

On the Affine Homogeneity of Algebraic Hypersurfaces Arising from Gorenstein Algebras¹

A. V. Isaev

To every Gorenstein algebra A of finite dimension greater than 1 over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero, and a projection π on its maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ with range equal to the annihilator $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$ of $\mathfrak{m}$, one can associate a certain algebraic hypersurface $S_\pi \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Such hypersurfaces possess remarkable properties. They can be used, for instance, to help decide whether two given Gorenstein algebras are isomorphic, which for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ leads to interesting consequences in singularity theory. Also, for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ such hypersurfaces naturally arise in CR-geometry. Applications of these hypersurfaces to problems in algebra and geometry are particularly striking when the hypersurfaces are affine homogeneous. In the present paper we establish a sufficient condition for the affine homogeneity of S_π , which in fact is a criterion in the cases $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. This condition requires the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ to act transitively on the set of hyperplanes in $\mathfrak{m}$ complementary to $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$. As a consequence of this result we obtain the affine homogeneity of S_π under the assumption that the algebra A is graded.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider finite-dimensional Gorenstein algebras over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero. Recall that a local commutative associative algebra A of finite dimension greater than 1 is Gorenstein if and only if the annihilator $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$ of its maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is 1-dimensional (see e.g. [Hu]). In the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, in our earlier paper [FIKK] we found a criterion for two such algebras to be isomorphic. The criterion was given in terms of a certain algebraic hypersurface $S_\pi \subset \mathfrak{m}$ associated to a projection π on $\mathfrak{m}$ with range $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$ (the dependence of S_π on π is rather minimal). The hypersurface S_π passes through the origin and is the graph of a polynomial map $P_\pi : \ker \pi \rightarrow \text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ that has no linear term and is completely determined by its second- and third-order terms. As we will see in Section 2, the hypersurface S_π can be introduced for Gorenstein algebras over any field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero.

¹**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53A15, 14R20, 32V40, 13H10

In Proposition 2.3 of [FIKK] we showed that for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ two Gorenstein algebras $A, \tilde{A}$ are isomorphic if and only if any two hypersurfaces S_π and $S_{\tilde{\pi}}$ arising from A and $\tilde{A}$, respectively, are affinely equivalent, that is, if there exists a bijective affine map $f : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that $f(S_\pi) = S_{\tilde{\pi}}$. The proof is based on a complex-geometric argument and also works for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, we have been recently informed by W. Kaup that the case of an arbitrary field of zero characteristic can be reduced to the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, and thus Proposition 2.3 of [FIKK] in fact holds over any field. At the moment we do not know of any purely algebraic proof of this statement.

The above criterion for A and $\tilde{A}$ to be isomorphic takes a particularly nice form if at least one of $S_\pi, S_{\tilde{\pi}}$ is *affine homogeneous* (recall that a subset $\mathcal{S}$ of an affine space $\mathcal{A}$ is called affine homogeneous if for every pair of points $p, q \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists a bijective affine map g of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $g(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$ and $g(p) = q$). In this case the hypersurfaces $S_\pi, S_{\tilde{\pi}}$ are affinely equivalent if and only if they are linearly equivalent, that is, equivalent by means of a bijective linear transformation $f : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$. Next, as explained in [FIKK], for any field $\mathbb{F}$ the linear equivalence of $S_\pi, S_{\tilde{\pi}}$ takes place if and only if the corresponding polynomials $P_\pi, P_{\tilde{\pi}}$ are linearly equivalent up to scale. To establish the equivalence or non-equivalence of $P_\pi, P_{\tilde{\pi}}$ it is sufficient to consider their quadratic and cubic terms alone. Thus, if at least one of $S_\pi, S_{\tilde{\pi}}$ is affine homogeneous, verifying that A and $\tilde{A}$ are isomorphic reduces to verifying that certain pairs of quadratic and cubic forms are linearly equivalent up to scale. These results were summarized in Theorem 2.11 of [FIKK], which we subsequently applied to the moduli algebras of complex quasi-homogeneous isolated hypersurface singularities. In many examples solving the equivalence problem for the polynomials $P_\pi, P_{\tilde{\pi}}$ has turned out to be much easier than solving the equivalence problem for the algebras $A, \tilde{A}$ directly (see e.g. [I2] for the case of simple elliptic singularities).

As explained above, the affine homogeneity property of S_π played an important role in our arguments in [FIKK]. Although we believe that this property always holds, in [FIKK] (see Proposition 2.4 therein) it was only established in the case of graded Gorenstein algebras over $\mathbb{C}$, which was sufficient for our application to isolated hypersurface singularities. In this paper, we investigate the affine homogeneity of S_π for an arbitrary field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero. First, we establish a sufficient condition for the affine homogeneity of S_π in Theorem 2.2. Interestingly, it turns out that S_π is affine homogeneous if the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ of the algebra $\mathfrak{m}$ acts transitively on the set $\mathbb{T}$ of all hyperplanes in $\mathfrak{m}$ complementary to $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$, in which case we say that the algebra A has *Property (P)*. Note that this sufficient condition is in fact a criterion in the cases $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ (see Theorem 2.3). The above result indicates the importance of studying the group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$, which is an affine algebraic group over $\mathbb{F}$.

Although easy to state, Property (P) seems to be quite hard to obtain in

general. Observe that, as an algebraic variety, $\mathbb{T}$ is isomorphic to the affine space $\mathbb{A}^n$ over $\mathbb{F}$, with $n := \dim \mathfrak{m} - 1$, and therefore Property (P) implies the lower dimension bound

$$\dim \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}) \geq n. \quad (1.1)$$

However, even this bound has not been established in general (cf. [Pe]) and is only known in the graded case (see [XY]). It is our conjecture that Property (P) (and therefore inequality (1.1)) always holds (see Conjecture 2.4). In this paper, we prove the conjecture for any graded Gorenstein algebra over a field of zero characteristic (see Theorem 2.5). It then follows that the affine homogeneity of S_π takes place for any such algebra (see Corollary 2.6). We note that Corollary 2.6 was obtained by W. Kaup approximately three months before this paper was written (see [FK2]). His method is entirely different from ours and does not involve Property (P), which we believe to be the key property for understanding the affine homogeneity of S_π in general. We also remark that for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ both our argument and the argument of [FK2] yield new proofs of Proposition 2.4 of [FIKK].

The hypersurface S_π is a rather fascinating object from both the algebraic and geometric viewpoints. Indeed, as we explained above, such hypersurfaces can be used to establish the equivalence or non-equivalence of Gorenstein algebras. Next, they are of interest to affine geometry since, at least in the graded case, they represent a way to associate an affine homogeneous affine algebraic variety to any Gorenstein algebra. Furthermore, for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ these hypersurfaces arise in CR-geometry as the bases of spherical tube hypersurfaces. In fact, S_π was first introduced in [FK1] for the purpose of classifying spherical tube hypersurfaces up to affine equivalence. The literature on spherical tube hypersurfaces is quite extensive, and more information on this subject, including numerous examples where S_π was explicitly computed, can be found, for instance, in our articles [I1], [I3] and forthcoming monograph [I4]. We note that in [I3] we obtained the affine homogeneity of S_π for some special graded Gorenstein algebras over $\mathbb{R}$, but the proof in fact works for an arbitrary field.

In the next section we give all necessary definitions and state our main results. Proofs are presented in Sections 3 and 4.

Acknowledgement. This work is supported by the Australian Research Council.

2 Statement of Results

Let A be a Gorenstein algebra of finite dimension greater than 1 over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero, $\mathfrak{m}$ the maximal ideal of A , $n := \dim \mathfrak{m} - 1$ (observe that $n \geq 0$), and π a projection on $\mathfrak{m}$ with range $\operatorname{Ann}(\mathfrak{m}) := \{u \in \mathfrak{m} : u \cdot \mathfrak{m} = 0\}$.

Consider the following $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$ -valued bilinear form on A :

$$b_\pi(a, c) := \pi(ac), \quad a, c \in A, \quad (2.1)$$

where π is extended to all of A by the condition $\pi(\mathbf{1}) = 0$, with $\mathbf{1}$ being the unit of A . This form is known to be non-degenerate (see e.g. [He], p. 11 or Proposition 2.1 of [FIKK]). Further, let $\mathbb{P}(A)$ be the projectivization of A , and consider the following projective quadric:

$$Q_\pi := \left\{ [a] \in \mathbb{P}(A) : b_\pi(a, a) = 0 \right\},$$

where $[a]$ denotes the point of $\mathbb{P}(A)$ represented by $a \in A$. The inclusion $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ induces the inclusion $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{m}) \subset \mathbb{P}(A)$, and we think of $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{m})$ as the hyperplane at infinity in $\mathbb{P}(A)$. We then identify $\mathbf{1} + \mathfrak{m} \subset A$ with the affine part of $\mathbb{P}(A)$ and introduce the corresponding affine quadric $Q'_\pi := Q_\pi \cap (\mathbf{1} + \mathfrak{m})$. Below we often, without stating it explicitly, identify $\mathbf{1} + \mathfrak{m}$ with $\mathfrak{m}$ in the obvious way, and upon this identification one has

$$Q'_\pi = \{u \in \mathfrak{m} : \pi(2u + u^2) = 0\} = \{u \in \mathfrak{m} : 2u + u^2 \in \mathcal{K}\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mathcal{K} := \ker \pi$.

Let $\exp : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} + \mathfrak{m}$ be the exponential map

$$\exp(u) := \mathbf{1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} u^m.$$

By Nakayama's lemma the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is a nilpotent algebra, and therefore the above sum is in fact finite, with the highest-order term corresponding to $m = \nu$, where $\nu \geq 1$ is the nil-index of $\mathfrak{m}$. Thus, the exponential map is a polynomial transformation. It is bijective with the inverse given by the polynomial transformation

$$\log(\mathbf{1} + u) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} u^m, \quad u \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

We are now ready to introduce the main object of our study. Define

$$S_\pi := \log(Q'_\pi) \subset \mathfrak{m}.$$

Observe that S_π is an algebraic hypersurface in $\mathfrak{m}$ passing through the origin. It is easily seen that S_π is the graph of a polynomial map $P_\pi : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$. Clearly, the hypersurface S_π depends on the choice of the projection π . As we showed in Proposition 2.2 of [FIKK] (see Lemma 3.3 below), for two projections π and $\tilde{\pi}$ on $\mathfrak{m}$ one has $S_{\tilde{\pi}} = S_\pi + x$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. In particular,

$S_{\tilde{\pi}}$ is affine homogeneous if and only if S_{π} is affine homogeneous, and therefore π will be fixed from now on.

Let $\text{Aff}(\mathfrak{m})$ be the group of all bijective affine transformations of $\mathfrak{m}$ and

$$\text{Aff}(S_{\pi}) := \{f \in \text{Aff}(\mathfrak{m}) : f(S_{\pi}) = S_{\pi}\}.$$

The hypersurface S_{π} is affine homogeneous if and only if the group $\text{Aff}(S_{\pi})$ acts on S_{π} transitively. Further, define

$$G_{\pi} := \{f \in \text{Aff}(\mathfrak{m}) : f = \log \circ g \circ \exp \text{ for some } g \in \text{Aff}(\mathfrak{m}), g(Q'_{\pi}) = Q'_{\pi}\}.$$

Clearly, G_{π} is a subgroup of $\text{Aff}(S_{\pi})$. Below we will give a criterion for the group G_{π} to act transitively on S_{π} . Our motivation for considering the group G_{π} comes from the cases $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. In these cases one has $G_{\pi} = \text{Aff}(S_{\pi})$ (see Theorem 2.3 below). We do not know of any examples when this equality does not hold.

Remark 2.1 Observe that the affine quadric Q'_{π} is affine homogeneous. Indeed, let z_0 be a coordinate in $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ coordinates in $\mathcal{K}$. Then Q'_{π} is given by the equation

$$z_0 = \langle z, z \rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a non-degenerate quadratic form (see (2.2)), and the group of affine transformations of $\mathfrak{m}$

$$z_0^* = z_0 + 2\langle z, \zeta \rangle + \langle \zeta, \zeta \rangle,$$

$$z^* = z + \zeta, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{F}^n,$$

acts transitively on Q'_{π} . Let $\text{Aff}(Q'_{\pi}) := \{g \in \text{Aff}(\mathfrak{m}) : g(Q'_{\pi}) = Q'_{\pi}\}$. Then for every $g \in \text{Aff}(Q'_{\pi})$ the composition $f := \log \circ g \circ \exp$ is a polynomial map of $\mathfrak{m}$ having a polynomial inverse, and S_{π} is homogeneous under such maps. However, it is easy to find an example of $g \in \text{Aff}(Q'_{\pi})$ for which the corresponding map f is not affine.

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be the Grassmannian of all hyperplanes in $\mathfrak{m}$, and $\mathbb{T}$ the subset of $\mathbb{G}$ that consists of all hyperplanes in $\mathfrak{m}$ complementary to $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$. As an algebraic variety, $\mathbb{T}$ is isomorphic to the affine space $\mathbb{A}^n$ over $\mathbb{F}$. Clearly, $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ acts on $\mathbb{T}$, and the action is algebraic. We say that the algebra A has Property (P) if the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ on $\mathbb{T}$ is transitive.

We are now ready to state our first main theorem.

THEOREM 2.2 *The group G_{π} acts transitively on S_{π} if and only if the algebra A has Property (P).*

Furthermore, in the cases $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ we have the following result.

THEOREM 2.3 *If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then $G_\pi = \text{Aff}(S_\pi)$, and therefore S_π is affine homogeneous if and only if the algebra A has Property (P).*

Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 will be proved in Section 3.

Next, regarding the validity of Property (P), we propose a conjecture.

Conjecture 2.4 *Any Gorenstein algebra of finite dimension greater than 1 over a field of characteristic zero has Property (P).*

In this paper we prove Conjecture 2.4 in the special case of graded algebras. We say that a Gorenstein algebra A is graded if

$$A = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} A_j, \quad A_j A_k \subset A_{j+k}, \quad (2.3)$$

where A_j are linear subspaces of A , with $A_0 \simeq \mathbb{F}$. Then $\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{j > 0} A_j$ and $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m}) = A_d$ for $d := \max\{j : A_j \neq 0\}$.

We will now state our second main result.

THEOREM 2.5 *Conjecture 2.4 holds for graded algebras.*

We prove Theorem 2.5 in Section 4. Clearly, together with Theorem 2.2 it yields the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6 *If A is graded, then S_π is affine homogeneous.*

We will now give proofs of the three theorems stated in this section.

3 Proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3

We will first prove Theorem 2.2. Let $g \in \text{Aff}(\mathfrak{m})$ and $f := \log \circ g \circ \exp$. Clearly, f is a polynomial transformation of $\mathfrak{m}$ having a polynomial inverse. We start by determining necessary and sufficient conditions for f to be an affine map. Write $g = L_g + u_g$, where L_g is the linear part of g and $u_g := g(0)$.

Lemma 3.1 *The map f is affine if and only if the linear map of $\mathfrak{m}$*

$$L : u \mapsto (\mathbf{1} + u_g)^{-1} \cdot L_g(u) \quad (3.1)$$

is an automorphism of the algebra $\mathfrak{m}$. In this case we have $f = L + \log(\mathbf{1} + u_g)$.

Proof: Suppose first that $L \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$. To show that $f = L + \log(\mathbf{1} + u_g)$, we need to check that $\exp \circ (L + \log(\mathbf{1} + u_g)) = g \circ \exp$, where, as usual, $\mathbf{1} + \mathfrak{m}$ is identified with $\mathfrak{m}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \circ (L(u) + \log(\mathbf{1} + u_g)) &= (\mathbf{1} + u_g) \exp(L(u)) = \\ (\mathbf{1} + u_g) \left(\mathbf{1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(L(u))^m}{m!} \right) &= (\mathbf{1} + u_g) \left(\mathbf{1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{L(u^m)}{m!} \right) = \\ \mathbf{1} + u_g + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{L_g(u^m)}{m!} &= \mathbf{1} + L_g \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^m}{m!} \right) + u_g = g \circ \exp, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Suppose now that f is affine and write it as $L_f + u_f$, where L_f is the linear part of f and $u_f := f(0)$. We have

$$\exp \circ f = g \circ \exp. \quad (3.2)$$

Comparing the constant terms in identity (3.2), we see $u_f = \log(\mathbf{1} + u_g)$. Next, by comparing the first-order terms we obtain $L_f = L$. Further, if $n \geq 1$ then $\nu \geq 2$ (recall that ν is the nil-index of $\mathfrak{m}$), and comparison of the second-order terms yields

$$L(u^2) \equiv (L(u))^2. \quad (3.3)$$

If $n = 0$ then $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$, and (3.3) is obvious. Clearly, identity (3.3) implies $L \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$. Observe also that for $\nu \geq 3$ comparison of higher-order terms in (3.2) provides no additional information about f . The proof is complete. $\square$

Next, we find necessary and sufficient conditions for $g(Q'_\pi) = Q'_\pi$ to hold under the assumptions that f is affine and $u_g \in Q'_\pi$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let f be affine and $u_g \in Q'_\pi$. Then $g(Q'_\pi) = Q'_\pi$ if and only if*

$$(\mathbf{1} + u_g)^2 L(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}, \quad (3.4)$$

where L is defined in (3.1).

Proof: According to (2.2), we have $g(Q'_\pi) \subset Q'_\pi$ if and only if

$$2(L_g(u) + u_g) + (L_g(u) + u_g)^2 \in \mathcal{K} \text{ whenever } 2u + u^2 \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $u_g \in Q'_\pi$, $L_g = (\mathbf{1} + u_g) \cdot L$, and $L \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$, condition (3.5) is equivalent to

$$(\mathbf{1} + u_g)^2 L(2u + u^2) \in \mathcal{K} \text{ whenever } 2u + u^2 \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (3.6)$$

Observe now that every element $v \in \mathfrak{m}$ can be represented in the form $v = 2u + u^2$ for some $u \in \mathfrak{m}$. Indeed, take $u := (\mathbf{1} + v)^{1/2} - \mathbf{1}$ where

$$(\mathbf{1} + v)^{1/2} := \mathbf{1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot (2m-3)}{2^m m!} v^m.$$

Therefore, condition (3.6) is equivalent to identity (3.4). Thus, we have shown that $g(Q'_\pi) \subset Q'_\pi$ if and only if (3.4) holds.

To complete the proof of the lemma we need to show that identity (3.4) implies $g^{-1}(Q'_\pi) \subset Q'_\pi$. By (2.2), the inclusion $g^{-1}(Q'_\pi) \subset Q'_\pi$ holds if and only if

$$2(L_g^{-1}(u) - L_g^{-1}(u_g)) + (L_g^{-1}(u) - L_g^{-1}(u_g))^2 \in \mathcal{K} \text{ whenever } 2u + u^2 \in \mathcal{K}.$$

This condition follows by observing that $L_g^{-1} = (\mathbf{1} + u_g)^{-1} L^{-1}$ and $(\mathbf{1} + u_g)^{-2} L^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}$. $\square$

Next, the group G_π acts transitively on S_π if and only if the group $H_\pi := \{\exp \circ f \circ \log : f \in G_\pi\} \subset \text{Aff}(\mathfrak{m})$ acts transitively on Q'_π . Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 imply that the group H_π acts transitively on Q'_π if and only if for every $u \in Q'_\pi$ there exists $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ satisfying

$$\varphi(\mathcal{K}) = (\mathbf{1} + (2u + u^2))^{-1} \mathcal{K}. \quad (3.7)$$

As the element u varies over all points of Q'_π , the element $2u + u^2$ varies over all points of $\mathcal{K}$.

We now need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\Pi, \tilde{\Pi} \in \mathbb{T}$. Then there exists $x, y \in \Pi$ such that $\tilde{\Pi} = (\mathbf{1} + x)^{-1} \Pi = (\mathbf{1} + y) \Pi$.*

Proof: The proof that we give below is essentially contained in the proof of Proposition 2.2 of [FIKK]. First, observe that $\Pi = \{u + \mu(u) : u \in \tilde{\Pi}\}$ for some linear map $\mu : \tilde{\Pi} \rightarrow \text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$. Clearly, the space $L(\tilde{\Pi}, \text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m}))$ of all linear maps from $\tilde{\Pi}$ to $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$ has dimension $n = \dim \Pi = \dim \tilde{\Pi}$.

Consider the linear map

$$\Phi : \Pi \rightarrow L(\tilde{\Pi}, \text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})), \quad \Phi(x)(u) = \pi(xu), \quad x \in \Pi, \quad u \in \tilde{\Pi},$$

where π is the projection on $\mathfrak{m}$ with kernel Π and range $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$. Since the form b_π defined in (2.1) is non-degenerate on A , the map Φ is injective and hence is an isomorphism. Therefore, $\mu(u) = \pi(xu)$ for some $x \in \Pi$, and thus $\tilde{\Pi} = (\mathbf{1} + x)^{-1} \Pi$. We now write $(\mathbf{1} + x)^{-1}$ as $\mathbf{1} + x'$ with $x' := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m x^m$ and take y to be the projection of x' to Π along $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m})$. This completes the

proof of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 3.3 shows that as the element u varies over all points of Q'_π , the right-hand side of (3.7) varies over all points of $\mathbb{T}$. Thus, G_π acts transitively on S_π if and only if the algebra A has Property (P). The proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete. $\square$

We will now prove Theorem 2.3. We need to show that $\text{Aff}(S_\pi) \subset G_\pi$. Suppose first that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. Let $A^\mathbb{C} = A \oplus iA$ be the complexification of A . Clearly, $A^\mathbb{C}$ is a complex Gorenstein algebra with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus i\mathfrak{m}$. Let further h be the $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C})$ -valued non-degenerate hermitian form on $A^\mathbb{C}$ obtained by extending the bilinear form b_π defined on A (see (2.1)). Consider the following real hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(A^\mathbb{C})$:

$$\mathcal{Q} := \{[w] \in \mathbb{P}(A^\mathbb{C}) : h(w, w) = 0\},$$

where $[w]$ denotes the point of $\mathbb{P}(A^\mathbb{C})$ represented by $w \in A^\mathbb{C}$. It is straightforward to check that the exponential map $\exp^\mathbb{C}$ associated to $\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ transforms the real hypersurface $\mathcal{S} := S_\pi + i\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ into the affine part $\mathcal{Q}' := \mathcal{Q} \cap (1 + \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C})$ of $\mathcal{Q}$. Observe that $\exp^\mathbb{C}|_{\mathfrak{m}} = \exp$ and $Q'_\pi = \mathcal{Q}' \cap (1 + \mathfrak{m})$.

Fix $f \in \text{Aff}(S_\pi)$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the continuation of f to an element of $\text{Aff}(\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C})$. Clearly, $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$. Define $\mathcal{G} := \exp^\mathbb{C} \circ \mathcal{F} \circ \log^\mathbb{C}$, where $\log^\mathbb{C} := (\exp^\mathbb{C})^{-1}$. The map $\mathcal{G}$ is a polynomial transformation of $1 + \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ having a polynomial inverse, and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q}') = \mathcal{Q}'$. Since every local CR-automorphism of $\mathcal{Q}'$ extends to a holomorphic automorphism of $\mathbb{P}(A^\mathbb{C})$ (see classical papers [A], [Po], [T]), it follows that $\mathcal{G}$ is in fact an affine transformation. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{G}(Q'_\pi) = Q'_\pi$, one has $\mathcal{G}(1 + \mathfrak{m}) = 1 + \mathfrak{m}$. Therefore, the map $g := \exp \circ f \circ \log = \mathcal{G}|_{1+\mathfrak{m}}$ lies in $\text{Aff}(\mathfrak{m})$, which shows that $f \in G_\pi$.

Suppose now that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. The proof in this case is part of the proof of Proposition 2.4 of [FIKK]. For the sake of completeness of our exposition, we reproduce our argument from [FIKK] below. It follows the line of reasoning presented above for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, but is more subtle.

Forgetting the complex structure on A , we consider the complexification $A^\mathbb{C}$. Clearly, $A^\mathbb{C}$ is a complex local algebra with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$. Note that $\dim_\mathbb{C} \text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}) = 2$. As before, we consider the $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C})$ -valued hermitian form h on $A^\mathbb{C}$ and introduce the subset $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{P}(A^\mathbb{C})$. Then the affine part $\mathcal{Q}'$ of $\mathcal{Q}$ is a codimension 2 real submanifold of $1 + \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$. Since S_π has real codimension 2 in $\mathfrak{m}$, the corresponding submanifold $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ has real codimension 2 as well. As before, the exponential map $\exp^\mathbb{C}$ associated to $\mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ transforms $\mathcal{S}$ into $\mathcal{Q}'$. Fix $f \in \text{Aff}(S_\pi)$ and consider the corresponding maps $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$. As before, $\mathcal{G}$ is a polynomial transformation of $1 + \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathfrak{m}^\mathbb{C}$ having a polynomial inverse, and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Q}') = \mathcal{Q}'$. To conclude that $\mathcal{G}$ is affine we now refer to a result in [ES] (see the elliptic case on pp. 37–38 therein).

This result applies since $\mathcal{Q}'$ is linearly equivalent to the real codimension 2 submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$ given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Im} w_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^n (|z_j|^2 - |z_{j+n}|^2), \\ \operatorname{Im} w_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^n (z_j \bar{z}_{j+n} + z_{j+n} \bar{z}_j),\end{aligned}$$

where w_1, w_2, z_j for $j = 1, \dots, 2n$ are coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$. As before, since $\mathcal{G}(Q'_\pi) = Q'_\pi$, one has $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{1} + \mathfrak{m}) = \mathbf{1} + \mathfrak{m}$. Hence, the map $g := \exp \circ f \circ \log = \mathcal{G}|_{\mathbf{1} + \mathfrak{m}}$ lies in $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathfrak{m})$, which shows that $f \in G_\pi$. The proof of Theorem 2.3 is complete. $\square$

4 Proof of Theorem 2.5

Let A be a Gorenstein algebra of finite dimension greater than 1, and assume in addition that A is graded (see (2.3)). In this case every element $u \in \mathfrak{m}$ can be uniquely written as $u = u_1 + \dots + u_d$, where $u_j \in A_j$. Let $\Pi := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d-1} A_j$. Clearly, Π lies in $\mathbb{T}$. Fix another element $\tilde{\Pi} \in \mathbb{T}$. To prove the theorem, we need to show that there exists $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ such that $\varphi(\Pi) = \tilde{\Pi}$. By Lemma 3.3 one can find $y \in \Pi$ with $\tilde{\Pi} = (\mathbf{1} + y)\Pi$. Therefore, we need to show that for any $y \in \Pi$ there exists $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ for which $\varphi(\Pi) = (\mathbf{1} + y)\Pi$. Equivalently, φ must be chosen so that for every $u \in \Pi$ one can find $v \in \Pi$ with $\varphi(u) = (\mathbf{1} + y)v$.

We construct φ using derivations of $\mathfrak{m}$ (cf. the proof of Proposition 2.3 of [XY]). For every $x \in \Pi$ set

$$D_x(u) := x(u_1 + 2u_2 + \dots + (d-1)u_{d-1}), \quad u \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

It is straightforward to check that D_x is a derivation of $\mathfrak{m}$. Let φ_x be the automorphism of $\mathfrak{m}$ obtained by exponentiating D_x . If $x = x_i \in A_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, the automorphism φ_x can be easily computed:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{x_i}(u) &= \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \left(1 + jx_i + \frac{j(j+i)}{2!}x_i^2 + \frac{j(j+i)(j+2i)}{3!}x_i^3 + \dots \right) u_j + u_d = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} (1 - ix_i)^{-j/i} u_j + u_d, \quad u \in \mathfrak{m}.\end{aligned}$$

We look for φ in the form $\varphi_{x_{d-1}} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{x_1}$ and show that one can choose suitable x_i , $i = 1, \dots, d-1$.

Fix $u \in \Pi$ and let $w := \varphi_{x_{d-1}} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{x_1}(u)$. It is then straightforward to see that

$$\begin{aligned} w_j &= \mu_{j,1}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1})u_1 + \mu_{j,2}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-2})u_2 + \dots + \\ &\quad \mu_{j,j-1}(x_1)u_{j-1} + u_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, d-1, \\ w_d &= (c_1x_{d-1} + \rho_1(x_1, \dots, x_{d-2}))u_1 + (c_2x_{d-2} + \rho_2(x_1, \dots, x_{d-3}))u_2 + \\ &\quad + \dots + (c_{d-2}x_2 + \rho_{d-2}(x_1))u_{d-2} + c_{d-1}x_1u_{d-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mu_{j,k}$, ρ_i are polynomials and $c_\ell \in \mathbb{F}^*$ for all ℓ . Further, let $v \in \Pi$ and $w' := (\mathbf{1} + y)v$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} w'_j &= y_{j-1}v_1 + y_{j-2}v_2 + \dots + y_1v_{j-1} + v_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, d-1, \\ w'_d &= y_{d-1}v_1 + y_{d-2}v_2 + y_{d-3}v_3 + \dots + y_1v_{d-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Equating w_j and w'_j for $j = 1, \dots, d-1$ in (4.1), (4.2), we find

$$\begin{aligned} v_j &= \eta_{j,1}(y, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1})u_1 + \eta_{j,2}(y, x_1, \dots, x_{j-2})u_2 + \dots + \\ &\quad \eta_{j,j-1}(y, x_1)u_{j-1} + u_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, d-1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\eta_{j,k}$ are polynomials. Thus, for every y and u we have now chosen v in terms of x_i , $i = 1, \dots, d-1$. It remains to choose x_i so that $w_d = w'_d$ for all $u \in \Pi$.

Plugging expressions (4.3) into the formula for w'_d in (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w'_d &= y_{d-1}u_1 + y_{d-2}(\eta_{2,1}(y, x_1)u_1 + u_2) + \\ &\quad y_{d-3}(\eta_{3,1}(y, x_1, x_2)u_1 + \eta_{3,2}(y, x_1)u_2 + u_3) + \dots + \\ &\quad y_1(\eta_{d-1,1}(y, x_1, \dots, x_{d-2})u_1 + \eta_{d-1,2}(y, x_1, \dots, x_{d-3})u_2 + \dots + \\ &\quad \eta_{d-1,d-2}(y, x_1)u_{d-2} + u_{d-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

We now compare the coefficients at u_j in formulas for w_d and w'_d in (4.1) and (4.4). It is straightforward to see that, starting with u_{d-1} and progressing to u_1 , one can choose x_i in terms of y recursively, starting with x_1 and progressing to x_{d-1} , in such a way that the coefficients at u_j are pairwise equal for all j . Indeed, choose $x_1 := y_1/c_{d-1} \in A_1$, $x_2 := [y_2 + y_1\eta_{d-1,d-2}(y, x_1) - \rho_{d-2}(x_1)]/c_{d-2} \in A_2$, etc. This choice of x_i , $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, guarantees that $w_d = w'_d$ for all $u \in \Pi$.

We now set $\varphi := \varphi_{x_{d-1}} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{x_1}$. Then, for every element $u \in \Pi$ and for the corresponding element $v \in \Pi$ defined by formulas (4.3), we have $\varphi(u) = (\mathbf{1} + y)v$. Hence $\varphi(\Pi) = (\mathbf{1} + y)\Pi$ as required. $\square$

References

- [A] Alexander, H., Holomorphic mappings from the ball and polydisc, *Math. Ann.* 209 (1974), 249–256.
- [ES] Ezhov, V. and Schmalz, G., A matrix Poincaré formula for holomorphic automorphisms of quadrics of higher codimension. Real associative quadrics, *J. Geom. Anal.* 8 (1998), 27–41.
- [FIKK] G. Fels, A. Isaev, W. Kaup, N. Kruzhilin, Isolated hypersurface singularities and polynomial realizations of affine quadrics, preprint, available from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1007.4356>.
- [FK1] Fels, G., Kaup, W., Classification of commutative algebras and tube realizations of hyperquadrics, preprint, available from <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0906.5549v2>.
- [FK2] Fels, G., Kaup, W., Paper in preparation, to appear on the Mathematics Arxiv.
- [He] Hertling, C., *Frobenius Manifolds and Moduli Spaces for Singularities*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [Hu] Huneke, C., Hyman Bass and ubiquity: Gorenstein rings, in *Algebra, K-theory, Groups, and Education* (New York, 1997), Contemp. Math., 243, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 55–78.
- [I1] Isaev, A. V., Global properties of spherical tube hypersurfaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 42 (1993), 179–213.
- [I2] Isaev, A. V., Simple elliptic hypersurface singularities: a new look at the equivalence problem, Proc. Japanese-Australian Real and Complex Singularities Workshop, Sydney, 15–18 September 2009, *Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ.* 43 (2010), 9–17, available from <http://www.maths.anu.edu.au/research.publications/proceedings/043>.
- [I3] Isaev, A. V., On the number of affine equivalence classes of spherical tube hypersurfaces, *Math. Ann.* 349 (2011), 59–74.
- [I4] Isaev, A. V., *Spherical tube hypersurfaces*, a monograph, submitted to *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer.
- [Pe] Perepechko, A., On solvability of the automorphism group of a finite-dimensional algebra, preprint, available from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.0237>.

- [Po] Poincaré, H., Les fonctions analytiques de deux variables et la représentation conforme, *Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo* 23 (1907), 185–220.
- [T] Tanaka, N., On the pseudo-conformal geometry of hypersurfaces of the space of n complex variables, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 14 (1962), 397–429.
- [XY] Xu, Y.-J. and Yau, S. S.-T., Micro-local characterization of quasi-homogeneous singularities, *Amer. J. Math.* **118** (1996), 389–399.

Department of Mathematics
The Australian National University
Canberra, ACT 0200
Australia
e-mail: alexander.isaev@anu.edu.au