

Modal dynamics in multimode fibers

Moti Fridman, Haim Suchowski, Micha Nixon, Asher A. Friesem, and Nir Davidson*

Weizmann Institute of Science, Dept. of Physics of Complex Systems, Rehovot 76100, Israel

* Corresponding author: fefridma@wisemail.weizmann.ac.il

Compiled September 15, 2021

The dynamics of modes and their states of polarizations in multimode fibers as a function of time, space, and wavelength are experimentally and theoretically investigated. The results reveal that the states of polarizations are displaced in Poincare sphere representation when varying the angular orientations of the polarization at the incident light. Such displacements, which complicates the interpretation of the results, are overcome by resorting to modified Poincare spheres representation. With such modification it should be possible to predict the output modes and their state of polarization when the input mode and state of polarization are known.

© 2021 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: 140.3290, 140.3510.

1. Introduction

Multimode fibers have high coupling efficiencies, can operate over a wide range of wavelengths, and have relatively low susceptibility to degrading nonlinear effects. As a result they are widely used in mid and short communication ranges, high power lasers and amplifiers, and in transporting high optical powers from one location to another [1, 2]. Yet, while in single mode fibers the polarization dispersion is low and can be significantly reduced either by using polarization maintaining fibers or by operating at the principal state of polarization [3–9], in multimode fibers the mode dispersion is high resulting in significant reduction of performance [10, 11]. Consequently, it is important to continuously analyze and monitor the dynamics of the modes propagating in multimode fibers.

When analyzing the dynamics of modes in multimode fibers, it is common to separate the dynamics of the transverse modes and the dynamics of the states of polarization [12–15]. Unfortunately, since there is coupling between transverse modes with different states of polarization, such a separation is not natural and results in coupled equations that are difficult to analyze. Here we present a new approach where the complicated dynamics of the modes in multimode fibers are separated and represented by two uncoupled equations of motion that lead to simple geometric plots on modified and uncoupled Poincare spheres. Such plots fully describe the modal dynamics in multimode fibers, and can be conveniently used for monitoring, analyzing and predicting them.

We begin by describing our experimental configuration and present experimental results of the state of polarization (SOP) at the output from multimode fiber as a function of wavelength for different angular orientations of light with linear polarization at the input. The results reveal that the dynamics of the modes and their SOP at the output from multimode fibers are relatively complicated. Then we developed an analytical model for calculating these dynamics, and show how their repre-

sentation can be simplified to obtain a more convenient and intuitive understanding. Finally, based on the results from the model, we show how to obtain such simplified representation experimentally.

2. Experimental configuration and results

The experimental configuration for measuring the output polarization from a multimode fiber is presented in Fig. 1. A linearly polarized light from a tunable fiber laser propagates through a half wave plate and a quarter wave plate in order to obtain light of any desired SOP and wavelength at the input. The light is then launched into a multimode fiber, and the SOP at the output as a function of space, time and wavelength is measured using a real-time space-variant polarization measurement system [16]. In our experiments the multimode fiber length was 10m, core diameter 20 μ m and numerical aperture 0.07. It mainly supported the TE_{01} and TM_{01} modes which in the weakly guided approximation can be expressed as orthogonal sets of linearly polarized modes. The electric field intensity distributions and polarization directions for these modes are presented in Fig. 2. As shown, there are four distinct modes of LP_{11} , each with different intensity distribution or different orientations of the polarization direction. The white arrows at the center of the individual intensity distributions denote the direction of the polarizations in each mode.




Fig. 1. Experimental configuration for measuring the output polarization from a multimode fiber as a function of the input wavelength for different input states of polarization.

We started our experiments by verifying that the coupling into the fiber does not change the mode or the SOP of the input. This was done by determining that the in-




Fig. 2. Intensity distributions together with the orientations of the polarization direction for the orthogonal sets of linearly polarized modes LP_{11} . The white arrows in the center of the individual intensity distributions denote the polarization directions. The black arrows and the terms u_2 and u_3 denote the coupling between the different modes.

tensity distribution of the mode and the SOP at the output are the same as those at the input after propagating through a short 7cm fiber. Then, we launched the same linearly polarized light with a uniform intensity distribution into the 10m long fiber, and measured the SOP at the output while varying the input wavelength from 1062nm to 1066nm . We repeated these measurements, each time after rotating the linearly polarized light at the input by 10° .

The results are presented in Fig. 3 as a Poincare sphere representation of the SOP at the output for different angular orientations of the linear polarization at the input. As evident, the circle of smallest size is obtained when the linearly polarized input beam is oriented at 30° , indicating where the SOP of the output is nearly invariant to the input wavelengths. Namely, at an angular orientation of about 30° we have a principal mode (PM). As the deviation from 30° increases, the circles become larger indicating that more spectral dispersion occurs. Unlike increasing concentric circles around the principal state of polarization location in single mode fiber, here we obtained increasing circles whose centers continuously deviate from the PM location as the difference between the input and the PM increases. We also present the projection of the output polarization on the S_1 axes as a function of the input wavelength for two input polarization in Fig. 4. As evident, when the input polarization is close to the PM the output polarization is less sensitive to wavelength variations.

3. Model and calculated results

We developed a model for calculating the dynamics of the SOP at the output of multimode fibers, in order to gain a better physical intuition about the evolution of modes in a multimode fiber and to understand what causes the deviations from the PM location. We define E_{in} and E_{out} as the input and output




Fig. 3. Poincare sphere representation of the SOP at the output as a function of the wavelength for different angular orientations of the linear polarization at the input. (a) Experimental results; (b) calculated results. The input wavelength ranged from 1062nm to 1066nm for each angular orientation.




Fig. 4. Projection of the output polarization on the S_1 axes as a function of the input wavelength for two orientations of the input polarization; asterisks (blue) - 30° orientation, close to the PM; circles (red) - 90° orientation, far from the PM.

modes composed from a superposition of the four fields $E_{in,out} = [E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4]_{in,out}^T$ shown in Fig. 2. The relation between them is

$$E_{out} = e^{i\beta} \mathbf{U} E_{in}, \quad (1)$$

where β is real and \mathbf{U} is a unitary matrix. To explicitly define \mathbf{U} , we assume that birefringence and twists in the fiber are the main sources for coupling between the modes. Birefringence in the fiber leads to coupling of modes with the same intensity distributions but with orthogonal SOP. Accordingly, the coupling between the fields E_1 and E_2 can be described by a 2 by 2 matrix, as

$$\mathbf{U}^{(2)}(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} u_1(\omega) & u_2(\omega) \\ -u_2^*(\omega) & u_1^*(\omega) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where u_1 denote changes in the phase of each mode while propagating in the fiber and u_2 the coupling strength be-

tween the modes. This matrix is the same as that for coupling orthogonal polarizations in single mode fibers [5]. Similarly, since E_3 and E_4 also have the same intensity distributions and assuming that birefringence is essentially constant across a relatively small fiber core [17,18], we can describe the coupling between E_3 and E_4 with the same unitary matrix $U^{(2)}(\omega)$. Twists in the fiber rotate the modes and leads to coupling between E_1 and E_3 and between E_2 and E_4 with coupling strength denoted as u_3 . We also assume that coupling between E_1 and E_4 and between E_2 and E_3 are negligible. Taking birefringence and twists into account, the overall coupling matrix between all four fields is then $\mathbf{U}(\omega) = \exp(\mathbf{H})$ where \mathbf{H} is skew-Hermitian matrix as

$$\mathbf{H}(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} u_1(\omega) & u_2(\omega) & u_3(\omega) & 0 \\ -u_2^*(\omega) & u_1^*(\omega) & 0 & u_3(\omega) \\ -u_3^*(\omega) & 0 & u_1(\omega) & u_2(\omega) \\ 0 & -u_3^*(\omega) & -u_2^*(\omega) & u_1^*(\omega) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Following the derivation of Poole and Wagner [5] and extending it to our multimode fibers [14, 15], leads to four principal modes (PMs) where each is insensitive to variations in the input wavelength up to the first order. The four PMs, denoted as ϵ_n where $n = 1, 2, 3$ and 4, are the solutions of

$$[\mathbf{U}' - ik_n \mathbf{U}] \epsilon_n = [\mathbf{H} - ik_n \mathbf{I}] \mathbf{U} \epsilon_n = 0, \quad (4)$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to frequency, and the four k_n are

$$k_n = \pm i \sqrt{|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 + |u_3|^2 \pm 2|u_3| \sqrt{|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2}}. \quad (5)$$

These four PMs will propagate in the multimode fiber with minimal dispersion.

To analyze the dynamics of modes other than PMs as a function of the input wavelength, we differentiated Eq. (1) with respect to frequency, and used the definition of \mathbf{U} to obtain

$$\frac{dE_{out}}{d\omega} = \mathbf{H} E_{out}. \quad (6)$$

Using Pauli matrices, σ_x , σ_y and σ_z , we can decompose \mathbf{H} to

$$\mathbf{H} = (ib_1 \sigma_z + ia_2 \sigma_y + ib_2 \sigma_x) \otimes I + I \otimes (ib_3 \sigma_x + ia_3 \sigma_y), \quad (7)$$

where a_i denote the real part of u_i and b_i the imaginary part of u_i . Equation (7) defines rotation in four dimensions, one part for left rotation and the other for right rotation [20, 21]. Now, in order to find analytic solution to Eq. (6) we redefine the vectors E_{in} and E_{out} to a 2 by 2 matrices,

$$\tilde{E}_{in,out} = \begin{bmatrix} E_1 & E_2 \\ E_3 & E_4 \end{bmatrix}_{in,out}, \quad (8)$$

and substituting Eqs. (7) and (8) into Eq. (4) leads to the solution of \tilde{E}_{out} as a function of frequency as

$$\tilde{E}_{out}(\omega) = \mathbf{\Omega}_L(\omega) \tilde{E}_{in} \mathbf{\Omega}_R(\omega), \quad (9)$$

where

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_L(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(|\tau_b|\omega) & \frac{\tilde{u}_3}{|\tau_b|} \sin(|\tau_b|\omega) \\ -\frac{\tilde{u}_3^*}{|\tau_b|} \sin(|\tau_b|\omega) & \cos(|\tau_b|\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_R(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(|\tau_a|\omega) + \frac{\tilde{u}_1}{|\tau_a|} \sin(|\tau_a|\omega) & \frac{-\tilde{u}_2^*}{|\tau_a|} \sin(|\tau_a|\omega) \\ \frac{\tilde{u}_2}{|\tau_a|} \sin(|\tau_a|\omega) & \cos(|\tau_a|\omega) - \frac{\tilde{u}_1}{|\tau_a|} \sin(|\tau_a|\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\tau_a \equiv (b_1, b_2, a_2)$ and $\tau_b \equiv (0, a_3, b_3)$.

Equation (9) provides an analytic solution of the output mode distribution and its SOP as a function of the wavelength when the input SOP is known. It involves a multiplication of two matrices $\mathbf{\Omega}_R$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}_L$. The matrix $\mathbf{\Omega}_R$ is composed from u_1 and u_2 so it represents the coupling between each pair of modes with the same intensity distributions but with different SOP whereas the matrix $\mathbf{\Omega}_L$ is composed only from u_3 so it represents the coupling between each pair of modes with different intensity distributions and different SOP. Using Eq. (9), we calculated the evolution of the output SOP as a function of the input wavelength for different angular orientations of a linearly polarized light at the input. The results are presented in Fig. 3, with the coupling parameters u_1 , u_2 and u_3 , as fitting parameters. As evident, there is a qualitative agreement between the experimental and calculated results, indicating that our assumptions in developing the model are justified. We attribute the difference between the calculated results and the measured results to small deviations in the polarization measurement and to inaccurate coupling parameters.

4. Modified Poincare spheres representation

In order to simplify the Poincare sphere representation of the SOP dynamics at the output, we resorted to modified Stokes parameters $S_a \equiv (S_a^{(1)}, S_a^{(2)}, S_a^{(3)})$ and $S_b \equiv (S_b^{(1)}, S_b^{(2)}, S_b^{(3)})$ where

$$\begin{aligned} S_a^{(1)} &= |E_1|^2 - |E_2|^2 + |E_3|^2 - |E_4|^2 \\ S_a^{(2)} &= 2|E_1||E_2| \cos(\varphi_{12}) + 2|E_3||E_4| \cos(\varphi_{34}) \\ S_a^{(3)} &= 2|E_1||E_2| \sin(\varphi_{12}) + 2|E_3||E_4| \sin(\varphi_{34}) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_b^{(1)} &= |E_1|^2 + |E_2|^2 - |E_3|^2 - |E_4|^2 \\ S_b^{(2)} &= 2|E_1||E_3| \cos(\varphi_{13}) + 2|E_2||E_4| \cos(\varphi_{24}) \\ S_b^{(3)} &= 2|E_1||E_3| \sin(\varphi_{13}) + 2|E_2||E_4| \sin(\varphi_{24}) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where φ_{ij} denote the phase difference between E_i and E_j . Now, Eq. (6) can be separated into two uncoupled torque equations, as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}_a &= \tau_a \times S_a \\ \dot{S}_b &= \tau_b \times S_b. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Note, the torque vectors depend only on the parameters of the fiber and not on the input SOP.

Using the two sets of modified Stokes parameters we recalculated the evolution of the output SOP as a function of the input wavelength for different angular orientations of a linearly polarized light at the input. The results are presented in Fig. 6. As evident, the use of the modified Stokes parameters and the separation of Eq. (6) into two uncoupled equations, resulted in two modified Poincare spheres where the SOPs in each sphere form concentric, rather than displaced, circles around the PM when varying the input wavelength. The modified Poincare spheres representations involve natural modes of a fiber. Specifically, S_a represents the modes TE_{01} and TM_{01} , and S_b represents the modes HE_{21} and HE_{-21} . These results indicate that when evaluating the propagation of light in multimode fiber it is preferable and more convenient to resort to our uncoupled modified Poincare spheres representation rather than the usual Poincare spheres representation [12, 13].




Fig. 5. Calculated modified Poincare sphere representation of the SOP at the output as a function of the wavelength for different angular orientations of linearly polarized light at the input. (a) Using the modified Stokes parameters S_a ; (b) using the modified Stokes parameters S_b .

Based on the calculated results from our model we reevaluated our experimental results in order to obtain more convenient and more intuitive Poincare sphere representations of the SOP dynamics at the output from a multimode fiber. Specifically, we first multiplied the measured light distribution with those of the transverse modes shown in Fig. 2. Then we determine the SOP at each point across the beam which leads to determining the contribution of the fields E_1 , E_2 , E_3 , and E_4 . Next, we used Eq. (10) to determine the modified Stokes parameters S_a to obtain the desired modified Poincare sphere representation. For simplicity we present only the average SOP (center of circles) for each angular orientation of the linearly polarized input beam. The results are presented in Fig. 6. Figure 6(a) shows the average SOP for different input polarization orientations in the original Poincare sphere representation, same as Fig 3. Here, the average SOP is displaced as we vary the input polarization orientation. Figure 6(b) shows the corresponding results on the modified Poincare sphere representation. As evident, here the average SOP are about the same for the different input polarization orientations, as expected.




Fig. 6. The average polarization when varying the input wavelength for different input polarizations on (a) the original Poincare sphere and on (b) the modified Poincare sphere.

5. Concluding remarks

To conclude, we presented experimental and calculated results about the dynamics of modes and their SOP in multimode fibers. We show how the representation of these dynamics can be simplified so as to obtain a direct measure of the SOP at the output when knowing the input SOP. The results of this measure can then be used for determining the SOP for an arbitrary input SOP.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Israeli Ministry of Science and Technology and by the USA-Israel Binational Science Foundation.

References

1. P. Pepeljugoski, M. J. Hackert, J. S. Abbott, S. E. Swanson, S. E. Golowich, A. J. Ritger, P. Kolesar, Y. C. Chen, P. Pleunis, "Development of system specification for laser-optimized 50- μ m multimode fiber for multigigabit short-wavelength LANs," *J. of Lightwave Tech.*, **21**, 1256, (2003)
2. C. Lethien, C. Loyez, J. P. Vilcot, "Potentials of radio over multimode fiber systems for the in-buildings coverage of mobile and wireless LAN applications" *J. of Lightwave Tech.*, **17**, 2793 (2005).
3. J. P. Gordon and H. Kogelnik, "PMD fundamentals: Polarization mode dispersion in optical fibers" *PNAS* **97**, 4541, (2000).
4. B. L. Heffner, "Automated measurement of polarization mode dispersion using jones matrix eigenanalysis", *IEEE photonics technology letters* **4**, 1066, (1992).
5. C. D. Poole, and R. E. Wagner "Phenomenological approach to polarisation dispersion in long single mode fibers" *Elec. Lett.* **22**, 1029, (1986).
6. W. Shieh, H. Kogelnik, "Dynamic eigenstates of polarization," *Photonics Technology Letters, IEEE* , **13**, 40-42 (2001).
7. C.D.Poole, J.H.Winters, and J.A. Nagel "Dynamical equation for polarization dispersion" *Opt. Lett.* **16**, 372, (1991).
8. D.Andresciani, F.Curti, F.Matera, andB.Daino, "Measurement of the group-delay difference between the

- principal state of polarization on a low-birefringence terrestrial fiber cable" *Opt. Lett.* **12**, 844 (1987).
9. C.D.Poole N.S.Bergano, R.E.Wagner and H.J.Schulte, "Polarization dispersion and principal state in a 147-km undersea lightwave cable" *J. Lightwave Tech.* **6** 1185 (1988).
 10. G. P. Agrawal, *Fiber-Optic Communication System*, 3rd ed. (Wiley, New York, 2002).
 11. S. Wielandy, "Implications of higher-order mode content in large mode area fibers with good beam quality," *Opt. Express* **15**, 15402 (2007).
 12. M. J. Padgett and J. Courtial, "Poincare-sphere equivalent for light beams containing orbital angular momentum," *Opt. Lett.* **24**, 430-432 (1999).
 13. G. S. Agarwal, "SU(2) structure of the Poincare sphere for light beams with orbital angular momentum," *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A.* **16**, 2914 (1999).
 14. S. Fan and J. M. Kahn "principal modes in multimode waveguides" *Opt. Lett.* **30**, 135, (2005).
 15. M. B. Shemirani, W. Mao, R. A. Panicker and J. M. Kahn, "principal modes in graded-index multimode fiber in presence of spatial and polarization mode coupling", *J. Light. Tech.* **27**, 1248, (2009).
 16. M. Fridman, M. Nixon, E. Grinvald, N. Davidson, and A. A. Friesem, "Real-time measurement of unique space-variant polarizations," *Opt. Express* **18**, 10805-10812 (2010).
 17. R.Ulrich and A.Simon "Polarization optics of twisted single mode fiber" *Appl. Opt.* **18** 2241, (1979).
 18. D.M.Shyroki "Exact equivalent straight waveguide model for bent and twisted waveguides" *IEEE Trans. on Micro. Theor. and Tech.* **56** 414 (2008).
 19. M. Fridman, G. Machavariani, N. Davidson, and A. A. Friesem, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **93**, 191104 (2008).
 20. H. Suchowski, "Spatio-temporal Coherent Control of nonlinear optical interactions", Chapter 4.3, *Weizmann Institute of Science*, (2010).
 21. P. Du Val, "Homographies, quaternions and rotations", *Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford*, (1964).