

Enhanced superconducting properties in FeCr_xSe

Anil K. Yadav, Ajay D. Thakur*, C. V. Tomy

Department of Physics, IIT Bombay, Mumbai 400076, India

Abstract

We report an enhancement of superconducting transition temperature (T_c) when Chromium (Cr) is substituted in excess at the Iron (Fe) site (FeCr_xSe , $x = 0.01, 0.02$ and 0.03). There is a corresponding increase in the superconducting volume fraction with T_c attaining a value of 11.2 K on 2 % Cr substitution when compared to a T_c of 8.5 K for the conventional tetragonal Fe-excess sample Fe_{1+x}Se . The results point to the role of chemical pressure (introduced via ionic size variation at the Fe site upon Cr substitution in excess) on superconducting properties.

PACS numbers: 74.62.Bf, 74.25.Jb, 74.70.Ad

arXiv:1011.6519v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 30 Nov 2010

* ajay@phy.iitb.ac.in

I. INTRODUCTION

There has been a concerted research effort dedicated on Fe-based pnictide and chalcogenide superconductors since their discovery in early 2008 [1–3]. The focus of the ongoing investigations has been two-fold : (i) understanding the mechanism of superconductivity in these classes of materials, and (ii) efforts to enhance the transition temperatures. Among these materials, FeSe and its derivatives (commonly known as the ‘11’ system) have drawn immense attention [3, 4]. Its Fermi surface is very similar to that reported for the FeAs based superconductors [5] comprising of cylindrical electron sections at the zone corners, cylindrical hole surface sections, and small hole sections at the zone center. Furthermore, these surfaces are separated by a 2D nesting vector at (π, π) , another reminiscent of the FeAs based superconductors. Therefore, akin to their structural and compositional simplicity compared to the pnictide counterparts, they are conceived to be promising model systems to understand the physics of Fe-based superconductors. Structurally, FeSe comprises of stacked layers of corner sharing FeSe₄ tetrahedra similar to FeAs based materials. However, the spacer layers are absent. Analogous to the members of the pnictide family, there is a distortion in the FeSe layers across the structural transition. The stoichiometric members α -FeSe and α -FeTe (hexagonal NiAs type crystal structure with P6₃/mmc space group) are non-superconducting. A slight excess of Fe is found to stabilize the superconducting tetragonal phase β -Fe_{1+x}Se (with PbO type tetragonal structure and P4/nmm space group) with a $T_c \sim 8$ K. However, superconductivity is observed only in a very narrow composition range Fe_{1.01}Se - Fe_{1.04}Se for the tetragonal phase, reported more than three decades ago (**author?**) [6, 7]. Substitution of Te for Se is found to be increasing the T_c in FeSe_{1-x}Te_x compounds with a maximum T_c at $x = 0.5$ (**author?**) [8, 9]. However, S substitution is found to increase only the superconducting volume fraction (**author?**) [10, 11, 12] rather than the T_c .

The properties of off-stoichiometric β -Fe_{1+x}Se (or, β -FeSe_{1-x}) is very sensitive to the ambient pressure. Mizuguchi *et al* [13] reported a T_c of 27 K at 1.48 GPa in β -FeSe. Medvedev *et al* [14] found a non-monotonicity in the T_c evolution with pressure with a maximum T_c of 36 K at 8.9 GPa. After that T_c was seen to decrease with further increase in pressure up to 32 GPa. A similar non-monotonic variation of T_c was observed in high pressure studies by Margadonna *et al* [15] where T_c was seen to peak at 37 K at 7 GPa. In contrast, Garbarino

et al [16] showed a monotonic increase in T_c with pressure. In their studies, an orthorhombic high pressure phase was seen to develop at 12 GPa with a T_c of 34 K at 22 GPa. Although the exact nature of the superconducting phase is not very clear, the sensitive dependence of T_c on ambient pressure suggests a possibility of increasing T_c via the chemical pressure route. Different substitutions at the Fe site have been tried in the past by several groups [17–19] however, there has been no reports suggesting an enhancement of T_c . The superconducting volume fraction was seen to enhance on Ni substitution at Fe site [20] but there was no concomitant increase in T_c . We report here the effect excess Cr at the Fe site on superconducting properties. The results suggest an enhancement of T_c with accompanied enhancement in superconducting volume fraction.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Polycrystalline samples of FeCr_xSe ($x = 0.01, 0.02$) were prepared using conventional solid state reaction technique. Reactants were homogenized in an agate mortar; it was packed and sealed in a quartz tube and preheated for 24 hrs at 500°C , reground, sealed and heated at 1050°C for 24 hours. A batch of samples were post-annealed at 360°C for 3h hours. Using powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), the samples were characterized for phase purity. Magnetic and transport studies on the samples were performed using Quantum Design Inc. PPMS. During transport measurements, the dc current was maintained so as to achieve $100 \mu\text{V}$ at room temperature across the voltage leads in the four probe configuration. During magnetic measurements using the PPMS vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM), the amplitude of vibration was set as 2.0 mm at 40 Hz.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The powder XRD patterns for FeCr_xSe ($x = 0.01, 0.02$ and 0.03) samples are shown in Fig. 1. These patterns were indexed according to the Tetragonal β -FeSe with $P4/nmm$ space group. Certain peaks corresponding to the impurity phase of hexagonal α -FeSe (NiAs structure) with $P6_3/mmc$ space group (labeled as α) were also detected. For comparison purposes, the XRD pattern corresponding to the Fe-excess sample, $\text{Fe}_{1.01}\text{Se}$ is also plotted in Fig. 1. Contributions from other impurity phases like Fe_3O_4 were negligible. Following

observations are noteworthy: (i) with increase in Cr concentration, there is a notable shifting of the peaks to smaller 2θ values indicating a gradual expansion of the unit cell volume (see inset (a) and (b) in Fig. 1), (ii) prominent peaks corresponding to the hexagonal α phase are seen to evolve with increase in Cr concentration.

Figure 2 shows the magnetization measurement as a function of temperature (M - T plots) for the FeCr_xSe ($x = 0.01, 0.02$ and 0.03) samples. The data is taken in both the zero field-cooled (ZFC) as well as the field-cooled (FC) mode. The onset of the superconducting transition is marked by arrows and its values are 10.4 K, 11.2 K and 11.2 K, respectively, for $x = 0.01, 0.02$ and 0.03 samples. As can be inferred from Fig. 2, the superconducting volume fraction is maximum for the $x = 0.02$ composition. To the best of our knowledge, the T_c for our samples with Cr substitution exceeds the maximum reported T_c in the FeSe system with substitutions at Fe site (including the Fe-excess samples) (**author?**) [3, 4, 17, 18, 19, 20]. In the past, Wu *et al* (**author?**) [17] have reported results on Cr substitution at the Fe site, but did not see any dramatic T_c enhancement. This may be due to the fact that they have added an excess of 10% Cr ($x = 0.1$) which is far greater than the value $x = 0.03$ in our case. It is worthwhile to recall the work by Ge *et al* (**author?**) [21], where they observed a T_c of 10.9 K at ambient pressure in $\text{Fe}_{1.03}\text{Se}$ system arising because of the internal crystallographic strain. However, in their case, post annealing treatment led to a substantial decrease in T_c . In our case, the T_c remains ~ 11 K with negligible changes to superconducting volume fractions despite a similar post annealing protocol (data not shown) pointing to the role of Cr substitution in enhancing the internal chemical pressure and thereby leading to higher T_c . Future work on similar lines could provide routes to achieve higher T_c at ambient pressures in other Fe-based superconductors.

In Fig. 3, we show a comparison of the T_c 's obtained via magnetization measurements and dc transport studies in the $x = 0.02$ sample. As can be seen, the temperature for the onset of diamagnetism (T_c^M) coincide with the temperature at which the resistance falls to 10 % of the resistance ($T_c^{R, \text{offset}}$) in the normal state across the normal state to superconducting state transition. This temperature is 11.2 K. Even though the hexagonal impurity phase is present in these compounds (as inferred from XRD in Fig. 1), the insensitivity of T_c to the presence of this additional phase reaffirms the recent observations made by Tsurkan *et al* (**author?**) [22] in $\text{FeSe}_{0.5}\text{Te}_{0.5}$. Also marked in Fig. 3 are the $T_c^{R, \text{onset}}$, and $T_c^{R, \text{mid}}$ where the resistance reaches 90% and 50% of the normal state value, respectively. The inset in

Fig. 3 shows the resistance as a function of temperature in the temperature range 2 K to 300 K. The residual resistivity ratio (RRR) given by $\frac{R_{300\text{ K}}}{R_{12\text{ K}}}$ for the $x = 0.02$ sample turns out to be ≈ 4 . In Fig. 4 we show the isothermal magnetization (M - H) measurement in $x = 0.02$ sample at 2 K (far below T_c) and 15 K (above T_c). Note the diamagnetic response at low fields in the 2 K data. This, along with the shape of the M - H loop at 2 K, is characteristic of a typical type-II superconductor. The open loop behaviour at 15 K may be due to the small amount of impurity phases like Fe_3O_4 or Fe, which are not detected in XRD, but is generally present in the FeSe samples.

Specific heat measurements is conventionally used as an equilibrium measurement for demonstrating the existence of bulk superconductivity in samples. In Fig. 5, we show the specific heat data for the $x = 0.02$ sample. Here, C/T is plotted as a function of T^2 in the main panel. The characteristic jump in the value of C is not observed distinctly. Total specific heat primarily comprises lattice, electronic as well as magnetic contributions. In addition, it may also have a contribution from the frozen-in disorder in the sample. In order to observe a clear signature of the jump in specific heat due superconductivity, the electronic (normal) and lattice specific heats must be subtracted from the total heat capacity data. One of the common ways to remove the lattice and electronic contributions is to subtract the specific heat of a non-superconducting iso-structural system. We have observed that the addition of excess Co at the Fe site (FeCo_xSe) suppresses superconductivity [23]. Hence we have subtracted the specific heat of the compound $\text{FeCo}_{0.01}\text{Se}$, for removing the approximate lattice and electronic contributions from $\text{FeCr}_{0.02}\text{Se}$. In addition, this process may also eliminate the approximate magnetic as well as the frozen-in disorder contributions to the total specific heat. In the inset of Fig. 5, we show such a difference plot for $\Delta C/T$ versus T^2 . Note that a characteristic jump is visible across the superconducting transition. As the method used is only an approximate estimation, we have not attempted to estimate the superconducting energy gap (Δ_{SC}). However, our method does indicate the existence of bulk superconductivity in $\text{FeCr}_{0.02}\text{Se}$.

Finally, we make an estimation of the upper critical field, H_{c2} for polycrystalline $\text{FeCr}_{0.02}\text{Se}$. In Fig. 6, we show a plot of $T_c^{R,onset}$, $T_c^{R,mid}$ and $T_c^{R,offset}$ obtained from magneto-transport measurements. Within, the formulation suggested by Werthamer *et al* [24] (well known as the WHH theory), H_{c2} is given by, $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0) = -0.693 \mu_0 \left(\frac{dH_{c2}}{dT}\right)_{T_c} T_c$. From Fig. 6, the slopes $\left(\frac{dH_{c2}}{dT}\right)_{T_c}$ are obtained and we make an estimation of H_{c2} . The

$H_{c2}(0)$ values obtained from $T_c^{R,onset}$, $T_c^{R,mid}$ and $T_c^{R,offset}$ data are 37.47 T, 23.66 T and 19.03 T, respectively. The Ginzburg-Landau expression for the coherence length (ξ) is given by, $\xi(0) = \left(\frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where, Φ_0 is the quantum of flux with a magnitude 2.07×10^{-7} Gauss-cm². This leads to $\xi(0)$ of 29.6 Å, 37.3 Å and 41.6 Å for the data corresponding to onset, mid and offset positions, respectively. Here, it should be kept in mind that the H_{c2} and ξ values obtained here corresponds to the polycrystalline samples. For studying the role of crystalline anisotropy, single crystals needs to be prepared for the Cr excess samples. Further work is in progress in this direction.

IV. SUMMARY

To summarize, we observe an enhancement in T_c in samples with Cr excess at the Fe site in parent FeSe system at ambient pressure. The results points to the importance of chemical pressure achieved via suitable site substitution as a route to enhancement of superconducting properties in Fe-based superconducting materials. We believe that our results would stimulate further research on the ‘11’ system.

Acknowledgements

CVT would like to acknowledge the Department of Science and Technology for partial support through the project IR/S2/PU-10/2006. ADT would like to acknowledge the Institute Post Doctoral Fellowship at the Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay for partial support.

-
- [1] Y.Kamihara, H.Hiramatsu, M.Hirano, R.Kawamura, H.Yanagi, T. Kamiya, and H.Hosono, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008) 3296.
 - [2] M. Rotter, M. Tegel, D. Johrendt, Phys Rev. Lett. **101** (2008), p. 026403.
 - [3] F.C. Hsu, J.Y. Luo, K.W. Yeh, T.K. Chen, T.W. Huang, P.M. Wu, Y.C. Lee, Y.L. Huang, Y.Y. Chu, D.C. Yan, M.K. Wu, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105 (2008) 14262.
 - [4] Y. Mizuguchi and Y. Yakano, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan, 79 (2010) 102001.
 - [5] A. Subedi, L. Zhang, D. J. Singh, and M. H. Du, Phys. Rev. B 78 (2008) 134514.

- [6] W. Schuster, H. Mikler, and K. L. Komarek, *Monatsch. Chem.* 110 (1979) 1153.
- [7] H. Okamoto, *J. Phase Equilib.* 12 (1991) 383.
- [8] M. H. Fang, H. M. Pham, B. Qian, T. J. Liu, E. K. Vehstedt, Y. Liu, L. Spinu and Z. Q. Mao, *Phys. Rev. B* 78 (2008) 224503.
- [9] K. W. Yeh, T. W. Huang, Y. Huang, T. K. Chen, F. C. Hsu, P. M. Wu, Y. C. Lee, Y. Y. Chu, C. L. Chen, J. Y. Luo, D. C. Yan and M. K. Wu, *Europhys. Lett.* 84 (2008) 37002.
- [10] Y. Mizuguchi, F. Tomioka, S. Tsuda, T. Yamaguchi and Y. Takano, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* 78 (2009) 074712.
- [11] R. Hu, E. S. Bozin, J. B. Warren and C. Petrovic, *Phys. Rev. B* 80 (2009) 214514.
- [12] Y. Mizuguchi, F. Tomioka, S. Tsuda, T. Yamaguchi and Y. Takano, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 94 (2009) 012503.
- [13] Y. Mizuguchi, F. Tomioka, S. Tsuda, T. Yamaguchi and Y. Takano, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 91 (2008) 152505.
- [14] S. Medvedev, T.M. McQueen, I. Trojan, T. Palasyuk, M.I. Eremets, R.J. Cava, S. Naghavi, F. Casper, V. Ksenofontov, G. Wortmann, C. Felser, *Nature Materials* 8, 630 (2009).
- [15] S. Margadonna, Y. Takabayashi, M. T. McDonald, K. Kasperkiewicz, Y. Mizuguchi, Y. Takano, A. N. Fitch, E. Suard and K. Prassides, *Chem. Commun.*, 5607 (2008).
- [16] G. Garbarino, A. Sow, P. Lejay, A. Sulpice, P. Toulemonde, M. Mezouar and M. Núñez-Regueiro, *Euro. Phys. Lett.* 86, 27001 (2009).
- [17] M. K. Wu, F.C. Hsu, K.W. Yeh, T.W. Huang, J.Y. Luo, M.J. Wang, H.H. Chang, T.K. Chen, S.M. Rao, B.H. Mok, C.L. Chen, Y.L. Huang, C.T. Ke, P.M. Wu, A.M. Chang, C.T. Wu, T.P. Perng, *Physica C* 469, 340 (2009).
- [18] Y. Mizuguchi, F. Tomioka, S. Tsuda, T. Yamaguchi and Y. Takano, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* 78, 074712 (2009).
- [19] D. J. Gawryluka, J. Fink-Finowickia, A. Wisniewskia, R. Puzniaka, V. Domukhovskia, R. Diduszkoa,b, M. Kozlowskia, and M. Berkowskia, *arXiv : cond-mat/1010.4217*.
- [20] A. Günther, J. Deisenhofer, Ch. Kant, H.-A. Krug von Nidda, V. Tsurkan, and A. Loidl, *arXiv : cond-mat/1010.5597*.
- [21] J. Ge, S. Cao, S. Yuan, B. Kang, and J. Zhang, *J. Appl. Phys.* 108 (2010) 053903.
- [22] V. Tsurkan, J. Deisenhofer, A. Günther, Ch. Kant, H.-A. Krug von Nidda, F. Schrettle, and A. Loidl, *arXiv : cond-mat/1006.4453v2*.

[23] Anil K. Yadav *et al* (to be published).

[24] N. R. Werthamer, E. Helfand, P. C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. 147 (1966) 295.




FIG. 1: (Color online) The powder XRD patterns for FeCr_xSe ($x = 0.01, 0.02$ and 0.03) samples obtained using Cu-K_α x-rays. Also shown is the XRD spectra for Fe_{1.01}Se. The peaks corresponding to the hexagonal phase with P6₃/mmc space group are marked by α. Insets (a) and (b) shows the evolution of the 2θ values for the (101) and (002) peaks, respectively as a function of x .




FIG. 2: (Color online) Magnetization measurement as a function of temperature (M-T plots) for the FeCr_xSe ($x = 0.01, 0.02$ and 0.03) samples at an applied dc magnetic field of 50 Oe. The zero field cooled (ZFC) and the field cooled (FC) runs are labeled..




FIG. 3: (Color online) Comparison of magnetization (M-T) and transport (R-T) data for obtaining the T_c in the case of $\text{FeCr}_{0.02}\text{Se}$. The locations of T_c^M , $T_c^{R, \text{onset}}$, $T_c^{R, \text{mid}}$ and $T_c^{R, \text{offset}}$ are marked by arrows. The inset shows the R-T data from 300 K to 2 K




FIG. 4: (Color online) Isothermal magnetization hysteresis (M-H) loop for $\text{FeCr}_{0.02}\text{Se}$ obtained at 2 K (open black squares) and 15 K (open red squares).




FIG. 5: (Color online) C/T versus T^2 for $\text{FeCr}_{0.02}\text{Se}$. The inset shows a plot of $\Delta C/T$ versus T^2 (see text for details).




FIG. 6: (Color online) Phase diagram for the $x = 0.02$ sample obtained via transport studies.

$T_c^{R,onset}$, $T_c^{R,mid}$ and $T_c^{R,offset}$ are plotted as a function of field.