

# On the structure of the fibers of truncation morphisms

Helena Cobo\*      Dirk Segers†

December 29, 2010

## Abstract

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field and let  $X$  be a separated scheme of finite type over  $k$  of pure dimension  $d$ . We study the structure of the fibres of the truncation morphisms from the arc space of  $X$  to jet spaces of  $X$  and also between jet spaces. Our results are generalizations of results of Denef, Loeser, Ein and Mustařă. We will use them to find the optimal lower bound for the poles of the motivic zeta function associated to an arbitrary ideal.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. The functor  $\cdot \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \mathrm{Spec} k[t]/(t^{n+1})$  on the category of schemes over  $k$  has a right adjoint, which we denote by  $\mathcal{L}_n$ . Let  $X$  be a separated scheme of finite type over  $k$ . We call  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$  the scheme of  $n$ -jets of  $X$  and we define an  $n$ -jet on  $X$  as a closed point on  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$ . An  $n$ -jet on  $X$  is thus a morphism  $\mathrm{Spec}(k[t]/(t^{n+1})) \rightarrow X$ . For  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying  $m \geq n$ , the canonical embeddings  $X \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \mathrm{Spec} k[t]/(t^{n+1}) \hookrightarrow X \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \mathrm{Spec} k[t]/(t^{m+1})$  induce canonical truncation morphisms  $\pi_n^m : \mathcal{L}_m(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(X)$ . The projective limit of the projective system

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(X) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0(X) = X$$

exists in the category of schemes over  $k$ . It is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  and it is called the arc space of  $X$ . An arc on  $X$  is a closed point on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , so it is a morphism

---

\*Supported by FWO-Flanders project GO31806N and by MCI grant MTM2010-21740-C02-01

†Postdoctoral Fellow of the Fund for Scientific Research - Flanders (Belgium).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14B05 14E15 14E18 14J17

*Key words*. Jet spaces, arc spaces, motivic zeta function.

$\mathrm{Spec}(k[[t]]) \rightarrow X$ . In general,  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is not of finite type over  $k$ . There are natural truncation morphisms  $\pi_n : \mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(X)$ . For more information about these constructions, see [DL2] or [Mu].

In this paper we study some properties of the truncation morphisms. If  $X$  is a nonsingular variety of dimension  $d$ , then  $\pi_n(\mathcal{L}(X)) = \mathcal{L}_n(X)$  and the truncation morphisms  $\pi_n^{n+1} : \mathcal{L}_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(X)$  are locally trivial fibrations with fibre  $\mathbb{A}^d$ . The general case is more complicated, and partial results generalizing the nonsingular case are already in [DL2], [Lo] and [EM]. We now describe these results and indicate in which direction we will generalize them.

Let  $X$  be a separated scheme of finite type over  $k$  of pure dimension  $d$ . Let  $\mathrm{Jac}_X$  be the Jacobian subscheme of  $X$  and for a positive integer  $e$ , we denote by  $\mathrm{Cont}^e(\mathrm{Jac}_X)$  the set of all  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  satisfying  $\mathrm{ord}_\gamma(\mathrm{Jac}_X) = e$ . Denef and Loeser proved in [DL2] that for  $n > l \geq e$ , the truncation map

$$\pi_n(\mathrm{Cont}^e(\mathrm{Jac}_X)) \rightarrow \pi_l(\mathrm{Cont}^e(\mathrm{Jac}_X))$$

is a piecewise trivial fibration with fibre  $\mathbb{A}^{(n-l)d}$ . In this paper, we construct a finite stratification of each set  $\mathrm{Cont}^e(\mathrm{Jac}_X)$ , with an invariant  $e_r$  associated to each stratum which is less than  $e$ , such that these strata have the same property for  $n > l \geq e_r$ . So our conditions on  $n$  and  $l$  are less restrictive.

Now we will deal with the jet spaces. Let  $X$  be a separated scheme of finite type over  $k$  of pure dimension  $d$  which is either reduced or a locally complete intersection. For positive integers  $n, e$  with  $n \geq e$ , we denote by  $\mathrm{Cont}^e(\mathrm{Jac}_X)_n$  the set of all  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)$  satisfying  $\mathrm{ord}_\theta(\mathrm{Jac}_X) = e$ . Note that this is well defined because  $n \geq e$ . Ein and Mustață proved in [EM] that for positive integers  $n, l$  and  $e$  satisfying  $l + e \leq n \leq 2l$ , the truncation map

$$\mathrm{Cont}^e(\mathrm{Jac}_X)_n \rightarrow \pi_l^n(\mathrm{Cont}^e(\mathrm{Jac}_X)_n)$$

is a piecewise trivial fibration with fibre  $\mathbb{A}^{(n-l)d+e}$ . Again, we construct a finite stratification of each set  $\mathrm{Cont}^e(\mathrm{Jac}_X)_n$ , with an invariant  $e_r$  associated to each stratum which is less than  $e$ . If  $X$  is a locally complete intersection, these strata have the same property for  $e_r \leq l \leq n - e_r$ . If  $X$  is reduced, we need the same condition and also  $n \geq e$ . Note that these conditions are much weaker than the condition  $l + e \leq n \leq 2l$ .

The last result and another result in our paper have important consequences for the motivic zeta function. Here, the Grothendieck ring of algebraic varieties comes into play. The Grothendieck ring  $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)$  is the abelian group generated by the symbols  $[V]$ , where  $V$  is an algebraic variety, subject to the relations  $[V] = [V']$ , if  $V$  is isomorphic to  $V'$ , and  $[V] = [V \setminus W] + [W]$ , if  $W$  is closed in  $V$ . The ring structure of  $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)$  is given by  $[V] \cdot [W] := [V \times W]$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{L}$  the class of the affine line.

When we consider the class of  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$  in the Grothendieck ring, we endow  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$  with its reduced structure so that it becomes a variety. Our result about

the fibres of the truncation morphisms will imply that  $[\mathcal{L}_n(X)]$  is a multiple of  $\mathbb{L}^{\lceil (d+1)(n/2) \rceil}$  in  $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . This generalizes a result in [SVV] for hypersurfaces. As in that paper, we use our result to get (in the case  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ) a lower bound on the ‘poles’ of (a slight specialization of) the motivic zeta function. If  $X$  is a closed subscheme of a nonsingular irreducible algebraic variety  $Y$  of dimension  $\delta$ , our result implies that the topological zeta function of  $X \subset Y$  has no pole less than  $-\delta + (d+1)/2$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The authors want to thank Willem Veys for his support and useful remarks.

## 2 Results involving the number of equations

Let  $X$  be a closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^N$ . We denote by  $I_X$  the ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_N]$  which consists of the global sections of the ideal sheaf of  $X$ . We take generators  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  of  $I_X$ , so  $I_X = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ . We want to stress that  $m$  is the number of polynomials which generate this ideal.

Let  $\gamma(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{A}^N)$ . We consider the quotient  $M = M(f_1, \dots, f_m; \gamma)$  of the  $k[[t]]$ -module  $k[[t]]^N$  by the module generated by the rows of the matrix

$$J = J(f_1, \dots, f_m; \gamma) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(\gamma) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(\gamma) & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_N}(\gamma) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(\gamma) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(\gamma) & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_N}(\gamma) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1}(\gamma) & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2}(\gamma) & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_N}(\gamma) \end{pmatrix}.$$

There exists a unique sequence  $0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \cdots \leq e_p$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , with  $0 \leq p \leq \min\{m, N\}$ , such that

$$M \cong \frac{k[[t]]}{(t^{e_1})} \oplus \frac{k[[t]]}{(t^{e_2})} \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{k[[t]]}{(t^{e_p})} \oplus (k[[t]])^{N-p}.$$

We write  $p = p(f_1, \dots, f_m; \gamma)$  and  $e_i = e_i(f_1, \dots, f_m; \gamma)$ . Note that these values are determined by the Smith normal form of  $J$  and that  $t^{e_1}, t^{e_2}, \dots, t^{e_p}$  are called the invariant factors of  $M$  and  $J$ . If  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , one checks easily that the module  $M$  does not depend on the chosen generators of  $I_X$  and we will write  $M = M(X; \gamma)$ ,  $p = p(X; \gamma)$  and  $e_i = e_i(X; \gamma)$ .

For a sequence  $0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \cdots \leq e_p$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{M}^{e_1, \dots, e_p}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  denote the set of all  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{A}^N)$  for which the invariant factors of  $M(f_1, \dots, f_m; \gamma)$  are  $t^{e_1}, t^{e_2}, \dots, t^{e_p}$ . We put  $\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_p}(X) := \mathcal{L}(X) \cap \mathcal{M}^{e_1, \dots, e_p}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ .

Let  $\theta(t) \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{A}^N)$ . We consider the quotient  $M_n = M_n(f_1, \dots, f_m; \theta)$  of the

$k[[t]]$ -module  $(k[[t]]/(t^{n+1}))^N$  by the module generated by the rows of the matrix

$$J = J(f_1, \dots, f_m; \theta) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(\theta) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(\theta) & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_N}(\theta) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(\theta) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(\theta) & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_N}(\theta) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1}(\theta) & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2}(\theta) & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_N}(\theta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

There exists a unique sequence  $0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \cdots \leq e_N \leq n+1$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$M_n \cong \frac{k[[t]]}{(t^{e_1})} \oplus \frac{k[[t]]}{(t^{e_2})} \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{k[[t]]}{(t^{e_N})}.$$

We write  $e_i = e_i(f_1, \dots, f_m; \theta)$ . If  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)$ , then the module  $M_n$  does not depend on the chosen generators of  $I_X$  and we will write  $M_n = M_n(X; \theta)$  and  $e_i = e_i(X; \theta)$ . Let  $g$  be  $n/2$  if  $n$  is even and  $(n+1)/2$  if  $n$  is odd. For  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)$ , the largest  $i \in \{0, \dots, N\}$  for which  $e_i(X; \theta) < g$  is denoted by  $b(X; \theta)$ . Here, we have put  $e_0(X; \theta) = 0$ .

For a sequence  $0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \cdots \leq e_b < g$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $b \leq N$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  denote the set of all  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{A}^N)$  satisfying  $e_i(f_1, \dots, f_m; \theta) = e_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, b$  and  $e_{b+1}(f_1, \dots, f_m; \theta) \geq g$  if  $b < N$ . We put  $\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X) := \mathcal{L}_n(X) \cap \mathcal{M}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ .

**Proposition 1.** Suppose that  $m \leq N$  and let  $0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \cdots \leq e_m$  be an increasing sequence of integers.

(a) For  $l \geq e_m$  and  $i \geq e_m$ , we have

$$\pi_l^{l+i}(\mathcal{L}_{l+i}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X)) = \pi_l(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X)),$$

and this set is locally closed.

(b) For  $\gamma \in \pi_{e_m}(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X))$ , there exists an open subset  $U_{e_m}$  of  $\pi_{e_m}(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X))$  containing  $\gamma$  such that if we denote by  $U_i$  the inverse image of  $U_{e_m}$  under the truncation map

$$\pi_i(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X)) \rightarrow \pi_{e_m}(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X))$$

for  $i > e_m$ , we have for  $l \geq e_m$  that the truncation map  $U_{l+1} \rightarrow U_l$  is a trivial fibration with fibre  $\mathbb{A}^{N-m}$ .

(c) For  $n > l \geq e_m$ , the truncation map

$$\pi_n(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X)) \rightarrow \pi_l(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X))$$

is a locally trivial fibration with fibre  $\mathbb{A}^{(N-m)(n-l)}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $J$  be the  $(m \times N)$ -matrix with component in the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column equal to

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(a_{1,0} + a_{1,1}t + \cdots + a_{1,e_m}t^{e_m}, \dots, a_{N,0} + a_{N,1}t + \cdots + a_{N,e_m}t^{e_m}),$$

which is an element of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}_{e_m}(\mathbb{A}^N))[[t]]$  and where  $(a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq N; 0 \leq j \leq e_m}$  are the coordinates on  $\mathcal{L}_{e_m}(\mathbb{A}^N)$ . By using row operations, one checks that there exists

1. an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{e_m}(\mathbb{A}^N)$  and
2. an  $(m \times m)$ -matrix  $A$  with components in  $\mathcal{O}(V)[[t]]$ , which is invertible in the ring of  $m \times m$  matrices over  $\mathcal{O}(V)[[t]]$

such that

1.  $V \cap \pi_{e_m}(\mathcal{M}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m))$  is a closed subset of  $V$  and
2. on  $V \cap \pi_{e_m}(\mathcal{M}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m))$  and after renumbering the variables

$$A \cdot J = \begin{pmatrix} t^{e_1} & h_{1,2} & h_{1,3} & \cdots & h_{1,m} & \cdots & h_{1,N} \\ 0 & t^{e_2} & h_{2,3} & \cdots & h_{2,m} & \cdots & h_{2,N} \\ 0 & 0 & t^{e_3} & \cdots & h_{3,m} & \cdots & h_{3,N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & t^{e_m} & \cdots & h_{m,N} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where there are zeroes under the diagonal and where every  $h_{i,j}$  is a formal power series in  $t$  of order at least  $e_i$  with coefficients restrictions of regular functions on  $V$  to  $V \cap \pi_{e_m}(\mathcal{M}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m))$ .

We have to consider the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} f_1(a_{1,0} + a_{1,1}t + a_{1,2}t^2 + \cdots, \dots, a_{N,0} + a_{N,1}t + a_{N,2}t^2 + \cdots) = 0 \\ f_2(a_{1,0} + a_{1,1}t + a_{1,2}t^2 + \cdots, \dots, a_{N,0} + a_{N,1}t + a_{N,2}t^2 + \cdots) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m(a_{1,0} + a_{1,1}t + a_{1,2}t^2 + \cdots, \dots, a_{N,0} + a_{N,1}t + a_{N,2}t^2 + \cdots) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Let now  $l$  be an integer which is at least  $e_m$ . We denote

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (a_{1,0} + a_{1,1}t + \cdots + a_{1,l}t^l, \dots, a_{N,0} + a_{N,1}t + \cdots + a_{N,l}t^l) \\ z &= (z_1, \dots, z_N) \\ &= (a_{1,l+1} + a_{1,l+2}t + a_{1,l+3}t^2 + \cdots, \dots, a_{N,l+1} + a_{N,l+2}t + a_{N,l+3}t^2 + \cdots) \end{aligned}$$

The previous system of equations is the same as

$$\begin{cases} f_1(a) + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(a)t^{l+1}z_1 + \cdots + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_N}(a)t^{l+1}z_N + t^{2l+2}(\cdots) = 0 \\ f_2(a) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(a)t^{l+1}z_1 + \cdots + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_N}(a)t^{l+1}z_N + t^{2l+2}(\cdots) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m(a) + \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1}(a)t^{l+1}z_1 + \cdots + \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_N}(a)t^{l+1}z_N + t^{2l+2}(\cdots) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Let  $J_l$  be the  $(m \times N)$ -matrix with component in the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column equal to  $(\partial f_i / \partial x_j)(a)$ . We now multiply our system of equations with  $A$ , and get

on  $(\pi_{e_m})^{-1}(V)$  the equivalent system of equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \vdots \\ h_m \end{pmatrix} + A \cdot J_l \begin{pmatrix} t^{l+1}z_1 \\ t^{l+1}z_2 \\ \vdots \\ t^{l+1}z_N \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} t^{2l+2}u_1 \\ t^{2l+2}u_2 \\ \vdots \\ t^{2l+2}u_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where  $h_i \in \mathcal{O}((\pi_{e_m}^l)^{-1}(V))[[t]]$  and where  $u_i \in (\mathcal{O}((\pi_{e_m}^l)^{-1}(V))[[t]])[z_1, \dots, z_N]$  has multiplicity at least 2 as polynomial in the  $z_1, \dots, z_N$ . We use now that  $A \cdot J_l \equiv A \cdot J \pmod{t^{e_m+1}}$  and that the equality of matrices in (1) holds on  $(\pi_{e_m})^{-1}(V) \cap \mathcal{M}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ , and obtain necessary conditions for an element of  $(\pi_{e_m})^{-1}(V) \cap \mathcal{M}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  to be in  $\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X)$ , namely

$$\begin{cases} h_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{t^{l+e_1+1}} \\ h_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{t^{l+e_2+1}} \\ \vdots \\ h_m \equiv 0 \pmod{t^{l+e_m+1}} \end{cases}. \quad (3)$$

Let us denote the subset of  $(\pi_{e_m}^l)^{-1}(V) \cap \pi_l(\mathcal{M}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m))$  given by these conditions by  $\mathcal{N}_l^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ . It follows now from (2) that the truncation map  $\mathcal{N}_{l+1}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_l^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  is a trivial fibration with fibre  $\mathbb{A}^{N-m}$ . We can continue in this way, so that every  $l$ -jet in  $\mathcal{N}_l^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  is liftable to an arc in  $\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X)$ . Consequently,

$$(\pi_{e_m}^l)^{-1}(V) \cap \pi_l(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X)) = \mathcal{N}_l^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m).$$

The statements in (a) follow now from the fact that the  $l$ -jets in the closed subset  $(\pi_{e_m}^l)^{-1}(V) \cap \pi_l(\mathcal{M}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m))$  of  $(\pi_{e_m}^l)^{-1}(V)$  which can be lifted to an arc in  $\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X)$  are given by the conditions in (3). We obtain (b) if we put  $U_l := \mathcal{N}_l^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ . Part (c) follows in a straightforward way from part (b).  $\square$

In proposition 2 and 3, we will look at the space of  $n$ -jets. As before,  $g$  will be  $n/2$  if  $n$  is even and  $(n+1)/2$  if  $n$  is odd.

**Proposition 2.** Suppose that  $m \leq N$  and let  $0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_m < g$  be an increasing sequence of integers. Put  $e = e_1 + \dots + e_m$ .

(a) For  $l \in \{e_m, e_m + 1, \dots, n\}$ , we have that

$$\pi_l^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X))$$

is locally closed.

(b) For  $\gamma \in \pi_{e_m}^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X))$ , there exists an open subset  $U_{e_m}$  of  $\pi_{e_m}^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X))$  containing  $\gamma$  such that if we denote by  $U_i$  the inverse image of  $U_{e_m}$  under the truncation map

$$\pi_i^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X)) \rightarrow \pi_{e_m}^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X))$$

for  $i \in \{e_m + 1, \dots, n\}$ , we have for  $l \in \{e_m, \dots, n - 1\}$  that the truncation map  $U_{l+1} \rightarrow U_l$  is a trivial fibration with fibre  $\mathbb{A}^{N-a}$ , where  $a$  is the largest number of  $\{0, \dots, m\}$  satisfying  $e_a < n - l$ .

(c) For  $n > l \geq e_m$ , the truncation map

$$\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X) \rightarrow \pi_l^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X))$$

is a locally trivial fibration with fibre an affine space. If  $l \leq n - e_m$ , the dimension of this fibre is equal to  $(N - m)(n - l) + e$ . If  $l = e_m$  and  $m < N$ , this dimension is at least  $\lceil (N - m + 1)(n/2) \rceil$ .

**Proof.** Part (a) and (b) can be proved in an analogous way as in the previous proposition. Instead of working with power series in  $t$ , we have to work with power series truncated modulo  $t^{n+1}$ . We consider now part (c). It follows from (b) that the map

$$\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X) \rightarrow \pi_l^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_m}(X))$$

is a locally trivial fibration with fibre an affine space. If  $l \leq n - e_m$ , the dimension of this fibre is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & Ne_1 + (N - 1)(e_2 - e_1) + \dots + (N - m + 1)(e_m - e_{m-1}) + (N - m)(n - e_m - l) \\ &= e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_m + (N - m)e_m + (N - m)(n - e_m - l) \\ &= e + (N - m)(n - l). \end{aligned}$$

Our lower bound when  $l = e_m$  and  $m < N$  follows now from the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} & e + (N - m)(n - e_m) \\ &= e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_{m-1} + 2e_m - n + (N - m + 1)(n - e_m) \\ &= e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_{m-1} + 2e_m - n + (N - m + 1)(n/2 - e_m) + (N - m + 1)(n/2) \\ &\geq e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_{m-1} + 2e_m - n + n - 2e_m + (N - m + 1)(n/2) \\ &= e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_{m-1} + (N - m + 1)(n/2) \\ &\geq (N - m + 1)(n/2) \end{aligned}$$

and from the fact that the dimension of the fibre is an integer.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** Let  $0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_b < g$  be an increasing sequence of integers. Suppose that  $b < m$  and  $b \leq N$ .

(a) For  $l \in \{n - g, \dots, n\}$ , we have that

$$\pi_l^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X))$$

is locally closed.

(b) For  $\gamma \in \pi_{n-g}^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X))$ , there exists an open subset  $U_{n-g}$  of  $\pi_{n-g}^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X))$  containing  $\gamma$  such that if we denote by  $U_i$  the inverse image of  $U_{n-g}$  under the truncation map

$$\pi_i^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X)) \rightarrow \pi_{n-g}^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X))$$

for  $i \in \{n - g + 1, \dots, n\}$ , we have for  $l \in \{n - g, \dots, n - 1\}$  that the truncation map  $U_{l+1} \rightarrow U_l$  is a trivial fibration with fibre  $\mathbb{A}^{N-a}$ , where  $a$  is the largest number of  $\{0, \dots, b\}$  satisfying  $e_a < n - l$ .

(c) For  $l \geq n - g$ , the truncation map

$$\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X) \rightarrow \pi_l^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X))$$

is a locally trivial fibration with fibre an affine space. If  $l = n - g$ , the dimension of this fibre is at least  $\lceil (N - b)(n/2) \rceil$  and if moreover  $e_1 + \dots + e_b \geq n/2$ , then it is at least  $\lceil (N - b + 1)(n/2) \rceil$ .

(d) Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X)$ . Let  $M$  be a closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^N$  defined by  $b$  elements of  $I_X$  such that  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(M)$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(M)$  satisfies  $\pi_{n-g}^n(\alpha) = \pi_{n-g}^n(\theta)$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X)$ .

**Proof.** Part (a),(b) and (d) are again proved analogously as before. We adapt the first part of the proof of Proposition 1, the remaining part of the adaptation is left to the reader. Let  $J$  be the  $(m \times N)$ -matrix with component in the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column equal to

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(a_{1,0} + a_{1,1}t + \dots + a_{1,n-g}t^{n-g}, \dots, a_{N,0} + a_{N,1}t + \dots + a_{N,n-g}t^{n-g})$$

considered as an element of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}_{n-g}(\mathbb{A}^N))[[t]]/(t^{n+1})$ . There exists

1. an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{n-g}(\mathbb{A}^N)$  and
2. an  $(m \times m)$ -matrix  $A$  with components in  $\mathcal{O}(V)[[t]]/(t^{n+1})$ , which is invertible in the ring of  $m \times m$  matrices over  $\mathcal{O}(V)[[t]]/(t^{n+1})$

such that

1.  $V \cap \pi_{n-g}^n(\mathcal{M}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(f_1, \dots, f_m))$  is a locally closed subset of  $V$  and
2. on  $V \cap \pi_{n-g}^n(\mathcal{M}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(f_1, \dots, f_m))$  and after renumbering the variables

$$A \cdot J = \begin{pmatrix} t^{e_1} & h_{1,2} & h_{1,3} & \cdots & h_{1,b-1} & h_{1,b} & h_{1,b+1} & \cdots & h_{1,N} \\ 0 & t^{e_2} & h_{2,3} & \cdots & h_{2,b-1} & h_{2,b} & h_{2,b+1} & \cdots & h_{2,N} \\ 0 & 0 & t^{e_3} & \cdots & h_{3,b-1} & h_{3,b} & h_{3,b+1} & \cdots & h_{3,N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & & t^{e_{b-1}} & h_{b-1,b} & h_{b-1,b+1} & \cdots & h_{b-1,N} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & t^{e_b} & h_{b,b+1} & \cdots & h_{b,N} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & h_{b+1,b+1} & \cdots & h_{b+1,N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & h_{m,b+1} & \cdots & h_{m,N} \end{pmatrix},$$



where every  $h_{i,j}$  is obtained by restricting the coefficients of an element of  $\mathcal{O}(V)[[t]]/(t^{n+1})$  to  $V \cap \pi_{n-g}^n(\mathcal{M}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(f_1, \dots, f_m))$ , and where  $h_{i,j}$  has order at least  $e_i$  if  $b, j \geq i$  and at least  $g$  if  $i, j \geq b+1$ .

We finally check the lower bound for the dimension of the fibre in part (c) in the case  $l = n - g$ . It follows from part (b) that the dimension of the fibre is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & Ne_1 + (N-1)(e_2 - e_1) + \dots + (N-b+1)(e_b - e_{b-1}) + (N-b)(g - e_b) \\ &= e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_b + (N-b)g. \end{aligned}$$

This is at least  $\lceil (N-b)(n/2) \rceil$ . If moreover  $e_1 + \dots + e_b \geq n/2$ , it is at least  $\lceil (N-b+1)(n/2) \rceil$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $X$  be a closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^N$  and suppose that  $I_X$  is generated by  $m$  polynomials, with  $m < N$ . Then  $[\mathcal{L}_n(X)]$  is a multiple of  $\mathbb{L}^{\lceil (N-m+1)(n/2) \rceil}$  in  $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Proof.** This follows from Proposition 2(c) and Proposition 3(c).  $\square$

### 3 Results involving the dimension

Let  $X$  be a closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^N$ . Let  $d$  be the minimum of the dimensions of the irreducible components of  $X$  and put  $r := N - d$ . We denote by  $\text{Jac}_X$  the scheme defined by the ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_N]$  generated by  $I_X$  and all  $r$  by  $r$  minors of matrices of the form  $\partial(g_1, \dots, g_r)/\partial(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ , where  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  are polynomials in  $I_X$ . Because all  $r+1$  by  $r+1$  minors of matrices of the form  $\partial(g_1, \dots, g_{r+1})/\partial(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ , with  $g_1, \dots, g_{r+1} \in I_X$ , vanish on  $X$ , we get that  $p(X; \gamma) \leq r$  for  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . Moreover, for  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , we get  $\gamma \notin \mathcal{L}(\text{Jac}_X)$  if and only if  $p(X; \gamma) = r$ , and if this is the case,  $\gamma$  lies on an irreducible component of  $X$  of codimension  $r$ . For  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\text{Jac}_X)$ , we put  $e(X; \gamma) = \text{ord}_\gamma(\text{Jac}_X) = \sum_{i=1}^r e_i(X; \gamma)$ . For  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)$ , we put  $e(X; \theta) := \sum_{i=1}^r e_i(X; \theta)$ .

Suppose that  $I_X = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ . Fix polynomials  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  in  $I_X$  and let  $M$  be the scheme defined by the ideal  $(g_1, \dots, g_r)$ . Suppose that the minimum of the dimensions of the irreducible components of  $M$  is also  $d$ . Let  $X'$  be the reduced closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^N$  with irreducible components the irreducible components of  $M$  which are not a component of  $X$  and let  $I_{X'} = (f'_1, \dots, f'_s)$ . Note that set-theoretically  $M = X \cup X'$ , which implies  $\mathcal{L}(M) = \mathcal{L}(X) \cup \mathcal{L}(X')$ . We endow  $X \cap X'$  with the reduced induced subscheme structure. Let  $I_{X \cap X'} = (h_1, \dots, h_t)$ . By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, there exists  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $h_i^c \in (f_1, \dots, f_m, f'_1, \dots, f'_s)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ .

**Lemma A.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_{cn}(X) \cap \mathcal{L}_{cn}(X')$ , then we have  $\pi_n^{cn}(\theta) \in \mathcal{L}_n(X \cap X')$  and thus  $\text{ord}_\theta(\text{Jac}_M) > n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_{cn}(X) \cap \mathcal{L}_{cn}(X')$ . For every  $i$ , we have that  $h_i^c(\theta) \equiv 0 \pmod{t^{cn+1}}$  and thus  $h_i(\theta) \equiv 0 \pmod{t^{n+1}}$ . Consequently,  $\pi_n^{cn}(\theta) \in \mathcal{L}_n(X \cap X')$ . Because  $I_{\text{Jac}_M} \subset I_{X \cap X'}$ , we obtain  $\text{ord}_\theta(\text{Jac}_M) > n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma B.** Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(M) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\text{Jac}_M)$  and put  $e = e(M; \rho)$ . If  $\pi_{ce}(\rho) \in \mathcal{L}_{ce}(X)$ , then  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\text{ord}_\rho(\text{Jac}_M) = e$ , we have by Lemma A that  $\pi_{ce}(\rho) \notin \mathcal{L}_{ce}(X) \cap \mathcal{L}_{ce}(X')$ . Since  $\pi_{ce}(\rho) \in \mathcal{L}_{ce}(X)$ , we obtain that  $\pi_{ce}(\rho) \notin \mathcal{L}_{ce}(X')$  and thus  $\rho \notin \mathcal{L}(X')$ . Because  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(M) = \mathcal{L}(X) \cup \mathcal{L}(X')$ , this implies  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** (a) Let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\text{Jac}_X)$ . For  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$A_l := \{\rho \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{A}^N) \mid \pi_l(\rho) = \pi_l(\gamma)\}.$$

Put  $e_i = e_i(X; \gamma)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p(X; \gamma) = r$ . Take a closed subscheme  $M$  defined by  $r$  elements  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  of  $I_X$  such that  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(M)$ . Then,

- (i) for  $l \geq e_r$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_l = \mathcal{L}(X) \cap A_l$  and
- (ii) for  $n > l \geq e_r$ , we have  $\pi_n(\mathcal{L}(X) \cap A_l) \cong \mathbb{A}^{(n-l)d}$ .

(b) For  $l \geq e_r$ , the set  $\pi_l(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X))$  is constructible and for  $n > l \geq e_r$ , the truncation map

$$\pi_n(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X)) \rightarrow \pi_l(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X))$$

is a piecewise trivial fibration with fibre  $\mathbb{A}^{(n-l)d}$ .

**Proof.** We explained in the beginning of this section that  $p(X; \gamma) = r$ . Because  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(M)$ , we get that  $\gamma$  lies on an irreducible component  $M_\gamma$  of  $M$  of codimension at least  $r$ . Because  $X \subset M$ , the codimension of  $M_\gamma$  has to be equal to  $r$ , and every other irreducible component of  $M$  has codimension at most  $r$ . Note also that  $M_\gamma$  has to be an irreducible component of  $X$ .

(a.i) We only have to prove that  $\mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ . Note that for every  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r}$ , we have that  $\pi_{e_r}(\gamma) = \pi_{e_r}(\rho)$  and  $e(M; \rho) = e(M; \gamma) =: e$ .

It follows from Lemma A that the union

$$\pi_{ce}(\mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r}) = (\mathcal{L}_{ce}(X) \cap \pi_{ce}(\mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r})) \cup (\mathcal{L}_{ce}(X') \cap \pi_{ce}(\mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r}))$$

is actually a disjoint union. It follows from Proposition 1(c) that  $\pi_{ce}(\mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r})$  is an irreducible closed subset of  $\mathcal{L}_{ce}(\mathbb{A}^N)$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^{(ce-e_r)d}$ . We also have that  $\mathcal{L}_{ce}(X) \cap \pi_{ce}(\mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r})$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{ce}(X') \cap \pi_{ce}(\mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r})$  are closed subsets of  $\mathcal{L}_{ce}(\mathbb{A}^N)$ . Because  $\pi_{ce}(\gamma) \in \mathcal{L}_{ce}(X) \cap \pi_{ce}(\mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r})$ , we obtain

$$\pi_{ce}(\mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r}) = \mathcal{L}_{ce}(X) \cap \pi_{ce}(\mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r})$$

and of course also  $\mathcal{L}_{ce}(X') \cap \pi_{ce}(\mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r}) = \emptyset$ .

Take  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(M) \cap A_{e_r}$ . We have proven that  $\pi_{ce}(\rho) \in \mathcal{L}_{ce}(X)$ . Hence,  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  by Lemma B.

(a.ii) This follows from the previous part and from Proposition 1(c).

(b) Put  $e = e_1 + \dots + e_r$ . We prove that  $\pi_l(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X)) = \pi_l^{ce+l}(\mathcal{L}_{ce+l}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X))$ , which implies that  $\pi_l(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X))$  is constructible. Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_{ce+l}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X)$ . Take a closed subscheme  $M$  of  $\mathbb{A}^N$  defined by  $r$  elements of  $I_X$  such that  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_{ce+l}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(M)$ . By Proposition (1.a), we can lift  $\pi_{ce+l-e_r}^{ce+l}(\theta)$  to an arc  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(M)$ . Because  $\pi_{ce+l-e_r}(\rho) = \pi_{ce+l-e_r}^{ce+l}(\theta) \in \mathcal{L}_{ce+l-e_r}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X)$ , we get by Lemma B that  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . Our statement follows now from  $\pi_l(\rho) = \pi_l^{ce+l}(\theta)$ .

Suppose that  $I_X = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ . We have associated a closed subscheme  $M_i$  to every  $r$  elements of  $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ , and there are only a finite number of such subschemes. Because every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X)$  is contained in at least one  $\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(M_i)$ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X) \subset \bigcup_i \mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(M_i).$$

Consequently, we have a cover

$$\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X) = \bigcup_i (\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(M_i) \cap \mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X))$$

of  $\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X)$ . The cover

$$\pi_l(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X)) = \bigcup_i \pi_l(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(M_i) \cap \mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X))$$

is an open cover of  $\pi_l(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X))$  by a reasoning in the spirit of what we said in the beginning of the proof of Proposition 1. If we apply Proposition 1(c) to  $M_i$  and use part (a.i) of this proposition, we get that the truncation map

$$\pi_n(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(M_i) \cap \mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X)) \rightarrow \pi_l(\mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(M_i) \cap \mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X))$$

is a piecewise trivial fibration with fibre an affine space of dimension  $(n-l)d$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.** (a) For  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)$ , we have  $b(X; \theta) \leq r$ .

(b) For  $n > l \geq n-g$ , the set  $\pi_l^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X))$  is constructible and the truncation map

$$\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X) \rightarrow \pi_l^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X))$$

is a piecewise trivial fibration with fibre an affine space. If  $l = n-g$ , the dimension of this fibre is at least  $\lceil dn/2 \rceil$ . If moreover  $b < r$  or  $b = r$  and  $e_1 + \dots + e_r \geq n/2$ , then this dimension is at least  $\lceil (d+1)(n/2) \rceil$ .

**Proof.** (a) Put  $e_i = e_i(X; \theta)$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ . Suppose that  $e_{r+1} < n/2$ . Take  $g_1, \dots, g_{r+1} \in I_X$  such that  $e_i(g_1, \dots, g_{r+1}; \theta) = e_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$ . Let

$Y$  be the scheme defined by the ideal  $(g_1, \dots, g_{r+1})$ . According to Proposition 1(a),  $\pi_{n-e_{r+1}}^n(\theta)$  can be lifted to an arc  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^{e_1, \dots, e_{r+1}}(Y)$ . But then,  $\gamma$  has to lie on an irreducible component of  $Y$  of codimension at least  $r+1$  and this is in contradiction with the definition of  $r$  because  $X \subset Y$ .

(b) This follows straightforward from Proposition 3(c) and the previous part of this proposition.  $\square$

In the remaining part of this section, we suppose that  $X$  is reduced and of pure dimension  $d$ . Suppose that  $I_X = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ . Take an invertible matrix  $(a_{i,j}) \in GL_m(k)$  and denote  $F_j = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{i,j} f_i$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Then,  $I_X = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$ . Assume that the closed subscheme  $M$  defined by  $I_M = (F_1, \dots, F_r)$  has the properties

1. all irreducible components of  $M$  have dimension  $d$ , hence  $M$  is a complete intersection,
2.  $X$  is a closed subscheme of  $M$  and  $X = M$  at the generic point of every irreducible component of  $X$ ,
3. some  $r$ -minor of the Jacobian matrix of  $F_1, \dots, F_r$  does not vanish at the generic point of any irreducible component of  $X$ ,

and we have the same properties for any other closed subscheme defined by  $r$  elements of  $\{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ . This assumption will be true for a general element of  $GL_m(k)$ .

The following Lemma is an amelioration of Lemma B and is due to Ein and Mustařă [EM, Lemma 4.2].

**Lemma C.** Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(M) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\text{Jac}_M)$  and put  $e = e(M; \rho)$ . If  $\pi_e(\rho) \in \mathcal{L}_e(X)$ , then  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ .

**Proposition 7.** Suppose that  $n \geq \max\{2e_r, e := e_1 + \dots + e_r\}$ .

(a) Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X)$ . For  $l < n$ , we define

$$A_l := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{A}^N) \mid \pi_l^n(\alpha) = \pi_l^n(\theta)\}.$$

Take a closed subscheme  $M$  associated to  $r$  elements of  $\{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$  such that  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(M)$ . Then,

- (i) we have that  $\pi_{n-e_r}^n(\theta)$  is liftable to an arc  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ ,
- (ii) for  $n > l \geq e_r$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}_n(M) \cap A_l = \mathcal{L}_n(X) \cap A_l$ ,
- (iii) we have  $n - e_r < e_{r+1}(X; \theta)$  if  $r < N$ .

(b) For  $n > l \geq e_r$ , the set  $\pi_l^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X))$  is constructible and the truncation map

$$\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X) \rightarrow \pi_l^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X))$$

is a piecewise trivial fibration with fibre an affine space. If  $l \leq n - e_r$ , the dimension of this fibre is equal to  $(n - l)d + e$ . If  $l = e_r$  and  $d \geq 1$ , this dimension is at least  $\lceil (d + 1)(n/2) \rceil$ .

*Remark.* An  $n$ -jet  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_n(\text{Jac}_X)$  if and only if  $n < e(X; \theta)$ .

**Proof.** (a.i) It follows from Proposition 1(a) that  $\pi_{n-e_r}^n(\theta)$  is liftable to an arc  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ . Then,  $\tilde{\gamma} := \pi_n(\gamma) \in \mathcal{L}_n(M)$ . By Proposition 3(d),  $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)$ . By using  $n \geq e$  and Lemma C, we get  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ .

(a.ii) We only have to prove that  $\mathcal{L}_n(M) \cap A_{e_r} \subset \mathcal{L}_n(X)$ . Take  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_n(M) \cap A_{e_r}$ . Then  $\pi_{n-e_r}^n(\alpha)$  is liftable to an arc  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ . By the previous part  $\pi_{n-e_r}^n(\theta)$  is liftable to an arc  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . Because  $e_r \leq n - e_r$ , we get  $\pi_{e_r}(\rho) = \pi_{e_r}(\gamma)$ , and by using Proposition 5(a.i), we obtain  $\rho \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , and hence  $\tilde{\rho} = \pi_n(\rho) \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)$ . Because  $\pi_{n-e_r}^n(\tilde{\rho}) = \pi_{n-e_r}^n(\alpha)$ , we get  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)$  by Proposition 3(d).

(a.iii) This follows from the previous part and from the fact that the calculations show that we would get an extra nontrivial condition for an element of  $\mathcal{L}_n(M) \cap A_{e_r}$  to be in  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$  if  $n - e_r \geq e_{r+1}(X; \theta)$ .

(b) It is clear that  $\pi_l^n(\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X))$  is constructible. The other part is similar to the corresponding part in the proof of Proposition 5(b). Now, we have to consider the closed subschemes  $M_i$  associated to  $r$  elements of  $\{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$  and use the cover

$$\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X) = \bigcup_i (\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(M_i) \cap \mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X))$$

of  $\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_r}(X)$ , together with Proposition 2(c) and part (a.ii) of this proposition.  $\square$

*Remark.* If we consider an  $n$ -jet  $\theta$  as a morphism  $\text{Spec}(k[[t]]/(t^{n+1})) \rightarrow X$ , we have that  $\theta^* \Omega_X \cong M_n(X; \theta)$  as  $k[[t]]$ -modules, so that we can extend the definition of  $M_n(X; \theta)$  to an arbitrary  $n$ -jet  $\theta$  on a separated scheme of finite type  $X$ . If  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)$  lies in open subsets  $U$  and  $U'$  of  $X$  for which we have closed immersions  $U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$  and  $U' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{N'}$  with  $N' \geq N$ , then we have obviously that  $e'_1 = \dots = e'_{N'-N} = 0$  and  $e_i = e'_{i+N'-N}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . We can use this to define the sets  $\mathcal{L}_n^{e_1, \dots, e_b}(X)$  for an arbitrary separated scheme of finite type  $X$ . Proposition 6.b and 7.b imply analogous statements in this more general context, and also the following theorem is valid for an arbitrary separated scheme  $X$  of finite type over  $k$ .

**Theorem 8.** Suppose that  $X$  is reduced and of pure dimension  $d \geq 1$ . Then  $[\mathcal{L}_n(X)]$  is a multiple of  $\mathbb{L}^{\lceil (d+1)(n/2) \rceil}$  in  $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Proof.** This follows from Proposition 6.b and Proposition 7.b and the fact that in every case, at least one of the conditions  $e \geq n/2$  or  $n \geq \max\{2e_r, e\}$  is satisfied.  $\square$

## 4 The smallest pole of motivic zeta functions

From now on, we suppose that  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $Y$  be a nonsingular irreducible algebraic variety of dimension  $\delta$ . We know that the closed subschemes of  $Y$  are in one to one correspondence with the coherent ideal sheaves on  $Y$ . Let  $X$  be a closed subscheme of  $Y$ , and let  $\mathcal{I}_X$  be the corresponding ideal sheaf. For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , the set

$$\mathcal{X}_n := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_n(Y) \mid \gamma \cdot X = n\}$$

is a locally closed subvariety of  $\mathcal{L}_n(Y)$ . Note that  $\gamma \cdot X = \min\{\text{ord}_t(f_n(\gamma)) \mid f \in \mathcal{I}_X(Y)\}$  if  $Y$  is an affine variety. For a regular function  $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we considered here the induced morphism  $f_n : \mathcal{L}_n(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{A}^1)$ . The motivic zeta function  $Z(t)$  of  $X$  or  $\mathcal{I}_X$  is by definition

$$Z(t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} [\mathcal{X}_n] t^n \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}[[t]],$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the localization of  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$  with respect to  $\mathbb{L}$ . In many references the motivic zeta function is defined as  $\sum [\mathcal{X}_n] \mathbb{L}^{-\delta n} t^n$ , which is a normalization of our version.

We now describe a formula for  $Z(t)$  in terms of a principalization of  $\mathcal{I}_X$ . Let  $\sigma : W \rightarrow Y$  be a principalization of  $\mathcal{I}_X$ , i.e.  $\sigma$  is a proper birational morphism from a nonsingular variety  $W$  such that  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism on  $W \setminus \sigma^{-1}(X)$  and the total transform  $\sigma^*(\mathcal{I}_X)$  is the ideal sheaf of a simple normal crossings divisor  $E$ . Let  $E_j$ ,  $j \in T$ , be the irreducible components of  $E$ . Let  $K_{W/Y}$  be the relative canonical divisor supported on the exceptional locus of  $\sigma$ . We define the numerical data  $N_j$  and  $\nu_j$  by the equalities  $E = \sum_{j \in T} N_j E_j$  and  $K_{W/Y} = \sum_{j \in T} (\nu_j - 1) E_j$ . For  $J \subset T$ , denote  $E_J^\circ := (\cap_{j \in J} E_j) \setminus (\cup_{j \notin J} E_j)$ . In terms of these data, the announced formula for the motivic zeta function is

$$Z(t) = \sum_{J \subset T} [E_J^\circ] \prod_{j \in J} \frac{(\mathbb{L} - 1) \mathbb{L}^{\delta N_j - \nu_j} t^{N_j}}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{\delta N_j - \nu_j} t^{N_j}}. \quad (4)$$

This formula is mentioned in [VZ, Section 2.4] and is proved in the same way as the expression of the motivic zeta function of a hypersurface in terms of an embedded resolution [DL1].

Hironaka [Hi] proved that there exists a principalization  $\sigma : W \rightarrow Y$  of  $\mathcal{I}_X$  which is a composition

$$Y = Y_0 \xleftarrow{\sigma_1} Y_1 \xleftarrow{\sigma_2} Y_2 \cdots \xleftarrow{\sigma_i} Y_i \cdots \xleftarrow{\sigma_r} Y_r = W$$

of blow-ups  $\sigma_i : Y_i \rightarrow Y_{i-1}$  in smooth irreducible centers  $C_{i-1} \subset Y_{i-1}$  such that

- (i) if we denote the exceptional variety of  $\sigma_i$  and its strict transforms by  $E_i$ , we have that  $\cup_{1 \leq j \leq i} E_j$  has only simple normal crossings in  $Y_i$  and  $C_i$  has simple normal crossings with  $\cup_{1 \leq j \leq i} E_j$  and

- (ii) each center  $C_i$  is in the zero locus of the weak transform of  $\mathcal{I}_X$  through the map  $\sigma_1 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_i$ .

Note that  $\{1, \dots, r\} \subset T$  and that  $T$  contains one other index for each irreducible component of  $X$  of codimension one.

For  $i \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ , we have the formulas  $N_{i+1} = \sum_{j \in S} N_j + \mu_{C_i}$  and  $\nu_{i+1} = \sum_{j \in S} \nu_j + \delta - \text{card}(S) - \dim(C_i)$ , where  $S$  is the set of all  $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$  satisfying  $C_i \subset E_j$  and where  $\mu_{C_i}$  is at least one as a consequence of condition (ii) of our principalization. Actually  $\mu_{C_i}$  is the largest number  $b$  satisfying  $\mathcal{J}_c \subset M^b$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the weak transform of  $\mathcal{I}_X$  in  $Y_i$ ,  $c$  is the generic point of  $C_i$  and  $M$  is the maximal ideal of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_i, c}$  (see [V, Remark 2.7]). One obtains now easily (and similar to [Se1, Proof of Theorem 2.4.0]) that  $\delta N_j - \nu_j \geq 0$  for all  $j \in T$ .

Although Poonen [Po] proved that  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$  is not a domain, it is still not known whether the localization map  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is injective or not. Let  $I'$  be the kernel of the localization map and let  $R' = K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})/I'$ , which we identify with its image in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Obviously, the formula (4) still holds if we consider  $Z(t)$  as a power series over  $R'$ . Note that this is an equality in the ring  $R'[[t]]$  because  $\delta N_j - \nu_j \geq 0$  for all  $j \in T$ .

It is not clear to us that  $\cap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\mathbb{L}^k) = \{0\}$  and that a number  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  is not a zero-divisor in  $R'$ . Because these properties are needed in the proof of Lemma D, we consider the appropriate quotient of  $R'$ . Let

$$I = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \{\alpha \in R' \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} : n\alpha \in (\mathbb{L}^i)\}$$

and put  $R = R'/I$ . The ring  $R$  still specializes to Hodge-Deligne polynomials.

From now on, we will consider the motivic zeta function  $Z(t)$  as a power series over  $R$ . Notice that the formula (4) also holds over  $R$ . We write the motivic zeta function in the form

$$Z(t) = \frac{B(t)}{\prod_{j \in S} (1 - \mathbb{L}^{\delta N_j - \nu_j} t^{N_j})},$$

where  $S \subset T$  and where  $B(t)$  is not divisible by any of the  $1 - \mathbb{L}^{\delta N_j - \nu_j} t^{N_j}$ , with  $j \in S$ . Put  $l := \min\{-\nu_j/N_j \mid j \in S\}$ . The following result is proved in [SVV, Corollary to Proposition 4.6].

**Lemma D.** If there exists an integer  $a$  such that  $[\mathcal{X}_n]$  is a multiple of  $\mathbb{L}^{(\delta+l')n-a}$  for all  $n$  satisfying  $(\delta + l')n - a \geq 0$ , then  $l' \leq l$ .

**Theorem 9.** Suppose that  $Y$  is a nonsingular irreducible algebraic variety of dimension  $\delta$  and that  $X$  is a closed subscheme of  $Y$ .

(a) If  $\mathcal{I}_X$  has locally  $m$  generators, with  $m < \delta$ , then the motivic zeta function  $Z(t) \in R[[t]]$  of  $X$  belongs to the subring of  $R[[t]]$  generated by  $R[t]$  and the elements  $(1 - \mathbb{L}^{\delta N - \nu} t^N)^{-1}$ , with  $\nu, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $\nu/N \leq \delta - (\delta - m + 1)/2$ .



(b) If  $X$  is reduced and of pure dimension  $d \geq 1$ , then the motivic zeta function  $Z(t) \in R[[t]]$  of  $X$  belongs to the subring of  $R[[t]]$  generated by  $R[t]$  and the elements  $(1 - \mathbb{L}^{\delta N - \nu} t^N)^{-1}$ , with  $\nu, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $\nu/N \leq \delta - (d+1)/2$ .

**Proof.** (b) We have that  $[\mathcal{X}_n] = \mathbb{L}^\delta [\mathcal{L}_{n-1}(X)] - [\mathcal{L}_n(X)]$  for  $n \geq 1$ . By using Theorem 8, we get that  $[\mathcal{X}_n]$  is a multiple of  $\mathbb{L}^{\lceil (d+1)(n/2) \rceil}$ . It follows now from Lemma D that  $l \geq -\delta + (d+1)/2$  and this finishes the proof.

(a) This is proved in the same way as part (b). We have to use now Theorem 4 instead of Theorem 8. Indeed, Theorem 4 implies that  $[\mathcal{L}_n(X)]$  is a multiple of  $\mathbb{L}^{\lceil (\delta-m+1)(n/2) \rceil}$  in  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .  $\square$

Because  $R$  specializes to Hodge-Deligne polynomials, we get a similar result for the Hodge zeta function. We can specialize further to the topological Euler-Poincaré characteristic  $\chi$ . The zeta function at that level is the topological zeta function, which is the rational function in the complex variable  $s$  defined by

$$Z_{\text{top}}(s) := \sum_{J \subset T} \chi(E_J^\circ) \prod_{j \in J} \frac{1}{\nu_j + sN_j}.$$

The previous theorem implies the following.

**Theorem 10.** Suppose that  $Y$  is a nonsingular irreducible algebraic variety of dimension  $\delta$  and that  $X$  is a closed subscheme of  $Y$ .

(a) If  $\mathcal{I}_X$  has locally  $m$  generators, with  $m < \delta$ , then the topological zeta function has no pole less than  $-\delta + (\delta - m + 1)/2$ .

(b) If  $X$  is reduced and of pure dimension  $d \geq 1$ , then the topological zeta function has no pole less than  $-\delta + (d+1)/2$ .

*Example.* Let  $Y = \mathbb{A}^\delta$  and let  $X$  be the closed subscheme of  $Y$  defined by the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}, x_r^2 + \dots + x_\delta^2)$  of  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_\delta]$ . The dimension  $d$  of  $X$  is equal to  $\delta - r$ . One can calculate that the topological zeta function of  $X$  is

$$Z_{\text{top}}(s) = \frac{r^2 + r\delta - r + (2r-1)s}{(\delta + r - 1 + 2s)(r + s)}$$

if  $\delta - r$  is even and

$$Z_{\text{top}}(s) = \frac{r^2 + r\delta - r + (2r-2)s}{(\delta + r - 1 + 2s)(r + s)}$$

if  $\delta - r$  is odd. This example proves that our lower bound is optimal.

## References

[DL1] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Motivic Igusa zeta functions*, J. Alg. Geom. **7**, (1998), 505-537.



- [DL2] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Germes of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 201-232.
- [DL3] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Geometry on arc spaces of algebraic varieties*, European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. 1 (Barcelona, 2000), 327-348, Progr. Math., **201**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [EM] L. Ein and M. Mustață, *Jet schemes and singularities*, Algebraic geometry, Seattle 2005. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **80**, Part 2, 505-546, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [ELM] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld and M. Mustață, *Contact loci in arc spaces*, Compositio Math. **140** (2004), 1229-1244.
- [Hi] H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero*, Ann. Math. **79** (1964), 109-326.
- [L] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **211**, Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [Lo] E. Looijenga, *Motivic measures*, Seminaire Bourbaki Mars 2000, Astisque **276** (2002), 267-297.
- [Mu] M. Mustață, *Jet schemes of locally complete intersection canonical singularities*, Invent. Math. **145** (2001), 397-424.
- [Po] B. Poonen, *The Grothendieck ring of varieties is not a domain*, Math. Res. Lett. **9** (2002), 493-497.
- [Se1] D. Segers, *Smallest poles of Igusa's and topological zeta functions and solutions of polynomial congruences*, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Leuven, 2004.  
Available on <http://wis.kuleuven.be/algebra/segers/segers.htm>
- [Se2] D. Segers, *Lower bound for the poles of Igusa's p-adic zeta functions*, Math. Ann. **336** (2006), 659-669.
- [SVV] D. Segers, L. Van Proeyen and W. Veys, *The motivic zeta function and its smallest poles*, Journal of Algebra **317** (2007), 851-866.
- [VZ] W. Veys and W.A. Zúñiga-Galindo, *Zeta functions for analytic mappings, log-principalization of ideals and Newton polyhedra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 2205-2227.
- [V] O. Villamayor, *On constructive desingularization*, Journal of Symbolic Computation **39** (2005), 465-491.

UNIVERSITY OF LEUVEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CELESTIJNENLAAN 200B, B-3001 LEUVEN, BELGIUM  
*E-mail address*: helenacobo@gmail.com

UNIVERSITY OF LEUVEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CELESTIJNENLAAN 200B, B-3001 LEUVEN, BELGIUM  
*E-mail address*: dirk.segers@wis.kuleuven.be  
*URL*: <http://wis.kuleuven.be/algebra/segers/segers.htm>