

### UNIQUENESS TYPE RESULT IN DIMENSION 3.

SAMY SKANDER BAHOURA

ABSTRACT. We give some estimates of type  $\sup \times \inf$  on Riemannian manifold of dimension 3 for the prescribed curvature type equation. As a consequence, we derive an uniqueness type result.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we deal with the following prescribed scalar curvature type equation in dimension 3:

$$\Delta u + h(x)u = V(x)u^5, \quad u > 0. \quad (E)$$

Where  $h, V$  are two continuous functions. In the case  $8h = R_g$  the scalar curvature, we call  $V$  the prescribed scalar curvature. Here, we assume  $h$  a bounded function and  $h_0 = \|h\|_{L^\infty(M)}$ .

We consider three positive real number  $a, b, A$  and we suppose  $V$  lipschitzian:

$$0 < a \leq V(x) \leq b < +\infty \text{ and } \|\nabla V\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq A. \quad (C)$$

The equation (E) was studied a lot, when  $M = \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $M = \mathbb{S}_n$  see for example, [2-4], [11], [15]. In this case we have a  $\sup \times \inf$  inequality.

The corresponding equation in two dimensions on open set  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , is:

$$\Delta u = V(x)e^u, \quad (E')$$

The equation (E') was studied by many authors and we can find very important result about a priori estimates in [8], [9], [12], [16], and [19]. In particular in [9] we have the following interior estimate:

$$\sup_K u \leq c = c(\inf_\Omega V, \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \inf_\Omega u, K, \Omega).$$

And, precisely, in [8], [12], [16], and [19], we have:

$$C \sup_K u + \inf_\Omega u \leq c = c(\inf_\Omega V, \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, K, \Omega),$$

and,

$$\sup_K u + \inf_\Omega u \leq c = c(\inf_\Omega V, \|V\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)}, K, \Omega).$$

where  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\Omega$ ,  $C$  is a positive constant which depends on  $\frac{\inf_\Omega V}{\sup_\Omega V}$ , and,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .

In the case  $V \equiv 1$  and  $M$  compact, the equation (E) is Yamabe equation. Yamabe has tried to solve problem but he could not, see [22]. T.Aubin and R.Schoen have proved the existence of solution in this case, see for example [1] and [14] for a complete and detailed summary.

When  $M$  is a compact Riemannian manifold, there exist some compactness result for equation (E) see [18]. Li and Zhu see [18], proved that the energy is bounded and if we suppose  $M$  not diffeomorphic to the three sphere, the solutions are uniformly bounded. To have this result they use the positive mass theorem.

Now, if we suppose  $M$  Riemannian manifold (not necessarily compact) and  $V \equiv 1$ , Li and Zhang [17] proved that the product  $\sup \times \inf$  is bounded. Here we extend the result of [6].

Our proof is an extension of Brezis-Li and Li-Zhang result in dimension 3, see [7] and [17], and, the moving-plane method is used to have this estimate. We refer to Gidas-Ni-Nirenberg for the moving-plane method, see [13]. Also, we can see in [10], one of the application of this method.

Here, we give an equality of type  $\sup \times \inf$  for the equation (E) with general conditions (C). Note that, in our proof, we do not need a classification result for some particular elliptic PDEs on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

In dimension greater than 3 we have other type of estimates by using moving-plane method, see for example [3, 5].

There are other estimates of type  $\sup + \inf$  on complex Monge-Ampere equation on compact manifolds, see [20-21]. They consider, on compact Kahler manifold  $(M, g)$ , the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} (\omega_g + \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n = e^{f-t\varphi}\omega_g^n, \\ \omega_g + \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0 \text{ on } M \end{cases}$$

And, they prove some estimates of type  $\sup_M + m \inf_M \leq C$  or  $\sup_M + m \inf_M \geq C$  under the positivity of the first Chern class of M.

Here, we have,

**Theorem 1.1.** *For all compact set  $K$  of  $M$  and all positive numbers  $a, b, A, h_0$  there is a positive constant  $c$ , which depends only on,  $a, b, A, h_0, K, M, g$  such that:*

$$\sup_K u \times \inf_M u \leq c,$$

for all  $u$  solution of (E) with conditions (C).

This theorem generalise Li-Zhang result, see [17] in the case  $V \equiv 1$ . Here, we use Li and Zhang method in [17].

In the case  $h \equiv \epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $u_\epsilon$  solution of :

$$\Delta u_\epsilon + \epsilon u_\epsilon = V_\epsilon u_\epsilon^5, \quad u_\epsilon > 0. \quad (E_\epsilon)$$

We have:

**Corollary 1.2.** *For all compact set  $K$  of  $M$  and all positive numbers  $a, b, A$  there is a positive constant  $c$ , which depends only on,  $a, b, A, K, M, g$  such that:*

$$\sup_K u_\epsilon \times \inf_M u_\epsilon \leq c,$$

for all  $u$  solution of  $(E_\epsilon)$  with conditions (C).

Now, if we assume  $M$  a compact riemannian manifold and  $0 < a \leq V_\epsilon \leq b < +\infty$  we have:

**Theorem 1.3.** (see [3]). *For all positive numbers  $a, b, m$  there is a positive constant  $c$ , which depends only on,  $a, b, m, M, g$  such that:*

$$\epsilon \sup_M u_\epsilon \times \inf_M u_\epsilon \geq c,$$

for all  $u_\epsilon$  solution of  $(E_\epsilon)$  with

$$\max_M u_\epsilon \geq m > 0$$

As a consequence of the two previous theorems, we have:

**Theorem 1.4.** For all positive numbers  $a, b, A$  we have:

$$\max_M u_\epsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

and (up to a subsequence),

$$\frac{\max_M u_\epsilon}{\epsilon^{1/4}} \rightarrow w_0 > 0, \quad \text{and,} \quad \frac{\min_M u_\epsilon}{\epsilon^{1/4}} \rightarrow w_0 > 0.$$

**Remarks:**

- It is not necessary to have  $u_\epsilon \equiv w_0 \epsilon^{1/4}$ , because if we take a nonconstant function  $V$ , we can find by the variational approach a non constant positive solution of the subcritical equation:

$$\Delta u_\epsilon + \epsilon u_\epsilon = \mu_\epsilon V u_\epsilon^{5-\epsilon}, \quad \text{with } \mu_\epsilon, u_\epsilon > 0.$$

In this case (subcritical which tends to the critical) we also have the sup  $\times$  inf inequalities and the uniqueness type theorem.

- In fact, we prove, up to a subsequence that  $\frac{u_\epsilon}{\epsilon^{1/4}}$  converge to a constant which depends on  $a, b$  and  $A$ .

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

Proof of theorem 1.1:

We want to prove that:

$$\epsilon \max_{B(0,\epsilon)} u \times \min_{B(0,4\epsilon)} u \leq c = c(a, b, A, M, g) \quad (1)$$

We argue by contradiction and we assume that:

$$\max_{B(0,\epsilon_k)} u_k \times \min_{B(0,4\epsilon_k)} u_k \geq k \epsilon_k^{-1} \quad (2)$$

Step 1: The blow-up analysis

The blow-up analysis gives us :

For some  $\bar{x}_k \in B(0, \epsilon_k)$ ,  $u_k(\bar{x}_k) = \max_{B(0,\epsilon_k)} u_k$ , and, from the hypothesis,

$$u_k(\bar{x}_k)^2 \epsilon_k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By a standard selection process, we can find  $x_k \in B(\bar{x}_k, \epsilon_k/2)$  and  $\sigma_k \in (0, \epsilon_k/4)$  satisfying,

$$u_k(x_k)^2 \sigma_k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3)$$

$$u_k(x_k) \geq u_k(\bar{x}_k), \quad (4)$$

and,

$$u_k(x) \leq C_1 u_k(x_k), \quad \text{in } B(x_k, \sigma_k), \quad (5)$$

where  $C_1$  is some universal constant.

It follows from above (2), (4) that:

$$u_k(x_k) \times \min_{\partial B(x_k, 2\epsilon_k)} u_k \epsilon_k \geq u_k(\bar{x}_k) \times \min_{B(0,4\epsilon_k)} u_k \epsilon_k \geq k \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (6)$$

We use  $\{z^1, \dots, z^n\}$  to denote some geodesic normal coordinates centered at  $x_k$  (we use the exponential map). In the geodesic normal coordinates,  $g = g_{ij}(z) dz^i dz^j$ ,

$$g_{ij}(z) = \delta_{ij} + O(r^2), \quad g := \det(g_{ij}(z)) = 1 + O(r^2), \quad h(z) = O(1), \quad (7)$$

where  $r = |z|$ . Thus,

$$\Delta_g u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \partial_j u) = \Delta u + b_i \partial_i u + d_{ij} \partial_{ij} u,$$

where

$$b_j = O(r), \quad \partial_{ij} = O(r^2) \quad (8)$$

We have a new function:

$$v_k(y) = M_k^{-1} u_k(M_k^{-2} y) \text{ for } |y| \leq 3\epsilon_k M_k^2$$

where  $M_k = u_k(0)$ .

From (5), (6), we have:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_k + \bar{b}_i \partial_i v_k + \bar{d}_{ij} \partial_{ij} v_k - \bar{c} v_k + v_k^5 = 0 \text{ for } |y| \leq 3\epsilon_k M_k^2 \\ v_k(0) = 1 \\ v_k(y) \leq C_1 \text{ for } |y| \leq \sigma_k M_k^2 \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{|y|=2\epsilon_k M_k^2} (v_k(y)|y|) = +\infty \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where  $C_1$  is a universal constant and

$$\bar{b}_i(y) = M_k^{-2} b_i(M_k^{-2} y), \quad \bar{d}_{ij}(y) = d_{ij}(M_k^{-2} y) \quad (10)$$

and,

$$\bar{c}(y) = M_k^{-4} h(M_k^{-2} y) \quad (11)$$

We can see that for  $|y| \leq 3\epsilon_k M_k^2$ , we have:

$$|\bar{b}_i(y)| \leq C M_k^{-4} |y|, \quad |\bar{d}_{ij}(y)| \leq C M_k^{-4} |y|^2, \quad |\bar{c}(y)| \leq C M_k^{-4} \quad (12)$$

where  $C$  depends on  $n, M, g$ .

It follows from (9), (10), (11), (12) and the elliptic estimates, that, along a subsequence,  $v_k$  converges in  $C^2$  norm on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to a positive function  $U$  satisfying:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta U + U^5 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \\ U(0) = 1, \quad 0 < U \leq C_1 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

**Step 2: The Kelvin transform and moving-plane method**

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , let,

$$v_k^{\lambda, x}(y) := \frac{\lambda}{|y-x|} v_k \left( x + \frac{\lambda^2(y-x)}{|y-x|^2} \right)$$

denote the Kelvin transformation of  $v_k$  with respect to the ball centered at  $x$  and of radius  $\lambda$ .

We want to compare for fixed  $x$ ,  $v_k$  and  $v_k^{\lambda, x}$ . For simplicity we assume  $x = 0$ . We have:

$$v_k^\lambda(y) := \frac{\lambda}{|y|} v_k(y^\lambda), \quad \text{with } y^\lambda = \frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}$$

For  $\lambda > 0$ , we set,

$$\Sigma_\lambda = B(0, \epsilon_k M_k^2) - \bar{B}(0, \lambda).$$

The boundary condition, (9), become:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{|y|=\epsilon_k M_k^2} (v_k(y)|y|) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{|y|=2\epsilon_k M_k^2} (v_k(y)|y|) = +\infty \quad (14)$$

We have:

$$\Delta v_k^\lambda + V_k^\lambda (v_k^\lambda)^5 = E_1(y) \text{ for } y \in \Sigma_\lambda \quad (15)$$

where,

$$E_1(y) = - \left( \frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^5 (\bar{b}_i(y^\lambda) \partial_i v_k(y^\lambda) + \bar{d}_{ij}(y^\lambda) \partial_{ij} v_k(y^\lambda) - \bar{c}(y^\lambda) v_k(y^\lambda)). \quad (16)$$

Clearly, from (10), (11), there exists  $C_2 = C_2(\lambda_1)$  such that,

$$|E_1(y)| \leq C_2 \lambda^5 M_k^{-4} |y|^{-5} \text{ for } y \in \Sigma_\lambda \quad (17)$$

Let,

$$w_\lambda = v_k - v_k^\lambda.$$

Here, we have, for simplicity, omitted  $k$ . We observe that by (9), (15):

$$\Delta w_\lambda + \bar{b}_i \partial_i w_\lambda + \bar{d}_{ij} \partial_{ij} w_\lambda - \bar{c} w_\lambda + 5\xi^4 V_k w_\lambda = E_\lambda \text{ in } \Sigma_\lambda \quad (18)$$

where  $\xi$  stay between  $v_k$  and  $v_k^\lambda$ , and,

$$E_\lambda = -\bar{b}_i \partial_i v_k^\lambda + \bar{d}_{ij} \partial_{ij} v_k^\lambda + \bar{c} v_k^\lambda - E_1 - (V_k - V_k^\lambda)(v_k^\lambda)^5. \quad (19)$$

A computations give us the following two estimates:

$$|\partial_i v_k^\lambda(y)| \leq C\lambda |y|^{-2}, \text{ and } |\partial_{ij} v_k^\lambda(y)| \leq C\lambda |y|^{-3} \text{ in } \Sigma_\lambda \quad (20)$$

From (10), (11), (20), we have,

**Lemma 2.1.** . For somme constant  $C_3 = C_3(\lambda)$

$$|E_\lambda| \leq C_3 \lambda M_k^{-4} |y|^{-1} + C_3 \lambda^5 M_k^{-2} |y|^{-4} \text{ in } \Sigma_\lambda \quad (21)$$

we consider the following auxiliary function:

$$h_\lambda = -C_1 A M_k^{-2} \lambda^2 \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right) + C_2 A M_k^{-2} \lambda^3 \left(1 - \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^2\right) - C_3 M_k^{-4} \lambda (|y| - \lambda),$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  and  $C_3$  are three positive numbers.

**Lemma 2.2.** . We have,

$$w_\lambda + h_\lambda \geq 0, \text{ in } \Sigma_\lambda \forall 0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_1 \quad (22)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1. There exists  $\lambda_{0,k} > 0$  such that (22) holds :

$$w_\lambda + h_\lambda \geq 0, \text{ in } \Sigma_\lambda \forall 0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_{0,k}.$$

To see this, we write:

$$w_\lambda = v_k(y) - v_k^\lambda(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|y|}} \left( \sqrt{|y|} v_k(y) - \sqrt{|y|^\lambda} v_k(y^\lambda) \right).$$

Note that  $y$  and  $y^\lambda$  are on the same ray starting from the origin. Let, in polar coordinates,

$$f(r, \theta) = \sqrt{r} v_k(r, \theta).$$

From the uniform convergence of  $v_k$ , there exists  $r_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  independant of  $k$  such that,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(r, \theta) > C r^{-1/2} \text{ for } 0 < r < r_0.$$

Consequently, for  $0 < \lambda < |y| < r_0$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} w_\lambda(y) + h_\lambda(y) &= v_k(y) - v_k^\lambda(y) + h_\lambda(y), \\ &> \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_0}} C \sqrt{r_0}^{-1/2} (|y| - |y^\lambda|) + h_\lambda(y) \\ &> \left(\frac{C}{r_0} - C_3 \lambda M_k^{-2}\right) (|y| - \lambda) \text{ since } |y| - |y^\lambda| > |y| - \lambda \\ &> 0. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Since,

$$|h_\lambda(y)| + v_k^\lambda(y) \leq C(k, r_0)\lambda, \quad r_0 \leq |y| \leq \epsilon_k M_k^2,$$

we can pick small  $\lambda_{0,k} \in (0, r_0)$  such that for all  $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_{0,k}$  we have,

$$w_\lambda(y) + h_\lambda(y) \geq \min_{B(0, \epsilon_k M_k^2)} v_k - C(k, r_0)\lambda_{0,k} > 0 \quad \forall r_0 \leq |y| \leq \epsilon_k M_k^2$$

Step 1 follows from (23).

Let,

$$\bar{\lambda}^k = \sup\{0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_1, w_\mu + h_\mu \geq 0, \text{ in } \Sigma_\mu \quad \forall 0 < \mu \leq \lambda\} \quad (24)$$

Step 2.  $\bar{\lambda}^k = \lambda_1$ , (22) holds.

For this, the main estimate needed is:

$$(\Delta + \bar{b}_i \partial_i + \bar{d}_{ij} \partial_{ij} - \bar{c} + 5\xi^4 V_k)(w_\lambda + h_\lambda) \leq 0 \text{ in } \Sigma_\lambda \quad (25)$$

Thus,

$$\Delta h_\lambda + \bar{b}_i \partial_i h_\lambda + \bar{d}_{ij} \partial_{ij} h_\lambda + (-\bar{c} + 5\xi^4 V_k)h_\lambda + E_\lambda \leq 0 \text{ in } \Sigma_\lambda. \quad (26)$$

We have  $h_\lambda < 0$ , and, (12) and a computation give us,

$$|\bar{c}h_\lambda| \leq C_3 \lambda M_k^{-4} |y|^{-1} + C_3 \lambda^2 M_k^{-6} \leq C_3 \lambda M_k^{-4} |y|^{-1},$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{b}_i \partial_i h_\lambda| + |\bar{d}_{ij} \partial_{ij} h_\lambda| &\leq C_3 \lambda M_k^{-8} |y| + C_3 \lambda^3 M_k^{-6} |y|^{-1} + C_3 \lambda^5 M_k^{-6} |y|^{-2}, \\ &\leq C_3 \lambda M_k^{-4} |y|^{-1} + C_3 \lambda^5 M_k^{-2} |y|^{-4} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|\bar{b}_i \partial_i h_\lambda| + |\bar{d}_{ij} \partial_{ij} h_\lambda| + |\bar{c}h_\lambda| \leq C_3 \lambda M_k^{-4} |y|^{-1} + C_3 \lambda^5 M_k^{-2} |y|^{-4} \text{ in } \Sigma_\lambda$$

Thus, by (21),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta h_\lambda + \bar{b}_i \partial_i h_\lambda + \bar{d}_{ij} \partial_{ij} h_\lambda + (-\bar{c} + 5\xi^4 V_k)h_\lambda + E_\lambda &\leq \\ &\leq \Delta h_\lambda + C_3 \lambda M_k^{-4} |y|^{-1} + C_3 \lambda^5 M_k^{-2} |y|^{-4} + |E_\lambda| \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

because,

$$\Delta h_\lambda = -2C_3 \lambda M_k^{-4} |y|^{-1} - 2C_3 \lambda^5 M_k^{-2} |y|^{-4}.$$

From the boundary condition and the definition of  $v_k^\lambda$  and  $h_\lambda$ , we have:

$$|h_\lambda(y)| + v_k^\lambda(y) \leq \frac{C(\lambda_1)}{|y|}, \quad \forall |y| = \epsilon_k M_k^2,$$

and, thus,

$$w_{\bar{\lambda}^k}(y) + h_{\bar{\lambda}^k}(y) > 0 \quad \forall |y| = \epsilon_k M_k^2,$$

We can use the maximum principal and the Hopf lemma to have:

$$w_{\bar{\lambda}^k} + h_{\bar{\lambda}^k} > 0, \text{ in } \Sigma_\lambda,$$

and,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(w_{\bar{\lambda}^k} + h_{\bar{\lambda}^k}) > 0, \text{ in } \Sigma_\lambda.$$

From (25) and above we conclude that  $\bar{\lambda}^k = \lambda_1$  and lemma 2.2 is proved.

Given any  $\lambda > 0$ , since the sequence  $v_k$  converges to  $U$  and  $h_{\lambda^k}$  converges to 0 on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we have:

$$U(y) \geq U^\lambda(y), \quad \forall |y| \geq \lambda, \quad \forall 0 < \lambda < \lambda_1.$$

Since  $\lambda_1 > 0$  is arbitrary, and since we can apply the same argument to compare  $v_k$  and  $v_k^{\lambda, x}$ , we have:

$$U(y) \geq U^{\lambda, x}(y), \quad \forall |y - x| \geq \lambda > 0.$$

Thus implies that  $U$  is a constant which is a contradiction.

*Proof of theorem 1.4:*

From theorem 2.1 (see [3]), we have:

$$\max_M u_\epsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (27)$$

We conclude with the aid of the elliptic estimates and the classical Harnack inequality that:

$$\max_M u_\epsilon \leq C \min_M u_\epsilon, \quad (28)$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant independant of  $\epsilon$ .

Let  $G_\epsilon$  the Green function of the operator  $\Delta + \epsilon$ , we have,

$$\int_M G_\epsilon(x, y) dV_g(y) = \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad \forall x \in M. \quad (29)$$

We write:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_M u_\epsilon &= u_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) = \int_M G_\epsilon(x_\epsilon, y) V_\epsilon(y) u_\epsilon^5(y) dV_g(y) \geq \\ &\geq a (\inf_M u_\epsilon)^5 \int_M G_\epsilon(x_\epsilon, y) dV_g(y) = a \frac{(\inf_M u_\epsilon)^5}{\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\inf_M u_\epsilon \leq C_1 \epsilon^{1/4}. \quad (30)$$

With the similar argument, we have :

$$\sup_M u_\epsilon \leq C_2 \epsilon^{1/4}. \quad (31)$$

Finally, we have:

$$C_1 \epsilon^{1/4} \leq u_\epsilon(x) \leq C_2 \epsilon^{1/4} \quad \forall x \in M. \quad (32)$$

Where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are two positive constant independant of  $\epsilon$ .

We set  $w_\epsilon = \frac{u_\epsilon}{\epsilon^{1/4}}$ , then,

$$\Delta w_\epsilon + \epsilon w_\epsilon = \epsilon V_\epsilon w_\epsilon^5.$$

The theorem follow from the standard elliptic estimate, the Green function of the lapalcian and the Green representation formula for the solutions of the previous equation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin. Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer-Verlag 1998
- [2] S.S Bahoura. Majorations du type  $\sup u \times \inf u \leq c$  pour l'équation de la courbure scalaire sur un ouvert de  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . J. Math. Pures. Appl.(9) 83 2004 no, 9, 1109-1150.
- [3] S.S. Bahoura. Harnack inequalities for Yamabe type equations. Bull. Sci. Math. 133 (2009), no. 8, 875-892
- [4] S.S. Bahoura. Lower bounds for  $\sup+\inf$  and  $\sup \times \inf$  and an extension of Chen-Lin result in dimension 3. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 28 (2008), no. 4, 749-758
- [5] S.S. Bahoura. Estimations uniformes pour l'equation de Yamabe en dimensions 5 et 6. J. Funct. Anal. 242 (2007), no. 2, 550-562.
- [6] S.S. Bahoura.  $\sup \times \inf$  inequality on manifold of dimension 3, to appear in MATHEMATICA AETERNA
- [7] H. Brezis, YY. Li. Some nonlinear elliptic equations have only constant solutions. J. Partial Differential Equations 19 (2006), no. 3, 208217.
- [8] H. Brezis, YY. Li, I. Shafrir. A  $\sup+\inf$  inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. J.Funct.Anal.115 (1993) 344-358.
- [9] H.Brezis and F.Merle, Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of  $-\Delta u = V e^u$  in two dimensions, Commun Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991), 1223-1253.
- [10] L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck. Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984) 369-402.
- [11] C-C.Chen, C-S. Lin. Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. L(1997) 0971-1017.
- [12] C-C.Chen, C-S. Lin. A sharp  $\sup+\inf$  inequality for a nonlinear elliptic equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Commun. Anal. Geom. 6, No.1, 1-19 (1998).
- [13] B. Gidas, W-Y. Ni, L. Nirenberg. Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle. Comm. Math. Phys. 68 (1979), no. 3, 209-243.
- [14] J.M. Lee, T.H. Parker. The Yamabe problem. Bull.Amer.Math.Soc (N.S) 17 (1987), no.1, 37 -91.
- [15] YY. Li. Prescribing scalar curvature on  $\mathbb{S}_n$  and related Problems. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 317 (1993) 159-164. Part I: J. Differ. Equations 120 (1995) 319-410. Part II: Existence and compactness. Comm. Pure Appl.Math.49 (1996) 541-597.
- [16] YY. Li. Harnack Type Inequality: the Method of Moving Planes. Commun. Math. Phys. 200,421-444 (1999).
- [17] YY. Li, L. Zhang. A Harnack type inequality for the Yamabe equation in low dimensions. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 20 (2004), no. 2, 133-151.
- [18] YY.Li, M. Zhu. Yamabe Type Equations On Three Dimensional Riemannian Manifolds. Commun.Contem.Mathematics, vol 1. No.1 (1999) 1-50.
- [19] I. Shafrir. A  $\sup+\inf$  inequality for the equation  $-\Delta u = V e^u$ . C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.
- [20] Y-T. Siu. The existence of Kahler-Einstein metrics on manifolds with positive anticanonical line bundle and a suitable finite symmetry group. Ann. of Math. (2) 127 (1988), no. 3, 585627
- [21] G. Tian. A Harnack type inequality for certain complex Monge-Ampre equations. J. Differential Geom. 29 (1989), no. 3, 481488.
- [22] Yamabe, H. On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds. Osaka Math. J. 12 1960 2137.

DEPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, 2 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75005, PARIS, FRANCE.

*E-mail address:* samybahoura@yahoo.fr