

Hybrid models for complex fluids

Cesare Tronci

Section de Mathématiques, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland

For Tudor Ratiu, on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract

This paper formulates a new approach to complex fluid dynamics, which accounts for microscopic statistical effects in the micromotion. While the ordinary fluid variables (mass density and momentum) undergo usual dynamics, the order parameter field is replaced by a statistical distribution on the order parameter space. This distribution depends also on the point in physical space and its dynamics retains the usual fluid transport features while containing the statistical information on the order parameter space. This approach is based on a *hybrid* moment closure for Yang-Mills Vlasov plasmas, which replaces the usual cold-plasma assumption. After presenting the basic properties of the hybrid closure, such as momentum map features, singular solutions and Casimir invariants, the effect of Yang-Mills fields is considered and a direct application to ferromagnetic fluids is presented. Hybrid models are also formulated for complex fluids with symmetry breaking. For the special case of liquid crystals, a hybrid formulation of the Ericksen-Leslie model is extended to the case of non-trivial disclination dynamics. The same hybrid model also recovers Eringen's theory of micropolar liquid crystals.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1 | Micromotion and its symmetry properties | 2 |
| 1.2 | Order parameter field and its microscopic origin | 2 |
| 1.3 | Hybrid models | 2 |
| 2 | Yang-Mills Vlasov plasmas: a review | 3 |
| 3 | Hybrid closure of the Yang-Mills Vlasov equation | 5 |
| 3.1 | Momentum map properties and singular solutions | 7 |
| 3.2 | The mass density | 8 |
| 3.3 | Alternative form of the hybrid closure | 9 |
| 4 | Coupling to the Yang-Mills field | 10 |
| 4.1 | Hybrid model for Yang-Mills plasmas | 12 |
| 4.2 | Hybrid MHD limit | 14 |
| 4.3 | Hybrid ferromagnetic hydrodynamics | 14 |
| 5 | Hybrid models for systems with symmetry breaking | 16 |
| 5.1 | Yang-Mills-Vlasov equation with symmetry breaking | 16 |
| 5.2 | Hybrid closure for systems with broken symmetry | 18 |
| 6 | Hybrid description of liquid crystals with disclinations | 19 |
| 6.1 | Derivation of the Hamiltonian structure | 20 |
| 6.2 | The expression of the free energy | 21 |
| 6.3 | Hybrid Euler-Poincaré equations and their relation to other models | 23 |
| 7 | Conclusions | 24 |

arXiv:1011.4389v1 [nlin.CD] 19 Nov 2010

1 Introduction

1.1 Micromotion and its symmetry properties

Complex fluids are fluid systems whose particles carry an internal structure. The simplest examples are probably found in the dynamics of ferromagnetic fluids and spin glasses [9, 16]. In this case, the particles carry a spin magnetic moment undergoing its own rotational motion (*micromotion*), usually under the influence of external magnetic fields. This micromotion exhibits a full rotational symmetry so that the corresponding dynamics is entirely expressed in terms of the spin angular momentum variable, a situation also occurring for the rotational motion of a free rigid body on the rotation Lie group $SO(3)$. However, this fully symmetric configuration differs from many situations occurring in condensed matter physics, which exhibit the symmetry breaking phenomenon. The most celebrated example is provided by the dynamics of nematic molecules, which are endowed with a special orientation identified with an unsigned unit vector $\mathbf{n} \sim -\mathbf{n}$ (*director*). The presence of this special direction is responsible for symmetry breaking: the micromotion is no more symmetric under all possible $SO(3)$ rotations in physical space, but rather the molecule exhibits only an $O(2)$ residual symmetry. Then, the dynamics of the nematic molecule is described in terms of two variables: the director and its associated angular momentum.

1.2 Order parameter field and its microscopic origin

In common continuum descriptions of complex fluids, the effects of micromotion are introduced through a macroscopic continuum field taking values in the space M of internal dynamical variables (e.g. the spin or the director). This space is usually known as *order parameter space*. More particularly, one introduces a map $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow M$ (*order parameter field*) from physical space \mathbb{R}^3 to the order parameter space M . This quantity is assumed to arise from an averaging process involving an appropriate statistical distribution function $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times M)$. While this averaging process has been widely used in equilibrium statistical approaches since Onsager's work [38], its use in the study of dynamical aspects has always been difficult. As a result, microscopic dynamical properties of orientational order in complex fluids are never considered in common fluid models, although many efforts to account for statistical effects have been carried out in the last decade.

Besides the celebrated kinetic approach of Doi and Edwards [6], an interesting attempt to include microscopic effects is the *mesoscopic approach* for liquid crystals [1, 2]. This approach leads to a theory of fluid balance equations on the Cartesian product $\mathbb{R}^3 \times M$ of physical space and order parameter space. In this theory one starts with a time-dependent probability distribution function $f \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^3 \times T^*M)$ on the extended phase space $\mathbb{R}^6 \times T^*M$ and later averages over the momentum coordinates to derive the time evolution of mesoscopic quantities depending on the position coordinate in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times M$. However, despite their rigorous validity, the mesoscopic equations are enormously difficult to handle since they involve different fluid variables (e.g. density and momentum) each of them defined on a space with a high number of dimensions, i.e. $4 + \dim M$ including time.

Even more attention is needed when orientational defects are present in the system. In this case, the interaction between fluid, order parameter variables and defect dynamics is of central interest. To the author's knowledge, no microscopic description of this interaction is available in the literature.

1.3 Hybrid models

This paper provides a general setting to include microscopic effects in the micromotion of complex fluids by the introduction of a new cold plasma-like closure of the Yang-Mills Vlasov kinetic equation [14] governing, for example, the dynamics of quark-gluon plasmas in neutron stars. The motivation for this approach is the well known correspondence between many mathematical models in soft matter applications and the fluid theory underlying Yang-Mills collisionless plasmas, cf. e.g. [7, 21, 29]. In particular the equations of chromohydrodynamics for quark-gluon plasmas [14] have been shown to apply also to complex fluids such as spin glasses and liquid crystals [17, 10].

This paper introduces a new perspective on complex fluid dynamics in which the transported order parameter field $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow M$ is replaced by a *position-dependent* probability distribution function $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times M)$ on the order parameter space M . This statistical quantity arises very naturally in the context of kinetic equations of the type Vlasov-Yang-Mills [14, 37], for which M is a Lie group often called *order parameter group* \mathcal{O} [17, 11]. In this particular case, one performs a Lie-Poisson reduction $T^*\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{o}^*$, so that the dynamics on T^*M is reduced to the dynamics on \mathfrak{o}^* . Then, a new fluid model arises naturally from a moment closure of the Vlasov distribution function on $T^*\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathfrak{o}^*$. In particular, if $f \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathfrak{o}^*)$ is the Vlasov distribution, one can introduce the cold-plasma-like closure

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, t) = \delta\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\int \mathbf{p} f \, d\mathbf{p} \, d\sigma}{\int f \, d\mathbf{p} \, d\sigma}\right) \int f \, d\mathbf{p}.$$

One notices that the distribution $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) = \int f \, d\mathbf{p}$ belongs to a moment hierarchy that is different from the one of the other two moments $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \mathbf{p} f \, d\mathbf{p} \, d\sigma$ and $D(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int f \, d\mathbf{p} \, d\sigma$, since ρ does not involve integration over $\sigma \in \mathfrak{o}^*$. From a mathematical point of view, the variable ρ is a probability distribution function on \mathfrak{o}^* that is attached to each fluid particle. More particularly, one can consider ρ as a map belonging to the dual space of maps $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*)$, where $C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*)$ is identified with the Poisson algebra $C^\infty(T^*\mathcal{O})_{\mathcal{O}}$ of \mathcal{O} -invariant Hamiltonian functions on $T^*\mathcal{O}$. Thus, from a mathematical point of view, the introduction of the variable ρ amounts to introducing the infinite-dimensional order parameter space $C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*)$, so that the geometric properties of complex fluids [17, 10] can be naturally extended to the case of infinite-dimensional order parameters.

The novelty of this approach is that the resulting fluid model naturally accounts for the statistical information on the micromotion. This is a statistical distribution which is dragged along the fluid particle trajectories, just as it happens for ordinary density variables in standard hydrodynamic models. Because of the coexistence of the statistical distribution on M and the ordinary fluid variables such as momentum and mass density, we call these models *hybrid models*.

Plan of the paper. After reviewing in Section 2 the derivation of chromohydrodynamics from the Vlasov-Yang-Mills kinetic equation, Section 3 introduces the hybrid cold-plasma closure for Yang-Mills plasmas: the resulting Hamiltonian structure is explained in detail along with its momentum map property. Two possible formulations of the hybrid closure are presented, both possessing singular δ -like solutions. Section 4 couples the hybrid closure of the Vlasov-Yang-Mills equation with the dynamics of the Yang-Mills field in order to produce the hybrid formulation of chromohydrodynamics. Then, the MHD limit is applied to the case of ferromagnetic hydrodynamics. Section 5 introduces the hybrid closure for systems with broken symmetry, such as nematic liquid crystals. In Section 6, the hybrid formulation of liquid crystal dynamics is presented, after deriving a suitable expression for the free energy which is inspired by the Frank energy. Then, the hybrid model of liquid crystals is written in Euler-Poincaré variational form and it is shown to recover Eringen’s formulation of micropolar liquid crystals [8]. This paper focuses on Hamiltonian dynamics, thereby discarding the effects of dissipation. A geometric treatment of the dissipative dynamics in hybrid models is presented in [25].

2 Yang-Mills Vlasov plasmas: a review

The kinetic theory of Yang-Mills plasmas finds its root in the definition of a distribution function $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, t)$, where $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}^6$ are the usual position-momentum coordinates, while $\sigma \in \mathfrak{o}^*$ is the single-particle Yang-Mills charge belonging to the dual space of some Lie algebra \mathfrak{o} . When the standard Klimontovich method of kinetic theory [31] is applied to this setting, one finds the mean-field kinetic equation (Yang-Mills Vlasov, or YM Vlasov) [14, 37]

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \left\{ f, \frac{\delta H}{\delta f} \right\} + \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta H}{\delta f} \right] \right\rangle = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ denotes the canonical Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{o} , while $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the pairing between \mathfrak{o} and its dual. This equation is coupled to the Yang-Mills field equations to form the Yang-Mills Vlasov system, with Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int f |\mathbf{p} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle|^2 d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{p} d\sigma - \int f \langle \sigma, A_0 \rangle d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{p} d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \int \left(|d^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}|^2 + |\mathbf{E}|^2 \right) d\mathbf{x} - \int \langle \mathbf{E}, d^{\mathbf{A}} A_0 \rangle d\mathbf{x} \quad (2)$$

where $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathfrak{o}) \times \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathfrak{o}^*)$ are the YM field variables consisting of the vector potential \mathbf{A} and the electric field part \mathbf{E} . Here $\Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathfrak{o})$ and $\Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathfrak{o}^*)$ are \mathfrak{o} -valued one-forms and \mathfrak{o}^* -valued two-forms respectively ($\Omega^n(M)$ denotes a generic n -form on the manifold M), while $d^{\mathbf{A}} = \nabla \cdot - [\mathbf{A}, \cdot]$ is the covariant differential (sometimes also denoted by $\nabla^{\mathbf{A}}$). Also, $A_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathfrak{o})$ is the \mathfrak{o} -valued scalar potential so that setting $\delta H / \delta A_0 \equiv 0$ produces the nonholonomic constraint $\text{div}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{E} = \int \sigma f d^3 \mathbf{p} d\sigma$. The YM field equations are

$$\partial_t \mathbf{E} + \text{ad}_{A_0}^* \mathbf{E} + \int \sigma f (\mathbf{p} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle) d\sigma = \text{curl}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B}, \quad \partial_t \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{E} + d^{\mathbf{A}} A_0 \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{B} = d^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}$ is the magnetic component of the YM field and the suffix \mathbf{A} on differential operators always denotes covariant differentiation [14]. In particular, one has $\text{div}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{E} = \text{div} \mathbf{E} + \text{ad}_{A_i}^* E_i$ and $\text{curl}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B} = \text{curl} \mathbf{B} - \epsilon_{ijk} [A_i, B_k]$, where summation over repeated indexes is intended. The above YM field equations are coupled to the Vlasov equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{p} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \left(\left\langle \sigma, \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial q} \right\rangle \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle) + \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \\ - \left\langle \sigma, \left[(\mathbf{p} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle) \cdot \mathbf{A} + A_0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma} \right] \right\rangle = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where we recognize that the Klimontovich particle solution [31, 14]

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, t) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Q}(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{P}(t)) \delta(\sigma - \Upsilon(t))$$

consistently returns Wong's equations for a single charge moving in a Yang-Mills field [36, 37].

The equations of chromohydrodynamics [14] are obtained from the equation hierarchy for the moments

$$X_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \mathbf{p}^n \sigma^k f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, t) d\mathbf{p} d\sigma \quad (5)$$

by making use of the cold-plasma closure

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, t) = X_{0,0} \delta \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\mathbf{X}_{1,0}}{X_{0,0}} \right) \delta \left(\sigma - \frac{X_{0,1}}{X_{0,0}} \right) \quad (6)$$

Here, the zero-th moment $X_{0,0}$ is evidently the particle density, while $\mathbf{X}_{1,0}$ and $X_{0,1}$ are the fluid momentum and the Yang-Mills charge density respectively. The moments $X_{n,k}$ possess a Poisson bracket [15], which is obtained by applying the chain rule

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta f} = \sum_{n,k} \frac{\delta X_{n,k}}{\delta f} \lrcorner \frac{\delta F}{\delta X_{n,k}} = \sum_{n,k} p^n \sigma^k \lrcorner \frac{\delta F}{\delta X_{n,k}} \quad (7)$$

where \lrcorner denotes tensor contraction. Notice that the above formula is simply a Taylor expansion in the momentum coordinates. The Poisson bracket for the moments $X_{n,k}$ [15] is obtained by direct substitution of (5) into the following Poisson structure of the YM Vlasov equation [14]

$$\{F, G\}(f) = \int f \left\{ \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \right\} d^3 \mathbf{x} d\mathbf{p} d\sigma + \int f \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \right] \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} d\mathbf{p} d\sigma. \quad (8)$$

Then, retaining only the terms involving $D = X_{0,0}$, $G = X_{0,1}$ and $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{X}_{1,0}$ produces the following equations of chromohydrodynamics [14]

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}}} \right) D &= 0 \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}}} \right) G &= \text{ad}_{\frac{\delta H}{\delta G}}^* G \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}}} \right) \mathbf{m} &= -D \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} - \left\langle G, \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\delta H/\delta \mathbf{m}}$ denotes Lie derivative along the vector field $\delta H/\delta \mathbf{m}$ [34, 26]. The YM Vlasov Hamiltonian transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{D} |\mathbf{m} - \langle G, \mathbf{A} \rangle|^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} + \int D U(D) d^3 \mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int \langle G, A_0 \rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int \left(|d^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}|^2 + |\mathbf{E}|^2 \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} - \int \langle \mathbf{E}, d^{\mathbf{A}} A_0 \rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the internal energy $D U(D)$ is inserted to account for pressure effects. This yields the following equation for the velocity $\mathbf{u} = D^{-1} (\mathbf{m} - \langle G, \mathbf{A} \rangle)$:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -D^{-1} \nabla \mathbf{p} - D^{-1} \langle G, \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} \rangle \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{p} = D^2 dU/dD$. This equation is coupled to the Yang-Mills field equations

$$\partial_t \mathbf{E} + \text{ad}_{A_0}^* \mathbf{E} + D^{-1} (\mathbf{u} - \langle G, \mathbf{A} \rangle) G = \text{curl}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B}, \quad \partial_t \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{E} + d^{\mathbf{A}} A_0 \quad (12)$$

and the transport equations for the fluid density D and the charge density G :

$$\partial_t D + \text{div}(D \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad \partial_t G + \text{ad}_{A_0}^* G + \text{div}^{\mathbf{A}}(G \mathbf{u}) = 0 \quad (13)$$

An important result concerning the CHD equations is that, in their MHD limit [22], their Hamiltonian structure is identical to the equations for spin glasses, with the only difference residing in the explicit expression of the Hamiltonian [21]. The MHD limit of CHD is easily found [22] from two-species chromohydrodynamics, upon imposing quasi-neutrality of the total YM charge and neglecting the inertia of one species. As a result, the velocity equation of YM MHD is [22]

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -D^{-1} \nabla \mathbf{p} + \text{curl}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}, \quad (14)$$

while the other transport equations read

$$\partial_t D + \text{div}(D \mathbf{u}) = 0; \quad \partial_t G + \text{div}(G \mathbf{u}) = 0; \quad \partial_t \mathbf{A} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A} + \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Here the ‘‘hydrodynamic gauge’’ $A_0 + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$ is adopted. The equivalence between the above equations and the equations of spin glasses was established in [21].

3 Hybrid closure of the Yang-Mills Vlasov equation

One easily verifies that the cold-plasma closure of the YM Vlasov equation

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, t) = \rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}, t)) \quad (16)$$

yields another Hamiltonian fluid system, which allows for a microscopic statistical treatment of the YM charge dynamics. Indeed, upon introducing the fluid momentum

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \mathbf{p} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, t) d\mathbf{p} d\sigma = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}, t) \int \rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) d\sigma \quad (17)$$

and by using the relation

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) = \int f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, t) d^3\mathbf{p}, \quad (18)$$

direct substitution of the chain rule formula

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta f} = \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \quad (19)$$

into the YM Vlasov bracket yields the Poisson bracket for the independent variables (ρ, \mathbf{m})

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}(\mathbf{m}, \rho) = & \int \mathbf{m} \cdot \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right]_{\mathfrak{X}} d^3\mathbf{x} + \int \rho \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \rho} \right] \right\rangle d^3\mathbf{x} d\sigma \\ & - \int \rho \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \rho} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta G / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \right) d^3\mathbf{x} d\sigma, \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

where the Lie bracket $[\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}']_{\mathfrak{X}} := (\mathbf{V}' \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V} - (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V}'$ is minus the Jacobi-Lie bracket on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of vector fields on \mathbb{R}^3 [34, 26]. The above Poisson structure produces the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}} \right) \mathbf{m} &= - \int \rho \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} d\sigma \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div} \left(\rho \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right) &= - \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \sigma}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} \right] \right\rangle, \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

which constitute the most fundamental hybrid micro-macroscopic model. It is interesting to notice that the variables (ρ, \mathbf{m}) are moments of the Vlasov distributions that belong to two different moment hierarchies, thereby producing one more reason for the word ‘hybrid’. This model provides a new philosophy of approaching order parameter dynamics. Indeed, the macroscopic order parameter field is no more simply transported along the fluid, but rather the fluid continuously transports the whole statistical information about micromotion. This information is entirely encoded in the probability distribution function ρ . This type of hybrid closure has recently been introduced in the context of Smoluchowski dynamics of ferromagnetic particles [25].

Remark 1 (Lie-Poisson structure of the hybrid closure) *We notice that the Poisson bracket (20) is Lie Poisson on the dual of the semidirect-product Lie algebra*

$$\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^3) \ltimes \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*))$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*))$ denotes the set of scalar functions taking values in the Poisson algebra $C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*)$. Notice that this Lie algebra underlies the semidirect-product Lie group

$$\operatorname{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^3) \ltimes \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*))$$

where the Lie algebra $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*))$ also arises from an appropriate Lie group $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, G)$, where $G \subset \operatorname{Diff}(T^*\mathcal{O})$ is a Lie group whose properties have been recently investigated in [12]. The Lie group G can be regarded as a subgroup of $\operatorname{Diff}_{\text{can}}(T^*\mathcal{O})$, i.e. canonical transformations on $T^*\mathcal{O}$. This group $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, G)$ plays a similar role as the gauge group $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{O})$ in chromohydrodynamics. However, in the present case $G \subset \operatorname{Diff}_{\text{can}}(T^*\mathcal{O})$ is infinite-dimensional, as opposed to \mathcal{O} , which is finite-dimensional.

3.1 Momentum map properties and singular solutions

Since it is well known how moments of the Vlasov equation are momentum maps [15, 28], it becomes natural to ask whether the moment quantity $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma)$ is a momentum map. In particular, we notice that the moment $\rho(f)$ is the zeroth-order moment of the moment hierarchy

$$A_n(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) = \int \mathbf{p}^{\otimes n} f d^3\mathbf{p},$$

whose momentum map property arises as the dual of the Lie algebra homomorphism $\{\beta_n\} \mapsto \sum \mathbf{p}^{\otimes n} \lrcorner \beta_n$, which associates to each symmetric contravariant tensor field $(\beta_n(\mathbf{x}, \sigma))^{i_1 \dots i_n} \partial_{x^{i_1}} \dots \partial_{x^{i_n}}$ the Hamiltonian function $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma) = \sum \mathbf{p}^{\otimes n} \lrcorner \beta_n$. Setting $n = 0$ determines the Lie algebra inclusion $i : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*)) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathfrak{o}^*)$, such that $i(\beta_0) = \beta_0$. Since the latter is evidently a Lie algebra homomorphism, then the dual map $i^*(f) = \int f d^3\mathbf{p} = A_0(\mathbf{x}, \sigma)$ is an equivariant momentum map.

In order to understand the physical meaning of the hybrid closure, it is helpful to notice some relevant solutions of the kinetic equation for ρ in (21). First, one simply verifies that the solution

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) = D(\mathbf{x}, t) \delta\left(\sigma - \frac{G(\mathbf{x}, t)}{D(\mathbf{x}, t)}\right)$$

yields the CHD equation for the macroscopic YM charge density $G \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathfrak{o}^*)$, while the Klimontovich single-particle solution

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Q}(t)) \delta(\sigma - \Upsilon(t)) \quad (22)$$

yields

$$\dot{\mathbf{Q}} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \Big|_{(\mathbf{x}, \sigma) = (\mathbf{x}(t), \Upsilon(t))}, \quad \dot{\Upsilon} = \text{ad}_{\delta H / \delta \rho}^* \Upsilon \Big|_{(\mathbf{x}, \sigma) = (\mathbf{x}(t), \Upsilon(t))}$$

thereby returning the fluid particle trajectory $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ together with the micromotion for its YM charge $\Upsilon(t)$. Another relevant class of singular solutions is provided by the expression

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) = \int w(\sigma, s, t) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Q}(s, t)) d^k s. \quad (23)$$

Here $\mathbf{Q} : S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a time dependent map (embedding) from a lower dimensional submanifold $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ into the physical space \mathbb{R}^3 . On the other hand, the quantity w is interpreted as a map $w : S \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*)^*$ from S into the infinite-dimensional space $C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*)^*$ of probability densities on the dual Lie algebra \mathfrak{o}^* . Direct substitution of the above solution ansatz yields the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}(s, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \Big|_{\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{Q}(s, t)} \\ \frac{\partial w(\sigma, s, t)}{\partial t} &= - \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial w(\sigma, s, t)}{\partial \sigma}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} \Big|_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Q}(s, t)} \right] \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

For example, if $\dim S = 1$, the above solutions describe the evolution of a charged filament which supports the statistical distribution $w(\sigma, s, t)$ for the dynamics of the YM charge along the filament itself. In the simplest case when $\dim S = 0$, the label s is lost in (23) and this solution reduces to a point particle with position $\mathbf{Q}(t)$, supporting a distribution $w(\sigma, t)$ for the dynamics of its own YM charge. The latter example yields a system of equations composed of the ODE for the position coordinate \mathbf{Q} and the PDE for the probability density w and this is a unique feature of the hybrid closure, whose singular solutions may be different from the usual Klimontovich particle solution (22).

Notice that the singular solution (23) arises as a momentum map

$$T^* \text{Emb}(S, \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(S, C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*))^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*))^*$$

where the Poisson manifold $P = T^*\text{Emb}(S, \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{F}(S, C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*))^*$ is endowed with the Poisson bracket

$$\{F, G\} = \int \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{Q}} \cdot \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{P}} - \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{Q}} \cdot \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{P}} \right) ds + \int w \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta w}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta G}{\delta w} \right] \right\rangle ds d\sigma. \quad (25)$$

The infinitesimal generator of the associated action reads as

$$\xi_P[F] = - \int \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{P}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{Q}} \int w(s, \sigma) \xi(\mathbf{Q}(s), \sigma) d\sigma + \int \frac{\delta F}{\delta w} \left\langle \text{ad}^*_{\partial \xi(\mathbf{Q}, \sigma) / \partial \sigma} \sigma, \frac{\partial w}{\partial \sigma} \right\rangle ds d\sigma,$$

which arises from the group action

$$\left(\mathbf{Q}^{(t)}, \mathbf{P}^{(t)}, w^{(t)} \right) = \left(\mathbf{Q}^{(0)}, \mathbf{P}^{(0)} - \left(\langle w^{(0)}, (\nabla \exp(t\xi)) \exp(-t\xi) \rangle \circ \mathbf{Q}^{(0)} \right), \text{Ad}^*_{\exp(t\xi)} w^{(0)} \right),$$

where Ad^* is the group coadjoint operator on the Lie group G underlying the Lie algebra $C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*)$, such that $C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}^*) = T_e G$. Similarly, the notation $(\nabla g) g^{-1}$ stands for the tangent lift of the right multiplication in G . See [12] for more details on how the group G is constructed. It is interesting to notice that the above construction coincides with the momentum map construction in [27] (in the absence of fluid motion), with the only difference that the group G is infinite-dimensional in the present case.

3.2 The mass density

Although the hybrid equations (21) are exact and they reflect the correct behavior of the physical system under consideration (in the absence of time-varying YM fields), in practical situations it is convenient to allow for the mass density

$$D(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) d\sigma \quad (26)$$

to be a dynamical variable. This is possible upon writing the cold-plasma solution as

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, t) = \rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) \delta \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, t)}{D(\mathbf{x}, t)} \right), \quad (27)$$

so that the variables (D, ρ, \mathbf{m}) are treated as three different variables. In this case, substitution of the chain rule formula

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta f} = \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} + \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \quad (28)$$

in (8) yields the Poisson bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}(\mathbf{m}, \rho) &= \int \mathbf{m} \cdot \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right]_{\mathbf{x}} d^3 \mathbf{x} + \int \rho \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \rho} \right] \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int \rho \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \rho} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta G / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int D \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta D} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta G / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The resulting equations of motion read as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}} \right) \mathbf{m} &= -D \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} - \int \rho \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} d\sigma \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \text{div} \left(\rho \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right) &= - \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \sigma}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} \right] \right\rangle \\ \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \text{div} \left(D \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

which are accompanied by the constraint (26). The latter constraint belongs to a geometric class of constraints arising as the zero-level set of a certain momentum map [34, 26]. Gauss' law in electromagnetism is a celebrated example [35].

Notice that the above equations leave the following quantity invariant:

$$C(D, \rho) = \int D \Lambda(D^{-1}\rho) d\sigma d\mathbf{x},$$

for any scalar function $\Lambda(\rho D^{-1})$. More particularly, the above quantity is a Casimir invariant for the Poisson bracket (29). This is easily seen by evaluating the bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, C\} &= \int \rho \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}, \frac{\partial \Lambda'}{\partial \sigma} \right] \right\rangle d^3\mathbf{x} d\sigma - \int \rho \mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \Lambda' d^3\mathbf{x} d\sigma - \int D \mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \int (\Lambda - \rho D^{-1} \Lambda') d\sigma d^3\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int \Lambda' \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \sigma}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \right] \right\rangle d^3\mathbf{x} d\sigma + \int \Lambda' \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \rho - D \mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} (\rho D^{-1}) - \rho D^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} D \right) d^3\mathbf{x} d\sigma \\ &= \int D \left\langle \sigma, \left[D^{-1} \Lambda' \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \sigma}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \right] \right\rangle d^3\mathbf{x} d\sigma + \int \Lambda' \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \rho - \mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} (\rho D D^{-1}) \right) d^3\mathbf{x} d\sigma \\ &= \int \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial D \Lambda}{\partial \sigma}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \right] \right\rangle d^3\mathbf{x} d\sigma + \int \Lambda' \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \rho - \mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \rho \right) d^3\mathbf{x} d\sigma \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which holds for *any* functional $F(\mathbf{m}, D, \rho)$. The presence of a family of Casimir functionals in the hybrid formulation is evidently an advantage of this closure.

3.3 Alternative form of the hybrid closure

The previous section showed how the mass density D can be treated as an extra dynamical variable. However, this is possible only because of the constraint (26). This constraint prevents the variables D and ρ from being mutually independent, which instead would be preferable in a dynamical model. This problem can be easily avoided upon introducing the variable

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) = \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t)}{D(\mathbf{x}, t)}$$

which is a *scalar function* on physical space \mathbb{R}^3 taking values in the *distributions on the dual Lie algebra* \mathfrak{o}^* . Compatibility with the constraint (26) requires that the distribution on \mathfrak{o}^* given by $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t)$ is normalized to unity at each point, that is

$$\int \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) d\sigma = 1, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This is easily interpreted by saying that each fluid particle carries a probability distribution on \mathfrak{o}^* such that the total probability is the always the same for all particles.

At this point, the equations of motion can be easily written in terms of the independent variables D and φ by replacing the variational relation

$$\frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \varphi} = \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}, \quad \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta D} = \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} + \int \varphi \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} d\sigma, \quad \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \mathbf{m}} = \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}}$$

into the Poisson structure (29), thereby obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}(\mathbf{m}, D, \varphi) &= \int \mathbf{m} \cdot \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right]_{\mathbf{x}} d^3\mathbf{x} + \int \frac{1}{D} \varphi \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \varphi} \right] \right\rangle d^3\mathbf{x} d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int \varphi \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \varphi} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta G / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi} \right) d^3\mathbf{x} d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int D \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta D} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta G / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} \right) d^3\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where the bar symbol is dropped for convenience. Then, the resulting equations of motion read as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}} \right) \mathbf{m} &= -D \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} + \int \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi} \nabla \varphi \, d\sigma \\ D \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) &= - \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \sigma}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi} \right] \right\rangle \\ \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div} \left(D \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

which are accompanied by the relation $\int \varphi \, d\sigma = 1$. For sufficiently regular Hamiltonians, these equations possess the singular solution

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) = \delta(\sigma - \Upsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)),$$

which is naturally inherited from the cold-plasma solution of the original YM-Vlasov equation. In this case, the presence of weight functions in front of the delta is forbidden by the normalization $\int \varphi \, d\sigma = 1$, which is fixed at all points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Notice that the above equations leave the following quantity invariant:

$$C(D, \varphi) = \int D \Lambda(\varphi) \, d\sigma \, d\mathbf{x},$$

for any scalar function $\Lambda(\varphi)$. Since the φ -formulation can be obtained from ρ by simply writing $\rho = \varphi D$, the remainder of this paper will use both hybrid closures, depending only on convenience for calculations.

All the previous considerations concerning the equations (21) also apply to the above dynamics without essential modifications. In particular, the existence of singular solutions is preserved by this change of variables.

4 Coupling to the Yang-Mills field

In condensed matter applications, one is not only interested in the order parameter micromotion. Another fundamental feature of complex fluids is the presence of defects [30], such as line defects in the orientational order (*disclinations*). Besides the well established topological approaches, one models disclinations by the use of an auxiliary variable known as *disclination density*, which arises as the magnetic component of a YM field and obeys the same type of dynamics in either spin glasses or nematic liquid crystals [17]. Accounting for the disclination density in the hybrid framework requires establishing the corresponding YM MHD formulation. In order to accomplish this task, we shall first construct the hybrid model for YM plasmas. Its MHD limit will be taken later upon using standard asymptotic methods.

The YM Vlasov system is defined on $C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathfrak{o}^*)^* \times T^*\Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathfrak{o})$, where $\Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathfrak{o})$ is the space of magnetic vector potentials. The Poisson bracket is given by the sum of a Vlasov term and (minus) a canonical one, involving the field variables (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) :

$$\{F, G\} = \int f \left\{ \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \right\}_1 \, d^3\mathbf{x} \, d^3\mathbf{p} \, d\sigma - \{F, G\}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) \quad (33)$$

where one defines [14]

$$\left\{ \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \right\}_1 := \left\{ \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \right\} + \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \right] \right\rangle,$$

and

$$\{F, G\}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) = \int \left(\left\langle \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{A}}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{E}}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{A}} \right\rangle \right) \, d^3\mathbf{x}$$

The minus sign in (33) is consistent with the definition of the electric part \mathbf{E} of the field as *minus* the momentum variable \mathbf{Y} conjugate to the vector potential \mathbf{A} [14]. The YM Vlasov Hamiltonian is given by (2).

The new cold-plasma closure

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, t) = \rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) \delta\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, t)}{D(\mathbf{x}, t)}\right), \quad (34)$$

generates a system on $\mathfrak{X}^*(\mathbb{R}^3) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathfrak{o})^* \times T^*\Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathfrak{o})$ where the space $\mathfrak{X}^*(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of one-form densities on physical space contains the fluid momentum variable. The Hamiltonian (2) becomes

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \left| \frac{\mathbf{m}}{D} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle \right|^2 + \int DU(D) - \int \rho \langle \sigma, A_0 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int \left(|d^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}|^2 + |\mathbf{E}|^2 \right) - \int \langle \mathbf{E}, d^{\mathbf{A}} A_0 \rangle \quad (35)$$

where the internal energy $DU(D)$ has been inserted again to account for pressure effects. The new Poisson bracket in terms of the variables $(D, \rho, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E})$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\} &= \int \mathbf{m} \cdot \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right]_{\mathbf{x}} d^3 \mathbf{x} + \int \rho \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \rho} \right] \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int \rho \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \rho} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta G / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int D \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta D} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta G / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} - \{F, G\}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where one recalls the constraint $D = \int \rho d\sigma$. This Poisson bracket is evidently the sum of (29) and $-\{F, G\}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E})$.

At this point one can write equations (32) explicitly. Indeed, after evaluating the functional derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} &= \frac{1}{D} \left(\mathbf{m} - \int \rho \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle d\sigma \right) =: \mathbf{V} \\ \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} &= -\frac{\mathbf{m}}{D^2} \cdot \left(\mathbf{m} - \int \rho \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle d\sigma \right) + \frac{dU}{dD} =: -\frac{\mathbf{m}}{D} \cdot \mathbf{V} + \nabla p \\ \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\mathbf{m}}{D} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle \right|^2 - \langle \sigma, A_0 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

one writes the equations (32) in terms of the velocity variable $\mathbf{V} := \delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(D \mathbf{V}) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{V}) &= \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \sigma}, \left(A_0 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}}{D} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{m} \rangle \right) \cdot \mathbf{A} \right) \right] \right\rangle \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{m} + \nabla \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{V} &= D \nabla \left(\mathbf{V} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{m}}{D} \right) - \nabla p \\ &\quad - \int \rho \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\mathbf{m}}{D} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle \right|^2 - \langle \sigma, A_0 \rangle \right) d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

These must be accompanied by the field equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta H}{\delta A_0} &= 0 = \operatorname{div}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{E} - \int \rho \sigma d\sigma \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{E}} = -\mathbf{E} - d^{\mathbf{A}} A_0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} &= \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{A}} = -\int \rho \sigma \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}}{D} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle \right) d\sigma + \operatorname{curl}^{\mathbf{A}}(d^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}) - \operatorname{ad}_{A_0}^* \mathbf{E}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constraint $\delta H/\delta A_0 = 0$ arises from Routh reduction, exactly in the same fashion as in the Yang-Mills Vlasov system [14]. Notice that taking the first moment of the ρ -equation produces the hybrid version of the covariant continuity equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \text{ad}_{A_0}^*\right) \int \rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) \sigma \, d\sigma + \text{div}^{\mathbf{A}} \int \rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) \sigma \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}}{D} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle\right) \, d\sigma = 0$$

for the YM macroscopic charge density $G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) \sigma \, d\sigma$.

The next section develops the hybrid theory of YM plasmas by applying the minimal coupling formula at the kinetic particle level.

4.1 Hybrid model for Yang-Mills plasmas

The preceding hybrid equations are evidently expressed in terms of the macroscopic fluid momentum $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \mathbf{p} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, t) \, d^3\mathbf{p} \, d\sigma$, which is not the variable commonly used in plasma physics. Indeed, a central role is usually played by the equation of motion for the macroscopic fluid velocity. At the particle level, the velocity coordinate is given by the minimal coupling formula $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle$, while we recall that in the macroscopic fluid setting of CHD one writes $\mathbf{u} = D^{-1}(\mathbf{m} - \langle G, \mathbf{A} \rangle)$. Although the hybrid equations above suggest the velocity definition $\mathbf{u} = D^{-1}(\mathbf{m} - \int \rho \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle \, d\sigma)$, a careful analysis requires to apply the minimal coupling formula at the microscopic particle level, and to define the averaged macroscopic fluid velocity only at a second stage. This is the process presented in this section, where the hybrid equations are written in terms of the macroscopic fluid velocity, expressed by using moments of the Vlasov distribution function.

In analogy with the preceding discussion, one starts with the Poisson bracket

$$\{F, G\} = \int f \left\{ \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \right\}_1 - \{F, G\}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) \quad (37)$$

and the YM Vlasov Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int f |\mathbf{p} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle|^2 - \int f \langle \sigma, A_0 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int \left(|d^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}|^2 + |\mathbf{E}|^2 \right) - \int \langle \mathbf{E}, d^{\mathbf{A}} A_0 \rangle \quad (38)$$

At this point, in order to introduce minimal coupling, one utilizes the well known relation

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p} - \langle \sigma, \mathbf{A} \rangle \quad (39)$$

between momentum and velocity coordinates. Thus, one expresses the system in terms of the distribution $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma, t)$. The Poisson bracket becomes

$$\{F, G\} = \int f \left\{ \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \right\}_2 - \{F, G\}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{A}) + \int f \left\langle \sigma, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta f} \cdot \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{E}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \cdot \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \right) \right\rangle \quad (40)$$

where we define [37]

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}, \frac{\delta F}{\delta f} \right\}_2 &:= \left\{ \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \right\}_1 + \left\langle \sigma, \mathbf{B} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta f} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \right) \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle \sigma, \left(\left[\mathbf{A} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta f}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \right] - \left[\mathbf{A} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta f}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta f} \right] \right) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

The crucial step in deriving the hybrid model for Yang-Mills plasmas is to adopt the hybrid Vlasov closure *after* introducing minimal coupling. Indeed, one can now adopt the closure

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, \sigma, t) = \rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, t) \delta \left(\mathbf{v} - \frac{\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}, t)}{D(\mathbf{x}, t)} \right), \quad (41)$$

so that the chain rule yields

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta f} = \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} + \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{M}} \quad (42)$$

It is easy to see that inserting the above relation in (40) yields the hybrid Poisson bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\} &= \int \mathbf{M} \cdot \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{M}}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{M}} \right]_{\mathbf{x}} d^3 \mathbf{x} - \int D \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{M}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta D} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta G / \delta \mathbf{M}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} \right) dq \\ &\quad - \int \rho \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{M}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \rho} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta G / \delta \mathbf{M}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} d\sigma - \{F, G\}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{A}) \\ &\quad + \int \rho \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \rho} \right] \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} d\sigma + \int \rho \left\langle \sigma, \mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{M}} \times \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{M}} \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} d\sigma \\ &\quad + \int \rho \left\langle \sigma, \left[\mathbf{A} \cdot \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{M}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \rho} \right] - \left[\mathbf{A} \cdot \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{M}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \right] \right\rangle d\sigma d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad + \int \rho \left\langle \sigma, \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{M}} \cdot \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{E}} - \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{M}} \cdot \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \right\rangle d\sigma d\mathbf{x} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

In the multi-fluid case, the above bracket represents the hybrid Yang-Mills version of the Spencer-Kaufman bracket for multicomponent magnetized plasmas [39, 40].

The hybrid Hamiltonian now takes the form

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{|\mathbf{M}|^2}{D} - \int \rho \langle \sigma, A_0 \rangle + \int D U(D) + \frac{1}{2} \int (|d^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}|^2 + |\mathbf{E}|^2) - \int \langle \mathbf{E}, d^{\mathbf{A}} A_0 \rangle \quad (44)$$

so that Hamilton's equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div} \left(D \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{M}} \right) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{M}} \mathbf{M} &= - \left\langle \int \rho \sigma d\sigma, \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{E}} + \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{M}} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \right\rangle - \int \rho \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho}, \mathbf{A} \right] \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \int \rho \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} d\sigma - D \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div} \left(\rho \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{M}} \right) &= - \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \sigma}, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} - \mathbf{A} \cdot \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{M}} \right) \right] \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

become simply

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(D \mathbf{u}) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} &= -D^{-1} \nabla p - \left\langle \int \rho \sigma d\sigma, \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} \right\rangle \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) &= \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \sigma}, A_0 + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{u} \right] \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which are to be accompanied by Gauß Law

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta A_0} = 0 = \operatorname{div}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{E} - \int \rho \sigma d\sigma$$

and the field equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} &= \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{A}} - \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{M}} \int \rho \sigma d\sigma = \operatorname{curl}^{\mathbf{A}}(d^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}) - \operatorname{ad}_{A_0}^* \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{u} \int \rho \sigma d\sigma \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{E}} = -\mathbf{E} - d^{\mathbf{A}} A_0 \end{aligned}$$

Again, the first moment of the ρ -equation yields the continuity equation in the covariant form

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \text{ad}_{A_0}^*\right) \int \rho \sigma \, d\sigma + \text{div}^{\mathbf{A}} \left(\mathbf{u} \int \rho \sigma \, d\sigma \right) = 0$$

Notice that the above continuity equation may be rewritten in terms of φ , upon writing $\rho = \varphi D$. Then one obtains the relation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \text{ad}_{A_0}^*\right) \int \varphi \sigma \, d\sigma + \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla + \text{ad}_{\mathbf{A}}^*) \int \varphi \sigma \, d\sigma = 0.$$

All the other equations of motion may be easily written in terms of φ in a similar fashion. The next section presents the MHD approximation of hybrid YM plasma dynamics.

4.2 Hybrid MHD limit

The MHD limit of chromohydrodynamics (Yang-Mills MHD, or YM-MHD) is known to possess many condensed matter applications. For example, the equations of spin glasses were shown [21] to possess the same Hamiltonian structure as Yang-Mills MHD. Thus, in this section we are interested in finding the hybrid analogue of YM-MHD. This task can be easily accomplished by following precisely the same steps as in [21], upon recalling that the total YM charge is now written as by $G = D \int \varphi \sigma \, d\sigma$. Then by following the standard method presented in [21] and upon denoting \mathfrak{o} -Lie algebra indexes by a, b, c, \dots , one is led to the hybrid YM-MHD equations

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}}\right) \mathbf{m} &= -D \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} + \int \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi} \nabla \varphi \, d\sigma + \text{curl} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{B}^a} \times \mathbf{B}^a - \mathbf{B}^a \text{div} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{B}^a} \\ D \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \cdot \nabla \varphi\right) &= - \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \sigma}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi} \right] \right\rangle \\ \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \text{div} \left(D \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right) &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \text{curl} \left(\mathbf{B} \times \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

whose Poisson bracket is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}(\mathbf{m}, D, \varphi, B) &= \int \mathbf{m} \cdot \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right]_{\mathfrak{X}} d^3 \mathbf{x} + \int \frac{1}{D} \varphi \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \varphi} \right] \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \, d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int \varphi \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \varphi} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta G / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} \, d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int D \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta D} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta G / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int \mathbf{B} \cdot \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta G / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Notice that the quantity $C = \int D \Lambda(\varphi) \, d\mathbf{x}$ is still a Casimir invariant for any function Λ . The above Hamiltonian system can be also written in terms of $\rho = D \varphi$, depending on convenience. The next section presents a direct application of hybrid MHD, which considers the dynamics of ferromagnetic fluids.

4.3 Hybrid ferromagnetic hydrodynamics

The equations of ferromagnetic hydrodynamics (FHD) possess a well known Hamiltonian structure, which was discovered and investigated in [20]. More recently a Vlasov equation of the same type as in [14] has been presented in relation to quantum plasmas [3]. In this section, we present an intermediate hybrid formulation of ferromagnetic continua, which illustrates a direct application of the hybrid approach.

Based on the work of Holm and Kupershmidt [20], it is easy to write the hybrid total energy for ferromagnetic hydrodynamics as

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{|\mathbf{m}|^2}{D} d^3\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \frac{\rho}{D} |\mathbf{B} - 4\pi D \boldsymbol{\sigma}|^2 d^3\mathbf{x} + \int D U(D) d^3\mathbf{x}, \quad (46)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathfrak{so}(3) \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ is the particle magnetic spin interacting with the magnetic induction field \mathbf{B} . Evidently, the above expression written in terms of the ρ -variable recovers the fluid Hamiltonian in [20], upon using the cold-plasma-like closure

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, t) = D(\mathbf{x}, t) \delta\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \frac{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}, t)}{D(\mathbf{x}, t)}\right),$$

where the macroscopic magnetization vector becomes $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \rho(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, t) \boldsymbol{\sigma} d\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Notice that, contrarily to the Yang-Mills MHD case, \mathbf{B} is not a YM field corresponding to the spin charge $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, i.e. the two form $\mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ does not take values in $\mathfrak{so}(3)$. (Here, $d\mathbf{S}$ denotes an oriented surface element in \mathbb{R}^3). Thus, strictly speaking, this example does not fit into the YM-MHD framework of the previous section. Nevertheless, there are many analogies with YM-MHD that justify the presentation of this example as a direct application of the hybrid closure.

The hybrid equations of motion read

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\delta H/\delta \mathbf{m}}\right) \mathbf{m} &= -D \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} - \int \rho \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \mathbf{B} \times \text{curl} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \text{div}\left(\rho \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}}\right) &= -g \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} \\ \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \text{div}\left(D \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}}\right) &= 0, \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\delta H/\delta \mathbf{m}}\right) \mathbf{B} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the constant gyromagnetic ratio g is inserted in the ρ -equation for consistency. From the hybrid Hamiltonian (46), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} &= \frac{\mathbf{m}}{D} =: \mathbf{u} \\ \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} &= -\frac{|\mathbf{m}|^2}{D^2} - \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{D} - 16\pi^2 \int \rho |\boldsymbol{\sigma}|^2 d\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right) + U(D) + D U'(D) \\ \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} &= \frac{1}{8\pi D} |\mathbf{B} - 4\pi D \boldsymbol{\sigma}|^2 \\ \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{B}} &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\mathbf{B} - 4\pi \int \rho \boldsymbol{\sigma} d\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right) =: \frac{1}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{u} is the fluid velocity. Thus, upon denoting $\mathbf{p} = D^2 U'(D)$, the hybrid equations become

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}\right) \mathbf{m} &= D \nabla |\mathbf{u}|^2 - \nabla \mathbf{p} - 2\pi \nabla \left(D \int \rho |\boldsymbol{\sigma}|^2 d\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right) + \nabla \mathbf{B} \cdot \int \rho \boldsymbol{\sigma} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{B} \times \text{curl} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}} \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \text{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) &= g \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\ \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \text{div}(D \mathbf{u}) &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \text{curl}(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which evidently reduce to the fluid equations in [20] by simple use of the cold plasma assumption. It may be advantageous to express the hybrid equations for FHD in terms of the quantity $\varphi = \rho/D$. These

are readily written as

$$\begin{aligned}
D\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}\right) + \nabla p &= D \nabla \mathbf{B} \cdot \int \varphi \boldsymbol{\sigma} d\boldsymbol{\sigma} - 2\pi \nabla \left(D^2 \int \varphi |\boldsymbol{\sigma}|^2 d\boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{B} \times \text{curl } \mathcal{H} \\
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi &= -g \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \\
\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \text{div}(D \mathbf{u}) &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \text{curl}(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we notice that the second-order moment $\int \varphi |\boldsymbol{\sigma}|^2 d\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ appears in the total pressure for the fluid velocity equation. The immediate advantage of these equations is that they allow for a Casimir stability analysis [24]. Indeed, we recall that the quantity $C(D, \varphi) = \int D \Lambda(\varphi) d\boldsymbol{\sigma} d\mathbf{x}$ determines a whole family of Casimir invariants for the corresponding Poisson bracket (45). Also, the magnetic helicity $\int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} d^3\mathbf{x}$ (where $\mathbf{B} = \text{curl } \mathbf{A}$) is another Casimir for the Poisson structure of FHD, when the latter is expressed in terms of the vector potential $\mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{x} \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Once several Casimirs are identified, the nonlinear stability analysis can be carried out by following the same steps outlined in [24] for fluid and plasma equilibria. However, this study would take this paper out of its purpose, which is the formulation of hybrid multiscale models for complex fluid systems. While stability is left for future work, the next section extends the previous models to account for systems with symmetry breaking. More particularly, the case of liquid crystal flows will be taken under consideration.

5 Hybrid models for systems with symmetry breaking

5.1 Yang-Mills-Vlasov equation with symmetry breaking

In soft matter systems one often deals with broken symmetries. As briefly mentioned in the Introduction, this happens when the single particle dynamics is not entirely symmetric under its underlying configuration Lie group \mathcal{O} . Usually the particle dynamics allows for a residual symmetry \mathcal{P} , which is normally used to describe the particle micromotion on the symmetry-reduced phase space $T^*\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P} \simeq \mathfrak{o}^* \times (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P})$ (see e.g. [11, 17]). Here, the coset space $M = \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}$ is the *order parameter space*, while \mathcal{O} is sometimes called the *broken symmetry group*. The reduction theory for systems with broken symmetry has been developed in [10, 11, 17], to which we address for further details.

The YM Vlasov equation for systems with broken symmetry is written immediately by simply replacing the Lie-Poisson bracket on \mathfrak{o}^* in (1) with the natural generalized Lie-Poisson bracket on $\mathfrak{o}^* \times M$ [11]. This leads to the following form of the YM Vlasov equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \left\{ f, \frac{\delta H}{\delta f} \right\} + \left\langle \sigma, \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta H}{\delta f} \right] \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial n}, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\delta H}{\delta f} \right)_M n \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \frac{\delta H}{\delta f}, \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma} \right)_M n \right\rangle = 0 \quad (47)$$

where $n \in M$ is the order parameter coordinate and the notation $\xi_M n$ stands for the infinitesimal action of \mathcal{O} on M . Here the angle bracket $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes either the pairing between the Lie algebra and its dual and the pairing between vectors and covectors on M .

In order to obtain the fluid description of complex fluids with broken symmetry, one considers the following Vlasov distribution function $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, n, \sigma, t)$:

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, n, t) = D(\mathbf{x}, t) \delta(n - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}, t)) \delta\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, t)}{D(\mathbf{x}, t)}\right) \delta\left(\sigma - \frac{G(\mathbf{x}, t)}{D(\mathbf{x}, t)}\right)$$

In a typical situation one has $M = S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, that is the order parameter space M is the unit sphere S^2 and points $n \in S^2$ on the sphere are identified with unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 , so that $\xi_M n = \boldsymbol{\xi} \times \mathbf{n}$. This is the situation, for example, in spin glass dynamics and other ferromagnetic media. The fluid equations

read as [17, 10, 29]

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}}\right) D &= 0 \\
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}}\right) G &= \text{ad}_{\frac{\delta H}{\delta G}}^* G + \mathbf{n} \diamond \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{n}} \\
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} - \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta G}\right)_M\right) \mathbf{n} &= 0 \\
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}}\right) \mathbf{m} &= -D \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} - \left\langle G, \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{n}}, \nabla \mathbf{n} \right\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where one defines the diamond operator \diamond as $\langle n \diamond \kappa, \xi \rangle := \langle \kappa, \xi_M n \rangle$, for any covector $\kappa \in T^*M$. Evidently, the quantity $n \diamond \kappa$ coincides with the momentum map for cotangent-lifted actions [34, 26]. The Poisson bracket is

$$\begin{aligned}
\{F, H\}(\mathbf{m}, D, G, \rho, \cdot) &= \int \mathbf{m} \cdot \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right]_{\mathbf{x}} d^3 \mathbf{x} + \int \left\langle G, \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta G}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right] \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \\
&\quad - \int D \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} \\
&\quad - \int \left\langle G, \mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta G} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta G} \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \\
&\quad + \int \left(\left\langle \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{n}}, \left(\left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right)_M - \mathcal{L} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right) \mathbf{n} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{n}}, \left(\left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta G} \right)_M - \mathcal{L} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right) \mathbf{n} \right\rangle \right) d^3 \mathbf{x}, \tag{49}
\end{aligned}$$

This framework is particularly suitable for liquid crystals [41] (for which the director notation is $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}$), which will be the guiding example in this last section. For example, the dynamics of uniaxial nematic liquid crystals is governed by the equations (48) and the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{|\mathbf{m}|^2}{D} d^3 \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2J} \int |\mathbf{G}|^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} + \int D F(D^{-1}, \mathbf{n}, \nabla \mathbf{n}) d^3 \mathbf{x}, \tag{50}$$

where

$$D F(D^{-1}, \mathbf{n}, \nabla \mathbf{n}) = K_1 (\text{div } \mathbf{n})^2 + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{n}) (K_2 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{n}) + K_2') + K_3 |\mathbf{n} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{n}|^2 \tag{51}$$

is the Frank energy [4, 13]. The presence of gradients of the order parameter field reflects the elastic properties of the liquid crystal material. In more generality, the emergence of these gradients is responsible for the failure of kinetic mean field approaches to complex fluid dynamics. Indeed, while mean field approaches are well known to apply to equilibrium situations, their employment in dynamical problems present several difficulties.

An interesting attempt to overcome these difficulties is given by the mesoscopic closure [1, 2]

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, n, t) = \rho(\mathbf{x}, n, t) \delta\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}, n, t)}{\rho(\mathbf{x}, n, t)}\right) \delta\left(\sigma - \frac{\Xi(\mathbf{x}, n, t)}{\rho(\mathbf{x}, n, t)}\right)$$

where all hydrodynamical variables are defined on the extended configuration space $\mathbb{R}^3 \times M$. Thus, the microscopic information on the order parameter space M is retained by all dynamical variables. However, the resulting equations present several difficulties due to the high dimensionality of the space $\mathbb{R}^3 \times M$, which makes the dynamics difficult to solve even by using advanced numerical methods.

The next sections show how these difficulties can be overcome by hybrid models, in which the macroscopic order parameter field is replaced by a position-dependent statistical distribution on the order parameter space M .

5.2 Hybrid closure for systems with broken symmetry

A hybrid closure of the YM Vlasov equation for $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, n)$ can be easily obtained upon treating both coordinates (σ, n) at the same level, thereby obtaining the closure

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, n, t) = \rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, n, t) \delta\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, t)}{D(\mathbf{x}, t)}\right),$$

with $D(\mathbf{x}) = \int \rho(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, n) d\sigma dn$. The above closure applies to nematic systems in which different molecules at the same point possess different orientation and angular momentum. This is a rather general situation. A more advantageous description is obtained upon introducing the variable $\varphi = \rho/D$, with $\int \varphi d\sigma dn = 1$. Indeed, the family of functionals $C = \int D \Lambda(\varphi) d\mathbf{x} dn$ again identifies a class of Casimir invariants for the resulting Hamiltonian structure. Then, the usual complex fluid equations can be recovered by the singular expression

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, n, t) = \delta\left(\sigma - \frac{G(\mathbf{x}, t)}{D(\mathbf{x}, t)}\right) \delta(n - n(\mathbf{x}, t)).$$

However, the position-dependent distribution $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \sigma, n, t)$ still depends on a high number of variables and thus it is not easy to handle. Thus, although the above hybrid closure may apply to nematic liquid crystal dynamics, it is more convenient to make simplifying assumptions.

In the search for a hybrid description of complex fluids with symmetry breaking, one can seek an equation for a probability distribution on the space $\mathbb{R}^3 \times M$. This is precisely the same approach that is followed, for example, in ordinary Smoluchowsky theories, where such a distribution obeys a diffusion-type kinetic equation in the overdamped limit. In the present setting, this approach can be followed by considering the cold plasma closure (see e.g. [5])

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \sigma, n, t) = D(\mathbf{x}, t) \varphi(\mathbf{x}, n, t) \delta\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, t)}{D(\mathbf{x}, t)}\right) \delta\left(\sigma - \frac{G(\mathbf{x}, t)}{D(\mathbf{x}, t)}\right)$$

where $\int \varphi(\mathbf{x}, n, t) dn = 1$. This closure applies to situations in which different molecules at the same point possess different orientations and the same angular momentum. Thus, at each point in space one can have several rod-like molecules rotating in a moving plane with different orientations at all times. The resulting hybrid model possesses a Hamiltonian structure, which can be obtained by the same methods that were presented in the first half of this paper. One obtains the following Poisson bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, H\}(\mathbf{m}, D, G, \varphi) &= \int \mathbf{m} \cdot \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right]_{\mathbf{x}} d^3 \mathbf{x} + \int \left\langle G, \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta G}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right] \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int D \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int \left\langle G, \mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta G} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta G} \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int \varphi \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} dn \\ &\quad + \int \varphi \left(\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi}, \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right)_M n \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi}, \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta G} \right)_M n \right\rangle \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} dn. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

In the incompressible case ($D \equiv 1$) the fluid momentum equals the velocity field \mathbf{u} , i.e. $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{u}$. Then, the hybrid equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}} \right) \mathbf{m} &= - \left\langle G, \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right\rangle + \int \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \varphi} \nabla \varphi dn - \nabla \mathbf{p}, \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div} \left(G \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right) &= - \operatorname{ad}_{\delta H / \delta G}^* G - \int \varphi n \diamond \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi} dn \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \cdot \nabla \varphi &= - \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \cdot \left(\varphi \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right)_M n \right), \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, when $M = S^2$ and n is denoted by the unit vector \mathbf{n} , the relation $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{G} \cdot \int \mathbf{n} \varphi d\mathbf{n} = 0$ is *not* preserved by the hybrid dynamics, in the general case when the free energy \mathcal{F} contains moments of order higher than one. Thus, in this case, molecules that are initially uniaxial can change their shape as time goes by. This is a typical situation in liquid crystal dynamics. For example, it is well known that the molecular shape may change in presence of defects, such as disclination lines.

Also, notice that moments $\int \mathbf{n} \dots \mathbf{n} \varphi d\mathbf{n}$ of the distribution φ can be taken at any order, thereby generating a hierarchy that can be truncated at pleasure by simply assuming that the energy does not involve moments higher than a desired order. Indeed, the equation of the k -th moment does not involve higher order moments, as it usually happens in kinetic theory. Rather, the equation of the k -th moment involves only moments of the same order k . Of particular interest in liquid crystal dynamics is the moment quantity

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}, t) = J \int (\mathbf{I} - 3\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}) \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, t) d\mathbf{n}.$$

It is perhaps not surprising that the equation for $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ turns out to be identical to that recently derived in [11] on a purely geometric basis. Indeed, the standard methods presented at the beginning of this paper can be used to show that the whole Poisson bracket (52) can be written in terms of the alignment tensor \mathbf{Q} , thereby obtaining exactly the same Hamiltonian structure as in section 5.1.2 of [11]. More particularly, this can be seen upon replacing the variational chain rule relation

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi} = J \operatorname{Tr} \left((\mathbf{I} - 3\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}) \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \mathbf{Q}} \right)$$

in the Poisson bracket (52). However, the resulting model may require a different expression of the free energy, which is usually taken as the Landau-deGennes free energy [13].

The dynamics of \mathbf{Q} is particularly important in the case of varying molecular shapes, since the dynamics of this tensor quantity naturally embodies shape changes. This situation typically occurs in presence of disclination lines, which is an interesting case to study. This is the topic of the next section.

6 Hybrid description of liquid crystals with disclinations

When orientational defects are present in liquid crystals, the dynamics of these defects is usually represented by an equation of motion for a Yang-Mills magnetic field, which is known as *disclination density*. This is the well known gauge-theory approach to defect dynamics [30, 7]. In the literature, the dynamics of the disclination density is usually given through the dynamics of the corresponding vector potential. In more geometric terms, the vector potential is a *principal connection*, while its covariant derivative is the curvature of the connection. When this covariant derivative vanishes, the connection is trivial and this means that defects are absent. Thus, the starting point is the emergence of a magnetic potential in the fluid model. This can be easily recognized by invoking reduction theory principles [17, 10] to write the field $\mathbf{n} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, M)$ in terms of the order parameter group element $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{O})$:

$$\mathbf{n} = \eta_*(\chi \mathbf{n}_0),$$

where \mathbf{n}_0 is a (space-independent) fixed reference configuration, typically $\mathbf{n}_0 = (0, 0, 1)$, and η_* is the push-forward of the diffeomorphism $\eta = \exp(\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m})$ transporting all fluid particles. Then, upon using standard properties of the push-forward [34, 26], simple differentiation yields

$$\nabla \mathbf{n} = \eta_*(\nabla \chi \mathbf{n}_0) = \eta_*((\nabla \chi \chi^{-1}) \chi \mathbf{n}_0) = \eta_*(\nabla \chi \chi^{-1}) \eta_* \chi \mathbf{n}_0 =: \mathbf{A}_M \mathbf{n},$$

where $\mathbf{A} = \eta_*((\nabla \chi) \chi^{-1}) \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathfrak{o})$ is a connection one-form, that is a magnetic vector potential. In the general case, one assumes that there exists a vector potential A such that the relation $\nabla \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{A}_M \mathbf{n}$ is verified at all times. As a result, the gradients of the order parameter field are naturally embodied in the vector potential, which is a new dynamical variable.

6.1 Derivation of the Hamiltonian structure

In the search of a hybrid model describing liquid crystal dynamics in presence of disclination lines, one can proceed by allowing for both magnetic and electric Yang-Mills fields and then operating on the Poisson bracket. One can start by considering the bracket

$$\begin{aligned}
\{F, H\}(\mathbf{m}, D, G, \rho, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) &= -\{F, H\}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) + \int \mathbf{m} \cdot \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right]_{\mathbf{x}} d^3 \mathbf{x} + \int \left\langle G, \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta G}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right] \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \\
&- \int D \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} \right) dq \\
&- \int \left\langle G, \mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta G} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta G} \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \\
&- \int \rho \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} dn \\
&+ \int \rho \left(\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}, \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right)_M n \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho}, \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta G} \right)_M n \right\rangle \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} dn, \quad (53)
\end{aligned}$$

and perform the change of variables

$$\begin{aligned}
G &\mapsto G - \operatorname{div}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{E} =: \mathcal{Q} \\
\mathbf{m} &\mapsto \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{E}_a \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}^a - \mathbf{A}^a \operatorname{div} \mathbf{E}_a =: \mathcal{M}.
\end{aligned}$$

The momentum shift in the second line was already used for electromagnetic charged fluids by Holm [18, 19], who showed how this transformation leads to the Poisson bracket of plasma MHD. The first line is also used here to account for charge neutrality, i.e. $\operatorname{div}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{E} = 0$. Both the above change of variables are given as shifts by a momentum map. Indeed, while the term $-\operatorname{div}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{E}$ is a momentum map arising from the action of the gauge group [35], the quantity $\mathbf{E}_a \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}^a - \mathbf{A}^a \operatorname{div} \mathbf{E}_a$ is a momentum map arising from the diffeomorphism action [18, 19]. This construction allows to apply a result from [32] (see proposition 2.2), which in turn yields the new Poisson bracket

$$\begin{aligned}
\{F, H\}(\mathcal{M}, D, \mathcal{Q}, \rho, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) &= \int \mathcal{M} \cdot \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathcal{M}}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathcal{M}} \right]_{\mathbf{x}} d^3 \mathbf{x} + \int \left\langle \mathcal{Q}, \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathcal{Q}}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathcal{Q}} \right] \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} - \{F, H\}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) \\
&- \int D \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathcal{M}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathcal{M}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} \right) dq \\
&- \int \left\langle \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathcal{M}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathcal{Q}} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathcal{M}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathcal{Q}} \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \\
&- \int \rho \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathcal{M}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathcal{M}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} dn \\
&+ \int \rho \left(\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}, \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathcal{Q}} \right)_M n \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho}, \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathcal{Q}} \right)_M n \right\rangle \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} dn \\
&- \int \left(\left\langle d^{\mathbf{A}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathcal{Q}}, \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{A}} \right\rangle - \left\langle d^{\mathbf{A}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathcal{Q}}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mathbf{A}} \right\rangle \right) d\mathbf{x} \\
&- \int \left\langle \mathbf{E}, \mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathcal{M}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{E}} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathcal{M}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \\
&- \int \left\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathcal{L}_{\delta F / \delta \mathcal{M}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{A}} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H / \delta \mathcal{M}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{A}} \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x}
\end{aligned}$$

At this point, the neutrality hypothesis

$$\operatorname{div}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{E} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} = G$$

together with the assumption $\delta H/\delta \mathbf{E} = 0$ yields the Poisson bracket for the MHD limit. When the latter is expressed in terms of φ , this Poisson structure reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
\{F, H\}(\mathbf{m}, D, G, \rho, A) &= \int \mathbf{m} \cdot \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{m}}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right]_{\mathbf{x}} dq + \int \left\langle G, \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta G}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right] \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \\
&\quad - \int D \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F/\delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H/\delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta D} \right) dq \\
&\quad - \int \left\langle G, \mathcal{L}_{\delta F/\delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta G} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H/\delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta G} \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \\
&\quad - \int \varphi \left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta F/\delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H/\delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} dn \\
&\quad - \int \left\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathcal{L}_{\delta F/\delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{A}} - \mathcal{L}_{\delta H/\delta \mathbf{m}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{A}} \right\rangle d^3 \mathbf{x} \\
&\quad + \int \varphi \left(\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi}, \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right)_M n \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi}, \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta G} \right)_M n \right\rangle \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} dn \\
&\quad + \int \left(\left\langle d^{\mathbf{A}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta G}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{A}} \right\rangle - \left\langle d^{\mathbf{A}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta G}, \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{A}} \right\rangle \right) d\mathbf{x}
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where we have replaced \mathcal{M} by \mathbf{m} for simplicity. The resulting equations of motion read

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\delta H/\delta \mathbf{m}} \right) \mathbf{m} &= -D \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta D} - \left\langle G, \nabla \frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right\rangle + \int \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi} \nabla \varphi dn + \mathbf{A}^a \times \text{curl} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{A}^a} - \mathbf{A}^a \text{div} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{A}^a}, \\
\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \text{div} \left(G \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right) &= \text{ad}_{\delta H/\delta G}^* G - \int \varphi n \diamond \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi} dn - \text{div}^{\mathbf{A}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{A}} \\
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \cdot \nabla \varphi &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \cdot \left(\varphi \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta G} \right)_M n \right), \\
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\delta H/\delta \mathbf{m}} \right) \mathbf{A} &= -\nabla^{\mathbf{A}} \frac{\delta H}{\delta G}
\end{aligned}$$

These equations hold for an arbitrary form of the Hamiltonian H . Indeed, no specific assumption has been made on the form of the total energy. In the case of liquid crystals, one would hope to use Frank's expression of the free energy, which however still needs to be expressed in terms of the connection \mathbf{A} . The explicit form of Frank's energy is presented in the next section.

6.2 The expression of the free energy

In order to use the dynamical model in the previous section, it is necessary to express Frank's energy in the correct set of variables, including the connection describing defect dynamics. In Eringen's theory of liquid crystals [8], this quantity is denoted by γ and it is given by $\gamma_j = \partial_j \chi \chi^T$, due to the orthogonal property of $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, SO(3))$. In order to express Frank's energy (51) in terms of γ , one can use the relation

$$\partial_j \mathbf{n} = \gamma_j \mathbf{n} = \gamma_j \times \mathbf{n}$$

where the second equality makes convenient use of the well known isomorphism $\mathfrak{so}(3) \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ [34, 26]. For example, one computes

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{n} &= n_c \epsilon_{cjd} \partial_j n_d \\
&= n_c \epsilon_{cjd} \epsilon_{dab} \gamma_{aj} n_b \\
&= -n_c n_b (\epsilon_{dcj} \epsilon_{dab}) \gamma_{aj} \\
&= -n_c n_b (\delta_{ca} \delta_{jb} - \delta_{cb} \delta_{ja}) \gamma_{aj} \\
&= -n_a n_j \gamma_{aj} + \gamma_a^a \\
&= -\text{Tr}(\mathbf{nn}\gamma) + \text{Tr} \gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

By proceeding analogously, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{n} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{n})_i &= \epsilon_{ijk} n_j \epsilon_{kab} \partial_a n_b \\
&= -\epsilon_{kij} \epsilon_{kab} n_j \epsilon_{bcd} \gamma_{ca} n_d \\
&= -(\delta_{ia} \delta_{jb} - \delta_{ib} \delta_{ja}) n_j \epsilon_{bcd} \gamma_{ca} n_d \\
&= -(\epsilon_{jcd} \gamma_{ci} + \epsilon_{icd} \gamma_{cj}) n_d n_j \\
&= -\epsilon_{icd} n_d \gamma_{cj} n_j \\
&= -(\mathbf{n} \times (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_j n_j))_i,
\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$(\text{div } \mathbf{n})^2 = (\partial_i n_i)^2 = (\epsilon_{iab} \gamma_{ai} n_b)^2 = (\vec{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2,$$

where we have defined the operator $\vec{\eta}_i := \epsilon_{ijk} \eta_{jk}$, for any 3×3 matrix η_{jk} . Then, since

$$|\mathbf{n} \times (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_j n_j)|^2 = |\boldsymbol{\gamma}_j n_j|^2 - (\mathbf{n} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_j n_j))^2 = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{nn}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \text{Tr}^2(\mathbf{nn}\boldsymbol{\gamma}),$$

we obtain the following alternative expression for the Frank free energy:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) &= K_1 (\vec{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 + (\text{Tr}(\mathbf{nn}\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \text{Tr} \boldsymbol{\gamma}) (K_2 (\text{Tr}(\mathbf{nn}\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \text{Tr} \boldsymbol{\gamma}) + K'_2) \\
&\quad + K_3 (\text{Tr}(\mathbf{nn}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \text{Tr}^2(\mathbf{nn}\boldsymbol{\gamma})) \quad (55)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, a possible hybrid (quadratic) expression for the Frank free energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(\varphi, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) &= K_1 \iint \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}') (\vec{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n}) (\vec{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n}') (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') d\mathbf{n}' d\mathbf{n} \\
&\quad + K_2 \iint \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}') (\text{Tr}(\mathbf{nn}\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \text{Tr} \boldsymbol{\gamma}) (\text{Tr}(\mathbf{n}'\mathbf{n}'\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \text{Tr} \boldsymbol{\gamma}) d\mathbf{n}' d\mathbf{n} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} K'_2 \iint \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}') (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') (\text{Tr}(\mathbf{nn}'\boldsymbol{\gamma}) + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{n}'\mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - 2(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \text{Tr} \boldsymbol{\gamma}) d\mathbf{n}' d\mathbf{n} \\
&\quad + K_3 \iint \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}') ((\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \text{Tr}(\mathbf{nn}'\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \text{Tr}(\mathbf{nn}'\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \text{Tr}(\mathbf{n}'\mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\gamma})) d\mathbf{n}' d\mathbf{n}, \quad (56)
\end{aligned}$$

which evidently reduces to (55) upon restricting to the singular expression $\varphi = \delta(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}))$ (with $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \in S^2$). The factor $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}'$ has been introduced to make expression (56) symmetric with respect to permutations $\mathbf{n} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{n}'$ and \mathbb{Z}_2 -reflections $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow -\mathbf{n}$ (or $\mathbf{n}' \rightarrow -\mathbf{n}'$).

Notice how the free energy (56) is completely determined by $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and the dipole moment field $\int \mathbf{nn} \varphi d\mathbf{n}$. However, other forms of the hybrid free energy may also involve fourth-order moments through the term

$$\iint \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \text{Tr}^2(\mathbf{nn}\boldsymbol{\gamma}) d\mathbf{n}.$$

Higher order moments of this type also appear in the derivation of the celebrated Doi theory [6].

At this point, one would like to use the free energy (56) to formulate an explicit model for the dynamics of liquid crystals in the presence of disclinations. However, when these orientational defects are considered, an explicit expression of the total energy cannot be found. Indeed, the presence of defects is responsible for molecular shape changes, thereby producing a varying microinertia tensor that is not invertible in the general case. Rather, it is common to derive explicit equations of liquid crystals from Hamilton's principle, so that the whole dynamics is naturally encoded in a certain Lagrangian. Thus, a Lagrangian theory of hybrid equations becomes necessary and it is presented in the next section.

6.3 Hybrid Euler-Poincaré equations and their relation to other models

The Lagrangian setting of hybrid models can be easily developed by simply using standard methods from reduction theory [34, 26]. In the case of complex fluids, these methods are extensively explained in [17, 10]. As a starting point, one uses the fact that the Hamiltonian structure (54) is of Lie-Poisson type [34, 26], so that its corresponding Lagrangian (Euler-Poincaré) formulation can be obtained by simply writing the Lagrangian

$$L(\mathbf{u}, \nu, \varphi, \mathbf{A}) = \int \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{u} \, d^3\mathbf{x} + \int \langle G, \nu \rangle \, d^3\mathbf{x} - H(\mathbf{m}, G, \varphi, \mathbf{A}),$$

where the Legendre transform yields

$$\mathbf{u} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mathbf{m}}, \quad \nu = \frac{\delta H}{\delta G}.$$

The remaining steps belong to a standard procedure in reduction theory, which involve the variational principle

$$\delta \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(\mathbf{u}, \nu, \varphi, \mathbf{A}) \, dt = 0$$

and the way variations are taken [10]. Then, upon specializing to the case of liquid crystals, standard methods [26, 17, 10] produce the hybrid Euler-Poincaré equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} \right) \frac{\delta L}{\delta \mathbf{u}} &= D \nabla \frac{\delta L}{\delta D} - \nabla \nu \cdot \frac{\delta L}{\delta \nu} - \int \frac{\delta L}{\delta \varphi} \nabla \varphi \, d\mathbf{n} - \gamma^a \times \text{curl} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \gamma^a} + \gamma^a \text{div} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \gamma^a}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \nu} + \text{div} \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta \nu} \mathbf{u} \right) + \text{ad}_{\nu}^* \frac{\delta L}{\delta \nu} &= \int \varphi \mathbf{n} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \varphi} \, d\mathbf{n} + \text{div}^{\gamma} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \gamma} \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi &= \nu \cdot \mathbf{n} \times \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mathbf{n}}, \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} \right) \gamma &= -\nabla^{\gamma} \nu \end{aligned}$$

At this point, the (incompressible) hybrid Euler-Poincaré model for liquid crystals can be completely characterized by the following Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \int |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} J \int \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \, \nu(\mathbf{x}) \cdot (\mathbf{I} - 3\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}) \, \nu(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{n} \, d\mathbf{x} - \int \mathcal{F}(\varphi, \gamma) \, d\mathbf{x}, \quad (57)$$

where the microinertia (alignment) tensor $\mathbf{Q}(\varphi) = J \int \varphi(\mathbf{I} - 3\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}) \, d\mathbf{n}$ evidently appears in the second kinetic energy term. As a result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \nabla p &= - \int \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \varphi} \nabla \varphi \, d\mathbf{n} - \gamma^a \times \text{curl} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \gamma^a} + \gamma^a \text{div} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \gamma^a}, \\ \mathbf{Q}(\varphi) \left(\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \nu \right) - \mathbf{Q}(\varphi) \nu \times \nu &= \int \varphi \mathbf{n} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \varphi} \, d\mathbf{n} + \text{div}^{\gamma} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \gamma} \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi &= \nu \cdot \mathbf{n} \times \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mathbf{n}}, \\ \partial_t \gamma_i + (\partial_i u^j) \gamma_j + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \gamma_i &= -\partial_i \nu + \gamma_i \times \nu \end{aligned}$$

These equations do not possess a general Hamiltonian formulation, since the tensor $\mathbf{Q}(\varphi)$ is not invertible in the general case.

At this point, one may wonder how the above set of equations is related to ordinary models for complex fluids, under the substitution $\varphi = \delta(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}, t))$, with $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}, t) \in S^2$. However, since the Free energy was derived from the Frank energy upon using $\partial_i \mathbf{n} = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_i \times \mathbf{n}$, the above hybrid model must be compatible with Ericksen-Leslie dynamics [33]. In particular, if one assumes that no defects are present at the initial time (i.e. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0$) and upon restricting to rod-like molecules (i.e. $\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$), this compatibility is ensured by geometric symmetry arguments [10, 11, 17].

An interesting observation also arises when the hybrid equations are written in terms of the moments $\int \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \dots \mathbf{n} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, t) d\mathbf{n}$. More particularly, since the free energy (56) depends only on second-order moments, then the total energy can be completely expressed in terms of the symmetric traceless tensor

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}, t) = J \int (\mathbf{I} - 3\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}) \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, t) d\mathbf{n}.$$

In this way, the Poisson bracket (54) can be transformed into the Poisson bracket of Eringen's equations for micropolar media [8] (see section 8.11.2 in [10]). Therefore, the hybrid equations of motion recover Eringen's description of micropolar liquid crystals, although the corresponding free energies may be different in the two cases.

Higher moment orders may also appear in the expression of the free energy. Then, the moment hierarchy can be truncated at the highest order and the resulting theory generalizes ordinary fluid models of liquid crystal dynamics.

Remark 2 (The action of the order parameter gauge group) *Notice that the construction above can be easily generalized to an arbitrary order parameter group \mathcal{O} and it is geometrically equivalent to the usual geometric construction of complex fluid dynamics [17, 10], including the affine action of the gauge group $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{O})$ on the space $\Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathfrak{o})$ of connection one-forms. Indeed, replacing the order parameter field $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, M)$ by the distribution-valued function $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, C^\infty(M)^*)$ has the only result of changing the action of the gauge group $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{O})$ on the respective quantity. For example, while $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, SO(3))$ acts on $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ by matrix multiplication (i.e. $\mathbf{n} \mapsto \chi \mathbf{n}$, with $\chi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3, SO(3))$), the gauge action on $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$ is $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) \mapsto \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \chi^{-1} \mathbf{n})$.*

7 Conclusions

This paper has introduced a new approach to complex fluids in which the fluid transports the statistical information that is encoded in a position-dependent probability distribution. In the context of Yang-Mills plasmas, this approach arises as a cold-plasma-like closure of the underlying Vlasov kinetic equation, which possesses momentum map properties. This closure involves moments belonging to different hierarchies thereby producing a hybrid description of the YM plasma. The resulting equations of motion possess a natural Hamiltonian structure, which in turn possesses a whole family of Casimir invariants. As a first application, the example of ferromagnetic hydrodynamics has been presented and it has been shown that second order moments of the transported statistical distribution appear in the velocity equation, when correlation effects on the spin space are considered.

The second part of the paper extended the hybrid formulation of complex fluids to systems exhibiting symmetry breaking. Again, starting from a microscopic kinetic approach, a hybrid moment closure has been presented, which involves a statistical distribution on the order parameter space. The case of broken symmetry presents some difficulties that were overcome by encoding the gradients of the order parameter field in a new variable that accounts for defect dynamics. The case of liquid crystals was taken as a guiding example and a hybrid expression of the Frank free energy was presented. The resulting hybrid model for liquid crystals is compatible with Ericksen-Leslie dynamics and it recovers Eringen's formulation of micropolar liquid crystals [8] when the total energy can be expressed in terms of second

order moments. The possibility of higher order moments appearing in the free energy generalizes the theory to account for higher correlation effects that are neglected in common fluid approaches.

Acknowledgements

The author is greatly indebted with François Gay-Balmaz and Tudor Ratiu for several stimulating discussions on defect dynamics and its related affine action formulation. Moreover, the author is also grateful to Darryl Holm and Vakhtang Putkaradze for illuminating conversations and for their hospitality at Imperial College London and Colorado State University, Fort Collins, where part of this work was carried out.

References

- [1] Blenk S., H. Ehrentraut and W. Muschik, Statistical foundation of macroscopic balances for liquid crystals in alignment tensor formulation, *Phys. A* 174 (1991), 119–138
- [2] Blenk S., H. Ehrentraut and W. Muschik, Macroscopic constitutive equations for liquid crystals induced by their mesoscopic orientation distribution, *Int. J. Engng Sci.* 30 (1992), no. 30, 1127–1143
- [3] Brodin G., M. Marklund, J. Zamanian, Å. Ericsson, and P.L. Mana, Effects of the g factor in semiclassical kinetic plasma theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101 (2008), 245002
- [4] Chandrasekhar S., *Liquid Crystals*, Second Edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [5] Constantin, P. Nonlinear Fokker-Planck Navier-Stokes systems. *Commun. Math. Sci.* 3 (2005), no. 4, 531–544
- [6] Doi M. and S. F. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics* Oxford University Press, 1988.
- [7] Dzyaloshinskii I. E. and G. E. Volovik, Poisson brackets in condensed matter physics, *Ann. Phys.* 125 (1980) 67–97.
- [8] Eringen A. C., A unified continuum theory of liquid crystals, *ARI* (1997) 50 : 73–84.
- [9] Fischer K. H., Ferromagnetic modes in spin glasses and dilute ferromagnets, *Z. Physik B* 39, (1980) 37–46.
- [10] Gay-Balmaz F. and Ratiu T. S., The geometric structure of complex fluids, *Adv App Math* 42 (2009) 176–275.
- [11] Gay-Balmaz F. and C. Tronci, Reduction theory for symmetry breaking with applications to nematic systems, *Phys. D*, 239 (2010) 1929–1947.
- [12] Gay-Balmaz F., C. Tronci and C. Vizman, Geodesic flows on the automorphism group of principal bundles, submitted to *J. Reine Angew. Math.*
- [13] de Gennes P. G. and J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals*, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [14] Gibbons, J., D. D. Holm and B. A. Kupersmidt, The Hamiltonian structure of classical chromohydrodynamics, *Physica D*, 6 (1983) 179–194.
- [15] Gibbons, J., D. D. Holm and C. Tronci, Geometry of Vlasov kinetic moments: A bosonic Fock space for the symmetric Schouten bracket, *Phys. Lett. A* 372 (2008) 41844196

- [16] Halperin, B. I. and W. M. Saslow, Hydrodynamic theory of spin waves in spin glasses and other systems with noncollinear spin orientations, *Phys. Rev. B* 16 (1977) 2154.
- [17] Holm D. D., Euler-Poincaré dynamics of perfect complex fluids. In “Geometry, mechanics, and dynamics. Springer, New York, (2002), 113–167
- [18] Holm, D. D. [1986], Hamiltonian dynamics of a charged fluid, including electro- and magnetohydrodynamics, *Phys. Lett. A*, **114**, 137–141.
- [19] Holm, D. D. [1987], Hamiltonian dynamics and stability analysis of neutral electromagnetic fluids with induction, *Physica D*, **25**, 261–287.
- [20] Holm D.D., B.A. Kupershmidt, Hamiltonian formulation of ferromagnetic hydrodynamics, *Phys. Lett. A* 129 (2) (1988) 93–100.
- [21] Holm, D. D. and B. A. Kupershmidt, The analogy between spin glasses and Yang-Mills fluids, *J. Math Phys.* 29 (1988) 21–30.
- [22] Holm, D.D. and B.A. Kupershmidt, Yang-Mills magnetohydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 30 (12) (1984) 2557–2560
- [23] Holm D. D., J. E. Marsden and T. S. Ratiu, The Euler-Poincaré equations and semidirect products with applications to continuum theories, *Adv. in Math.*, 137, (1998) 1–81.
- [24] Holm, D. D., J. E. Marsden, T. S. Ratiu, and A. Weinstein, Nonlinear stability of fluid and plasma equilibria, *Phys. Rep.* 123 (1985) 1–116.
- [25] Holm, D.D., V. Putkaradze, C. Tronci, Double bracket dissipation in kinetic theory for particles with anisotropic interactions, *Proc. R. Soc. A* 466 (2010) 2991–3012
- [26] Holm, D.D., Schmäh T. and C. Stoica, *Geometric Mechanics and Symmetry: From Finite to Infinite Dimensions*, Oxford University Press (2009)
- [27] Holm, D. D. and C. Tronci, Geodesic flows on semidirect-product Lie groups: geometry of singular measure-valued solutions, *Proc. R. Soc. A* 465, (2008) 457–476.
- [28] Holm, D. D. and C. Tronci, The geodesic Vlasov equation and its integrable moment closures, *J. Geom. Mech.* 1, (2009) 181–208.
- [29] Isaev A. A., M. Yu. Kovalevskii, and S. V. Peletminskii, Hamiltonian approach in the theory of condensed media with spontaneously broken symmetry, *Phys Part Nuclei* 27(2), (1996) 179–203.
- [30] Kadič, A. and D.G.B. Edelen, A gauge theory of dislocations and disclinations, *Lect. Notes Phys.* 174 (1983)
- [31] Y. L. Klimontovich, *The Statistical Theory of Non-equilibrium Processes in a Plasma*, M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1967.
- [32] Krishnaprasad, P. S. and J. E. Marsden [1987], Hamiltonian structure and stability for rigid bodies with flexible attachments, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 98, 71–93.
- [33] Leslie F. M., Some topics in continuum theory of nematics. *Philos. Trans. Soc. London Ser. A* 309 (1983)155–165.
- [34] Marsden, J. E. and T. S. Ratiu, *Introduction to mechanics and symmetry*. Springer-Verlag, 1994.

- [35] Marsden, J.E.; Weinstein, A.; Ratiu, T.; Schmid, R.; Spencer, R.G. Hamiltonian systems with symmetry, coadjoint orbits and plasma physics, *Atti Accad. Sci. Torino Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* 117 (1983), no. 1, 289–340
- [36] Montgomery, R. Canonical formulations of a classical particle in a Yang-Mills field and Wong's equations. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 8 (1984), no. 1, 59–67
- [37] Montgomery, R.; Marsden, J.; Ratiu, T. Gauged Lie-Poisson structures. *Contemp. Math.*, 28 (1984), 101-114
- [38] Onsager, L. The effects of shape on the interaction of colloidal particles *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 51, (1949) 627–659.
- [39] Spencer, R.G. The Hamiltonian structure of multi-species fluid electrodynamics. *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 88 (1982), 121–126.
- [40] Spencer, R.G.; Kaufman, A.N. Hamiltonian structure of two-fluid plasma dynamics. *Phys. Rev. A* (3) 25 (1982), no. 4, 2437–2439
- [41] Stark, H. and T. C. Lubensky, Poisson-bracket approach to the dynamics of nematic liquid crystals, *Phys. Rev. E* 67, (2003) 061709.