

Classical Skyrmions – Static Solutions and Dynamics

N.S. Manton*

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics
University of Cambridge
Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, England

June 2011

Abstract

Skyrmions with a realistic value of the pion mass parameter are expected to be quite compact structures, but beyond baryon number $B = 8$ only a few examples are known. The largest of these is the cubically symmetric $B = 32$ Skyrmion which is a truncated piece of the Skyrme crystal. Here it is proposed that many more such Skyrmions could be found, without any restriction on the baryon number, as pieces of the Skyrme crystal. Particular attention is given to the possibility of reducing B by 1 by chopping a corner off a cubic crystal chunk.

Nuclei are modelled by Skyrmions with quantised spin and isospin. Here it is argued that these quantised states can be approximated by classically spinning Skyrmions. The orientations of the spinning Skyrmions corresponding to polarised protons, neutrons and deuterons are identified. Nuclear collisions and the nuclear spin-orbit force are discussed in terms of classically spinning Skyrmions. Going beyond the rigid collective motions of Skyrmions, there are spatially modulated collective motions, which are oscillatory in time. They are argued to describe not just vibrational excitations of nuclei, but also giant resonance states.

A speculative proposal for identifying quarks inside Skyrmions is briefly discussed.

*N.S.Manton@damtp.cam.ac.uk

1 Introduction

In the Skyrme model, nucleons and nuclei are modelled as dynamical solitons in a nonlinear field theory of pions [55, 56]. The conserved topological charge of the solitons is identified with the physically conserved baryon number, B . The most important solutions are the static solutions of minimal energy for each baryon number, which are known as Skyrmions [44]. These can be in translational or rotational motion, and can rotate in isospace, the internal symmetry space of the three pion fields. Quantising these rigid collective motions gives states that are identified with nuclei and some of their excited states.

Skyrmions have a 50-year history [23], starting from Skyrme's initial construction of the model and its basic solution with unit baryon number. In the last ten years, classical Skyrmions with all baryon numbers up to 22 and a few cases beyond have been constructed numerically [12]. An important insight is that the pion mass term affects the qualitative shape of Skyrmions for $B = 8$ and above [13, 14]. Fewer solutions with massive pions have been constructed than with massless pions. This is because there is a very useful technique, the rational map ansatz [31], which is particularly helpful for constructing solutions with massless pions.

Solutions with a physically realistic pion mass have been found for baryon numbers including $B = 8, 12, 16$ and 32. These are clearly made up of subunits with baryon number 4, analogous to the structures occurring in the alpha-particle model of nuclei [16]. The collective rotational degrees of freedom of these solutions have been quantised, giving good agreement with known states of the $N = Z$ nuclei ^8Be , ^{12}C and ^{16}O [17, 63]. The collective isospin excitations have also been calculated, leading to isobar states of ^{12}B , ^{12}Be etc. These match the experimental states less well but are still promising.

A further well-established solution in the massless pion case is the Skyrme crystal [25, 38]. This is a triply-periodic structure with remarkably low energy per unit baryon number. Its basic cubic unit cell contains an object called a half-Skyrmion, with half a unit of baryon number, that cannot be entirely isolated in space. The crystal should persist when the pion field is massive, but with rather lower symmetry. Skyrmions with finite but large baryon number should resemble chunks of this crystal. However, only one Skyrmion, with baryon number 32, has so far shown clear evidence for this [6, 16].

The first purpose of this paper is to collect together some ideas and qualitative results that may lead to further detailed understanding of Skyrmion structure beyond $B = 8$, especially for massive pions. No substantial computations have been carried out. Most of these ideas relate to using the truncated Skyrme crystal to construct solutions with a wide range of baryon numbers. Partly, they extend some observations and results of Silva Lobo and Ward on the layering structure of the crystal [53]. A basic idea is that it is possible to chop off one unit of baryon number from the corner of a cubic crystal chunk. We will also argue that some crystal chunk solutions arise using the double (or multi-layer) rational map ansatz [43]. Although this multi-layer generalisation is a poorer approximation than the original rational map ansatz, it gives some qualitative insight. We will claim, as one result, that there is a compact, cubically symmetric Skyrmion of baryon number 14, which may be useful for modelling ^{14}C .

The second purpose of this paper is to consider the classical approximation to quantised states of Skyrmions, where the Skyrme dynamics is treated classically. This approach should be valid, at least for certain nuclear properties. In particular, we will identify the proton and neutron as classically spinning $B = 1$ Skyrmions, with different internal orientations. It has proved almost impossible so far to consider nuclear reactions using quantised Skyrmions, but the classical interactions of spinning Skyrmions should be easier to study numerically. We discuss some aspects of how such dynamics could be interpreted in terms of polarised nuclear scattering.

With a nucleon approximated by a classical spinning Skyrmion, we will also be able to discuss the spin-orbit coupling between such a Skyrmion and a Skyrmion of larger baryon number. As is well known, the spin-orbit force is vital for understanding the shell structure of nuclei, but its large strength, and how exactly it arises, is rather a mystery [30]. Investigation of the spin-orbit force using the Skyrme model has been restricted so far to the nucleon-nucleon system [49, 4]. We will not resolve this issue within the Skyrme model but will suggest how it could be further investigated.

More generally, we would like to understand some features of Skyrmion dynamics that go beyond the rigid collective coordinate motion. A previously considered example of collective behaviour that is not rigid is the vibrational excitation of a Skyrmion [59, 5, 7]. We will consider other types of motion, that when quantised would correspond to a giant resonance of a nucleus, that is, an excitation where the spatial distribution of electric charge oscillates [30].

Our discussion will be in the context of the basic Skyrme model whose Lagrangian has just three terms, a sigma model term quadratic in derivatives, a Skyrme term quartic in derivatives, and a pion mass term. The model has all kinds of interesting variants. One can add a sextic term, or terms describing heavier mesons than the pions [47], and there are novel variants derived from holographic QCD where the field theory description is initially in four space dimensions, and reduces to a highly constrained field theory with an infinite tower of mesons in three dimensions [50, 57]. Since our discussion will be largely qualitative, many aspects should be relevant in all these variant models.

2 The Skyrme Model and Skyrmions

The Skyrme model is a Lorentz invariant, nonlinear field theory of sigma model type, in which the pion fields $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3)$ are combined with a field σ into an $SU(2)$ -valued scalar field

$$U(x) = \sigma(x)\mathbf{1} + i\boldsymbol{\pi}(x) \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $x = (t, \mathbf{x}) = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ are the Pauli matrices. σ is not an independent field as $\sigma^2 + \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} = 1$, and the sign of σ is determined by continuity and boundary conditions. The Lagrangian is

$$L = \int \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(R_\mu R^\mu) + \frac{1}{16}\text{Tr}([R_\mu, R_\nu][R^\mu, R^\nu]) - m^2\text{Tr}(\mathbf{1} - U) \right\} d^3x, \quad (2.2)$$

where $R_\mu = (\partial_\mu U)U^\dagger$ is a current taking values in the Lie algebra of $SU(2)$. For a static field $U(\mathbf{x})$, the energy is

$$E = \int \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(R_i R_i) - \frac{1}{16}\text{Tr}([R_i, R_j][R_i, R_j]) + m^2\text{Tr}(\mathbf{1} - U) \right\} d^3x. \quad (2.3)$$

The vacuum is $U = \mathbf{1}$, so finite energy fields satisfy the boundary conditions $\sigma \rightarrow 1$, $\boldsymbol{\pi} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. E is invariant under translations and rotations in \mathbb{R}^3 and also under $SO(3)$ isospin rotations given by

$$U(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto \mathcal{A}U(\mathbf{x})\mathcal{A}^\dagger, \quad \mathcal{A} \in SU(2), \quad (2.4)$$

which rotate the pion fields among themselves in isospace.

The model has a conserved, integer-valued topological charge B , the baryon number. This is the degree of the map $U : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow SU(2)$, which is well-defined because $U \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ at spatial infinity. B is the integral of the baryon density

$$\mathcal{B} = -\frac{1}{24\pi^2}\epsilon_{ijk}\text{Tr}(R_i R_j R_k). \quad (2.5)$$

The energy minimisers for each B are called Skyrmions, and their energy E is identified with their rest mass. It will be convenient to also refer to local minima and saddle points of E with nearby energies as Skyrmions.

In the absence of the final, pion mass term, there is a chiral symmetry $U(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto \mathcal{A}U(\mathbf{x})\mathcal{A}'$, with \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' independent elements of $SU(2)$. This acts on $(\sigma, \boldsymbol{\pi})$ by $SO(4)$ internal rotations. The chiral symmetry is explicitly broken by the mass term, but even in the massless model it is spontaneously broken by the vacuum boundary conditions. We shall discuss the massless pion version of the Skyrme model to a considerable extent, as many Skyrmions and the Skyrme crystal are best understood in this limit.

The expressions (2.2) and (2.3) are in ‘‘Skyrme units’’ and m is a dimensionless pion mass parameter. Traditionally, the energy and length units of the Skyrme model have been calibrated using the proton and delta masses, and m has been given a value of approximately 0.5 [1], but recent calibrations using heavier nuclei and their rotational excitations suggest a larger length unit, and in compensation (to keep the physical pion mass fixed) a higher value of m , either $m = 1$ [15, 14] or $m = 1.125$ [46].

In the discussion below we shall refer to Skyrmions with massless pions, and to Skyrmions with massive pions. In the latter case we mean solutions with m in the range 0.5 – 1.5. The energy and size of a Skyrmion depend to some extent on the precise value of m in this range, but this dependence is largely cancelled by the energy and length calibration, so we will not specify m more precisely. The Skyrmions with baryon numbers up to $B = 6$ are qualitatively rather similar for massless and massive pions. The Skyrmions with massless pions up to $B = 8$ are shown in Fig. 1. Their symmetries are quite surprising. Several were first discovered numerically [22], but they can now be rather well understood using the rational map ansatz for Skyrmions, reviewed in Section 4. For the energies of the Skyrmions see [9, 11, 12]. These energies satisfy the Faddeev–Bogomolny energy bound, $E \geq 12\pi^2|B|$ [26], but equality is not attained for any field configuration with non-zero B [41]. The bound is approximately attained in the massless pion case, notably by the Skyrme crystal, but less so when the pions are massive.




Figure 1: Skyrmions for $1 \leq B \leq 8$, with $m = 0$. A surface of constant baryon density is shown, together with the baryon number and symmetry group. A surface of constant energy density looks similar.

The $B = 1$ Skyrmion is a spherically symmetric hedgehog, a field of the form

$$U(\mathbf{x}) = \cos f(r)\mathbf{1} + i \sin f(r)\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \quad (2.6)$$

where $r = |\mathbf{x}|$, and $f(0) = \pi$ and $f(\infty) = 0$. Its quantised states of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and isospin $\frac{1}{2}$ represent the nucleons [2, 1].




Figure 2: $B = 1$ Skyrmion (two different views), illustrating the field colouring scheme.

The $B = 1$ Skyrmion is shown in Fig. 2, using a colouring scheme based on P.O. Runge's colour sphere. The colours indicate the value of the normalised pion field $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$. The white and black points are where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_3 = \pm 1$, respectively, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_1 = \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_2 = 0$. The equatorial colour circle is where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_3 = 0$. The primary colours red, blue and green indicate where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_1 + i\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_2$ takes the values $1, e^{2\pi i/3}, e^{4\pi i/3}$, respectively, and the intermediate points $e^{\pi i/3}, -1, e^{5\pi i/3}$ are shown as magenta, cyan and yellow. The colours are supposed to merge smoothly into each other as the field $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ varies. Because of the hedgehog nature of the $B = 1$ Skyrmion, the colouring in Fig. 2 reproduces the colour sphere itself, but the same colour scheme is used below to illustrate a variety of Skyrmions, giving more novel information.

The $B = 2$ Skyrmion is a toroidal structure, whose quantisation gives the deuteron [35, 21], with spin 1 and isospin 0. If this Skyrmion remains rigid, its binding energy is far greater than that of the deuteron, but it has been shown that allowing the constituent hedgehog Skyrmions some degree of freedom to separate gives a spatially larger structure with much lower binding energy, agreeing much better with the physical deuteron [39]. For $B = 3$ there is tetrahedral symmetry, compatible with the spin states of ${}^3\text{He}$ and ${}^3\text{H}$ [24]. For $B = 4$, the Skyrmion has cubic symmetry, and its lowest energy quantised state, with spin and isospin zero, can be identified with the alpha-particle, ${}^4\text{He}$ [60]. This Skyrmion is shown in Fig. 3, in a particular orientation in space and isospace. The cubic symmetry is realised in a way compatible with the colouring of the colour sphere.

The $B = 4$ solution is particularly stable. It can be formed by merging four individual hedgehog Skyrmions on the vertices of a tetrahedron, oriented to maximally attract. This configuration has tetrahedral symmetry. As the Skyrmions relax and reach the $B = 4$ solution, the symmetry jumps to cubic, precisely at the moment the energy minimum is attained. A consequence is that the $B = 4$ Skyrmion can be deformed equally easily into the initial tetrahedral arrangement of four hedgehogs, associated with one choice of four alternating vertices of a cube, or into a dual tetrahedral arrangement associated with the other choice. Another consequence is that the $B = 4$ Skyrmion can be regarded as made up of eight half-Skyrmions on the vertices of the cube. These are alternately white and black, as indicated in the figure. Most of the energy density is near these corners, although some is on the twelve edges between them. The faces and centre are rather low in energy density.

This merging of $B = 1$ Skyrmions, and the resulting half-Skyrmion structure, suggests that nuclear forces are almost impossible to describe at short distances in terms of the interactions of point nucleons of unit baryon number. However, a half-Skyrmion does not seem to have anything to do with a quark, which should represent a third of a baryon.




Figure 3: $B = 4$ Skyrmion.

We skip over the $B = 5$ solution as the corresponding nuclei ${}^5\text{Li}$ and ${}^5\text{He}$ are unstable and should, if anything, be modelled by a dynamical $B = 1$ Skyrmion orbiting a $B = 4$ core. However, the $B = 6$ Skyrmion, shown in Fig. 4, looks sensible. It can be regarded as a bound structure of a $B = 4$ Skyrmion (below) with a $B = 2$ Skyrmion (above), both slightly deformed. This matches the understanding that ${}^6\text{Li}$ easily dissociates into ${}^4\text{He}$ and a deuteron. It is also consistent with the observation that in ${}^6\text{He}$ the two halo neutrons orbiting the ${}^4\text{He}$ core appear to be on the same side [48].




Figure 4: $B = 6$ Skyrmion (two different views).

The $B = 7$ Skyrmion has icosahedral symmetry. This is a beautiful and surprising result mathematically, and consistent with the existence of a BPS monopole, a rational map and an $SU(2)$ instanton, all with topological charge 7 and the same symmetry [44, 54]. Quantisation of this Skyrmion gives states with isospin $\frac{1}{2}$, representing ${}^7\text{Be}$ and ${}^7\text{Li}$, but the lowest allowed spin is $J = \frac{7}{2}$ [33, 40]. These nuclei do have states of quite low energy with spin $\frac{7}{2}$, just 4 MeV above

the ground state for ${}^7\text{Li}$, but the ground states have spin $J = \frac{3}{2}$. To accommodate this, one needs a new Skyrmion with a different symmetry. A plausible candidate has been found, for massive pions, though its energy value and stability are not confirmed [45]. It is formed by merging a $B = 4$ and a $B = 3$ Skyrmion along a common diagonal, preserving C_3 symmetry. (A vertex of the $B = 4$ cube is inserted into a face of the $B = 3$ tetrahedron.) The resulting $B = 7$ Skyrmion has D_{3h} symmetry, with a $B = 1$ Skyrmion located symmetrically between two $B = 3$ clusters. This structure is consistent with the observed nature of ${}^7\text{Li}$ as made of ${}^4\text{He}$ loosely bound to a triton, ${}^3\text{H}$.

The apparent competition between a more hollow Skyrmion and a more tightly clustered Skyrmion, at $B = 7$, is a precursor to a bifurcation that more clearly sets in at $B = 8$. The Skyrmions with massless pions remain hollow polyhedral structures up to $B = 22$ and beyond, following the pattern of the $B = 8$ solution in Fig. 1. There is no energetic price to pay for an ever larger interior hole with very small integrated baryon density, where U is close to -1 . However these hollow Skyrmions fail to match nuclear structure even approximately. For example, in these Skyrmions, the volumes enclosing significant baryon density do not grow linearly with baryon number. For massive pions, a region with $U = -1$ has maximal potential energy, so is disfavoured by stable Skyrmions. The Skyrmions instead are more compact structures, and their volumes grow linearly with baryon number. The known examples of such Skyrmions are mostly obtained by binding together several copies of the $B = 4$ Skyrmion [16], analogous to the “molecules” of alpha-particles that have been used for decades to model nuclei with $N = Z$ and B a multiple of four. The difference from the alpha-particle model is that the $B = 4$ Skyrmions are cubic, rather than pointlike or spherical, and this affects the geometry of their arrangements to some extent. Skyrmions of this type with $B = 8, 12, 16$ and 32 have been found. Their shapes are, respectively, linear, an equilateral triangle, a tetrahedron, and a cube. Variant solutions with very similar energies have also been found with different shapes. For example, four $B = 4$ cubes can be arranged as a flat or bent square, and it is numerically very hard to determine which is the true Skyrmion of lowest energy.

The forces that bind $B = 1$ Skyrmions together are produced by Yukawa dipoles of pion fields. This is consistent with the standard one-pion-exchange force between nucleons. However, in the $B = 4$ Skyrmion the dipoles all cancel out, leaving residual quadrupoles, and the force between $B = 4$ cubes is quadrupole-quadrupole, with an octupole contribution from one of the pion components. This is very short-range, so the force only operates when the cubes are close together. The detailed strength of these quadrupoles is not precisely known. There is a nice agreement in the alpha-particle model between the binding energy of nuclei up to ${}^{32}\text{S}$, and the number of alpha-alpha nearest neighbour bonds [61]. The Skyrmion version, based on the interaction of touching $B = 4$ cubes, should be consistent with this.

The force between $B = 4$ cubes, all with the same orientation, is strong enough to create a cubically symmetric arrangement of eight such cubes, forming a Skyrmion with $B = 32$. This is shown in Fig. 5. Its structure clearly resembles a cubic truncation of the Skyrme crystal, whose crystal symmetry is one of the cubic space groups. The translational symmetries of the crystal are approximately realised, locally, in the $B = 32$ solution. This Skyrmion is more symmetric than the arrangements of eight alpha-particles that are usually considered [61].

Below, we will discuss the cubically symmetric $B = 32$ Skyrmion in more detail, and consider how to subtract or add baryon number to it without totally changing its structure. Clearly, by stacking up 27 $B = 4$ cubes, one can obtain a cubically symmetric solution with $B = 108$, and continuing indefinitely, ever larger cubic crystal chunks. These solutions form a sequence with $8n^3$ half-Skyrmions. However, in Section 3, we will also argue for the existence of cubically symmetric chunks of the Skyrme crystal with baryon numbers $1, 14, 63$, etc. These solutions are made from $(2n - 1)^3$ half-Skyrmions, completed by being surrounded by a pion tail that carries half a




Figure 5: $B = 32$ Skyrmion.

unit of baryon number. Their existence has not been proposed before and we do not know how stable they are. The $B = 1$ example is simply the usual hedgehog, interpreted as a half-Skyrmion surrounded by a half-Skyrmion tail. The $B = 14$ solution could be important, as the nucleus ^{14}C has recently been recognised as a particularly stable, essentially spherical nucleus, just as worthy of being interpreted as a doubly magic nucleus as ^{16}O [58, 18]. It acts as a compact cluster when interacting with further protons and neutrons to form isotopes such as ^{18}O . Within the Skyrme model, no phenomenologically satisfactory solution has been found before with $B = 14$. For massless pions, the hollow polyhedral Skyrmion with $B = 14$ is particularly asymmetric [12], and for massive pions the only candidate solution is highly aspherical [14].

3 Constructing Skyrmions from the Skyrme Crystal

In the massless pion case, the optimal Skyrme crystal structure was discovered by Castillejo et al. [25] and Kugler and Shtrikman [38]. The crystal has the combined spatial plus internal symmetries generated by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (-x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (\sigma, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3) \mapsto (\sigma, -\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3); \quad (3.1)$$

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_2, x_3, x_1), \quad (\sigma, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3) \mapsto (\sigma, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_1); \quad (3.2)$$

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_1, x_3, -x_2), \quad (\sigma, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3) \mapsto (\sigma, \pi_1, \pi_3, -\pi_2); \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_1 + L, x_2, x_3), \quad (\sigma, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3) \mapsto (-\sigma, -\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3). \quad (3.4)$$

Symmetry (3.1) is a reflection, (3.2) is a 120° rotation around a diagonal to the three Cartesian axes, (3.3) is a 90° rotation around a Cartesian axis, and (3.4) is a translation. Note that symmetry

(3.4) involves a chiral $SO(4)$ rotation, rather than just an $O(3)$ isospace transformation, as in the other symmetries.

The $\sigma \leq 0$ and $\sigma \geq 0$ regions are perfect cubes of side length L , with $\sigma = 0$ on all the faces. Each cube has similar pion field distributions and baryon number $\frac{1}{2}$. So this is a cubic crystal of half-Skyrmions. Numerical calculations, involving either a truncated Fourier series expansion of the fields, or a direct construction, estimate the energy per baryon to be $E/B = 1.036 \times 12\pi^2$ at the optimal value of L . The fields are very well approximated by the formulae [25]

$$\sigma = \mp c_1 c_2 c_3, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\pi_1 = \pm s_1 \sqrt{1 - \frac{s_2^2}{2} - \frac{s_3^2}{2} + \frac{s_2^2 s_3^2}{3}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $s_i = \sin(\pi x_i/L)$ and $c_i = \cos(\pi x_i/L)$, and π_2 and π_3 are obtained by cyclic permutation of the indices. The sign choice will be clarified below.

The entire crystal has, of course, infinite baryon number, and is possibly relevant to neutron stars, but we will not discuss this. We are interested instead in how truncated pieces of the Skyrme crystal – crystal chunks – can be used as approximations to Skyrmions with the baryon numbers of medium-size and large nuclei. Here we know that the pion mass cannot be neglected, so we really need the Skyrme crystal in the case of massive pions. However we shall assume that the massless pion solution is a good enough approximation, as it is simpler and better known. The effect of the pion mass term is to explicitly break chiral symmetry, so the crystal will no longer have the exact symmetry (3.4), but only the two-step symmetry

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_1 + L, x_2 + L, x_3), \quad (\sigma, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3) \mapsto (\sigma, -\pi_1, -\pi_2, \pi_3). \quad (3.7)$$

The crystal will also cease to be exactly partitioned into half-Skyrmion cubes bounded by surfaces where $\sigma = 0$. However, we shall assume that the higher symmetry and structure are present, even if only approximately.

The simplest type of crystal chunk is one with cubic symmetry. Let us assume first that the chunk has its centre at the origin. This is a location in the crystal where the point symmetry group is O_h . It is also the centre of a half-Skyrmion. The crystal chunk can be chosen to have its boundaries on the planes $x_1 = \pm \frac{2n-1}{2}L$, $x_2 = \pm \frac{2n-1}{2}L$ and $x_3 = \pm \frac{2n-1}{2}L$, with n a positive integer. Such a chunk has $(2n-1)^3$ half-Skyrmions and total baryon number $B = (2n-1)^3/2$. This is a half-integer. The chunk has $\sigma = 0$ on the entire boundary, and non-zero pion fields taking all possible values there. This boundary field can be smoothly connected to the vacuum at infinity, $\sigma = 1$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \mathbf{0}$, by radial interpolation. This adds a total of half a unit of baryon number, so these chunks, including their external field, have baryon numbers $B = ((2n-1)^3 + 1)/2 = 4n^3 - 6n^2 + 3n$, whose smallest values are 1, 14, 63 and 172. These chunks are not yet exact solutions, but we expect that if they are relaxed they will become Skyrmions retaining a local crystal structure and at least O_h symmetry. The $B = 1$ field will relax to the spherically symmetric hedgehog solution, but the $B = 14$ solution with O_h symmetry is novel, and no solution with $B = 63$ has been described before.

The argument that the interpolation adds just $B = \frac{1}{2}$ is this. An individual half-Skyrmion with $U = -1$ at the centre and $\sigma = 0$ on its boundary is clearly a cubic deformation of the central part of a $B = 1$ hedgehog. It can be completed by adding half a unit of baryon number outside, implying that the contribution of the region that extends outwards from each face of the cube is $B = \frac{1}{12}$. The cubic chunk is made up partly of these half-Skyrmions, and partly of half-Skyrmions with $U = 1$ at their centres. When the second type of half-Skyrmion is completed by outward interpolation from its boundary faces, each face contributes $B = -\frac{1}{12}$, as the completed object has no net baryon number, the field σ being nowhere negative. The same result comes from noting

that the pion fields on the $\sigma = 0$ faces have the opposite orientation from before, which is why the two types of half-Skyrmion can meet on a common face. Now a chunk is a 3-dimensional chequer board of these two types of half-Skyrmion, and should be arranged so that the half-Skyrmions at the chunk corners have $U = -\mathbf{1}$ at their centres, which means choosing the upper signs in (3.5) and (3.6) if n is odd, and the lower signs if n is even. Then the number of exposed faces of this corner type of half-Skyrmion exceeds the number of the other type by one on each chunk face, and by six overall, each contributing $B = \frac{1}{12}$. So the exterior field adds half a unit of baryon number.

Without the sign flips for n even, one would be adding a net $B = -\frac{1}{2}$ in the exterior region. This could create a new type of crystal chunk solution, but it is unlikely to be stable, as large regions of negative baryon density are not energetically favoured in Skyrmions.

The Skyrmion with $B = 14$ which we claim is obtained this way may or may not be the solution of minimal energy. Previous work found, for massless pions, a $B = 14$ hollow Skyrmion with extremely low symmetry [12]. A more compact object with high symmetry is likely to be of lower energy in the massive pion case. We will discuss possible constructions of this solution and of the $B = 63$ solution in more detail in Section 4.

Before doing that we need to consider a cubic crystal chunk with a different structure [6]. Note that in the crystal there are further locations where the point symmetry group is O_h . These are the body centres of the cubic cells with half-Skyrmions at the vertices. Their locations are $(\frac{1}{2}L, \frac{1}{2}L, \frac{1}{2}L)$ and crystal translates of this. While the point symmetry group is the same, it is realised in a different way, i.e. the isorotations accompanying rotations are different. A consequence is that the baryon density is zero at these locations, whereas it is maximal at the centres of the half-Skyrmions.

To construct a cubic crystal chunk of the new type, with its centre at the origin, we first translate the crystal by $(\frac{1}{2}L, \frac{1}{2}L, \frac{1}{2}L)$. The fields are then well approximated by the formulae (3.5) and (3.6) above, but with s_i, c_i replaced by $c_i, -s_i$. However, the resulting field at the origin is not $\sigma = \pm 1$, as it needs to be for a cubically symmetric crystal chunk to interpolate to the vacuum $\sigma = 1$ at infinity. We resolve this by an $SO(4)$ internal rotation. Such a rotation is not unique, as it can be modified by any $SO(3)$ isorotation. We fix the isospace orientation by requiring the field at the half-Skyrmion centres to now be $\pi_3 = \pm 1$, and requiring that along the x_3 -axis, π_2 and π_3 vanish. After this translation and internal rotation, the approximate field expressions (3.5) and (3.6) become

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma = \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \left(c_1 \sqrt{1 - \frac{c_2^2}{2} - \frac{c_3^2}{2} + \frac{c_2^2 c_3^2}{3}} \right. \\ & \left. + c_2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} - \frac{c_3^2}{2} + \frac{c_1^2 c_3^2}{3}} + c_3 \sqrt{1 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} - \frac{c_2^2}{2} + \frac{c_1^2 c_2^2}{3}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} & \left(c_1 \sqrt{1 - \frac{c_2^2}{2} - \frac{c_3^2}{2} + \frac{c_2^2 c_3^2}{3}} \right. \\ & \left. + c_2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} - \frac{c_3^2}{2} + \frac{c_1^2 c_3^2}{3}} - 2c_3 \sqrt{1 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} - \frac{c_2^2}{2} + \frac{c_1^2 c_2^2}{3}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\pi_2 = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(c_1 \sqrt{1 - \frac{c_2^2}{2} - \frac{c_3^2}{2} + \frac{c_2^2 c_3^2}{3}} - c_2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} - \frac{c_3^2}{2} + \frac{c_1^2 c_3^2}{3}} \right), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\pi_3 = \pm s_1 s_2 s_3. \quad (3.11)$$

The smallest cubic crystal chunk now consists of eight neighbouring half-Skyrmion cubes meeting at the origin, bounded by faces where $\pi_3 = 0$. The boundary field can be interpolated to the vacuum at infinity, and although it is not obvious, this adds nothing to the baryon number. The general

cubic crystal chunk of this type is bounded by faces at $x_1 = \pm nL$, $x_2 = \pm nL$ and $x_3 = \pm nL$. The upper signs in the approximate formulae for the fields should be chosen for n odd, and the lower signs for n even. Such a chunk has $8n^3$ half-Skyrmions and total baryon number $B = 4n^3$. The notion of half-Skyrmion is a bit different from before, in terms of the field labelling, but the size, shape, energy density and baryon density are as before, at least for massless pions.

These chunks have baryon numbers 4, 32, 108, 256 etc., and there is more evidence than for the previous type of crystal chunk that they will relax to Skyrme solutions. Of these, the cubic $B = 4$ Skyrme (Fig. 3), which looks just like a crystal chunk, was found independently of the crystal solution. The $B = 32$ solution (Fig. 5) was constructed as a crystal chunk (approximately, and in a different orientation) by Baskerville [6], and subsequently by other techniques with greater accuracy.

One feature of the crystal chunks of this second type is that they can be regarded as composed of n^3 complete $B = 4$ cubic Skyrme subunits, all with the same orientation. This should persist for massive pions. Fig. 5 illustrates this feature for the case $B = 32$, where a $B = 4$ subunit is visible near each corner of the chunk.¹ But note that other $B = 4$ subunits overlap these, and in different internal orientations. For example, there is a subunit centred at the origin for all n . By contrast, the first type of crystal chunk has recognisable $B = 4$ subunits, but cannot in any way be regarded as an aggregate of an integer number of these.

4 The Rational Map Ansatz

The rational map ansatz is a useful approximate construction of Skyrmions, separating the angular from the radial dependence of the Skyrme field U [31]. The separation is not exact in Skyrmions, so one should really refer to the rational map approximation rather than ansatz.

By stereographic projection, one may define a complex (Riemann sphere) coordinate $z = \tan \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi}$, where θ and ϕ are the usual spherical polar coordinates; alternatively, in terms of Cartesian coordinates, with $r = |\mathbf{x}|$,

$$z = \frac{x_1 + ix_2}{r + x_3}. \quad (4.1)$$

The Skyrme field is constructed from a rational function of z ,

$$R(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}, \quad (4.2)$$

where p and q are polynomials with no common zero, and from a radial profile function $f(r)$ satisfying $f(0) = \pi$ and $f(\infty) = 0$. By the inverse of (4.1), the point z corresponds to the Cartesian unit vector

$$\mathbf{n}_z = \frac{1}{1 + |z|^2} (z + \bar{z}, i(\bar{z} - z), 1 - |z|^2). \quad (4.3)$$

Similarly, an image point R corresponds to the unit vector in isospace

$$\mathbf{n}_R = \frac{1}{1 + |R|^2} (R + \bar{R}, i(\bar{R} - R), 1 - |R|^2). \quad (4.4)$$

The rational map ansatz for the Skyrme field is

$$U(r, z) = \exp\{if(r)\mathbf{n}_{R(z)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}\} = \cos f(r)\mathbf{1} + i \sin f(r)\mathbf{n}_{R(z)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}, \quad (4.5)$$

¹Remarkably, a variant of this structure appears, no doubt for a different reason, on a tee-shirt worn by R.P. Feynman on the cover photo of his book: What Do You Care What Other People Think? [27]

generalising the hedgehog formula (2.6). Note that $U = -1$ at the origin. The baryon number B equals the topological degree of the rational map $R : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$, which is also the algebraic degree of R , i.e. the higher of the degrees of the polynomials p and q . The combination of a holomorphic map R and a monotonic function f ensures that the baryon density is everywhere non-negative, which explains why the rational map ansatz works well.

An $SU(2)$ Möbius transformation on the domain S^2 of the rational map corresponds to a spatial rotation, whereas an $SU(2)$ Möbius transformation on the target S^2 corresponds to a rotation of \mathbf{n}_R , and hence to an isorotation of the Skyrme field. Thus if a rational map R has some symmetry, then the resulting Skyrme field has that symmetry (i.e. a spatial rotation can be compensated by an isorotation).

With the rational map ansatz the Skyrme energy (2.3) simplifies to

$$E = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(r^2 f'^2 + 2B \sin^2 f (f'^2 + 1) + \mathcal{I} \frac{\sin^4 f}{r^2} + 2m^2 r^2 (1 - \cos f) \right) dr, \quad (4.6)$$

where \mathcal{I} denotes the angular integral

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left(\frac{1 + |z|^2}{1 + |R|^2} \left| \frac{dR}{dz} \right| \right)^4 \frac{2i dz d\bar{z}}{(1 + |z|^2)^2}, \quad (4.7)$$

which only depends on the rational map $R(z)$. To minimise the energy (for given B), it is sufficient to first minimise \mathcal{I} with respect to the coefficients occurring in the rational map, and then to solve the Euler-Lagrange equation for $f(r)$, whose coefficients depend on m, B and the minimised \mathcal{I} . Optimal rational maps have been found for many values of B [12], and often have a high degree of symmetry. The optimised fields within the rational map ansatz are good approximations to Skyrmons for massless pions up to $B = 22$, and used as starting points for numerical relaxation to the true Skyrme solutions, which resemble hollow polyhedra. The basic ansatz (4.5) is also useful for massive pions, but for a much smaller range of B .

The simplest degree 1 rational map is $R(z) = z$, which is spherically symmetric. The ansatz (4.5) then reduces to the hedgehog field (2.6). For $B = 2, 3, 4, 7$ the symmetry groups of the numerically computed Skyrmons are $O(2), T_d, O_h, Y_h$, respectively. In each of these cases there is a rational map with this symmetry, unique up to rotations and isorotations, namely

$$R(z) = z^2, R(z) = \frac{z^3 - \sqrt{3}iz}{\sqrt{3}iz^2 - 1}, R(z) = \frac{z^4 + 2\sqrt{3}iz^2 + 1}{z^4 - 2\sqrt{3}iz^2 + 1}, R(z) = \frac{z^7 - 7z^5 - 7z^2 - 1}{z^7 + 7z^5 - 7z^2 + 1}, \quad (4.8)$$

and these also minimise \mathcal{I} . For $B = 5, 6$ and 8 , rational maps with dihedral symmetries are required, and these involve one or two coefficients that need to be determined numerically.

The Wronskian of a rational map $R(z) = p(z)/q(z)$ of degree B is the polynomial

$$W(z) = p'(z)q(z) - q'(z)p(z) \quad (4.9)$$

of degree $2B - 2$. Where W is zero, the derivative dR/dz is zero, so the baryon density vanishes and the energy density is low. This explains why the Skyrmons look like polyhedra with holes in the directions given by the zeros of W , and why there are $2B - 2$ such holes, precisely the structures seen in Fig. 1.

For Skyrmons of higher baryon number, and massive pions, it is helpful to consider generalisations of the rational map ansatz, which allow for a multi-layered structure. Of these, the first is the double rational map ansatz [43]. This uses two rational maps $R^{\text{in}}(z)$ and $R^{\text{out}}(z)$, with a profile function $f(r)$ satisfying $f(0) = 2\pi$ and $f(\infty) = 0$ and decreasing monotonically as r

increases, passing through π at a radius r_0 . The ansatz for the Skyrme field is again (4.5), with $R(z) = R^{\text{in}}(z)$ for $r \leq r_0$, and $R(z) = R^{\text{out}}(z)$ for $r > r_0$. Notice now that $U = \mathbf{1}$ both at the origin and at spatial infinity, and $U = -\mathbf{1}$ on the entire sphere $r = r_0$. The total baryon number is the sum of the degrees of the maps R^{in} and R^{out} . Optimising this ansatz is not really worthwhile. Instead the ansatz has been used to construct initial fields with a given, assumed symmetry, and a two-layer structure in the radial direction. This is then allowed to relax numerically, to produce a true Skyrmion with $U = -\mathbf{1}$ at isolated points.

It is simple to generalise the construction to a k -layer rational map ansatz, using k maps and a profile function satisfying $f(0) = k\pi$, $f(\infty) = 0$. Then $U = \pm\mathbf{1}$ at the centre, depending on whether k is even or odd. Experience shows that the degrees of the maps should increase sharply from the inside to the outside. In all cases, the resulting baryon density of the Skyrmion has $2D - 2$ holes on its surface, where D is the degree of the outermost map and $2D - 2$ the degree of its Wronskian.

A few examples show that the resulting Skyrmion can be a cubic crystal chunk. In particular, the $B = 32$ crystal chunk is obtained by relaxing a double rational map ansatz, with $B = 4$ inside and $B = 28$ outside, both rational maps having cubic symmetry [16]. The inner and outer maps are

$$R^{\text{in}}(z) = \frac{p_+}{p_-}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$R^{\text{out}}(z) = \frac{p_+(ap_+^6 + bp_+^3p_-^3 - p_-^6)}{p_-(p_+^6 - bp_+^3p_-^3 - ap_-^6)}, \quad (4.11)$$

where $p_+(z)$ and $p_-(z)$ are the numerator and denominator of the rational map of degree 4 in (4.8), and $a = 0.33$ and $b = 1.64$ are determined by numerically minimizing \mathcal{I} . The outer map has a Wronskian with 54 zeros, corresponding to nine holes on each cubic face. It would be interesting to construct the $B = 108$ chunk with a three-layer rational map ansatz. This would have layers with $B = 4$, $B = 28$ and $B = 76$. Cubically symmetric rational maps of degree 76 have rather many parameters, and a suitable map has not previously been determined. They have 150 Wronskian zeros, which is the right number of holes, corresponding to six cubic faces each with 25 holes. The map should be chosen so that the inner nine of these holes line up with the nine in the face below.

A suitable map $R(z)$ can probably be constructed as follows. Consider the outer layer of the crystal chunk as made of half-Skyrmions, located as in the Skyrme crystal. Since $6^3 - 4^3 = 152$, there are 152 of these half-Skyrmions, with alternating values ± 1 of π_3 at their centres (coloured white and black). These pion field values correspond to $R = 0$ and $R = \infty$. So the numerator of the map should vanish at the 76 points z corresponding to the locations of the half-Skyrmions with $\pi_3 = 1$, and the denominator should vanish at the analogous points where $\pi_3 = -1$. For example, one white half-Skyrmion is centred at $(\frac{3}{2}L, -\frac{1}{2}L, \frac{5}{2}L)$, which corresponds, via (4.1), to $z = \frac{3-i}{\sqrt{35+5}}$. Constructing the numerator and denominator from their zeros is messy but routine. The overall constant factor in $R(z)$ is fixed by cubic symmetry. A simple version of this construction, in terms of eight half-Skyrmions at the vertices $(\pm\frac{1}{2}L, \pm\frac{1}{2}L, \pm\frac{1}{2}L)$ of a cube, correctly gives the map of degree 4 in (4.8) and one could check if the construction reproduces a degree 28 map of the form (4.11) with similar coefficients a and b as above.

For the other type of crystal chunk, this algorithm for constructing the maps contributing to a multi-layer rational map ansatz fails, as it has the wrong realisation of the O_h symmetry. Nevertheless the double rational map ansatz should be useful for constructing the $B = 14$ crystal chunk (with massive pions). Suitable rational maps are presumably

$$R^{\text{in}}(z) = z, \quad (4.12)$$

$$R^{\text{out}}(z) = \frac{z(z^{12} - (7a + 26)z^8 + (6a - 39)z^4 + a)}{az^{12} + (6a - 39)z^8 - (7a + 26)z^4 + 1}, \quad (4.13)$$

for some real $a \neq 1$. The outer map, of degree 13, has been used in the original rational map ansatz to construct an O_h -symmetric $B = 13$ Skyrmion (with massless pions); this is not the Skyrmion of lowest energy, but has an energy about 1% higher [12]. The relative orientations of R^{in} and R^{out} are chosen carefully to maintain O_h symmetry. A slightly indirect way to check this is to look at the equation $R^{\text{out}}(z) = R^{\text{in}}(z)$. This reduces to

$$z(z^4 - 1)(z^8 + 14z^4 + 1) = 0, \quad (4.14)$$

where the left hand side is a product of two Klein polynomials, the face and vertex polynomials of a cube. The 14 roots (one of which is at infinity) correspond to the angular directions where baryon density is concentrated.

(There was a partly successful previous construction of a $B = 14$ Skyrmion with this structure, using as R^{in} the degree 7 map with icosahedral symmetry, and as R^{out} the same degree 7 map rotated by 90° [43]. Together these have tetrahedral symmetry T_h , but the field appears to relax to one with cubic symmetry. Starting with $B = 1$ inside and $B = 13$ outside should be better.)

The crystal chunk with $B = 63$ is even less understood. It should be possible to construct it by relaxing a three-layer rational map ansatz, the layers having degrees 1, 13 and 49. However, the degree 49 map is unknown. Its Wronskian will have 96 zeros, corresponding to six cubic faces each with 16 holes.

It is not clear whether it is easier to construct crystal chunks by cutting the infinite crystal suitably, or by building up layers using the rational map ansatz. The rational map approach (even if not used to construct solutions) has the useful feature that it can be used to calculate the Finkelstein–Rubinstein constraints on quantum states [28]. The relevant calculation for $B = 32$ is done in [63].

5 Chopping Corners off Crystal Chunks

For the Skyrmion solutions with massless pions, up to $B = 22$, there appears to be no systematic relationship between the Skyrmons with neighbouring baryon numbers. In this section we will argue that for massive pions, where fewer solutions are currently known, the Skyrmons are possibly more systematically related, at least in the range from $B = 24$ to $B = 44$. The proposed Skyrmion structures are obtained by locally modifying the crystal chunk structure of the $B = 32$ Skyrmion. The modification is either by chopping off one unit of baryon number from a corner or attaching two units of baryon number to a face. We have no numerical evidence that the structures obtained are the true minimal energy Skyrmons, but they should be relatively stable, and even if not minima, could correspond to classical structures underlying some excited nuclear states of low spin and their further rotational excitations. Nuclei frequently have rotational bands built on excited states (see e.g. [19] and references therein).

The key question is this. Is there a $B = 31$ Skyrmion that looks like the $B = 32$ cubic Skyrmion, but with one unit of baryon number removed from a corner, and the structure otherwise little changed? The answer is unknown, but a piece of evidence in favour is the relation between the familiar cubic $B = 4$ Skyrmion and tetrahedral $B = 3$ Skyrmion.

These latter Skyrmons can be oriented so that the fields near one vertex of each are rather similar, the only difference being that the angles between the tetrahedral edges are 60° , whereas between the cubic edges they are 90° . In both cases there is a C_3 symmetry around an axis through the vertex. Let us arrange that at the vertex $\pi_3 = 1$, with the other field components vanishing. Opposite this vertex and its neighbouring faces, the $B = 4$ Skyrmion is still quite complicated,

with three further faces and one opposite vertex where the field value is $\pi_3 = -1$. The $B = 3$ Skyrmion just has a hollow face opposite the chosen vertex, where the field value at the centre is $\pi_3 = 1$. If one circulates once around this face, then the field circulates twice around a loop close to the equatorial circle defined by $\pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2 = 1$ (the equatorial colour circle). Such a double winding is inevitable when a simple zero of the Wronskian is enclosed. Similarly, if one slices the $B = 4$ cube orthogonally to the diagonal connecting the chosen vertex and the opposite vertex, close to mid-way along, then the field also winds twice around the colour circle. In summary, one can regard the $B = 3$ Skyrmion as the $B = 4$ Skyrmion with a corner sliced off.

It follows that a mechanism to go from $B = 32$ to $B = 31$ is to remove one of the $B = 4$ corner subunits and to replace it with a $B = 3$ Skyrmion with the orientations the same and the tetrahedral vertex pointing inwards. Three outer faces of the $B = 32$ cube will disappear, and be replaced by one face orthogonal to a diagonal. One can also think of this mechanism as slicing off a $B = 1$ Skyrmion from the corner. The cubic symmetry is destroyed, but C_{3v} symmetry remains.

An attempt has been made to carry out this construction by numerically slicing off part of the crystal chunk and interpolating the fields to infinity.² However a problem was that in the $B = 32$ Skyrmion, the field value $U = -1$ occurs at eight groups of four almost coincident points. A slice tends to include all or none of a group, and the resulting Skyrmion, after relaxation, has baryon number 28 or 32. Removing just one $U = -1$ point should be possible, but it is necessary to distort the field first, all the while preserving C_{3v} symmetry.

A net loss of two exterior faces when a Skyrmion loses one unit of baryon number is what is expected from the multi-layer rational map ansatz, when the degree of the outer map is decreased by 1. We can be more explicit, and describe how to modify the outer map $R(z)$ near a cubic vertex at z_0 , so as to reduce B by 1. Let us suppose that the map is of degree D and oriented (in isospace) so that $R(z_0) = 0$. Then $R(z)$ has a linear factor $z - z_0$ in the numerator. Let z_1, z_2, z_3 be the locations closest to z_0 where the denominator vanishes (related by the C_3 symmetry about z_0). Then the denominator has a cubic factor $(z - z_1)(z - z_2)(z - z_3)$. Now take the limit as z_1, z_2, z_3 approach z_0 . One linear factor cancels between numerator and denominator, leaving $(z - z_0)^2$ in the denominator. The result is a map $R^-(z)$ of degree $D - 1$, taking the value $R^-(z_0) = \infty$, and because there is a double pole, the Wronskian vanishes at z_0 . This transformation has therefore reduced the baryon number by 1, and replaced a triplet of distinct holes in the outer faces of the cube by a single hole at the location where there was previously a vertex. The change in the value of the rational map from 0 to ∞ corresponds to the change of sign of π_3 noted when going from the $B = 4$ to $B = 3$ Skyrmion.

A further truncation may be desirable in larger crystal chunks. Here one would modify the numerator of the map R^- , so that three of its zeros approach z_0 . This would cancel the double zero in the denominator, leaving a single zero in the numerator. The effect would be to remove two further units of baryon number from the corner of the crystal chunk, i.e. three in total. To remove an entire $B = 4$ corner subunit will probably require modification of two rational map layers.

If we accept that it is possible to remove a $B = 1$ Skyrmion from one corner of the $B = 32$ Skyrmion, then it is likely that $B = 1$ Skyrmions can be removed from all eight corners, and in any order. These corners are far enough apart that their fields will not strongly influence each other. It is actually possible to remove any number, such that at least C_{3v} symmetry remains. For example, two can be removed on diagonally opposite vertices, leaving a D_{3d} symmetry, or three can be removed from a triangle of vertices orthogonal to a diagonal. Four can be removed from alternating vertices, preserving tetrahedral symmetry, T_d . This gives a sequence of at least C_{3v} -symmetric Skyrmions for all baryon numbers from $B = 32$ down to $B = 24$, with cubic symmetry at $B = 32$ and $B = 24$, and tetrahedral symmetry at $B = 28$. A cubically symmetric $B = 24$

²I am grateful to Paul Sutcliffe for trying this.

structure matches the alpha-particle model, where six alpha-particles are optimally arranged at the vertices of a regular octahedron [61]. The Skyrmion structures for $B = 28$ and $B = 32$ are more symmetric than in the alpha-particle model.

Further evidence for this corner cutting mechanism comes from considering the hollow $B = 13$ Skyrmion mentioned in Section 4, with cubic symmetry. This is a solution for massless pions, just slightly greater in energy than the solution of minimal energy. We know that there is a $B = 9$ Skyrmion with tetrahedral symmetry, and a $B = 5$ Skyrmion with cubic symmetry, both with slightly higher than minimal energy [31, 12]. The tetrahedral and octahedral forms of the $B = 9$ and $B = 5$ Skyrmion are just as expected from slicing four and eight corners off the $B = 13$ solution. Slicing corners off a cubic $B = 14$ Skyrmion is less promising. Here the result would always have a $B = 1$ Skyrmion at the centre, and this is probably destabilising when B is reduced to 10 or 6.

Adding baryon number to the $B = 32$ cubic Skyrmion is also possible. Attaching a $B = 4$ cubic chunk can be done in many ways but creates an object that is best thought of as two loosely bound clusters. More stable and symmetric objects are obtained by attaching two units of baryon number. A rather strongly bound object is probably produced by attaching a $B = 2$ torus to the centre of a face of the $B = 32$ Skyrmion (with the torus parallel to the face). The torus can be oriented in isospace so that the fields match at the central contact point and C_{4v} symmetry is preserved. In the resulting $B = 34$ Skyrmion the attached torus may appear as half of a partially embedded $B = 4$ cube.

If this construction is possible, and the result stable, then it should be possible to repeat it up to six times, attaching tori to each face. The resulting Skyrmions will have even baryon numbers up to $B = 44$, the most symmetric being the $B = 44$ Skyrmion with cubic symmetry. It should also still be possible to remove single units of baryon number from the corners, and in this way create quite a variety of Skyrmions with all baryon numbers in the range $B = 24$ to $B = 44$. Some of these will be quite close to spherical, and others less so. Just a few, with baryon numbers 24, 32, 36 and 44, will have cubic symmetry, and even fewer, with baryon numbers 28 and 40, tetrahedral symmetry. These larger symmetries constrain the possible quantised spins in a rotational band.

One way to think about the remaining crystal structure of these various Skyrmions is in terms of layers of half-Skyrmions orthogonal to the Cartesian axes, although this description starts to break down when Skyrmions are cut off from the corners of a cubic crystal chunk. The infinitely extended version of such a layer is a square lattice of half-Skyrmions. It is also possible to think in terms of layers orthogonal to the principal diagonals of the cube. These layers are hexagonal lattices of half-Skyrmions, and the way that neighbouring layers are arranged has recently been clarified by Silva Lobo and Ward [53]. It is known that a truncated piece of a single layer cannot be interpreted, even approximately, as a Skyrmion, or as being made of an integer number of $B = 1$ Skyrmions [10]. This is because of wrong boundary conditions above or below the layer. But a truncated double-layer structure has been found by Battye and Sutcliffe to form Skyrmions [14]. Among these, the solutions with $B = 12$ and maybe $B = 18$ have triangular symmetry.

The possible solutions we mentioned above, in the range $B = 24$ to $B = 32$, with cubic corners cut off, can be thought of as thicker stacks of truncated layers with triangular symmetry, although identifying the precise baryon number in each layer is not particularly clear. As an example, consider the $B = 32$ Skyrmion itself, made of 64 half-Skyrmions. Sliced orthogonally to a diagonal, there are layers with 1, 3, 6, 10, 12, 12, 10, 6, 3, 1 half-Skyrmions. These layers consist, alternately, of white and black half-Skyrmions. In particular the double layer structure in the middle, with 24 half-Skyrmions and baryon number 12, has D_{3d} symmetry and a regular hexagonal shape (although the two layers are individually non-regular hexagons). This appears similar, but not identical, to the $B = 12$ solution in [14]. It would be interesting if a $B = 22$

Skyrmion can be constructed from four triangular layers with 10,12,12,10 half-Skyrmions.

6 Spinning Skyrmions

In this section we consider the rigid body dynamics of a Skyrmion, which is used to model some of the spin and isospin states of nuclei with a given baryon number. The standard approach is that of collective coordinate quantisation. Here one considers a Skyrmion and all the related static solutions that differ only by a rotation, a rotation in isospace, or a translation. These all have the same energy and none is physically preferred. The space of static solutions is parametrised by collective coordinates (a point in \mathbb{R}^3 for the centre of mass, and $SO(3)$ Euler angles for the orientations in space and isospace). These coordinates are then taken to be time-dependent. Even though the resulting fields are not exact solutions, as no account of Lorentz contraction or centrifugal deformation or radiation associated with the rotations is considered, the approach is valid for non-relativistic motion, which is adequate for most of nuclear physics. The kinetic terms of the Skyrme Lagrangian (2.2), evaluated for these time-dependent fields, give a dynamical system that is essentially a rotor (a rigid body) that can translate, and rotate in space and isospace. The translational part is controlled by the Skyrmion rest mass, but for the rotational motion a more substantial calculation is needed, leading to numerical expressions for the coupled inertia tensors in both space and isospace [21, 17]. When the Skyrmion has symmetries, the inertia tensors simplify. This dynamical system with its purely kinetic Lagrangian is then quantised, following the usual rules for a rigid body. Ignoring the translational motion, which is rather trivial, one finds spin and isospin states that can be identified with those of nuclei having the given baryon number. It is a characteristic feature of the Skyrme model that one treats in a uniform way both spin excitations and isospin excitations as quantised collective motions. In conventional nuclear physics, spin is often treated collectively, leading to rotational bands, but isospin excitations are usually discussed more algebraically, with individual nucleons converting from proton to neutron or vice versa.

From the Skyrme model we obtain good rotational bands for several nuclei, e.g. for ^{12}C , and at the same time find spin states for isobars like ^{12}B and ^{12}Be [17]. Typically the isospin moments of inertia are smaller than the spin moments of inertia so the isospin excitations require more energy than spin excitations, in agreement with experimental spectra.

The quantisation procedure is supplemented by symmetry constraints. When a Skyrmion has discrete symmetries, involving combined rotations and isorotations, then these symmetries must leave the quantum state unchanged up to a sign. This significantly cuts the number of allowed states, and means they do not always lie in naive rotational bands. The signs are not arbitrary, but determined topologically, as argued by Finkelstein and Rubinstein [28]. For example, a 2π rotation is always a symmetry, but acts as $+1$ if B is even and -1 if B is odd. The quantisation of several Skyrmions up to $B = 32$ has been carried out, see e.g. [44, 33, 17, 63]. The calculation of the FR signs can be tricky, but has been made easier by an algorithm and formula of Krusch [36, 37].

Here we will not discuss any further the quantisation of collective coordinates, but discuss instead the classical approximation to collective coordinate motion. We will identify the classical approximation to a spin-polarised proton or neutron as a classically spinning hedgehog Skyrmion. The proton and neutron differ simply in the way the Skyrmion is oriented as it spins. We will identify these orientations by noting where the wavefunction of the quantised Skyrmion is maximal. We will then consider some other spinning Skyrmions, for example, the spinning toroidal $B = 2$ Skyrmion, and identify how one should classically model a polarised deuteron.

We start by describing the classical spinning Skyrmions that correspond most closely to the

spin $\frac{1}{2}$ proton and neutron. According to Adkins, Nappi and Witten [2], the wavefunctions of proton and neutron in spin up and spin down states are simple linear functions of the entries of the $SU(2)$ matrix that controls the orientation of the Skyrmion, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 + i\mathcal{A}_j\tau_j$ (where $\mathcal{A}_0^2 + \mathcal{A}_1^2 + \mathcal{A}_2^2 + \mathcal{A}_3^2 = 1$). These wavefunctions are

$$p^\uparrow = \frac{1}{\pi}(\mathcal{A}_1 + i\mathcal{A}_2), \quad n^\uparrow = \frac{i}{\pi}(\mathcal{A}_0 + i\mathcal{A}_3), \quad (6.1)$$

$$p^\downarrow = -\frac{i}{\pi}(\mathcal{A}_0 - i\mathcal{A}_3), \quad n^\downarrow = -\frac{1}{\pi}(\mathcal{A}_1 - i\mathcal{A}_2). \quad (6.2)$$

They are not highly localised on the $SU(2)$ 3-sphere, but we will treat them as if they were. Each wavefunction has maximal magnitude on a great circle of $SU(2)$, and we shall assume that classically, only the orientations on these circles occur.

Recall that in its standard hedgehog orientation, and with our colour scheme, the $B = 1$ Skyrmion has its white-black axis along the x_3 -axis, with white up and black down, and is coloured in the (x_1, x_2) plane. In the reversed orientation, obtained by a rotation by 180° , it is with black up and white down.

For a neutron in the spin up state n^\uparrow , the orientations that occur are those obtained from the standard orientation by a rotation about the x_3 -axis. The neutron is spinning with its white-black axis fixed, with white up, and the phase variation of the wavefunction implies that it is spinning anticlockwise about the positive x_3 -axis, as expected for a state with positive spin component relative to this axis. When the neutron is in the spin down state n^\downarrow , the orientations that occur are all those in which the Skyrmion has been rotated by 180° about an axis in the (x_1, x_2) plane. This means the white-black axis has reversed direction, and white is down. Again the Skyrmion is spinning, but in the opposite sense relative to the positive x_3 -axis. However, relative to the body fixed axis, that is, the (directed) white-black axis, the sense of spin is the same as before. A neutron state is always one where the spin is anticlockwise relative to the white-black axis. And this is not restricted to spin up and spin down states. By taking linear combinations one can obtain a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ state with spin up relative to any oriented axis in space. In each case, the white-black axis of the Skyrmion will be parallel to this spatial axis, and the neutron will spin anticlockwise.

The reader will not be surprised that all this repeats for proton states, except that the spin is always clockwise with respect to the white-black axis. For example, in the spin up proton state p^\uparrow , the spin is anticlockwise relative to the positive x_3 -axis, and therefore clockwise relative to the negative x_3 -axis. The Skyrmion orientation is such that the directed white-black axis coincides with the negative x_3 -axis.

The above discussion may appear to imply that a neutron has a chirality, since it is always spinning anticlockwise. However, this is illusory, because it depends on the white-black colouring scheme, which can be reversed.

Let us now turn to the deuteron. The deuteron in the Skyrme model is a rotational state of the $B = 2$ toroidal Skyrmion, with spin $J = 1$ and isospin 0. Earlier work established that the axis of rotation is not the axis of symmetry, but an axis orthogonal to this [21, 29]. The state with $J = 1$ and $J_3 = 1$ is easiest to consider. Considered classically, it is made up of a polarised proton and neutron. To see this, we again identify the classical orientations as those for which the quantum probability is maximal, and these are where the torus has its axis of symmetry lying in the (x_1, x_2) plane. The torus is “standing on its end”. It is also spinning anticlockwise about the positive x_3 -axis, so the symmetry axis rotates in the (x_1, x_2) plane. Since the isospin is zero, all possible orientations of the pion fields in isospace occur with equal probability. Let us pick one, where the field π_3 takes its value 1 at points along both the positive and negative x_3 -axis. The spinning $B = 2$ Skyrmion can now be interpreted as a pair of $B = 1$ Skyrmions, separated in the x_3 -direction, both spinning anticlockwise about the positive x_3 -axis. For the upper Skyrmion

($x_3 > 0$) the white-black axis is up, whereas for the lower Skyrmion it is down. Therefore the upper Skyrmion is a neutron with spin up and the lower one is a proton with spin up. They have partially merged to form the torus. This merging occurs where the colours (indicating the phase in the (π_1, π_2) plane) agree. For two hedgehog Skyrmions oppositely oriented, as here, this agreement occurs at a pair of points on opposite sides of the x_3 -axis, rotating steadily as the two Skyrmions spin. This is consistent with the picture of a rigidly rotating torus, with fixed colouring. Other orientations in isospace are equally likely, and if white and black are exchanged, the proton will be above the neutron. The state of the deuteron with $J = 1$ and $J_3 = -1$ is very similar. The torus, and its individual constituents, are spinning the opposite way.

The deuteron in its state with $J = 1$ and $J_3 = 0$ is described by a Skyrmion where the most likely spatial orientation is with the symmetry axis coinciding with the x_3 -axis. The torus is “lying down”. As there is no spin component along the x_3 -axis and no isospin, the most natural classical description is one where the torus is at rest. The only recollection that the quantum state has spin 1 is the fact that not all spatial orientations are equally likely. Here, unfortunately, it is less clear how to identify the proton and neutron constituents. One might resolve the ambiguity by thinking of this state as a quantum superposition of states with $J = 1$ and $J_1 = \pm 1$.

The ground states of ${}^3\text{He}$ and ${}^3\text{H}$, with spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and isospin $\frac{1}{2}$, are formally rather similar to the states of a proton and neutron. However the collective coordinates in space and isospace are independent, and we have not been able to identify if there is a preferred body-fixed axis of rotation of the tetrahedral $B = 3$ Skyrmion, analogous to the white-black axis of the $B = 1$ hedgehog. It would be worthwhile to clarify this.

The alpha-particle has spin and isospin zero. The corresponding ground state of the $B = 4$ Skyrmion has a wavefunction with no dependence on the collective coordinates. Classically, one would model this nucleus by a $B = 4$ Skyrmion at rest, with all orientations in space and isospace equally likely.

As a final example, we note that the ground state of ${}^6\text{Li}$ has spin 1 and isospin 0. The $B = 6$ Skyrmion has D_{4d} symmetry, and hence a C_4 axis of symmetry. In analogy with the deuteron, the component of spin along this axis has to vanish. Therefore, a polarised ${}^6\text{Li}$ nucleus is classically modelled by the $B = 6$ Skyrmion spinning around a body-axis orthogonal to the C_4 axis of symmetry.

Some of the excited states of Skyrmions have zero spin but non-zero isospin. These should also have an approximate classical description. The Skyrmion will not physically rotate, but its pion fields will rotate in isospace. Physical nuclei always have a well-defined third component of isospin, I_3 , as this is related to electric charge Q through the formula $Q = \frac{1}{2}B + I_3$. Such nuclei are modelled by Skyrmions where the fields rotate steadily around the colour circle, with the white and black points fixed. However the orientation of the colours relative to the Skyrmion spatial structure needs to be determined, and this can probably be done using the quantum states where these are known. It is likely that the white-black axis in isospace needs to coincide with a principal axis of the isorotational inertia tensor, in order for classical motion around this axis to be stationary. The lowest energy isospin excitations will occur when this axis is the one for which the moment of inertia is largest. Detailed examples, like the spin 0, isospin 2 ground state of ${}^8\text{He}$, which arises as a quantised collective state of the $B = 8$ Skyrmion, should be investigated.

7 Skyrmion-Skyrmion Collisions

Using the classical models of nuclei described in Section 6, we can qualitatively describe some nuclear reactions involving, especially, polarised nuclei with non-zero spins. Classically one can fix

the impact parameter, either at zero for a head-on collision, or at a larger value for a peripheral collision. A classical description has the best chance of being a good approximation when the nuclei are large and moving relatively fast, so that the gap in impact parameter between states with orbital angular momenta that differ by one unit (i.e. by \hbar) is small compared with the nuclear radius. This is the regime of heavy ion collisions. Also spins should not be small, as spin $\frac{1}{2}$ states are the least reliably classical. Our examples will not satisfy these criteria. Nevertheless we hope they are illuminating. Calculation of quantised Skyrmion scattering, as a model for nuclear reactions, was considered by Braaten [20], but is so hard that no case has been fully worked out. With a classical description available, numerical Skyrmion scattering should be possible, and it will be easier to consider collisions with a polarised beam and target, than the unpolarised case. One might obtain insight into some of the surprising results that have been experimentally observed, that are hard to understand with conventional two- and three-body nuclear forces [52, 51].

The classical scattering of two $B = 1$ Skyrmions has been investigated previously to some extent, both analytically and numerically [3, 20]. Also, numerical calculations of the scattering of Skyrmions with higher baryon number have been carried out [8], but with fixed and rather special choices for the initial orientations. To find an unpolarised cross section one needs to consider all possible relative orientations, as well as a range of impact parameters, making the computations prohibitive. However, we have seen that Skyrmions in polarised spin states have more precisely defined orientations, so the modelling of polarised nuclear collisions using spinning Skyrmions should require less computational effort.

Let us first consider proton and neutron collisions with each other, with beams parallel to the x_3 -axis. A polarised proton or neutron, polarised along the beam axis, has its white-black axis aligned with this axis, the direction depending on the polarisation state. In a proton-proton collision, where the polarisations relative to the positive x_3 -axis are opposite, or in a proton-neutron collision where the polarisations are the same, the collision involves Skyrmions approaching with the same colour (either white, or black) at the closest point of collision. This is an attractive arrangement of the Skyrmions, so the nuclear part of the force will be attractive. It is also the arrangement where in a head-on collision the $B = 2$ torus will be reached. After the collision the torus breaks up in a direction orthogonal to the beam direction, and there is 90° scattering of the nucleons [42, 8]. With the other polarisation combinations, the colours will be opposite and the force repulsive. Scattering angles will be larger in peripheral collisions, and in a head-on collision the scattering angle will be 180° . This difference will have a clear effect on the differential cross section. One might even be able to model polarisation flip due to the torques exerted by the colliding Skyrmions.

Other polarised nuclear collisions can also be modelled using Skyrmions, for example, collisions of a polarised deuteron with another nucleus. If the deuteron spin is polarised along the beam axis ($J_3 = \pm 1$), then it is described by a spinning torus, with its symmetry axis orthogonal to the beam and rotating. If the state is polarised with zero spin component along the beam ($J_3 = 0$), then the torus is not rotating, but has its symmetry axis along the beam.

It would be particularly interesting to model deuteron-deuteron collisions, by numerically colliding two $B = 2$ Skyrmions. For a close encounter to occur, the fields at closest approach should match, and this controls, to some extent, the relative orientations of the incoming nuclei. Particularly symmetric would be a collision of two deuterons moving parallel to the x_3 -axis, both with $J_3 = 0$ polarisation. Since deuterons have zero isospin, they do not have a preferred orientation in isospace. However, to attract at close distance, both Skyrmions should be oriented so that, for example, $\pi_3 = \pm 1$ on the x_3 -axis, with the same value, say $\pi_3 = 1$, on the side closer to the collision point. Such a collision can reach, with little distortion or twisting, the $B = 4$ cubic Skyrmion. One might be able to estimate the $d + d \rightarrow {}^4\text{He}$ fusion cross section by studying these collisions at various impact parameters. The total initial energy of the two incoming Skyrmions

(rest energy plus kinetic energy) is always greater than the rest energy of the $B = 4$ Skyrmion, so fusion requires dispersion of energy. In a peripheral collision, the $B = 4$ Skyrmion is produced in a spinning state (a quantised $J = 4$ state is allowed theoretically) which could then emit a gamma ray (if one included electromagnetic fields). In a head-on collision, the $B = 4$ Skyrmion is produced in an excited vibrational state, with energy tending to escape transversely to the collision axis. With enough energy one would expect to produce a space-star, that is, break-up into four $B = 1$ Skyrmions moving along axes separated by 90° in the centre of mass frame (see Fig. 9.11 in [44]). This could be a common outcome, as two deuterons with a modest amount of kinetic energy (required to surmount the Coulomb barrier) have enough energy to create four nucleons. The Skyrme model suggests that space-star production is strongly enhanced in this polarisation state, relative to others. If break-up does not occur, the vibrational energy could be dissipated by electromagnetic emissions.

8 Further Ideas

Using the classical model for a proton or neutron, we can make an attempt to model the spin-orbit interaction of a proton or neutron with a compact larger nucleus. The basic physical consequence of the spin-orbit force is that it is energetically favourable for spin and orbital angular momentum to be aligned, and this effect is strongest when the nucleon is close to the nuclear surface [30]. It means that a nucleon (represented by a Skyrmion) should roll around the other nucleus. It is not difficult to see that such a motion is preferred by the Skyrmion field structure and static forces that act. This reasoning is quite different from anything in conventional nuclear physics, as our Skyrmions are accompanied by a rather rigid classical pion field configuration. The basic process is best visualised by considering the $B = 1$ Skyrmion shown in Fig. 2 (image on left) rolling around a larger Skyrmion, and a good example is the $B = 6$ Skyrmion shown in Fig. 4 (image on left). For the $B = 1$ Skyrmion to be attracted to the $B = 6$ Skyrmion, the field colours should match where the Skyrmions are closest, as this minimises the field gradient energy. Suppose then that the $B = 1$ Skyrmion is in the standard orientation with white up, and attached to the $B = 6$ Skyrmion on its equator, with, say, the green colours touching. It is not favourable for the $B = 1$ Skyrmion to roll either upwards or downwards, because black and white regions repel, but very little potential energy is needed for the $B = 1$ Skyrmion to roll around the equator. The colour distributions make it clear that the colours continue to match as the rolling proceeds. This is no accident, and is a consequence of the baryon density being positive for both objects. (If the baryon numbers were opposite, the colours could match in all directions, but then annihilation would occur.)

In this rolling motion, the spin and orbital motions of the $B = 1$ Skyrmion are related. Most importantly, the spin is in the same sense as the orbital angular momentum around the larger object. In one orbit around the $B = 6$ Skyrmion, the touching colours circulate around the colour circle twice, and consequently, the $B = 1$ Skyrmion spins on its axis three times.

By inspecting the colour schemes of the $B = 4$ and $B = 32$ Skyrmions, one can see that there are many tracks around these Skyrmions, bounded by polygons of white and black points, along which a $B = 1$ Skyrmion could roll. So a spin-orbit force could act on several $B = 1$ Skyrmions simultaneously, leading to a preference for states where they have aligned spin and orbital angular momentum. This is what nuclear shell model phenomenology requires.

In Section 6 we discussed the rigid collective motions of Skyrmions. Closely related are modulated collective motions of Skyrmions. The idea here is to consider a collective motion, but regard it as slowly varying across a Skyrmion. An example is a translation in the x_1 -direction whose amplitude is x_1 -dependent. This is a stretching of a Skyrmion, and if time-dependent, leads to a vibrational motion. Similarly, a twist about the x_1 -axis with x_1 -dependent amplitude leads to

another type of vibration. Oscillatory motion is inevitable when the amplitude is small, because these modulated collective motions raise the potential energy of a Skyrmion. Break-up can occur when the amplitude is large. For a small set of Skyrmions, including those with $B = 2, 3, 4$ and 7 , calculations of the vibrational frequencies have been carried out [59, 5, 7]. Unfortunately, the quantised energies of the excited vibrational states are found to be so high that the corresponding nuclei would easily break up. However the calculations assume that the vibrational motion is harmonic, which is probably not justified for a typical amplitude that readily occurs in an excited quantum state. The potential energy of a typical Skyrmion vibrational mode will flatten out as the Skyrmion splits into subclusters. Indeed numerically, it was found necessary to restrict the classical vibrational amplitudes to be very small, which suggests that the quantum states are anharmonic. Vibrational motion of larger Skyrmions is likely to be closer to harmonic. For example, vibrations of the cubic $B = 32$ Skyrmion would be worthwhile to study, as the lowest excited states of ^{32}S appear to be vibrational [32].

Another type of modulated collective motion of a Skyrmion is a spatially modulated isorotation. This appears not to have been considered previously. For example, one can isorotate a Skyrmion (around some axis in isospace) by an angle that varies linearly across the Skyrmion, vanishing at the centre. This isotwist raises the potential energy, so again leads to an oscillatory motion. If the isorotation is around the π_3 -axis – the white-black axis – the effect is to create an oscillating electric dipole, and since this is a collective motion it corresponds to a giant dipole resonance. The dipole arises because at any instant half of the Skyrmion has a clockwise isospin while the other half has an anticlockwise isospin, which generates local electric charge densities with opposite signs. Half a period later the motion is reversed, so the dipole sign is reversed too. In this Skyrmion description, unlike in the usual picture of a giant resonance in nuclei, protons and neutrons do not physically move. Only the field oscillates, consistent with an oscillating flow of charged pions across the nucleus. It would be interesting to study this collective motion quantitatively for a range of Skyrmions.

A long-standing challenge in the context of the Skyrme model is to identify quark structure inside nucleons and nuclei. The usual argument is that the Skyrme model is a large N_c , low-energy limit of QCD, where baryons are composed of N_c quarks (with N_c odd) [62]. So one should not expect to find three quarks per baryon. However, there does seem to be a triplet structure in the colour scheme that is useful for describing Skyrmion solutions. This might complement the white-black labelling of half-Skyrmions that has been useful in several ways to keep track of static Skyrmion structure, and of Skyrmion spin polarisations.

Suppose, within any Skyrmion, we identify the points where $\sigma = 0$ and $\hat{\pi}$ takes its values corresponding to the primary colours red, blue and green on the colour circle, as quarks. The quark colours (in the QCD sense) can be taken to be the same as these field colours. Then a Skyrmion of baryon number B will have B quarks of each colour if its baryon density is everywhere non-negative, because of the topological meaning of the degree. (Antiquarks can occur if the field values above are at locations of negative baryon density, but the net quark number is always $3B$.) These quarks are confined, because a third of a Skyrmion cannot be spatially isolated.³

In a $B = 1$ hedgehog Skyrmion, the three quarks are always arranged at the vertices of an equilateral triangle, and the orientation of the triangle determines the orientation of the Skyrmion. The quarks therefore carry useful dynamical information. One could model a spinning Skyrmion in terms of moving quarks subject to some force law. Quarks of different colours need to be separated, as the field cannot have two distinct values at one point, but quarks of the same colour can coalesce into diquarks. This is seen in the $B = 4$ Skyrmion, in its standard orientation shown in Fig. 3. The red field value occurs with multiplicity two at two face centres, so the four red quarks appear as

³A similar notion of quark structure in a two-dimensional Baby Skyrmion model is discussed in the recent paper [34].

two diquarks. Similarly for blue and green. Other isospace orientations are possible. For example there could be quarks at the centre of each edge of the cube.

This quark idea is not fully worked out, and may be quite wrong. In particular the quark flavours (up and down) have not been identified, nor have the quark spins. They might become clearer by considering the nucleon and delta quantum states of the $B = 1$ Skyrmion.

9 Conclusions

In this paper we have outlined how the range of known solutions of the Skyrme model could be extended to encompass a wider range of nuclei and a wider range of physical phenomena. The idea that medium-size and larger nuclei should be related to truncated pieces of the Skyrme crystal, with a realistic positive value for the pion mass parameter, is not novel. However, until now, very few Skyrmions have been found with a clear crystal structure. We have suggested that many more solutions could be constructed by chopping corners off cubic crystal chunks, thereby removing one or three units of baryon number, or by adding two units of baryon number to a face. It is a challenge to study the geometry and energetics of this in detail. We have shown how the multi-layer rational map ansatz can be helpful both as a starting point for constructing truncated crystal chunks, and for giving insight into these structures. We have also indicated how some relevant maps could be calculated. With more detailed information on the solutions and their energies, one might be able to pinpoint the type of shell structure that is preferred in the Skyrme model.

It is a standard criticism of the Skyrme model that crystalline structures are too rigid for modelling nuclei, as there is strong evidence that nucleons within nuclei have significant kinetic energy, and hence uncertain positions. Despite this, there is also evidence that nucleons are strongly correlated, for example into subclusters. The Skyrmion crystal structures may be compatible with these apparently contradictory features of nuclei, because they are crystals of half-Skyrmions, and the nucleon coordinates are rather ill-defined, if at all. The crystal also has deuteron and alpha-particle substructures, made of four and eight half-Skyrmions, respectively. These substructures appear in many different, overlapping ways. This could resolve the traditional problem of whether deuterons, alpha-particles etc. are pre-formed or not in large nuclei. The Skyrme model says both yes and no.

We have also described in more detail than previously how certain classically spinning Skyrmions can be identified with polarised nuclei. In particular, we have identified the orientations of spinning $B = 1$ hedgehog Skyrmions that describe the proton and neutron, and we have discussed how rolling classical Skyrmions could explain the spin-orbit interaction between nucleons and larger nuclei. Collisions of spinning Skyrmions could be especially effective for investigating the outcomes of polarised nuclear collisions, and for studying phenomena like deuteron and alpha-particle knock-out.

Finally we have presented a speculative idea on the identification of quarks within Skyrmions.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly carried out during the author's visits to RIKEN, Wako, Japan and to the Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham. The author thanks Koji Hashimoto for hospitality at RIKEN, and Kimiko Sekiguchi for discussions and a tour of the RIKEN polarised deuteron facility. He thanks Paul Sutcliffe, Richard Ward and Jorge Silva Lobo for discussions in Durham

and at the GROUP28 Colloquium at Northumbria University, Newcastle.

References

- [1] G.S. Adkins and C.R. Nappi, The Skyrme model with pion masses. *Nucl. Phys.* **B233** (1984) 109.
- [2] G.S. Adkins, C.R. Nappi and E. Witten, Static properties of nucleons in the Skyrme model. *Nucl. Phys.* **B228** (1983) 552.
- [3] A.E. Alder, S.E. Koonin, R. Seki and H.M. Sommermann, Dynamics of Skyrmion collisions in 3+1 dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59** (1987) 2836.
- [4] R.D. Amado, Bin Shao and N.R. Walet, The Skyrme model of the spin-orbit force. *Phys. Lett.* **B314** (1993) 159; Erratum: *ibid.* **B324** (1994) 467.
- [5] C. Barnes, K. Baskerville and N. Turok, Normal modes of the B=4 Skyrmion. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 367.
- [6] W.K. Baskerville, Making nuclei out of the Skyrme crystal. *Nucl. Phys.* **A596** (1996) 611.
- [7] W.K. Baskerville, Vibrational spectrum of the B = 7 Skyrme soliton. hep-th/9906063.
- [8] R.A. Battye and P.M. Sutcliffe, Multi-soliton dynamics in the Skyrme model, *Phys. Lett.* **B391** (1997) 150.
- [9] R.A. Battye and P.M. Sutcliffe, Symmetric Skyrmions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 363.
- [10] R.A. Battye and P.M. Sutcliffe, A Skyrme lattice with hexagonal symmetry. *Phys. Lett.* **B416** (1998) 385.
- [11] R.A. Battye and P.M. Sutcliffe, Solitonic fullerene structures in light atomic nuclei. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** (2001) 3989.
- [12] R.A. Battye and P.M. Sutcliffe, Skyrmions, fullerenes and rational maps. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **14** (2002) 29.
- [13] R.A. Battye and P.M. Sutcliffe, Skyrmions and the pion mass. *Nucl. Phys.* **B705** (2005) 384.
- [14] R.A. Battye and P.M. Sutcliffe, Skyrmions with massive pions. *Phys. Rev.* **C73** (2006) 055205.
- [15] R.A. Battye, S. Krusch and P.M. Sutcliffe, Spinning Skyrmions and the Skyrme parameters. *Phys. Lett.* **B626** (2005) 120.
- [16] R.A. Battye, N.S. Manton and P.M. Sutcliffe, Skyrmions and the α -particle model of nuclei. *Proc. R. Soc.* **A463** (2007) 261.
- [17] R.A. Battye, N.S. Manton, P.M. Sutcliffe and S.W. Wood, Light nuclei of even mass number in the Skyrme model. *Phys. Rev.* **C80** (2009) 034323.
- [18] C. Beck et al., Clusters in light nuclei. *Acta Phys. Pol.* **B42** (2011) 747.
- [19] H.G. Bohlen et al., Structures in ^{20}O from the $^{14}\text{C}(^7\text{Li}, p)$ reaction at 44 MeV. *Eur. Phys. J.* **A47** (2011) 44.
- [20] E. Braaten, Nucleon-nucleon scattering amplitudes and the classical scattering of Skyrmions. *Phys. Rev.* **D37** (1988) 2026.

- [21] E. Braaten and L. Carson, Deuteron as a toroidal Skyrmion. *Phys. Rev.* **D38** (1998) 3525.
- [22] E. Braaten, S. Townsend and L. Carson, Novel structure of static multisoliton solutions in the Skyrme model. *Phys. Lett.* **B235** (1990) 147.
- [23] G.E. Brown and M. Rho (eds.), *The Multifaceted Skyrmion*. World Scientific, Singapore, 2010.
- [24] L. Carson, $B = 3$ nuclei as quantized multi-Skyrmions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66** (1991) 1406.
- [25] L. Castillejo, P.S.J. Jones, A.D. Jackson, J.J.M. Verbaarschot and A. Jackson, Dense Skyrmion systems, *Nucl. Phys.* **A501** (1989) 801.
- [26] L.D. Faddeev, Some comments on the many dimensional solitons. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **1** (1976) 289.
- [27] R.P. Feynman, *What Do You Care What Other People Think?* Unwin Hyman, London, 1988.
- [28] D. Finkelstein and J. Rubinstein, Connection between spin, statistics and kinks. *J. Math. Phys.* **9** (1968) 1762.
- [29] J.L. Forest, V.R. Pandharipande, S.C. Pieper, R.B. Wiringa, R. Schiavilla and A. Arriaga, Femtometer toroidal structures in nuclei. *Phys. Rev.* **C54** (1996) 646.
- [30] P.E. Hodgson, E. Gadioli and E. Gadioli Erba, *Introductory Nuclear Physics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1997.
- [31] C.J. Houghton, N.S. Manton and P.M. Sutcliffe, Rational maps, monopoles and Skyrmions. *Nucl. Phys.* **B510** (1998) 507.
- [32] F. Ingebretsen et al., Evidence for vibrational excited states in ^{32}S . *Nucl. Phys.* **A161** (1971) 433.
- [33] P. Irwin, Zero mode quantization of multi-Skyrmions. *Phys. Rev.* **D61** (2000) 114024.
- [34] J. Jäykkä, M. Speight and P. Sutcliffe, Broken Baby Skyrmions. arXiv:1106.1125.
- [35] V.B. Kopeliovich, Quantization of the axially-symmetric system's rotations in the Skyrme model (in Russian). *Yad. Fiz.* **47** (1988) 1495.
- [36] S. Krusch, Homotopy of rational maps and the quantization of Skyrmions. *Ann. Phys.* **304** (2003) 103.
- [37] S. Krusch, Finkelstein–Rubinstein constraints for the Skyrme model with pion masses. *Proc. R. Soc.* **A462** (2006) 2001.
- [38] M. Kugler and S. Shtrikman, A new Skyrmion crystal, *Phys. Lett.* **B208** (1988) 491; Skyrmion crystals and their symmetries, *Phys. Rev.* **D40** (1989) 3421.
- [39] R.A. Leese, N.S. Manton and B.J. Schroers, Attractive channel Skyrmions and the deuteron. *Nucl. Phys.* **B442** (1995) 228.
- [40] O.V. Manko and N.S. Manton, On the spin of the $B = 7$ Skyrmion. *J. Phys.* **A40** (2007) 3683.
- [41] N.S. Manton, Geometry of Skyrmions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987) 469.
- [42] N.S. Manton, Is the $B = 2$ Skyrmion axially symmetric?, *Phys. Lett.* **B192** (1987) 177.
- [43] N.S. Manton and B.M.A.G. Piette, Understanding Skyrmions using rational maps. *Prog. Math.* **201** (2001) 469.

- [44] N. Manton and P. Sutcliffe, *Topological Solitons* (Chapter 9). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [45] N.S. Manton and P.M. Sutcliffe, unpublished.
- [46] N.S. Manton and S.W. Wood, Reparametrising the Skyrme model using the lithium-6 nucleus. *Phys. Rev.* **D74** (2006) 125017.
- [47] U.-G. Meissner and I. Zahed, Skyrmions in the presence of vector mesons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** (1986) 1035.
- [48] P. Mueller et al., Nuclear charge radius of ^8He . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2007) 252501.
- [49] D.O. Riska and B. Schwesinger, The isospin independent spin-orbit interaction in the Skyrme model. *Phys. Lett.* **B229** (1989) 339.
- [50] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, Low energy hadron physics in holographic QCD. *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **113** (2005) 843.
- [51] K. Sekiguchi et al., Three-nucleon force effects in the $1\text{H}(\vec{d}, \vec{p}p)n$ reaction at 135 MeV/nucleon. *Phys. Rev.* **C79** (2009) 054008.
- [52] H.R. Setze et al., Verification of the space-star anomaly in nd breakup. *Phys. Lett.* **B388** (1996) 229.
- [53] J. Silva Lobo and R.S. Ward, Skyrmion multi-walls. *J. Phys.* **A42** (2009) 482001.
- [54] M.A. Singer and P.M. Sutcliffe, Symmetric instantons and Skyrme fields, *Nonlinearity* **12** (1999) 987.
- [55] T.H.R. Skyrme, A nonlinear field theory. *Proc. R. Soc.* **A260** (1961) 127.
- [56] T.H.R. Skyrme, A unified field theory of mesons and baryons. *Nucl. Phys.* **31** (1962) 556.
- [57] P.M. Sutcliffe, Skyrmions in a truncated BPS theory. *JHEP* **1104** (2011) 045.
- [58] W. von Oertzen et al., Molecular and cluster structures in ^{18}O . *Eur. Phys. J.* **A43** (2010) 17.
- [59] N.R. Walet, Quantising the $B = 2$ and $B = 3$ Skyrmion systems. *Nucl. Phys.* **A606** (1996) 429.
- [60] T.S. Walhout, Quantizing the four-baryon Skyrmion. *Nucl. Phys.* **A547** (1992) 423.
- [61] W. Wefelmeier, Ein geometrisches Modell des Atomkerns. *Z. f. Physik* **A107** (1937) 332.
- [62] E. Witten, Current algebra, baryons, and quark confinement. *Nucl. Phys.* **B223** (1983) 433.
- [63] S.W. Wood, *Skyrmions and Nuclei*. Cambridge University PhD thesis, 2009 (unpublished).