

Macroscopic form of the first law of thermodynamics for an adiabatically evolving *non-singular* self-gravitating fluid

Abhas Mitra*

Theoretical Astrophysics Section, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

(Dated: January 22, 2018)

We emphasize that the pressure related work appearing in a general relativistic first law of thermodynamics should involve *proper volume element* rather than coordinate volume element. This point is highlighted by considering both local energy momentum conservation equation as well as particle number conservation equation. It is also emphasized that we are considering here a *non-singular* fluid governed by purely classical general relativity. Therefore, we are not considering here any semi-classical or quantum gravity which apparently suggests thermodynamical properties even for a (singular) black hole. Having made such a clarification, we formulate a global first law of thermodynamics for an adiabatically evolving spherical perfect fluid. It may be verified that such a global first law of thermodynamics, *for a non-singular fluid*, has not been formulated earlier.

PACS numbers:

Keywords: General Relativity, Self-gravitating systems, Space time with fluids

INTRODUCTION

We shall consider here the adiabatic evolution of a self-gravitating *non-singular* perfect fluid from the view point of first law of thermodynamics. By non-singular, we mean that we would not consider any black hole or naked singularity here. Further we shall analyze the problem in the framework of *classical* general relativity (GR) rather than any semi-classical or quantum gravity theory. In fact, it may be borne in mind that from the view point of *classical* GR, a Schwarzschild black hole is characterized by a single number which is its gravitational mass M_0 . Accordingly its entropy should be $S_{BH}(classical) = k \ln 1 = 0$. Also its temperature should be $T = 0$ because it cannot radiate.

It hardly needs to be reiterated that the first law thermodynamic (FLT) is a statement of total energy conservation. In its usual macroscopic form it says that the change in “internal energy” of a system having a fixed number of particles N is given by

$$dU = dQ - pdV \quad (1)$$

where dQ is the heat/radiation injected *into* the system and pdV is amount of work done *by* the system on its “surroundings”. And if it would be assumed that there is neither any external heat injection nor any internal heat generation, then one would have $dQ = 0$. Thus, for a supposed adiabatic evolution of a perfect fluid, $dQ = 0$.

Now from purely Newtonian thermodynamics, if one would shift to special theory of relativity (STR), the internal energy should be replaced by the total mass energy $E = M_i c^2$, where M_i is the inertial mass of the system in its rest frame. Here c is the speed of light. However, we will mostly take $G = c = 1$. Since the spacetime is flat in STR, the volume element involved in Eq.(1), dV , continues to imply just the coordinate volume element: $dV = dx dy dz = R^2 dR \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$, where θ and ϕ are polar

angles. In this case, FLT becomes

$$dE + pdV = 0 \quad (2)$$

However if one would consider general theory of relativity (GTR), spacetime is curved and one must consider proper volume $dv = \sqrt{-h} dV$ where h is the negative of the spatial part of the metric determinant $g[1]$, i.e.,

$$-g = g_{00}(-h) \quad (3)$$

It must be so because in GR, (1) one can choose arbitrary coordinate system so that many forms of dV could be *physically meaningless as a physical volume element* and also because (2) *in the presence of gravity the 3-space gets curved*. Further, in curved spacetime, energy measured locally would be different from the one measured by a distant observer. And one needs to account for such a gravitational redshift factor too for a consistent macroscopic formulation of FLT.

Having made this preliminary background, let us recall that energy momentum is conserved at a given spacetime point in GR. Accordingly, in general relativity, one should first obtain a local microscopic FLT as has been extensively done by Tolman[1]:

$$d(\delta E) + pd(\delta v) = 0 \quad (4)$$

Here one considers an infinitesimal proper volume element δv and applies FLT. It is likely that, in the limit $\delta v \rightarrow 0$, the effect of global self-gravitational energy vanishes and one can indeed write

$$\delta E = \rho \delta v \quad (5)$$

Later, we shall rigorously verify that GR indeed yields such a microscopic version of FLT.

Finally we will arrive at the macroscopic local form of FLT (MFLT) for an adiabatically evolving spherical fluid by considering the effect of spacetime curvature.

PURELY LOCAL FLT

As is well known, the local energy momentum conservation is represented by the vanishing of the covariant divergence of the energy momentum tensor[1–4] T^{ij} :

$$T^{ij}{}_{;j} = 0 \quad (6)$$

In terms of density of energy momentum tensor

$$\mathcal{T}^{ij} = \sqrt{-g} T^{ij} \quad (7)$$

the energy momentum conservation can be expressed as[1]

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{T}^{ij}}{\partial x^i} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}^{kl} \frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial x^j} = 0 \quad (8)$$

Suppose we are considering a spacetime metric of signature -2 and a perfect fluid with energy momentum tensor:

$$T^{ij} = (\rho + p)u^i u^j - pg^{ij} \quad (9)$$

where u^i the fluid 4-velocity, ρ is density and p is the isotropic pressure. If we would use comoving coordinates, u^i has only the non-vanishing temporal component:

$$u^i = e^{-\nu/2} \delta_0^i \quad (10)$$

where $g_{00} = e^\nu$. Then one finds

$$T_{00} = \rho \quad (11)$$

while

$$T_{11} = T_{22} = T_{33} = -p, \quad (12)$$

Further,

$$T^{00} = \rho g^{00}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$T^{\mu\nu} = -pg^{\mu\nu} \quad (14)$$

Here Greek indices run from 1, 2, 3. Note, had there been any heat flow, T^{ij} would have been non-diagonal. While the spatial part of Eq.(8) gives ‘‘momentum’’ conservation, the temporal part yields ‘‘energy’’ conservation. Accordingly, we consider $j = 0$ in Eq.(8) to obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{T}^{i0}}{\partial x^i} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}^{kl} \frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (15)$$

Using Eqs.(13) and (14), we simply the foregoing Eq. as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\sqrt{-g}\rho) + \frac{1}{2}p\sqrt{-g}\frac{1}{g_{\mu\nu}}\frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}\rho\sqrt{-g}\frac{1}{g_{00}}\frac{\partial g_{00}}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (16)$$

Now using the fact $-g = (-h)g_{00}$,

$$\frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \ln x}{\partial t} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = 2\sqrt{x}\frac{\partial\sqrt{x}}{\partial t} \quad (18)$$

Eq.(16) gets reduced to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\sqrt{h}\rho) + p\frac{\partial\sqrt{h}}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (19)$$

Since the comoving proper volume element is $\delta v = \sqrt{h}\delta^3x$ and Eq.(19) concerns partial derivative with respect to t , we can multiply it by δ^3x to obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho\delta v) + p\frac{\partial\delta v}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (20)$$

And this can immediately be interpreted in terms of Eqs.(4) and (5) as equation for local energy conservation. Note, unlike Tolman[1] (p. 379), we derived Eqs.(19) and (20) without restricting $g_{00} = 1$. However, eventually, no g_{00} appears here because both $\rho\delta v$ and $p\delta v$ represent *locally* measured energies. This clearly shows that, in curved spacetime, the pressure related work dw must be formulated in terms of the proper volume element dv rather than the flat volume element dV . It may be also noted that both $\rho\delta v$ and $p\delta v$ are energies measured by the *same local comoving frame*. Therefore Eq.(4) indeed is a correct form of local GR FLT for adiabatic evolution. No self-gravitational energy appears here because of Principle of Equivalence (POE) by which gravitational energy may be made to vanish (*only*) at a given point.

Now, if we define

$$\theta = \frac{\partial(\delta v)/\partial t}{(\delta v)} \quad (21)$$

as the volume expansion scalar, Eq.(20) may be also expressed as

$$\dot{\rho} + (\rho + p)\theta = 0 \quad (22)$$

where an overdot represents differentiation w.r.t. comoving time t . Note, however, that the more formal definition of the expansion scalar however is[2, 5]

$$\theta = u^i{}_{;i} \quad (23)$$

Particle Number Conservation

In the following, we shall explore the close link between microscopic FLT and conservation of total number of baryons or particles. If a comoving fluid element contains a fixed number of particles δN and has a proper volume δv then[2]

$$\delta N = n\delta v \quad (24)$$

is conserved and accordingly the comoving temporal derivative of δN must be zero:

$$\frac{\partial \delta N}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (25)$$

while a more formal statement for particle number conservation[2-4] is:

$$(nu^i)_{;i} = 0 \quad (26)$$

Note, Eq.(25) leads to

$$\frac{\dot{n}}{n} + \theta = 0; \quad \theta = -\frac{\dot{n}}{n} \quad (27)$$

Though $\sqrt{g_{00}}$ does not appear here, one can nonetheless divide the above equation by $\sqrt{g_{00}}$ and interpret the time differentiation as w.r.t. proper time τ .

By using Eq.(27) in (22), we have

$$\dot{\rho} - (\rho + p)\frac{\dot{n}}{n} = 0 \quad (28)$$

And this may be rewritten as

$$d\left(\frac{\rho}{n}\right) + pd\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = 0 \quad (29)$$

Note that, although the foregoing Eq. is supposed to be a GTR equations, no spacetime curvature term explicitly appears there. Consequently, one obtains exactly the same equations by applying STR as well[4]. The reason for this could be that, in the presence of gravity, all evolution must be dissipative and non-adiabatic[6]. Conversely, *all strictly adiabatic evolution may fall in the STR domain.*

If now, we consider, $N = 1$, i.e., the volume δv contains a single particle and

$$\delta v = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (30)$$

we will obtain the more familiar form of (local) FLT:

$$d(\rho\delta v) + pd(\delta v) = 0 \quad (31)$$

Thus, for adiabatic motion, the FLT is closely linked with particle number conservation, and in GR, both of which must be formulated in terms of *proper volume element rather than coordinate volume element.*

ADIABATIC EVOLUTION EQUATION OF A SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC FLUID

Now we consider the specific case of a spherically symmetric adiabatic evolution by adopting the comoving spherically symmetric metric:

$$ds^2 = e^\nu dt^2 - e^\lambda dr^2 - R^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi) \quad (32)$$

Here $R = R(r, t)$ is the areal coordinate, r is comoving radial coordinate. Physically r is proportional to the number of particles enclosed by a given mass shell; $\lambda = \lambda(r, t)$; $\nu = \nu(r, t)$. It is also conventional to write

$$R^2 = e^\mu \quad (33)$$

so that

$$\dot{\mu} = \frac{2\dot{R}}{R} \quad (34)$$

For the metric (32), one has

$$\sqrt{h} = R^2 e^{\lambda/2} \sin\theta \quad (35)$$

and, the proper volume element is

$$dv = \sqrt{h} dR d\theta d\phi \quad (36)$$

As we use Eqs.(34) and (35) in Eq.(19), we obtain[3]

$$\dot{\rho} + \left(\dot{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\lambda}\right)(\rho + p) = 0 \quad (37)$$

Thus, for the spherical symmetric case, by comparing Eqs.(22) and (37), we find that

$$\theta = \dot{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\lambda} \quad (38)$$

Let us also recall that the gravitational mass or Misner-Sharp mass of a section of the fluid in this case is given by[5]

$$M(r, t) = \int_0^r 4\pi R^2 \rho R' dr \quad (39)$$

MACROSCOPIC IST LAW OF THERMODYNAMICS

Now we shall consider application of FLT for an adiabatically evolving spherical perfect fluid. This will be different from the local FLT which is strictly valid only at a point. If one would consider the motion of a shell with *fixed number* of particles, one would have

$$dR = dR|_{r=r} = \dot{R} dt \quad (40)$$

And the coordinate volume swept by this shell is

$$dV = 4\pi R^2 dR|_{r=r} = 4\pi R^2 \dot{R} dt \quad (41)$$

Accordingly, the flat spacetime FLT should be obtainable from

$$dE + p dV = dE + 4\pi p R^2 \dot{R} dt = 0 \quad (42)$$

But in curved spacetime one must consider the swept up *proper* volume element [1] rather than *flat* volume element:

$$dv = \sqrt{-g_{rr}} dV = 4\pi R^2 e^{\lambda/2} \dot{R} dt \quad (43)$$

And if the spacetime has no gravitational redshift, the macroscopic form of adiabatic FLT would simply be

$$dE + p dv = 0 \quad (44)$$

Using Eq(43), this becomes

$$dE + 4\pi p R^2 e^{\lambda/2} \dot{R} dt = 0 \quad (45)$$

But if the total energy E is measured by a distant inertial observer S_∞ , note that the local pdv work will appear to S_∞ as[6]

$$dW_\infty = \sqrt{g_{00}} dW = e^{\nu/2} p dv \quad (46)$$

owing to the gravitational redshift. Thus, for a purely adiabatic case, the macroscopic GR FLT would assume the form

$$dE + 4\pi p R^2 e^{(\lambda+\nu)/2} \dot{R} dt = 0 \quad (47)$$

But by POE, we must have, $M_i = M$ and $E = M$ so that the above equation becomes

$$dM + 4\pi p R^2 e^{(\lambda+\nu)/2} \dot{R} dt = 0 \quad (48)$$

Note that, indeed M is the ADM mass and is measured by a distant observer in an assumed asymptotically flat spacetime.

SUMMARY

We showed that

- In GR, both local energy conservation and particle number conservation involve proper volume element rather than coordinate/flat volume element. It must be so because *in curved spacetime, coordinate volume element could be meaningless.*

- Accordingly, we formulated here the First Law of Thermodynamics for an adiabatically evolving fluid which obeys particle number conservation

It is again reminded that, as per classical GR, a Schwarzschild black hole has zero entropy, and the ad-hoc idea of BH thermodynamics can be recoined only if BHs have zero surface[7] area or by yet unknown ad-hoc semi-classical gravity. In contrast, we are concerned here with mundane but solid classical GR. It will be shown in future work that the *classical GR* FLT obtained here has important consequences for (classical) gravitational collapse and cosmology.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks the anonymous 2nd and 3rd referee for their honest reviews and suggestions for this manuscript.

* Electronic address: amitra@barc.gov.in

- [1] R.C. Tolman, *Relativity, Thermodynamics & Cosmology*, (Clarendon Press, Oxford 1950)
- [2] C.W. Misner, K. Thorne, & J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, (Freeman, San Francisco 1973)
- [3] L.D. Landau & E.M. Lifshitz, *Classical Theory of Fields*, (Pergamon, Oxford 1962)
- [4] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of General Theory of Relativity*, (John Wiley, New York, 1972)
- [5] C.W. Misner and D.H. Sharp, Phys. Rev.
- [6] A. Mitra, Phys. Rev., D74, 024010 (2006); gr-qc/0605066
- [7] A. Mitra, Pramana, 73, 715 (2009); arXiv:0911.3518