Exact momentum conservation laws for the gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations

Alain J. Brizard¹ and Natalia Tronko²

¹Department of Chemistry and Physics, Saint Michael's College, Colchester, VT 05439, USA

²Centre for Fusion, Space and Astrophysics, Department of Physics,

University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

The exact momentum conservation laws for the nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations are derived by applying the Noether method on the gyrokinetic variational principle [A. J. Brizard, Phys. Plasmas 7, 4816 (2000)]. In particular, the gyrokinetic parallel-toroidal momentum conservation law is given in axisymmetric tokamak geometry, where the transport of parallel-toroidal momentum is related to the radial gyrocenter polarization.

I. INTRODUCTION

The property of exact energy conservation has long been a stringent test on the accuracy of nonlinear gyrokinetic numerical simulation codes [1]. While the gyrokinetic energy conservation law was initially constructed directly from the nonlinear gyrokinetic equations [2–5], a more general approach relies on the existence of a variational formulation for the nonlinear gyrokinetic equations [6–9] and the use of the Noether method associated with the symmetry of the gyrokinetic Lagrangian density with respect to infinitesimal time translations.

The turbulent transport equations for parallel and toroidal momenta in magnetized plasmas have recently been the focus of intense investigation [10]. These momentum transport equations are generally derived as moment equations of the nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov equation [11–14].

The present paper is concerned with the derivation of the momentum and angular momentum conservation laws for the nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations by the Noether method, which associates a conservation law to each symmetry of the gyrokinetic Lagrangian density with respect to infinitesimal spatial translations and rotations. The energy-momentum conservation laws associated with the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations have previously been investigated with a variational formulation by Pfirsch and Morrison [15]. The present work generalizes Pfirsch and Morrison's work [15] to gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson theory, first, and gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell theory in a future paper.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we review the variational derivations [7–9] of the nonlinear gyrocenter Hamilton equations and the nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations. In Sec. III, we apply the Noether method (briefly reviewed in App. A) to derive the exact gyrokinetic linear and angular momentum conservation laws. In Sec. IV, we present an application of the gyrokinetic angular momentum conservation law by deriving the parallel-toroidal gyrokinetic momentum conservation law in axisymmetric tokamak geometry. Lastly, we summarize our work and discuss future work in Sec. V.

II. GYROKINETIC VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLES

The derivations of the nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell equations and gyrocenter Hamilton equations from variational principles guarantee that these equations possess important conservation laws such as energy-momentum. The purpose of this Section is to review these variational principles before they are used in the remainder of the paper.

A. Gyrocenter Hamilton variational principle

The single-particle variational principle used for the derivation of the gyrocenter Hamiltonian dynamics of a charged particle of mass m and charge e is based on the noncanonical phase-space Lagrangian

$$\Gamma_{\rm gy} \equiv \left(\frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A} + p_{\parallel}\widehat{\mathbf{b}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{dX} + (mc/e)\,\mu\,\mathbf{d\zeta} - H_{\rm gy}\,\mathbf{dt},\tag{1}$$

where the background magnetic field $\mathbf{B} \equiv \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ is defined in terms of the time-independent magnetic vector potential \mathbf{A} , while the gyrocenter phase-space coordinates are the gyrocenter position \mathbf{X} , the gyrocenter parallel momentum

 p_{\parallel} , the gyrocenter magnetic moment μ , and the gyrocenter gyroangle ζ . The gyrocenter Hamiltonian H_{gy} is defined as

$$H_{\rm gy}(\mathbf{X}, p_{\parallel}, \mu, t; \phi_1) \equiv H_{\rm gc}(\mathbf{X}, p_{\parallel}, \mu) + e \,\Phi_{\rm gy}(\mathbf{X}, \mu, t), \tag{2}$$

where $H_{\rm gc} \equiv \mu B + p_{\parallel}^2/2m$ denotes the (unperturbed) guiding-center Hamiltonian. The gyrocenter potential $\Phi_{\rm gy}$ is defined as

$$\Phi_{\rm gy} \equiv \epsilon \langle \phi_{\rm 1gc} \rangle - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \left\langle \left\{ S_1, \, \phi_{\rm 1gc} \right\}_{\rm gc} \right\rangle + \cdots, \qquad (3)$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the gyroangle-averaging operation and the guiding-center Poisson bracket {,}

$$\{F, G\}_{\rm gc} = \frac{\Omega}{B} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mu} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*} \cdot \left(\nabla F \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_{\parallel}} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{\parallel}} \nabla G \right) - \frac{c \widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{e B_{\parallel}^*} \cdot \nabla F \times \nabla G, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{B}^* \equiv \nabla \times \mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{B} + (cp_{\parallel}/e) \nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}$ and $B^*_{\parallel} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^*$. In Eq. (3), the first-order guiding-center potential is

$$\phi_{1\text{gc}} \equiv \mathsf{T}_{\text{gc}}^{-1}\phi_1 = \phi_1(\mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\rho}_0, t), \tag{5}$$

where ρ_0 denotes the (lowest-order) guiding-center gyroradius. The second-order ponderomotive potential is expressed in terms of the first-order function S_1 , which is formally defined as the solution of the first-order equation

$$\frac{d_{\rm gc}S_1}{dt} = e\,\widetilde{\phi}_{1\rm gc} \equiv e\,\left(\phi_{1\rm gc} - \langle\phi_{1\rm gc}\rangle\right),\tag{6}$$

where $d_{\rm gc}/dt \equiv \partial/\partial t + \{ , H_{\rm gc} \}_{\rm gc}$ denotes the unperturbed (guiding-center) Vlasov operator. To lowest order in the gyrokinetic space-time-scale ordering [8], the solution for S_1 is approximated as

$$S_1 \simeq \frac{e}{\Omega} \int \widetilde{\phi}_{1\text{gc}} \, d\zeta. \tag{7}$$

We note that, while the gyroangle-independent potential $\langle \phi_{1gc} \rangle$ contributes to the linear (first-order) perturbed gyrocenter Hamiltonian dynamics, the gyroangle-dependent potential $\tilde{\phi}_{1gc}$ contributes to the (second-order) gyrocenter ponderomotive Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) as well as gyrocenter polarization effects [see Eq. (45) below]. Lastly, high-order terms in Eq. (3) have recently been derived at third order in Ref. [16], which yield nonlinear gyrocenter polarization effects.

1. Gyrocenter Euler-Lagrange equations

The reduced gyrocenter equations of motion are obtained from the variational principle

$$0 = \delta \int \Gamma_{\rm gy} \equiv \int \delta Z^{\alpha} \left(\omega_{\alpha\beta} \, \frac{d_{\rm gy} Z^{\beta}}{dt} - \frac{\partial H_{\rm gy}}{\partial Z^{\alpha}} \right) \, dt, \tag{8}$$

which, for arbitrary virtual displacements δZ^{α} in gyrocenter phase space (that vanish at the integration boundaries), yields the gyrocenter Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta} \frac{d_{\rm gy} Z^{\beta}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H_{\rm gy}}{\partial Z^{\alpha}},\tag{9}$$

where the antisymmetric Lagrange matrix $\omega_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}\Gamma_{\alpha}$ is derived from the symplectic part of the phase-space Lagrangian (1). The Euler-Lagrange equations (9) for the reduced gyrocenter coordinates $(\mathbf{X}, p_{\parallel})$ are

$$\frac{e}{c} \frac{d_{\rm gy} \mathbf{X}}{dt} \times \mathbf{B}^* - \frac{d_{\rm gy} p_{\parallel}}{dt} \,\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \nabla H_{\rm gy},\tag{10}$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \frac{d_{\mathrm{gy}} \mathbf{X}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H_{\mathrm{gy}}}{\partial p_{\parallel}},\tag{11}$$

where the gyrocenter Vlasov operator $d_{gy}/dt \equiv \partial/\partial t + \{ , H_{gy} \}_{gc}$ is defined in terms of the gyrocenter Hamiltonian (2) and the guiding-center Poisson bracket (4).

2. Gyrocenter noncanonical Hamilton equations

By inverting the Lagrange matrix $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ in Eq. (9), we obtain the guiding-center Poisson matrix $J^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \{Z^{\alpha}, Z^{\beta}\}_{gc}$ from which Eq. (4) is constructed. Through this inversion, the gyrocenter Euler-Lagrange equations (9) are converted into the gyrocenter Hamilton equations

$$\frac{d_{\rm gy}Z^{\alpha}}{dt} \equiv J^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial H_{\rm gy}}{\partial Z^{\beta}} = \{Z^{\alpha}, H_{\rm gy}\}_{\rm gc}.$$
(12)

Hence, the gyrocenter Hamilton equations for $(\mathbf{X}, p_{\parallel})$ are

$$\frac{d_{\rm gy}\mathbf{X}}{dt} = \frac{p_{\parallel}}{m} \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B^*_{\parallel}} + \frac{c\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{eB^*_{\parallel}} \times \nabla H_{\rm gy}, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{d_{\rm gy}p_{\parallel}}{dt} = -\frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*} \cdot \nabla H_{\rm gy}.$$
(14)

Here, the gyrocenter velocity (13) contains the unperturbed guiding-center velocity $d_{\rm gc} \mathbf{X}/dt = p_{\parallel} (\mathbf{B}^*/B_{\parallel}^*) + (c\hat{\mathbf{b}}/eB_{\parallel}^*) \times \mu \nabla B$ as well as the nonlinear perturbed gyrocenter $E \times B$ velocity $(c\hat{\mathbf{b}}/B_{\parallel}^*) \times \nabla \Phi_{\rm gy}$. The gyrocenter parallel force (14), on the other hand, contains the unperturbed guiding-center parallel force $d_{\rm gc}p_{\parallel}/dt = -\mu (\mathbf{B}^*/B_{\parallel}^*) \cdot \nabla B$ as well as the nonlinear perturbed gyrocenter parallel force $-e(\mathbf{B}^*/B_{\parallel}^*) \cdot \nabla \Phi_{\rm gy}$.

Next, the gyrocenter magnetic moment μ is an invariant of the gyrocenter Hamiltonian dynamics,

$$\frac{d_{\rm gy}\mu}{dt} = -\frac{\Omega}{B} \frac{\partial H_{\rm gy}}{\partial \zeta} \equiv 0, \tag{15}$$

since the gyrocenter Hamiltonian (2) is independent of the gyrocenter gyroangle, while the gyrocenter gyrofrequency

$$\frac{d_{\rm gy}\zeta}{dt} = \frac{\Omega}{B} \frac{\partial H_{\rm gy}}{\partial \mu} = \Omega + \frac{e\Omega}{B} \frac{\partial \Phi_{\rm gy}}{\partial \mu}$$
(16)

contains corrections to the unperturbed gyrofrequency Ω that are due to the fluctuating electric field.

3. Gyrocenter canonical Hamilton equations

In Sec. III, we will need to express the gyrocenter Hamilton equations in canonical form which, with the help of the canonical gyrocenter momentum

$$\mathbf{p}_{gy} \equiv \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} + p_{\parallel} \,\hat{\mathbf{b}},\tag{17}$$

satisfies the canonical Hamilton equation

$$\frac{d_{\rm gy}\mathbf{p}_{\rm gy}}{dt} = -\nabla H_{\rm gy},\tag{18}$$

where the spatial gradient appearing on the right side is evaluated at constant \mathbf{p}_{gy} . This equation is obtained as an Euler-Lagrange equation from the canonical one-form $\Gamma_{cgy} \equiv \mathbf{p}_{gy} \cdot \mathbf{dX} + J \mathbf{d\zeta} - H_{gy} \mathbf{dt}$, where $J \equiv (mc/e) \mu$ denotes the gyroaction coordinate that is canonically conjugate to the gyroangle ζ .

In Sec. IV, we will also need to write Eq. (18) in axisymmetric tokamak geometry, where the background magnetic field

$$\mathbf{B} \equiv \nabla \varphi \times \nabla \psi + q(\psi) \,\nabla \psi \times \nabla \vartheta \equiv \nabla \times \mathbf{A},\tag{19}$$

is represented in terms of the standard magnetic coordinates $(\psi, \vartheta, \varphi)$. Here, the safety factor $q(\psi) \equiv \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \varphi / (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \vartheta)$ is a function of the poloidal flux ψ , and the vector potential associated with Eq. (19) is $\mathbf{A} = -\psi \nabla \varphi + A_{\vartheta}(\psi) \nabla \vartheta$. From Eq. (17), the toroidal canonical gyrocenter momentum is therefore defined as

$$p_{gy\varphi} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{gy} = -\frac{e}{c} \psi + p_{\parallel} b_{\varphi}, \qquad (20)$$

where $b_{\varphi} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \partial \mathbf{X} / \partial \varphi$, which satisfies the toroidal canonical Hamilton equation

$$\frac{d_{\rm gy}p_{\rm gy\varphi}}{dt} = \frac{d_{\rm gy}p_{\parallel}}{dt} b_{\varphi} - \left(\frac{e}{c} \frac{d_{\rm gy}\psi}{dt} - p_{\parallel} \frac{d_{\rm gy}b_{\varphi}}{dt}\right) = -\frac{\partial H_{\rm gy}}{\partial\varphi}.$$
(21)

Equation (21) can also be obtained from the Euler-Lagrange equation (10) after using the identity

$$\frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \frac{d_{gy} \mathbf{X}}{dt} \times \mathbf{B}^{*} = \frac{e}{c} \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{d_{gy} \psi}{dt} \nabla \vartheta - \frac{d_{gy} \vartheta}{dt} \nabla \psi \right) \cdot \mathbf{B}^{*} \\ = \frac{e}{c} \frac{d_{gy} \psi}{dt} - p_{\parallel} \frac{d_{gy} b_{\varphi}}{dt}.$$
(22)

Here, \mathcal{J} denotes the Jacobian for the transformation $\mathbf{X} \to (\psi, \vartheta, \varphi)$, which is defined from the identity

$$\mathcal{J}^{-1} \equiv (\nabla \psi \times \nabla \vartheta) \cdot \nabla \varphi = (\nabla \varphi \times \nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla \vartheta \equiv B^{\vartheta},$$
(23)

and Eq. (22) follows from the identities

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \psi}, \ \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \vartheta}\right) \equiv \left(\mathcal{J} \nabla \vartheta, \ - \mathcal{J} \nabla \psi\right),$$

with the magnetic-curvature terms

$$\left(\mathcal{J}\nabla\vartheta\cdot\mathbf{B}^{*},\ \mathcal{J}\nabla\psi\cdot\mathbf{B}^{*}\right) \equiv \left(1-\frac{cp_{\parallel}}{e}\frac{\partial b_{\varphi}}{\partial\psi},\ \frac{cp_{\parallel}}{e}\frac{\partial b_{\varphi}}{\partial\vartheta}\right),\tag{24}$$

which hold for the axisymmetric tokamak magnetic field (19). These identities are then used to construct $d_{gy}b_{\varphi}/dt = (\partial b_{\varphi}/\partial \psi) d_{gy}\psi/dt + (\partial b_{\varphi}/\partial \vartheta) d_{gy}\vartheta/dt$ in Eq. (22).

B. Gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell Variational Principle

The derivation of exact conservation laws for the nonlinear gyrokinetic equations relies on the existence of variational principles from which they can be derived [6–9]. We now briefly review the variational derivation of the gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations based on the principle of least action $\delta A_{gy} \equiv 0$, where the action functional is [7]

$$\mathcal{A}_{gy} = \int \frac{d^4x}{8\pi} \left(\epsilon^2 |\mathbf{E}_1|^2 - |\mathbf{B}|^2 \right) - \sum \int d^8 \mathcal{Z} \, \mathcal{F}_{gy}(\mathcal{Z}) \, \mathcal{H}_{gy}(\mathcal{Z}; \phi_1) \equiv \int \mathcal{L}_{gy} \, d^4x, \tag{25}$$

where the gyrokinetic Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}_{gy} is the sum of the Maxwell Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{M}} \equiv \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\epsilon^2 |\mathbf{E}_1|^2 - |\mathbf{B}|^2 \right), \tag{26}$$

where the perturbed electric field is $\mathbf{E}_1 \equiv -\nabla \phi_1$, and the gyrocenter Vlasov Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{gyV} \equiv -\sum \int \mathcal{F}_{gy}(\mathcal{Z}) \mathcal{H}_{gy}(\mathcal{Z}; \phi_1) d^4 p, \qquad (27)$$

where the extended gyrocenter Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{gy}(\mathcal{Z};\phi_1) \equiv H_{gy}(\mathbf{X},p_{\parallel},\mu,t;\phi_1) - W$$
(28)

is expressed in terms of the (regular) time-dependent gyrocenter Hamiltonian (2) and the gyrocenter energy coordinate W. The extended phase-space integration in Eq. (25) is defined with $d^8 \mathcal{Z} \equiv dt \, d^3 X \, d^4 p$, where $d^4 p \equiv c^{-1} dW \, d^3 p$ and $d^3 p = m B^*_{\parallel} \, dp_{\parallel} \, d\mu \, d\zeta$ (here, $m \, B^*_{\parallel}$ denotes the Jacobian). Furthermore, the extended gyrocenter Vlasov distribution

$$\mathcal{F}_{gy}(\mathcal{Z}) \equiv c \,\delta(W - H_{gy}) \,F(\mathbf{X}, p_{\parallel}, \mu, t) \tag{29}$$

ensures that the gyrocenter Hamiltonian dynamics satisfies the physical constraint $\mathcal{H}_{gy} \equiv 0$.

1. Eulerian variations

The gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations describing the self-consistent evolution of the gyrocenter Vlasov distribution F in the presence of electrostatic fluctuations ϕ_1 are obtained from the Eulerian variational principle

$$\int \delta \mathcal{L}_{gy} d^4 x = 0, \qquad (30)$$

where the Eulerian variation of the gyrokinetic Lagrangian density is expressed as

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{gy} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{4\pi} \left(\delta \mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \right) - \sum \int \left[\delta \mathcal{F}_{gy} \mathcal{H}_{gy} + \epsilon \, \delta \phi_1 \left(\epsilon^{-1} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{gy}}{\delta \phi_1} \right) \mathcal{F}_{gy} \right] d^4 p, \tag{31}$$

with $d^4p = c^{-1}dW d^3p$ and $d^3p = 2\pi m B_{\parallel}^* dp_{\parallel} d\mu$ (which henceforth assumes gyroangle-averaging). In addition, **B** is not a variational field (since it is time independent) while the Eulerian variations $\delta \mathbf{E}_1 \equiv -\nabla \delta \phi_1$ preserves the electrostatic constraint $\nabla \times \delta \mathbf{E}_1 = 0$. The Eulerian variation for the extended gyrocenter distribution (29) is $\delta \mathcal{F}_{gy} \equiv \{\mathcal{S}_{gy}, \mathcal{F}_{gy}\}_{gc}$, which preserves the Vlasov constraint $\int \delta \mathcal{F}_{gy} d^8 \mathcal{Z} \equiv 0$ under a virtual canonical transformation $\mathcal{Z}^a \to \mathcal{Z}^a + \delta \mathcal{Z}a$ in extended phase space, where $\delta \mathcal{Z}^a \equiv \{\mathcal{Z}^a, \mathcal{S}_{gy}\}_{gc}$ is generated by the extended scalar field \mathcal{S}_{gy} . Equations (2) and (7) yield the functional derivative

$$\epsilon^{-1} \frac{\delta H_{\rm gy}}{\delta \phi_1(\mathbf{x})} \equiv e \left\langle \mathsf{T}_{\rm gy}^{-1} \delta_{\rm gc}^3 \right\rangle = e \left\langle \delta_{\rm gc}^3 - \epsilon \left\{ S_1, \, \delta_{\rm gc}^3 \right\}_{\rm gc} + \cdots \right\rangle,\tag{32}$$

where the guiding-center delta function $\delta_{gc}^3 \equiv \delta^3 (\mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 - \mathbf{x})$ indicates that the gyrocenter contribution at a fixed field point \mathbf{x} only comes from gyrocenters located on the ring $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_0$. It is convenient to formally express the combined guiding-center and gyrocenter push-forward operations

$$\mathsf{T}_{\rm gy}^{-1}\delta_{\rm gc}^3 \equiv \mathsf{T}_{\epsilon}^{-1}\delta^3(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{x}) \equiv \delta^3(\mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} - \mathbf{x})$$
(33)

in terms of the reduced displacement

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \equiv \boldsymbol{\rho}_{0} + \epsilon \boldsymbol{\rho}_{1gy} + \cdots, \qquad (34)$$

where the unperturbed gyrocenter displacement $\rho_0 \equiv \rho_{\rm gc}$ denotes the guiding-center displacement and the first-order gyrocenter displacement

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1gy} \equiv \left\{ \mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\rho}_{0}, S_{1} \right\}_{gc}$$
(35)

is expressed exclusively in terms of S_1 (and therefore $\tilde{\phi}_{1gc}$) according to Eq. (7).

By rearranging terms in the Eulerian variation (31) so that the variation generators $(S_{gy}, \delta \phi_1)$ appear isolated, we obtain

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{gy} = \epsilon \, \delta \phi_1 \left[\frac{\epsilon}{4\pi} \, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \, - \, \sum e \, \int \, \mathcal{F}_{gy} \, \left\langle \mathsf{T}_{gy}^{-1} \, \delta_{gc}^3 \right\rangle \, d^4 p \, d^3 X \right] \\ - \, \sum \, \int \, \mathcal{S}_{gy} \, \left\{ \mathcal{F}_{gy}, \, \mathcal{H}_{gy} \right\}_{gc} \, d^4 p \, + \, \left(\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial t} \, + \, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma} \right), \tag{36}$$

where the Noether fields Λ and Γ are

$$\Lambda \equiv \sum \int S_{\rm gy} \, \mathcal{F}_{\rm gy} \, d^4 p, \tag{37}$$

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} \equiv -\frac{\epsilon^2}{4\pi} \,\delta\phi_1 \,\mathbf{E}_1 \,+\, \sum \,\int \,\left(\mathcal{S}_{\rm gy} \,\mathcal{F}_{\rm gy}\right) \,\frac{d_{\rm gy} \mathbf{X}}{dt} \,d^4 p. \tag{38}$$

Here, the Noether space-time divergence terms $\partial \Lambda / \partial t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma}$ do not contribute to the variational principle (30) but instead play a crucial role in the derivation of exact conservation laws by the Noether method.

2. Gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations

When the variation (36) is inserted into the variational principle (30) for arbitrary variation generators $(S_{gy}, \delta \phi_1)$, we obtain the gyrokinetic Vlasov equation in extended phase space

$$\{\mathcal{F}_{gy}, \mathcal{H}_{gy}\}_{gc} = 0, \tag{39}$$

and the gyrokinetic Poisson equation

$$\epsilon \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \equiv 4\pi \sum e \int \mathcal{F}_{gy} \langle \mathsf{T}_{gy}^{-1} \delta_{gc}^3 \rangle \, d^4p \, d^3X.$$
(40)

Furthermore, when the energy integration $(\int dW)$ is performed on the extended gyrokinetic Vlasov equation (39), we obtain the gyrokinetic Vlasov equation

$$0 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \left\{ F, H_{gy} \right\}_{gc} \equiv \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{d_{gy} \mathbf{X}}{dt} \cdot \nabla F + \frac{d_{gy} p_{\parallel}}{dt} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{\parallel}}, \tag{41}$$

where $\partial F/\partial \mu$ is absent because of Eq. (15) and the gyrocenter gyrofrequency (16) does not appear in Eq. (41) since $\partial F/\partial \zeta \equiv 0$ (by construction). Hence, the guiding-center and gyrocenter transformations yield a reduced dynamical Hamiltonian description of gyrocenter motion in terms of four-dimensional coordinates **X** and p_{\parallel} , with μ appearing as a parameter.

The gyrokinetic Poisson equation (40), using $\mathbf{E}_1 \equiv -\nabla \phi_1$, becomes

$$- \epsilon \nabla^2 \phi_1 = 4\pi \sum e \int F \langle \mathsf{T}_{gy}^{-1} \delta_{gc}^3 \rangle d^6 z$$

$$\equiv 4\pi \left(\varrho - \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P} \right), \qquad (42)$$

where the gyrocenter charge density is defined as

$$\varrho \equiv \sum e \int F d^3 p, \tag{43}$$

and the gyrocenter polarization

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}} \equiv \sum e \int F \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \rangle \, d^{3}p \, - \, \nabla \cdot \left(\sum \frac{e}{2} \int F \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \, \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \right\rangle \, d^{3}p \, + \, \cdots \right) \tag{44}$$

is expressed in terms of a multipole expansion in powers of the reduced displacement (34). The linear (dipole) term in Eq. (44) contains the standard gyrocenter electric-dipole term $\langle \rho_{1gy} \rangle$, which is expressed as

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{1gy} \rangle \equiv -\frac{\Omega}{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial \zeta} \right\rangle = -\frac{e}{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \,\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{1gc} \right\rangle, \tag{45}$$

as well as the guiding-center polarization (arising from $\langle \rho_{gc} \rangle$). The quadratic (quadrupole) term in Eq. (44) contains the standard finite-Larmor-radius (FLR) corrections.

III. GYROKINETIC MOMENTUM CONSERVATION LAWS

In this Section, we use the Noether method to derive an exact momentum conservation law for the gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations (41)-(42). After substituting the gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations (39)-(40) into the variational equation (36), we obtain the Noether equation

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{gy} = \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma}, \qquad (46)$$

where the variations $(S_{gy}, \delta \phi_1)$ in Eqs. (37)-(38) are no longer considered arbitrary but are instead generated by infinitesimal space-time translations. The energy conservation law for the gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell equations was obtained from the Noether equation (46) and was discussed in Refs. [4–9] by considering infinitesimal time translations $t \to t + \delta t$.

A. Noether Method

The momentum conservation law for the gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations (41)-(42) is obtained from the Noether equation (46) by considering infinitesimal space translations $\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}$ [17], for which

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{gy} &= \mathbf{p}_{gy} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x} \\
\delta \phi_1 &= -\delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla \phi_1 \equiv \delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \\
\delta \mathcal{L}_{gy} &= -\nabla \cdot \left(\delta \mathbf{x} \, \mathcal{L}_{gy} \right) + \delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla' \mathcal{L}_{gy}
\end{aligned}$$
(47)

where the gyrocenter generating scalar field S_{gy} generates a virtual spatial translation $\delta \mathbf{x}$ and the gyrocenter canonical momentum \mathbf{p}_{gy} is defined in Eq. (17).

The first term in the expression for $\delta \mathcal{L}_{gy}$ in Eq. (47) takes into account the geometric nature of \mathcal{L}_{gy} as a space-time density. The notation $\nabla' \mathcal{L}_{gy}$ in the second term, on the other hand, denotes the explicit spatial gradient of the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}_{gy} with the gyrokinetic fields (F, \mathbf{E}_1) held constant. Hence, the explicit gradient $\nabla' \mathcal{L}_{gy}$ becomes

$$\nabla' \mathcal{L}_{gy} = -\left(\frac{B}{4\pi} + \sum \int F \mu \, d^3 p\right) \nabla B - \sum e \int F \, \nabla' \Phi_{gy} \, d^3 p, \tag{48}$$

where the first term includes the contribution from the field part (26) of the Lagrangian density and the unperturbed (guiding-center) Hamiltonian H_{gc} , while the second term involves the explicit gradient of the gyrocenter potential (3).

By inserting the variations (47) into the Noether equation (46), we obtain the *primitive* momentum conservation law

$$\delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla' \mathcal{L}_{gy} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\sum \int F\left(\mathbf{p}_{gy} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}\right) d^3 p \right] + \nabla \cdot \left[\sum \int F \frac{d_{gy} \mathbf{X}}{dt} \left(\mathbf{p}_{gy} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}\right) d^3 p - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4\pi} \left(\delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}_1\right) \mathbf{E}_1 + \mathcal{L}_M \delta \mathbf{x} \right], \quad (49)$$

where only the field part (26) of the gyrokinetic Lagrangian defined in Eq. (25) survives the physical constraint $\mathcal{H}_{gy} \equiv 0$. Next, if we replace $\nabla' \mathcal{L}_{gy}$ and \mathcal{L}_{M} in Eq. (49) with Eqs. (48)-(26), respectively, we obtain

$$- \delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \left(\sum \int F \nabla' H_{gy} d^3 p \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\sum \int \mathcal{F}_{gy} \left(\mathbf{p}_{gy} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x} \right) d^4 p \right] + \nabla \cdot \left[\sum \int \mathcal{F}_{gy} \frac{d_{gy} \mathbf{X}}{dt} \left(\mathbf{p}_{gy} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x} \right) d^4 p \right] - \nabla \cdot \left[\frac{\epsilon^2}{4\pi} \left(\delta \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}_1 \right) \mathbf{E}_1 + \left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{8\pi} |\mathbf{E}_1|^2 \right) \delta \mathbf{x} \right],$$
(50)

where the term on the left involves the explicit spatial gradient of the gyrocenter Hamiltonian $(\nabla' H_{gy})$, while the right side involves the gyrocenter canonical momentum \mathbf{p}_{gy} and the perturbed electric field \mathbf{E}_1 .

B. Gyrokinetic Linear Momentum

The gyrokinetic (linear) momentum conservation law is obtained from Eq. (50) by considering a virtual translation generated by the constant displacement $\delta \mathbf{x}$. The gyrokinetic momentum conservation law is, therefore, obtained as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Pi} = -\sum \int F \,\nabla' H_{\rm gy} \, d^3 p, \tag{51}$$

where the gyrokinetic momentum density is

$$\mathbf{P} = \sum \int F \mathbf{p}_{gy} d^3 p, \tag{52}$$

and the *canonical* gyrokinetic momentum-stress tensor is

$$\mathbf{\Pi} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{4\pi} \left(|\mathbf{E}_1|^2 \, \frac{\mathbf{I}}{2} - \mathbf{E}_1 \, \mathbf{E}_1 \right) + \sum \int F \, \frac{d_{\rm gy} \mathbf{X}}{dt} \, \mathbf{p}_{\rm gy} \, d^3 p.$$
(53)

Here, the stress tensor contains the electric stress tensor and the gyrocenter momentum-stress tensor.

We now show that Eq. (51) is consistent with Eq. (18) by constructing an explicit proof of the gyrokinetic momentum conservation law (51). We begin with the partial time derivative of the gyrokinetic momentum density (52)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t} = \sum \int \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \mathbf{p}_{gy} + F \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_{gy}}{\partial t} \right) d^3 p.$$
(54)

Upon substituting the phase-space divergence form of the gyrokinetic Vlasov equation (41), Eq. (54) becomes

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t} = \sum \int F\left(\frac{d_{\rm gy}\mathbf{p}_{\rm gy}}{dt}\right) d^3p - \nabla \cdot \left(\sum \int F\frac{d_{\rm gy}\mathbf{X}}{dt}\mathbf{p}_{\rm gy} d^3p\right).$$
(55)

Next, we compute the divergence of the canonical momentum-stress tensor (53) to obtain

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Pi} = -\epsilon \sum e \int F \left\langle \mathsf{T}_{gy}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{1gc} \right\rangle d^3 p + \nabla \cdot \left(\sum \int F \frac{d_{gy} \mathbf{X}}{dt} \mathbf{p}_{gy} d^3 p \right), \tag{56}$$

where we have used the gyrokinetic Poisson equation (40) with $\mathbf{E}_1 \equiv -\nabla \phi_1$ and $\mathbf{E}_{1gc} \equiv -\nabla \phi_{1gc}$. By inserting Eqs. (55)-(56) into Eq. (51), we obtain the gyrokinetic momentum equation

$$0 \equiv \sum \int F\left[\frac{d_{\rm gy}\mathbf{p}_{\rm gy}}{dt} - \epsilon \, e \, \left\langle \mathsf{T}_{\rm gy}^{-1}\mathbf{E}_{\rm 1gc} \right\rangle + \nabla' H_{\rm gy}\right] \, d^3p$$

We immediately conclude that the canonical gyrocenter momentum \mathbf{p}_{gy} must satisfy the gyrocenter equation of motion

$$\frac{d_{\rm gy} \mathbf{p}_{\rm gy}}{dt} \equiv \epsilon e \left\langle \mathsf{T}_{\rm gy}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{\rm 1gc} \right\rangle - \nabla' H_{\rm gy}. \tag{57}$$

We note, however, that the expression for $\langle \mathsf{T}_{gy}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{1gc} \rangle$ can be rewritten as

$$\epsilon \left\langle \mathsf{T}_{gy}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{1gc} \right\rangle = -\epsilon \nabla \langle \phi_{1gc} \rangle + \epsilon^{2} \left\langle \left\{ S_{1}, \nabla \phi_{1gc} \right\} \right\rangle + \cdots$$
$$\equiv - \left(\nabla \Phi_{gy} - \nabla' \Phi_{gy} \right).$$
(58)

Lastly, using the relation $e \nabla' \Phi_{gy} - \nabla' H_{gy} \equiv -\mu \nabla B$, we immediately recover the canonical gyrocenter momentum equation (18) from Eq. (57):

$$\frac{d_{\rm gy}\mathbf{p}_{\rm gy}}{dt} = -\epsilon e \left(\nabla\Phi_{\rm gy} - \nabla'\Phi_{\rm gy}\right) - \nabla'H_{\rm gy} = -\epsilon e \nabla\Phi_{\rm gy} - \mu \nabla B = -\nabla H_{\rm gy}.$$
(59)

We therefore see that the conservation of gyrokinetic linear momentum (51) is automatically guaranteed by the canonical gyrocenter momentum equation (18).

C. Gyrokinetic Angular Momentum

The gyrokinetic angular-momentum conservation law is associated with rotational symmetry of the background magnetic field, which is obtained from Eq. (49) by considering a virtual infinitesimal toroidal rotation (about the z-axis) generated by the displacement

$$\delta \mathbf{x} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \,\delta \varphi = \delta \varphi \,\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{X}. \tag{60}$$

The gyrokinetic angular-momentum conservation law is, therefore, obtained from Eq. (49) as

$$\frac{\partial P_{\varphi}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}_{\varphi} = 0, \tag{61}$$

where we used the axisymmetry condition $\partial' H_{gy}/\partial \varphi \equiv 0$. Here, the gyrokinetic angular-momentum density is

$$P_{\varphi} \equiv \sum \int F p_{\mathrm{gy}\varphi} d^3 p, \qquad (62)$$

where the gyrocenter toroidal canonical momentum $p_{gy\varphi}$ in defined in Eq. (20), and the *canonical* gyrokinetic angularmomentum flux

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_{\varphi} \equiv \left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{8\pi} \,|\mathbf{E}_1|^2\right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4\pi} \,\mathbf{E}_1 \left(\mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi}\right) + \sum \int F \,\frac{d_{\rm gy} \mathbf{X}}{dt} \,p_{\rm gy\varphi} \,d^3p \tag{63}$$

is decomposed in terms of the Maxwell stress tensor associated with the perturbed electric field and the flux of gyrocenter toroidal canonical momentum. We note that the divergence of the first term in Eq. (63) yields

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\epsilon^2 |\mathbf{E}_1|^2}{8\pi} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \right] = \frac{\epsilon^2 \mathbf{E}_1}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_1}{\partial \varphi} = -\frac{\epsilon^2 \mathbf{E}_1}{4\pi} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} \right), \tag{64}$$

while the divergence of the second term yields

$$-\nabla \cdot \left[\frac{\epsilon^2 \mathbf{E}_1}{4\pi} \left(\mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi}\right)\right] = \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1}{4\pi}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\epsilon^2 \mathbf{E}_1}{4\pi} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi}\right),\tag{65}$$

where we see that the second term in Eq. (65) cancels the contribution from Eq. (64). Hence, if we now use the gyrokinetic Poisson equation (40), we therefore obtain

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{8\pi} |\mathbf{E}_1|^2 \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4\pi} \mathbf{E}_1 \left(\mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \right) \right] = \left(\sum \int F \frac{\delta H_{gy}}{\delta \phi_1(\mathbf{x})} d^6 z \right) \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi}$$
$$\equiv \sum \int F \frac{\partial H_{gy}}{\partial \varphi} d^3 p, \tag{66}$$

where the toroidal-angle derivative of the gyrocenter Hamiltonian (2) yields

$$\frac{\partial H_{\rm gy}}{\partial \varphi} = e \frac{\partial \Phi_{\rm gy}}{\partial \varphi} = \epsilon e \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi_{\rm 1gc}}{\partial \varphi} - \epsilon \left\{ S_1, \frac{\partial \phi_{\rm 1gc}}{\partial \varphi} \right\}_{\rm gc} + \cdots \right\rangle \equiv \epsilon e \left\langle \mathsf{T}_{\rm gy}^{-1} \frac{\partial \phi_{\rm 1gc}}{\partial \varphi} \right\rangle. \tag{67}$$

When we combine these contributions into the gyrokinetic angular-momentum conservation law (61), we finally obtain

$$\frac{\partial P_{\varphi}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{\varphi} - \sum \int F\left(\frac{\partial H_{gy}}{\partial \varphi}\right) d^3 p, \tag{68}$$

which was obtained recently by Scott and Smirnov [14] by considering the gyrocenter-Vlasov moment of Eq. (21):

$$0 \equiv \sum \int F\left(\frac{d_{\rm gy}p_{\rm gy\varphi}}{dt} + \frac{\partial H_{\rm gy}}{\partial\varphi}\right) d^3p = \frac{\partial P_{\varphi}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{\varphi} + \sum \int F\left(\frac{\partial H_{\rm gy}}{\partial\varphi}\right) d^3p, \tag{69}$$

where the gyrocenter canonical toroidal angular-momentum flux density is

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\varphi} \equiv \sum \int F \, \frac{d_{\rm gy} \mathbf{X}}{dt} \, p_{\rm gy\varphi} \, d^3 p, \tag{70}$$

where the gyrocenter velocity is defined in Eq. (13).

Note that Eq. (68) is the general form of the gyrokinetic angular-momentum conservation law and applies to the electromagnetic case as well as the electrostatic case considered here. In the electromagnetic case (to be considered in future work), we use the Hamiltonian formulation so that all perturbation fields appear in the gyrocenter Hamiltonian and the gyrocenter canonical momentum is still given by Eq. (17). In the next Section, we will investigate this conservation law in axisymmetric tokamak geometry (19).

IV. GYROKINETIC MOMENTUM CONSERVATION IN AXISYMMETRIC TOKAMAK GEOMETRY

In this Section, we derive the gyrokinetic momentum conservation law (68) in axisymmetric tokamak geometry (19). For this purpose, we introduce the magnetic-surface average

$$\llbracket \cdots \rrbracket \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \oint (\cdots) \mathcal{J} \, d\vartheta \, d\varphi, \tag{71}$$

where $\mathcal{V}(\psi) \equiv \oint \mathcal{J} d\vartheta d\varphi$ is the surface integral of the Jacobian. The flux-surface average (71) satisfies the property

$$\llbracket \nabla \cdot \mathbf{C} \rrbracket \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(\mathcal{V} \llbracket \mathbf{C} \cdot \nabla \psi \rrbracket \right)$$

for any vector field **C**. In a time-independent axisymmetric tokamak geometry, we note that $\partial/\partial t$ also commutes with magnetic-surface averaging.

A. Gyrokinetic parallel-toroidal momentum transport equation

We now present a derivation of the magnetic-surface-averaged gyrokinetic parallel-toroidal transport equation (68) in axisymmetric tokamak geometry, carried out in four steps.

1. Parallel-toroidal canonical momentum

As a first step, we begin with the gyrocenter toroidal canonical momentum (20), where we quickly note here that only the parallel component of the gyrocenter toroidal angular velocity $d\varphi/dt$ appears (i.e., the magnetic-drift velocity is absent from $p_{gy\varphi}$). We therefore write the gyrokinetic angular-momentum density (62) as

$$P_{\varphi} = \sum \int F p_{gy\varphi} d^3p \equiv -\frac{\psi}{c} \varrho + P_{\parallel\varphi}, \qquad (72)$$

where ρ denotes the gyrocenter charge density (43) and the parallel-toroidal gyrocenter momentum density is

$$P_{\parallel\varphi} \equiv \left(\sum \int F p_{\parallel} d^3 p\right) b_{\varphi}.$$
(73)

The partial time derivative of Eq. (72) yields

$$\frac{\partial P_{\varphi}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\psi}{c} \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial P_{\parallel \varphi}}{\partial t}, \tag{74}$$

so that Eq. (68) becomes

$$\frac{\partial P_{\parallel\varphi}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{\varphi} = \frac{\psi}{c} \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} - \sum \int F \frac{\partial H_{gy}}{\partial \varphi} d^3 p, \tag{75}$$

which represents the evolution equation for the gyrocenter parallel-toroidal momentum density $P_{\parallel\varphi}$, where \mathbf{Q}_{φ} is defined in Eq. (70).

2. Magnetic-surface average

As a second step, we perform the flux-surface average of Eq. (75), which yields

$$\frac{\partial \llbracket P_{\parallel \varphi} \rrbracket}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(\mathcal{V} \llbracket Q_{\varphi}^{\psi} \rrbracket \right) + \frac{\psi}{c} \frac{\partial \llbracket \varrho \rrbracket}{\partial t} - \sum \llbracket \int F \frac{\partial H_{gy}}{\partial \varphi} d^{3}p \rrbracket,$$
(76)

where the surface-averaged radial flux density $Q_{\varphi}^{\psi} \equiv \mathbf{Q}_{\varphi} \cdot \nabla \psi$ of gyrocenter toroidal canonical momentum is

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{\varphi}^{\psi} \end{bmatrix} = \sum \begin{bmatrix} \int F \frac{d_{gy}\psi}{dt} p_{gy\varphi} d^{3}p \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\equiv \begin{bmatrix} Q_{\parallel\varphi}^{\psi} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\psi}{c} \left(\sum e \begin{bmatrix} \int F \frac{d_{gy}\psi}{dt} d^{3}p \end{bmatrix} \right), \tag{77}$$

and we have defined the radial flux of gyrocenter parallel-toroidal momentum

$$\llbracket Q_{\parallel\varphi}^{\psi} \rrbracket \equiv \sum \llbracket \left[\left(\int F \frac{d_{gy}\psi}{dt} p_{\parallel} d^{3}p \right) b_{\varphi} \right] \right].$$
(78)

In Eqs. (77)-(78), the radial gyrocenter velocity

$$\frac{d_{\rm gy}\psi}{dt} \equiv \frac{d_{\rm gy}\mathbf{X}}{dt} \cdot \nabla\psi = \frac{p_{\parallel}}{m} \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B^*_{\parallel}} \cdot \nabla\psi + \frac{c\widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{eB^*_{\parallel}} \times \nabla H_{\rm gy} \cdot \nabla\psi, \tag{79}$$

includes effects due to the magnetic-curvature drift [see Eq. (24)] and the ∇B -drift, which combine to represent the radial guiding-center velocity $d_{\rm gc}\psi/dt$, as well as the nonlinear gyrocenter $E \times B$ drift $(c\hat{b}/B_{\parallel}^*) \times \nabla \Phi_{\rm gy} \cdot \nabla \psi$.

By rearranging terms in Eq. (76), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \llbracket P_{\parallel \varphi} \rrbracket}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(\mathcal{V} \llbracket Q_{\parallel \varphi}^{\psi} \rrbracket \right) = \frac{\psi}{c} \left\{ \frac{\partial \llbracket \varrho \rrbracket}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left[\mathcal{V} \left(\sum e \llbracket \int F \frac{d_{gy} \psi}{dt} d^3 p \rrbracket \right) \right] \right\} + \sum e \llbracket \int F \left(\frac{1}{c} \frac{d_{gy} \psi}{dt} - \frac{\partial \Phi_{gy}}{\partial \varphi} \right) d^3 p \rrbracket.$$
(80)

3. Gyrocenter quasineutrality condition

As a third step, we make use of gyrocenter quasineutrality relation

$$\varrho \equiv \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}} \tag{81}$$

between the gyrocenter charge density (43) and the gyrocenter polarization (44). The partial time derivative of the surface-averaged gyrocenter quasineutrality condition (81) yields

$$\frac{\partial \llbracket \varrho \rrbracket}{\partial t} \equiv \left[\! \left[\nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial t} \right] \! \right] = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(\mathcal{V} \frac{\partial \llbracket \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\psi} \rrbracket}{\partial t} \right), \tag{82}$$

where $\mathcal{P}^{\psi} \equiv \mathcal{P} \cdot \nabla \psi$ denotes the radial part of the gyrocenter polarization and $\partial \mathcal{P}^{\psi} / \partial t$ defines the radial component of the gyrocenter polarization-drift current.

Because the gyrocenter charge density ρ also satisfies the surface-averaged gyrocenter charge conservation law

$$\frac{\partial \llbracket \varrho \rrbracket}{\partial t} = - \llbracket \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} \rrbracket \equiv -\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(\mathcal{V} \sum e \left[\int F \frac{d_{\mathrm{gy}} \psi}{dt} d^3 p \right] \right), \tag{83}$$

where **J** denotes the gyrocenter current density, we readily obtain the identity

$$\frac{\partial \llbracket \mathcal{P}^{\psi} \rrbracket}{\partial t} + \sum e \left[\int F \frac{d_{gy} \psi}{dt} d^3 p \right] \equiv 0, \qquad (84)$$

which relates the radial component of the gyrocenter polarization-drift current with the radial component of the gyrocenter current.

4. Parallel-toroidal momentum transport equation

As a fourth and final step, we substitute Eqs. (83) and (84) in Eq. (76), and we obtain the gyrokinetic transport equation for the surface-averaged gyrocenter parallel-toroidal momentum density

$$\frac{\partial \llbracket P_{\parallel \varphi} \rrbracket}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(\mathcal{V} \llbracket Q_{\parallel \varphi}^{\psi} \rrbracket \right) = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \llbracket \mathcal{P}^{\psi} \rrbracket}{\partial t} - \sum e \left[\int F \frac{\partial \Phi_{gy}}{\partial \varphi} d^3 p \right], \tag{85}$$

which corresponds exactly to Eq. (80) of the recent work by Scott and Smirnov [14]. Here, we see that the transport of the surface-averaged gyrocenter parallel-toroidal momentum density $[\![P_{\parallel \varphi}]\!]$ is determined by the surface-averaged radial gyrocenter polarization $[\![\mathcal{P}^{\psi}]\!]$ as well as the surface-averaged density of the gyrocenter Hamilton equation $\partial H_{gy}/\partial \varphi$.

B. Gyrokinetic parallel-toroidal momentum conservation law

In their recent paper [14], Scott and Smirnov considered a simplified gyrocenter Hamiltonian obtained in the longwavelength (zero-Larmor-radius) limit, where the gyrocenter potential (3) became $\Phi_{gy} \simeq \epsilon \phi_1 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \rho_{1\perp} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \phi_1$ and the first-order gyrocenter displacement (45) was $\langle \rho_{1gy} \rangle \simeq \rho_{1\perp} \equiv -(c/B\Omega) \nabla_{\perp} \phi_1$. In this limit, Scott and Smirnov found

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{\rm gy}}{\partial \varphi} \ \simeq \ \epsilon \ \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} \ - \ \epsilon^2 \ \pmb{\rho}_{1\perp} \cdot \nabla_\perp \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi},$$

and then showed that the last term on the right of Eq. (85) can be expressed as a spatial divergence [as can already be seen from Eq. (66)].

In the present paper, we perform a Taylor expansion of Eq. (67) in powers of the gyrocenter displacement ρ_{ϵ} , defined in Eq. (34), which yields

$$\frac{\partial H_{gy}}{\partial \varphi} \equiv \epsilon e \left\langle \mathsf{T}_{\epsilon}^{-1} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} \right\rangle = \epsilon e \left(\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \rangle \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \rangle : \nabla \nabla \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \cdots \right), \tag{86}$$

where we have combined the guiding-center and gyrocenter phase-space transformations [see Eq. (33)]. From this expression, we now obtain the gyrocenter Vlasov-moment equation

$$\sum \int F \frac{\partial H_{gy}}{\partial \varphi} d^3 p = \epsilon \varrho \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \epsilon \left(\sum e \int F \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \rangle d^3 p \right) \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \epsilon \left(\sum \frac{e}{2} \int F \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \rangle d^3 p \right) : \nabla \nabla \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \cdots$$
(87)

Next, we substitute the definition of the gyrocenter polarization (44) into Eq. (87) and, after rearranging terms, we obtain

$$\sum \int F \frac{\partial H_{gy}}{\partial \varphi} d^3 p = \epsilon \left(\varrho - \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P} \right) \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \nabla \cdot \left[\epsilon \mathcal{P} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \epsilon \left(\sum \frac{e}{2} \int F \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \rangle d^3 p \right) \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \cdots \right]$$
$$\equiv \nabla \cdot \left[\epsilon \mathcal{P} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \epsilon \left(\sum \frac{e}{2} \int F \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \rangle d^3 p \right) \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \cdots \right], \tag{88}$$

where we used the gyrocenter quasineutrality condition (81) to show that the last term on the right of Eq. (85) can be expressed as an exact spatial divergence involving moments of the gyrocenter displacement ρ_{ϵ} . We note that Eq. (88) retains all finite-Larmor-radius effects as well as nonlinear corrections, such as the gyroangle-independent part (45) of the first-order gyrocenter displacement ρ_{1gy} , while Scott and Smirnov [14] considered only the long-wavelength limit of Eq. (88), with $\mathcal{P} \simeq \epsilon (mnc^2/B^2) \nabla_{\perp} \phi_1$ defined in the zero-Larmor-radius limit and all higher-order effects were omitted [see Eqs. (59) and (91) of Ref. [14]].

Lastly, when we insert Eq. (88) into Eq. (85), we obtain the gyrokinetic parallel-toroidal momentum conservation law for the nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\llbracket P_{\parallel \varphi} \rrbracket + \frac{1}{c} \llbracket \mathcal{P}^{\psi} \rrbracket \right) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left[\mathcal{V} \left(\llbracket Q_{\parallel \varphi}^{\psi} \rrbracket + \epsilon \llbracket \mathcal{P}^{\psi} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \mathbf{R}^{\psi} \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \varphi} + \cdots \rrbracket \right) \right] = 0, \tag{89}$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}^{\psi} \equiv \nabla \psi \cdot \left(\sum \frac{e}{2} \int F \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\epsilon} \right\rangle d^{3} p \right) = \mathbf{R}_{0}^{\psi} + \epsilon \mathbf{R}_{1gy}^{\psi} + \cdots$$
(90)

includes guiding-center FLR corrections \mathbf{R}_0^{ψ} (involving $\boldsymbol{\rho}_0$) as well as higher-order gyrocenter corrections $\epsilon \mathbf{R}_{1gy}^{\psi} + \cdots$ that involve the gyroangle-dependent part of the first-order gyrocenter displacement $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1gy}$.

The gyrokinetic parallel-toroidal momentum conservation law (89) therefore implies that the transport of gyrocenter parallel-toroidal momentum $\llbracket P_{\parallel \varphi} \rrbracket$ is intimately connected to the gyrocenter radial polarization $\llbracket \mathcal{P}^{\psi} \rrbracket$. This connection has recently been investigated through the gyrokinetic Vlasov-moment approach [10, 12–14]. The term $\llbracket \mathcal{P}^{\psi} \partial \phi_1 / \partial \varphi \rrbracket$ in Eq. (89) represents the turbulent transport of toroidal momentum [10, 11], while the term $\llbracket \mathbf{R}^{\psi} \cdot \nabla \partial \phi_1 / \partial \varphi \rrbracket$ involves the radial gradient in the toroidal electric field.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We presented the derivation of exact gyrokinetic momentum and angular momentum conservation laws associated with the nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations. While previous gyrokinetic momentum transport equations were derived from moments of the gyrokinetic Vlasov equation, which suffer from the standard gyrokinetic closure problem, the gyrokinetic momentum conservation laws derived here are exact statements which depend on the nonlinear gyrokinetic physics included in the gyrokinetic action functional (25).

We note that previous investigations of gyrokinetic momentum transport (e.g., Refs. [10, 11]), which were based on the gyrokinetic Vlasov-moment approach, were discussed in terms of separate terms in the toroidal Reynolds stress: a convective term (involving the surface-averaged toroidal momentum), a diffusive term (involving the radial gradient of the surface-averaged toroidal momentum), and a residual stress. The gyrokinetic parallel-toroidal momentum conservation law (89), on the other hand, highlights the role of the gyrocenter radial polarization $[\![\mathcal{P}^{\psi}]\!]$ and the residual-stress contributions $[\![\mathcal{P}^{\psi}] \partial \phi_1 / \partial \varphi + \mathbf{R}^{\psi} \cdot \nabla \partial \phi_1 / \partial \varphi + \cdots]\!]$, while convective and diffusive contributions are hidden in the term $[\![Q^{\psi}_{\parallel_{\omega}}]\!]$.

In future work, the exact gyrokinetic momentum and angular momentum conservation laws for the nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell equations, where fully electromagnetic fluctuations as well as gyrocenter polarization and magnetization are taken into account, will be derived by the Noether method.

Acknowledgments

One of us (AJB) acknowledges stimulating discussions with J. A. Krommes while at the Isaac Newton Institute (Cambridge) during the workshop "Gyrokinetics in Laboratory and Astrophysical Plasmas", where some of this work was completed.

Work by AJB was supported by a U. S. Dept. of Energy grant under contract No. DE-FG02-09ER55005, while work by NT was supported by CNRS, ANR EGYPT, and Euratom-CEA (contract EUR 344-88-1 FUA F).

Appendix A: Noether Method

In this brief Appendix, we use the Noether method to derive the energy-momentum and angular-momentum conservation laws [26–28]. In order to simplify the presentation, we assume the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}(\psi^a, \partial_\mu \psi^a; \mathbf{x}, t)$ depends on a N-component field $\psi^a = (\psi^1, ..., \psi^N)$ and its space-time derivatives $\partial_\mu \psi^a = (c^{-1}\partial_t \psi^a, \nabla \psi^a)$ as well as possible space-time dependence due to external fields. Furthermore, we assume that each component ψ^a , which is to be varied independently of each other, satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \psi^{a})} \right) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi^{a}}.$$
 (A1)

In this case, the Noether method is based on the Noether equation

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \psi^{a})} \, \delta \psi^{a} \right], \tag{A2}$$

where the variations $\delta \psi^a$ and $\delta \mathcal{L}$ will now be expressed in terms of infinitesimal space-time translations (energymomentum) or infinitesimal rotations (angular-momentum).

1. Energy-momentum Conservation Law

In order to derive the energy-momentum conservation law through the Noether method, we consider arbitrary infinitesimal space-time translations generated by δx^{ν} :

$$x^{\nu} \to x^{\nu} + \delta x^{\nu}. \tag{A3}$$

In this case, the variations $\delta \psi^a$ and $\delta \mathcal{L}$ are expressed as

$$\delta\psi^a = -\delta x^\nu \,\partial_\nu \psi^a,\tag{A4}$$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = -\partial_{\nu} \left(\delta x^{\nu} \mathcal{L} \right) + \delta x^{\nu} \partial_{\nu}^{\prime} \mathcal{L}, \qquad (A5)$$

where the partial derivative $\partial'_{\nu}\mathcal{L}$ is calculated with the fields ψ^a held constant. If we substitute the variations (A4)-(A5) into the Noether equation (A2), we obtain the energy-momentum equation [28]

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\prime\nu}\mathcal{L},\tag{A6}$$

where the *canonical* energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$T^{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\psi^{a})} \partial^{\nu}\psi^{a}.$$
(A7)

The Noether Theorem now states that if the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} is invariant under space-time translations along the x^{λ} coordinate (i.e., $\partial^{\prime \lambda} \mathcal{L} \equiv 0$), then the components $T^{\mu \lambda}$ satisfy the energy-momentum conservation law

$$0 = \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\lambda} = c^{-1} \partial_{t}T^{0\lambda} + \partial_{j}T^{j\lambda}.$$
(A8)

For time-translation invariance ($\lambda = 0$), we define the energy density $\mathcal{E} \equiv T^{00}$ and the energy-density flux $S^j \equiv c T^{j0}$, and Eq. (A8) yields the energy conservation law

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = 0. \tag{A9}$$

For space-translation invariance $(\lambda = j)$, we define the momentum density $P^j \equiv T^{0j}/c$ and the stress tensor T^{ij} , and Eq. (A8) yields the momentum conservation law

$$\frac{\partial P^j}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial T^{ij}}{\partial x^i} = 0. \tag{A10}$$

2. Angular-momentum Conservation Law

In order to derive the angular-momentum conservation law through the Noether method, we consider arbitrary infinitesimal rotations generated by the antisymmetric tensor $\delta \Lambda^{\nu\sigma} \equiv -\delta \Lambda^{\sigma\nu}$:

$$x^{\nu} \to x^{\nu} + \delta \Lambda^{\nu \sigma} x_{\sigma}. \tag{A11}$$

In this case, the variations $\delta \psi^a$ and $\delta \mathcal{L}$ are expressed as

$$\delta\psi^a = -\frac{1}{2}\delta\Lambda^{\nu\sigma} \left(x_\sigma \,\partial_\nu\psi^a - x_\nu \,\partial_\sigma\psi^a \right) + \Delta\psi^a, \tag{A12}$$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = -\partial_{\nu} \left(\delta \Lambda^{\nu \sigma} x_{\sigma} \mathcal{L} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \delta \Lambda^{\nu \sigma} \left(x_{\sigma} \partial_{\nu}' \mathcal{L} - x_{\nu} \partial_{\sigma}' \mathcal{L} \right).$$
(A13)

In Eq. (A12), the Lagrangian variation $\Delta \psi^a$ associated with the infinitesimal rotation (A11) is defined as

$$\Delta \psi^a \equiv \frac{1}{2} \,\delta \Lambda^{\mu\nu} \,\omega^a_{\mu\nu},\tag{A14}$$

where the antisymmetric tensor $\omega_{\mu\nu}^a$ (whose role will be clarified below) depends on the field components $(\psi^1, ..., \psi^N)$.

If we substitute the variations (A12)-(A13) into the Noether equation (A2), we obtain the angular-momentum equation

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu[\nu\sigma]} = x^{\sigma}\,\partial^{\prime\nu}\mathcal{L} - x^{\nu}\,\partial^{\prime\sigma}\mathcal{L},\tag{A15}$$

where the *total* angular-momentum tensor $J^{\mu[\nu\sigma]} \equiv -J^{\mu[\sigma\nu]}$ is defined as

$$J^{\mu[\nu\sigma]} \equiv L^{\mu[\nu\sigma]} + S^{\mu[\nu\sigma]}. \tag{A16}$$

Here, the *orbital* angular-momentum tensor

$$L^{\mu[\nu\sigma]} \equiv T^{\mu\nu} x^{\sigma} - T^{\mu\sigma} x^{\nu}, \qquad (A17)$$

where the canonical energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ is defined in Eq. (A7), satisfies the equation

$$\partial_{\mu}L^{\mu[\nu\sigma]} = \left(x^{\sigma}\,\partial^{\prime\nu}\mathcal{L} - x^{\nu}\,\partial^{\prime\sigma}\mathcal{L}\right) + \left(T^{\sigma\nu} - T^{\nu\sigma}\right),\tag{A18}$$

The *spin* angular-momentum tensor

$$S^{\mu[\nu\sigma]} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\psi^{a})} \,\omega^{a[\nu\sigma]} \tag{A19}$$

satisfies the equation

$$\partial_{\mu}S^{\mu[\nu\sigma]} \equiv T^{\nu\sigma} - T^{\sigma\nu}, \qquad (A20)$$

which explicitly links the antisymmetric part of the energy-momentum tensor (A7) with the antisymmetric tensor $\omega^{a[\nu\sigma]}$.

Lastly, when energy-momentum is conserved (i.e., $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} \equiv 0$ for $\nu = 0, ..., 3$), the total angular-momentum (A16) is also conserved, while the orbital and spin angular momenta satisfy the equation

$$\partial_{\mu}L^{\mu[\alpha\beta]} = T^{\beta\alpha} - T^{\alpha\beta} \equiv -\partial_{\mu}S^{\mu[\alpha\beta]}.$$
(A21)

Hence, the angular-momentum conservation law $\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu[\alpha\beta]} = 0$ relates the orbital angular-momentum density $L^{0[\alpha\beta]}$ with the spin angular-momentum density $S^{0[\alpha\beta]}$ in a form that is similar to Eq. (89).

- [1] X. Garbet, Y. Idomura, L. Villard, and T. H. Watanabe, Nucl. Fusion 50, 043002 (2010).
- [2] D. H. E. Dubin, J. A. Krommes, C. Oberman, and W. W. Lee, Phys. Fluids 26, 3524 (1983).
- [3] T. S. Hahm, W. W. Lee, and A. Brizard, Phys. Fluids **31**, 1940 (1988).
- [4] A. Brizard, J. Plasma Phys. **41**, 541 (1989).
- [5] A. Brizard, Phys. Fluids B 1, 1381 (1989).
- [6] H. Sugama, Phys. Plasmas 7, 466 (2000).
- [7] A. J. Brizard, Phys. Plasmas 7, 4816 (2000).
- [8] A. J. Brizard and T. S. Hahm, Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 421 (2007).
- [9] A. J. Brizard, Phys. Plasmas 17, 042303 (2010).
- [10] For a recent review, see P. H. Diamond, C. J. McDevitt, Ö. D. Gurcan, T. S. Hahm, W. X. Wang, E. S. Yoon, I. Holod, Z. Lin, V. Naulin, and R. Singh, Nucl. Fusion 49, 045002 (2009).
- [11] T. S. Hahm, P. H. Diamond, Ö. D. Gurcan, and G. Rewoldt, Phys. Plasmas 14, 072302 (2007).
- [12] C. J. McDevitt, P. H. Diamond, Ö. D. Gurcan, and T. S. Hahm, Phys. Plasmas 16, 05302 (2009).
- [13] C. J. McDevitt, P. H. Diamond, Ö. D. Gurcan, and T. S. Hahm, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 205003 (2009).
- [14] B. Scott and J. Smirnov, Phys. Plasmas 17, 112302 (2010).
- [15] D. Pfirsch and P. J. Morrison, Phys. Rev. A 32, 1714 (1985)
- [16] A. Mishchenko and A. J. Brizard, Phys. Plasmas 18, 022305 (2011).
- [17] A. J. Brizard, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **169**, 012003 (2009).
- [18] A. H. Boozer, Phys. Plasmas 16, 052505 (2009).
- [19] A. J. Brizard, Comm. Nonlin. Sci. Num. Sim. 13, 24 (2008).
- [20] R. N. C. Pfeifer, T. A. Nieminen, N. R. Heckenberg, and H. Rubinsztein-Dunlop, Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 1197 (2007).
- [21] J. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, 2nd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1975), chap. 12.
- [22] J. A. McLennan, Physica **32**, 689 (1966).
- [23] R. L. Dewar, Aus. J. Phys. 30, 533 (1977).
- [24] A. Brizard, Phys. Fluids B 4, 1213 (1992).
- [25] A. J. Brizard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5768 (2000).
- [26] E. L. Hill, Rev. Mod. Phys. 23, 253 (1951).
- [27] J. Rosen, Ann. Phys. 69, 349 (1972).
- [28] H. Goldstein, C. Poole, and J. Safko, Classical Mechanics, 3rd ed. (Addison Wesley, San Francisco, 2002), ch. 13.