

Index for three dimensional superconformal field theories with general R-charge assignments

Yosuke Imamura^{*1} and Shuichi Yokoyama^{†2}

¹ *Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
Tokyo 152-8551, Japan*

² *Department of Physics, University of Tokyo,
Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

Abstract

We derive a general formula of an index for three dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theories with general R-charge assignments to chiral multiplets by using the localization method in $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ background. As examples we compute the index for theories in a few mirror pairs, and confirm the agreement of the indices in each mirror pair.

^{*}E-mail: imamura@phys.titech.ac.jp

[†]E-mail: yokoyama@hep-th.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

1 Introduction

In the last few years AdS₄/CFT₃ correspondence has attracted great interest. Since the discovery of the ABJM model[1], which is dual to M-theory in AdS₄ × S⁷/Z_k and is the first example of the duality, many dual pairs with different numbers of supersymmetries have been constructed. Many non-trivial checks of the duality have been done.

The surprising prediction of AdS₄/CFT₃ duality, the $N^{3/2}$ scaling[2] of the partition function, was recently confirmed on the CFT side for theories with $\mathcal{N} \geq 3$ supersymmetry[3, 4]. This is based on the fact that the path integral of a three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theory in S³ can be reduced to a matrix integral by using the localization method[5].

In the derivation of the matrix model in [5], chiral multiplets are assumed to have the canonical conformal dimension. This is the reason why its applications had been restricted until recent to $\mathcal{N} \geq 3$ theories, in which the R-symmetry is non-abelian and R-charges of chiral multiplets are protected from quantum corrections. However, as is pointed out in [6, 7], this is in fact not necessary for the localization procedure, and actually it is possible to apply the localization to theories including chiral multiplets with anomalous dimensions[6, 7]. Furthermore, it is proposed in [6] that we can determine R-charges of chiral multiplets at infra-red fixed points by extremizing the S³ partition function. This is an important development because this enables us to compute the partition functions of a very large class of theories and to confirm predictions of AdS₄/CFT₃ for many interesting examples.

There exists another quantity which can be computed exactly. It is the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal index

$$I(x_2, y_a, z_i) = \text{tr} \left[(-1)^F x_1^{\Delta - R - j_3} x_2^{\Delta + j_3} y_a^{m_a} z_i^{F_i} \right], \quad (1)$$

for three-dimensional theories compactified on S². Δ , R , j_3 and F_i are the energy, the R-charge, the third component of the angular momentum rotating S², and flavor charges, respectively. m_a are magnetic charges associated with U(1) gauge groups labeled by the index a . This index does not depend on the variable x_1 . The reason is as follows. The operator $\Delta - R - j_3$ in the exponent of x_1 in (1) is written in the form

$$\Delta - R - j_3 = \{Q^\dagger, Q\} \quad (2)$$

where Q is one of eight supercharges in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal algebra. It is easily shown that only Q and Q^\dagger -invariant BPS states contribute to the index, and thus the index does not depend on x_1 . We can exactly compute this index by using the localization technique again. The index contains different information from the S³ partition function, and it would be important to use them complementarily. In particular, the index is useful to study the field-operator correspondence. For such studies for AdS₄/CFT₃ with $\mathcal{N} \geq 3$ supersymmetry, see [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

Until now the index is only computed for theories without anomalous dimensions. However, just like the partition function, it is in fact possible to compute the index for theories with anomalous dimensions if we know the R-charge assignments. The purpose of this paper is to derive a general formula for the index in such a case. We follow the prescription given in [11], and extend the result in [11] to theories with chiral multiplets with non-canonical R-charges. We will give details only for chiral multiplets. The reader should refer to [11] for details about the contribution of vector multiplets. See also [14] for partition functions of vector multiplets on general lens spaces $L(p, q)$ including \mathbf{S}^3 and $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ as special cases.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we review how we obtain deformation terms in the action necessary for the localization. In section 3 we consider theories in $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ and explicitly give the deformation terms for the background. We carry out the Gaussian integral in section 4 and obtain a general formula for the index. We apply the formula to some examples in section 5. Section 6 is devoted to discussions.

2 Supersymmetry in conformally flat backgrounds

We consider an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theory in a conformally flat Euclidean background with gauge group G and an arbitrary number of chiral multiplets. The partition function is defined by the path integral

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\Psi e^{-S[\Psi]}, \quad (3)$$

where $S[\Psi]$ is the Euclidean action of the theory and Ψ denotes arbitrary fields in the theory. An appropriate gauge fixing in (3) is understood. To compute the path integral in (3) exactly by using the localization technique, we choose one supersymmetry δ_1 respected by the background, and deform the action by adding δ_1 -exact terms $S_{\text{def}} = t\delta_1 V$ ($t \in \mathbf{R}$). Z does not depend on the deformation parameter t . If we take an appropriate S_{def} , the path integral of all but finite degrees of freedom reduces to Gaussian integral in the $t \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal algebra contains 8 supercharges; four of them are parameterized by a complex spinor ϵ , and the other four by another complex spinor $\bar{\epsilon}$. In a Euclidean space these spinors should be treated as independent ones. In a conformally flat background the spinor ϵ satisfies the Killing equation[5]

$$D_\mu \epsilon = \gamma_\mu \kappa, \quad (4)$$

with an arbitrary spinor κ . In the flat background, general solution to (4) is $\epsilon = \xi - x^\mu \gamma_\mu \zeta$ with constant spinors ξ and ζ corresponding to Q and S transformations, respectively. By using ϵ , Q and S transformations are given in a unified way. This is also the case for the anti-holomorphic parameter $\bar{\epsilon}$, corresponding to \bar{Q} and \bar{S} . For the computation of the \mathbf{S}^3 partition function, we can use any of holomorphic or antiholomorphic supersymmetries as δ_1 , whereas we should use an anti-holomorphic supersymmetry when we compute the index

encoding the spectrum of BPS operators. The supercharge \mathcal{Q} in (2) should be a component of \overline{Q} or \overline{S} .

For a vector multiplet $(A_\mu, \sigma, D, \lambda)$ the anti-holomorphic part of transformation laws are

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\sigma &= (\overline{\epsilon}\lambda), & \delta A_\mu &= -i(\overline{\epsilon}\gamma_\mu\lambda), & \delta\lambda &= 0, \\ \delta D &= i(\overline{\epsilon}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\lambda) + i(\overline{\epsilon}[\sigma, \lambda]) + \frac{i}{3}(D_\mu\overline{\epsilon}\gamma^\mu\lambda), \\ \delta\overline{\lambda} &= -\frac{i}{2}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\overline{\epsilon}F_{\mu\nu} - \gamma^\mu\overline{\epsilon}D_\mu\sigma + iD\overline{\epsilon} - \frac{2}{3}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\overline{\epsilon}\sigma.\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

The transformation laws for a chiral multiplet $\Phi = (\phi, \psi, F)$ with Weyl weight Δ_Φ are

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\phi^\dagger &= \sqrt{2}(\overline{\epsilon}\overline{\psi}), & \delta\phi &= 0, & \delta\overline{\psi} &= \sqrt{2}i\overline{\epsilon}F^\dagger, & \delta F^\dagger &= 0, \\ \delta\psi &= \sqrt{2}\overline{\epsilon}\sigma\phi - \sqrt{2}\gamma^\mu\overline{\epsilon}D_\mu\phi - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\Delta_\Phi\phi\gamma^\mu D_\mu\overline{\epsilon}, \\ \delta F &= \sqrt{2}i(\overline{\epsilon}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\psi) + \sqrt{2}i(\overline{\epsilon}\sigma\psi) + 2i(\overline{\epsilon}\overline{\lambda})\phi + \frac{2\sqrt{2}i}{3}\left(\Delta_\Phi - \frac{1}{2}\right)(D_\mu\overline{\epsilon}\gamma^\mu\psi)\end{aligned}$$

The R-charge of a chiral multiplet Φ is the same as the Weyl weight Δ_Φ . The transformation laws (5) and (6) can be used not only for the flat background but also for general conformally flat backgrounds, including \mathbf{S}^3 and $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$.

As we mentioned above, for the computation of the path integral, we need to deform the action by δ_1 -exact terms. Let us first consider flat \mathbf{R}^3 . In this case we choose one particular constant spinor $\overline{\epsilon}_1$ to define the supersymmetry δ_1 , and we use

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\text{def}}^{\text{vector}, \mathbf{R}^3} &= \int d^3x \int d^2\overline{\theta} \text{tr} \left(-\frac{t}{2} \overline{W}W \right) \\ &= t \int d^3x \text{tr} \left[\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + D_\mu\sigma D^\mu\sigma + D^2 - 2(\overline{\lambda}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\lambda) - 2(\overline{\lambda}[\sigma, \lambda]) \right].\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

for the deformation of the action of a vector multiplet. The trace ‘‘tr’’ should be a positive definite G -invariant inner product. The action $S_{\text{def}}^{\text{vector}, \mathbf{R}^3}$ given above is δ_1 -exact by construction. Indeed, we can rewrite (7) as

$$S_{\text{def}}^{\text{vector}} = \delta_1\delta_2 \int \sqrt{g} \text{tr} \left(-\frac{t}{2} \overline{\lambda}\lambda \right) d^3x, \quad (8)$$

where δ_2 is a supersymmetry defined with another constant spinor $\overline{\epsilon}_2$ linearly independent of $\overline{\epsilon}_1$. We insert the volume factor \sqrt{g} because we will use (8) for \mathbf{S}^3 and $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$, too.

For a chiral multiplet, we deform the theory by

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{def}}^{\text{chiral}, \mathbf{R}^3} &= \int d^3x \int d^2\bar{\theta} D^2 \left(-\frac{t}{2} \Phi^\dagger e^V \Phi \right) \\
&= t \int d^3x \left[-\phi^\dagger D_\mu D^\mu \phi - (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi) + F^\dagger F + \phi^\dagger \sigma \sigma \phi + i \phi^\dagger D \phi \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sqrt{2} (\bar{\psi} \lambda) \phi - \sqrt{2} \phi^\dagger (\lambda \psi) - (\bar{\psi} \sigma \psi) \right]. \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

This is again rewritten with δ_1 and δ_2 as

$$S_{\text{def}}^{\text{chiral}} = \delta_1 \delta_2 \int \sqrt{g} \left(-\frac{it}{2} \phi^\dagger F \right) d^3x. \quad (10)$$

(8) and (10) can be used to construct deformation terms for theories in \mathbf{S}^3 and $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$, too. In the case of \mathbf{S}^3 with radius r , four independent anti-holomorphic killing spinors split into two satisfying $D_\mu \bar{\epsilon} = (i/2r) \gamma_\mu \bar{\epsilon}$ and the other two satisfying $D_\mu \bar{\epsilon} = -(i/2r) \gamma_\mu \bar{\epsilon}$. For the construction of deformation terms, we use the former two or the latter two. Let us choose the former two satisfying

$$D_\mu \bar{\epsilon} = \frac{i}{2r} \gamma_\mu \bar{\epsilon}. \quad (11)$$

We denote two linearly independent killing spinors satisfying this equation by $\bar{\epsilon}_1$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_2$, and the corresponding supersymmetries by δ_1 and δ_2 . δ_1 -exact deformation actions in \mathbf{S}^3 are given by (8) and (10), again. Due to the modification in the transformation laws, additional terms including $1/r$ arise in the action.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{def}}^{\text{vector}, \mathbf{S}^3} &= S_{\text{def}}^{\text{vector}, \mathbf{R}^3} + t \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \left[-\frac{2}{r} D\sigma + \frac{1}{r^2} \sigma^2 - \frac{i}{r} (\bar{\lambda} \lambda) \right], \\
S_{\text{def}}^{\text{chiral}, \mathbf{S}^3} &= S_{\text{def}}^{\text{chiral}, \mathbf{R}^3} \\
&\quad + t \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{i(2\Delta_\Phi - 1)}{2r} (\bar{\psi} \psi - 2\phi^\dagger \sigma \phi) - \frac{\Delta_\Phi (\Delta_\Phi - 2)}{r^2} \phi^\dagger \phi \right] \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

$S_{\text{def}}^{\text{vector}, \mathbf{R}^3}$ and $S_{\text{def}}^{\text{chiral}, \mathbf{R}^3}$ in (12) are the actions (7) and (9) with appropriate covariantization of the integration measure and the derivatives. By using these action, we can compute the exact partition function in \mathbf{S}^3 [5, 6, 7].

3 Localization in $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$

The purpose of this paper is to compute the index (1) as the path integral (3) of a theory defined on the background $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$. Let r and βr be the radius of \mathbf{S}^2 and the period of \mathbf{S}^1 , respectively. We use coordinates x^i ($i = 1, 2$) for \mathbf{S}^2 and x^3 for \mathbf{S}^1 . The deformation terms necessary for the localization are given by (8) and (10) with an appropriate choice of Killing spinors $\bar{\epsilon}_1$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_2$. Before considering the compact space $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$, let us consider Killing spinors in $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$.

Four linearly independent Killing spinors in this non-compact background split into two satisfying

$$D_\mu \bar{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2r} \gamma_\mu \gamma_3 \bar{\epsilon} \quad (13)$$

and the other two satisfying the equation with opposite sign. Let $\bar{\epsilon}_1$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_2$ be two linearly independent spinors satisfying (13), and δ_1 and δ_2 be the corresponding supersymmetry transformations. These two spinors form a doublet of $SO(3)$ rotation symmetry of \mathbf{S}^2 . We define the $SO(3)$ angular momentum j ($j \geq 0$) and its third component j_3 ($-j \leq j_3 \leq j$). We assume $\bar{\epsilon}_1$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_2$ have j_3 eigenvalues $+1/2$ and $-1/2$, respectively.

Let us now consider how we can compactify the “time” direction \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{S}^1 . The Killing equation (13) implies $\bar{\epsilon}_1 \propto e^{x^3/(2r)}$, and we cannot impose the periodic boundary condition on $\bar{\epsilon}_1$. Instead, $\bar{\epsilon}_1$ satisfies

$$\bar{\epsilon}_1(x^3 + \beta r) = e^{\beta/2} \bar{\epsilon}_1(x^3). \quad (14)$$

We interpret the extra factor $e^{\beta/2}$ on the right hand side as an insertion of a twist operator. Namely, by using the quantum numbers

$$R(\bar{\epsilon}_1) = -1, \quad j_3(\bar{\epsilon}_1) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad F_i(\bar{\epsilon}_1) = 0, \quad (15)$$

we can rewrite (14) as

$$\bar{\epsilon}_1(x^3 + \beta r) = e^{(-R-j_3)\beta_1 + j_3\beta_2 + F_i\gamma_i} \bar{\epsilon}_1(x^3), \quad (16)$$

where β_1 , β_2 , and γ_i are real parameters satisfying $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$. For the consistency, the same boundary condition should be imposed on all fields in the theory. Namely, we impose

$$\Psi(x^3 + \beta r) = e^{(-R-j_3)\beta_1 + j_3\beta_2 + F_i\gamma_i} \Psi(x^3) \quad (17)$$

for an arbitrary field Ψ . We can also insert the factor $y_a^{m_a}$ when there exist $U(1)$ gauge groups, but it does not change the boundary conditions for elementary fields. The path integral over $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ with this twisted boundary condition gives the index $I(x_2, y_a, z_i)$ defined in (1). The variables x_1 , x_2 , and z_i are related to β_1 , β_2 , and γ_i by

$$x_1 = e^{-\beta_1}, \quad x_2 = e^{-\beta_2}, \quad z_i = e^{-\gamma_i}. \quad (18)$$

Deformation actions given by (8) and (10) with the killing spinors $\bar{\epsilon}_1$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_2$ satisfying (13) are

$$S_{\text{def}}^{\text{vector}, \mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1} = t \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \text{tr} \left[V_\mu V^\mu + D^2 - 2(\bar{\lambda} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \lambda) - 2(\bar{\lambda}[\sigma, \lambda]) - \frac{1}{r}(\bar{\lambda} \gamma_3 \lambda) \right], \quad (19)$$

for a vector multiplet and

$$S_{\text{def}}^{\text{chiral}, \mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1} = S_{\text{def}}^{\text{chiral}, \mathbf{R}^3} + t \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{1 - 2\Delta_\Phi}{r} \left(\phi^\dagger D_3 \phi + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi} \gamma_3 \psi) \right) + \frac{\Delta_\Phi(1 - \Delta_\Phi)}{r^2} \phi^\dagger \phi \right], \quad (20)$$

for a chiral multiplet with Weyl weight Δ_Φ . The vector V_μ in (19) is defined by

$$V_1 = F_{23} - D_1\sigma, \quad V_2 = F_{31} - D_2\sigma, \quad V_3 = F_{12} - D_3\sigma - \frac{1}{r}\sigma. \quad (21)$$

The path integral localizes around $V_\mu = 0$. The equations $V_\mu = 0$ are solved by

$$A_\mu^{(0)} dx^\mu = \frac{a}{\beta r} dx^3 + m B_i dx^i, \quad \sigma^{(0)} = \frac{m}{2r} = \frac{s}{r}. \quad (22)$$

Expectation values of all other fields vanish. We assume that the Gaussian integral is dominated by GNO monopoles. B_i is the Dirac monopole configuration with unit magnetic charge. After an appropriate gauge fixing, a , m , and s take values in the Cartan part of the Lie algebra of the gauge group G . a is the Wilson line around \mathbf{S}^1 , and m is the magnetic charge of the monopole. $s = m/2$ is defined for later convenience. When we perform the Gaussian integral, all fields in this background is decomposed into vacuum expectation values $\Psi^{(0)}$ and the fluctuations Ψ' as

$$\Psi = \Psi^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \Psi'. \quad (23)$$

Substituting this into the total action $S + S_{\text{def}}$, all interaction terms including more than two fluctuations vanish in $t \rightarrow \infty$ limit. After taking the limit, we are left with

$$I = \sum_m y_a^{m_a} \int da \int \mathcal{D}\Psi' e^{-S^{(0)}} e^{-\int \sqrt{g} \Psi' D \Psi' d^3x}, \quad (24)$$

where D is a certain differential operator acting on the fluctuations and $S^{(0)}$ is the expectation value of the original action. Almost all terms in the original action vanish when the expectation values are substituted. If the action contains Chern-Simons terms, it gives the non-vanishing contribution

$$S_{\text{CS}}^{(0)} = \frac{i}{4\pi} \int \text{tr}' \left(A^{(0)} dA^{(0)} - \frac{2i}{3} A^{(0)} A^{(0)} A^{(0)} \right) = 2i \text{tr}'(as). \quad (25)$$

The definition of the trace “tr'” here includes Chern-Simons levels and it does not have to be positive definite.

In order to perform the Gaussian integral with respect to the fluctuations Ψ' , we expand the fields by spherical harmonics on \mathbf{S}^2 . Let us focus on a particular component of Ψ' belonging to a representation R_Φ of G . Its spin on \mathbf{S}^2 and its weight in the representation R_Φ are denoted by S and ρ , respectively. The covariant derivative on \mathbf{S}^2 acting on the component is given by

$$D_i = \partial_i - i\rho(m)B_i - iS\omega_i, \quad (26)$$

where ω_i is the spin connection on \mathbf{S}^2 . Because the spin connection ω and the monopole potential B on \mathbf{S}^2 satisfy the relation

$$B = \frac{1}{2}\omega, \quad (27)$$

we can rewrite the covariant derivative as

$$D_i = \partial_i - iS_{\text{eff}}\omega_i, \quad (28)$$

with the effective spin

$$S_{\text{eff}} = S + \frac{1}{2}\rho(m) = S + \rho(s). \quad (29)$$

Therefore, we can use spin S_{eff} spherical harmonics

$$Y_{j,j_3}^{S_{\text{eff}}}, \quad j \geq |S_{\text{eff}}|, \quad -j \leq j_3 \leq j, \quad (30)$$

for the expansion of the component with spin S and weight ρ in the background flux m . This fact is quite useful to compute the eigenvalues of differential operators.

4 Gaussian integral

Let us carry out the Gaussian integral by using the deformed action. We consider a chiral multiplet Φ with Weyl weight Δ_Φ belonging to a representation R_Φ of G . After substituting (23) and taking the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, the action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{def}}^{\text{chiral}, \mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1} &= -\phi^\dagger D_\mu D^\mu \phi + \frac{1}{r^2} \phi^\dagger s s \phi + \frac{\Delta_\Phi(1 - \Delta_\Phi)}{r^2} \phi^\dagger \phi + \frac{1 - 2\Delta_\Phi}{r} \phi^\dagger D_3 \phi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{r} (\bar{\psi} s \psi) - (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi) + \frac{1 - 2\Delta_\Phi}{2r} (\bar{\psi} \gamma_3 \psi) \\ &\quad + F^\dagger F, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where the gauge fields in the covariant derivatives are replaced by $A_\mu^{(0)}$. All fields in (31) represent the fluctuation part Ψ' in (23). The integration of the auxiliary field F gives a constant factor and we can simply drop it.

The path integral of the complex scalar field ϕ gives the factor $Z_\phi = (\text{Det } D_\phi)^{-1}$ with the differential operator

$$D_\phi = -D_3 D_3 - D_i D_i + \frac{1}{r^2} s^2 + \frac{\Delta_\Phi(1 - \Delta_\Phi)}{r^2} + \frac{1 - 2\Delta_\Phi}{r} D_3. \quad (32)$$

Let us focus on a component of the scalar field with weight $\rho \in R_\Phi$. Although the spin of scalar field is $S = 0$, the coupling to the background flux shifts the effective spin to $S_{\text{eff}} = \rho(s)$. We can expand such a field by spin S_{eff} spherical harmonics $Y_{j,j_3}^{S_{\text{eff}}}$. The eigenvalue of the Laplacian $D_i D_i$ corresponding to $Y_{j,j_3}^{S_{\text{eff}}}$ is

$$D_i D_i Y_{j,j_3}^{S_{\text{eff}}} = -\frac{1}{r^2} [j(j+1) - S_{\text{eff}}^2] Y_{j,j_3}^{S_{\text{eff}}}. \quad (33)$$

Substituting (33) into (32), we obtain the eigenvalue

$$D_\phi = \frac{1}{r^2} (j + \Delta_\Phi + r D_3)(j + 1 - \Delta_\Phi - r D_3). \quad (34)$$

In this expression D_3 should be understood to be its eigenvalue. By taking the twisted boundary condition (17) into account, the eigenvalues of D_3 are given by

$$D_3 = \frac{1}{\beta r} \left[2\pi i n - i\rho(a) + (-R - j_3)\beta_1 + j_3\beta_2 + F_i\gamma_i \right], \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (35)$$

For the scalar field ϕ the R-charge R in (35) is replaced by Δ_Φ . Taking the product of all the eigenvalues, we obtain the scalar field contribution to the Gaussian integral,

$$Z_\phi = \left[\prod_{\rho \in R_\Phi} \prod_{j=|\rho(s)|}^{\infty} \prod_{j_3=-j}^j \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (j + \Delta_\Phi + rD_3)(j + 1 - \Delta_\Phi - rD_3) \right]^{-1}. \quad (36)$$

Next, let us consider Gaussian integral of the fermion field ψ . The differential operator acting on ψ in the action (31) is

$$D_{\text{fer}} = \gamma^\mu D_\mu - \frac{1 - 2\Delta_\Phi}{2r} \gamma_3 + \frac{s}{r}. \quad (37)$$

We focus on a component with weight $\rho \in R_\Phi$. Including the shift due to the background flux, the upper and lower components of the spinor ψ have the effective spins $S_{\text{eff}} = \rho(s) - 1/2$ and $S_{\text{eff}} = \rho(s) + 1/2$, respectively. They are expanded by spherical harmonics $Y_{j,j_3}^{\rho(s)-1/2}$ and $Y_{j,j_3}^{\rho(s)+1/2}$. Let us focus on a mode with spin j .

When $j \geq |\rho(s)| + 1/2$, both $Y_{j,j_3}^{\rho(s)-1/2}$ and $Y_{j,j_3}^{\rho(s)+1/2}$ exist, and the differential operator D_{fer} acting on ψ takes the matrix form

$$D_{\text{fer}} = \begin{pmatrix} D_3 - \frac{1-2\Delta_\Phi}{2r} + \frac{\rho(s)}{r} & D_+ \\ D_- & -D_3 + \frac{1-2\Delta_\Phi}{2r} + \frac{\rho(s)}{r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (38)$$

where $D_\pm = D_1 \pm iD_2$. The determinant of the matrix (38) is

$$\det D_{\text{fer}} = \frac{\rho(s)^2}{r^2} - \left(D_3 - \frac{1-2\Delta_\Phi}{2r} \right)^2 - D_+ D_-. \quad (39)$$

“det” in (39) represents the determinant of the 2×2 matrix, while “Det” is used for the determinant of differential operators. Note that D_+ and D_- do not commute with each other and $D_+ D_-$ and $D_- D_+$ are different operators. If we adopt $D_+ D_-$ as in (39) we should regard it as an operator acting on the upper component of ψ , which has the effective spin $\rho(s) - 1/2$. The eigenvalue is

$$D_+ D_- Y_{j,j_3}^{\rho(s)-1/2} = -\frac{1}{r^2} \left[\left(j + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - \rho(s)^2 \right] Y_{j,j_3}^{\rho(s)-1/2}. \quad (40)$$

We can also use $D_- D_+$ acting on $Y_{j,j_3}^{\rho(s)+1/2}$, and obtain the same eigenvalue as (40). By substituting this eigenvalue into (39) we obtain

$$\det D_{\text{fer}} = \frac{1}{r^2} (j + \Delta_\Phi + rD_3)(j + 1 - \Delta_\Phi - rD_3). \quad (41)$$

If $j = |\rho(s)| - 1/2$, only one of $Y_{j,j_3}^{\rho(s)-1/2}$ or $Y_{j,j_3}^{\rho(s)+1/2}$ exists, and thus only top-left or bottom-right component in the matrix (38) exists. The eigenvalue in this case is

$$D_{\text{fer}} = \frac{1}{r}(j + \Delta_{\Phi} + rD_3). \quad (42)$$

Combining (41) and (42), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\psi} = \text{Det } D_{\text{fer}} &= \prod_{\rho \in R_{\Phi}} \prod_{j=|\rho(s)|-1/2}^{\infty} \prod_{j_3=-j}^j \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (j + \Delta_{\Phi} + rD_3) \\ &\times \prod_{\rho \in R_{\Phi}} \prod_{j=|\rho(s)|+1/2}^{\infty} \prod_{j_3=-j}^j \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (j + 1 - \Delta_{\Phi} - rD_3) \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Recall that the differential operator D_3 should be understood as its eigenvalue given in (35). For the fermion field ψ the R-charge R in (35) is replaced by $\Delta_{\Phi} - 1$.

A similar contribution is also obtained from vector multiplets. Let us denote it by Z_{vector} . See [11] for its explicit form and a detailed derivation.

We now obtain the following expression for the index

$$I = \sum_s y_a^{2s_a} \int da e^{-S_{\text{CS}}^{(0)}} Z_{\text{vector}} \prod_{\Phi} (Z_{\phi} Z_{\psi}), \quad (44)$$

\prod_{Φ} represents the product over all chiral multiplets. Z 's in the integrand in (44) contain infinite product of eigenvalues. These are treated in a standard way. Let us focus on the factor $(j + \Delta_{\Phi} + rD_3)$ in Z_{ϕ} . The explicit form of this eigenvalue is

$$\beta(j + \Delta_{\Phi} + rD_3) = 2\pi i n - i\rho(a) + (j - j_3)\beta_1 + (j + \Delta_{\Phi} + j_3)\beta_2 + F_i \gamma_i \quad (45)$$

Let z be the right hand side with $2\pi i n$ removed. We also define z in the same way for other series of eigenvalues in (44). Then the product of eigenvalues in (44) can be written as

$$\prod_{\dots} \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (2\pi i n + z)^{-(-)^F}, \quad (46)$$

where the first product \prod_{\dots} represents all the products but one with respect to n . F is the fermion number of the corresponding field.

We first carry out the product over the integer n by using the formula

$$\prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (2\pi i n + z) = 2 \sinh \frac{z}{2} = e^{\frac{z}{2}} (1 - e^{-z}) = e^{\frac{z}{2}} \exp \left[- \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} e^{-mz} \right]. \quad (47)$$

At the first equality we neglect a divergent constant. With this formula the product \prod_{\dots} in the definition of Z can be rewritten by the summation \sum_{\dots} ,

$$\prod_{\dots} \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (2\pi i n + z)^{-(-)^F} = e^{-\sum_{\dots} (-)^F \frac{z}{2}} \exp \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\dots} (-)^F e^{-mz} \right]. \quad (48)$$

We define the function

$$f(e^{ia}, x_1, x_2, z_i) = \sum_{\dots} (-)^F e^{-z}. \quad (49)$$

We call this the letter index because this can be regarded as an index for elementary excitations, which are often called letters. For the eigenvalue (45), e^{-z} is given by

$$e^{-z} = e^{i\rho(a) - (j-j_3)\beta_1 - (j+\Delta_\Phi+j_3)\beta_2 - F_i\gamma_i} = e^{i\rho(a)} x_1^{j-j_3} x_2^{j+\Delta_\Phi+j_3} z_i^{F_i}, \quad (50)$$

and the corresponding letter index is

$$f = \sum_{\rho, j, j_3} e^{-z} = \sum_{\rho \in R_\Phi} e^{i\rho(a)} x_2^{\Delta_\Phi} z_i^{F_i} \sum_{j=|\rho(s)|}^{\infty} \sum_{j_3=-j_3}^{j_3} (x_1 x_2)^j \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right)^{j_3}. \quad (51)$$

We need to compute the letter index for other series of eigenvalues in the integrand in (44) in the same way. We give only the results. From the other factor $(j+1-\Delta_\Phi-rD_3)$ in Z_ϕ we obtain

$$f = \sum_{\rho \in R_\Phi} e^{-i\rho(a)} x_2^{-\Delta_\Phi} z_i^{-F_i} \sum_{j=|\rho(s)|}^{\infty} \sum_{j_3=-j}^j (x_1 x_2)^{j+1} \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right)^{j_3}. \quad (52)$$

The factor $(j+\Delta_\Phi+rD_3)$ in Z_ψ gives

$$f = - \sum_{\rho \in R_\Phi} e^{i\rho(a)} x_2^{\Delta_\Phi} z_i^{F_i} \sum_{k=|\rho(s)|}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-k}^{k-1} (x_1 x_2)^k \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right)^l, \quad (53)$$

and the other factor $(j+1-\Delta_\Phi-rD_3)$ in Z_ψ gives

$$f = - \sum_{\rho \in R_\Phi} e^{-i\rho(a)} x_2^{-\Delta_\Phi} z_i^{-F_i} \sum_{k=|\rho(s)|}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-k-1}^k (x_1 x_2)^{k+1} \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right)^l. \quad (54)$$

By summing up (51), (52), (53), and (54) we obtain the letter index for a chiral multiplet Φ . Finally we sum up the contributions of all chiral multiplets, and obtain

$$f_{\text{chiral}}(e^{ia}, x_2, z_i) = \sum_{\Phi} \sum_{\rho \in R_\Phi} \left[e^{i\rho(a)} z_i^{F_i} \frac{x_2^{2|\rho(s)|+\Delta_\Phi}}{1-x_2^2} - e^{-i\rho(a)} z_i^{-F_i} \frac{x_2^{2|\rho(s)|+2-\Delta_\Phi}}{1-x_2^2} \right], \quad (55)$$

where \sum_{Φ} represents the summation over all chiral multiplets. This does not depend on the variable x_1 . This is consistent with the fact that only BPS states contribute to the index I . When $\Delta_\Phi = 1/2$ (55) agrees with the corresponding function in [11].

The letter index of vector multiplets is also obtained in a similar way. Because any vector multiplet carries no flavor charges, it is a function of only s , e^{ia} and x_2 . The explicit form of f_{vector} is given by[11]

$$f_{\text{vector}}(e^{ia}, x_2) = \sum_{\alpha \in G} \left(-e^{i\alpha(a)} x_2^{2|\alpha(s)|} \right), \quad (56)$$

where $\sum_{\alpha \in G}$ represents the summation over all roots.

We also need to evaluate the first factor in (48). It is a monomial of the variables e^{ia} , x_2 , and z_i . We define b_0 , ϵ_0 , and q_{0i} by

$$\exp \left(- \sum (-)^F \frac{z}{2} \right) = e^{ib_0(a)} x_2^{\epsilon_0} z_i^{q_{0i}}. \quad (57)$$

ϵ_0 and q_{0i} are zero-point contributions to the energy and the flavor charges. $b_0(a)$ is a linear function of a which represents the zero-point gauge charge. We can derive ϵ_0 from the total letter index $f_{\text{tot}} = f_{\text{chiral}} + f_{\text{vector}}$ by[11]

$$\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{\text{tot}}}{\partial x_2} \Big|_{e^{ia}=x_2=z_i=1} = \sum_{\Phi} (1 - \Delta_{\Phi}) \sum_{\rho \in R_{\Phi}} |\rho(s)| - \sum_{\alpha \in G} |\alpha(s)|. \quad (58)$$

The zero-point flavor charges q_{0i} are also obtained in the same way. The result, however, diverges when we take the limit $x_2 \rightarrow 1$.

$$q_{0i} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_{\text{tot}}}{\partial z_i} \Big|_{e^{ia}=z_i=1} = - \sum_{\Phi} \sum_{\rho \in R_{\Phi}} F_i \left[\frac{1}{2(x_2 - 1)} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + |\rho(s)| \right) + \mathcal{O}(x_2 - 1) \right]. \quad (59)$$

We need some regularization. Because (59) does not depend on Δ_{Φ} , it is plausible that after an appropriate regularization q_{0i} does not depend on Δ_{Φ} . Thus we take zero-point charges for canonical fields,

$$q_{0i} = - \sum_{\Phi} \sum_{\rho \in R_{\Phi}} |\rho(s)| F_i. \quad (60)$$

Similarly, $b_0(a)$ is given by

$$b_0(a) = - \sum_{\Phi} \sum_{\rho \in R_{\Phi}} |\rho(s)| \rho(a). \quad (61)$$

(61) can be regarded as the 1-loop correction to Chern-Simons terms. This vanishes when the matter representation is vector-like.

By collecting all components, we obtain the following general formula for the index.

$$I(x_2, z_i) = \sum_s y_a^{2s_a} \int da e^{-S_{\text{CS}}^{(0)}} e^{ib_0(a)} x_2^{\epsilon_0} z_i^{q_{0i}} \exp \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} f_{\text{tot}}(e^{ima}, x_2^m, z_i^m) \right]. \quad (62)$$

Before ending this section we comment on the integration measure associated with the Wilson line a . The Wilson line a is gauge fixed so that a is an element of Cartan subalgebra. The associated Jacobian factor is the Vandermonde determinant

$$J = \prod_{\alpha \in G, \alpha(s)=0} 2i \sin \frac{\alpha(a)}{2}. \quad (63)$$

Because the gauge group is broken by the magnetic flux s , the product in (63) is taken over only roots for unbroken gauge group, which satisfy $\alpha(s) = 0$. This Jacobian factor can be rewritten in the form

$$J = \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} f'(e^{ima}) \right), \quad (64)$$

with

$$f'(e^{ia}) = \sum_{\alpha \in G, \alpha(s)=0} (-e^{-i\alpha(a)}). \quad (65)$$

We included this contribution in the definition of f_{vector} in (56). Although this simplifies the formula, the expression (63) is more useful for actual computation. In addition to the Jacobian factor (63) arising from the fixing of continuous gauge symmetries, we need a statistical factor associated with the Weyl group of unbroken gauge symmetry. For example, if the gauge group is $U(N)$ and it is broken to $\prod U(N_k)$ by the flux s , we should include the factor $(\prod N_k!)^{-1}$ in the definition of the integration measure $\int da$.

5 Examples

An $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric $U(1)$ gauge theory with N_f flavors (Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i) ($i = 1, \dots, N_f$) without superpotential is known to be mirror to a $U(1)^{N_f-1}$ quiver gauge theory [15, 16, 17]. We refer to the QED with N_f flavors by QED_{N_f} and its mirror by $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}$ for short. In this section we compute the index for QED_{N_f} and $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}$ with $N_f = 1, 2, 3$, and confirm the agreement of the indices in each mirror pair.

Let V_i ($i = 1, \dots, N_f - 1$) be the vector multiplets in $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}$. The matter contents of $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}$ are N_f singlets S_i ($i = 1, \dots, N_f$) and N_f pairs of bi-fundamental chiral multiplets (q_i, \tilde{q}_i) ($i = 1, \dots, N_f$). q_i and \tilde{q}_i couple to $V_i - V_{i-1}$ with opposite charges, where $V_0 = V_{N_f} = 0$ is understood. The superpotential is

$$W_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} \tilde{q}_i q_i S_i. \quad (66)$$

Let us first consider QED_{N_f} . This theory has $U(N_f) \times U(N_f)$ symmetry rotating Q_i and \tilde{Q}_i separately. We introduce the Cartan generators F_i and F'_i

so that for each i they act only on the i -th flavor (Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i) . Namely, we adopt the charge assignments

$$F_i(Q_j) = F_i(\tilde{Q}_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad F'_i(Q_j) = -F'_i(\tilde{Q}_j) = \delta_{ij}. \quad (67)$$

Corresponding to these charges, we introduce variables t_i and t'_i , and insert the operator $t_i^{F_i} t'_i{}^{F'_i}$ in the definition of the index. Because the rotation by $F'_1 + \dots + F'_{N_f}$ is the same as the $U(1)$ gauge transformation, the set of N_f variables t'_i is redundant, and the index is invariant under the rescaling $t'_i \rightarrow at'_i$. We set $t'_{N_f} = 1$ by using this rescaling.

Let us define the function

$$f_\Delta(s, x, t) = \frac{tx^{2|s|+\Delta} - t^{-1}x^{2|s|+2-\Delta}}{1-x^2}. \quad (68)$$

(We here use x instead of x_2 .) The letter index of QED_{N_f} is

$$f_{\text{QED}_{N_f}}(s, e^{ia}, x, t_i, t'_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} [f_h(s, x, e^{ia}t_i t'_i) + f_h(s, x, e^{-ia}t_i t'^{-1}_i)], \quad (69)$$

where h is the Weyl weight of Q_i and \tilde{Q}_i ; $h = \Delta(Q_i) = \Delta(\tilde{Q}_i)$. With this letter index, the index of QED_{N_f} is given by

$$I_{\text{QED}_{N_f}}(x, t_i, t'_i, t'') = \sum_{s \in \mathbf{Z}/2} t''^{2s} \int \frac{da}{2\pi} x^{2N_f(1-h)|s|} (t_1 \dots t_{N_f})^{-2|s|} \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} f_{\text{QED}_{N_f}}(s, e^{ima}, x^m, t_i^m, t'^m_i)\right), \quad (70)$$

where we introduce the variable t'' for the monopole charge $2s$. We define the operator F'' counting the monopole charge for later use.

Although we focus only on the Cartan part in the computation of the index, QED_{N_f} actually has $SU(N_f)^2 \times U(1)^2$ global symmetry, and thus the index must be expanded by $SU(N_f)$ characters. We need some variable changes for this character expansion. We separate diagonal part from t_i and define t_0 and \tilde{t}_i by

$$t_0 = (t_1 t_2 \dots t_{N_f})^{1/N_f}, \quad \tilde{t}_i = \frac{t_i}{t_{N_f}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, N_f - 1). \quad (71)$$

We rearrange the two sets of $N_f - 1$ variables \tilde{t}_i and t'_i by

$$u_i = \tilde{t}_i t'_i, \quad u'_i = \frac{\tilde{t}_i}{t'_i}. \quad (72)$$

The two sets of variables u_i and u'_i correspond to the two $SU(N_f)$ global symmetries. After this change of variables, the index is expanded by $SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f)$ characters $\chi_R(u_i) \chi_{R'}(u'_i)$, where R and R' are $SU(N_f)$ representations.

Next, let us consider $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}$. This theory also has $2N_f$ global $U(1)$ symmetries as QED_{N_f} : F_i ($i = 1, \dots, N_f$), F'_i ($i = 1, \dots, N_f - 1$), and F'' . The symmetries generated by F_i are realized as symmetries acting on elementary fields just as in QED_{N_f} . The charge assignments are

$$F_i(q_j) = F_i(\tilde{q}_j) = -\delta_{ij}, \quad F_i(S_j) = 2\delta_{ij}. \quad (73)$$

The roles of F'_i and F'' in $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}$ are interchanged compared to those in QED_{N_f} : in $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}$, F'_i are monopole charges and F'' is a perturbative symmetry. The charge assignments for F'' are

$$F''(q_j) = \delta_{jI}, \quad F''(\tilde{q}_j) = -\delta_{jI}, \quad F''(S_j) = 0. \quad (74)$$

To define F'' we need to fix $I (= 1, \dots, N_f)$ specifying fields on which F'' non-trivially acts. Two F'' with different choices of I are the same up to gauge transformation. In the following we use $I = 1$.

It is known that S_i in $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}$ corresponds to the composite field $\tilde{Q}_i Q_i$ for each i . With this relation, we obtain the Weyl weight of fields in $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}$

$$\Delta(q_i) = \Delta(\tilde{q}_i) = 1 - h, \quad \Delta(S_i) = 2h. \quad (75)$$

The letter index of $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}}(s_i, e^{ia_i}, x, t_i, t'') \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} \left[f_{1-h}(s_i - s_{i-1}, x, e^{i(a_i - a_{i-1})} t_i^{-1} t''_i) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + f_{1-h}(s_i - s_{i-1}, x, e^{-i(a_i - a_{i-1})} t_i^{-1} t''_i^{-1}) + f_{2h}(0, x, t_i^2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where $s_0 = s_{N_f} = a_0 = a_{N_f} = 0$ is understood. Corresponding to (74) with $I = 1$, t''_i in (76) are defined by

$$t''_1 = t'', \quad t''_i = 1 \quad \text{for } i \geq 2. \quad (77)$$

The index is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}}(x, t_i, t'_i, t'') \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{N_f-1} \left(\sum_{s_i \in \mathbf{Z}/2} \left(\frac{t'_i}{t'_{i+1}} \right)^{2s_i} \int \frac{da_i}{2\pi} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{N_f} \left(x^{2h|s_i - s_{i-1}|} t_i^{2|s_i - s_{i-1}|} \right) \\ & \quad \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} f_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_{N_f}}(s_i, e^{ima_i}, x^m, t_i^m, t''^m) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

where we introduce N_f variables t'_i for $N_f - 1$ monopole charges so that there is the same redundancy as in the QED_{N_f} . This index is invariant under the rescaling $t'_i \rightarrow at'_i$, and we fix this degrees of freedom by $t'_{N_f} = 1$.

Now we have two indices which should agree to each other. Let us confirm this agreement for $N_f = 1, 2, 3$ by numerical computation.

5.1 $N_f = 1$

Let us first consider the $N_f = 1$ case. In this case, the mirror theory $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_1$ does not have gauge symmetry, and is the Wess-Zumino model with three chiral multiplets q, \tilde{q}, S interacting through the superpotential $W = \tilde{q}Sq$. In this special case, thanks to the S_3 permutation symmetry among q, \tilde{q} , and S , we can uniquely determine the Weyl weight of these fields as $\Delta = 2/3$. This corresponds to $h = 1/3$. However, we will not use this value and keep h unfixed. As we will see below, the two indices agree regardless of the value of h .

The letter index for QED_1 is

$$f_{\text{QED}_1}(s, x, e^{ia}, t_1) = f_h(s, x, e^{ia}t_1) + f_h(s, x, e^{-ia}t_1). \quad (79)$$

The complete index is

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{QED}_1}(x, t_1, t'') &= \sum_{s \in \mathbf{Z}/2} t''^{2s} \int \frac{da}{2\pi} x^{2(1-h)|s|} t_1^{-2|s|} \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_{\text{QED}_1}(s, x^n, e^{ina}, t_1^n)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

On the other hand, the index of the mirror theory, $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_1$, is given by

$$I_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_1}(x, t_1, t'') = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} f_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_1}(x^n, t_1^n, t''^n)\right), \quad (81)$$

where $f_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_1}(x, t_1, t'')$ is the letter index

$$f_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_1}(x, t_1, t'') = f_{1-h}(0, x, t''t_1^{-1}) + f_{1-h}(0, x, t''^{-1}t_1^{-1}) + f_{2h}(0, x, t_1^2). \quad (82)$$

Although we cannot prove the equality of these two indices analytically, it is easy to show that the series expansions of them agree up to some order. In the computation without fixing h , it is convenient to define

$$y = x^h, \quad z = x^{1-h}. \quad (83)$$

We can easily find that both indices contain only terms with non-negative power of y and z . We have confirmed that they agree up to $\mathcal{O}(y^{16})$ and $\mathcal{O}(z^{16})$. Due to limitations of space we only show the first several terms of the series expansion.

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{QED}_1}(x, t_1, t'') &= I_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_1}(x, t_1, t'') \\ &= \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{t''t_1} + \frac{t''}{t_1}\right)z + \left(\frac{1}{t''^2t_1^2} + \frac{t''^2}{t_1^2}\right)z^2 + \left(\frac{1}{t''^3t_1^3} + \frac{t''^3}{t_1^3}\right)z^3\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \left(\frac{1}{t''^4t_1^4} + \frac{t''^4}{t_1^4}\right)z^4 + \mathcal{O}(z^5)\right) \\ &\quad + \left(t_1^2 - 2z^2 + \frac{z^4}{t_1^2} + \mathcal{O}(z^5)\right)y^2 \\ &\quad + \left(t_1^4 + \left(\frac{t_1}{t''} + t''t_1\right)z^3 - 3z^4 + \mathcal{O}(z^5)\right)y^4 \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(y^5). \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

We also give the index with $t_1 = t'' = 1$ substituted up to $\mathcal{O}(y^{16})$ and $\mathcal{O}(z^{16})$ terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\text{QED}_1}(x, t_1 = t'' = 1) &= I_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_1}(x, t_1 = t'' = 1) \\
&= (1 + 2z + 2z^2 + 2z^3 + 2z^4 + 2z^5 + 2z^6 + 2z^7 + 2z^8 + 2z^9 + 2z^{10} \\
&\quad + 2z^{11} + 2z^{12} + 2z^{13} + 2z^{14} + 2z^{15} + \mathcal{O}(z^{16})) \\
&+ (1 - 2z^2 + z^4 + \mathcal{O}(z^{16}))y^2 \\
&+ (1 + 2z^3 - 3z^4 - 4z^5 + 2z^6 + 2z^7 + \mathcal{O}(z^{16}))y^4 \\
&+ (1 - 2z^4 + 4z^5 + 2z^6 - 8z^7 - 3z^8 + 4z^9 + 2z^{10} + \mathcal{O}(z^{16}))y^6 \\
&+ (1 + 2z^5 - 4z^6 + 2z^7 + 8z^8 - 8z^9 - 10z^{10} + 2z^{11} + 5z^{12} + 2z^{13} + \mathcal{O}(z^{16}))y^8 \\
&+ (1 - 2z^6 + 4z^7 - 5z^8 - 4z^9 + 18z^{10} - 18z^{12} - 4z^{13} + 4z^{14} + 4z^{15} + \mathcal{O}(z^{16}))y^{10} \\
&+ (1 + 2z^7 - 4z^8 + 6z^9 - 16z^{11} + 18z^{12} + 14z^{13} - 24z^{14} - 12z^{15} + \mathcal{O}(z^{16}))y^{12} \\
&+ (1 - 2z^8 + 4z^9 - 6z^{10} + 4z^{11} + 8z^{12} - 28z^{13} + 14z^{14} + 40z^{15} + \mathcal{O}(z^{16}))y^{14} \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(y^{16}). \tag{85}
\end{aligned}$$

5.2 $N_f = 2$

In the case of $N_f = 2$, the letter index of the QED_2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\text{QED}_2}(s, e^{ia}, x, t_1, t_2, t'_1) &= f_h(s, x, e^{ia}t_1t'_1) + f_h(s, x, e^{-ia}t_1t'^{-1}_1) \\
&\quad + f_h(s, x, e^{ia}t_2) + f_h(s, x, e^{-ia}t_2), \tag{86}
\end{aligned}$$

and the complete index is

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\text{QED}_2}(x, t_1, t_2, t'_1, t'') &= \sum_{s \in \mathbf{Z}/2} t''^{2s} \int \frac{da}{2\pi} x^{4(1-h)|s|} t_1^{-2|s|} t_2^{-2|s|} \\
&\quad \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} f_{\text{QED}_2}(s, e^{ima}, x^m, t_1^m, t_2^m, t_1^m)\right). \tag{87}
\end{aligned}$$

For the mirror theory $\widetilde{\text{QED}}_2$, the letter index is

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_2}(s, e^{ia}, x, t_1, t_2, t'') &= f_{1-h}(s, x, e^{ia}t_1^{-1}t'') + f_{1-h}(s, x, e^{-ia}t_1^{-1}t''^{-1}) + f_{2h}(0, x, t_1^2) \\
&\quad + f_{1-h}(s, x, e^{-ia}t_2^{-1}) + f_{1-h}(s, x, e^{ia}t_2^{-1}) + f_{2h}(0, x, t_2^2), \tag{88}
\end{aligned}$$

and the complete index is

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_2}(x, t_1, t_2, t'_1, t'') &= \sum_{s \in \mathbf{Z}/2} t_1^{2s} \int \frac{da}{2\pi} x^{4h|s|} t_1^{2|s|} t_2^{2|s|} \\
&\quad \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} f_{\widetilde{\text{QED}}_2}(s, e^{ima}, x^m, t_1^m, t_2^m, t_1^m)\right). \tag{89}
\end{aligned}$$

We have confirmed that the two indices agree to each other up to $\mathcal{O}(y^{14})$ and $\mathcal{O}(z^{14})$ terms. A part of the series expansion is

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\text{QED}_2}(x, t_1, t_2, t'_1, t'') &= I_{\widetilde{\text{QED}_2}}(x, t_1, t_2, t'_1, t'') \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{1}{t_1 t_2} \left(t'' + \frac{1}{t''}\right) z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z^4)\right) \\
&+ \left(\left(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_1 t_2 \left(t'_1 + \frac{1}{t'_1}\right)\right) + \left(-4 - \left(\frac{t_1}{t_2} + \frac{t_2}{t_1}\right) \left(t'_1 + \frac{1}{t'_1}\right)\right) z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z^4)\right) y^2 \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(y^4). \tag{90}
\end{aligned}$$

After the variable changes (71) and (72), this is expanded by the $SU(2)$ character

$$\chi_s(u) = u^{-s} + \dots + u^s \tag{91}$$

as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\text{QED}_2}(x, t_0, t'', u_1, u'_1) &= I_{\widetilde{\text{QED}_2}}(x, t_0, t'', u_1, u'_1) \\
&= 1 + \frac{z^2}{t_0^2} \left(t'' + \frac{1}{t''}\right) + \frac{z^4}{t_0^4} \left(t''^2 + \frac{1}{t''^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(z^6) \\
&+ \left(\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}(u_1) \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}(u'_1) - (\chi_1(u_1) + \chi_1(u'_1) + 2) \frac{z^2}{t_0^2} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}(u_1) \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}(u'_1) \frac{z^4}{t_0^4} + \mathcal{O}(z^6)\right) t_0^2 y^2 \\
&+ \left(\chi_1(u_1) \chi_1(u'_1) - \left(\chi_{\frac{3}{2}}(u_1) \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}(u'_1) \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}(u_1) \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}(u'_1)\right) \frac{z^2}{t_0^2}\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ \left(\left(t'' + \frac{1}{t''}\right) \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}(u_1) \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}(u'_1) + \chi_1(u_1) + \chi_1(u'_1) - 3\right) \frac{z^4}{t_0^4} + \mathcal{O}(z^6)\right) t_0^4 y^4 \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(y^6). \tag{92}
\end{aligned}$$

We also give the index with $t_i = t'_i = t'' = 1$ substituted.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\text{QED}_2}(x, t_i = t'_i = t'' = 1) &= I_{\widetilde{\text{QED}_2}}(x, t_i = t'_i = t'' = 1) \\
&= (1 + 2z^2 + 2z^4 + 2z^6 + 2z^8 + 2z^{10} + 2z^{12} + \mathcal{O}(z^{14})) \\
&+ (4 - 8z^2 + 4z^4 + \mathcal{O}(z^{14})) y^2 \\
&+ (9 - 16z^2 + 14z^4 - 16z^6 + 9z^8 + \mathcal{O}(z^{14})) y^4 \\
&+ (16 - 24z^2 - 16z^4 + 64z^6 - 56z^8 + 8z^{10} + 8z^{12} + \mathcal{O}(z^{14})) y^6 \\
&+ (25 - 32z^2 - 32z^4 + 66z^6 - 3z^8 - 36z^{10} + 2z^{12} + \mathcal{O}(z^{14})) y^8 \\
&+ (36 - 40z^2 - 48z^4 + 16z^6 + 160z^8 - 216z^{10} + 160z^{12} + \mathcal{O}(z^{14})) y^{10} \\
&+ (49 - 48z^2 - 64z^4 + 118z^8 + 164z^{10} - 542z^{12} + \mathcal{O}(z^{14})) y^{12} \\
&+ \mathcal{O}(y^{14}). \tag{93}
\end{aligned}$$

5.3 $N_f = 3$

As the last example, let us compute the indices for $N_f = 3$. We have computed the indices for QED_3 and $\widetilde{\text{QED}_3}$ and confirmed that they agree to each other

up to $\mathcal{O}(y^{13})$ and $\mathcal{O}(z^{13})$ terms. We show only a part of the series expansion.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\text{QED}_3}(x, t_i, t'_i, t'') &= I_{\widetilde{\text{QED}_3}}(x, t_i, t'_i, t'') \\
&= 1 + \left(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2 + t_1 t_3 \left(t'_1 + \frac{1}{t'_1} \right) + t_2 t_3 \left(t'_2 + \frac{1}{t'_2} \right) + t_1 t_2 \left(\frac{t'_2}{t'_1} + \frac{t'_1}{t'_2} \right) \right) y^2 \\
&\quad - \left(6 + \left(t'_1 + \frac{1}{t'_1} \right) \left(\frac{t_1}{t_3} + \frac{t_3}{t_1} \right) + \left(t'_2 + \frac{1}{t'_2} \right) \left(\frac{t_2}{t_3} + \frac{t_3}{t_2} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. + \left(\frac{t'_2}{t'_1} + \frac{t'_1}{t'_2} \right) \left(\frac{t_1}{t_2} + \frac{t_2}{t_1} \right) \right) y^2 z^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{t_1 t_2 t_3} \left(t'' + \frac{1}{t''} \right) z^3 + \mathcal{O}(y^4) + \mathcal{O}(z^4). \tag{94}
\end{aligned}$$

After the variable changes (71) and (72), this can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\text{QED}_3}(x, t_0, t'', u_i, u'_i) &= I_{\widetilde{\text{QED}_3}}(x, t_0, t'', u_i, u'_i) \\
&= 1 + \frac{1}{t_0^3} \left(t'' + \frac{1}{t''} \right) z^3 + t_0^2 \chi_{(1,0)}(u_i) \chi_{(1,0)}(u'_i) y^2 \\
&\quad - (\chi_{(1,1)}(u_i) + \chi_{(1,1)}(u'_i) + 2) y^2 z^2 + \frac{1}{t_0^2} \chi_{(0,1)}(u_i) \chi_{(0,1)}(u'_i) y^2 z^4 \\
&\quad + t_0^4 \chi_{(2,0)}(u_i) \chi_{(2,0)}(u'_i) y^4 - t_0^2 (\chi_{(2,1)}(u_i) \chi_{(1,0)}(u'_i) + \chi_{(1,0)}(u_i) \chi_{(2,1)}(u'_i)) y^4 z^2 \\
&\quad + t_0^2 (\chi_{(3,0)}(u_i) + \chi_{(3,0)}(u'_i) + \chi_{(1,1)}(u_i) \chi_{(1,1)}(u'_i) - 3) y^4 z^4 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{t_0} \left(t'' + \frac{1}{t''} \right) \chi_{(1,0)}(u_i) \chi_{(1,0)}(u'_i) y^4 z^5 + \mathcal{O}(y^6) + \mathcal{O}(z^6), \tag{95}
\end{aligned}$$

where the $SU(3)$ character $\chi_{(m,n)}$ is defined so that it is given for the fundamental and the anti-fundamental representations as

$$\chi_{(1,0)}(u_i) = \frac{1}{(u_1 u_2)^{1/3}} (1 + u_1 + u_2), \quad \chi_{(0,1)}(u_i) = (u_1 u_2)^{1/3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{u_1} + \frac{1}{u_2} \right). \tag{96}$$

The index with $t_i = t'_i = t'' = 1$ substituted is

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\text{QED}_3}(x, t_i = t'_i = t'' = 1) &= I_{\widetilde{\text{QED}_3}}(x, t_i = t'_i = t'' = 1) \\
&= (1 + 2z^3 + 2z^6 + 2z^9 + 2z^{12} + \mathcal{O}(z^{13})) \\
&\quad + y^2(9 - 18z^2 + 9z^4 + \mathcal{O}(z^{13})) \\
&\quad + y^4(36 - 90z^2 + 81z^4 + 18z^5 - 36z^6 - 36z^7 + 9z^8 + 18z^9 + \mathcal{O}(z^{13})) \\
&\quad + y^6(100 - 252z^2 + 153z^4 + 88z^6 + 36z^7 - 126z^8 - 72z^9 + 54z^{10} \\
&\quad\quad\quad + 36z^{11} - 35z^{12} + \mathcal{O}(z^{13})) \\
&\quad + y^8(225 - 540z^2 + 153z^4 + 612z^6 + 72z^7 - 684z^8 - 162z^9 + 288z^{10} \\
&\quad\quad\quad + 126z^{11} - 36z^{12} + \mathcal{O}(z^{13})) \\
&\quad + y^{10}(441 - 990z^2 + 9z^4 + 1368z^6 - 612z^8 + 216z^9 - 918z^{10} - 648z^{11} \\
&\quad\quad\quad + 1107z^{12} + \mathcal{O}(z^{13})) \\
&\quad + y^{12}(784 - 1638z^2 - 351z^4 + 2304z^6 + 288z^8 + 200z^9 - 2754z^{10} \\
&\quad\quad\quad - 198z^{11} + 1140z^{12} + \mathcal{O}(z^{13})) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(y^{13}). \tag{97}
\end{aligned}$$

6 Discussions

We derived a general formula for an index for three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theories with general R-charge assignments by using the localization procedure in $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$. As an application, we computed the index for theories in a few mirror pairs, and confirmed that the indices agree in each mirror pairs.

Although the gauge groups in the examples we discussed in this paper are all Abelian, the formula we derived can apply to theories with arbitrary gauge groups. In particular, it is possible to compute the index in the large N limit. It enable us to study $\text{AdS}_4/\text{CFT}_3$ correspondence for a large class of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ quiver gauge theories. Such an analysis is performed in [8, 9, 11] for the ABJM model and the complete agreement of the gauge theory index and the gravity index is confirmed. Similar analysis is also done for $\mathcal{N} = 3, 4, 5$ Chern-Simons theories in [10, 12, 13]. It would be interesting to extend such an analysis to more general $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories including chiral multiplets with non-canonical R-charges.

At least in the examples we consider in this paper, the index does not give additional information for the R-charge assignment than what is obtained from simple operator matchings. This is natural because the index contains information about only the BPS sector. It would be necessary to use the Z -extremization recently proposed in [6] to obtain the R-charge assignment in the infrared fixed point.

An advantage of the index over the partition function is that in the index we can separate the contribution of monopole operators. In $\text{AdS}_4/\text{CFT}_3$ correspondence, monopole operators play important roles. On the gravity side, a part of monopole operators are expected to correspond to M2-branes wrapped on two-cycles[18]. The relation between monopole charges and M2-brane wrapping numbers are highly non-trivial. To address such an issue, the index is

useful to establish the one-to-one map between monopole charges in a CFT and corresponding quantum numbers on the gravity side[19].

Acknowledgements

Y.I. was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (#19740122) from the Japan Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. S.Y. was supported by the Global COE Program “the Physical Sciences Frontier”, MEXT, Japan.

Note added: When we revised this paper in March 2011 we improved the analysis in Section 5 by introducing chemical potentials for $U(1)$ global symmetries. After completion of the revision, a paper appeared[20] which also studies the index with such chemical potentials for theories studied in Section 5.

References

- [1] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, “N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals,” *JHEP* **0810**, 091 (2008) [arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th]].
- [2] I. R. Klebanov and A. A. Tseytlin, “Entropy of Near-Extremal Black p-branes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **475**, 164 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9604089].
- [3] N. Drukker, M. Marino and P. Putrov, “From weak to strong coupling in ABJM theory,” arXiv:1007.3837 [hep-th].
- [4] C. P. Herzog, I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu and T. Tesileanu, “Multi-Matrix Models and Tri-Sasaki Einstein Spaces,” arXiv:1011.5487 [hep-th].
- [5] A. Kapustin, B. Willett and I. Yaakov, “Exact Results for Wilson Loops in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories with Matter,” *JHEP* **1003**, 089 (2010) [arXiv:0909.4559 [hep-th]].
- [6] D. L. Jafferis, “The Exact Superconformal R-Symmetry Extremizes Z,” arXiv:1012.3210 [hep-th].
- [7] N. Hama, K. Hosomichi and S. Lee, “Notes on SUSY Gauge Theories on Three-Sphere,” arXiv:1012.3512 [hep-th].
- [8] J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Minwalla and S. Raju, “Indices for Superconformal Field Theories in 3,5 and 6 Dimensions,” *JHEP* **0802**, 064 (2008) [arXiv:0801.1435 [hep-th]].
- [9] J. Bhattacharya and S. Minwalla, “Superconformal Indices for $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Chern Simons Theories,” *JHEP* **0901**, 014 (2009) [arXiv:0806.3251 [hep-th]].

- [10] J. Choi, S. Lee and J. Song, “Superconformal Indices for Orbifold Chern-Simons Theories,” *JHEP* **0903**, 099 (2009) [arXiv:0811.2855 [hep-th]].
- [11] S. Kim, “The complete superconformal index for N=6 Chern-Simons theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **821**, 241 (2009) [arXiv:0903.4172 [hep-th]].
- [12] Y. Imamura and S. Yokoyama, “A Monopole Index for N=4 Chern-Simons Theories,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **827**, 183 (2010) [arXiv:0908.0988 [hep-th]].
- [13] S. Kim and J. Park, “Probing AdS4/CFT3 proposals beyond chiral rings,” *JHEP* **1008**, 069 (2010) [arXiv:1003.4343 [hep-th]].
- [14] D. Gang, “Chern-Simons theory on L(p,q) lens spaces and Localization,” arXiv:0912.4664 [hep-th].
- [15] K. A. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, “Mirror symmetry in three dimensional gauge theories,” *Phys. Lett. B* **387**, 513 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9607207].
- [16] O. Aharony, A. Hanany, K. A. Intriligator, N. Seiberg and M. J. Strassler, “Aspects of N = 2 supersymmetric gauge theories in three dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **499**, 67 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9703110].
- [17] J. de Boer, K. Hori, Y. Oz and Z. Yin, “Branes and mirror symmetry in N = 2 supersymmetric gauge theories in three Nucl. Phys. B **502**, 107 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9702154].
- [18] Y. Imamura and S. Yokoyama, “N=4 Chern-Simons theories and wrapped M-branes in their gravity duals,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **121**, 915 (2009) [arXiv:0812.1331 [hep-th]].
- [19] Y. Imamura and S. Yokoyama, “Twisted Sectors in Gravity Duals of N=4 Chern-Simons Theories,” arXiv:1008.3180 [hep-th].
- [20] C. Krattenthaler, V. P. Spiridonov and G. S. Vartanov, “Superconformal indices of three-dimensional theories related by mirror symmetry,” arXiv:1103.4075 [hep-th].