

THE CLASSIFICATION OF WADA-TYPE REPRESENTATIONS OF BRAID GROUPS

TETSUYA ITO

ABSTRACT. We give a classification of Wada-type representations of the braid groups and solutions of the set-theoretical Yang-Baxter equation of the free groups. As a consequence, we prove Wada's conjecture affirmatively: There are only seven types of Wada-type representations up to certain symmetries.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let B_n be the braid group of n -strands defined by the presentation

$$B_n = \left\langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \left| \begin{array}{ll} \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i = \sigma_j \sigma_i \sigma_j & |i - j| = 1 \\ \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i & |i - j| > 1 \end{array} \right. \right\rangle.$$

The braid group B_n is naturally identified with $MCG(D_n, \partial D_n)$, the relative mapping class group of the n -punctured disc $D_n = D^2 - \{n\text{-points}\}$. The *Artin representation* is a homomorphism $\Phi : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_n)$ induced by the action of B_n on the group $\pi_1(D_n) = F_n$, where F_n represents the free group of rank n . For a suitable choice of free generators x_1, \dots, x_n of F_n , Φ is written as

$$[\Phi(\sigma_i)](x_j) = \begin{cases} x_{i+1} & (j = i) \\ x_{i+1}^{-1} x_i x_{i+1} & (j = i + 1) \\ x_j & (j \neq i, i + 1). \end{cases}$$

Now we consider the generalization of the Artin representations. Let $\tau : F_2 \rightarrow F_2$ be an automorphism of the free group of rank two generated by x and y . Such an automorphism is determined by $\tau(x) = W(x, y)$ and $\tau(y) = V(x, y)$, so we will write $\tau = \tau_{W,V}$ by using a pair of reduced words ($W = W(x, y), V = V(x, y)$) on $\{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, let τ_i be an automorphism of F_n defined by

$$\tau_i = id_{F_{i-1}} * \tau * id_{F_{n-i-1}} : F_n = F_{i-1} * F_2 * F_{n-i-1} \rightarrow F_{i-1} * F_2 * F_{n-i-1} = F_n.$$

By using free generators x_1, \dots, x_n of F_n , τ_i is given as

$$\tau_i(x_j) = \begin{cases} W(x_i, x_{i+1}) & (j = i) \\ V(x_i, x_{i+1}) & (j = i + 1) \\ x_j & (j \neq i, i + 1). \end{cases}$$

We say a representation of the braid group $\rho : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_n)$ is a *Wada-type representation* if $\rho(\sigma_i) = \tau_i$ for some automorphism $\tau = \tau_{W,V}$. In this situation we say a pair of reduced words (W, V) *defines* a Wada-type representation. The Artin representation is a Wada-type representation defined by a pair of words $(y, y^{-1}xy)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F36, Secondary 16T25.

Key words and phrases. Braid groups, Wada-type representation, Set-theoretical Yang-Baxter equation, (bi)rack, (bi)quandle.

In [5], Wada initiated the study of Wada-type representations and gave a list of Wada-type representations by using computer experiments. Wada's motivation was to construct group-valued invariants of links, which are obtained by imitating the presentation of the link groups via the Artin representation [1], [5]. Recently, it is also observed that Wada-type representations are closely related to the (bi)rack and (bi)quandles, the algebraic objects encoding the combinatorics of (virtual) knot diagrams [3]. Moreover, Wada's group invariants are generalized by Crisp-Paris [2] by using more general groups and the author [4] by using quandles.

The aim of this paper is to give the classification of Wada-type representations of the braid groups. We show that Wada's list in [5] is complete, so modulo some natural symmetry, there are essentially only seven types of Wada-type representations. In fact, we will classify more general objects: The solutions of the equation on the free groups, called the *set-theoretical Yang-Baxter equation* on free groups.

Let $\tilde{\tau} : F_2 \times F_2 \rightarrow F_2 \times F_2$ be a map defined by $\tilde{\tau}(x, y) = (W(x, y), V(x, y))$ where W, V are reduced words on $\{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\}$. The *set-theoretical Yang-Baxter equation on free groups* (we will refer as *SYBEF* in short) is an equation for endomorphisms of $F_2 \times F_2 \times F_2$,

$$(id \times \tilde{\tau})(\tilde{\tau} \times id)(id \times \tilde{\tau}) = (\tilde{\tau} \times id)(id \times \tilde{\tau})(\tilde{\tau} \times id).$$

We say (W, V) is a solution of SYBEF if $\tilde{\tau}_{W,V}$ is a solution of SYBEF. It is obvious that if $\tau_{W,V}$ is a Wada-type representation, then $\tilde{\tau}_{W,V}$ is a solution of SYBEF. So Wada-type representations are special one of the solutions of SYBEF.

It is convenient to represent $\tilde{\tau}$ (or, $\tau_{W,V}$) diagrammatically as in Figure 1 left.




FIGURE 1. Diagrammatic representation of SYBEF and duality, symmetry

By direct computations, (W, V) is a solution of SYBEF if and only if (W, V) satisfies the following three conditions [T],[M] and [B].

$$[T] \quad W(W(x, y), W(V(x, y), z)) = W(x, W(y, z)).$$

$$[M] \quad V(W(x, y), W(V(x, y), z)) = W(V(x, W(y, z)), V(y, z)).$$

$$[B] \quad V(V(x, y), z) = V(V(x, W(y, z)), V(y, z)).$$

These three conditions can be understood diagrammatically by Figure 2, and the conditions [T],[M], and [B] represent the compatibility conditions for the labellings on *top*, *middle*, and *bottom* strands respectively.

If (W, V) is a solution of SYBEF, then the *dual solution* $(\overline{W}, \overline{V})$, defined by

$$\overline{W}(x, y) = V(y, x), \quad \overline{V}(x, y) = W(y, x)$$

is also a solution of SYBEF. This duality is expressed diagrammatically as in Figure 1 middle.

A solution of SYBEF (W, V) defines a Wada-type representation if and only if $\tau_{W,V}$ is an automorphism. If $\tau_{W,V}$ is an automorphism, then there is an additional




FIGURE 2. Diagrammatic expression of the Set-theoretical Yang-Baxter Equation on Free groups

symmetry: Let (W^r, V^r) be a pair of reduced word such that $\tau_{W,V}^{-1} = \tau_{W^r, V^r}$. Then (W^r, V^r) also defines a Wada-type representation, hence it is also a solution of SYBEF. We call this solution (W^r, V^r) the *inverse solution* of (W, V) . This symmetry is expressed diagrammatically as Figure 1 right.

We remark that in [5], Wada mentioned that the dual solution is derived from the symmetry on the free group involution $\iota : F_2 \rightarrow F_2$ given by $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ and $y \mapsto y^{-1}$, but it is not true. The dual solution is derived from the symmetry of the SYBEF under the reversal involution $\text{rev} : F_2 \times F_2 \times F_2 \mapsto F_2 \times F_2 \times F_2$ given by $(x, y, z) \mapsto (z, y, x)$. In fact, the dual solution also exists for each solution of the set-theoretical theoretical Yang-Baxter equation on (free) monoids, which does not have inverse symmetry.

Now we give a main result of this paper. We will denote the empty word by ϕ .

Theorem 1 (Classification of the solutions of SYBEF). *A pair of reduced words (W, V) on $\{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\}$ is a solution of SYBEF if and only if (W, V) or its dual is one of the following list.*

- (1) $(W, V) = (\phi, x^m)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$).
- (2) $(W, V) = (\phi, y)$.
- (3) $(W, V) = (\phi, xy)$.
- (4) $(W, V) = (x, x^m)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$).
- (5) $(W, V) = (x, y)$.
- (6) $(W, V) = (y, x^{-1})$.
- (7) $(W, V) = (y, y^{-m}xy^m)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$).
- (8) $(W, V) = (y, yx^{-1}y)$.
- (9) $(W, V) = (y^{-1}, x^{-1})$.
- (10) $(W, V) = (y^{-1}, yxy)$.
- (11) $(W, V) = (xy^{-1}x^{-1}, xy^2)$.
- (12) $(W, V) = (x^{-1}y^{-1}x, y^2x)$.
- (13) $(W, V) = (y^s, x^m)$ ($s, m \in \mathbb{Z}$).

If we restrict our attention to Wada-type representations (5)–(12), and if we remove the solution (12), whose dual solution is the inverse solution of (11), then

we get the same list of Wada-type representations in [5].

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists. The author would like to thank his supervisor Toshitake Kohno for a helpful conversation.

2. CLASSIFICATION

Our proof of the classification theorem is rather elementary and combinatorial, and is based on a careful analysis on cancellations of subwords.

A word on $\{x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}\}$ defines an element of F_n , the rank n free group generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. To distinguish elements of F_n and representing words, for two words W and V we denote by $W \equiv V$ if they are the same as words and denote by $W = V$ if they represent the same elements of F_n . A word W is called *reduced* if W contains no subwords of the form $x_i^{\pm 1} x_i^{\mp 1}$. An operation to delete the subword of the form $x_i^{\pm 1} x_i^{\mp 1}$ is called a *reducing operation*.

By applying reducing operations repeatedly, every word W is changed to the unique reduced word $\text{red}(W)$ which satisfies $W = \text{red}(W)$. We say a subword A in W is *not canceled* in W if for any sequence of reducing operations

$$W \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{red}(W)$$

each reducing operation $W_i \rightarrow W_{i+1}$ preserve the subword A . That is, each reducing operation does not involve the letters in A .

In our proof of the classification theorem, we will frequently use the following simple fact.

Lemma 1. *Let W and V be words on $\{x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}\}$ such that $W = V$. Let $A \equiv x_1^{\pm 1} \dots$ be the common subword in W and V . Thus, $W \equiv W'AW''$ and $V \equiv V'AV''$ holds for some words W', W'', V' and V'' . Assume that W' and V' contains no $x_1^{\pm 1}$ and subword A is not canceled in both W and V . Then $W' = V'$.*

Now we start to prove the classification theorem. Let $W = W(x, y)$ and $V = V(x, y)$ are reduced words on $\{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\}$. First we analyze a generic case: we assume that $W(x, y)$ contains at least one $y^{\pm 1}$ and $V(x, y)$ contains at least one $x^{\pm 1}$. The special case, the case $W(x, y) \equiv x^m$ or $V(x, y) = y^m$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$) will be treated in later.

Lemma 2. *Let (W, V) be a solution of SYBEF. Assume that $W \equiv x^p y^s \dots$ ($s > 0$), and that $V(x, y)$ contains at least one $x^{\pm 1}$. Then,*

- (1) $W(x, y)^p V(x, y)^p = x^p y^p$.
- (2) if $s \neq 1$, $(W, V) \equiv (y^s, x^m)$.

Proof. By [T], we have

$$W(x, y)^p V(x, y)^p z^s \dots = x^p y^p z^s \dots$$

Since W and V are reduced, in both sides the subwords z^s are not canceled. So by Lemma 1 $W(x, y)^p V(x, y)^p = x^p y^p$.

To show (2), first we consider the case $W(x, y) \equiv x^p y^s x^{-p}$. Then by (1), $x^p y^{ps} x^{-p} V(x, y)^p = x^p y^p$ so $V(x, y)^p = x^p y^{p(1-s)}$. Since $x^p y^{p(1-s)}$ is primitive, that is, as an element of F_n $x^p y^{p(1-s)}$ admits no non-trivial root, $p = 0$ or $p = \pm 1$.

If $p = 0$, then $W(x, y) \equiv y^s$. Then by [M], $V(y^s, z^s) = V(y, z)^s$ holds. This is possible only if $s = 1$, or $V(y, z) \equiv y^m, z^m$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$). Since we have assumed that

$V(x, y)$ contains at least one $x^{\pm 1}$, we conclude if $s \neq 1$, then $(W, V) \equiv (y^s, x^m)$, the solution (13) in Theorem 1.

If $p = 1$, then $W(x, y) \equiv xy^s x^{-1}$ and $V(x, y) \equiv xy^{1-s}$. Then by [B], $xy^{1-s} z^{1-s} = xyz^{s(1-s)} y^{-1} (yz^{1-s})^{1-s}$. This equality is satisfied only if $s = 1$. Similarly, if $p = -1$ then by [B] $z^{1-s} y^{1-s} x = (z^{1-s} y)^s y^{-1} z^{s(1-s)} yx$. This equality cannot be satisfied for $s > 0$. This completes the proof for the case $W(x, y) \equiv x^p y^s x^{-p}$.

Next we assume that $W(x, y) \not\equiv x^p y^s x^{-p}$. If $s > 1$, then $\text{red}(W(x, y)^s) \equiv x^p y^s x^q y^r \dots$ for $q, r \neq 0$. Therefore by [T], we have

$$W(x, y)^p V(x, y)^p z^s V(x, y)^q z^r \dots = x^p y^p z^s y^q z^r \dots$$

The subwords z^s and z^r are not canceled in both sides, so by Lemma 1, $V(x, y)^q = y^q$. Since $q \neq 0$, $V(x, y) = y$. This contradicts the hypothesis. \square

Propotition 1. *Let (W, V) be a solution of SYBEF. Assume that $W \equiv x^p y^s \dots$, ($s > 0$) and that $V(x, y)$ contains at least one $x^{\pm 1}$. Then $W(x, y) \equiv x^p y x^q$ or $W(x, y) = y^s$.*

Proof. We have already seen that if $s \neq 1$, then $(W, V) = (y^s, x^m)$ in the proof of Lemma 2. Hence we assume that $s = 1$. Assume that W is written as $W \equiv x^p y x^q y^r \dots$, $q, r \neq 0$. By [T], we have

$$W(x, y)^p (V(x, y)^p z V(x, y)^q z^r \dots) \dots = x^p (y^p z y^q z^r \dots) \dots$$

Since $s = 1$, the subwords z and z^r are not canceled so $V(x, y)^q = y^q$. Then $q \neq 0$ implies $V(x, y) = y$. This contradicts the hypothesis. \square

Next we study the case $W \equiv x^p y^{-s} \dots$ ($s > 0$). The argument is similar, but we need to consider the initial part of the word W^{-1} , so we must take care of the suffix of W as well.

Lemma 3. *Let (W, V) be a solution of SYBEF. Assume that $W \equiv x^p y^{-s} \dots \equiv \dots y^{-r} x^{-q}$ ($s > 0, r \neq 0$), and that $V(x, y)$ contains at least one $x^{\pm 1}$. Then,*

- (1) $W(x, y)^p V(x, y)^q = x^p y^q$.
- (2) if $s \neq 1$, $(W, V) \equiv (y^{-s}, x^m)$.

Proof. Observe that $W^{-1} \equiv x^q y^r \dots$. Thus, by [T] we have

$$W(x, y)^p V(x, y)^q z^r \dots = x^p y^q z^r \dots$$

The subwords z^r are not canceled, so $W(x, y)^p V(x, y)^q = x^p y^q$.

To show (2), first we consider the case $W(x, y) \equiv x^p y^{-s} x^{-p}$. Then by a similar argument of the proof of Lemma 2, we conclude $s = 1$ unless $(W, V) = (y^{-s}, x^m)$.

Thus we assume that $W(x, y) \not\equiv x^p y^{-s} x^{-p}$. If $s > 1$, then $\text{red}(W^{-s}) = x^q y^r x^{q'} y^{r'} \dots$ where $q', r' \neq 0$. By [T],

$$W(x, y)^p V(x, y)^q z^r V(x, y)^{q'} z^{r'} \dots = x^p y^p z^r y^{q'} z^{r'} \dots$$

The subwords $z^{r'}$ and z^r are not canceled, so $V(x, y)^{q'} = y^{q'}$. Then $q' \neq 0$ implies $V(x, y) = y$. This contradicts the hypothesis. \square

The following Proposition is proved in the same way as Proposition 1.

Propotition 2. *Let (W, V) be a solution of SYBEF. Assume that $W \equiv x^p y^{-s} \dots \equiv \dots y^{-r} x^{-q}$ ($s > 0, r \neq 0$), and that $V(x, y)$ contains at least one $x^{\pm 1}$. Then $W(x, y) \equiv x^p y^{-1} x^q$ or $W(x, y) = y^{-s}$.*

Summarizing, we obtain candidates of general solutions of SYBEF.

Proposition 3. *Let (W, V) be a solution of SYBEF. Assume that W contains at least one $y^{\pm 1}$ and V contains at least one $x^{\pm 1}$. Moreover, assume that $(W, V) \neq (y^s, x^m)$. Then,*

- (1) $W(x, y) \equiv x^p y^\varepsilon x^q$, $V(x, y) \equiv y^r x^\delta y^s$, where $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon, \delta \in \{\pm 1\}$.
- (2) $pqr s = 0$.

Proof. (1) for $W(x, y)$ follows from Proposition 1 and Proposition 2. To prove (1) for $V(x, y)$ we just consider the dual solution.

To show (2), we observe that by [M],

$$[(y^r \underline{x}^\delta y^s)^p \underline{z}^\varepsilon (y^r x^\delta y^s)^q]^r \dots = [(y^p \underline{z}^\varepsilon y^q)^r \underline{x}^\delta (y^p z^\varepsilon y^q)^s]^p \dots$$

Now underlined subwords \underline{x}^δ and $\underline{z}^\varepsilon$ are not canceled. Thus, we observe that if $pqr s \neq 0$, then we get the relation of the form $W(x, y)^N = y^N$ or $V(y, z)^N = y^N$ for some $N \neq 0$ by seeing non-canceled subwords of the form x^* or z^* derived from the underlined subwords. This contradicts the hypothesis, hence $pqr s = 0$.

To illustrate a precise argument, let us consider the case $r > 0$ and $p > 0$, for example. Then the subwords x^δ are not canceled, hence we get $y^r = W(y, z)^r$ by Lemma 1. This implies $W(y, z) = y$, which is a contradiction. Other cases are proved in a similar way. \square

Now we are ready to give a classification of generic solutions of SYBEF.

Proposition 4. *Let (W, V) be a solution of SYBEF. Assume that W contains at least one $y^{\pm 1}$ and V contains at least one $x^{\pm 1}$. Then (W, V) or its dual is one of the (6)–(13) in Theorem 1.*

Proof. By Proposition 3 if (W, V) is not the solution (13), that is, if $(W, V) \neq (y^s, x^m)$, then W and V are written as

$$W(x, y) \equiv x^p y^\varepsilon x^q, V(x, y) \equiv y^r x^\delta y^s,$$

and $pqr s = 0$. By considering the dual solution if necessary, we may assume that either $r = 0$ or $s = 0$.

Case 1: $r = 0$, $s \neq 0$.

By [B], we have an equality

$$(x^\delta y^s)^\delta z^s = [x^\delta (y^p z^\varepsilon y^q)^s]^\delta (y^\delta z^s)^s$$

Assume that $\delta = -1$. Then the subword $x^{\delta^2} = x$ does not cancel so $y^{-s} = (y^p z^\varepsilon y^q)^s = W(y, z)^{-s}$. Since $W(y, z)$ must contain $z^{\pm 1}$, this equation is satisfied only if $s = 0$. This contradicts our assumption. Thus, $\delta = +1$. Then by [B],

$$xy^s z^s = [x(y^p z^\varepsilon y^q)^s](yz^s)^s.$$

Under the assumption $s \neq 0$, it is directly checked that this equality holds only if $(p, \varepsilon, q, s) = (1, -1, -1, 2)$. So in this case we obtain the solution (11),

$$W(x, y) = xy^{-1}x^{-1}, \quad V(x, y) = xy^2.$$

Case 2: $r \neq 0$, $s = 0$.

By the similar arguments as Case 1, we conclude $\delta = +1$. Therefore by [B],

$$z^r y^r = (z^r y)^r (y^p z^\varepsilon y^q)^r.$$

This equality holds only if $r = 0$ or $(p, \varepsilon, q, r) = (-1, -1, 1, 2)$. So in this case we obtain the solution (12),

$$W(x, y) = x^{-1} y^{-1} x, \quad V(x, y) = y^2 x.$$

Case 3: $r = s = 0$.

Subcase 3-1: $(\varepsilon, \delta) = (+1, +1)$.

By Lemma 2, $(x^p y x^q)^p x^p = x^p y^p$. This equation is satisfied only if $p = -q$, so we obtain the dual of the solution (7),

$$W(x, y) = x^p y x^{-p}, \quad V(x, y) = x.$$

Subcase 3-2: $(\varepsilon, \delta) = (-1, +1)$.

By Lemma 3, $(x^p y^{-1} x^q)^p x^{-q} = x^p y^{-q}$. This equation is satisfied only if $p = q = 0$ or $p = q = 1$, so we obtain the dual of the solutions (6) and (8),

$$W(x, y) = y^{-1}, \quad V(x, y) = x \quad \text{or} \quad W(x, y) = xy^{-1}x, \quad V(x, y) = x.$$

Subcase 3-3: $(\varepsilon, \delta) = (+1, -1)$.

By [M], we have $x^{-q} y x^{-p} = W(x, y)^{-1} = W(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) = x^{-p} y x^{-q}$, hence $p = q$. By Lemma 2, $(x^p y x^p)^p x^{-p} = x^p y^p$. This equation is satisfied only if $p = 1$ or $p = 0$. Hence in this case we obtain the solution (6) and the dual of (10),

$$W(x, y) = y, \quad V(x, y) = x^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad W(x, y) = xyx, \quad V(x, y) = x^{-1}.$$

Subcase 3-4: $(\varepsilon, \delta) = (-1, -1)$.

By [M], we have $x^{-q} y x^{-p} = W(x, y)^{-1} = W(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) = x^{-p} y x^{-q}$, hence $p = q$. By Lemma 3, $(x^p y^{-1} x^p)^p x^p = x^p y^{-p}$. This equation is satisfied only if $p = 0$, so we obtain the solution (9),

$$W(x, y) = y^{-1}, \quad V(x, y) = x^{-1}.$$

□

Then we study the special solutions, excluded by the previous Propositions. By considering the dual solution if necessary, we may assume that $W(x, y) \equiv x^m$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$). By [T], it is easily checked that $(W \equiv x^m, V)$ is a solution of SYBEF only if $m = 0, 1$. First we study the case $m = 0$.

Propotition 5. *Let (W, V) be a solution of SYBEF such that $W \equiv \phi$. Then (W, V) or its dual is one of the (1)–(3) in Theorem 1.*

Proof. First of all, assume that $V \equiv x^m$ or $V \equiv y^m$. Then it is easy to see (W, V) is a solution of SYBEF if and only if $(W, V) = (\phi, x^m)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$) or (ϕ, y) so we get solutions (1) and (2).

Assume that V contains both $x^{\pm 1}$ and $y^{\pm 1}$. Thus we put

$$V(x, y) \equiv x^{a_0} y^{a_1} \dots x^{a_{2n-2}} y^{a_{2n-1}} x^{a_{2n}}$$

where $a_1, a_{2n-1} \neq 0$.

First consider the case $a_1 > 0$. Then by [B],

$$V(x, y)^{a_0} z^{a_1} V(x, y)^{a_2} z^{a_3} \dots = V(x, \phi)^{a_0} y^{a_0} z^{a_1} y^{a_2} z^{a_3} \dots$$

The subwords z^{a_1} and z^{a_3} are not canceled, so $V(x, y)^{a_0} = V(x, \phi)^{a_0} y^{a_0}$ and $V(x, y)^{a_2} = y^{a_2}$. Since we have assumed that $V(x, y) \neq y$, $a_2 = \dots = a_{2n} = 0$. Moreover, $V(x, \phi)^{a_0} y^{a_0}$ is primitive, so $a_0 = 0, \pm 1$. V contains both $x^{\pm 1}$ and $y^{\pm 1}$, so $a_0 \neq 0$. It is directly checked that $a_0 = -1$ is impossible. If $a_0 = 1$ then we obtain the solution (3),

$$W(x, y) = \phi, \quad V(x, y) = xy.$$

In a similar way, it is shown that there are no solutions of SYBEF if $a_1 < 0$. \square

We complete the proof of Theorem 1 by studying the case $m = 1$.

Propotition 6. *Let (W, V) be a solution of SYBEF such that $W \equiv x$. Then (W, V) or its dual is (4) or (5) in Theorem 1.*

Proof. The proof is almost the same as the proof of Proposition 5. Assume that $V \equiv x^m$ or $V \equiv y^m$. Then (W, V) is a solution of SYBEF if and only if $(W, V) = (x, x^m)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$) or (x, y) , so we get solutions (4) or (5).

Now we assume that V contains both $x^{\pm 1}$ and $y^{\pm 1}$ so we put

$$V(x, y) \equiv x^{a_0} y^{a_1} \dots x^{a_{2n-2}} y^{a_{2n-1}} x^{a_{2n}}$$

where $a_1, a_{2n-1} \neq 0$.

First consider the case $a_1 > 0$. Then by [B],

$$V(x, y)^{a_0} z^{a_1} V(x, y)^{a_2} z^{a_3} \dots = V(x, y)^{a_0} y^{a_0} z^{a_1} y^{a_2} z^{a_3} \dots$$

Since subwords z^{a_1} and z^{a_3} are not canceled, we have $V(x, y)^{a_0} = V(x, y)^{a_0} y^{a_0}$ and $V(x, y)^{a_2} = y^{a_2}$. Thus $a_0 = 0$ and $a_2 = \dots = a_{2n} = 0$, hence there are no solutions of SYBEF in this case. Similarly, we conclude that there are no solutions of SYBEF in the case $a_1 < 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Birman, *Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups*, Annals of Math. Studies **82**, Princeton Univ. Press (1974).
- [2] J. Crisp and L. Paris, *Representation of the braid group by automorphisms of group, invariant of links, and Garside groups*, Pacific J. Math, **221**, (2005), 1-27.
- [3] R. Fenn, M. Jordan-Santana and L. Kauffman, *Biquandles and virtual links* Topology Appl. **145**, (2004), 157-175.
- [4] T. Ito, *A functor-valued extension of knot quandles*, arXiv:1006.1949
- [5] M. Wada, *Group invariants of links*, Topology, **31**, (1992), 399-406.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, JAPAN
E-mail address: tetitoh@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp
URL: <http://ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~tetitoh>