from the above equation, in the inflationary Universe the length of the extra dimension is kept fixed, which in turn requires fine tuning between bulk cosmological constant and brane tensions. This fine-tuning feature also exists in the original RS model that produces a vanishing effective cosmological constant on the 3-branes. However, it may be noted that in the static limit one cannot express L_5 in terms of Λ_5 and λ_i 's.

Now the effective four dimensional metric can be obtained from six-dimensional metric eqn.(11) by an appropriate co-ordinate transformation which becomes :

$$ds_4^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2H(y)t} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \quad (15)$$

where Hubble parameter is now given as :

$$H(y) = k \operatorname{cosech}(-kb_0|y| + d_0) \quad (16)$$

At the boundaries, i.e. on $y = 0$ and $y = \pi$ orbifold fixed points, the values of Hubble parameter are : $H(0) = \sqrt{k_1^2 - k^2}$

$$H(\pi) = \sqrt{k_2^2 - k^2}$$

On the Standard model brane, when our Universe is evolving with time, its non-zero Hubble parameter is expressed as fine tuning between bulk cosmological constant Λ_5 and visible brane tension λ_2 given by the relation,

$$H(\pi) = \sqrt{k_2^2 - k^2} \lesssim 10^{-61} M_{pl} \quad (17)$$

It is easy to show that the static limit, $k_2 = k$ yields $H(\pi) = 0$. The size of the extra dimension can be written as the ratio of Hubble parameters. In this model the problem related to fine-tuning of Higgs mass is resolved at the expense of more severe fine tuning between bulk cosmological constant and brane tension of the Planck brane.

$$H(0) = \sqrt{k_1^2 - k^2} \times 10^{-16} \Rightarrow H(0) \lesssim 10^{-77} M_{pl} \quad (18)$$

We now examine all the issues related to inflation in warped geometry in the presence of a multiply warped spacetime which results from a natural generalisation of the five dimensional Randall-Sundrum model.

4 Six-dimensional doubly warped geometry

In order to generalise the Randall-Sundrum model to six dimensions [21] one can consider the doubly warped spacetime as $M^{1,5} \rightarrow [M^{1,3} \times S^1/Z_2] \times S^1/Z_2$ with AdS ($\Lambda_B < 0$) bulk spacetime. The non-compact co-ordinates x^μ run over usual four dimensional spacetime while y, z are compactified angular co-ordinates. Such a geometry gives rise to a brane-box like spacetime in which the 4-branes are placed at the orbifold fixed points namely at $y = 0, \pi$ and $z = 0, \pi$. There are four 3-branes which exist at the intersection of two 4-branes.

Metric ansatz :

$$ds^2 = b^2(z)[a^2(y)\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + b_0^2 dy^2] + c_0^2 dz^2$$

The total bulk-brane action is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_6 + S_5 + S_4 \\ S_6 &= \int d^4x dy dz \sqrt{-g_6} \left(\frac{M^4}{2} R_6 - \Lambda_B \right) \\ S_5 &= - \int d^4x dy dz [\lambda_1 \delta(y) + \lambda_2 \delta(y - \pi)] \sqrt{-g_5} \\ &\quad - \int d^4x dy dz [\lambda_3 \delta(z) + \lambda_4 \delta(z - \pi)] \sqrt{-\tilde{g}_5} \\ S_4 &= \int d^4x dy dz \sqrt{-g} [\mathcal{L} - \lambda] . \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Note that, the brane potential terms $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(z)$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(z)$ whereas $\lambda_3 = \lambda_3(y)$, $\lambda_4 = \lambda_4(y)$ can in principle be the functions of y and z . The term S_4 corresponds to the 3-branes placed at $(y, z) = (0, 0), (0, \pi), (\pi, 0), (\pi, \pi)$.

On substituting the metric and solving six-dimensional Einstein's equations and further imposing Z_2 symmetry, the warp factors turn out to be :

$$a(y) = e^{-c|y|} \quad (20)$$

$$b(z) = \frac{\cosh(kz)}{\cosh(k\pi)} \quad (21)$$

where

$$c = \frac{b_0 k}{c_0 \cosh(k\pi)} \quad (22)$$

$$k = c_0 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \quad (23)$$

Solving Einstein's equations the solution for the warped metric is given by :

$$ds^2 = \frac{\cosh^2(kz)}{\cosh^2(k\pi)} \left[\exp(-2c|y|) \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + b_0^2 dy^2 \right] + c_0^2 dz^2 . \quad (24)$$

Now two of the 4-brane tensions on the 4-branes $y = 0$, $y = \pi$ are co-ordinate dependent and are given by :

$$\lambda_1(z) = -\lambda_2(z) = 8M^2 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10}} \operatorname{sech}(kz) \quad (25)$$

while on 4-branes located at $z = 0$ and $z = \pi$, the brane tensions are respectively :

$$\lambda_3(y) = 0 \quad (26)$$

$$\lambda_4(y) = \frac{-8M^4 k}{c_0} \tanh(k\pi) \quad (27)$$

From these, the 3-brane placed at $y = 0$, $z = \pi$ suffers minimum warping and is called the Planck brane with brane tension

$$\lambda_{Planck} = 8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} [\operatorname{sech}(k\pi) - \tanh(k\pi)] \quad (28)$$

The visible 3-brane located at $y = \pi$, $z = 0$ has brane tension,

$$\lambda_{vis} = -8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \quad (29)$$

The Planck scale mass m_0 is now warped to

$$m = m_0 \frac{e^{-c\pi}}{\cosh(k\pi)} \quad (30)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{e^{-c\pi}}{\cosh(k\pi)} \approx 10^{-16} \quad (31)$$

on the visible brane.

It is observed from eqn.(22) that one cannot have equal amount of warping along both the compact co-ordinates without introducing large hierarchy between the two moduli b_0 and c_0 . An important phenomenological consequence of the model is a possible explanation of the mass hierarchy of the Standard model fermions on the brane. The Standard model fields in each of these 3-branes have mass-scales close to TeV with some splitting among them.

5 Inflation in six-dimensional doubly warped spacetime

In the present work, we explore cosmological implications of a six-dimensional spacetime in which both the extra dimensions are compactified on circles with Z_2 orbifolding, thus giving rise to a doubly warped spacetime as discussed in the previous section [21]. In such a spacetime S^1/Z_2 orbifoldings are not independently implemented but are performed successively, so that with every warping one warped compact dimension gets further warped. One can extend the procedure incorporating several extra dimensions leading to a multiply warped spacetime. However, we shall first study the inflation in the background of doubly warped spacetime. The manifold under consideration is : $M^{1,5} \rightarrow [M^{1,3} \times S^1/Z_2] \times S^1/Z_2$.

5.1 Set up

We consider a $(5 + 1)$ dimensional AdS bulk where two successive S^1/Z_2 orbifoldings along the two extra dimensions lead to a brane-box like spacetime, whose walls are 4-dimensional branes. Each of the 4-branes are situated at the orbifold fixed points namely, $y = 0, \pi$ and $z = 0, \pi$. At the intersection region of two such 4-branes, a 3-brane is formed. Therefore four such 3-branes reside on the points:

$$(y, z) : (0, 0), (0, \pi), (\pi, 0), (\pi, \pi)$$

As we are interested to study cosmological behaviour, we consider our Universe (i.e. one of the 3-branes) to be homogeneous and isotropic and for simplicity we take it spatially flat 3-space. In this model the time evolution of 3-universe is governed by the scale factor which naturally is a function of both the compact co-ordinates y, z .

Notations :

The non-compact co-ordinates : x^μ where $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Bulk indices : M, N run over $M, N = \mu, y, z$.

$\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ run over x^μ and y while α, β run over x^μ and z .

Cosmological metric ansatz :

$$ds^2 = b^2(z)[a^2(y)(-dt^2 + v^2(t)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j) + b_0^2 dy^2] + c_0^2 dz^2 \quad (32)$$

where b_0 and c_0 are the moduli along the compact co-ordinates y and z respectively which in our proposed model are taken as constants.

The scale factor can easily be identified as $R(t, y, z) = v(t) a(y) b(z)$

The six-dimensional bulk-brane action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_6 + S_5 + S_4 \\ S_6 &= \int d^4x dy dz \sqrt{-g_6} \left(\frac{M^4}{2} R_6 - \Lambda_B \right) \\ S_5 &= \int d^4x dy dz \left\{ \sqrt{-g_5} [\mathcal{L}_1 - \lambda_1(z)] \delta(y) + \sqrt{-g_5} [\mathcal{L}_2 - \lambda_2(z)] \delta(y - \pi) \right\} \\ &+ \int d^4x dy dz \left\{ \sqrt{-\tilde{g}_5} [\dot{\mathcal{L}}_3 - \lambda_3(y)] \delta(z) + \sqrt{-\tilde{g}_5} [\dot{\mathcal{L}}_4 - \lambda_4(y)] \delta(z - \pi) \right\} \\ S_4 &= \int d^4x dy dz \sqrt{-g} [\mathcal{L} - \lambda] . \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Here, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ and λ_4 are co-ordinate dependent brane tensions. $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ are the Lagrangian of matter at $y = \text{constant}$ 4-branes, while $\dot{\mathcal{L}}_3, \dot{\mathcal{L}}_4$ are the Lagrangian of matter at $z = \text{constant}$ 4-branes. S_4 gives the contribution of 3-branes.

Since we are interested in cosmology, we assume the matter content on the 4-branes as well as on

3-branes to be perfect fluids described by energy density ρ and fluid pressure p in addition to the presence of corresponding brane tensions as have been discussed earlier.

By varying the action S the full six dimensional Einstein's equation is obtained as,

$$\begin{aligned}
-M^4 \sqrt{-g_6} \left(R_{MN} - \frac{R_6}{2} g_{MN} \right) &= \Lambda_B \sqrt{-g_6} g_{MN} \\
&- \left[\left(T_{\beta}^{\gamma} g_{\alpha\gamma} \right)_1 + \left(T_{\beta}^{\gamma} g_{\alpha\gamma} \right)_2 \right] \sqrt{-g_5} \delta_M^{\alpha} \delta_N^{\beta} \\
&- \left[\left(T_{\tilde{\beta}}^{\tilde{\gamma}} \tilde{g}_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\gamma}} \right)_3 + \left(T_{\tilde{\beta}}^{\tilde{\gamma}} \tilde{g}_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\gamma}} \right)_4 \right] \sqrt{-\tilde{g}_5} \delta_M^{\tilde{\alpha}} \delta_N^{\tilde{\beta}}
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

g, \tilde{g} are the respective metrics in these (4+1)-dimensional subspaces.

Energy-momentum tensor on the 4 + 1 branes :

$$\left(T_{\beta}^{\gamma} \right)_1 = \text{diag} [-\rho_1(z) - \lambda_1(z), p_1(z) - \lambda_1(z), p_1(z) - \lambda_1(z), p_1(z) - \lambda_1(z), 0, p_1(z) - \lambda_1(z)] \delta(y) \tag{35}$$

$$\left(T_{\beta}^{\gamma} \right)_2 = \text{diag} [-\rho_2(z) - \lambda_2(z), p_2(z) - \lambda_2(z), p_2(z) - \lambda_2(z), p_2(z) - \lambda_2(z), 0, p_2(z) - \lambda_2(z)] \delta(y - \pi) \tag{36}$$

$$\left(T_{\tilde{\beta}}^{\tilde{\gamma}} \right)_3 = \text{diag} [-\rho_3(y) - \lambda_3(y), p_3(z) - \lambda_3(y), p_3(y) - \lambda_3(y), p_3(y) - \lambda_3(y), p_3(y) - \lambda_3(y), 0] \delta(z) \tag{37}$$

$$\left(T_{\tilde{\beta}}^{\tilde{\gamma}} \right)_4 = \text{diag} [-\rho_4(y) - \lambda_4(y), p_4(z) - \lambda_4(y), p_4(y) - \lambda_4(y), p_4(y) - \lambda_4(y), p_4(y) - \lambda_4(y), 0] \delta(z - \pi) \tag{38}$$

Substituting the metric, the various components of Einstein's equations yield :

tt component :

$$\begin{aligned}
-M^4 \left[\frac{-3aa''}{b_0^2} - \frac{4a^2 b \bar{b}}{c_0^2} - \frac{3a'^2}{b_0^2} - \frac{6a^2 \bar{b}^2}{c_0^2} + \frac{3v^2}{v^2} \right] (b_0 c_0) &= -\Lambda_B (b^2 a^2 b_0 c_0) \\
&- \{(\rho_1 + \lambda_1) \delta(y)\} (ba^2 c_0) \\
&- \{(\rho_2 + \lambda_2) \delta(y - \pi)\} (ba^2 c_0) \\
&- \{(\rho_3 + \lambda_3) \delta(z)\} (b^2 a^2 b_0) \\
&- \{(\rho_4 + \lambda_4) \delta(z - \pi)\} (b^2 a^2 b_0)
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

ii component :

$$\begin{aligned}
-M^4 \left[-v^2 + \frac{3a'^2 v^2}{b_0^2} + \frac{3av^2 a''}{b_0^2} + \frac{6a^2 \bar{b}^2 v^2}{c_0^2} + \frac{4a^2 v^2 b \bar{b}}{c_0^2} - 2v\ddot{v} \right] (b_0 c_0) &= \Lambda_B (b^2 a^2 v^2 b_0 c_0) \\
&+ \{(\lambda_1 - p_1) \delta(y)\} (ba^2 v^2 c_0) \\
&+ \{(\lambda_2 - p_2) \delta(y - \pi)\} (ba^2 v^2 c_0) \\
&+ \{(\lambda_3 - p_3) \delta(z)\} (b^2 a^2 v^2 b_0) \\
&+ \{(\lambda_4 - p_4) \delta(z - \pi)\} (b^2 a^2 v^2 b_0)
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

yy component :

$$\begin{aligned}
-M^4 \left[\frac{6b_0^2 \bar{b}^2}{c_0^2} + \frac{4b b_0^2 \bar{b}}{c_0^2} + \frac{6a'^2}{a^2} - \frac{3b_0^2 \dot{v}^2}{a^2 v^2} - \frac{3b_0^2 \ddot{v}}{a^2 v} \right] c_0 &= \Lambda_B (b^2 b_0^2 c_0) \\
&+ \{(\lambda_3 - p_3) \delta(z)\} (b^2 b_0^2) \\
&+ \{(\lambda_4 - p_4) \delta(z - \pi)\} (b^2 b_0^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

zz component :

$$\begin{aligned}
-M^4 \left[\frac{-3 c_0^2 \dot{v}^2}{a^2 b^2 v^2} + \frac{10\bar{b}^2}{b^2} + \frac{6 c_0^2 a'^2}{b^2 b_0^2 a^2} + \frac{4 c_0^2 a''}{b_0^2 b^2 a} - \frac{3c_0^2 \ddot{v}}{a^2 b^2 v} \right] (b b_0) &= \Lambda_B (b b_0 c_0^2) \\
&+ (\lambda_1 - p_1) \delta(y) c_0^2 \\
&+ (\lambda_2 - p_2) \delta(y - \pi) c_0^2
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where dots represent differentiation with respect to t , primes represent differentiation with respect to y and bar denotes differentiation with respect to z .

Determination of the function $v(t)$:

From (tt) and (ii) components of Einstein's equation we get,

$$2(-M^4) b_0 c_0 (\dot{v}^2 - v \ddot{v}) = 0 \tag{43}$$

which on solving gives,

$$v(t) = A e^{H_0 t} \tag{44}$$

where A is an integration constant and H_0 identified as Hubble's constant. Interestingly, the form of the solution in eqn. (44) does not depend on the number of extra spatial dimensions.

Determination of the warp factors $a(y)$ and $b(z)$:

To determine the warp factors, we substitute eqn. (44) in yy component which reduces to,

$$(-M^4) c_0 \left[\frac{6b_0^2 \bar{b}^2}{c_0^2} + \frac{4b b_0^2 \bar{b}}{c_0^2} + \frac{6a'^2}{a^2} - \frac{3b_0^2 H_0^2}{a^2} - \frac{3b_0^2 H_0^2}{a^2} \right] = (b^2 b_0^2) [\Lambda_B c_0 + (\lambda_3 - p_3) \delta(z) + (\lambda_4 - p_4) \delta(z - \pi)] \tag{45}$$

Starting with the bulk part of eqn.(45) and rearranging terms we get,

$$\left(\frac{a'^2}{a^2} - \frac{b_0^2 H_0^2}{a^2} \right) = d^2 = -b_0^2 \left[\frac{\bar{b}^2}{c_0^2} + \frac{2b \bar{b}}{3c_0^2} + \frac{b^2 \Lambda_B}{6M^4} \right] \tag{46}$$

where d is an arbitrary constant. We thus obtain two separate equations :

$$\left(\frac{a'^2}{a^2} - \frac{b_0^2 H_0^2}{a^2} \right) = d^2 \tag{47}$$

$$b_0^2 \left[\frac{\bar{b}^2}{c_0^2} + \frac{2b \bar{b}}{3c_0^2} + \frac{b^2 \Lambda_B}{6M^4} \right] = -d^2 \tag{48}$$

The solution of the eqn.(47) consistent with the Z_2 symmetry gives warp factor along the compact y co-ordinate as :

$$a(y) = \frac{b_0 H_0}{d} \sinh(-d|y| + d_0) \tag{49}$$

where d_0 is the constant of integration.

Solving eqn.(48) gives the warp factor along the z co-ordinate as,

$$b(z) = \frac{\cosh(kz)}{\cosh(k\pi)} \quad (50)$$

with

$$d = \frac{b_0 k}{c_0 \cosh(k\pi)}, \quad k = c_0 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \quad (51)$$

Both the solutions of $a(y)$ and $b(z)$ give the bulk solution of the geometry. It should be noted that once again the five dimensional solution discussed in section 3 can be recovered in the limit $c_0 = 0$ and $b(z) = 1$ [see eqn. (8)]. In the static limit : $d_0 \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{H_0 \cosh(k\pi)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}}} e^{d_0} \rightarrow 1$, one obtains

the warp factor $a(y)$ as in eqn.(20). It may be noted that the functional form of $b(z)$ is independent of time and is identical to the static solution. The resulting six dimensional metric now becomes,

$$ds^2 = \frac{\cosh^2(kz)}{\cosh^2(k\pi)} \frac{(b_0 H_0)^2}{d^2} \sinh^2(-d|y| + d_0) \left[-dt^2 + e^{2H_0 t} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \right] + \frac{\cosh^2(kz)}{\cosh^2(k\pi)} b_0^2 dy^2 + c_0^2 dz^2 \quad (52)$$

The above metric describes an inflationary model for the spatial three dimensions in a six-dimensional doubly warped spacetime.

5.2 Pressures and Brane tensions on 3-branes

Substituting eqn.(49), eqn.(50) and eqn.(51) in G_{zz} component of Einstein's equation and integrating over an infinitesimal interval across the two boundaries at $y = 0$, $y = \pi$, we obtain respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} [-p_1(z) + \lambda_1(z)]|_{y=0} &= 8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \coth(d_0) \operatorname{sech}(kz) \\ [-p_2(z) + \lambda_2(z)]|_{y=\pi} &= -8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \coth(-d\pi + d_0) \operatorname{sech}(kz) \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

We find the 4-branes located at $y = 0$ and $y = \pi$ have z -dependent brane tensions. At $y = \text{constant}$ 4-branes in the static limit when $p_1 = p_2 = 0$, $d_0 \rightarrow \infty$ and $H_0 \rightarrow 0$ the brane tensions become equal and opposite.

Similarly, using eqn.(49), eqn.(50) and eqn.(51) in G_{yy} component of Einstein's equation and integrating over an infinitesimal interval across the two boundaries at $z = 0$, $z = \pi$ respectively we get,

$$[-p_3(y) + \lambda_3(y)]|_{z=0} = 0, \quad [-p_4(y) + \lambda_4(y)]|_{z=\pi} = -8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \tanh(k\pi) \quad (54)$$

Now, each of the 3-branes lie at the intersection region of two 4-branes and their brane tensions, pressures and energy densities, to the leading order, are the algebraic sum of these quantities of two such 4-branes. The Standard model 3-brane that is located at $y = \pi, z = 0$ therefore has,

$$-p_{vis} + \lambda_{vis} = -8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \coth(-d\pi + d_0) \quad (55)$$

On the other hand, Planck brane is identified as the 3-brane situated at $y = 0, z = \pi$. Hence,

$$-p_{pl} + \lambda_{pl} = 8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} [\coth(d_0) \operatorname{sech}(k\pi) - \tanh(k\pi)] \quad (56)$$

Once again one can readily retrieve the brane tensions of the static six-dimensional doubly warped spacetime by going to the static limit : $d_0 \rightarrow \infty$. and $p_{pl} = 0$.

The combinations of pressures and brane tensions on the other two 3-branes located at $y = 0, z = 0$ and at $y = \pi, z = \pi$ can similarly be obtained as,

$$-p(0,0) + \lambda(0,0) = 8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \coth(d_0) \quad (57)$$

$$-p(\pi,\pi) + \lambda(\pi,\pi) = -8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} [\coth(-d\pi + d_0) \operatorname{sech}(k\pi) + \tanh(k\pi)] \quad (58)$$

5.3 Hubble parameter in 6D doubly warped spacetime

We now find that on the 4D world the Hubble parameter as a function of both the compact co-ordinates. Following [20], by a suitable co-ordinate transformation eqn.(52) can be recast as :

$$ds_4^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2H(y,z)t} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \quad (59)$$

where the effective 4D Hubble parameter is

$$H(y,z) = \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \operatorname{sech}(kz) \operatorname{cosech}(-d|y| + d_0) \quad (60)$$

On the visible brane ($y = \pi, z = 0$) :

$$H(\pi,0) \equiv H_{vis} = \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \operatorname{cosech}\left(-\frac{b_0 k \pi}{c_0 \cosh(k\pi)} + d_0\right) \quad (61)$$

On the Planck brane ($y = 0, z = \pi$) :

$$H(0,\pi) \equiv H_{pl} = \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \operatorname{sec}(k\pi) \operatorname{cosech}(d_0) \quad (62)$$

However, the present universe is dominated by cosmological constant whose equation of state is :

$$\rho_{vis} = -p_{vis} = \Lambda_{vis} \quad (63)$$

Now, eqn. (55) can also be written as,

$$\lambda_{vis} + \Lambda_{vis} = -8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \coth(-d\pi + d_0) \quad (64)$$

But eqn.(64) can be written as

$$\lambda_{vis} = -\Lambda_{vis} - 8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \coth(-d\pi + d_0) \quad (65)$$

which shows Standard model brane possesses negative tension. After squaring eqn.(65) we neglect Λ_{vis}^2 and for $\Lambda_B < 0$ we finally obtain

$$\Lambda_{vis} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\lambda_{vis}^2}{(8M^4) \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \coth(-d\pi + d_0)} - 8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \coth(-d\pi + d_0) \right] \quad (66)$$

It may be noted that eqn.(66) is the generalisation of eqn.(5) extended to the non-static scenario of six-dimensional doubly warped spacetime.

In the Randall Sundrum model the induced cosmological constant on the visible brane is zero thereby making it a flat brane which happens due to exact cancellation between bulk cosmological constant and corresponding brane tension. However, the presence of a negative pressure in our universe allows it to expand exponentially. As a result now the brane tension of the visible brane does not counter balance the contribution of the bulk cosmological constant exactly thus inducing a net positive cosmological constant on our universe. The shift in the value of brane tension from its static value in the presence of additional matter is $\delta\lambda_{vis}$ and therefore we write,

$$\delta\lambda_{vis} = \lambda_{vis} - \lambda_{vis}|_{(static)} \quad (67)$$

where,

$$\lambda_{vis}|_{(static)} = -8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \quad (68)$$

Then eqn.(65) becomes

$$\lambda_{vis} = -\Lambda_{vis} + \lambda_{vis}|_{(static)}(1 + \varepsilon) \quad (69)$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta\lambda_{vis} = -\Lambda_{vis} + \varepsilon \lambda_{vis}|_{(static)} \quad (70)$$

where ε is a small positive quantity in excess of unity that appears only in the non-static case. Now squaring eqn.(64) and using eqn.(67), eqn.(68) and further neglecting $\delta\lambda_{vis}^2$ and Λ_{vis}^2 [as $\delta\lambda_{vis}^2$ and Λ_{vis}^2 are extremely small quantities] we obtain,

$$\delta\lambda_{vis} = \frac{(8M^4)^2 H_{vis}^2 - 2\Lambda_{vis} \lambda_{vis}|_{(static)}}{2[\lambda_{vis}|_{(static)} + \Lambda_{vis}]} \quad (71)$$

Estimation: (in Planckian unit)

The present Hubble parameter on our universe is given by :

$$H_{vis} = 1.233 \times 10^{-61} M_{pl} \quad (72)$$

and the present cosmological constant is known to be :

$$\Lambda_{vis} = 10^{-124} M_{pl}^2 \quad (73)$$

Substituting all these values in eqn. (71) we find

$$\delta\lambda_{vis} = -1.923 \times 10^{-121} \quad (74)$$

showing $\delta\lambda_{vis}$ is a negative quantity and hence we must have $\lambda_{vis} < \lambda_{vis}|_{static}$. This indicates that the static situation of the universe i.e. when eqn.(4) is exactly valid, is highly unstable. With a shift from the static condition, a value as small as of the order of 10^{-121} is enough for the universe to switch into a non-static system that expands exponentially as the time passes by.

5.4 Length of extra dimensions

Let us now determine the size of extra dimensions L_y and L_z along the compact co-ordinates y and z respectively. The proper distance of the extra dimensions between $y = 0$ to $y = \pi$ and $z = 0$ to $z = \pi$ are

$$L_y = \int_0^\pi \sqrt{g_{55}} dy = b(z)b_0\pi \quad (75)$$

$$L_z = \int_0^\pi \sqrt{g_{66}} dz = c_0 \pi \quad (76)$$

We note that L_y depends on the extent of warping of compact z co-ordinate. This means an observer sitting at $z = 0$ orbifolded fixed point will find L_y different from an observer present at 4-brane located at $z = \pi$. This feature is a consequence of successive warpings of the spacetime. As the number of extra spatial dimensions increases, the proper lengths get warped even more except one. It would not arise if we had considered independent warping of spacetime : $[M^{1,3} \times S^1/Z_2 \times S^1/Z_2]$. Let us now express L_y in terms of brane tensions and pressures. On the visible brane $b(z = 0) = \frac{1}{\cosh(k\pi)}$. Using eqn.(55) and eqn.(57), the length of compact y co-ordinate between the interval 0 to π turns out to be :

$$L_y = \frac{b_0 \pi}{\cosh(k\pi)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}}} \ln \left[\frac{\left(\frac{-p_{vis} + \lambda_{vis}}{8M^4} + \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \right) \left(\frac{-p(0,0) + \lambda(0,0)}{8M^4} + \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \right)}{\left(\frac{-p_{vis} + \lambda_{vis}}{8M^4} - \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \right) \left(\frac{-p(0,0) + \lambda(0,0)}{8M^4} - \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \right)} \right] \quad (77)$$

By similar procedure L_y measured from the Planck brane $b(z = 0) = 1$ is,

$$L_y = b_0 \pi = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\cosh(k\pi)}{\sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}}} \ln \left[\frac{\left(\frac{-p_{vis} + \lambda_{vis}}{8M^4} + \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \right) \left(\frac{-p(0,0) + \lambda(0,0)}{8M^4} + \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \right)}{\left(\frac{-p_{vis} + \lambda_{vis}}{8M^4} - \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \right) \left(\frac{-p(0,0) + \lambda(0,0)}{8M^4} - \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \right)} \right] \quad (78)$$

L_y determined from eqn. (78) is the proper length of compactified y co-ordinate as observed from the Planck brane.

It may be noted from eqn.(75) and eqn.(76) that L_y cannot be determined independently of L_z . This feature is again a result of geometry of spacetime that is doubly warped in succession.

Similarly, the size of the extra dimensions along the z co-ordinate can be expressed in terms of brane tensions and pressures by subtracting eqn.(58) from eqn.(56) and using eqn.(55) and eqn.(57) :

$$L_z = c_0 \pi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}}} \operatorname{sech}^{-1} \left[\frac{-p_{pl} + p(\pi, \pi) - \lambda(\pi, \pi) + \lambda_{pl}}{-p(0,0) + p_{vis} - \lambda_{vis} + \lambda(0,0)} \right] \quad (79)$$

5.5 Extent of warping on the visible brane

We examine the status of gauge hierarchy problem in our scenario. We consider the action of a free scalar propagating on the visible brane

$$S_H = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_{vis}} \left[g_{vis}^{\mu\nu} D_\mu H D_\nu H - m_0^2 H^2 \right], \quad (80)$$

the Planck scale mass m_0 is warped to

$$m = m_0 \frac{b_0 H_0}{d} \sinh \left(-\frac{b_0 k \pi}{c_0 \cosh k \pi} + d_0 \right) \frac{1}{\cosh(k\pi)} e^{\frac{3}{4} H_0 t} \quad (81)$$

Near the static limit, it reduces to

$$m = m_0 \frac{\exp(-d\pi)}{\cosh(k\pi)} e^{\frac{3}{4} H_0 t} \quad (82)$$

This ratio shows there cannot be equal warping in y and z directions simultaneously. If there is substantial warping along y - direction, in order to avoid a new undesirable hierarchy between b_0 and c_0 , one must have small warping along z -direction and vice-versa. Further when we consider the present age of the universe, which is close to $t = 13.4 \times 10^9$ years then $e^{\frac{3}{4}H_0 t} = 2.06298$. It may be noted that in the cosmological time scale $e^{\frac{3}{4}H_0 t}$ has negligibly small variation.

5.6 Estimation of length of extra dimension near static limit in doubly warped spacetime

Since $\frac{m}{m_0} \approx 10^{-16}$, eqn.(82) can be written as :

$$d\pi = \frac{b_0 k \pi}{c_0 \cosh(k\pi)} = -\ln \left[\frac{\cosh(k\pi) \times 10^{-16}}{2.06298} \right] \quad (83)$$

We have already mentioned that in order to avoid large hierarchy between the moduli b_0 and c_0 , we require unequal warping along the two extra dimensions. For example we consider little warping along the compact z co-ordinate a large warping along y is necessary. In this situation we must have small value of k such that $k \leq 1$. The hierarchy between the moduli is minimum when $k \sim 0.1$ and from eqn.(83) this ratio is,

$$\frac{b_0}{c_0} = 125.419 \quad (84)$$

Also from eqn.(83),

$$d = 11.948 \quad (85)$$

On visible brane ($y = \pi, z = 0$) using eqn.(51), eqn.(75) and eqn.(76) we can write,

$$\frac{d}{k} = \frac{L_y}{L_z} \quad (86)$$

and therefore for $k = 0.1$

$$\frac{L_y}{L_z} = \frac{b_0}{c_0} \times \text{sech}(0.314) = 119.480 \quad (87)$$

This implies $L_y = 119.48 L_z$ and $b_0 = 125.419 c_0$. Thus there is a little hierarchy of order two between the two moduli. It may be noticed that a small hierarchy of order one also exists in the original RS model due to the choice $kr \sim 11.5$. Just as the modulus is stabilised in such model by introducing a scalar field in the bulk with appropriate vacuum expectation values (vev) at the two boundaries [18], here also both the moduli can be stabilised by employing two independent scalar fields in the bulk with absolute vev at the boundaries.

5.7 Expressing Gauge hierarchy problem in terms of ratio of Hubble parameters

We have seen in section 2 that in five dimensions the non-zero Hubble parameter on the visible brane has been expressed as a fine tuning condition between visible brane tension λ_2 and bulk cosmological constant Λ_5 . In the six dimensional doubly warped brane world scenario H_{vis} can be expressed in terms of fine tuning between λ_{vis} , p_{vis} and six-dimensional bulk cosmological constant Λ_B . So we can write H_{vis} as,

$$H_{vis} = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_{vis} + \Lambda_{vis})^2}{64M^8} - \left(\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4} \right)} = 1.233 \times 10^{-61} M_{pl} \quad (88)$$

The ratio of Planck brane to visible brane Hubble parameter is,

$$\frac{H_{pl}}{H_{vis}} = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\lambda_{pl} - p_{pl}}{64M^8}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}\right) [1 - 2 \coth(d_0) \operatorname{sech}(k\pi) \tanh(k\pi)]}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\lambda_{vis} + \Lambda_{vis}}{64M^8}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}\right)}} \quad (89)$$

Near the static limit where d_0 is large compared to $-\pi$ but $\lambda_{vis}, p_{pl}, p(0,0) \neq 0$ so that $\coth(d_0)$ is not exactly equal to 1. Then eqn.(56) , eqn.(57) and eqn.(64) can be written as :

$$\lambda_{pl} - p_{pl} = -(\lambda_{vis} + \Lambda_{vis}) [\operatorname{sech}(k\pi) - \tanh(d_0) \tanh(k\pi)] \quad (90)$$

$$\lambda(0,0) - p(0,0) = 8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \coth(d_0) = -(\lambda_{vis} + \Lambda_{vis}) \quad (91)$$

$$\lambda_{vis} + \Lambda_{vis} = -8M^4 \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}} \coth(d_0) \quad (92)$$

Let $\acute{k} = \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}}$ and using eqn.(90) , eqn.(91) and eqn.(92) we can express the fine-tuning of Higgs mass in terms of ratio of Hubble parameters of the Planck brane to that of the visible brane as follows:

$$10^{-16} = \exp(-\acute{k}L_y) \operatorname{sech}(\acute{k}L_z) = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\lambda_{pl} - p_{pl}}{8M^4}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}\right) [\operatorname{sech}(k\pi) - \tanh(d_0) \tanh(k\pi)]^2}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\lambda_{vis} + \Lambda_{vis}}{8M^4}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{-\Lambda_B}{10M^4}\right) [\operatorname{sech}(k\pi) - \tanh(d_0) \tanh(k\pi)]}} \operatorname{sech}(k\pi) \quad (93)$$

$$\simeq \frac{H_{pl}}{H_{vis}} \quad (94)$$

and using eqn.(88)

$$\Rightarrow H_{pl} \simeq 10^{-77} M_{pl} \quad (95)$$

It is to be noted that eqn.(89) and eqn.(93) are equivalent because for moderate value of d_0 , $\tanh(d_0)$ and $\coth(d_0)$ are quite close and at the same time we have taken $k \leq 1$ to restrict hierarchy between the moduli. It is to be noted that this result closely resembles to the results obtained in 5D RS scenario [20]. Similarly our result shows that resolution of fine tuning of Higgs mass requires a severe tuning on Planck brane between λ_{pl}, p_{pl} and bulk cosmological constant Λ_B in six-dimensional doubly warped braneworld model.

6 Matter in the bulk:

Let us now assume that instead of brane the bulk contains pressure less matter. This generalises the case of putting as cosmological constant in the bulk. Then the six dimensional action becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= S_6 + S_5 + S_4 \\
S_6 &= \int d^4x dy dz \sqrt{-g_6} \left(\frac{M^4}{2} R_6 + \mathcal{L}_{Bulk} \right) \\
S_5 &= \int d^4x dy dz \left\{ \sqrt{-g_5} [\mathcal{L}_1 \delta(y) + \mathcal{L}_2 \delta(y - \pi)] - \sqrt{-g_5} [\lambda_1(z) \delta(y) + \lambda_2(z) \delta(y - \pi)] \right\} \\
&\quad + \int d^4x dy dz \left\{ \sqrt{-\tilde{g}_5} [\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3 \delta(z) + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_4 \delta(z - \pi)] - \sqrt{-\tilde{g}_5} [\lambda_3(y) \delta(z) + \lambda_4(y) \delta(z - \pi)] \right\} \\
S_4 &= \int d^4x dy dz \sqrt{-g} [\mathcal{L} - \lambda] .
\end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

On varying the action, we get Einstein's equation in this case as:

$$\begin{aligned}
-M^4 \sqrt{-g_6} \left(R_{MN} - \frac{R}{2} g_{MN} \right) &= (T_{Bulk})_M^K g_{KN} \sqrt{-g_6} \\
&\quad + \left[(T_\beta^\gamma g_{\alpha\gamma})_1 + (T_\beta^\gamma g_{\alpha\gamma})_2 \right] \sqrt{-g_5} \delta_M^\alpha \delta_N^\beta \\
&\quad + \left[(T_\beta^{\tilde{\gamma}} \tilde{g}_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\gamma}})_3 + (T_\beta^{\tilde{\gamma}} \tilde{g}_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\gamma}})_4 \right] \sqrt{-\tilde{g}_5} \delta_M^{\tilde{\alpha}} \delta_N^{\tilde{\beta}}
\end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

where

$$(T_{Bulk})_M^K = \text{diag} \left(-\frac{1}{v^3(t)}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right) \tag{98}$$

and the energy momentum tensor on the 4-branes are given as before by eqn.(35) , eqn.(36) , eqn.(37) and eqn.(38) respectively.

In such a scenario, from (ii) and (tt) components of Einstein's equation one obtains,

$$\left(\frac{\dot{v}^2}{v^2} - \frac{\ddot{v}}{v} \right) v^3 = \frac{b^2 a^2}{2M^4} \tag{99}$$

The 3-brane on which our FRW universe exists, resides at the intersection region of two 4-branes located at $y = \pi$, $z = 0$. On this 3-brane the values of the warp factors $a(y = \pi)$ and $b(z = 0)$ are constant. Since the branes are delta-function sources, these values are unique irrespective of the type of its matter content and hence right hand side of eqn.(99) is a constant quantity which implies left hand side must also be constant. To realise this let us assume that the FRW universe situated on the Standard model brane is described by Λ CDM model. As a result, on the visible brane we can take

$$v(t) = v_0 \sinh^m(H_0 t) \tag{100}$$

v_0 being a constant. From the solution of $v(t)$, we can infer for $H_0 t \ll 1$, $v(t) \rightarrow (H_0 t)^m$ implying our universe was dominated by pressure less matter [for $m = \frac{2}{3}$] in the past and when $H_0 t \gg 1$, $v(t) \rightarrow \exp(H_0 t)$ shows at later times universe will be vacuum energy dominated .

Finally, on substituting the solution of $v(t)$ in LHS of eqn. (99) , we obtain $(v_0^3 m H_0^2 \sinh^{3m-2}(H_0 t))$ which is a constant quantity and is equal to $\left(\frac{2}{3} v_0^3 H_0^2 \right)$ only if $m = \frac{2}{3}$.

7 Conclusions :

In this work we have addressed the issue of Hubble expansion in a six dimensional doubly warped braneworld model. We have shown that as long as the bulk contains only cosmological constant and

no other matter fields, the solution of the time dependent part of the scale factor $v(t)$ is given by eqn.(44) . This result is quite generic and independent of the number of warped extra dimensions. Thus the exponential expansion phase of our Universe is a direct consequence of existence of a bulk negative cosmological constant in a warped braneworld scenario. In such scenario we have derived the exact solution for the cosmological spacetime metric. Through out the analysis the branes are chosen as delta function sources.

We then extended our analysis with an additional pressure less matter in the bulk and found that the standard model brane metric has a solution for $v(t)$ in the form $v(t) \sim \sinh^m(H_0 t)$. This solution produces a deceleration expansion for small t and an accelerated one for large t for the visible Universe. This matches very well with the observations.

In a multiply warped one needs an unequal warping along the two compact extra dimensions y and z in order to restrict a large hierarchy between the respective moduli b_0 and c_0 [21]. The hierarchy is minimum for $k = 0.1$ which produces a small warping along z direction and quite large wrping along the compact y direction. Under this situation we have shown that length L_y becomes elongated almost 120 times more than L_z . Also the ratio of L_y and L_z is related the ratio of the moduli of the extra dimensions and hence $b_0 \approx 125c_0$.

From eqn.(61) we find that Hubble parameter on our Universe is controlled by both the moduli b_0 and c_0 of the compact extra dimensional co-ordinates y, z respectively. The factor $sech(k\pi)$ (for the optimal choice $k \sim 0.1$) is very small and can be viewed as a small perturbation on the five dimensional braneworld model. Furthermore, H_{vis} is found to depend on lengths of both the extra dimensions.

The static limit of our work correctly reproduces RS metric (given by eqn.(2)) when the effective cosmological constant on the 3-brane is zero giving rise to flat 3-branes. However, if we introduce additional matter on the 4-branes in the form of perfect fluid characterised by energy density ρ and pressure p , the static RS model becomes unstable. Furthermore a negative fluid pressure (strong energy condition is no longer valid now) on the visible brane initiates an exponential expansion of the Universe with time. Consequently, the visible brane tension now departs from its static value. In the present work we have shown that a very small shift is required for the Universe to undergo inflation and found it to be of the order of 10^{-121} . Under this situation, H_{vis} is non-zero and a fine tuning between bulk cosmological constant Λ_B and visible brane tension λ_{vis} may give rise to a net cosmological constant on the Universe which is consistent with the present estimation.

It may also be noted that eqn.(66) is a generalisation of eqn.(5) of the work of [19] in the non-static case which essentially relates the induced cosmological constant on the brane with the bulk cosmological constant and the brane tension. We finally infer that although from (82) Higgs mass appears to be a time dependent quantity, however in the cosmological time scale its variation is negligible as shown in section 5.5. Our work thus brings out the dependence of cosmological expansion and related features in the context of larger number of warped extra dimensional model.

References

- [1] An Introduction to Supersymmetry by Manuel Dress.
arxiv : hep-th/9611409.
- [2] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **429**, 263 (1998); I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, *ibid.* **436**, 257 (1998).
- [3] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** 3370 (1999); *ibid* **83** 4690 (1999)
- [4] For earlier work on this topic: V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B **125**, 136 (1983) and Phys. Lett. B **125**, 139 (1983); M.Visser, Phys.Lett. B **159**, 22 (1985); K.Akama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **78**, 184 (1987).

- [5] I. Antoniadis, Phys. Lett. B **246** 377 (1990); J.D. Lykken, Phys. Rev. D **54** 3693 (1996); R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. D **59** 085009 (1999); K.R. Dienes, E. Dudas and T. Gherghetta, Phys. Lett. B **436** 55 (1998); G. Shiu and S.H. Tye, Phys. Rev. D **58** 106007 (1998); Z. Kakushadze and S.H. Tye, Nucl. Phys. B **548** 180 (1999).
- [6] P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **475** 94 (1996); *ibid* B **460** 506 (1996).
- [7] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G. Dvali and N. Kaloper, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** 586 (2000); J. Lykken and L. Randall, JHEP **06** 014 (2000); C. Csaki and Y. Shirman, Phys. Rev. D **61** 024008 (2000); A.E. Nelson, Phys. Rev. D **63** 087503 (2001).
- [8] A.G. Cohen and D.B. Kaplan, hep-th/9910132; C.P. Burgess, L.E. Ibanez and F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. B **447** 257 (1999); A. Chodos and E. Poppitz, Phys. Lett. B **471** 119 (1999); T. Gherghetta and M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** 240 (2000).
- [9] S. Randjbar-Daemi and M.E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B **491** 329 (2000); P. Kanti, R. Madden and K.A. Olive, Phys. Rev. D **64** 044021 (2001); N.Kaloper, JHEP **0504** 061 (2004); T.Gherghetta, A.Kehagias, Phys. Rev. Lett **90** 101601 (2003); B. Mukhopadhyaya, S. Sen and S.SenGupta, e-Print: arXiv:1106.1027 [hep-ph]; A. Das, R.S. Hundi and S. SenGupta, PhysRev **D.83** 116003 (2011); R. Koley, J.Mitra and S. SenGupta, Europhys.Lett. **91** 31001 (2010).
- [10] C. Csaki, M. L. Graesser and G. D. Kribs, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 065002 (2001); S. C. Park, H. S. Song and J. Song, hep-ph/0009245; Kristian L. McDonald, David E. Morrissey, JHEP **1005:056**, 2010; Marcela Carena, Anibal D. Medina, Nausheen R. Shah, Carlos E.M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D **79** :096010,2009; Paul McGuirk, Gary Shiu, Kathryn M. Zurek. JHEP **0803:012**, 2008; Kaustubh Agashe, Alexander Belyaev, Tadas Krupovnickas, Gilad Perez, Joseph Virzi, Phys. Rev. D **77** :015003, 2008; Sunghoon Jung, James D. Wells ; JHEP **1011:001**, 2010.
- [11] P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet, and D. Langlois, Nucl. Phys. B **565**, 269 (2000) P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet, U. Ellwanger, and D. Langlois, Phys. Lett. B **477**, 285 (2000).
- [12] N.Kaloper Phys. Rev D **60** ,123506 (1999), Ishwaree P. Neupane Phys. Lett. B **683** :88-95, 2010 : Class. Quant. Grav. 26: **195008**, 2009.
- [13] T. Nihei, Phys. Lett. B **465**, 81 (1999); J.M. Cline, C.Grojean, and G. Servant, (1999), Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, pp. 4245-4248.
- [14] C. Csaki, M. Graesser, C. Kolda and J.Terning, (1999). Phys. Lett. B **462**, T. Multamaki, I. Vilja ; Phys. Lett. B **559** (2003).
- [15] Bum-Hoon Lee , Wonwoo Lee, Masato Minamitsuji. Phys. Lett. B **679** :160-166,2009. K. Atazadeh, H.R. Sepangi JCAP **0901:006**, 2009. Bei Jia, Xi-Guo Lee Peng-Ming Zhang Int.J.Theor.Phys.**47**:3391-3400, 2008.
- [16] C.S. Lim, Tomoaki Nagasawa, Satoshi Ohya, Kazuki Sakamoto, Makoto Sakamoto. KOBE-TH-07-06, Oct 2007. Phys.Rev. D **77** :045020, 2008. Giuseppe De Risi, Tiberiu Harko, Francisco S.N. Lobo, Chun Shing Jason Pun, Nucl. Phys. B **805**:190-206, 2008. Xingang Chen. JCAP **0812:009**, 2008. Gianluca Calcagni ; JCAP **0311** (2003) 009.
- [17] Sahni, Yuri Shtanov ; JCAP 0311 (2003) 014, Kazuya Koyama, Gen. Rel. Grav.**40**:421-450, 2008 Tsutomu Kobayashi, Takahiro Tanaka ; Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 044005
- [18] W.D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** 4922 (1999); Phys. Lett. B **475** 275 (2000)
- [19] T.Shiromizu, K. Maeda and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 024012 (2000).
- [20] H.B.Kim and H.D.Kim Phy. Rev. D **61** 0604003 (2000).
- [21] D.Choudhury, S.SenGupta Phys. Rev. D **76**, 064030 (2007).