

1234-avoiding permutations and Dyck paths

Marilena Barnabei, Flavio Bonetti, and Matteo Silimbani

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna
P.zza di Porta San Donato 5, 40126 Bologna, Italy

Abstract. We define a map ν between the symmetric group S_n and the set of pairs of Dyck paths of semilength n . We show that the map ν is injective when restricted to the set of 1234-avoiding permutations and characterize the image of this map.

Keywords: restricted permutation, Dyck path.

AMS classification: 05A05, 05A15, 05A19.

1 Introduction

We say that a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ *contains a pattern* $\tau \in S_k$ if σ contains a subsequence that is order-isomorphic to τ . Otherwise, we say that σ *avoids* τ . Given a pattern τ , denote by $S_n(\tau)$ the set of permutations in S_n avoiding τ .

The sets of permutations that avoid a single pattern $\tau \in S_3$ have been completely determined in last decades. More precisely, it has been shown [10] that, for every $\tau \in S_3$, the cardinality of the set $S_n(\tau)$ equals the n -th Catalan number, which is also the number of Dyck paths of semilength n (see e.g. [10]). Many bijections between $S_n(\tau)$, $\tau \in S_3$, and the set of Dyck paths of semilength n have been described (see [4] for a fully detailed overview).

The case of patterns of length 4 appears much more complicated, due both to the fact that the patterns $\tau \in S_4$ are not equidistributed on S_n , and the difficulty of describing bijections between $S_n(\tau)$, $\tau \in S_4$, and some set of combinatorial objects.

In this paper we study the case $\tau = 1234$. An explicit formula for the cardinality of $S_n(1234)$ has been computed by I. Gessel (see [2] and [5]), but there is no bijection (up to our knowledge) between $S_n(1234)$ and some set of combinatorial objects.

We present a bijection between $S_n(1234)$ and a set of pairs of Dyck paths of semilength n . More specifically, we define a map ν from S_n to the set of pairs of Dyck paths, prove that every element in the image of ν corresponds to a single element in $S_n(1234)$, and characterize the set of all pairs that belong to the image of the map ν .

2 Dyck paths

A *Dyck path* of semilength n is a lattice path starting at $(0,0)$, ending at $(2n,0)$, and never going below the x -axis, consisting of up steps $U = (1,1)$ and down steps $D = (1,-1)$. A *return* of a Dyck path is a down step ending on the x -axis. A Dyck path is *irreducible* if it has only one return. An *irreducible component* of a Dyck path P is a maximal irreducible Dyck subpath of P .

A Dyck path P is specified by the lengths a_1, \dots, a_k of its ascents (namely, maximal sequences of consecutive up steps) and by the lengths d_1, \dots, d_k of its descents (maximal sequences of consecutive down steps), read from left to right. Set $A_i = \sum_{j=1}^i a_j$ and $D_i = \sum_{j=1}^i d_j$. If n is the semilength of P , we have of course $A_k = D_k = n$, hence the Dyck path P is uniquely determined by the two sequences $A = A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}$ and $D = D_1, \dots, D_{k-1}$. The pair (A, D) is called the *ascent-descent code* of the Dyck path P .

Obviously, a pair (A, D) , where $A = A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}$ and $D = D_1, \dots, D_{k-1}$, is the ascent-descent code of some Dyck path of semilength n if and only if

- $0 < k \leq n - 1$;
- $1 \leq A_1 < A_2 < \dots < A_{k-1} \leq n - 1$;
- $1 \leq D_1 < D_2 < \dots < D_{k-1} \leq n - 1$;
- $A_i \geq D_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

It is easy to check that the returns of a Dyck path are in one-to-one correspondence with the indices $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $A_i = D_i$. Hence, a Dyck

path is irreducible whenever we have $A_i > D_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

For example, the ascent-descent code of the Dyck path P in Figure 1 is (A, D) , where $A = 3, 6$ and $D = 2, 3$. Note that $A_1 > D_1$ and $A_2 > D_2$. In fact, P is irreducible.




Figure 1

We describe an involution L due to Kreweras (a description of this bijection, originally defined in [7], can be found in [3]) and discussed by Lalanne (see [8] and [9]) on the set of Dyck paths. Given a Dyck path P , the path $L(P)$ can be constructed as follows:

- if P is the empty path ϵ , then $L(P) = \epsilon$;
- otherwise:
 - flip the Dyck path P around the x -axis, obtaining a path E ;
 - draw northwest (respectively northeast) lines starting from the midpoint of each double descent (resp. ascent);
 - mark the intersection between the i -th northwest and i -th northeast line, for every i ;
 - $L(P)$ is the unique Dyck path that has valleys at the marked points (see Figure 2).

We define a further involution L' on the set of Dyck paths, which is a variation of the involution L , as follows:

- if P is the empty path ϵ , then $L(P) = \epsilon$;
- consider a Dyck path P and flip it with respect to a vertical line;




Figure 2. The map L .

- decompose the obtained path into its irreducible components $U P_i D$;
- replace every component $U P_i D$ with $U L(P_i) D$ in order to get $L'(P)$ (see Figure 3).

We point out that the map L' appears in a slightly modified version in the paper [3].

We now give a description of the map L' in terms of ascent-descent code. Obviously, it is sufficient to consider the case of an irreducible Dyck path P . Let (A, D) be the ascent-descent code of an irreducible path P of semilength n , with $A = A_1, \dots, A_h$ and $D = D_1, \dots, D_h$. Straightforward arguments show that the ascent-descent code (A', D') of $L'(P)$ can be described as follows:

- set $\bar{A}_i = A_i - 1$ and set $\hat{A} = [n - 2] \setminus \{\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_h\} = \{\hat{A}_1, \dots, \hat{A}_{n-2-h}\}$, where the \hat{A}_i 's are written in decreasing order. Then, $A'_i = n - \hat{A}_i$.
- consider the set $[n - 2] \setminus \{D_1, \dots, D_h\} = \{\hat{D}_1, \dots, \hat{D}_{n-2-h}\}$, where the \hat{D}_i 's are written in decreasing order. Then, $D'_i = n - 1 - \hat{D}_i$.

Finally, we introduce an order relation \leq on the set of Dyck paths of the same semilength. This order relation will be defined in three steps:




Figure 3. The map L' .

- Consider two irreducible Dyck paths P and Q of semilength n . Let (A, D) be the ascent-descent code of P , with $A = A_1, \dots, A_k$ and $D = D_1, \dots, D_k$. We say that Q covers P in the relation \leq if the ascent code of Q is obtained by removing an integer A_i from A and the descent code of Q is obtained by removing an integer D_j for D , with $j \geq i$.

Roughly speaking, Q covers P if it can be obtained from P by “closing” the rectangles corresponding to an arbitrary collection of consecutive valleys of P ;

- the desired order relation \leq on the set of irreducible Dyck paths is the transitive closure of the above covering relation;
- the relation \leq is extended to the set of all Dyck path of a given semilength as follows: if P and Q are two arbitrary Dyck paths and $P = P_1 P_2 \dots P_r$ and $Q = Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_s$ are their respective decompositions into irreducible parts, then $P \leq Q$ whenever $r = s$ and $P_i \leq Q_i$ for every i .




Figure 4. The dotted Dyck path covers the solid one.

3 LTR minima and RTL maxima of a permutation

Some of the well known bijections between $S_n(\tau)$, $\tau \in S_3$, and the set of Dyck paths of semilength n (see [1], [6], and [10]) are based on the two notions of left-to-right minimum and right-to-left maximum of a permutation $\sigma = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$:

- the value x_i is a *left-to-right minimum* (LTR minimum for short) at position i if $x_i < x_j$ for every $j < i$;
- the value x_i is a *right-to-left maximum* (RTL maximum) at position i if $x_i > x_j$ for every $j > i$.

For example, the permutation

$$\sigma = 53482167$$

has the LTR minima 5, 3, 2, and 1 (at positions 1, 2, 5, and 6) and RTL maxima 7 and 8 (at positions 8 and 4).

We denote by $vmin(\sigma)$ and $pmin(\sigma)$ the sets of values and positions of the LTR minima of σ , respectively. Analogously, $vmax(\sigma)$ and $pmax(\sigma)$ denote the sets of values and positions of the RTL maxima of σ .

Recall that the *reverse-complement* of a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ is the permutation defined by

$$\sigma^{rc}(i) = n + 1 - \sigma(n + 1 - i).$$

For example, consider the permutation

$$\sigma = 247318956.$$

Then:

$$\sigma^{rc} = 451297368.$$

Note that the sets $S_n(123)$ and $S_n(1234)$ are closed under reverse-complement, namely, $\sigma \in S_n(123)$ (respectively, $\sigma \in S_n(1234)$) if and only if $\sigma^{rc} \in S_n(123)$ (resp. $\sigma^{rc} \in S_n(1234)$).

The first assertion in the next proposition goes back to the seminal paper [10], while the second one is an immediate consequence of the straightforward fact that x is a LTR minimum of a permutation σ at position i if and only if $n + 1 - x$ is RTL maximum of σ^{rc} at position $n + 1 - i$:

Theorem 1 *A permutation $\sigma \in S_n(123)$ is completely determined by the two sets $vmin(\sigma)$ and $pmin(\sigma)$ of values and positions of its left-to-right minima. A permutation in $S_n(123)$ is completely determined, as well, by the two sets $vmax(\sigma)$ and $pmax(\sigma)$ of values and positions of its right-to-left maxima.*

◇

Also 1234-avoiding permutations can be characterized in terms of LTR minima and RTL maxima.

This characterization can be found in [2] and is based on an equivalence relation on S_n defined as follows: $\sigma \equiv \sigma' \iff \sigma$ and σ' share the values and the positions of LTR minima and RTL maxima.

For example,

$$1234 \equiv 1324.$$

Straightforward arguments lead to the following result stated in [2]:

Theorem 2 *Every equivalence class of the relation \equiv contains exactly one 1234-avoiding permutation. In this permutation, the values that are neither LTR minima nor RTL maxima appear in decreasing order.*

◇

4 The maps λ and μ

We define two maps λ and μ between S_n and the set \mathcal{D}_n of Dyck paths of semilength n . Given a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, the path $\lambda(\sigma)$ is constructed as follows:

- decompose σ as $\sigma = m_1 w_1 m_2 w_2 \dots m_k w_k$, where m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k are the left-to-right minima in σ and w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k are (possibly empty) words;
- set $m_0 = n + 1$;
- read the permutation from left to right and translate any LTR minimum m_i ($i > 0$) into $m_{i-1} - m_i$ up steps and any subword w_i into $l_i + 1$ down steps, where l_i denotes the number of elements in w_i .

The statement of Theorem 1 implies that the map λ is a bijection when restricted to $S_n(123)$.

Note that the ascent-descent code (A, D) of the path $\lambda(\sigma)$ is obtained as follows:

- $A = n + 1 - m_1, n + 1 - m_2, \dots, n + 1 - m_{k-1}$;
- $D = p_2 - 1, p_3 - 1, \dots, p_k - 1$, where p_i is the position of m_i .

We define a further map $\mu : S_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$:

- decompose σ as $\sigma = u_h M_h u_{h-1} M_{h-1} \dots u_1 M_1$, where M_1, M_2, \dots, M_h are the right-to-left maxima in σ and u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k are (possibly empty) words;
- set $M_0 = 0$;
- associate with M_i ($i > 0$) the steps $U^{m_i - m_{i-1}} D$
- associate with each entry in u_i a D step.

Also in this case, the map μ is a bijection when restricted to $S_n(123)$.

The ascent-descent code (A^*, D^*) of the path $\mu(\sigma)$ is obtained as follows:

- $A^* = M_1, M_2, \dots, M_{h-1}$;

- $D^* = n - P_2, n - P_3, \dots, n - P_h$, where P_i is the position of M_i .

In Figure 5 the two paths $\lambda(\sigma)$ and $\mu(\sigma)$ corresponding to $\sigma = 6231754$ are shown.




Figure 5. The Dyck paths corresponding to $\sigma = 6231754$.

We can now define a map $\nu : S_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n \times \mathcal{D}_n$, setting

$$\nu(\sigma) = (\lambda(\sigma), \mu(\sigma)).$$

The statement of Theorem 2 implies that the map ν is injective when restricted to $S_n(1234)$.

Note that the map ν behaves properly with respect to the reverse-complement and the inversion operators:

Proposition 3 *Let σ be a permutation in S_n . We have:*

- $\nu(\sigma) = (L, R) \iff \nu(\sigma^{rc}) = (R, L)$, hence, the permutation σ is rc-invariant if and only if $L = R$.
- $\nu(\sigma) = (L, R) \iff \nu(\sigma^{-1}) = (\text{rev}(L), \text{rev}(R))$, where $\text{rev}(P)$ is the path obtained by flipping P with respect to a vertical line. Hence, the permutation σ is an involution if and only if both L and R are symmetric with respect to a vertical line.

◇

For example, consider $\sigma = 6231754$. The two paths associated with σ are shown in Figure 5. The permutation $\sigma^{rc} = 4317562$ is associated with the two paths in Figure 6, while the permutation $\sigma^{-1} = 4237615$ corresponds




Figure 6. The Dyck paths corresponding to $\sigma^{rc} = 4\ 3\ 1\ 7\ 5\ 6\ 2$.




Figure 7. The Dyck paths corresponding to $\sigma^{-1} = 4\ 2\ 3\ 7\ 6\ 1\ 5$.

to the two paths in Figure 7.

Moreover, the map ν has the following further property that will be crucial in the proof of our main result.

Recall that a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ is said *right-connected* if it does not have a suffix σ' of length $k < n$, that is a permutation of the symbols $1, 2, \dots, k$. For example, the permutation

$$\tau = 6\ 1\ 2\ 7\ 5\ 3\ 4\ 8$$

is right-connected, while

$$\sigma = 8\ 6\ 4\ 5\ 7\ 2\ 1\ 3$$

is not right-connected.

According to this definition, we can split every permutation into right-connected components:

$$\sigma = \mathbf{8\ 6\ 4\ 5\ 7\ 2\ 1\ 3}.$$

Note that, if a permutation σ is not right-connected, σ is the juxtaposition of a permutation σ'' of the set $\{t+1, \dots, n\}$ and the permutation σ' of the set $\{1, \dots, t\}$.

Proposition 4 *Let σ be a non right-connected permutation in S_n , with $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2$, where σ_1 is a permutation of the set $\{t+1, \dots, n\}$ and σ_2 is a permutation of set of the set $\{1, \dots, t\}$. Then:*

$$\lambda(\sigma) = P_1P_2 \quad \mu(\sigma) = Q_1Q_2,$$

with $P_i = \lambda(\sigma_i)$ and $Q_i = \mu(\sigma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

◇

The order relation on Dyck paths defined in Section 2 can be exploited to define two order relations on the set S_n as follows:

- $\sigma \leq_\lambda \tau$ if and only if $\lambda(\sigma) \leq \lambda(\tau)$;
- $\sigma \leq_\mu \tau$ if and only if $\mu(\sigma) \leq \mu(\tau)$.

These order relations can be intrinsically described as follows:

Proposition 5 *Let $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$. We have $\sigma \leq_\lambda \tau$ whenever:*

- $vmin(\tau) \subseteq vmin(\sigma)$;
- $pmin(\tau) \subseteq pmin(\sigma)$;
- *setting:*
 $vmin(\sigma) = \{m_1, \dots, m_h\}$ (written in decreasing order),
 $vmin(\sigma) \setminus vmin(\tau) = \{m_{i_1}, m_{i_2}, \dots, m_{i_r}\}$ (in decreasing order),
 $pmin(\sigma) \setminus pmin(\tau) = \{p_{j_1}, p_{j_2}, \dots, p_{j_r}\}$ (in increasing order),
then $i_k < j_k$ for every k .

Similarly, $\sigma \leq_\mu \tau$ whenever:

- $vmax(\tau) \subseteq vmax(\sigma)$;
- $pmax(\tau) \subseteq pmax(\sigma)$;
- *setting:*
 $vmax(\sigma) = \{M_1, \dots, M_t\}$ (written in increasing order),
 $vmax(\sigma) \setminus vmax(\tau) = \{M_{i_1}, M_{i_2}, \dots, M_{i_q}\}$ (in increasing order),
 $pmax(\sigma) \setminus pmax(\tau) = \{P_{j_1}, P_{j_2}, \dots, P_{j_q}\}$ (in decreasing order),
then $i_k < j_k$ for every k .

◇

For example, consider the permutation

$$\sigma = 687325914.$$

We have $vmin(\sigma) = \{6, 3, 2, 1\}$, $pmin(\sigma) = \{1, 4, 5, 8\}$, $vmax(\sigma) = \{4, 9\}$, and $pmax(\sigma) = \{9, 7\}$. The permutation

$$\tau = 349268715$$

is such that $vmin(\tau) = \{3, 2, 1\}$ and $pmin(\tau) = \{1, 4, 8\}$, hence, $\sigma \leq_\lambda \tau$. Moreover, the permutation

$$\rho = 271346589$$

is such that $vmax(\rho) = \{9\}$ and $pmax(\rho) = \{9\}$, hence, $\sigma \leq_\mu \rho$.

5 Main results

We say that a pair of Dyck paths (P, Q) is *admissible* if there exists a permutation α such that $P = \lambda(\alpha)$ and $Q = \mu(\alpha)$. Needless to say, the set of admissible pairs is in bijection with the set of 1234-avoiding permutations.

We want to show that the operator L' on Dyck paths allows us to characterize the set of admissible pairs. We begin with a preliminary result concerning the pairs of Dyck paths corresponding to 123-avoiding permutations:

Theorem 6 *For every $\sigma \in S_n(123)$, we have:*

$$\mu(\sigma) = L'(\lambda(\sigma)).$$

Proof Proposition 4, together with the definition of the map L' , allows us to restrict our attention to the right-connected case.

Recall (see [10]) that a permutation σ avoids 123 if and only if the set $vmin(\sigma) \cup vmax(\sigma) = [n]$. It is simple to check that, if σ is right-connected, the sets of LTR minima and RTL maxima are disjoint.

Consider now a permutation σ with LTR minima $m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}, m_k = 1$ and RTL maxima $M_1, \dots, M_{h-1}, M_h = n$. Denote by (A, D) the ascent-descent code of the path $P = \lambda(\sigma)$ and by (A^*, D^*) the ascent-descent code of the path $\mu(\sigma)$.

As noted before, the ascent code A' of $L'(P)$ is obtained by computing the integers $\bar{A}_i = A_i - 1$ and then considering the set $\hat{A} = [n-2] \setminus \{\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_{k-1}\}$, which can be written as

$$\hat{A} = \{n - (n-1), n - (n-2), \dots, n-2\} \setminus \{n - m_1, \dots, n - m_{k-1}\}.$$

Since $\{m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}\} \cup \{M_1, \dots, M_{h-1}\} = \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$, we have

$$\hat{A} = \{n - M_1, \dots, n - M_{h-1}\}.$$

Hence, $A' = A^*$.

Similarly, the descent code D' of $L'(P)$ is obtained by considering the set

$$\hat{D} = [n-2] \setminus \{D_1, \dots, D_{k-1}\} = [n-2] \setminus \{p_2 - 1, \dots, p_k - 1\}.$$

Since $\{p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}\} \cup \{P_1, \dots, P_{h-1}\} = \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$, we have

$$\hat{D} = \{P_2 - 1, \dots, P_{h-1} - 1\}.$$

Hence, $D' = D^*$.

◇

For example, the 123-avoiding permutation $\sigma = 859762431$ corresponds to the pair of Dyck paths $(P, L'(P))$ in Figure 3.

We are now in position to state our main result:

Theorem 7 *A pair (P, Q) is admissible if and only if $P \geq L'(Q)$ and $Q \geq L'(P)$.*

Proof Consider a permutation $\sigma \in S_n(1234)$ and let σ' be the unique permutation in $S_n(123)$ with the same LTR minima as σ , at the same positions. Obviously, $\sigma' \leq_\mu \sigma$, since in σ' every element that is not a LTR minimum is a RTL maximum (see Proposition 5). Recalling that $\mu(\sigma') = L'(\lambda(\sigma)) = L'(P)$, we get the first inequality. The other inequality follows from the fact that the pair (P, Q) is admissible whenever the pair (Q, P) is admissible.

Consider now a pair of Dyck paths (P, Q) such that $P \geq L'(Q)$ and $Q \geq L'(P)$. Proposition 4 allows us to restrict to the case P, Q irreducible. Denote by σ and τ the permutations in $S_n(123)$ corresponding via ν to the pairs $(P, L'(P))$ and $(L'(Q), Q)$, respectively. Since $P \geq L'(Q)$ and $Q \geq L'(P)$, we have $\tau \leq_\lambda \sigma$ and $\sigma \leq_\mu \tau$.

We define a permutation $\alpha \in S_n$ as follows:

- $\alpha(x) = \sigma(x)$ if $x \in \text{pmin}(\sigma)$;
- $\alpha(x) = \tau(x)$ if $x \in \text{pmax}(\tau)$;
- if $x \notin \text{pmin}(\sigma) \cup \text{pmax}(\tau)$, we have $x \in \text{pmax}(\sigma) \setminus \text{pmax}(\tau) = \text{pmin}(\tau) \setminus \text{pmin}(\sigma) = \{p_{j_1}, \dots, p_{j_r}\}$, written in increasing order. Set

$$\alpha(p_{j_k}) = m_{i_k},$$

where $m_{i_1}, m_{i_2}, \dots, m_{i_r}$ are the elements in $\text{vmin}(\tau) \setminus \text{vmin}(\sigma) = \text{vmax}(\sigma) \setminus \text{vmax}(\tau)$, written in decreasing order.

The permutation α is obtained as the concatenation of three decreasing sequences. Hence, α avoids 1234. We have to prove that $\text{vmin}(\alpha) = \text{vmin}(\sigma)$ and $\text{vmax}(\alpha) = \text{vmax}(\tau)$.

It is immediate that $\text{vmin}(\sigma) \subseteq \text{vmin}(\alpha)$. In order to prove that $\text{vmin}(\sigma) = \text{vmin}(\alpha)$ it remains to show that the values $m_{i_1}, m_{i_2}, \dots, m_{i_r}$ are not LTR minima of α .

In fact, for every k , consider $\alpha(p_{j_k}) = m_{i_k} = \tau(p_{i_k})$. Consider the sets $A = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{i_k}\}$, $B = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{i_k}\}$, and their subsets $A' = \{p_{i_1}, p_{i_2}, \dots, p_{i_k}\}$ and $B' = \{m_{i_1}, m_{i_2}, \dots, m_{i_k}\}$. The k elements in B' do not belong to $\text{vmin}(\sigma)$ (and hence, the $i_k - k$ elements in $B \setminus B'$ are the largest elements in $\text{vmin}(\sigma)$). Proposition 5 ensures that each of them occupies in α a position that is strictly greater than the position occupied in τ . This implies that $p_{j_k} < p_{i_k}$ and that at most $k - 1$ elements in B' occupy in τ a position that belongs to A . Hence, in α , at least $i_k - k + 1$ positions in A are occupied by entries belonging to $\text{vmin}(\sigma)$. This implies that there is in α a position preceding p_{j_k} occupied by a value less than m_{i_k} . Hence, m_{i_k} is not a LTR minimum of α .

Analogous arguments can be used to prove that $\text{vmax}(\alpha) = \text{vmax}(\tau)$. Hence, $\nu(\alpha) = (P, Q)$, as desired. \diamond

For example, consider the pair of Dyck paths in Figure 8.

It can be checked that $P \geq L'(Q)$ and $Q \geq L'(P)$. The permutations $\sigma = \nu^{-1}((P, L'(P)))$ and $\tau = \nu^{-1}((L'(Q), Q))$ are as follows:

$$\sigma = 498271653 \quad \tau = 759432816.$$




Figure 8

We have $vmin(\sigma) = \{4, 2, 1\}$, $pmin(\sigma) = \{1, 4, 6\}$, $vmin(\tau) = \{7, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1\}$, $pmin(\tau) = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$, $vmax(\sigma) = \{3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$, $pmax(\sigma) = \{9, 8, 7, 5, 3, 2\}$, $vmax(\tau) = \{6, 8, 9\}$, and $pmax(\tau) = \{9, 7, 3\}$.

The permutation $\alpha = \nu^{-1}((P, Q))$ is

$$\alpha = 479251836.$$

As expected, $vmin(\alpha) = vmin(\sigma)$, $pmin(\alpha) = pmin(\sigma)$, $vmax(\alpha) = vmax(\tau)$, and $pmax(\alpha) = pmax(\tau)$.

References

- [1] S.C.Billey, W.Jockush, R.P.Stanley, Some combinatorial properties of Schubert polynomials. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, **2** (1993), no. 4, 345-374.
- [2] M.Bóna, Combinatorics of permutations, *Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton)*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, (2004).
- [3] D.Callan, Bijections from Dyck paths to 321-avoiding permutations revisited, arXiv:0711.2684v1.
- [4] A.Claesson, S.Kitaev, Classification of bijections between 321- and 132-avoiding permutations, *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, **B60d** (2008), 30 pp.
- [5] I.Gessel, Symmetric functions and P -recursiveness, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **53** (1990), 257-285.
- [6] C.Krattenthaler, Permutations with restricted patterns and Dyck paths, *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, **27** (2001), 510-530.

- [7] G.Kreweras, Sur les éventails de segments, *Cahiers du B.U.R.O.*, **15** (1970), 3-41.
- [8] J.C.Lalanne, Une involution sur les chemins de Dyck, *Europ. J. Combin*, **13** (1992), 471-487.
- [9] J.C.Lalanne, Sur une involution sur le chemins de Dyck, *Theoretical Comp. Sci.*, **117** (1993), 203-215.
- [10] R.Simion, F.W.Schmidt, Restricted permutations, *Europ. J. Combin*, **6** (1985), 383-406.