$CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$: spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg chain compound with complex frustrated interchain couplings

Oleg Janson,^{1,*} Alexander A. Tsirlin,^{1,†} Elena S. Osipova,² Peter S. Berdonosov,^{2,‡}

Andrei V. Olenev,³ Valery A. Dolgikh,² and Helge Rosner^{1,§}

¹Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, 01187 Dresden, Germany

²Department of Chemistry, Moscow State University, 119991 Moscow, Russia

³SineTheta Ltd., MSU build 1-77, 119991 Moscow, Russia

(Dated: May 20, 2018)

We report the crystal structure, magnetization measurements, and band-structure calculations for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ quantum magnet CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂. The magnetic behavior of this compound is well reproduced by a uniform spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chain model with the nearest-neighbor exchange of about 133 K. Due to the peculiar crystal structure, spin chains run in the direction almost perpendicular to the structural chains. We find an exotic regime of frustrated interchain couplings owing to two inequivalent exchanges of 10 K each. Peculiar superexchange paths grant an opportunity to investigate bond-randomness effects under partial Cl–Br substitution.

PACS numbers: 75.30.Et, 75.50.Ee, 71.20.Ps, 61.66.Fn

I. INTRODUCTION

Low-dimensional magnets remain an attractive playground to study quantum phenomena¹ and to understand strongly correlated electronic systems on a model level.² Theoretical investigations disclose interesting features of numerous simple spin networks, such as a diamond chain,³ a kagomé lattice,⁴ or a pyrochlore lattice.⁵ The transfer of these spin lattices to real systems and the subsequent experimental verification of theoretical results are, however, rather problematic and stimulate extensive studies of low-dimensional (or potentially lowdimensional) magnetic materials. Most of these studies are focused on Cu⁺² compounds, because the d⁹ nature and the pronounced Jahn-Teller effect of Cu⁺² ion lead to insulating spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ compounds with diverse spin-lattice geometries.

Aiming to find hitherto unexplored examples of lowdimensional spin systems, we investigate copper selenitechlorides. These compounds combine two important structural ingredients that lead to unusual physical behavior: i) SeO₃ selenite groups contain the lone-pair Se⁺⁴ cation that induces exotic (and potentially polar) crystal structures, as in the piezoelectric ferrimagnet Cu₂OSeO₃ (Refs. 6); ii) Cl atoms show strong hybridization with Cu 3d orbitals and mediate long-range exchange couplings, leading to highly entangled spin lattices in spintetrahedra compounds Cu₂Te₂O₅X₂ (X = Cl, Br)⁷ showing incommensurate magnetic order, or in the intricate spin-dimer system (CuCl)LaNb₂O₇ (Ref. 8 and 9).

Here, we present an experimental and computational study of CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂. X-ray diffraction, magnetization measurements, and band structure calculations are applied to elucidate crystal structure, electronic structure, and magnetic behavior of this compound. In our study, the complex structural arrangement of Cu polyhedra is readily disentangled by a microscopic approach. We find the conventional $x^2 - y^2$ orbital ground state for both Cu sites, and establish a minimum magnetic model of uniform spin-1/2 chains with weak, frustrated interchain couplings.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Left: crystal structure of $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$. The structural chains run along $[10\overline{1}]$ (not shown), whereas the magnetic chains range along ~ [201], as shown by bold (red) lines. Small unlabeled spheres denote O atoms. Right: local environment of Cu(1) and Cu(2). The magnetically active Cu(1)O₄ and Cu(2)O₂Cl₂ plaquettes are highlighted.

II. SYNTHESIS AND SAMPLE CHARACTERIZATION

Calcium selenite $CaSeO_3$ was prepared via solution synthesis, as described in Ref. 10. The solutions of calcium nitrate $Ca(NO_3)_2$ (chemically pure) (6.214 g) and selenous acid H₂SeO₃ (98%) (4.886 g) in a minimal amount of hot distilled water were mixed. The ammonia 1:5 water solution was added to fix pH of the solution in the range 7–8. The fine white powder was obtained as a precipitate. The precipitate was then dried at 150 °C. According to X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD), the obtained powder was identified as a hydrate CaSeO₃·H₂O. The hydrate was further calcined on a gas burner in a ceramic plate for 30 min. The resulting product was identified as a single phase CaSeO₃ [space group $P2_1/n$, a = 6.399(5) Å, b = 6.782(4) Å, c = 6.682(8) Å, $\beta = 102.84(6)$ °].

 SeO_2 was obtained from H_2SeO_3 by its decomposition under vacuum at 60 °C and the sublimation of the resulting substance in a flow of anhydrous air and NO₂.

CuO (ultra pure) and CuCl₂ (Merck, >98%) were used. The dark-greenish powder sample of $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ was obtained from a stoichiometric mixture of CaSeO₃, CuCl₂, CuO, and SeO₂. The mixture (about 0.5 g total) was prepared in Ar-filled camera, sealed in a quartz tube, and placed into the electronically controlled furnace. The sample was heated from room temperature to 300 °C for 12 hours, exposed at 300 °C for 24 hours, heated up to 500 °C for 12 hours, and exposed at 500 °C for 96 hours.

The resulting samples were single-phase, as confirmed by powder x-ray diffraction (STOE STADI-P diffractometer, $\text{CuK}_{\alpha 1}$ radiation, transmission geometry). The powder pattern was fully indexed in the monoclinic space group C2/c with lattice parameters a = 12.752(3) Å, b = 9.036(2) Å, c = 6.970(1) Å, $\beta = 91.02(1)^{\circ}$. CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ is rather stable in air, although a prolonged exposure of about 3 months led to a partial decomposition towards crystalline CuSeO₃·2H₂O and possible amorphous products.

III. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE

For the structure determination, a single crystal was picked up from the bulk polycrystalline sample. The data were collected at the CAD-4 (Nonius) diffractometer (MoK_{α} radiation) at room temperature. The analysis of systematic extinctions unambiguously pointed to the space group C2/c (15). The lattice parameters a = 12.759(3) Å, b = 9.0450(18) Å, c = 6.9770(14) Å and $\beta = 91.03(3)$ ° were refined, based on 24 well-centered reflections in the angular range $12.01^{\circ} < \theta < 15.84^{\circ}$. The diffraction data were collected in an $\omega - 2\theta$ mode with the data collection parameters listed in Table I. A semiempirical absorption correction was applied to the data based on ψ -scans of seven reflections with λ angles close to 90°.

Positions of metal and selenium atoms were found by direct methods (SHELXS-97).¹¹ Oxygen atoms were localized by a sequence of least-square cycles and difference Fourier syntheses $\Delta \rho(x, y, z)$. The final refinement with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters was based

TABLE I. Data collection and structure refinement parameters for $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$.

Parameter	Value
Temperature (K)	293(2)
Radiation, λ (Å)	$MoK_{\alpha}, 0.71069$
Space group	C2/c (No. 15)
a (Å)	12.759(3)
b (Å)	9.0450(18)
c (Å)	6.9770(14)
β (°)	91.03(3)
V (Å ³)	805.1(3)
Z	4
Calculated density (g/cm^3)	4.059
Absorption coefficient $\mu \ (mm^{-1})$	15.612
Crystal size (mm)	$0.30\times0.07\times0.06$
Angle range (deg)	$2.76 < \theta < 31.96$
Index ranges	$-18 \le h \le 18$,
	$0 \le k \le 13,$
	$-10 \le l \le 2$
Reflections: total / independent	1512/1401
$R_{ m int}$	0.0171
Completeness to $\theta{=}31.96^\circ$	100.0~%
Absorption correction	ψ -scan corrections
Max./min. transmission	0.392/0.280
Parameters refined / restraints	63/0
Refinement method	full-matrix
	least-squares on F^2
Goodness of fit on F^2	1.016
$R_1, wR_2 \ (F_o > 4\sigma(F_o))$	0.0279, 0.0761
R_1, wR_2 (all data)	0.0430, 0.0796
Extinction coefficient	0.00085(18)
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.914, -1.188

on F^2 (SHELXL-97).¹¹ Further information and the refinement residuals are given in Table I. Atomic coordinates and atomic displacement parameters are listed in Table II.¹²

The crystal structure of $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ is shown in Fig. 1. It comprises two inequivalent Cu positions (Table II). The Cu(1) atoms show a slightly distorted square-planar Cu(1)O₄ environment, typical for Cu⁺² oxides (Table III). In contrast, Cu(2) is six-fold coordinated, with four oxygens and two chlorines forming an octahedron, squeezed along Cu(2)–O1 (Table III). Although such octahedral coordination of Cu(2) is rather unusual, it still allows for a square-planar-like crystalfield splitting of 3*d* levels and the conventional nondegenerate $3d^9$ orbital ground state with the half-filled $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital lying in the plane of a Cu(2)O₂Cl₂ plaquette (Fig. 1).¹³ This plaquette is formed by two Cu(2)– Cl bonds and two Cu(2)–O1 bonds. The formation of the plaquette can be qualitatively understood in terms of dif-

TABLE II. Atomic coordinates and atomic displacement parameters $U_{\rm iso}$.

Atom	Position	x/a	y/b	z/c	$U_{\rm iso}$ ^a
Ca	4e	0	0.8425(1)	0.25	1.1(1)
Cu(1)	4e	0	0.6221(1)	0.75	1.2(1)
Cu(2)	4c	0.25	0.25	0	1.2(1)
Se	8f	0.1704(1)	0.6053(1)	0.0878(1)	0.8(1)
Cl	8f	0.6170(1)	0.5817(1)	0.1048(1)	1.7(1)
O1	8f	0.1609(2)	0.7153(3)	0.2852(4)	1.4(1)
O2	8f	0.0493(2)	0.6598(3)	0.0070(3)	1.3(1)
O3	8f	0.1455(2)	0.4342(3)	0.1704(4)	1.4(1)

^a The $U_{\rm iso}$ parameters are given in 10^{-2} Å² and obtained as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor.

TABLE III. Selected interatomic distances (in Å) in the $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ structure.

Atom pair	Distance	Atom pair	Distance
Ca–O1 ($\times 2$)	2.362(2)	Cu(1)–O2 (×2)	1.920(2)
Ca–O2 ($\times 2$)	2.458(3)	Cu(1)–O3 (×2)	2.012(2)
Ca–Cl $(\times 2)$	2.827(1)		
Ca–Cl $(\times 2)$	2.948(1)	Cu(2)–O1 (×2)	1.891(2)
Se-O1	1.705(2)	Cu(2)–O3 (×2)	2.455(2)
Se-O2	1.707(2)	$Cu(2)$ – $Cl(\times 2)$	2.404(1)
Se–O3	1.684(3)		

ferent ionic radii for oxygen and chlorine. The larger size of the Cl atoms makes their effect on the Cu 3*d* orbitals similar to the effect of O1 with shorter distances to Cu. The resulting crystal-field splitting resembles that of a CuO₄ plaquette and drives one of the atomic *d* orbitals half-filled as well as magnetic, that is *a posteriori* confirmed by our DFT calculations (Sec. V).

In general, the formation of CuO_2Cl_2 plaquettes is typical for copper oxychlorides.^{9,14} However, a unique feature of $\text{CaCu}_2(\text{SeO}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ is the presence of two longer Cu(2)–O3 bonds which look similar to the Cu(2)–C1 bonds in terms of interatomic distances but are essentially inactive with respect to the magnetism, as will be shown in Sec. IV.

The Cu(1)O₄ plaquettes and the Cu(2)O₄Cl₂ octahedra share corners and form chains along [101]. However, the bridging O3 atoms do not belong to the Cu(2)O₂Cl₂ plaquettes, hence a simple Cu(1)–O3–Cu(2) superexchange is unlikely. Instead, the leading exchange couplings should run via SeO₃ trigonal pyramids which join the plaquettes into a framework. The Cl atoms shape tunnels that run along c and accommodate the Ca cations. Surprisingly, CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ bears no relation to SrCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ (Ref. 15) owing to the smaller size of Ca and the high flexibility of Cu–Se–O–Cl framework. The arrangement of polyhedra does not resemble any known structure type either.

IV. MAGNETIC PROPERTIES

Magnetic susceptibility (χ) of CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ was measured with a Quantum Design MPMS SQUID magnetometer in the temperature range 2 – 380 K in applied fields $\mu_0 H$ of 0.5, 2, and 5 T.

The $\chi(T)$ dependence (Fig. 2) shows a broad maximum at 83 K and a pronounced increase below 30 K. The susceptibility maximum is a signature of quantum fluctuations (low-dimensional and/or frustrated behavior), while the low-temperature upturn is caused by the paramagnetic contribution of defects and impurities. Above 230 K, we fit the data with the modified Curie-Weiss law $\chi = \chi_0 + C/(T+\theta)$ where $\chi_0 = 6(1) \times 10^{-5}$ emu (mol Cu)⁻¹ accounts for the temperature-independent (e.g., van Vleck) contribution, C = 0.42(1) emu K (mol Cu)⁻¹ is the Curie constant, and $\theta = 93(5)$ K is the Weiss temperature. The positive θ indicates predominant antiferromagnetic (AFM) interactions in the system. Using the expressions

$$C = \frac{N_A \mu_{\text{eff}}^2}{3k_B}, \qquad \mu_{\text{eff}} = g\mu_B \sqrt{S(S+1)} \qquad (1)$$

we obtain the resulting effective magnetic moment $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.83(1) \,\mu_B$ and the g-factor g = 2.11(1), typical for spin- $\frac{1}{2} \,\text{Cu}^{+2}$.¹⁶

To fit the whole $\chi(T)$ dependence, we used different expressions for simple low-dimensional spin models. The best fit was obtained with the expression for the uniform spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chain χ_{ch} , given by Ref. 17 [see their Eq. (53) parameterized with the values provided in the third column of Table I]. The temperature range 2 - 380 K fits to the validity condition of this parameterization $0 \le J \le 5.^{17}$ To account for temperature-independent and the lowtemperature impurity contribution to $\chi(T)$, we supplemented χ_{ch} with the χ_0 term and the Curie term C_{imp}/T , respectively:

$$\chi(T) = \chi_0 + \frac{C_{\rm imp}}{T} + \frac{N_A g^2 \mu_B^2}{J} \chi_{\rm ch} \left(\frac{T}{J}\right).$$
(2)

The fit yielded the intrachain exchange coupling J = 133(1) K, the g-factor g = 2.11(1), $\chi_0 = 3(1) \times 10^{-5}$ emu (mol Cu)⁻¹, ¹⁸ and $C_{\rm imp} = 0.005(1)$ emu K (mol Cu)⁻¹ (about 1% of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ impurities). To check the applicability of the Heisenberg chain model to our system, we calculate $\chi_{\rm ch}^{\rm max}T^{\rm max}g^{-2}$, that should amount to 0.0353229(3) emu K (mol Cu)⁻¹ for a Heisenberg chain system, independent of J (see Eq. 31 from Ref. 17). For CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂, $\chi_{\rm ch}(T^{\rm max})T^{\rm max}g^{-2} = 0.0345(8)$ emu K (mol Cu)⁻¹ deviates only by few percent from the ideal value, justifying the mapping onto the Heisenberg spin chain model.

The extrinsic nature of the low-temperature Curie tail is supported by its suppression in magnetic field (Fig. 3). Temperature derivative of magnetic susceptibility exhibits a kink at 6 K, which is likely a signature of antiferromagnetic ordering. This issue is discussed in context of the microscopic spin model in Sec. VI.



FIG. 2. (Color online) Magnetic susceptibility (circles) and the fit (dashed line), see text for details. Intrinsic, i.e. Heisenberg chain (solid line) and impurity (dotted line) contributions to the fitted curve are shown. Inset: high-field magnetization curve (squares) with a linear fit (dashed line).



FIG. 3. (Color online) Magnetic susceptibility of $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ measured in applied fields of 0.5 T, 2 T, and 5 T. The increase in the field leads to suppression of the low-temperature paramagnetic upturn. The inset shows the derivative of the magnetic susceptibility and a kink around 6 K, likely evidencing long-range magnetic ordering.

We also measured the magnetization curve of $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ in pulsed magnetic fields up to 60 T at a constant temperature of 1.5 K.¹⁹ The linear change in the magnetization (see the inset of Fig. 2) is consistent with the proposed uniform-chain behavior, since the accessible field range is well below the saturation field $(\mu_0 H_s = 188 \text{ T} \text{ for } J = 133 \text{ K} \text{ and } g = 2.11)$ for the parameters obtained above.²⁰

According to Sec. III, the spin chains cannot be assigned to the structural chains of the corner-sharing $Cu(1)O_4$ plaquettes and CuO_4Cl_2 octahedra. In Sec. V, we show that the uniform chains originate from a nontrivial superexchange pathway via SeO_3 groups. Band structure calculations have been performed using the full-potential code FPL09.00-31.²¹ For the exchange and correlation potential, the parameterization of Perdew and Wang has been chosen.²² For the calculations within the local density approximation (LDA), a well converged k-mesh of $10 \times 10 \times 12$ points was used. For spin-polarized supercell local spin density approximation (LSDA)+U calculations, we used k-meshes of $4 \times 4 \times 4$ and $4 \times 4 \times 2$ points. Convergence of total energy with respect to the k-mesh has been carefully checked.

V.

LDA yields a valence band (Fig. 4, top) with the bandwidth of about 8 eV, typical for cuprates.^{23–26} The band is clearly split into two parts: the region between -8 and $-5.5 \,\mathrm{eV}$ is dominated by Se 4p and O 2p states, while the rest of the valence band is formed by Cu, O, and Cl states. Non-zero density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$ indicates a metallic solution in contrast to the insulating behavior, expected from the green sample color. This well-known drawback of the LDA arises from a strong underestimation of correlations which are intrinsic for the $3d^9$ electronic configuration (Cu²⁺) and drive the system into the insulating regime.²⁷

The states relevant for magnetism are confined to the vicinity of $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$. In most cuprates, these are the antibonding Cu $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ and O $2p_{\sigma}$ states (in the local coordinate system), typically well-separated from the rest of the valence band.^{9,23,24,28} However, CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ lacks a separated band complex around $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$. This reflects the octahedral coordination for Cu(2) and makes a detailed analysis of the magnetically active orbitals necessary.

To evaluate the relevant states, we project the DOS onto a set of local orbitals. This way, we find the dominant $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ contribution to the Cu(1) DOS at $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$ (Fig. 4, left bottom), as for almost all undoped cuprates. For the Cu(2) atom, the situation is less trivial, since the local environment of this atom implies two short Cu(2)–O bonds as well as four long (two Cu(2)–O and two Cu(2)– Cl) almost equidistant bonds, making the choice of the local coordinate system ambiguous. However, the analysis of local DOS for different situations readily yields the correct choice of the local axes and evidences that the two short Cu(2)–O and two Cu(2)–Cl bonds form a plaquette with the Cu $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ magnetically active orbital (Fig. 5). The local DOS of this orbital clearly dominates the states at $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$ (Fig. 4, right bottom) and confirms our empirical considerations presented in Sec. III.

Since the magnetism of $\text{CaCu}_2(\text{SeO}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ is confined to Cu $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals, they can be used as a minimal basis for an effective tight-binding (TB) model. The total number of states (four) in the model corresponds to the number of magnetic Cu atoms in a unit cell: two Cu(1) and two Cu(2). To parameterize the model, we use the Wannier functions (WFs) technique, which yields numerical values for the leading hoppings t_i (transfer integrals). This way, we obtain a perfect fit to the LDA bands (Fig. 4, bottom).



50 states)

40

° 30 30

(states / 20

DOS (10

energy (eV)

O 2p WF fit 4 2 0F DOS (states / eV / cell) Γ0 2 4 DOS (states / eV / cell) A k-vector M Ζ S FIG. 4. (Color online) Top: total (shaded) and atomic-

resolved (lines) density of states (DOS) for CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂. Bottom center: LDA band structure (circles) and the WF fit (dashed line). Bottom left and right: orbital-resolved density of states for Cu(1) and Cu(2). Solid [dashed] lines denote total DOS for Cu(1) [Cu(2)]. Shaded regions show the $3d_{x^2-u^2}$ contribution. O $2p_{\sigma}$ (Cl $3p_{\sigma}$) DOS are shown with dash-dotted (dotted) lines.



FIG. 5. (Color online) Band weights for the magnetically active Cu $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ and other Cu 3d orbitals.

Within the effective one-orbital TB model, we find three relevant couplings (Table IV): t_1 (Figs. 6 and 7) running along Cu(1)-Cu(2) chains almost parallel to the [201] direction, the short-range interchain coupling t_{ic1} which connects Cu(1) atoms, as well as the long-range interchain coupling t_{ic2} connecting Cu(2) atoms along [101]. The clearly dominant t_1 amounts to 139 meV, while t_{ic1} and t_{ic2} are found to be 47 and 30 meV, respectively. Due to the particular orientation of magnetically active orbitals, the hopping t_{nn} along the "structural chains" is apparently small, does not affect the magnetic ground state, as will be shown later.

To restore the insulating ground state, we map our TB model onto a Hubbard model with an effective on-

TABLE IV. Interatomic Cu–Cu distances $(d, \text{ in } \text{\AA})$, transfer integrals $(t_i, \text{ in meV})$, as well as antiferromagnetic (AFM) and ferromagnetic (FM) contributions to the total exchange integrals $(J_i, \text{ in K})$ for the leading couplings in CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂. For notation of the paths see Fig. 7 (J_{nn} stands for the nearestneighbor coupling along the structural chains).

path	atoms	d	t_i	$J_i^{\rm AFM}$	$J_i^{\rm FM}$	J_i
$J_{\rm nn}$	Cu(1), Cu(2)	3.84	19	4	_	4
$J_{\rm ic1}$	Cu(1), Cu(1)	4.13	47	25	-15	10
J_1	Cu(1), Cu(2)	6.19	139	200	-55	145
$J_{\rm ic2}$	Cu(2), Cu(2)	7.33	30	10	_	10



FIG. 6. (Color online) Wannier functions marking the J_1 superexchange path. $Cu(1)O_4$ (left) and $Cu(2)O_2Cl_2$ (right) plaquettes are filled. The SeO₃ pyramid is visualized by Se–O bonds (lines). The projection is the same as in Fig. 1 (left).

site Coulomb repulsion U_{eff} . Since the strongly correlated limit $(U_{\text{eff}} \gg t_i)$ and the half-filling are welljustified for undoped cuprates, the low-energy (magnetic) excitations of the Hubbard model can be well described by a Heisenberg model. Within the secondorder perturbation theory, AFM exchange couplings are expressed as $J_i^{\text{AFM}} = 4t_i^2/U_{\text{eff}}^{29}$ Adopting a typical value $U_{\text{eff}} = 4.5 \text{ eV}, {}^{9,23,24}$ we obtain $J_1^{\text{AFM}} = 200 \text{ K}, J_{\text{ic1}}^{\text{AFM}} = 25 \text{ K}$ and $J_{\text{ic2}}^{\text{AFM}} = 10 \text{ K}.$

The one-orbital approach yields only the AFM part $J_i^{\rm AFM}$ of the total exchange $J_i.$ However, particular geometrical configurations (Cu–O–Cu angle close to 90°, edge-sharing of CuO_4 plaquettes etc.) often lead to sizable ferromagnetic (FM) contributions to the total exchange, which can even outgrow J_i^{AFM} , resulting in a FM (negative) J_i . Based on structural considerations only, an appreciable FM contribution might be expected for the short-range coupling J_{ic1} and the nearest-neighbor coupling J_{nn} , whereas J_1 and J_{ic2} are rather long-range, and their FM contributions should be small. To challenge this conjecture, we perform supercell LSDA+U calculations. This method gives access to the total exchange J_i , being the sum of the AFM and FM contributions, J_i^{AFM} and $J_i^{\rm FM}$, respectively. Combining the LSDA+U results with J_i^{AFM} estimates from the model approach described above, the FM contributions J_i^{FM} can be evaluated.

Recent thorough studies on low-dimensional cuprates give evidence that quantitative magnetic models based on the results of LSDA+U calculations are, in addition to the dependence on the Coulomb repulsion U_d , also dependent on the double-counting correction (DCC) scheme.^{25,26} The influence of these two parameters is particularly large for systems with sizable J_i^{FM} . Yet, for cuprates with structurally isolated plaquettes, as in the case of $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$, the around-mean-field (AMF) DCC with the U_d value 6.5 ± 0.5 eV typically yields accurate $(\pm 10\%)$ estimates for the leading couplings. Adopting the AMF DCC and $U_d = 6.5 \text{ eV}$, we obtain $J_1 = 145 \text{ K}$, in excellent agreement with the experimental $J \simeq 133 \,\mathrm{K}$ from the fit to the magnetic susceptibility. In accordance with our expectations, the long-range interchain coupling J_{ic2} has a tiny FM contribution only, while for the short-range coupling J_{ic1} the FM contribution reaches $J_{\rm ic1}^{\rm FM} = -15 \,\mathrm{K}$ (see Table IV). At first glance, the rather large $J_1^{\rm FM} \sim -55 \,{\rm K}$ may look surprising. However, in the related quasi-1D system $CuSe_2O_5$, a similar intrachain coupling runs via two corner-sharing SeO₃ pyramids and the FM contribution J_1^{FM} to this coupling amounts to even $-100 \text{ K}^{.24}$ Therefore, such high FM contributions are likely intrinsic to the superexchange realized via SeO_3 pyramids. Understanding the underlying mechanism of this complex superexchange deserves a separate study and lies beyond the scope of the present investigation. Presently, we note that the superexchange results from the overlap of oxygen orbitals, while Se states have only a minor contribution to the WFs.

The last remark concerns the short-range coupling between the nearest-neighbor Cu(1) and Cu(2) atoms that form the structural chains. The WFs analysis yielded a negligible t associated with this coupling path. Still, the respective interatomic distance (3.84 Å) is relatively small, which could give rise to a FM coupling. Therefore, we evaluated the total exchange J_{nn} using the LSDA+U calculations. The resulting exchange of 4K is in excellent agreement with the TB estimate (4K), evidencing a negligible FM contribution and justifying our restriction to the three couplings J_1 , J_{ic1} , and J_{ic2} for a minimum model.

VI. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The spin model of CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ is depicted in Fig. 7. Its main element are Cu(1)–Cu(2) chains running almost parallel to the [201] direction, which is different from the structural chains. The spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains are coupled by two inequivalent exchange interactions: J_{ic1} is short-range and links the Cu(1) atoms of the two neighboring chains, while the long-range J_{ic2} bridges the Cu(2) atoms of the fourth-neighbor chains. Another difference between J_{ic1} and J_{ic2} is that the former is responsible for a 3D coupling [connects Cu(1) atoms belonging to different layers, see Fig. 7], whereas the latter is confined to the *ac* plane. Either of inter-chain couplings



FIG. 7. (Color online) Spin model for $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$. Filled and empty circles show the Cu(1) and Cu(2) positions. Bold lines and circles denote couplings (see legend for notation; the same notation applies for Table IV) in the front plane, whereas gray circles and shaded lines correspond to the atoms lying in the back plane. The planes are connected by J_{ic1} couplings only. A closed loop (bold line) having an odd number of AFM couplings indicates that the spin model is frustrated. The unit cell is depicted by the gray rectangle.

alone, $J_{\rm ic1}$ or $J_{\rm ic2}$, leads to a 3D or 2D non-frustrated model, respectively. However, the combination of the two inter-chain couplings gives rise to magnetic frustration, evidenced by an odd number of AFM bonds along the closed, hourglass-shaped loop shown in Fig. 7.

In general, most of the quasi-one-dimensional cuprates order AFM at low temperatures, while strong quantum fluctuations drastically reduce the value of the ordered moment compared to the classical value of $1 \mu_{\rm B}$.³⁰ The AFM transition temperature can vary in a wide range, since it depends not only on the leading intrachain coupling J_1 , but also on the absolute values and the topology of the interchain couplings. To emphasize the huge impact of the interchain coupling regime onto the transition temperature, we mention here two quasi-one-dimensional systems with similar intrachain coupling J_1 of 150– 200 K, but different interchain coupling regimes: a frustrated interchain coupling in $Sr_2Cu(PO_4)_2$ leads to a very low ordering temperature of 85 mK $(T_N/J = 4.5 \times 10^{-4})$ (Ref. 31) despite an interchain coupling of 3 K.³² On the contrary, a sizable and non-frustrated interchain coupling $J_{\rm ic} \sim 20\,{\rm K}$ in ${\rm CuSe_2O_5}$ results in a long-range AFM ordering at rather high $T_N = 17 \text{ K} (T_N / J = 0.1).^{24}$

Since CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ exhibits a similar energy scale $(J_1 \sim 133 \text{ K})$, it is natural to compare this compound to the aforementioned systems. The major difference here is the presence of two types of interchain couplings, J_{ic1} and J_{ic2} , which form a 3D spin model, in contrast to Sr₂Cu(PO₄)₂ and CuSe₂O₅, where the leading interchain couplings are confined to 2D, while the coupling along the third direction J_{\perp} is substantially smaller. This argument favors higher T_N in CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂. On the other hand, the interchain couplings in CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ are frustrated, which certainly inhibits the magnetic ordering and can considerably lower the T_N . We expect the combination of the 3D coupling regime and the frustration to result in a moderate T_N of CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂,

7

comparable to that of CuSe₂O₅. Indeed, the kink of magnetic susceptibility at 6 K in Fig. 3 fits well to the energy scale of the anticipated long-range ordering in CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ (compare to $T_N = 17$ K in CuSe₂O₅ with non-frustrated interchain couplings).

In general, magnetic specific heat C_p^{magn} data provide an independent estimate for the leading exchange coupling and are sensitive to the long-range magnetic ordering. However, the measured specific heat contains, apart from C_p^{magn} , also a phonon contribution. The energy scale of magnetic interactions in $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ gives rise to a maximum in $C_p^{\text{magn}}(T)$ at rather high $T \approx (0.48 J) = 64 \text{ K}$ (Eq. 39 in Ref. 17). At this temperature, the phonon specific heat strongly dominates over C_n^{magn} , impeding an accurate disentanglement of magnetic and phonon contributions. Moreover, the large value of J leads to only a small amount of magnetic entropy, which could be released at the transition temperature. Thus, the resulting magnetic specific heat anomaly would be rather small.¹⁶ Therefore, considering large quantum fluctuations that substantially lower the ordered magnetic moment,³⁰ the method of choice are muon spin resonance (μ SR) experiments that should be carried out in future to verify magnetic ordering in $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$. For instance, long-range magnetic ordering in the square-lattice compounds $Cu(Pz)_2(ClO_4)_2$ and $[Cu(Pz)_2(HF_2)]BF_4$ was only revealed by μSR , while the heat capacity data lack any signatures of transition anomalies.³³

Magnetic frustration is one of the leading mechanisms that give rise to complex magnetic structures. It is therefore interesting to address the nature of the anticipated magnetically ordered ground state of $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$. First, we consider the low-energy sector of a classical Heisenberg model on finite lattices of 16 coupled chains. For each chain, we impose a condition of the ideal antiferromagnetic arrangement of the neighboring spins.³⁴ To keep the problem computationally feasible, we first restrict ourselves to collinear spin arrangements. The magnetic ground state is evalauted as a state with minimal energy. Adopting the ratios of the leading exchange couplings from our LSDA+U calculations (Table IV, last column), we arrive at an AFM ground state, with the magnetic unit cell doubled along a and quadrupled along c with respect to the crystallographic unit cell, i.e. the propagation vector is $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{4})$. To understand the particular way the frustration is lifted, we analyse which couplings are satisfied, by considering the products $-4[\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j](J_{ij}/|J_{ij}|)$ for all (i, j) spin pairs in a unit cell. For collinear configurations, such product amounts either to 1 (a satisfied coupling) or to -1 (an unsatisfied coupling). For a certain type of exchange coupling, the sum of such products can be divided by the total number of couplings of this type in the unit cell (note the multiplicities different from 1). This way, we can estimate the fraction of satisfied couplings. Such analysis yields that $100\,\%$ of J_1 and $J_{\rm ic2},$ but only $75\,\%$ of $J_{\rm ic1}$ couplings are satisfied in the proposed $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{4})$ ground state.

Taking into account the restriction to collinear states, it is worth to address the stability of this ground state using alternative techniques. Thus, we use a classical Monte-Carlo code³⁵ from the ALPS simulations package³⁶ and calculate diagonal spin correlations $\langle S_i^z S_i^z \rangle$, where i and j are spins coupled by a particular magnetic exchange. This way, we obtain -0.24452(1), -0.16716(1)and -0.23056(3) for the J_1 , J_{ic1} and J_{ic2} couplings, respectively. These numbers should be compared to $\langle S_i^z S_i^z \rangle = -0.25$ for a perfect antiferromagnetic arrangement. Despite the small deviations from this ideal number, the spins coupled by J_1 and J_{ic2} can be regarded as antiferromagnetically arranged, corroborating our classical energy minimization result. On the contrary, the value for J_{ic1} is substantially smaller, yielding the average angle of $\arccos(0.16716/S^2) \approx 48^{\circ}$ between the respective spins. The resulting angle is very close to $\pi/4$, hence spins in the fourth-neighbor chains are almost antiparallel to each other (the angle amounts to π). This is in accord with the almost antiparallel arrangement of spins coupled by J_{ic2} (coupling between the fourth-neighbor chains).

In the classical model, the exotic regime of frustrated interchain couplings leads to a rather complex magnetic ordering in CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂: the classical energy minimization yields the collinear $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{4})$ state, while the classical Monte Carlo simulations are in favor of a non-collinear magnetic ground state. These two ground states differ only by the mutual arrangement of spins coupled by J_{ic1} .

Since for quasi-one-dimensional systems quantum fluctuations are crucial, the respective quantum model should be addressed. However, the study of a magnetic ordering for a three-dimensional frustrated quantum magnet is a challenging task, since standard methods, such as exact diagonalization, quantum Monte-Carlo, and the density matrix renormalization group technique, are either not applicable or do not account for the thermodynamic limit. Moreover, $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ features a non-negligible magnetic impurity contribution, as evidenced by the low-temperature upturn in $\chi(T)$ (Fig. 2). At low temperatures, these impurities can give rise to strong internal fields,³⁷ and possibly affect the ground state. Therefore, the magnetic ordering in $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ deserves additional investigation using alternative techniques, both from the experimental as well as the theoretical side.

In contrast to well-known uniform-chain systems, CaCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ shows a complex crystal structure with two Cu positions revealing an apparently different local environment. Our DFT calculations evaluate the $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ magnetically active orbitals lying within the Cu(1)O₄ and Cu(2)O₂Cl₂ plaquettes. Although the Cu(2)–O3 bond lengths are similar to those of Cu(2)–Cl bonds, the symmetry of the magnetic orbitals renders the nearest-neighbor superexchange J_{nn} path (along Cu(2)– O3 bonds) essentially inactive (Table IV). The spin chains run in a different direction which is dictated by the orbital state of Cu and by the suitable overlap of the ligand orbitals in the SeO₃ groups. This nontrivial situation is very typical for spin-1/2 systems where spin lattices are essentially decoupled from the low-dimensional features of the crystal structure: recall, for example, (CuCl)LaNb₂O₇,⁹ BiCu₂PO₆,³⁸ Cu₂(PO₃)₂CH₂,³⁹ and CuTe₂O₅.⁴⁰

Although the intricate regime of the interchain couplings in $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ complicates theoretical studies, the nontrivial structural organization of this compound also has an important advantage. The Cl and Br atoms are known to be easily substitutable owing to their similar chemical nature. The substitution is commonly used to create bond randomness and to access the exotic behavior of partially disordered spin systems.⁴¹ If the Cl atoms take part in the superexchange, the chemical substitution inevitably changes the geometry of the superexchange pathways, and immediately leads to dramatic changes in the spin system. In $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$, the Cl atoms lie away from the leading superexchange pathway (Fig. 6), and a moderate alteration of the magnetism should be expected. Partial Cl/Br substitution will basically modify the relevant microscopic parameters (such as the crystal-field splitting) without changing the superexchange geometry.

In summary, we have investigated the crystal structure, electronic structure, and magnetic behavior of $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$. The compound comprises two Cu sites with essentially different local environment, but the same magnetically active orbital of local $x^2 - y^2$ symmetry. A peculiar arrangement of magnetic plaquettes makes $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ a good realization of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain model with an intrachain exchange coupling of $\sim 133 \,\mathrm{K}$ and frustrated interchain couplings realized via two inequivalent superexchange paths. A kink in the magnetic susceptibility at 6 K hints at long-range magnetic ordering, which is subject to future experimental verification. The good potential for a partial substitution of Cl by Br atoms allows to look at the material from a different point of view. In particular, Cl atoms located close to but not directly on the leading superexchange path make $CaCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ a promising model system to study the bond-randomness effects — like glass formation — in low-dimensional magnets.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A. T. was funded by Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. E. O., P. B., A. O., and V. D. acknowledge the financial support by RFBR in the project 09-03-00799-a. Part of this work has been supported by EuroMagNET II under the EC contract 228043. We are grateful to Yurii Skourski for his kind help during the high-field magnetization measurement, and Deepa Kasinathan for fruitful discussions. We are grateful to Juri Grin for valuable comments on the manuscript.

- * janson@cpfs.mpg.de
- [†] altsirlin@gmail.com
- [‡] berdonosov@inorg.chem.msu.ru
- § rosner@cpfs.mpg.de
- ¹ L. Balents, Nature, **464**, 199 (2010).
- ² P. A. Lee, Rep. Prog. Phys., **71**, 012501 (2008), arXiv:0708.2115.
- ³ K. Takano, K. Kubo, and H. Sakamoto, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 8, 6405 (1996), cond-mat/9607052.
- ⁴ M. B. Hastings, Phys. Rev. B, **63**, 014413 (2000), cond-mat/0005391.
- ⁵ B. Canals and C. Lacroix, Phys. Rev. Lett., **80**, 2933 (1998), arXiv:cond-mat/9807407.
- ⁶ J.-W. G. Bos, C. V. Colin, and T. T. M. Palstra, Phys. Rev. B, **78**, 094416 (2008), arXiv:0808.3955; M. Belesi, I. Rousochatzakis, H. C. Wu, H. Berger, I. V. Shvets, F. Mila, and J. P. Ansermet, *ibid.*, **82**, 094422 (2010), arXiv:1008.2010.
- ⁷ O. Zaharko, H. Rønnow, J. Mesot, S. J. Crowe, D. M. Paul, P. J. Brown, A. Daoud-Aladine, A. Meents, A. Wagner, M. Prester, and H. Berger, Phys. Rev. B, **73**, 064422 (2006), and references therein, cond-mat/0512617.
- ⁸ A. A. Tsirlin and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B, **79**, 214416 (2009), arXiv:0901.0154.
- ⁹ A. A. Tsirlin and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B, 82, 060409 (2010), arXiv:1007.3883.
- ¹⁰ O. A. Dityatiev, P. Lightfoot, P. S. Berdonosov, and V. A. Dolgikh, Acta Crystallogr., E63, i149 (2007).

- ¹¹ G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., **A64**, 112 (2008).
- ¹² Details of the crystal structure investigation may be obtained from Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany (ICSD reference number 422179).
- ¹³ In this local coordinate system, x corresponds to the axis, along which the CuO₆ octahedra are squeezed. Consequently, the z axis runs toward O3 atoms.
- ¹⁴ M. Schmitt, O. Janson, M. Schmidt, S. Hoffmann, W. Schnelle, S.-L. Drechsler, and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B, **79**, 245119 (2009), arXiv:0905.4038.
- ¹⁵ P. S. Berdonosov, A. V. Olenev, and V. A. Dolgikh, J. Solid State Chem., **182**, 2368 (2009).
- ¹⁶ See, e.g. A. Möller, M. Schmitt, W. Schnelle, T. Förster, and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B, **80**, 125106 (2009), arXiv:0906.3447.
- ¹⁷ D. C. Johnston, R. K. Kremer, M. Troyer, X. Wang, A. Klümper, S. L. Bud'ko, A. F. Panchula, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B, **61**, 9558 (2000), cond-mat/0003271.
- ¹⁸ The χ_0 value obtained by fitting using Eq. (2) is almost twice smaller than the value obtained from the Curie-Weiss fit. The reason for this discrepancy is the additional term $C_{\rm imp}/T$ in Eq. 2. Since (i) this term is of the same order as χ_0 in the high-temperature region, and (ii) both χ_0 and $C_{\rm imp}/T$ are positive, χ_0 from the Curie-Weiss fit is substantially larger than χ_0 from Eq. 2.
- ¹⁹ Detailed description of the measurement technique can be found in Ref. 39.

- ²⁰ Our setup did not allow to control precisely the amount of sample in the coil. Therefore, the magnetization is measured in arbitrary units. We attempted to scale the highfield curve using the low-field MPMS data (up to 5 T), but the resulting uncertainties impeded obtaining quantitatively consistent results.
- ²¹ K. Koepernik and H. Eschrig, Phys. Rev. B, **59**, 1743 (1999).
- ²² J. P. Perdew and Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. B, **45**, 13244 (1992).
- ²³ O. Janson, R. O. Kuzian, S.-L. Drechsler, and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B, **76**, 115119 (2007).
- ²⁴ O. Janson, W. Schnelle, M. Schmidt, Y. Prots, S.-L. Drechsler, S. K. Filatov, and H. Rosner, New J. Phys., **11**, 113034 (2009), arXiv:0907.4874.
- ²⁵ A. A. Tsirlin, O. Janson, and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B, 82, 144416 (2010), arXiv:1007.1646.
- ²⁶ O. Janson, J. Richter, P. Sindzingre, and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B, 82, 104434 (2010), arXiv:1004.2185.
- ²⁷ W. E. Pickett, Rev. Mod. Phys., **61**, 433 (1989).
- ²⁸ L. A. Salguero, H. O. Jeschke, B. Rahaman, T. Saha-Dasgupta, C. Buchsbaum, M. U. Schmidt, and R. Valenti, New J. Phys., 9, 26 (2007), cond-mat/0602633.
- ²⁹ The second-order perturbation theory accounts only for the effective hoppings between the source and target magnetic atoms. Therefore, t_{ij} is a resulting hopping that contains many individual hopping process, including hopping to and between the ligand orbitals.
- ³⁰ See, e.g. K. M. Kojima, Y. Fudamoto, M. Larkin, G. M. Luke, J. Merrin, B. Nachumi, Y. J. Uemura, N. Motoyama, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, K. Yamada, Y. Endoh, S. Hosoya, B. J. Sternlieb, and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. Lett., **78**, 1787 (1997), cond-mat/9701091 and references therein.
- ³¹ A. A. Belik, S. Uji, T. Terashima, and E. Takayama-Muromachi, J. Solid State Chem., **178**, 3461 (2005).
- ³² M. D. Johannes, J. Richter, S.-L. Drechsler, and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B, **74**, 174435 (2006), cond-mat/0609430.

- ³³ T. Lancaster, S. J. Blundell, M. L. Brooks, P. J. Baker, F. L. Pratt, J. L. Manson, M. M. Conner, F. Xiao, C. P. Landee, F. A. Chaves, S. Soriano, M. A. Novak, T. P. Papageorgiou, A. D. Bianchi, T. Herrmannsdörfer, J. Wosnitza, and J. A. Schlueter, Phys. Rev. B, **75**, 094421 (2007), cond-mat/0612317.
- ³⁴ This condition makes the chains effectively infinite, since the number of possible states for each chain amounts to two: a certain spin can be up or down, which governs the arrangement of all other spins in the chain, independent of the chain length.
- ³⁵ The simulations are done for a finite lattice of 48×48×24 spins with periodic boundary conditions. We use 20000 sweeps for thermalization and 200000 sweeps after thermalization.
- ³⁶ A. Albuquerque, F. Alet, P. Corboz, P. Dayal, A. Feiguin, S. Fuchs, L. Gamper, E. Gull, S. Gürtler, A. Honecker, R. Igarashi, M. Körner, A. Kozhevnikov, A. Läuchli, S. R. Manmana, M. Matsumoto, I. P. McCulloch, F. Michel, R. M. Noack, G. Pawłowski, L. Pollet, T. Pruschke, U. Schollwöck, S. Todo, S. Trebst, M. Troyer, P. Werner, and S. Wessel, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., **310**, 1187 (2007).
- ³⁷ Similar effect was recently discussed for the anisotropic triangular lattice model in the one-dimensional limit, see O. A. Starykh, H. Katsura, and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. B, 82, 014421 (2010), arXiv:1004.5117.
- ³⁸ A. A. Tsirlin, I. Rousochatzakis, K. Deepa, O. Janson, R. Nath, F. Weickert, C. Geibel, A. M. Läuchli, and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B, **82**, 144426 (2010), arXiv:1008.1771.
- ³⁹ M. Schmitt, A. A. Gippius, K. S. Okhotnikov, W. Schnelle, K. Koch, O. Janson, W. Liu, Y.-H. Huang, Y. Skourski, F. Weickert, M. Baenitz, and H. Rosner, Phys. Rev. B, 81, 104416 (2010).
- ⁴⁰ A. V. Ushakov and S. V. Streltsov, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, **21**, 305501 (2009).
- ⁴¹ For example: H. Manaka, A. V. Kolomiets, and T. Goto, Phys. Rev. Lett., **101**, 077204 (2008).