Calculation of the Expected Zero Field Muon Relaxation Rate in the Geometrically Frustrated Rare Earth Pyrochlore Gd₂Sn₂O₇ Antiferromagnet

P A McClarty¹, J N Cosman², A G Del Maestro³ and M J P Gingras^{1,4}

 1 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, N2L 3G1, Canada.

 2 Department of Economics, University of British Columbia, 997 - 1873 East Mall, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z1, Canada

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 366 Bloomberg Center, 3400 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

⁴ Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, 180 Dundas Street West, Suite 1400, Toronto, ON, M5G 1Z8, Canada.

Abstract. The magnetic insulator $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$ is one of many geometrically frustrated magnetic materials known to exhibit a nonzero muon spin polarization relaxation rate, $\lambda(T)$, down to the lowest temperature (T) studied. Such behaviour is typically interpreted as a significant level of persisting spin dynamics (PSD) of the host material. In the case of $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$, such PSD comes as a surprise since magnetic specific heat measurements suggest conventional gapped magnons, which would naively lead to an exponentially vanishing $\lambda(T)$ as $T \to 0$. In contrast to most materials that display PSD, the ordered phase of $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$ is well characterized and both the nature and the magnitude of the interactions have been inferred from the magnetic structure and the temperature dependence of the magnetic specific heat. Based on this understanding, the temperature dependence of the muon spin polarization relaxation through the scattering of spin waves (magnons) is calculated. The result explicitly shows that, despite the unusual extensive number of weakly dispersive (gapped) excitations characterizing $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$, a remnant of the zero modes of the parent frustrated pyrochlore Heisenberg antiferromagnet, the temperature dependence of the calculated $\lambda(T)$ differs dramatically from the experimental one. Indeed, the calculation conforms to the naive expectation of an exponential collapse of $\lambda(T)$ at temperatures below ~ 0.7 K. This result, for the first time, illustrates crisply and quantitatively the paradox that presents itself with the pervasive occurrence of PSD in highly frustrated magnetic systems as evinced by muon spin relaxation measurements.

1. Introduction

Muon spin rotation (μ SR) [1, 2] is a local probe that is sensitive to the magnetic environment at the microscopic level in condensed matter. Briefly, μ SR experiments involve injecting positive muons polarized along some direction into a condensed matter sample. Each muon comes to rest within the sample and its spin precesses in the local magnetic environment. It may also be subject to processes that cause it to depolarize. The muon is an unstable particle and decays on a time scale of the order of μ s. Since it is mediated by weak interactions, the decay cross section in the muon rest frame is anisotropic in space with the anisotropy axis depending on the muon polarization direction at the time of the decay. One therefore measures the asymmetry of the decay with respect to the initial polarization axis to obtain information about the effect of the material on the magnetic moment of the muon.

One of the many uses of μ SR is in probing static and dynamic processes in magnetic materials [1, 2]. Consider, for example, a conventional insulating magnetic material that undergoes a transition to long range order at some temperature T_c . For temperature $T > T_c$ and in zero applied magnetic field, the material is a paramagnet with no static moments. Injected muons therefore experience only fluctuating fields which bring about an exponential relaxation of the asymmetry. In the critical region near T_c , the muon relaxation rate increases, diverging at T_c as the spins exhibit critical slowing down. Below T_c , muons precess in the local magnetic field. If the magnetic environment is homogeneous, the asymmetry exhibits oscillations at the Larmor frequency of the muon. However, samples are often inhomogeneous and there is also generally a distribution of muon stopping sites, so the oscillations decay or are altogether undetected because the asymmetry probes the precessional dynamics averaged over the whole distribution. Such relaxation due to static fields, leaves a residual asymmetry which can decay via genuine dynamical processes in the sample. In conventional magnets, with long range order or with frozen moments, as in spin glasses, this dynamical relaxation rate λ should tend to zero as the temperature vanishes.

In contrast, μ SR studies have uncovered, over the last two decades, many materials in which there is anomalous relaxation rate at low temperatures that is temperature independent. Among them are quasi two dimensional [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and 3D magnets [9]-[25]. Persistent spin dynamics (PSD) has been detected both in long range ordered magnetic systems [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17], in candidate spin liquids [18, 19, 20] and systems with freezing transitions with no apparent long range order [20, 21, 22, 23]. Also, there is persistent dynamics in the low temperature spin ice state of the Ising magnet Dy₂Ti₂O₇ although the temperature dependence has not yet been explored [24, 25].

To date, there is no quantitative microscopic theory that accounts for PSD in any material in which the phenomenon is seen. The problem is especially paradoxical in magnets with long range order because spin dynamics should be frozen out as the temperature is lowered well below the phase transition temperature. In this article, we highlight the problem by focussing on a geometrically frustrated magnetic material for which the magnetic structure has been characterized, the principal interactions identified and for which the low energy excitations can be computed reliably. The material in question is the rare earth pyrochlore $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$ [15, 16, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30], another material that exhibits PSD [15, 16, 29]. In the next section, we introduce this material in some more detail with an outline of its phenomenology and a description of the available microscopic theory for $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$. Section 3 is a discussion of the field distribution in $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$ and an estimate of the muon position and distribution of fields that the muons experience. In Section 4, we take the spectrum of excitations and compute from it the relaxation rate one would expect below T_c and compare with the experimental rate, finding significant discrepancy. As far as we are aware, this is the first detailed microscopic calculation of the muon spin depolarization rate, λ , in a material exhibiting PSD. Finally, we present in Section 5 a comparison of our results with the experimental data and close with a general discussion of PSD in magnetic rare earth pyrochlore oxides.

$2. \ Gd_2Sn_2O_7$

 $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$ is a magnetic insulator with space group $Fd\bar{3}m$ in which the Gd^{3+} ions occupy the sites of a pyrochlore lattice. The magnetic levels have S = 7/2 and L = 0so the crystal electric field has an effect only through the mixing of states outside these L = 0 levels. The material has a negative Curie-Weiss temperature $\theta_{CW} = -8.6$ K and undergoes a first order transition at about 1 K to long range order [30] with a Palmer-Chalker magnetic structure [31] and an ordered moment of about $7\mu_B$ [30]. This magnetic structure strongly suggests that the dominant magnetic interactions are antiferromagnetic exchange with additional (perturbative) magnetostatic dipolar interactions. These observations as well as, for example, specific heat [26] and electron spin resonance (ESR) measurements [28] have allowed for a determination of the exchange coupling as well as a more refined picture of the microscopics to include a weak XY-like single ion anisotropy. The leading contribution to the single ion Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\text{aniso}} = |\Delta| \sum_{\mu,a} \left(\mathbf{S}_{\mu,a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}_a \right)^2 \tag{1}$$

where μ labels the face-centred cubic space lattice sites and *a* runs over the tetrahedral primitive basis of the pyrochlore lattice. The local sublattice $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_a$ axis is oriented in the local [111] direction on sublattice *a*. The anisotropy coupling Δ has been estimated to be about 0.14 K [32]. Further contributions to the crystal field of Gd₂Sn₂O₇ are smaller and have been neglected [32]. The magnetic interaction Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\text{int}} = \mathcal{J}_{\text{ex}} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{J}_i \cdot \mathbf{J}_j + \mathcal{D}r_{\text{nn}}^3 \sum_{i>j} [\mathbf{J}_i \cdot \mathbf{J}_j - 3(\mathbf{J}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij})(\mathbf{J}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij})] |\mathbf{R}_{ij}|^{-3} \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{R}_{ij} is the position vector of ion *i* with respect to ion *j*. The estimate of $\mathcal{J}_{ex} = 0.27$ K which comes directly from θ_{CW} [26] provides an adequate fit to the ESR data in Ref. [28] which measures the uniform contribution to the spin wave spectrum. The dipolar coupling $\mathcal{D} = (\mu_0/4\pi)(g\mu_B)^2/r_{nn}^3 = 0.049$ K where $r_{nn} = \sqrt{2}a/4$ is the distance between nearest neighbor Gd³⁺ ions and *a* is the unit cell edge length of 10.46Å [26] and g = 2 is the Gd³⁺ Landé factor. One can also incorporate exchange couplings beyond nearest neighbour. An estimate for these couplings exists [33] but we neglect them here because they do not significantly affect our results.

The first experiment to explore the electronic spin dynamics in Gd₂Sn₂O₇ was a Mössbauer spectroscopy experiment which concluded that the Gd³⁺ moments continue to fluctuate at least down to 27 mK. The two μ SR experiments of Bonville *et al.* [15] and Chapuis *et al.* [16] report asymmetry data below T_c with two clear oscillation signals for time $t \leq 0.3\mu$ s corresponding to a pair of local fields of 207(1) mT and 442(1) mT. The initial measured asymmetry below T_c in Ref. [16] is reported to be only about one quarter of that above T_c which is perhaps due to muons at other stopping sites and experiencing larger internal fields giving precession signals which are outside the (time) resolution of the spectrometer. The relaxation rate λ of the nonoscillating part of the asymmetry shows, in both experiments, as the temperature is lowered, a clear and sharp peak at T_c followed by a plateau at about 0.6μ s⁻¹ extending down to the lowest observed temperature of 0.02 K.

3. Field Distribution in $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$

The internal fields determined from the μ SR spectrum in two experiments [15, 16] are very similar suggesting that the muon location is rather well-defined in $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$. Both experiments find a satisfactory fit to the asymmetry oscillations using two distinct fields. This is perhaps unexpected since, even allowing for a fixed muon location, there are three distinct Palmer-Chalker magnetic domains (up to time reversal). In this section, we explore the magnetic fields in the vicinity of the oxygen ions, where the positive muon is expected to hydrogen-bond, assuming that (i) the only field that the muons experience comes from the static Gd^{3+} magnetic moments and that (ii) the muon does not produce a local lattice distortion. Taking a cubic cell of volume $(2a)^3$ with magnetic moments in the Palmer-Chalker state [31], we compute the magnetic field within spherical shells of inner radius 1\AA and outer radius 1.5\AA about each oxygen ion. We find locations where the three possible symmetry-related Palmer-Chalker magnetic domains give rise to two different fields within experimental precision which have the same magnitudes observed experimentally. Specifically, in units of a, one of these locations is (0.4402, 0.5005, 0.5627) where the three Palmer-Chalker domains produce fields of 206 mT, 207 mT and 441 mT. Since two of the fields are identical to within experimental precision, one would expect that the weight that field contributes to the oscillatory part of the asymmetry would be twice that of the other. However, the analysis of the asymmetry [16] finds equal weights for the two oscillatory components.

4. Calculation of λ

In this section, we compute the relaxation rate of the muon due to a two-magnon Raman process. This is identical to calculations of spin lattice relaxation in NMR [34]. The muon down spin $(|\downarrow\rangle)$ to up spin $(|\uparrow\rangle)$ transition rate is given by the Fermi Golden rule

$$W = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{a,b,i,f} \langle |\langle \mathbf{f}; \uparrow | H_{\mu-\mathrm{Gd}} | \mathbf{i}; \downarrow \rangle |^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{th}} \delta(\omega_{\mathrm{f}} - \omega_{\mathrm{i}} - \gamma_{\mu} H)$$
(3)

The reference (unperturbed) Hamiltonian of $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$ is the sum of Eqns. (1) and (2) and the interaction between the magnetically ordered moments and the muon spin I is an interaction between dipole moments given by

$$H_{\mu-\mathrm{Gd}} = \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right) g_{\mathrm{J}} \mu_B \gamma_\mu \hbar \sum_{\mu,a} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{R}_a^{\mu}|^3} \left\{ \left(\mathbf{I} \cdot \delta \mathbf{S}_a(\mathbf{R}^{\mu})\right) - 3\left(\mathbf{I} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_a^{\mu}\right) \left(\delta \mathbf{S}_a(\mathbf{R}^{\mu}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_a^{\mu}\right) \right\}.$$

We have included only the deviation of the moments from their ordered (Palmer-Chalker) directions $\delta \mathbf{S}$ because only the fluctuation part can lead to dynamical relaxation of an individual muon. The static part of the interaction Hamiltonian, which is not shown, causes the muon to precess in the local field and a static distribution of such internal fields produces the nondynamical relaxation described in the Introduction. To proceed, we have to consider the possible processes that might give rise to relaxation. We work in the linear spin wave approximation using Holstein-Primakoff bosons [28, 33, 35]. The simplest process is one where a single magnon is created or annihilated, accompanied by a muon spin flip. Such a process appears to lowest order from the transverse spin components, for these are linear in the magnon creation and annihilation operators but it is forbidden by energy conservation because the spin wave gap is much larger than the muon Zeeman splitting per unit field. To illustrate this point, we compute the Zeeman splitting of a muon to be $\hbar \gamma_{\mu} = 0.0065 \text{ KT}^{-1}$. Since the local field is of the order of 10^2 mT [15, 16], the Zeeman energy is about 6.5×10^{-4} K, while the spin wave gap is about $0.5 \text{ K in } \text{Gd}_2\text{Sn}_2\text{O}_7$ [28, 33, 35], so a single magnon typically has an energy that exceeds the muon spin flip energy by three orders of magnitude. For this reason, we neglect the transverse Gd^{3+} spin fluctuations and drop the Zeeman energy from the energy conserving delta function in Eqn. (3). With only longitudinal fluctuations contributing to the relaxation, let

$$f^{a}(\mathbf{R}^{\mu}) \equiv \left(\frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi}\right) g_{J}\mu_{B}\gamma_{\mu}\hbar\frac{1}{|\mathbf{R}_{a}^{\mu}|^{3}} \left\{ \left(\mathbf{I}\cdot\mathbf{z}_{a}\right) - 3\left(\mathbf{I}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{a}^{\mu}\right)\left(\mathbf{z}_{a}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{a}^{\mu}\right)\right\}$$
(4)

where unit vectors $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_a$ are along the local quantization axis of the Gd³⁺ spins and depend only on *a* because the ordering wavevector is $\mathbf{q} = 0$ in the Palmer-Chalker state [31]. The interaction can now be written as

$$H_{\mu-\mathrm{Gd}} = -\sum_{\mu,a} \hat{n}_a(\mathbf{R}^{\mu}) f^a(\mathbf{R}^{\mu})$$
(5)

with $\hat{n}_a = c_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}^{\mu})c_a(\mathbf{R}^{\mu})$ for $S_a^z = S - \delta S_a^z = S - c_a^{\dagger}c_a$ in terms of Holstein-Primakoff boson operators. The lowest order energy conserving contribution to the relaxation rate is a two magnon Raman process whereby the muon spin is flipped via the scattering of a magnon. The matrix element for this process is

$$\mathcal{M}_{aa'bb'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = \langle n_{a'}(\mathbf{k}) + 1, n_{b'}(\mathbf{k}') - 1; \uparrow | H_{\mu-\mathrm{Gd}} | n_a(\mathbf{k}), n_b(\mathbf{k}'); \downarrow \rangle$$
(6)

where n_a is the boson occupation number for magnon branch a, with a running over the four magnetic sublattices, $a = 1, \ldots, 4$, of the Palmer-Chalker state [31].



Figure 1. Panel 1(a) shows the spin waves frequencies, $\omega_a(\mathbf{k})$ for Gd₂Sn₂O₇ along paths in the Brillouin zone of the BCC reciprocal lattice of the FCC space lattice shown in Panel 1(b). The magnon gap never reaches its minimum of about 0.7 K along this path.

The calculation of the spin wave spectrum for this material has been discussed elsewhere [26, 28, 33, 35] and we refer to the literature for further details. We replace the spins in the Hamiltonian $H \equiv H_{aniso} + H_{int}$ with Holstein-Primakoff bosons and expand in powers of 1/S up to and including powers of S^0 which gives a harmonic Hamiltonian. One finds that the terms linear in the boson creation and annihilation operators, c^{\dagger} and c, vanish in the Palmer-Chalker spin configuration, as required of a semi-classical ground state of the Hamiltonian H. The c^{\dagger}, c operators are transformed via a canonical transformation $Q^{ab}(\mathbf{k})$, an 8×8 matrix, into spin wave operators a^{\dagger} and a. The transformation,

$$c_{a}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{b=1}^{4} Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{ab} a_{b}(\mathbf{k}) + Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{ab+4} a_{b}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k})$$
$$c_{a}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{b=1}^{4} Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{a+4b} a_{b}(\mathbf{k}) + Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{a+4b+4} a_{b}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}),$$

where index a runs over the sublattices, is such that the spin wave operators also satisfy Bose commutation relations and transform the spin Hamiltonian into the form

$$H = H^{(0)} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{a} \omega_a(\mathbf{k}) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{a} \hbar \omega_a(\mathbf{k}) \left(a_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a_a(\mathbf{k}) \right),$$

where the spin wave frequencies are $\omega_a(\mathbf{k})$. The spectrum along line segments between points of high symmetry in the Brillouin zone is shown in Fig. 1 where the canonical transformation has been computed numerically [33, 35]. We can now compute the matrix element $\mathcal{M}_{aa'bb'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ of Eqn. (6) using $a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})|n(\mathbf{k})\rangle = \sqrt{n(\mathbf{k})+1}|n(\mathbf{k})+1\rangle$ and $a(\mathbf{k})|n(\mathbf{k})\rangle = \sqrt{n(\mathbf{k})}|n(\mathbf{k})-1\rangle$. We find $\mathcal{M}_{aa'bb'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = \delta_{aa'}\delta_{bb'}\sum \left[(Q^{\star}_{\mathbf{k}})^{ca}Q^{cb}_{\mathbf{k}'} + (Q^{\star}_{-\mathbf{k}'})^{cb+4}Q^{ca+4}_{-\mathbf{k}}\right]$

$$\times \sqrt{n_a(\mathbf{k}) + 1} \sqrt{n_b(\mathbf{k}')} \exp(i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{R}_c^{\mu}) \langle \uparrow | f^c(\mathbf{R}^{\mu}) | \downarrow \rangle$$

where $Q^{\star ab}$ is the complex conjugate of Q^{ab} . Computing the squared modulus of this matrix element, we make the approximation that the phase factor $\exp(i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot (\mathbf{R}_c^{\mu} - \mathbf{R}_d^{\nu}))$ averages to zero unless $\mathbf{R}_c^{\mu} - \mathbf{R}_d^{\nu} = 0$ so that

$$|\mathcal{M}|^{2} \approx \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{c} n_{b}(\mathbf{k}') (n_{a}(\mathbf{k}) + 1) F_{c} \left(Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{\star ca} Q_{\mathbf{k}'}^{cb} + Q_{-\mathbf{k}'}^{\star cb+4} Q_{-\mathbf{k}}^{ca+4} \right) \left(Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{ca} Q_{\mathbf{k}'}^{\star cb} + Q_{-\mathbf{k}'}^{cb+4} Q_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\star ca+4} \right),$$

where $F^c \equiv \sum_{\mu} |\langle \uparrow | f^c(\mathbf{R}^{\mu}) | \downarrow \rangle|^2$. The transition rate W in Eqn. (3) then becomes

$$W = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \frac{1}{\Omega_{\rm BZ}^2} \int_{\rm BZ} d\mathbf{k} \int_{\rm BZ} d\mathbf{k}' \sum_{a,b,c} n_b(\mathbf{k}') (n_a(\mathbf{k}) + 1) \delta(\omega_a(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_b(\mathbf{k}')) F_c \left\{ (Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{ca} Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{\star ca}) (Q_{\mathbf{k}'}^{cb} Q_{\mathbf{k}'}^{\star cb}) + (Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{ca+4} Q_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\star ca+4}) (Q_{\mathbf{k}'}^{cb} Q_{-\mathbf{k}'}^{-ca+4}) (Q_{\mathbf{k}'}^{cb} Q_{-\mathbf{k}'}^{-ca+4}) + (Q_{\mathbf{k}'}^{ca} Q_{-\mathbf{k}'}^{\star ca+4}) (Q_{\mathbf{k}'}^{cb} Q_{-\mathbf{k}'}^{-cb+4}) + (Q_{\mathbf{k}'}^{ca+4} Q$$

where the thermal average at inverse temperature β of the boson occupation numbers is the distribution $n_a(\mathbf{k}) = (\exp(\beta \omega_a(\mathbf{k})) + 1)^{-1}$. The integrals can be regrouped into a single energy integral by introducing densities of states,

$$N_{1}^{cb}(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \int_{\omega} d\mathbf{k} Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{cb} Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{\star cb} \quad N_{2}^{cb}(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \int_{\omega} d\mathbf{k} Q_{-\mathbf{k}}^{cb+4} Q_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\star cb+4}$$
$$N_{3}^{cb}(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \int_{\omega} d\mathbf{k} Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{cb} Q_{-\mathbf{k}}^{cb+4} \quad N_{4}^{cb}(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \int_{\omega} d\mathbf{k} Q_{-\mathbf{k}}^{cb+4} Q_{\mathbf{k}}^{cb} = N_{3}^{cn}(\omega), \quad (7)$$

where the ω indicates that the integrals are evaluated for fixed energy and the indices band c run over sublattices 1 to 4. The normalization factor \mathcal{N} is chosen to ensure that $(1/\mathcal{N}) \int_{\omega} d\mathbf{k} = 1$. Finally, the transition rate W is given by

$$W = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\hbar}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{4} \sum_{a,b,c} \int d\omega \, n(\omega) (n(\omega) + 1) F^c N_m^{ca\star} N_m^{cb} \tag{8}$$

It remains for us to evaluate F_c from Eqn. (4). Because the Gd₂Sn₂O₇ sample in Refs. [15, 16] is a powder, we must average over the crystal orientations with respect to the initial muon polarization axis. For this purpose, it is convenient to define three separate coordinate systems: (i) the muon polarization system $\hat{\mu}$, (ii) the spin polarization system on each sublattice $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_a$ and (iii) a system about the axis $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_a^{\mu}$. We introduce rotation matrices $U_a^{\alpha\beta}$ and $V_{a\mu}^{\alpha\beta}$ such that $(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_a)^{\alpha} \equiv U_a^{\alpha\beta} \hat{\mu}_{\beta}$ and $(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_a)^{\alpha} \equiv V_{a\mu}^{\alpha\beta} (\hat{\mathbf{R}}_a^{\mu})_{\beta}$. These allow to write $f^c(\mathbf{R}^{\mu})$ as

$$f^{c}(\mathbf{R}^{\mu}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi}\right) g_{J} \mu_{B} \gamma_{\mu} \hbar \frac{1}{|\mathbf{R}_{c}^{\mu}|^{3}} \left\{ \left(U_{c}^{xz} - iU_{c}^{yz}\right) - 3V_{c\mu}^{zz} \sum_{\beta} V_{c\mu}^{\beta z} \left(U_{c}^{\beta x} - iU_{c}^{\beta y}\right) \right\}.$$

Performing the angular average, we obtain

$$F^{c} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi}\right)^{2} (g_{J}\mu_{B}\gamma_{\mu}\hbar)^{2} \sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{R}_{c}^{\mu}|^{6}} \left\{\frac{1}{3} + 3\left(V_{c\mu}^{zz}\right)^{2} \left((V_{c\mu}^{xz})^{2} + (V_{c\mu}^{yz})^{2} + (V_{c\mu}^{zz})^{2}\right)\right\}.$$



Figure 2. Panel (a) is the two magnon Raman muon $\lambda(T)$ for Gd₂Sn₂O₇ and Panel (b) is a plot of the densities of states (DOS) for each of the four branches of the spin wave spectrum. The lowest branch in the density of states has a low energy tail that extends down to the spectral gap of about 0.7 K.

We evaluate Eq. (8) averaged over the three possible Palmer-Chalker magnetic domains and over all crystal orientations. The densities of states $N_m^{cb}(\omega)$ in Eqn. (7) were computed by Monte Carlo integration by introducing energy bins over the range of magnon energies. For points **k** distributed uniformly in the first Brillouin zone, $\omega_a(\mathbf{k})$ are determined whereupon the integrand in the density of states is added into the corresponding energy bins. The muon location was taken to be the position given in Section 3. Finally, the muon polarization relaxation rate $\lambda = 2W$, where the factor 2 arises from the fact that both spin-up and spin-down populations contribute from the relaxation rate [34], is shown in Fig. 2 plotted as a function of temperature up to the transition temperature. Compared to the experimental plateau at $0.6\mu s^{-1}$, the two magnon Raman relaxation rate is very small - about one-hundredth of the experimental relaxation rate in the vicinity of T_c . In addition, the relaxation rate is strongly temperature dependent. A calculation of the relaxation rate for a gapped cubic lattice antiferromagnet [34] gives a trend $\lambda \propto \lambda_0 T \exp(-\Delta/T)$ which is illustrated for our problem in the inset to Panel (a) of Fig. 2. The $T \to 0$ limit of $\lambda(T)$ in the inset is consistent with the spectral gap $\Delta \sim 0.7$ K.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

We have computed the relaxation rate of the muon spin polarization due to the scattering of a pair of magnons in the ordered phase of $\text{Gd}_2\text{Sn}_2\text{O}_7$. As far as we are aware, this is the first such detailed microscopic calculation of μ SR $\lambda(T)$ for a frustrated magnet exhibiting PSD. We confirm explicitly that the rate is strongly temperature dependent, in line with the observation that the magnon density should fall off exponentially at temperatures less than the spectral gap $\Delta \approx 0.7$ K. The two-magnon relaxation rate is a strongly temperature dependent background to the experimentally measured λ . is smaller than the experimental rate by more than two orders of magnitude. Since the variation of the computed rate with temperature is smaller than the experimental resolution, the two-magnon (Raman) process in $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$ is completely hidden by whatever mechanism is responsible for the $\lambda \sim 0.6 \ \mu s^{-1}$ relaxation rate plateau.

The relaxation of the muon polarization of the order of 0.6 μ s⁻¹ is therefore entirely inexplicable over the *whole* temperature range below $T_c \approx 1$ K within the usual context of spin lattice relaxation. This result puts aside the possibility that the cause of this phenomenon comes from unusual features of the spin wave spectra of geometrically frustrated magnets [11, 36]. Higher order magnon processes are also suppressed at temperatures below the spin wave gap [37] so neither the plateau nor the $\lambda \sim 0.6 \ \mu$ s⁻¹ rate can be explained by considering the scattering of conventional (gapped) magnons. It is interesting, in light of this result, to recall details of some suggestive experimental findings.

Firstly, PSD is typically regarded as a distinctive feature of magnetic materials on frustrated lattices as opposed to conventional low temperature magnets. However, beyond this feature, there are not many similarities between the materials exhibiting anomalous low temperature relaxation. Notably, one finds PSD in materials without long range order (e.g. Tb₂Ti₂O₇ [18, 19] and Yb₂Ti₂O₇ [20]). Indeed, PSD uncovered by μ SR is often taken to be a good evidence from a local probe of spin liquid behaviour. It is not clear that the mechanism underlying the spin dynamics is the same across the large spectrum of materials exhibiting PSD. However, if the mechanism is common to all these materials, then its manifestation in collective paramagnetic or spin liquid phases may constitute further evidence that magnons are irrelevant to low temperature λ plateaux. An interesting finding is that the λ plateau is little affected by doping Tb₂Ti₂O₇ with nonmagnetic impurities [19], suggesting that the plateau, at least in this compound, is not due to conventional collective excitations. To emphasize, the observation of PSD in (gapless) spin liquid systems is not our immediate concern. Rather, it is the occurence of PSD in pyrochlores such as $Tb_2Sn_2O_7$ [12, 13, 14, 15, 38, 39, 40, 41], $Er_2Ti_2O_7$ $[9], Gd_2Ti_2O_7$ [10, 11] $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$ [15, 16] as well as spinels [17] such as FeAl₂O₄ and $CoAl_2O_4$ that display long range order as exposed by neutron scattering experiments that we believe is particularly paradoxical.

One might wonder whether the muon itself might be contributing to this phenomenon. In this context it is worth commenting on Tb₂Sn₂O₇. In this material, spin dynamics below T_c has been observed in μ SR [12, 13, 15] as well as with a neutron probe [38, 39, 40, 41], albeit on much shorter timescales from those in μ SR experiments and apparently with a significant temperature dependence [40]. In conclusion, the microscopic origin of the ubiquitous phenomenon of PSD in highly frustrated magnetic materials remains an important and unsolved problem.

Acknowledgments

We thank F. Mila, D. Poilblanc and T. Yavors'kii for useful discussions. This research was funded by the NSERC of Canada and the Canada Research Chair program (M. G., Tier I).

References

- Blundell S J et al. 1999 Spin polarized muons in condensed matter systems Contemporary Physics 40 175
- [2] Carretta P and Keren A 2009 NMR and muSR in Highly Frustrated Magnets Preprint arXiv:0905.4414
- [3] Bert F, Mendels P, Bono D, Olariu A, Ladieu F, Trombe J-C, Duc F, Baines C, Amato A, Hillier A 2006 Dynamics in pure and substituted volborthite kagome-like compounds *Physica B* 374 134
- [4] Fudamoto Y et al. 2003 Muon spin relaxation and susceptibility studies of the pure and diluted spin ¹/₂ Kagome-like lattice system (Cu_xZn_{1-x})₃V₂O₇(OH₂)2H₂O Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 207603
- [5] Keren A et al. 1996 Muon spin rotation measurements in the kagome lattice systems: Cr-jarosite and Fe-jarosite Phys. Rev. B 53 6451
- [6] Zorko et al. 2008 Easy-axis kagome antiferromagnet: local-probe study of Nd₃Ga₅SiO₁₄ Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 147201
- [7] Uemura et al. 1994 Spin fluctuations in frustrated kagome lattice system SrCr₈Ga₄O₁₉ studied by muon spin relaxation Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** 3306
- [8] Keren A et al. 2000 Magnetic dilution in the geometrically frustrated SrCr_{9p}Ga_{12-9p}O₁₉ and the role of local dynamics: a muon spin relaxation study Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 3450
- [9] Lago J et al. 2005 Magnetic ordering and dynamics in the XY pyrochlore antiferromagnet: a muon spin relaxation study of Er₂Ti₂O₇ and Er₂Sn₂O₇ J. Phys.:Condens. Matter 17 979
- [10] Dunsiger S R et al. 2006 Magnetic field dependence of muon spin relaxation in geometrically frustrated Gd₂Ti₂O₇ Phys. Rev. B 73, 172418.
- [11] Yaouanc A et al. 2005 Magnetic density of states at low energy in geometrically frustrated systems *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 95 047203
- [12] Dalmas de Réotier P et al. 2006 Spin Dynamics and Magnetic Order in Magnetically Frustrated Tb₂Sn₂O₇ Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 127202
- [13] Bert F et al. (2006) Direct Evidence for a Dynamical Ground State in the Highly Frustrated Tb₂Sn₂O₇ Pyrochlore Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 117203 (2006).
- [14] Giblin S R et al. 2008 Static Magnetic Order in Tb₂Sn₂O₇ Revealed by Muon Spin Relaxation with Exterior Muon Implantation Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 237201
- [15] Bonville et al 2004 Transitions and spin dynamics at very low temperature in the pyrochlores Yb2Ti2O7 and Gd₂Sn₂O₇ Hyperfine Interaction 156/157 103
- [16] Chapuis et al. 2009 Probing the ground state of $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$ through μSR measurements Physica B 404 686
- [17] Kalvius G M et al. 2010 Frustration driven magnetic states of A-site spinels probed by μ SR Eur. Phys. J. B 77 87
- [18] Gardner J S et al. 1999 Cooperative Paramagnetism in the Geometrically Frustrated Pyrochlore Antiferromagnet Tb₂Ti₂O₇ Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 1012
- [19] Keren A et al. 2004 Dynamic Properties of a Diluted Pyrochlore Cooperative Paramagnet (TbpY_{1-p})₂Ti₂O₇ Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** 107204
- [20] Hodges J A et al. 2002 First-Order Transition in the Spin Dynamics of Geometrically Frustrated Yb₂Ti₂O₇ Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 077204

- [21] Dunsiger S R et al. 1996 Muon spin relaxation investigation of the spin dynamics of geometrically frustrated antiferromagnets Y₂Mo₂O₇ and Tb₂Mo₂O₇ Phys. Rev. B 54 9019
- [22] Dunsiger S R et al. 2000 Low Temperature Spin Dynamics of the Geometrically Frustrated Antiferromagnetic Garnet Gd₃Ga₅O₁₂ Phys. Rev. Lett., 85 3504
- [23] Marshall I M et al. 2002 A muon-spin relaxation (μSR) study of the geometrically frustrated magnets GdGaO and ZnCrO J. Phys.:Condens. Matter 14 L157
- [24] Harris M J et al. 1998 Magnetic structures of highly frustrated pyrochlores J. Mag. Magn. Matr. 177 757
- [25] Lago J et al. 2007 μSR investigation of spin dynamics in the spin-ice material Dy₂Ti₂O₇ J. Phys.:Condens. Matter. 19 326210
- [26] Quilliam J A et al. 2007 Evidence for Gapped Spin-Wave Excitations in the Frustrated Gd₂Sn₂O₇ Pyrochlore Antiferromagnet from Low-Temperature Specific Heat Measurements Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 097201
- [27] Stewart J R et al. 2008 Collective dynamics in the Heisenberg pyrochlore antiferromagnet Gd₂Sn₂O₇ Phys. Rev. B 78 132410
- [28] Sosin S S *et al.* 2009 Magnetic excitations in the geometrically frustrated pyrochlore antiferromagnet $Gd_2Sn_2O_7$ studied by electron spin resonance *Phys. Rev. B* **79** 014419
- [29] Bertin et al. 2002 Effective hyperfine temperature in frustrated Gd₂Sn₂O₇: two level model and ¹⁵⁵Gd Mossbauer measurements Eur. Phys. Journal B 27 347
- [30] Wills A S et al. 2006 Magnetic ordering in Gd₂Sn₂O₇: the archetypal Heisenberg pyrochlore antiferromagnet J. Phys.:Condens. Matter 18 L37
- [31] Palmer S E and Chalker J T 2000 Order induced by dipolar interactions in a geometrically frustrated antiferromagnet Phys. Rev. B 62 488
- [32] Glazkov V N et al. 2006 Observation of a transverse magnetization in the ordered phases of the pyrochlore magnet Gd₂Ti₂O₇ J. Phys.:Condens. Matter 18 2285
- [33] del Maestro A G and Gingras M J P 2007 Low-temperature specific heat and possible gap to magnetic excita tions in the Heisenberg pyrochlore antiferromagnet Gd₂Sn₂O₇ Phys. Rev. B 76 064418
- [34] Moriya T 1956 Nuclear spin relaxation in antiferromagnets Prog. Theor. Phys. 16 23
- [35] del Maestro A G and Gingras M J P 2004 Quantum spin fluctuations in the dipolar Heisenberg-like rare earth pyrochlores J. Phys.:Condens. Matter 16 3339
- [36] Bonville P et al. 2004 Transitions and Spin Dynamics at Very Low Temperature in the Pyrochlores Yb₂Ti₂O₇ and Gd₂Sn₂O₇ Hyperfine Interactions 156/157 103
- [37] Beeman D and Pincus P 1968 Nuclear spin-lattice relaxation in magnetic insulators Phys. Rev. 166 359
- [38] Chapuis Y et al. 2007 Ground state of the geometrically frustrated compound Tb₂Sn₂O₇ J. Phys.:Condens. Matter 19 446206
- [39] Rule K C et al. 2007 Polarized inelastic neutron scattering of the partially ordered Tb₂Sn₂O₇ Phys. Rev. B 76 212405
- [40] Mirebeau I et al. 2008 Investigation of magnetic fluctuations in Tb₂Sn₂O₇ ordered spin ice by high-resolution energy-resolved neutron scattering Phys. Rev. B 78 174416
- [41] Rule K C et al. 2009 Neutron scattering investigations of the partially ordered pyrochlore Tb₂Sn₂O₇
 J. Phys.:Condens. Matter 21 489005